₭ Author's Choice



# The intracellular immune receptor Rx1 regulates the DNA-binding activity of a Golden2-like transcription factor

Received for publication, October 19, 2017, and in revised form, November 14, 2017 Published, Papers in Press, December 7, 2017, DOI 10.1074/jbc.RA117.000485

Philip D. Townsend<sup>#§1</sup>, Christopher H. Dixon<sup>#§1</sup>, Erik J. Slootweg<sup>¶</sup>, Octavina C. A. Sukarta<sup>¶</sup>, Ally W. H. Yang<sup>||</sup>, Timothy R. Hughes<sup>||</sup>, Gary J. Sharples<sup>#§</sup>, Lars-Olof Pålsson<sup>\*\*</sup>, Frank L. W. Takken<sup>##</sup>, Aska Goverse<sup>¶</sup>, and Martin J. Cann<sup>#§2</sup>

From the <sup>‡</sup>Department of Biosciences, <sup>§</sup>Biophysical Sciences Institute, and <sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom, the <sup>¶</sup>Laboratory of Nematology, Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen University, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands, the <sup>¶</sup>Donnelly Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3E1, Canada, and <sup>‡\*</sup>Molecular Plant Pathology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Edited by Joseph M. Jez

Plant nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins enable the immune system to recognize and respond to pathogen attack. An early consequence of immune activation is transcriptional reprogramming, and some NLRs have been shown to act in the nucleus and interact with transcription factors. The Rx1 NLR protein of potato is further able to bind and distort double-stranded DNA. However, Rx1 host targets that support a role for Rx1 in transcriptional reprogramming at DNA are unknown. Here, we report a functional interaction between Rx1 and NbGlk1, a Golden2-like transcription factor. Rx1 binds to NbGlk1 in vitro and in planta. NbGlk1 binds to known Golden2like consensus DNA sequences. Rx1 reduces the binding affinity of NbGlk1 for DNA in vitro. NbGlk1 activates cellular responses to potato virus X, whereas Rx1 associates with NbGlk1 and prevents its assembly on DNA in planta unless activated by PVX. This study provides new mechanistic insight into how an NLR can coordinate an immune signaling response at DNA following pathogen perceptions.

Plants possess an innate immune system that enables cellautonomous defense responses upon pathogen perception (1, 2). Plant NLR<sup>3</sup> immune receptors detect strain-specific patho-

This article contains Figs. S1 and S2 and Table S1.

gen effectors to mediate the immune responses to an invading pathogen (2–4). NLR proteins belong to the STAND (signal-transduction ATPases with numerous domains) loop ATPases of the AAA superfamily and have a multidomain structure that allows them to function as a sensor, switch, and response factor (5, 6).

The NLR N terminus typically consists of either a CC or TIR (Toll-interleukin 1 receptor) domain (7). The NLR NB domain, also referred to as the NB-ARC domain, is proposed to function as a molecular switch in NLR activation (6, 8-10). The C-terminal LRR domain is required for pathogen recognition specificity and for maintaining the NLR protein in an autoinhibited state. The NB-ARC domains of tomato I-2 and Mi-1, flax M and L6, and barley MLA27 are ADP-bound in this autoinhibited state (7, 11, 12). Pathogen recognition via the LRR domain is proposed to permit the exchange of ADP for ATP, allowing the NB-ARC domain to adopt its activated state. ATP hydrolysis to ADP is proposed to re-establish the inactivated state. For example, mutants of the tomato I-2 NLR with reduced levels of in vitro ATP hydrolysis are autoactivated in vivo (11). Nucleotide hydrolysis in NLR inactivation may extend further than ADP. For example, the NB subdomain of rice Os2g\_25900 and the NB-ARC domains of maize PSiP and Arabidopsis Rpm1 possess a nucleotide phosphatase activity in which all phosphates are removed from the nucleotide triphosphate to leave the nucleoside base (13).

A crucial question concerns the nature of the downstream signaling component(s) for plant NLR proteins and how these are activated or inactivated by NLR proteins upon pathogen perception. Several NLR proteins, including N, MLA10, and Rx1, have a dynamic nuclear-cytoplasmic distribution, whereas RRS1-R is restricted to the nucleus, dependent upon the presence of the PopP2 immune elicitor (14–18). Several NLRs, including barley MLA1 and MLA10, *Arabidopsis* RPS4 and SNC1, and the tobacco N protein, show a nuclear localization (17, 19–21). Redirection of MLA10, N, RPS4, and SNC1 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm reduces immune activation suggesting a signaling component resident in the nucleus (14, 17,



This work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Grant BB/M007405/1 (to M. J. C., G. J. S., and L. O. P.), the Dutch Technology Foundation STW and Earth and Life Sciences ALW (to E. J. S., O. C. A. S., and A. G.), and ALW-VICI Project No. 865.14.003 (to F. L. W. T.) (Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research), and FDN-148403 from CIHR (to T. R. H.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

*Author's Choice*—Final version free via Creative Commons CC-BY license. This article was selected as one of our Editors' Picks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Biosciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom. Tel.: 44-191-3343985; Fax: 44-191-3341201; E-mail: m.j.cann@durham.ac.uk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The abbreviations used are: NLR, nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat; CC, coiled-coil; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; FLIM, fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy; GARP, maize GOLDEN2, ARR B-class proteins from *Arabidopsis*, and *Chlamydomonas* Psr1; GLK, Golden2-like; GST, glutathione S-transferase; NB, nucleotide binding; NB-ARC, nucleotide binding, Apaf-1, R-proteins, and CED-4; LRR, leucine-rich repeat; PBM, protein binding microarray; PVX, protein virus X; CAP, catabolite activator protein; TF,

transcription factor; ANOVA, analysis of variance; bis-tris, 2-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol; PWM, position weight matrix; 3-AT, 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole.



**Figure 1. N terminus of the Rx1 NLR protein interacts with the NbGlk1 transcription factor.** Yeast two-hybrid analysis of Rx1(1–144) bait fragment against a prey fragment of amino acids 1–371 of NbGlk1. Rx1(1–144) was fused to the Gal4 DNA-binding domain, and NbGlk1(1–371) was fused to the Gal4 activation domain. Plates were grown on medium lacking leucine and tryptophan (-L/-W) and medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine (-L/-W/-H), supplemented with 10 or 50 mM 3-AT. *A*, Smad versus Smurf positive control. *B*, empty pB27 bait versus empty pP7 prey negative control. *C*, empty pB27 bait versus NbGlk1(1–371) in prey negative control. *D*, Rx1(1–144) containing bait versus empty pP7 prey negative control. *E*, Rx1(1–144) in pB27 bait plasmid with NbGlk1(1–371) in pP7 prey plasmid.

20, 22). One of the most important and earliest consequences of immune activation is transcriptional reprogramming (23–25). The association of MLA10 with Myb and WRKY transcription factors suggests that plant NLRs themselves might regulate transcription in the immune response (26, 27).

Biochemical data suggest that at least a subset of plant NLRs are directly active at DNA. Rx1 of potato, I-2 of tomato, and the orphan NLR PSiP of maize interact directly with DNA *in vitro* (28, 29). The *Rx1* gene, introgressed in potato from the wild species *Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *andigena*, confers resistance to PVX upon recognition of its coat protein (30, 31). The Rx1 protein binds to genomic DNA *in situ* dependent upon immune activation (29). In addition, Rx1 induces ATP-dependent bending and melting of DNA *in vitro*. Analysis of Rx1 binding to a variety of DNA structures demonstrated a preference for topologies resembling transcription bubbles. Rx1 therefore binds, bends, and distorts DNA in a manner reminiscent of the formation of the transcription initiation complex (32–35).

*In vitro* analysis demonstrated no sequence specificity in the Rx1 interaction with dsDNA. Therefore, there is a question regarding how the non-specific interaction of Rx1 with DNA can be reconciled with a specific role in immune activation. The Rx1 CC domain is responsible for the nuclear accumulation of Rx1. Furthermore, the Rx1 CC domain diffusion rate in the nucleus is low, pointing to complex formation with nuclear

components (18). We therefore set out to identify nuclear interactors of the Rx1 CC domain and investigate their role with Rx1 in immunity at DNA. Here, we demonstrate that Rx1 interacts directly with a Golden2-like TF (*Nb*Glk1). This Golden2-like TF mediates immune responses to PVX and has its activity at DNA regulated by Rx1. The findings therefore provide new insight into the action of NLR proteins at genomic DNA in controlling immune responses.

## Results

## Rx1 CC domain interacts with a DNA-associated protein

We set out to identify Rx1 CC domain interactors and investigate their role in immunity at DNA. Amino acids 1–144 of Rx1, encompassing the CC domain, were used as bait in a yeast two-hybrid screen using a random-primed *Nicotiana benthamiana* mixed tissue cDNA library. We identified a total of six clones with sequence similarity to a GLK TF (here called *Nb*Glk1) (Fig. 1). The six clones corresponded to three individual cDNAs isolated twice each. Individual clones were presumably obtained multiple times due to amplification of the cDNA library. The full-length *Nb*Glk1 cDNA (Fig. S1A) encodes a 402-amino acid protein with a predicted molecular mass of 44,531 Da and carries a single Myb-type helix-turn-helix DNAbinding domain. GLK TFs are classified into the GARP TF fam-



**Figure 2. Rx1 binds** *NbGlk1 in vitro. A*, interaction of Rx1(1–144) with *Nb*Glk1. On the *left* are representative gel-filtration chromatograms of Rx1, *Nb*Glk1(83–402), and Rx1 incubated with *Nb*Glk1(83–402). Peak fractions were visualized by SDS-PAGE. *B*, interaction of Rx1(GST-1–144) with *Nb*Glk1. On the *left* are representative gel-filtration chromatograms of Rx1(GST-1–144), *Nb*Glk1(83–402), GST, and Rx1(GST-1–144) incubated with *Nb*Glk1(83–402) and GST incubated with *Nb*Glk1(83–402). Peak fractions were visualized by SDS-PAGE.

ily (36). *Nb*Glk1 possessed a C-terminal GCT box specific to GLK-type TFs and an AREAEAA hexapeptide sequence within the DNA-binding domain typical of GARP family TFs (Fig. S1*B*) (37, 38).

## Rx1 interacts directly with NbGlk1 in vitro and in vivo

The *Nb*Glk1 yeast two-hybrid clones all encompassed the Myb-type DNA-binding domain. We therefore assessed Rx1 binding to *Nb*Glk1 before analyzing their interactions with

DNA. Unfortunately, we were unable to express full-length NbGlk1 as a recombinant protein. We therefore expressed amino acids 83–402 of NbGlk1 (NbGlk1(83–402)) and examined its interaction with the Rx1–CC domain (Rx1(1–144)) by size-exclusion chromatography. Rx1(1–144) ran predominantly in the void volume (Fig. 2*A*, *upper SDS-PAGE panel*, elution volume of 35–37 ml). We hypothesize that this peak consists of a higher order oligomeric Rx1–CC complex but its relevance to Rx1 biochemistry, if any, is not known. We noted a





**Figure 3. Rx1 binds** *NbGlk1 in planta.* Shown is co-immunoprecipitation of  $4 \times Myc$ -tagged full-length Rx1 or Rx1–CC when co-expressed *in planta* with C-terminally HA-tagged *NbGlk1*. The labels on the figure are as follows: *Input* denotes the constructs agroinfiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves;  $\alpha Myc$  denotes an immunoblot probed using an anti-Myc epitope tag antibody;  $\alpha HA$  denotes an immunoblot probed using an anti-HA epitope tag antibody;  $\alpha Myc$  lenotes immunoprecipitation of the denoted input samples using an anti-Myc epitope tag antibody; *CBB* denotes Coomassie Blue stain loading control for the denoted input samples. Immunoblot bands corresponding to Rx1-4myc, Rx1–CC-4myc, and *NbGlk1*-HA from the  $\alpha$ Myc and  $\alpha$ HA immunoblots are indicated.

shift in the peak bands corresponding to Rx1(1–144) (Fig. 2*A*, *upper SDS-PAGE panel, capped green bar*) and *Nb*Glk1(83–402) (Fig. 2*A*, *middle SDS-PAGE panel, capped red bar*) when incubated together (Fig. 2*A*, lower SDS-PAGE panel) showing that *Nb*Glk1 and Rx1–CC interact directly *in vitro*.

It is possible that the observed interaction between Rx1(1– 144) and NbGlk1(83-402) was influenced by an unknown property of Rx1(1-144) that also causes a proportion of the protein to run in the gel filtration void volume. To enhance protein solubility, we developed a new Rx1-CC protein with an N-terminal GST tag. We assessed binding of the Rx1-CC domain-GST fusion (Rx1(GST-1-144) to NbGlk1(83-402). Rx1(GST-1-144) was completely soluble with no detectable protein in the void volume (Fig. 2B, UV trace). However, Rx1(GST-1-144) was susceptible to some proteolytic cleavage during purification at the extreme C terminus and resulted in the protein running as a doublet by SDS-PAGE. We noted a shift in the peak bands corresponding to Rx1(GST-1-144) (Fig. 2B, SDS-PAGE panel 1, capped green bar) and NbGlk1(83-402) (Fig. 2B, SDS-PAGE panel 2, capped red bar) when incubated together (Fig. 2B, SDS-PAGE panel 3). GST protein expressed alone (Fig. 3, SDS-PAGE panel 4) showed no shift in peak band when expressed with NbGlk1(83-402) (Fig. 2B, SDS-PAGE panel 5). NbGlk1 and Rx1(GST-1-144) therefore interact directly in vitro.

We next assessed whether full-length Rx1 and *Nb*Glk1 interact *in planta*. We performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments using full-length *Nb*Glk1 fused to a  $4 \times$ HA epitope tag with either full-length Rx1 or the CC domain fused to a  $4 \times$ Myc epitope tag. *Nb*Glk1-4HA, when co-expressed with full-length Rx1 or the CC domain, was immunoprecipitated using the anti-Myc antibody and could be detected with the anti-HA tag antibody (Fig. 3). A very faint immunoblot band for *Nb*Glk1-4HA was observed after immunoprecipitation using an anti-Myc antibody when co-expressed with a fusion of GFP to a  $4 \times Myc$  epitope tag. This signal was significantly lower than those obtained on co-expression with Rx1 indicating that its immunoprecipitation was specific. Full-length *Nb*Glk1 therefore interacts with the CC domain and full-length Rx1 *in planta*.

#### Rx1 modulates NbGlk1 interactions with DNA in vitro

We investigated whether NbGlk1 showed sequence-specific DNA binding. We analyzed the DNA-binding properties of the NbGlk1 DNA-binding domain compared with the known binding properties of GLK TFs using a protein-binding microarray (PBM) consisting of ~41,000 35-mer probes in which all possible 10-mers occur once and all non-palindromic 8-mers are represented 32 times, allowing for an unbiased assessment of sequence preference for all possible 8-mers (39). Values for individual 8-mers were obtained as E-scores (representing relative rank of intensities ranging from -0.5 to +0.5) and Z-scores (scaling approximately with binding affinity) (Fig. S2). The DNA-binding sequence for the top scoring 8-mer for NbGlk1 was 5'-AGATTCCC-3' and 5'-AGATTTCC-3' for *E*-score (0.49648) and *Z*-score (37.25190), respectively. Both identified DNA motifs are similar to the AGATTCT core palindrome recognized by the GLK TF encoded by At2g20570 of Arabidopsis thaliana (40).

We measured the  $K_d$  value of NbGlk1 for dsDNA substrates lacking the hypothesized NbGlk1-binding site (No site), with a concatenated AGATTTCC-binding site (from the NbGlk1 PBM, labeled AGATTT) and with a concatenated GGATA-TCC-binding site (from the GLK1 consensus site (40)) and also

#### Table 1

Influence of hexahistidine-tagged Rx1 proteins on the dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of NbGlk1 for DNA-binding motifs

Values are in  $\mu$ M (±S.D.) ND = not determined; – = no additional Rx1 protein; \*, p < 0.0001 compared with the No site motif; #, p > 0.05 compared with the No site motif (*F* test).

	NbGlk1(1-243)			NbGlk1(83-243)			NbGlk1(83-402)		
	-	Rx1(1-144)	Rx1(1-489)	_	Rx1(1–144)	Rx1(1-489)		Rx1(1–144)	Rx1(1-489)
No site	ND	ND	ND	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$0.85\pm0.27$	$1.34\pm0.42$	$0.18\pm0.01$	$0.40\pm0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.09$
AGATTT	ND	ND	ND	$0.23 \pm 0.01 $ #	$0.76 \pm 0.52$	$0.65\pm0.04$	$0.16 \pm 0.01 $ #	$0.46\pm0.06$	$0.34\pm0.04$
GATATC	>1	>1	>1	$0.18\pm0.01 \text{\#}$	$0.40\pm0.15$	$0.50\pm0.03$	$0.08\pm0.00^*$	$0.30\pm0.07$	$0.19\pm0.04$

identified in the PBM analysis of *Nb*Glk1 (*Z*-score = 29.62117, labeled GATATC) by fluorescence anisotropy (Table 1). A recombinant protein consisting of *Nb*Glk1 residues 1–243 (*Nb*Glk1(1–243)) encompassing the Myb-type helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain displayed only a weak affinity ( $K_d > 1 \mu_M$ ; Table 1) for the GATATC-binding site (Fig. 4*A*). This low affinity prevented drawing conclusions on the influence of Rx1 on *Nb*Glk1(1–243) DNA binding (Fig. 4*A* and Table 1).

Analysis of the *Nb*Glk1 ORF revealed a pI of 3.8 for the N-terminal 82 amino acids. We hypothesized that the acidic N-terminal 82 amino acids could interfere with analysis of the interactions of the NbGlk1 Myb-type domain with DNA. We therefore measured NbGlk1 DNA binding with a protein lacking the N-terminal 82 amino acids. NbGlk1(83-402) possessed a similar  $K_d$  value for both DNA containing the no NbGlk1binding site (Fig. 4B) or a AGATTT-binding site (F-test, p =(0.41) (Fig. 4C). However, NbGlk1(83-402) possessed a lower  $K_d$  value for the GATATC-binding site (*F*-test, p < 0.0065) (Fig. 4D). In comparison with NbGlk1(83-402), a C-terminally truncated recombinant protein consisting of amino acids 83-243 of NbGlk1 (NbGlk1(83-243)) showed a similar  $K_d$  value for DNA irrespective of whether it contained no NbGlk1-binding site (Fig. 4*E*) or the AGATTT site (*F*-test, p = 0.507) (Fig. 4*F*) or GATATC sites (*F*-test, p = 0.0827) (Fig. 4*G*). There was also no significant difference in affinity between NbGlk1(83-402) and NbGlk1(83–243) for the No site (p = 0.3659) and AGATTT (p = 0.2171) DNA-binding motifs. However, there was a significant difference in affinity between NbGlk1(83-402) and *Nb*Glk1(83–243) for the GATATC (p < 0.001) DNAbinding motif. In conclusion, NbGlk1 shows a higher affinity for DNA carrying a GLK family-binding site than for a random sequence. Furthermore, both the N and C termini of the protein contribute to DNA-binding affinity. The acidic N-terminal 82 amino acids significantly reduced DNA binding. Amino acids 243-402 also enhance affinity for the GATATC motif compared with the No site DNA. Furthermore, amino acids 243-402 enhance affinity for all DNA sequences examined. For example, NbGlk1(83-402) showed a higher affinity than *Nb*Glk1(83–243) for the No site DNA (*F*-test, p < 0.001), the AGATTT motif (*F*-test, p = 0.0196), and the GATATC motif (*F*-test, p = 0.0436). We therefore hypothesize that a region(s) within amino acids 243-402 contributes to DNA binding.

Because our underlying hypothesis was that NbGlk1 interacted with Rx1 at DNA, we investigated the influence of amino acids 1-489 of Rx1 (consisting of the CC and NB-ARC domains; Rx1(1-489)) on NbGlk1 dsDNA-binding affinity. Experiments were performed using conditions under which only NbGlk1 and not Rx1 contributed to DNA binding. These conditions were established by using a concentration of Rx1(1-

489) that gave no change in anisotropy when incubated with DNA alone. NbGlk1(83-402) and NbGlk1(83-243) showed a higher  $K_d$  value for DNA containing no NbGlk1-binding site (Fig. 4, A and D), the AGATTT-binding site (Fig. 4, B and E), and the GATATC-binding sites (Fig. 4, C and F) in the presence of Rx1(1–489) (*F*-test, p < 0.0001). Similar data were observed for the influence of Rx1(1-144) (CC domain only) on NbGlk1(83-402) and NbGlk1(83-243) DNA binding (Table 1 and Fig. 5, A-G) (F-test, p < 0.0001). We confirmed that the increased K<sub>d</sub> value was specific for NbGlk1 by using the CAP TF of Escherichia coli, which has a similar molecular weight and pI to NbGlk1, as a negative control. Rx1(1–144) reduced NbGlk1 but not CAP binding to dsDNA (Fig. 4H). ATP stimulates Rx1(1-489)-mediated dsDNA distortion (29). We therefore investigated whether ATP and/or ADP modified the influence of Rx1(1-489) on NbGlk1 DNA binding. We observed no effect indicating that the switch function of the NB-ARC domain does not influence NbGlk1 DNA binding (Fig. 51). In conclusion, the Rx1-CC and -CCNB-ARC domains reduce the affinity of NbGlk1 for DNA. The data are consistent with the observation that the Rx1 CC-binding surface overlaps with the NbGlk1 DNA-binding domain. Rx1 may therefore restrict access of NbGlk1 to DNA whether binding is to a consensus or nonconsensus binding site.

Although both Rx1(1-489) and Rx1(1-144) reduce the binding affinity of NbGlk1 for DNA, a potential alternative explanation for the reduction in NbGlk1 DNA-binding affinity caused by Rx1(1-144) is that the higher ordered Rx1-CC complex observed by gel filtration analysis (Fig. 2A) is sufficient to reduce the concentration of soluble NbGlk1. This might therefore manifest as an apparent reduction in DNA-binding affinity. We therefore assessed the influence of Rx1(GST-1-144) on *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA containing no *Nb*Glk1-binding site (Fig. 6A), the AGATTT site (Fig. 6B), or GATATC site (Fig. 6C). NbGlk1(83–402) showed a higher  $K_d$  value for DNA containing no NbGlk1-binding site (Fig. 6A), the AGATTTbinding site (Fig. 6B), and the GATATC-binding sites (Fig. 6C) in the presence of Rx1(GST-1-144) (*F*-test, p < 0.0001) (Table 2). The Rx1 CC domain therefore reduces the affinity of NbGlk1(83-402) for DNA, and this observation is not an artifact due to Rx1(1-144) complex formation.

#### Rx1 and NbGlk1 interact at DNA in situ

We next investigated Rx1 and *Nb*Glk1 interactions with DNA in the plant cell. We first used confocal laser-scanning microscopy to confirm the distribution of *Nb*Glk1 to the nucleus. The subcellular localization of *Nb*Glk1 *in planta* was determined by transiently expressing *Nb*Glk1-GFP in the epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana*. *Nb*Glk1-GFP was expressed





**Figure 4. Influence of Rx1(1–489) on NbGlk1 DNA binding.** Fluorescence anisotropy values are plotted against log protein concentration for DNA motifs in the presence or absence of Rx1(1–489). *A*, *Nb*Glk1(1–243) with the GATATC motif. *B*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) in the absence of a specific motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) with the GATATC motif. *B*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) in the absence of a specific motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) with the GATATC motif. *E*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) in the absence of a specific motif. *F*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) with the GATATC motif. *G*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) with the GATATC motif. *E*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) with the GATATC motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–80) with the GATATC motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–80

either with or without the tombusvirus p19 silencing suppressor to enhance protein accumulation. In line with its role as a transcription factor, strong fluorescence of *Nb*Glk1-GFP was observed exclusively in the nucleus (Fig. 7*A*). This pattern does not resemble free mCherry, which has a nucleocytoplasmic distribution. The pattern of *Nb*Glk1-GFP localization was not affected by p19 overexpression, minimizing the likelihood of an overexpression artifact. Upon closer examination, the fluorescence of *Nb*Glk1-GFP was restricted to the nucleoplasm, with a lack of signal in the nucleolus. A very small amount of GFP signal was observed in the nucleolus in the absence of p19. This was the most likely background due to the longer opening of the pinhole of the microscope (pinhole of 1 for imaging with p19 and pinhole of 1.5 without p19).

Having established that NbGlk1 is localized exclusively in the nucleus, we studied Rx1–NbGlk1–DNA interactions using Förster resonance energy transfer–fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. FRET-FLIM has been used previously to demonstrate Rx1 binding to DNA in response to immune activation (29). GFP (negative control), histone H2B fused to GFP (GFP-H2B; positive control), full-length Rx1 with or without an N-terminal GFP tag, or full-length NbGlk1 with or without an N-terminal GFP tag were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. The constituent fluorescence lifetimes of the GFP tag were examined in leaves counterstained with the nucleic acid stain LDS 751. GFP showed two distinct lifetimes at ~0.5 and ~1.5 ns. Different extents of energy transfer from GFP to acceptor LDS 751 modulate the relative contribution of these two life-





**Figure 6. Influence of Rx1(GST-1–144) on NbGlk1 DNA binding.** The panels show fluorescence anisotropy values plotted against log protein concentration for NbGlk1(83–402) binding to different DNA motifs in the presence or absence of Rx1(GST-1–144) (n = 4). A, NbGlk1(83–402) binding to DNA in the absence of a specific motif. B, NbGlk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the AGATTT motif. C, NbGlk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the GATATC motif.

times. A drop in the ratio of the  $\sim$ 1.5 ns (long) to  $\sim$ 0.5 ns (short) GFP lifetimes indicates an interaction with DNA in the cell (29). First, we monitored the interaction of an Rx1-GFP fusion with

# Rx1 regulates transcription factor DNA-binding

#### Table 2

Influence of GST-tagged Rx1 protein on the dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of NbGlk1(83–402) for DNA-binding motifs

Values are in  $\mu_M$  (±S.D.); – = no additional Rx1 protein; \*, p < 0.0001 compared with the No site motif (*F* test).

	<i>Nb</i> Glk1(83-402)			
	-	Rx1(GST-1-144)		
No site AGATTT GATATC	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.22 \pm 0.00^{*} \\ 0.11 \pm 0.00^{*} \end{array}$	$1.99 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.73 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.34 \pm 0.00$		

DNA with or without NbGlk1 (untagged) in the presence or absence of the avirulent PVX coat protein (CP106). Rx1-GFP expressed without NbGlk1 only bound DNA in the presence of CP106 as expected (Fig. 7B) (29). In contrast, Rx1-GFP co-expressed with untagged *Nb*Glk1 bound DNA irrespective of the presence of CP106. Overexpressed NbGlk1 is therefore able to recruit Rx1 to DNA. Second, we monitored the interaction of an *Nb*Glk1-GFP tag with DNA with or without Rx1 (untagged) in the presence or absence of CP106. Surprisingly, NbGlk1-GFP only bound DNA in the joint presence of Rx1 and CP106 (Fig. 7C). We noted variation in the ratio of lifetime yields for GFP between the two sets of experiments (Fig. 7, B and C). This variation might have a biological (e.g. time of year for sampling and expression) or technical (e.g. fitting) cause. Regardless, the value for the ratio of lifetimes for GFP is distinct from the positive control within and between experiments.

#### NbGlk1 reduces susceptibility to PVX

We hypothesized that *Nb*Glk1 plays a role in Rx1-mediated immunity as it interacts with DNA in response to PVX. We used virus-induced gene silencing (41, 42) to investigate the *Nb*Glk1 requirement for Rx1-mediated immunity. Virus-induced gene silencing with independent *Nb*Glk1 clones gave a bleaching phenotype consistent with a previously described role in the formation of the photosynthetic apparatus (43). The silenced leaves were too fragile for further infiltration and precluded an analysis of immune function by this method. We therefore used *Nb*Glk1 overexpression to investigate a role in immunity.

Rx1 mediates two forms of immunity: (*a*) a cell death response promoted by expression of CP106, and (*b*) symptomless virus resistance (called extreme resistance) (30). We first investigated a role for *Nb*Glk1 in CP106-mediated cell death. CP106-mediated cell death is activated through the sole expression of the PVX CP106 coat protein in the absence of other viral proteins. *Nb*Glk1, Rx1, and CP106 were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Rx1-mediated immune responses were assessed by scoring cell death within the infiltrated areas. Cell death associated with the hypersensitive response was observed in the presence of Rx1 and CP106 and was not influenced by

**Figure 5. Influence of Rx1(1–144) and Rx1(1–489) on NbGlk1 DNA binding.** *A*–*G* shows fluorescence anisotropy values plotted against log protein concentration for varying *Nb*Glk1 constructs binding to different DNA motifs in the presence or absence of absence of Rx1(1–144) (n = 4). *A*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA in the absence of a specific motif. *B*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the AGATTT motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the AGATTT motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the AGATTT motif. *D*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) binding to DNA in the absence of a specific motif. *E*, *Nb*Glk1(83–402) binding to DNA with the AGATTT motif. *C*, *Nb*Glk1(83–243) binding to DNA with the GATATC motif. *G*, *Nb*Glk1(1–243) binding to DNA with the GATATC motif. Statistical analyses of the curves for *A*–*G* are provided in the text and Tables 1 and 2. *H*, ratio of fluorescence anisotropy values for 10  $\mu$ M *Nb*Glk1(83–402) and *Nb*Glk1(83–243) was measured in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M nucleotide (scatter plot ± S.D.; *bars with different letters* are significantly different (p < 0.05); one-way ANOVA with post hoc Holm-Sidak multiple comparison).





**Figure 7. Binding of Rx1 and NbGlk1 protein to DNA** *in situ. A*, representative confocal images of nuclei and surrounding cytoplasm of *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells transiently co-expressing *Nb*Glk1-GFP with mCherry. Images are of the *Nb*Glk1-GFP channel (*left-hand panel*), the mCherry channel (*center panel*), and an overlay of the *Nb*Glk1-GFP and mCherry channels (*right-hand panel*). Co-expression was performed in the presence (*upper panels*) or absence (*lower panels*) of the p19 silencing suppressor. *Scale bar* represents a width of 10  $\mu$ m. Subcellular structures are indicated by *N* = nucleus; *n* = nucleolus; *C* = cytoplasm; *V* = vacuole; *Ch* = choloroplasts. *B*, ratio of the long to short GFP lifetimes for a Rx1-GFP full-length construct alone and upon co-expression with *Nb*Glk1 and the avirulent CP106 allele of the PVX CP (scatter plot ± S.D.; *bars with different letters* are significantly different (*p* < 0.05); one-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison). *C*, ratio of the long to short GFP lifetimes for *Nb*Glk1-GFP full-length construct alone and upon co-expression with Rx1 and the avirulent CP106 allele of the PVX CP (scatter plot ± S.D.; *bars with different letters* are significantly different (*p* < 0.05); one-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison). *C*, ratio of the long to short GFP lifetimes for *Nb*Glk1-GFP full-length construct alone and upon co-expression with Rx1 and the avirulent CP106 allele of the PVX CP (scatter plot ± S.D.; *bars with different letters* are significantly different (*p* < 0.05); one-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison).

*Nb*Glk1 (Fig. 8, A-C). Cell death was not as extensive as previously reported for Rx1 and CP106 co-expression (18) as agroinfiltration was performed at the same low  $A_{600 \text{ nm}}$  that was used for the FRET-FLIM analysis (Fig. 7, *B* and *C*).

Next, we investigated a role for *Nb*Glk1 in PVX-mediated extreme resistance. PVX-mediated extreme resistance is activated by the virus following detection of CP106. *Nb*Glk1, Rx1, and a PVX amplicon in which GFP expression is driven from a coat protein promoter were transiently expressed in *N. bentha*-

*miana*. The Rx1 expression construct possessed an out-offrame second start codon introduced upstream of the genuine Rx1 start codon reducing translation efficiency and increasing the sensitivity of the assay to immune modifiers (18). We monitored virus resistance by visualizing GFP expression within the infiltrated region of the leaf. Rx1 suppressed PVX-directed GFP expression as expected (Fig. 8*D*). Surprisingly, overexpressed *Nb*Glk1 was sufficient to suppress PVX-directed GFP expression independent of Rx1. Rx1 co-expression with *Nb*Glk1 did



**Figure 8. Influence of Rx1 interactors on the immune response to PVX.** *A*, average cell death score for *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing NbGlk1 expressed in combination with CP106 and Rx1. Leaves were agroinfiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* transformed with constructs pBIN355-CP106, pBIN355-Rx1, and pBIN355-NbGlk1-4HA. The pBIN355-CP106 construct expresses only the PVX CP106 coat protein (scatter plot  $\pm$  S.D.; *letters* indicate statistically different data sets (p < 0.05) calculated using Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). *B*, representative *N. benthamiana* leaf of the results provided in *A. C*, representative images of *N. benthamiana* leaf or the associated degree of cell death. *D*, ratio of fluorescence compared with a control of GFP expressed from a PVX amplicon for *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing GFP from a PVX amplicon in combination with *Nb*Glk1 and Rx1. Leaves were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* transformed with pGR106 with and without constructs pBIN355-Nb and pBIN35

not further reduce GFP levels. Overexpressed *Nb*Glk1 is therefore able to bypass the requirement for Rx1 in extreme resistance to PVX.

## Discussion

A yeast two-hybrid screen identified the GLK-like TF, *Nb*Glk1, as an Rx1-interacting protein (Figs. 1–3). GLK-like TFs are involved in defense signaling in *Arabidopsis*, providing resistance to cucumber mosaic virus (44) and the fungal pathogens *Fusarium graminearum* (45) and *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* (46). *Nb*Glk1 therefore links Rx1 with a TF class known to function in biotic stress (47). Rx1 binds and distorts DNA *in vitro* but without an apparent sequence specificity (29). *Nb*Glk1 could therefore provide the sequence selectivity for

Rx1 at DNA. *Nb*Glk1 bound dsDNA non-specifically but showed a higher affinity for specific DNA motifs bound by the related GLK1 TF (At2g20570 of *A. thaliana*) (40). TFs generally show a lower affinity for non-specific DNA sequences (48), and this may assist TFs to scan DNA in searches for their cognate motifs (49). *Nb*Glk1 therefore has the properties of a TF that can target Rx1 to a specific DNA motif.

The *Nb*Glk1 N terminus reduced the affinity for DNA *in vitro* implying an autoinhibitory role of this domain in DNA binding (Fig. 4*A* and Table 1). In agreement, full-length *Nb*Glk1 over-expressed in the plant does not show an interaction with DNA by FRET-FLIM (Fig. 7*C*). Co-incubation of Rx1 with *Nb*Glk1 decreases the affinity of *Nb*Glk1 for DNA *in vitro* (Figs. 4, *B*–*G*, 5, *A*–*G*, and 6, *A*–*C*, and Tables 1 and 2). We propose this arises



**Figure 9.** Model for interactions of Rx1 with NbGlk1. *A*, in the absence of PVX Rx1 interacts with NbGlk1 at DNA, and a non-DNA-bound state is favored for NbGlk1 at a non-NbGlk1 consensus DNA-binding site. *B*, Rx1-activation by PVX with a DNA-bound state favored for NbGlk1 at a NbGlk1 consensus DNA-binding site.

from the Rx1–CC-binding surface overlapping the *Nb*Glk1 DNA-binding domain.

*Nb*Glk1 is not bound to DNA *in planta* unless Rx1 is activated through PVX-derived CP106 (Fig. 7C). Rx1 does not interact with DNA unless it is activated by CP106 or when coexpressed with *Nb*Glk1 *in planta* (Fig. 7*B*). A caveat with the interpretation of the FRET-FLIM data is the possibility of false-negative results. If the expressed GFP fusion protein has saturated all available DNA-binding sites, the accumulation of an increased pool of non-DNA-bound protein will shift the ratio of the long to short lifetimes to the GFP negative control. In the current analysis, however, the *in vitro* data are entirely consistent with the FRET-FLIM analysis. In the absence of an available alternative method not susceptible to the same issue of false negatives, however, the interpretation of the data should be viewed with some caution.

One interpretation of these data is that co-expression of Rx1 and NbGlk1 permits complex formation at DNA. However, this complex might form at amounts escaping the detection level of the FRET-FLIM assays (Fig. 9A, right-hand side). Following coexpression of Rx1 and NbGlk1, the DNA-bound state of the complex might be stabilized by the combined intrinsic DNAbinding activity of Rx1 with the low affinity of NbGlk1 for nonconsensus sequences. The joint affinity of both proteins for DNA shifts the equilibrium to a DNA-bound state detectable in our setup (Fig. 9A, left-hand side). The Rx1-NbGlk1 complex could be arranged such that specifically Rx1 contacts DNA, and the autoinhibited NbGlk1 stabilizes this complex by its weak interaction with non-consensus sequences. Immune activation via PVX permits an uncharacterized structural change in the complex releasing the negative regulation on NbGlk1, permitting it to identify and directly and strongly interact with its consensus sequence (Fig. 9B, right-hand side). Once NbGlk1 is stably bound to its consensus sequences, immune signaling is activated. This model is in agreement with the observation that overexpression of *Nb*Glk1 alone overcomes the need for Rx1; apparently, in this situation a sufficiently large pool of active NbGlk1 is present to identify and interact with these consensus motifs and to trigger immunity. Overexpressed NbGlk1 was not

observed to bind DNA *in planta* in our assays, but it should be noted that the fluorescent techniques used to monitor DNA binding (FRET-FLIM) are less sensitive than those monitoring immunity (steady-state fluorescence). Overexpressed *Nb*Glk1 complex will be in a thermodynamically-coupled cycle of four states: non-DNA-bound or DNA-bound at either a non-consensus site or a *Nb*Glk1 consensus site. Hence, even if the bound state at a consensus site is thermodynamically non-favored in the absence of Rx1/CP106, sufficient binding may occur on overexpression to permit an immune response.

The function of Rx1 may therefore be to enable the specific activation of *Nb*Glk1 in response to PVX by releasing autoinhibition allowing *Nb*Glk1 to scan for and interact with its consensus sequences *in planta*. In conclusion, we identify *Nb*Glk1 as an immune activating protein acting at DNA and regulated by Rx1. These observations provide a direct and unexpected link between NLR-mediated perception of PVX and transcriptional processes at DNA. More generally, the findings suggest that nuclear-localized TFs involved in immunity are inactive until de-repressed by an activated NLR following pathogen perception.

## **Experimental procedures**

## Oligonucleotides

All oligonucleotide sequences used for this study are provided in Table S1.

## Plasmids

The *Nb*Glk1 (Niben101Scf06721g00011.1 (https://solgenomics. net)<sup>4</sup> open-reading frame was amplified by PCR from cDNA synthesized from *N. benthamiana* whole leaf material, and the DNA was sequenced on both strands by Sanger DNA sequencing (Fig. S1*A*). Several differences from the computed openreading frame for Niben101Scf06721g00011.1 were noted. The cloned open-reading frame is shown in Fig. S1*B*. A PCR product spanning *Nb*Glk1 residues 83–402 of an *E. coli* codon-opti-



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.

mized synthetic cDNA (Genscript, Fig. S1C) was cloned into the NdeI and XhoI sites of pET14b (pET14b-NbGlk1(83-402) and fitted with a hexahistidine tag for affinity purification of recombinant protein. The oligonucleotides used to construct pET14b-NbGlk1(83-402) were NbGlk1-1 and NbGlk1-2. Similarly, PCR products spanning residues 83-243 and 1-243 of the NbGlk1 synthetic cDNA were cloned into the NdeI and BamHI sites of pET14b (pET14b-NbGlk1(83-243) and pET14b-NbGlk1(1-243)) and fitted with hexahistidine tags for affinity purification of recombinant protein. The oligonucleotides used to construct pET14b-NbGlk1(83-243) were NbGlk1-3 and NbGlk1-4. The oligonucleotides used to construct pET14b-NbGlk1(1-243) were NbGlk1-5 and NbGlk1-6. A PCR product spanning residues 82-244 of the NbGlk1 synthetic cDNA was cloned into the AscI and SbfI sites of pTH6838, a modified T7-driven GST expression vector (pTH6838-NbGlk1(82-244)) (39).

A PCR product spanning residues 1–144 of Rx1 (GenBank<sup>TM</sup> accession number AJ011801.1) was cloned into the NcoI and NotI sites of pET28a (pET28a-Rx1–CC) and fitted with a hexahistidine tag for affinity purification of recombinant protein. The oligonucleotides used to construct pET28a-Rx1–CC were Rx1-1 and Rx1-2. A PCR product spanning residues 1–489 of Rx1 was cloned into the NdeI and XhoI sites of pET22b (pET22b-Rx1–CCNBARC) to give an N-terminal hexahistidine tag for affinity purification of recombinant protein. The oligonucleotides used to construct pET22b-Rx1–CCNBARC were Rx1-3 and Rx1-4. A PCR product spanning Rx1 residues 1–144 was cloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pGEX-6P-1 (pGEX-6P-1-Rx1(1–144)) and fitted with a GST tag for affinity purification of recombinant protein. The oligonucleotides used to construct pGEX-6P-1-Rx1(1–144) were Rx1–9 and Rx1-10.

A PCR product encompassing the full-length native NbGlk1 cDNA was cloned into the NcoI and NotI sites of pRAP35S-YFP-4HA to make pRAP35S-NbGlk1-4HA. pRAP35S-YFP-4HA was initially constructed by cloning YFP into the NcoI and KpnI sites of pRAP35S. A linker encoding a NotI site was synthesized by annealing the oligonucleotides NbGlk1-7 and *Nb*Glk1-8. Two copies of a  $2 \times$ HA tag synthesized by annealing the oligonucleotides NbGlk1-9 and NbGlk1-10 were inserted into NotI and XbaI sites of this vector to make pRAP35S-YFP-4HA. The oligonucleotides used to construct pRAP35S-Nb-Glk1-4HA were NbGlk1-11 and NbGlk1-12. An AscI/PacI fragment from pRAP35S-NbGlk1-4HA encompassing the 35S promoter and NbGlk1-4HA fusion was cloned into the corresponding sites of the binary vector pBIN+ to make pBIN35S-NbGlk1-4HA. A PCR product encompassing the full-length native NbGlk1 cDNA was introduced into Gateway donor vector pDONR207 (Invitrogen) to make pDONR207-NbGlk1. The NbGlk1 gene was then recombined into the Gateway destination binary vector pK7WGF2 (50) to make GFP-NbGlk1. The oligonucleotides used to make pDONR207-NbGlk1 were NbGlk1-13 and NbGlk1-14.

GFP expression was driven from a PVX amplicon by a duplicated coat protein in pGR106 as described previously (51). An AscI/PacI fragment from pRAP35S-Rx1 (18) was cloned into the corresponding sites of the binary vector pBIN+ to make pBIN35S-Rx1. pBIN-35S-based plasmids corresponding to

## Rx1 regulates transcription factor DNA-binding

GFP-H2B, Rx1-GFP, Rx1-mCherry, and CP106 are as described (18, 29).

To make pBIN35S-Rx1-4myc and pBIN35S-Rx1-CC plasmids, the oligonucleotides Rx1-5 and Rx1-6 were annealed to make a dsDNA segment with a 5' NotI and a 3' XbaI overhang, which encodes a double c-Myc epitope (AAASEQKLISEED-LGEQKLISEEDLT). This segment was introduced between the NotI and XbaI sites in pRAP-YFP to create pRAP-YFPmMyc. A 4×Myc tag was created by fusing an AscI-SpeI fragment of this plasmid with an NheI-PacI fragment from this plasmid in an AscI-PacI-digested pRAP plasmid. pRAP:Rx1-4myc was created by replacing the YFP in pRAP-YFP-4Myc with the Rx1 sequence from pRAP:Rx1-GFP (18) via the NcoI and NotI restriction sites. pRAP:Rx1-4myc (Rx1 CC) was created by replacing the YFP gene in pRAP:YFPmMyc with the Rx1-CC sequence amplified with the oligonucleotides Rx1-7 and Rx1-8. Amplification with these oligonucleotides introduces an NcoI site overlapping the start codon and an NotI site immediately following the sequence coding for amino acid 144 of the CC of Rx1. The expression cassettes were transferred from pRAP to pBIN+ (52) via the restriction sites AscI and PacI.

#### Protein expression and purification

Protein corresponding to Rx1-CCNBARC was expressed from pET22b-Rx1-CCNBARC in E. coli Rosetta2(DE3) pLysS. A 20-ml culture was grown overnight in Luria broth supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin and 34  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol at 37 °C. This culture was diluted into 1 liter of Luria broth supplemented with ampicillin and chloramphenicol and grown at 37 °C to  $A_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.7$ . The growth temperature was reduced to 22 °C, and protein production was induced when cells reached 22 °C for 16 h with 100  $\mu$ M isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000  $\times$  g, 20 min, 4 °C). Pelleted cells were washed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mm EDTA, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 mm Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100. Cells were lysed by sonication (150 s) and centrifuged (42,000  $\times$  g, 60 min, 4 °C), and inclusion bodies were washed three times in 30 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 м NaCl, 5 mм EDTA, 5 mм DTT, 2% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 м urea and twice in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT. Sonication (60 s) was used to aid resuspension and ensure complete cell lysis. The final pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 8 M urea. Material was incubated at room temperature with rocking for 2 h prior to centrifugation  $(42,000 \times g, 30 \text{ min}, 4 ^{\circ}\text{C})$ , and the pellet was discarded. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.2- $\mu$ m filter, aliquoted, and stored at -20 °C. To refold, protein was added dropwise slowly to a final concentration of 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> into 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 9.6 mм NaCl, 0.4 mм KCl, 2 mм MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mм CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 м arginine, 0.4 M sucrose, 0.75 M guanidine HCl, 1 mM glutathione, 0.1 mM reduced glutathione and incubated at 4 °C for 1 h. Refolded protein was centrifuged (42,000  $\times$  g, 30 min, 4 °C) and supernatant dialyzed into 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> overnight at 4 °C, concentrated, and stored at -20 °C in 20% (v/v) glycerol.



Protein corresponding to the Rx1 CC domain (Rx1(1-144)) was expressed from pET28a-Rx1-CC in E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS. A starter culture was grown overnight at 37 °C in Luria broth supplemented with 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin and 34  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol. The overnight culture was diluted 1:50 into fresh Luria broth with antibiotics and grown with shaking at 37 °C to  $A_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.8$ . The growth temperature was reduced to 25 °C, and protein production was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside for 3 h. Cells were centrifuged  $(4000 \times g, 20 \text{ min}, 4 \text{ °C})$ . Pelleted cells were washed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA and centrifuged (5500  $\times$  g, 20 min, 4 °C). Cells were resuspended in twice their volume of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole, 5 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, and SIGMAFAST<sup>TM</sup> protease inhibitor mixture tablets). Cells were lysed by sonication (150 s), and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at (42,000  $\times$  g, 60 min, 4 °C). The supernatant was loaded onto a 5-ml HisPrep HP nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid column (GE Healthcare) on an AKTA Pure chromatography system at 2 ml min<sup>-1</sup> (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 5 bed volumes of lysis buffer, 20 bed volumes of wash buffer (lysis buffer + 1 M NaCl), 5 bed volumes of lysis buffer and eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazole. Peak fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE, pooled, concentrated, exchanged into storage buffer (50 mм Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mм NaCl, 1 mм EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 20% (v/v) glycerol), and stored at -80 °C.

Protein corresponding to the Rx1 CC domain fused to GST (Rx1(GST-1-144)) was expressed from pGEX-6P-1-Rx1(1-144) in E. coli BL21Tuner (DE3) pRARE. A starter culture was grown overnight at 37 °C in Luria broth supplemented with 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin and 34  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol. The overnight culture was diluted 1:50 into fresh Luria broth with antibiotics and grown with shaking at 37 °C to  $A_{60 \text{ nm}} = 0.8$ . The growth temperature was reduced to 22 °C, and protein production was induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside for 15 h. Cells were centrifuged (4000  $\times$  g, 20 min, 4 °C). Pelleted cells were washed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA and centrifuged (5500  $\times$  g, 20 min, 4 °C). Cells were resuspended in twice their volume of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mm NaCl, 1 mm DTT, and SIGMAFAST  $^{\rm TM}$  protease inhibitor mixture tablets). Cells were lysed by sonication (150 s), and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at (50,000 imesg, 60 min, 4 °C). The supernatant was loaded onto a 5-ml GSTagarose column (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on an AKTA Pure chromatography system at 1 ml min $^{-1}$  (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 5 bed volumes of lysis buffer, 20 bed volumes of wash buffer (lysis buffer + 0.5 M NaCl), 5 bed volumes of lysis buffer and eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM reduced glutathione. Rx1(GST-1-144) was further purified by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 75 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA at 1 ml min $^{-1}$ . Peak fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE, pooled, concentrated, exchanged into storage buffer (50 mм Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mм NaCl, 1 mм EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 20% (v/v) glycerol), and stored at -80 °C.

Proteins corresponding to *Nb*Glk1(83–402), *Nb*Glk1(83-243), and *Nb*Glk1(1–243) were expressed from pET14b-*Nb*Glk1(83–402), pET14b-*Nb*Glk1(83–243), and pET14b*Nb*Glk1(1–243), respectively, in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pLysS. Protein expression and purification were identical to Rx1–CC except that protein was eluted in lysis buffer supplemented with 250 mM imidazole. Protein corresponding to the CAP TF was expressed and purified as described previously (53).

## Yeast two-hybrid analyses

Hybrigenics Services SAS (Paris, France) performed the yeast two-hybrid screen using Rx1 (amino acids 1–144) cloned into pB27 bait plasmid as a C-terminal fusion to LexA (N-LexA-Rx1-C). The screen was performed against a random-primed *N. benthamiana* mixed tissue cDNA library constructed into pP6 prey plasmid. A total of 96.6 million clones (~9-fold library coverage) were screened following a mating approach with Y187 (*MAT* $\alpha$ ) and L40 Gal4 (*MAT* $\alpha$ ) yeast strains as described previously (54). To confirm protein–protein interactions, freshly transformed yeast colonies were resuspended in 1 ml of sterile deionized water, and 10- $\mu$ l aliquots were spotted onto medium lacking leucine and tryptophan (-L/-W) and medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine (-L/-W/-H), supplemented with 10 or 50 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT). Growth was scored after 5–7 days of incubation at 28 °C.

## Protein binding microarray

PBM was performed with protein derived from plasmid pTH6838-NbGlk1(82-244) essentially as described (55, 56). NbGlk1(82-244) was analyzed in duplicate on two different arrays with differing probe sequences. Microarray data were processed by removing spots flagged as "bad" or "suspect" and employing spatial de-trending (using a  $7 \times 7$  window centered on each spot) as described (56). Calculation of 8-mer Z- and *E*-scores was performed as described previously (57). *Z*-scores were derived by averaging the spot intensity for each probe containing the 8-mer, subtracting the median value for each 8-mer, and then dividing by the standard deviation to yield a distribution with a median of zero and a standard deviation of one. E-scores are a modified version of the AUROC statistic, which considers the relative ranking of probes containing a given 8-mer, and range from -0.5 to +0.5, with E > 0.45 taken as highly statistically significant (58). A position weight matrix (PWM) was derived using the PWMalign algorithm, which aligns the top 10 8-mer *E*-scores and tallies the frequency at each position to generate a PWM (56).

## Gel filtration analysis

Gel filtration analysis of protein was performed at 4 °C using an Sephacryl HiPrep 16/60 S200 HR column (GE Healthcare) on an AKTA Pure chromatography system (GE Healthcare). Protein was dialyzed overnight against running buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT). Proteins were incubated on ice for 30 min individually or together and then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 30 min. Protein loading concentration was ~75  $\mu$ M. Columns were run at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min in running buffer. Thirty  $\mu$ l of each eluted fraction was subjected to SDS-PAGE and visualization with Quick Coomassie stain (Generon). Molecular mass was calibrated with gel filtration standards (Bio-Rad): bovine thyroglobulin (670 kDa), bovine  $\gamma$ -globulin (158 kDa), chicken ovalbumin (44 kDa), and equine myoglobin (17 kDa).



## Co-immunoprecipitation

N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains (GV3101, MOG101) transformed with combinations of pBIN35S-NbGlk1-4HA, pBIN35S-Rx-CC-4myc, and pBIN35S-Rx1-4myc, and leaf material was harvested 2 days after infiltration. 100 mg of leaf material was ground in liquid nitrogen and resuspended in 1.5 ml of extraction buffer (10% (v/v) glycerol, 25 mм Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mм Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.6 mg/ml Pefabloc SC, 20 mg/ml polyvinylpolypyrrolidone, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, 5 mM DTT). The supernatant was passed through a 5-ml G-25 Sephadex column after pelleting the cell debris. The resulting sample was incubated at 4 °C with 50 µl of magnetic beads (Miltenyi µMACs) and conjugated antibodies (Sigma) for 1-2 h. Unbound proteins were removed by washing five times with washing buffer (10% (v/v) glycerol, 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.15% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 5 mM DTT). Captured proteins were released by heating beads in  $1 \times$  NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (60 mM DTT). Start material (before incubation with beads), the unbound fraction, and the captured proteins were separated on NuPAGE Novex 12% bis-tris gels in MES buffer (50 mM MES, 50 mM Tris base, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.3) and blotted on polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes for immunoblot analysis. Affinity-tagged proteins were detected using peroxidase-conjugated antibodies (c-Myc: goat anti-c-Myc (Abcam 9132) and donkey anti-goat peroxidaseconjugated (Jackson ImmunoResearch no. 705-035-147), HA: rat anti-HA HRP-conjugated (Roche Applied Science 12013819001)). Peroxidase activity was visualized with the SuperSignal<sup>TM</sup> West Dura and Femto Substrates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged in a Syngene G:BOX Chemi HR-16 gel documentation system.

## Fluorescence anisotropy

Double-stranded DNA substrates lacking a putative NbGlk1binding site (No site), with a concatenated AGATTTCC-binding site (AGATTTCC), and with a concatenated GGATATCCbinding site (GGATATCC) were made by annealing synthetic oligonucleotides. FA-1 and FA-2 were annealed to make No site. FA-3 and FA-4 were annealed to make AGATTTCC. FA-5 and FA-6 were annealed to make GGATATCC. FA-1, FA-3, and FA-5 were end-labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein. Doublestranded DNA was annealed by mixing  $10 \,\mu$ M concentrations of complementary oligonucleotides in 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM sodium citrate, heating to 95 °C, and cooling to room temperature over 5 h. Changes in anisotropy were measured using a Synergy<sup>TM</sup> H4 fluorescence spectrophotometer (BioTek) fitted with polarizing filters ( $\lambda_{em} = 528$  nm,  $\lambda_{ex} = 485$  nm, bandwidth = 20 nm, averaging time = 10 s). Anisotropy was determined using 10 nm fluorescein end-labeled oligonucleotides (Eurofins MWG) with variable protein in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT. Anisotropy was calculated using Gen5 software (BioTek).

## Time-resolved FRET in situ

*A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (pMP90) was transformed with constructs pK7WGF2 (GFP negative control), pK7WGF2-H2B (GFP-H2B positive control), pBIN35SRx1-GFP (Rx1-

# **Rx1 regulates transcription factor DNA-binding**

GFP), pBIN35S-CP106, pBIN35S-Rx1, pBIN35S-*Nb*Glk1-4HA, and pK7WGF2-GFP-*Nb*Glk1 (GFP-*Nb*Glk1), and the experiments were performed as described previously (29).

## N. benthamiana hypersensitive response assay

*N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* transformed with constructs pBIN35S-CP106, pBIN35S-Rx1, and pBIN35S-*Nb*Glk1-4HA at  $A_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.1-0.5$ . Plants were grown for 4 days at 25 °C with 16 h of light. Leaves were harvested, visually inspected, photographed, and scored 1–5 for cell death: with 1 being no visual sign of any cell death whatsoever in the infiltrated region and 5 being complete cell death throughout the infiltrated region (Fig. 8*C*).

## **Overexpression transient PVX resistance assay**

*N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* transformed with pGR106 with and without constructs pBIN35S-Rx1 and pBIN35S-*Nb*Glk1-4HA at a  $A_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.1$ – 0.5. Leaves were grown for 4 days and then harvested. Three different 10-mm diameter leaf discs were excised, and each disc was placed into a 96-well plate for each infiltrated area. The fluorescence intensity of each leaf disc was measured using a Synergy<sup>TM</sup> H4 fluorescence spectrophotometer (BioTek) ( $\lambda_{em} = 550 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{ex} = 410 \text{ nm}, \text{ bandwidth} = 20 \text{ nm}, \text{ averaging time} = 10 \text{ s}$ ). An average of the fluorescence intensity of each averaged area was normalized to an infiltrated area on each leaf with only pGR106.

## Confocal laser-scanning microscopy

Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) using settings as described (18). *Agrobacterium* harboring the appropriate constructs was infiltrated on *N. benthamiana* leaves. Imaging was performed 48 h post-inoculation.

## Statistical analysis

Error bars represent either the standard deviation or standard error of the mean with the number of replicates as indicated in the legends. All replicates are independent biological experiments. Statistical comparisons (p values) were obtained from one-way ANOVA with the indicated post hoc test unless otherwise indicated. p values in statistical comparisons are indicated in the figures and indicate compared data sets as described in the figure legends. Calculated values for  $K_d$  were compared using the extra-sum-of-squares Ftest.

Author contributions—P. D. T., C. H. D., E. J. S., O. C. S., and A. W. Y. data curation; P. D. T., C. H. D., E. J. S., O. C. S., A. W. Y., and M. J. C. investigation; P. D. T., C. H. D., E. J. S., O. C. S., A. W. Y., G. J. S., and L.-O. P. methodology; P. D. T., E. J. S., T. R. H., G. J. S., L.-O. P., F. L. T., A. G., and M. J. C. writing-review and editing; T. R. H., A. G., and M. J. C. supervision; T. R. H., A. G., and M. J. C. project administration; G. J. S., L.-O. P., F. L. T., A. G., and M. J. C. conceptualization; M. J. C. formal analysis; M. J. C. funding acquisition; M. J. C. writing-original draft. Acknowledgments—We thank Sarah Peyton for help with method development, Rikus Pomp for technical support and helpful advice, and Martijn Rep for critical feedback on the manuscript.

#### References

- Jones, J. D., Vance, R. E., and Dangl, J. L. (2016) Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science* 354, aaf6395 CrossRef Medline
- Maekawa, T., Kufer, T. A., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2011) NLR functions in plant and animal immune systems: so far and yet so close. *Nat. Immunol.* 12, 817–826 CrossRef Medline
- Eitas, T. K., and Dangl, J. L. (2010) NB-LRR proteins: pairs, pieces, perception, partners, and pathways. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 472–477 CrossRef Medline
- Staskawicz, B. J., Mudgett, M. B., Dangl, J. L., and Galan, J. E. (2001) Common and contrasting themes of plant and animal diseases. *Science* 292, 2285–2289 CrossRef Medline
- Leipe, D. D., Koonin, E. V., and Aravind, L. (2004) STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases including animal and plant regulators of programmed cell death: multiple, complex domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by horizontal gene transfer. *J. Mol. Biol.* 343, 1–28 CrossRef Medline
- Takken, F. L., and Tameling, W. I. (2009) To nibble at plant resistance proteins. *Science* 324, 744–746 CrossRef Medline
- Maekawa, T., Cheng, W., Spiridon, L. N., Töller, A., Lukasik, E., Saijo, Y., Liu, P., Shen, Q. H., Micluta, M. A., Somssich, I. E., Takken, F. L., Petrescu, A. J., Chai, J., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2011) Coiled-coil domain-dependent homodimerization of intracellular barley immune receptors defines a minimal functional module for triggering cell death. *Cell Host Microbe* 9, 187–199 CrossRef Medline
- Takken, F. L., Albrecht, M., and Tameling, W. I. (2006) Resistance proteins: molecular switches of plant defence. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 9, 383–390 CrossRef Medline
- Tranier, S., Bouthors, A. T., Maveyraud, L., Guillet, V., Sougakoff, W., and Samama, J. P. (2000) The high resolution crystal structure for class A β-lactamase PER-1 reveals the bases for its increase in breadth of activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 28075–28082 Medline
- van der Biezen, E. A., and Jones, J. D. (1998) The NB-ARC domain: a novel signalling motif shared by plant resistance gene products and regulators of cell death in animals. *Curr. Biol.* 8, R226–R227 CrossRef Medline
- Tameling, W. I., Vossen, J. H., Albrecht, M., Lengauer, T., Berden, J. A., Haring, M. A., Cornelissen, B. J., and Takken, F. L. (2006) Mutations in the NB-ARC domain of I-2 that impair ATP hydrolysis cause autoactivation. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 1233–1245 CrossRef Medline
- 12. Williams, S. J., Sornaraj, P., deCourcy-Ireland, E., Menz, R. I., Kobe, B., Ellis, J. G., Dodds, P. N., and Anderson, P. A. (2011) An autoactive mutant of the M flax rust resistance protein has a preference for binding ATP, while wild-type M protein has a preference for binding ADP. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 24, 897–906 CrossRef Medline
- Fenyk, S., Campillo Ade, S., Pohl, E., Hussey, P. J., and Cann, M. J. (2012) A nucleotide phosphatase activity in the nucleotide binding domain of an orphan resistance protein from rice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 4023–4032 CrossRef Medline
- 14. Burch-Smith, T. M., Schiff, M., Caplan, J. L., Tsao, J., Czymmek, K., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2007) A novel role for the TIR domain in association with pathogen-derived elicitors. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e68 CrossRef Medline
- Caplan, J. L., Mamillapalli, P., Burch-Smith, T. M., Czymmek, K., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2008) Chloroplastic protein NRIP1 mediates innate immune receptor recognition of a viral effector. *Cell* 132, 449–462 CrossRef Medline
- Deslandes, L., Olivier, J., Peeters, N., Feng, D. X., Khounlotham, M., Boucher, C., Somssich, I., Genin, S., and Marco, Y. (2003) Physical interaction between RRS1-R, a protein conferring resistance to bacterial wilt, and PopP2, a type III effector targeted to the plant nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 8024–8029 CrossRef Medline

- Shen, Q. H., Saijo, Y., Mauch, S., Biskup, C., Bieri, S., Keller, B., Seki, H., Ulker, B., Somssich, I. E., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2007) Nuclear activity of MLA immune receptors links isolate-specific and basal disease-resistance responses. *Science* **315**, 1098–1103 CrossRef Medline
- Slootweg, E., Roosien, J., Spiridon, L. N., Petrescu, A. J., Tameling, W., Joosten, M., Pomp, R., van Schaik, C., Dees, R., Borst, J. W., Smant, G., Schots, A., Bakker, J., and Goverse, A. (2010) Nucleocytoplasmic distribution is required for activation of resistance by the potato NB-LRR receptor Rx1 and is balanced by its functional domains. *Plant Cell* 22, 4195–4215 CrossRef Medline
- Bai, S., Liu, J., Chang, C., Zhang, L., Maekawa, T., Wang, Q., Xiao, W., Liu, Y., Chai, J., Takken, F. L., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Shen, Q. H. (2012) Structure-function analysis of barley NLR immune receptor MLA10 reveals its cell compartment specific activity in cell death and disease resistance. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002752 CrossRef Medline
- Wirthmueller, L., Zhang, Y., Jones, J. D., and Parker, J. E. (2007) Nuclear accumulation of the *Arabidopsis* immune receptor RPS4 is necessary for triggering EDS1-dependent defense. *Curr. Biol.* 17, 2023–2029 CrossRef Medline
- Zhu, Z., Xu, F., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Y. T., Wiermer, M., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2010) *Arabidopsis* resistance protein SNC1 activates immune responses through association with a transcriptional corepressor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 13960–13965 CrossRef Medline
- 22. Cheng, Y. T., Germain, H., Wiermer, M., Bi, D., Xu, F., García, A. V., Wirthmueller, L., Després, C., Parker, J. E., Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2009) Nuclear pore complex component MOS7/Nup88 is required for innate immunity and nuclear accumulation of defense regulators in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **21**, 2503–2516 CrossRef Medline
- Navarro, L., Zipfel, C., Rowland, O., Keller, I., Robatzek, S., Boller, T., and Jones, J. D. (2004) The transcriptional innate immune response to flg22. Interplay and overlap with Avr gene-dependent defense responses and bacterial pathogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1113–1128 CrossRef Medline
- Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Glazebrook, J., Cohen, J. D., and Katagiri, F. (2008) Interplay between MAMP-triggered and SA-mediated defense responses. *Plant J.* 53, 763–775 CrossRef Medline
- Garner, C. M., Kim, S. H., Spears, B. J., and Gassmann, W. (2016) Express yourself: transcriptional regulation of plant innate immunity. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 56, 150–162 CrossRef Medline
- Chang, C., Yu, D., Jiao, J., Jing, S., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Shen, Q. H. (2013) Barley MLA immune receptors directly interfere with antagonistically acting transcription factors to initiate disease resistance signaling. *Plant Cell* 25, 1158–1173 CrossRef Medline
- Roberts, M., Tang, S., Stallmann, A., Dangl, J. L., and Bonardi, V. (2013) Genetic requirements for signaling from an autoactive plant NB-LRR intracellular innate immune receptor. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003465 CrossRef Medline
- Fenyk, S., Dixon, C. H., Gittens, W. H., Townsend, P. D., Sharples, G. J., Pålsson, L. O., Takken, F. L., and Cann, M. J. (2016) The tomato nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat immune receptor I-2 couples DNAbinding to nucleotide-binding domain nucleotide exchange. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 1137–1147 CrossRef Medline
- Fenyk, S., Townsend, P. D., Dixon, C. H., Spies, G. B., de San Eustaquio Campillo, A., Slootweg, E. J., Westerhof, L. B., Gawehns, F. K., Knight, M. R., Sharples, G. J., Goverse, A., Pålsson, L. O., Takken, F. L., and Cann, M. J. (2015) The potato nucleotide-binding leucine-rich Repeat (NLR) immune receptor Rx1 is a pathogen-dependent DNA-deforming protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 24945–24960 CrossRef Medline
- Bendahmane, A., Kanyuka, K., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1999) The Rx gene from potato controls separate virus resistance and cell death responses. *Plant Cell* 11, 781–792 Medline
- Bendahmane, A., Köhn, B. A., Dedi, C., and Baulcombe, D. C. (1995) The coat protein of potato virus X is a strain-specific elicitor of Rx1-mediated virus resistance in potato. *Plant J.* 8, 933–941 CrossRef Medline
- Finzi, L., and Dunlap, D. D. (2010) Single-molecule approaches to probe the structure, kinetics, and thermodynamics of nucleoprotein complexes that regulate transcription. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 18973–18978 CrossRef Medline



- Kim, H., Tang, G. Q., Patel, S. S., and Ha, T. (2012) Opening-closing dynamics of the mitochondrial transcription pre-initiation complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 371–380 CrossRef Medline
- Liu, X., Bushnell, D. A., Wang, D., Calero, G., and Kornberg, R. D. (2010) Structure of an RNA polymerase II-TFIIB complex and the transcription initiation mechanism. *Science* 327, 206–209 CrossRef Medline
- Tang, G. Q., Deshpande, A. P., and Patel, S. S. (2011) Transcription factordependent DNA bending governs promoter recognition by the mitochondrial RNA polymerase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 38805–38813 CrossRef Medline
- Riechmann, J. L., Heard, J., Martin, G., Reuber, L., Jiang, C., Keddie, J., Adam, L., Pineda, O., Ratcliffe, O. J., Samaha, R. R., Creelman, R., Pilgrim, M., Broun, P., Zhang, J. Z., Ghandehari, D., *et al.* (2000) *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. *Science* 290, 2105–2110 CrossRef Medline
- Rossini, L., Cribb, L., Martin, D. J., and Langdale, J. A. (2001) The maize golden2 gene defines a novel class of transcriptional regulators in plants. *Plant Cell* 13, 1231–1244 Medline
- Hosoda, K., Imamura, A., Katoh, E., Hatta, T., Tachiki, M., Yamada, H., Mizuno, T., and Yamazaki, T. (2002) Molecular structure of the GARP family of plant Myb-related DNA binding motifs of the *Arabidopsis* response regulators. *Plant Cell* 14, 2015–2029 CrossRef Medline
- Weirauch, M. T., Yang, A., Albu, M., Cote, A. G., Montenegro-Montero, A., Drewe, P., Najafabadi, H. S., Lambert, S. A., Mann, I., Cook, K., Zheng, H., Goity, A., van Bakel, H., Lozano, J. C., Galli, M., *et al.* (2014) Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. *Cell* **158**, 1431–1443 CrossRef Medline
- Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., López-Vidriero, I., Carrasco, J. L., Godoy, M., Vera, P., and Solano, R. (2014) DNA-binding specificities of plant transcription factors and their potential to define target genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 2367–2372 CrossRef Medline
- Ratcliff, F., Martin-Hernandez, A. M., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2001) Technical Advance. Tobacco rattle virus as a vector for analysis of gene function by silencing. *Plant J.* 25, 237–245 Medline
- Liu, Y., Schiff, M., Marathe, R., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2002) Tobacco Rar1, EDS1 and NPR1/NIM1 like genes are required for N-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant J.* **30**, 415–429 CrossRef Medline
- 43. Waters, M. T., Wang, P., Korkaric, M., Capper, R. G., Saunders, N. J., and Langdale, J. A. (2009) GLK transcription factors coordinate expression of the photosynthetic apparatus in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* **21**, 1109–1128 CrossRef Medline
- Han, X. Y., Li, P. X., Zou, L. J., Tan, W. R., Zheng, T., Zhang, D. W., and Lin, H. H. (2016) GOLDEN2-LIKE transcription factors coordinate the tolerance to cucumber mosaic virus in *Arabidopsis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 477, 626–632 CrossRef Medline
- Savitch, L. V., Subramaniam, R., Allard, G. C., and Singh, J. (2007) The GLK1 'regulon' encodes disease defense related proteins and confers resistance to *Fusarium graminearum* in *Arabidopsis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 359, 234–238 CrossRef Medline
- Murmu, J., Wilton, M., Allard, G., Pandeya, R., Desveaux, D., Singh, J., and Subramaniam, R. (2014) *Arabidopsis* GOLDEN2-LIKE (GLK) transcrip-

tion factors activate jasmonic acid (JA)-dependent disease susceptibility to the biotrophic pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*, as well as JA-independent plant immunity against the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **15**, 174–184 CrossRef Medline

- Chen, M., Ji, M., Wen, B., Liu, L., Li, S., Chen, X., Gao, D., and Li, L. (2016) GOLDEN 2-LIKE transcription factors of plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1509 Medline
- Fried, M. G., and Crothers, D. M. (1984) Equilibrium studies of the cyclic AMP receptor protein-DNA interaction. *J. Mol. Biol.* 172, 241–262 CrossRef Medline
- Kolomeisky, A. B. (2011) Physics of protein-DNA interactions: mechanisms of facilitated target search. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 13, 2088–2095 CrossRef Medline
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D., and Depicker, A. (2002) GATEWAY vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 193–195 CrossRef Medline
- Peart, J. R., Cook, G., Feys, B. J., Parker, J. E., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2002) An EDS1 orthologue is required for N-mediated resistance against tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant J.* 29, 569–579 CrossRef Medline
- van Engelen, F. A., Molthoff, J. W., Conner, A. J., Nap, J. P., Pereira, A., and Stiekema, W. J. (1995) pBINPLUS: an improved plant transformation vector based on pBIN19. *Transgenic Res.* 4, 288–290 CrossRef Medline
- Townsend, P. D., Rodgers, T. L., Glover, L. C., Korhonen, H. J., Richards, S. A., Colwell, L. J., Pohl, E., Wilson, M. R., Hodgson, D. R., McLeish, T. C., and Cann, M. J. (2015) The role of protein-ligand contacts in allosteric regulation of the *Escherichia coli* catabolite activator protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 22225–22235 CrossRef Medline
- Fromont-Racine, M., Rain, J. C., and Legrain, P. (1997) Toward a functional analysis of the yeast genome through exhaustive two-hybrid screens. *Nat. Genet.* 16, 277–282 CrossRef Medline
- Lam, K. N., van Bakel, H., Cote, A. G., van der Ven, A., and Hughes, T. R. (2011) Sequence specificity is obtained from the majority of modular C2H2 zinc-finger arrays. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 4680–4690 CrossRef Medline
- Weirauch, M. T., Cote, A., Norel, R., Annala, M., Zhao, Y., Riley, T. R., Saez-Rodriguez, J., Cokelaer, T., Vedenko, A., Talukder, S., DREAM5Consortium, Bussemaker, H. J., Morris, Q. D., Bulyk, M. L., Stolovitzky, G., and Hughes, T. R. (2013) Evaluation of methods for modeling transcription factor sequence specificity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 126–134 CrossRef Medline
- 57. Berger, M. F., Philippakis, A. A., Qureshi, A. M., He, F. S., Estep, P. W., 3rd., and Bulyk, M. L. (2006) Compact, universal DNA microarrays to comprehensively determine transcription-factor binding site specificities. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1429–1435 CrossRef Medline
- Berger, M. F., Badis, G., Gehrke, A. R., Talukder, S., Philippakis, A. A., Peña-Castillo, L., Alleyne, T. M., Mnaimneh, S., Botvinnik, O. B., Chan, E. T., Khalid, F., Zhang, W., Newburger, D., Jaeger, S. A., Morris, Q. D., *et al.* (2008) Variation in homeodomain DNA binding revealed by highresolution analysis of sequence preferences. *Cell* 133, 1266–1276 CrossRef Medline