Surgical outcome of full-thickness macular hole secondary to tractional retinal detachment in proliferative diabetic retinopathy

Naresh Babu, Piyush Kohli, Chitaranjan Mishra, Renu P Rajan, Karthik Kumar, Kim Ramasamy, Reshma Dara, C Chandra Lakshmi

Purpose: To evaluate the surgical outcome of full-thickness macular hole (FTMH) secondary to active fibrovascular proliferation (FVP) and tractional retinal detachment (TRD) in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), and factors influencing the outcome. Methods: This retrospective study included the patients who underwent vitrectomy for FTMH secondary to PDR TRD from 2016 to 2020. Anatomical and visual outcomes were analyzed after six months along with the factors predicting the final outcome and duration of subretinal fluid (SRF) resolution. Results: Group A (macula-off combined RD, i.e., tractional and rhegmatogenous) included 10 eyes, while group B (macula-threatening TRD) included eight eyes. The mean best-corrected visual acuity improved from logMAR 1.21 (Snellen equivalent: 20/324) to logMAR 0.76 (Snellen equivalent: 20/115) (P = 0.008). Seventeen patients gained ≥ 1 line(s) of vision. Mean visual gain in groups A and B was 3.7 ± 1.9 and 1.9 ± 1.1 lines, respectively (P = 0.051). MH closed in 88.9% eyes. Type 1 anatomical closure was achieved in 88.9% of eyes. At 6 months, SRF and central macular thickness reduced from $479.6 \pm 512.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ to $11.4 \pm 23.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ (P = 0.002) and $874.3 \pm 422.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ to $207.6 \pm 81.7 \,\mu\text{m}$ (P = 0.0002), respectively. Finally, macular SRF resolved in all the patients. The mean duration for complete SRF resolution was 4.9 ± 3.2 months. Eyes with a shorter duration of diabetes mellitus (rho = -0.49, P = 0.040) and macula-off combined RD (P = 0.048) took a longer time for complete SRF resolution. Conclusion: Good anatomical and visual outcomes can be achieved in eyes with PDR TRD-associated FTMH. The residual macular SRF resolves slowly after the surgery and extra intervention is not required. Macula-off combined RD is associated with worse outcome and a slower SRF resolution rate.



Key words: Combined retinal detachment, fibrovascular proliferation (FVP), full-thickness macular hole (FTMH), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), tractional retinal detachment (TRD)

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the leading causes of blindness among the working population.^[1] It is associated with various vision-threatening complications such as macular edema, vitreous hemorrhage (VH), tractional retinal detachment (TRD), vitreomacular traction (VMT), and macular hole (MH).^[1] MH has been reported in nearly 1.6% eyes undergoing vitrectomy for proliferative DR (PDR).^[2,3] MH in these eyes occurs secondary to the traction produced by active fibrovascular proliferation (FVP) on the foveal edges, which are already weakened by edema and ischemia.^[4]

Kelly and Wendel first described vitrectomy and posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) induction as the treatment for idiopathic full-thickness macular hole (FTMH) in 1991.^[5] The surgical outcome of idiopathic FTMH has been extensively studied since then.^[6] However, not many studies have evaluated the outcome of FTMH secondary to active FVP in eyes with PDR TRD.^[3,7-10] Some authors even suggest conservative management for eyes with FTMH and macula attached TRD as the results are not very encouraging.^[2,4,7,8] Another controversy related to the management of such eyes is the role of internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling.^[2,8,11,12]

Department of Vitreo-Retinal Services, Aravind Eye Hospital and Post Graduate Institute of Ophthalmology, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence to: Dr. Kim Ramasamy, Department of Vitreo-Retinal Services, Aravind Eye Hospital and Post Graduate Institute of Ophthalmology, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: kim@aravind.org

Received: 13-May-2021 Accepted: 19-Aug-2021 Revision: 27-Jul-2021 Published: 29-Oct-2021 The aim of this study was to evaluate the surgical outcome of FTMH secondary to active FVP and TRD in eyes with PDR. The factors influencing the outcome were also analyzed.

Methods

This retrospective study was done at a tertiary-care ophthalmic institute in south India. Records of all the patients who underwent vitrectomy for FTMH secondary to PDR TRD from 2016 to 2020 were reviewed. The study was conducted after seeking approval from the Institutional Review Board. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All the patients were explained about the nature of the disease; the available treatment options and associated prognosis and complications. Informed consent was taken from all the patients

An FVP was considered active if new blood vessels were present on its surface and inactive if the vessels were sclerosed.^[2] TRD was defined as RD caused by traction produced by the proliferative membranes present over the retinal surface

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

Cite this article as: Babu N, Kohli P, Mishra C, Rajan RP, Kumar K, Ramasamy K, *et al.* Surgical outcome of full-thickness macular hole secondary to tractional retinal detachment in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Indian J Ophthalmol 2021;69:3302-7.

© 2021 Indian Journal of Ophthalmology | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

and/or in the vitreous.^[13] Combined RD (tractional and rhegmatogenous) was defined as convex-shaped or bullous RD in the presence of FVP. Macula-involving TRD was defined as the presence of vitreoretinal traction along the vascular arcades or the optic disc causing foveal detachment. Macula-threatening TRD was defined as retinal elevation measuring \geq 4 disc-diameter (DD) with part of it lying within 30° of the foveal center, or retinal elevation measuring <4 DD in the presence of either vitreoretinal adhesion(s) or fresh VH.^[13]

The patients were divided into two groups. Group A included patients with FTMH and macula-off combined RD, whereas group B included patients with FTMH and macula-threatening TRD. All the patients underwent a complete ophthalmological examination at pre- and all postoperative visits. The examination included best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), intraocular pressure (IOP), slit-lamp biomicroscopy, dilated fundus examination, and optical coherence tomography (OCT). BCVA was converted to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for statistical analysis. Spectral-domain OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) was used to record high-definition raster line scans. The central macular thickness (CMT) was measured using manual caliper, within 1 mm of the macular center. The region with maximum height was considered for further calculations. One end of the caliper was kept at the inner retinal surface or the vitreoretinal interface while the other was kept at the outer retinal surface. The caliper was oriented vertically to avoid oblique measurements.

All the patients were operated by one of the three fellowship-trained vitreoretinal surgeons with at least 7-years of post-fellowship experience (NB, RPR, and KK). All the patients underwent 23-gauge par plana vitrectomy (PPV). Combined phacoemulsification and vitrectomy were performed if the cataract was significant enough to compromise media clarity. Triamcinolone acetonide (Aurocort, Aurolab, India) was used in all the cases to ensure complete removal of the posterior hyaloid. All the fibrovascular membranes were carefully dissected with appropriate use of vitreous cutter and/or microscissors. Care was taken not to create iatrogenic retinotomies. Perfluorocarbon liquid was used to stabilize the retina after all the tractional membranes were completely removed. ILM was stained with 0.05% brilliant blue G (BBG) dye and peeled in a circular fashion for approximately 2DD around the fovea in all the cases. Inverted ILM flap technique was performed in some cases as per the surgeon's discretion. Internal SRF drainage was done through either a preexisting retinal break or an iatrogenic retinotomy. SRF drainage through the MH was avoided. In case there were no retinal breaks other than the MH, multiple fluid-air exchanges were done over the disc till the MH closed clinically. Endolaser was performed for the skip areas. The type of tamponade (1000 cst silicone oil (SO) or 20% sulfur hexafluoride (SF6)) was decided intra-operatively by the surgeon.

The patients were examined on postoperative day 1, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. The patients were advised frequent follow-ups in case of any complication(s). The patients were excluded in case of prior vitrectomy; presence of neovascular glaucoma, lamellar MH, or MH secondary to pathologies other than DR; unavailability of preoperative OCT; or inadequate follow-up (less than 6 months).

Anatomical and visual outcomes were noted at the end of 6 months. Anatomical closure was defined as the flattening of MH. Type 1 anatomical closure was defined as complete recovery of the neurosensory retina (NSR) over the fovea. Type 2 anatomical closure was defined as incomplete recovery of NSR, leaving the center of the fovea bare.^[6] Factors predicting the final visual acuity and rate of subretinal fluid (SRF) resolution were also analyzed. These factors included age, gender, duration of diabetes mellitus (DM), previous panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) or intravitreal injection (IVI), MH size, preoperative CMT and SRF, type of postoperative tamponade, and iatrogenic retinotomy.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using statistical software STATA 14.1, (Texas, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean (\pm standard deviation) or median (range) and categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Fisher's exact test was used to assess the association of categorical variables. The student's t-test/Mann–Whitney U test was used to find out the significant difference of continuous variables between the two study groups. Wilcoxon sign rank test was used to find out the difference between pre- and postoperative visual acuity. Spearman rank correlation was used to find the time required for complete SRF resolution. *P* <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study included 18 patients (18 eyes), out of which 11 were male and seven were female. The mean age of the patients was 55.7 ± 4.8 years. The average duration of DM was 13.5 ± 6.9 years. Ten patients were being treated with only oral hypoglycaemic agents, while eight required insulin as well. Other systemic diseases included hypertension (n = 12), dyslipidaemia (n = 3), ischemic heart disease (n = 3), and chronic kidney disease (n = 1). Sixteen patients were phakic, while two were pseudophakic. Fifteen patients had undergone prior PRP, while six had undergone prior IVI (s). Ten patients had macula-off combined RD (Group A), while the remaining eight had macula-threatening TRD (Group B) [Fig. 1].

The mean minimum diameter (MD) of MH was $510.8 \pm 246.8 \mu$ m. The mean preoperative SRF and CMT were $479.6 \pm 512.5 \mu$ m and $874.3 \pm 422.6 \mu$ m, respectively. The mean preoperative BCVA was logMAR 1.21 (Snellen equivalent: 20/324). Table 1 shows the comparison of the baseline characteristics in groups A and B.

A combined phacoemulsification-vitrectomy was performed in 12 patients. An inadvertent intraoperative retinotomy was created in seven patients (38.9%). SRF was drained through the preexisting retinal break and/or iatrogenic retinotomy in all the eyes in group A. Inverted ILM flap technique was used in three patients (16.7%). The postoperative tamponading agents used were silicone oil (n = 9, 50.0%) and SF6 gas (n = 9, 50.0%). The proportion of eyes needing a SO tamponade was 70.0% and 25.0% in groups A and B, respectively.

The average follow-up was 16.7 ± 12.1 months (range: 6–48 months). All the patients who received SO tamponade underwent SO removal (SOR) after three months of the primary surgery. The retina was attached in all the patients at the last follow-up. None of the patients required repeat surgery except for SOR and/or cataract surgery. Sixteen patients (88.9%) achieved type 1 anatomical closure, while two patients (11.1%) had type 2 closure [Figs. 2-5]. One eye in each group achieved type 2 closure. The mean postoperative BCVA improved to logMAR 0.76 (Snellen equivalent: 20/115) (*P*=0.008). Seventeen patients gained at least 1-line of vision while none of them lost vision. Four (22.2%) patients gained 1-line, three (16.7%) gained 2-lines, four (22.2%) gained 3-lines, three (16.7%) gained 4-lines,

and three (16.7%) patients gained 6-lines of vision. Seven eyes (38.9%) achieved final BCVA $\geq 20/80$. At 6 months, the mean macular SRF and CMT reduced to $11.4 \pm 23.5 \ \mu m (P = 0.002)$ and $207.6 \pm 81.7 \ \mu m (P = 0.0002)$, respectively [Fig. 6]. Finally, SRF resolved in all the patients without any additional intervention(s). The mean duration for complete SRF resolution was 4.9 ± 3.2 months (range: 1–10 months). Table 1 shows the comparison of the final outcome in groups A and B.



Figure 1: Preoperative fundus images of a) Patient number 2 showing full-thickness macular hole (FTMH) and temporal active fibrovascular proliferation (FVP) with macula-threatening tractional retinal detachment; b) Patient number 5 showing FTMH and nasal active FVP with macula-threatening tractional retinal detachment; c) Patient number 1 showing FTMH and superior active FVP with combined macula-off RD; d) Patient number 11 showing FTMH and superior active FVP with combined macula-off RD



The mean ellipsoid zone (EZ) defect 6 months after the

surgery was $747 \pm 397.9 \,\mu\text{m}$. The final BCVA did not depend



Figure 2: Optical coherence tomography line scans of patient number 9. a) At presentation showing a full-thickness macular hole with extra-macular tractional retinal detachment; b) 1-month post-primary surgery showing silicone oil as the tamponading agent and resolving subretinal fluid (SRF); c) 6-month post-primary surgery (silicone oil removal also done) showing resolved SRF; and d) 9-month post-primary surgery showing ellipsoid zone defect



Figure 3: Optical coherence tomography line scans of patient number 8. a) At presentation showing a full-thickness macular hole with extra-macular tractional retinal detachment; b) 15-days, c) 1-month, and d) 3-months post-surgery (sulfur hexafluoride was used as the tamponading agent) showing resolving cystic macular edema and subretinal fluid



Figure 4: Optical coherence tomography line scans of patient number 6. a) At presentation showing a full-thickness macular hole with macular tractional retinal detachment; b) 15 days and c) 3-month post-surgery showing resolving subretinal fluid (SRF); and d) 10-month post-surgery showing resolved SRF and ellipsoid zone defect

| | Group A FTMH, Macula-off combined RD | Group B FTMH, Macula- threatening TRD | Р |
|--|---|---|--------------------|
| Baseline characteristics | | | |
| Number of patients | 10 | 8 | |
| Mean age (years) | 53.8±5.0 | 58.0±3.6 | 0.064ª |
| Gender (Male: Female) | 6:4 | 5:3 | >0.99b |
| Mean duration of diabetes mellitus (years) | 10.3±5.5 | 17.5±6.7 | 0.023ª |
| Mean preoperative BCVA | logMAR 1.54 (Snellen equivalent: 20/693) | logMAR 0.78 (Snellen equivalent: 20/120) | 0.003° |
| Mean minimum diameter of FTMH (microns) | 480.4±250.9 | 548.8±253.1 | 0.288ª |
| Mean pre-operative CMT (microns) | 1634.7±1103.0 | 526.2±126.3 | 0.013ª |
| Intra- and postoperative characteristics | | | |
| Tamponading agent used (SO: SF6) | 7:3 | 2:6 | 0.153 [♭] |
| Eyes with type 1 anatomical closure | 9/10 (90.0%) | 7/8 (87.5%) | >0.99b |
| Mean postoperative BCVA (6 months) | logMAR 0.78 (Snellen equivalent: 20/120) | logMAR 0.60 (Snellen equivalent: 20/80) | 0.036° |
| Average visual gain | 3.7±1.9 lines | 1.9±1.1 lines | 0.051° |
| Postoperative BCVA ≥20/80 (6 months) | 2/10 (20.0%) | 5/8 (62.5%) | 0.145 [♭] |
| Postoperative CMT (6 months) | 219.6±99.0 | 192.5±56.0 | 0.501ª |
| EZ Defect (6 months) | 871.6±421.0 | 592.75±327.5 | 0.144ª |
| Time for SRF resolution | 6.2±3.6 | 3.2±1.8 | 0.048ª |

Table 1: Comparison of the baseline and postoperative characteristics of the eyes that presented with FTMH secondary to PDR with combined RD and TRD

FTMH: Full-thickness macular hole; PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy; TRD: Tractional retinal detachment; BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity; SO: Silicone oil; SF6: Sulphur hexafluoride; CMT: Central macular thickness; EZ: Ellipsoid Zone; SRF: Subretinal fluid, an independent *t*-test; Fisher's exact test; Mann-Whitney U test



Figure 5: Optical coherence tomography line scans of patient number 3. a) At presentation showing a full-thickness macular hole with macular tractional retinal detachment; b) 15 days post-surgery showing inverted internal limiting membrane flap and resolving subretinal fluid (SRF); c) 1-month and d) 4-month post-surgery showing resolving SRF; and e) 18-month post-surgery showing resolving SRF and ellipsoid zone defect



Figure 6: Graph showing the resolution of central macular thickness (CMT) and subretinal fluid (SRF) at different intervals after the surgery

combined RD (P = 0.048) took a longer time for complete SRF resolution [Table 2].

Discussion

Idiopathic FTMH usually develops due to tangential and anteroposterior vitreofoveal traction secondary to anomalous PVD. ILM peeling relieves the tangential traction and induces reparative gliosis.^[14] The success rate for idiopathic FTMH ranges between 93% and 98%. However, the clinical characteristics and surgical outcomes of secondary FTMH are different.^[4,9,15-17] MH in eyes with PDR TRD is formed due to perimacular tangential traction exerted by the FVP(s). As a result, detachment around the MH is usually larger than the simple cuff seen in the idiopathic FTMH.^[2,3,8,16-19]

Flynn *et al.*^[18] was the first one to perform a successful vitrectomy for two cases of PDR-associated MH. However, the visual improvement was not encouraging. With advancements in surgical instrumentation and techniques, surgical outcomes have improved. We evaluated the surgical outcome in 18 eyes

with FTMH-associated with TRD. In our series, the patients with macula-off combined RD had a shorter duration of DM, worse BCVA, and greater CMT and SRF. This may be due to the poor metabolic control and/or late diagnosis of DM among these patients. Similar to the previous reports, more than half of the eyes in our series required postoperative tamponade with SO.^[2,8] A higher proportion of eyes with macula-off combined RD required SO tamponade compared to macula-threatening TRD. Similar to that reported in the literature, the anatomical outcome in our study was also cent percent.^[37,10]

The visual outcome reported in the previous studies is highly variable. This is mainly due to the heterogeneous inclusion criteria used by the studies. The visual prognosis was best in the studies that included eyes without FVP and worst in those evaluating combined RD.^[2,3,7,8,12] The outcome of both the groups of our study was comparable to their respective counterparts in the other studies. Table 3 provides a brief comparison of the results of this study vis-a-vis the existing literature.

Yeh *et al.*^[8] reported that the postoperative BCVA depended on the degree of macular elevation. Karimov *et al.*^[3] concluded

Table 2: Correlation of the final BCVA and duration for complete SRF resolution with various factors

| | BCVA (<i>P</i>) | Duration for complete SRF resolution (<i>P</i>) |
|--|----------------------|---|
| Type of tamponade ^a | 0.095 | 0.571 |
| Prior received PRP ^a | 0.756 | 0.485 |
| Prior received IV (s) ^a | 0.844 | 0.150 |
| Creation of intraoperative retinotomy ^a | 0.536 | 0.399 |
| Use of inverted ILM flap ^a | 0.756 | 0.062 |
| Need for systemic insulin ^a | 0.779 | 0.071 |
| Preoperative SRF ^b | 0.066 | 0.208 |
| Preoperative CMT ^b | 0.168 | 0.189 |
| Minimum diameter of MH ^b | 0.373 | 0.905 |
| Duration of DM ^b | 0.344 | 0.040 |
| Duration for complete SRF resolution ^b | 0.608 | NA |

BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity; SRF: Subretinal fluid; PRP: Pan-retinal photocoagulation; IVI: Intravitreal injection; ILM: Internal limiting membrane; CMT: Central macular thickness; MH: Macular hole; DM: Diabetes mellitus; a: Mann-Whitney U test; b: Spearman rank correlation

Karimov et al.^[3] reported that macular SRF persisted in these eyes post-surgery and resolved without any intervention in approximately 6.5 months. Similarly, we also found that it took an average of five months for complete resolution of SRF. The reason for persistent SRF in these cases is not completely understood. It has been suggested that the chronic nature of detachment leads to high protein and cellular levels in the SRF, thus increasing its viscosity and slowing the rate of fluid absorption.^[20-22] Karimov et al.^[3] found that the preoperative factors could not predict the duration of SRF resolution. However, we observed that the duration of SRF resolution was longer in eyes with a shorter duration of DM and macula-off combined RD. The duration of SRF resolution may have been longer in patients with a shorter duration of DM as the latter mostly presented with macula-off combined RD, which in turn had a slow rate of SRF resolution. We acknowledge that other factors may also have contributed to the rate of resolution, but this could not be identified in this study. Further prospective studies with a larger sample size may help prove or disprove our observations. Some authors have reported that persistent SRF can cause delayed and poor visual recovery.^[20-22] However, additional surgical intervention to drain the SRF should be avoided as it does not affect the final visual outcome.[20-22]

Earlier reports suggested that ILM peeling may not be necessary for MH closure in these eyes.^[28,11,12] It was thought that the release of traction is sufficient for MH closure. However, as shown by the results of our as well as other recent studies, ILM peeling improves the outcomes in such eyes.^[3,7,10] ILM peeling has multiple advantages. It ensures complete removal of the remnant vitreous sheet. This is especially important in these eyes as they are commonly associated with vitreoschisis, making intraoperative identification and complete removal of the posterior hyaloid difficult, thus compromising the surgical outcome.^[23] ILM peeling also helps in complete removal of all the tractional membranes, thus reducing the incidence of postoperative fibrous proliferation and epiretinal membrane formation.^[24] ILM peeling further relieves the tangential traction, thereby increasing the MH

| ····· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------|---|--|
| Author | Number of eyes | Postoperative tamponading agent | Anatomical outcome | Visual outcome | |
| Ghoraba ^[2] | 8 (Combined RD 5, TRD 3) | Combined RD: 80% SO, 20% gas; TRD: 66.7% SO, 33.3% gas | 87.5% | Poor (no patient gained BCVA >20/100) The outcome for TRD group NA due to small FU | |
| Mason <i>et al.</i> ^[10] | 6 TRD | Gas (100%) | 100% | BCVA improved from 20/250 to 20/100. BCVA \geq 20/70 in 66.7% eyes | |
| Yeh <i>et al</i> . ^[8] | 23 (Combined RD 6, TRD 17) | SO (52.2%) Gas (47.8%) | 82.6% | BCVA improved from logMAR 1.75±0.18 to 1.43±0.19 | |
| Chen <i>et al.</i> ^[7] | 10 TRD | Gas (90%) SO (10%) | 100% | BCVA improved from logMAR 1.33±0.39 to 1.02±0.36 | |
| Karimov <i>et al.</i> ^[3] | 14 TRD | Gas (85.7%) SO (14.3%) | 100% | BCVA improved from logMAR 1.62±0.89 to logMAR 1.0±0.46 | |
| Current study | 18 (Combined macula-off RD 10, macula-threatening TRD 8) | Combined RD: 70% SO, 30% gas; TRD: 25.0% SO, 75.0% gas | 100% | Macula-off combined RD: BCVA improved from logMAR 1.54 to 0.78; Macula-threatening TRD: BCVA improved from logMAB 0.78 to 0.60 | |

Table 3: Overview of the previous studies evaluating the outcome of FTMH secondary to PDR TRD

FTMH: Full-thickness macular hole; PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy; TRD: Tractional retinal detachment; FU: Follow-up; SO: Silicone oil

Many surgeons prefer to perform SRF drainage through the MH itself. However, draining through the MH has been noted to cause retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) damage and adverse functional outcomes.[26] Charles observed that RPE changes occurred in eyes where SRF was drained through the MH and not in eyes where it was drained from other sites.^[5,27] He suggested that suction of SRF through the MH causes trauma to the RPE and photoreceptors.^[27] Jeon et al.^[26] reported that extramacular SRF drainage in eyes with MH related-RD resulted in a better outcome than drainage through the MH. They hypothesized that SRF in such eyes is viscous and its drainage through the MH causes the MH size to increase, thus damaging the surrounding NSR and reducing the closure rate. Similarly, SRF in eyes with diabetic TRD is viscous and the macula is already weak due to the presence of edema and ischemia, Therefore, the thick stream of fluid during SRF drainage can cause mechanical damage to the underlying RPE and photoreceptors as well as the surrounding NSR.^[4] Hence, we preferred to drain the SRF through a preexisting or an iatrogenic retinal break rather than the MH.

The study was limited by its retrospective nature, small sample size, involvement of multiple surgeons, and unavailability of information related to the type of DM and duration of symptoms. However, the rarity of the disease makes it difficult to plan studies with a large sample size. Although multiple surgeons were involved in the study, they were highly experienced in performing diabetic vitrectomy and followed the same surgical protocol. The duration of symptoms and type of DM as prognostic factors could not be evaluated due to the unavailability of data.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed that good surgical outcome can be expected in eyes with FTMH secondary to PDR TRD. The outcome in the eyes with macula-off combined RD tends to be worse. Residual macular SRF is seen in most of the eyes post-surgery but resolves without any extra intervention. The rate of resolution is slower in eyes with a shorter duration of DM and macula-off combined RD.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Khan R, Chandra S, Rajalakshmi R, Rani PK, Anantharaman G, Sen A, *et al.* Prevalence and incidence of visual impairment in patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy in India. Sci Rep 2020;10:10513.
- Ghoraba H. Types of macular holes encountered during diabetic vitrectomy. Retina 2002;22:176-82.
- Karimov M, Akhundova L, Aliyeva T. Pars plana vitrectomy for full-thickness macular holes in patients with proliferative diabetic

retinopathy and active fibrovascular proliferation. Clin Ophthalmol 2020;14:4125-33.

- Shukla D. Secondary macular holes: When to jump in and when to stay out. Expert Rev Ophthalmol 2013;8:437-46.
- Kelly NE, Wendel RT. Vitreous surgery for idiopathic macular holes. Results of a pilot study. Arch Ophthalmol 1991;109:654–9.
- Landolfi M, Zarbin MA, Bhagat N. Macular holes. Ophthalmol Clin North Am 2002;15:565-72.
- Chen SN, Yang CM. Internal limiting membrane flaps for coexistent macular hole and retinal detachment in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. J Ophthalmol 2018;2018:3470731.
- Yeh PT, Cheng CK, Chen MS, Yang CH, Yang CM. Macular hole in proliferative diabetic retinopathy with fibrovascular proliferation. Retina 2009;29:355-61.
- 9. Kumawat D, Venkatesh P, Brar AS, Sahay P, Kumar V, Chandra P, et al. Atypical macular holes. Retina 2019;39:1236-64.
- Mason JO, Somaiya MD, White MF Jr, Vail RS. Macular holes associated with diabetic tractional retinal detachments. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging 2008;39:288-93.
- Shukla D, Dhoble P, Jager RD, Aiello LP, Ramasamy K. Closure of macular hole following vitrectomy for diabetic tractional macular detachment. Eye (Lond) 2006;20:1386-8.
- Kurihara T, Noda K, Ishida S, Inoue M. Pars plana vitrectomy with internal limiting membrane removal for macular hole associated with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005;243:724-6.
- Mishra C, Tripathy K. Retinal traction detachment. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021.
- 14. Kannan NB, Kohli P, Parida H, Adenuga OO, Ramasamy K. Comparative study of inverted internal limiting membrane (ILM) flap and ILM peeling technique in large macular holes: A randomized-control trial. BMC Ophthalmol 2018;18:177.
- Kang HG, Han JY, Choi EY, Byeon SH, Kim SS, Koh HJ, et al. Clinical characteristics, risk factors, and surgical outcomes of secondary macular hole after vitrectomy. Sci Rep 2019;9:19535.
- Mishra C, Kannan NB, Sen S, Singh K, Damodaran S, Kohli P, et al. Clinical presentation and prognostic factors affecting surgical outcomes of secondary macular holes after retinal vein occlusions. Int Ophthalmol 2020;40:2817-25.
- 17. Amemiya T, Yoshida H. Macular hole in diabetic maculopathy. Ophthalmologica 1978;177:188-91.
- Flynn HW Jr. Macular hole surgery in patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol 1994;112:877-8.
- 19. Lai CT, Yang CM. Development of macular holes in diabetic retinopathy with fibrovascular proliferation: Report of four cases. Taiwan J Ophthalmol 2015;5:85-9.
- Barzideh N, Johnson TM. Subfoveal fluid resolves slowly after pars plana vitrectomy for tractional retinal detachment secondary to proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Retina 2007;27:740-3.
- Algethami A, Talea M, Alsakran WA, Mura M, Alsulaiman SM. Persistent subretinal fluid following diabetic tractional retinal detachment repair: Risk factors, natural history, and management outcomes. Int Ophthalmol 2021;41:453-64.
- 22. Karimov MI, Gasymov EM, Aliyeva IJ, Akhundova LA, Rustambayova GR, Aliyev KD. An optical coherence tomography study of residual subfoveal fluid after successful pars plana vitrectomy in patients with diabetic tractional macular detachment. Eye (Lond) 2018;32:1472-7.
- Schwatz SD, Alexander R, Hiscott P, Gregor ZJ. Recognition of vitreoschisis in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. A useful landmark in vitrectomy for diabetic traction retinal detachment. Ophthalmology 1996;103:323-8.
- Stewart MW, Browning DJ, Landers MB. Current management of diabetic tractional retinal detachments. Indian J Ophthalmol 2018;66:1751-62.
- 25. Lois N, Burr J, Norrie J, Vale L, Cook J, McDonald A, et al. Internal limiting membrane peeling versus no peeling for idiopathic full thickness macular hole: A pragmatic randomised controlled trial. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011;52:1586-92.
- Jeon HS, Byon IS, Park SW, Lee JE, Oum BS. Extramacular drainage of subretinal fluid during vitrectomy for macular hole retinal detachment in high myopia. Retina 2014;34:1096-102.
- Charles S. Retinal pigment epithelial abnormalities after macular hole surgery. Retina 1993;13:176.