

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. ¹ Institute of Experimental Internal Medicine, Center of Internal Medicine; ² Institute of Medical Neurobiology, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany

Rapid Mitogen-Induced Aminopeptidase N Surface Expression in Human T Cells is Dominated by Mechanisms Independent of *de novo* Protein Biosynthesis

Uwe Lendeckel¹, Thomas Wex¹, Annelore Ittenson¹, Marco Arndt¹, Karin Frank¹, Oleg Mayboroda², Walter Schubert² and Siegfried Ansorge¹

Received July 16, 1996 · Accepted in revised form February 17, 1997

Abstract

The membrane bound metalloprotease aminopeptidase N (APN, CD13, EC 3.4.11.2) is a well established marker of normal and malignant cells of the myelo-monocytic lineage. It is also expressed by leukaemic blasts of a small group of patients suffering from acute or chronic lymphoid leukaemia. Recently, the expression of the APN gene in T cell lines as well as the induction of APN gene and surface expression in human peripheral T cells by mitogenic activation have been demonstrated. Here, by means of cytofluorimetric analysis evidence is provided, that the induction of APN surface expression is partially resistent to the action of the inhibitors of protein biosynthesis, puromycin and cycloheximide, and is not prevented by tunicamycin, an inhibitor of glycosylation.

These data suggest that the rapid mitogen-induced surface expression of APN, detectable 20 hours after stimulation is dominated by mechanisms not dependent on *de novo* protein biosynthesis or glycosylation. As shown by simultaneous analyses, the inhibitors used did also differently modify the induction of surface expression of other inducible glycosylated leukocyte surface antigens, namely CD25, CD69 and CD95.

Introduction

Aminopeptidase N (CD13, EC 3.4.11.2) is a 150-kDa metalloprotease preferentially cleaving neutral amino acids from the N-terminus of oligopeptides. In humans the APN gene is located in the long arm of chromosome 15 (q11-qter)

Abbreviations: APN = aminopeptidase N; CD = cluster of differentiation; CHX = cycloheximide; mAb = monoclonal antibody; PBS = phosphate buffered saline; PHA = phytohemag-glutinine; PMA = phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate 4-O-methyl ether; PP = PHA/PMA

(1). It is highly expressed in the intestine, where it participates in the final hydrolysis of ingested nutrients, as well as in the kidney and to some lower extent in other tissues (2, 3). Aminopeptidase N is supposed to be involved in the degradation of neuropeptides, cytokines and angiotensin (4–6), but the physiological role of the lymphocyte-derived enzyme remains unclear. Recently, two excellent studies gave evidence that the CD13 antigen may function as a corona virus receptor in epithelial cells (7, 8). APN seems to play a role in tumor invasion and was shown to contribute in the degradation of collagen type IV (9). Furthermore, APN has been reported to be implicated in the antigen processing (10).

With respect to the haematopoietic system, aminopeptidase N has been accepted to be exclusively expressed on cells of the myelo-monocytic lineage (11, 12). Subsequently APN was shown, however, to be expressed on the surface of malignant B cells as well (13–18). The expression of the CD13 antigen on the surface of T cells stimulated by concanavalin A has been reported earlier by ANSORGE et al. (19) and KUNZ et al. (20). The induction of CD13 on T cells (21) or natural killer cells (22) derived from synovial fluid of patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis might be a response to some stimulating signal, too. Moreover, ARITA et al. (23) reported the induction of CD13 on immature thymocytes, but this rather reflects the fact that these cells still retain characteristics of multipotent progenitor cells.

It has been demonstrated that the human T cell lines HuT78 and H9 contain both Ala-pNA hydrolysing activity as well as aminopeptidase N mRNA. The copy number of APN-gene transcripts in both T cell lines has been determined by competitive PCR (24).

Very recently it has been shown, that in the course of mitogenic activation of human peripheral T cells a strong increase of both APN-mRNA contents and neutral aminopeptidase activity is accompanied by the appearence of CD13 immunoreactivity on the cell surface of these cells (27).

In this paper we demonstrate, that in human peripheral T cells the mitogeninduced surface expression of APN is not prevented by the inhibitors of glycosylation or protein biosynthesis, respectively, tunicamycin and cycloheximide. In addition it is shown that another inhibitor of protein biosynthesis, puromycin, does not affect APN surface expression.

For comparison we examined the effects of these inhibitors on the surface expression of other inducible cell surface glycoproteins.

In summary the data presented here suggest that the rapid mitogen-induced surface expression of APN on peripheral human T cells is partially independent of *de novo* protein biosynthesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and stimulation experiments

Peripheral blood T cells were enriched by the nylon wool adherence technique as described by JULIUS et al. (25). T cells were grown in complete Iscove's modified medium supplemented with

10% fetal calf serum (Gibco BRL, Eggenstein, Germany) and 60 units/ml penicillin and 50 μ g/ml Ciprobay. Cells were seeded into 50 ml culture flasks to densities of about 10⁶ cells/ml. T cells were stimulated by the addition of PHA-L (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany; 1 μ g/ml) together with PMA (Sigma, Heidelberg, Germany) at 10 ng/ml as co-stimulant.

Tunicamycin (10 µg/ml; Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), Puromycin (50 µmol/l; Sigma, Heidelberg, Germany) or cycloheximide (10 µg/ml; USB, Heidelberg, Germany), respectively, were included in the experiments indicated.

To exclude possible cytotoxic effects of the inhibitors used we measured the viability of all cell cultures by trypan blue staining and tetrazolium salt MTT reaction. Previously, we have shown that the MTT reaction is highly sensitive to toxic agents (26). In our present experiments, the MTT reaction was not impaired by the inhibitors used (not shown). Under the conditions applied in this study the viability of T cells was more than 90%.

Cytofluorimetric analyses

Staining of T cells with the anti-CD13 antibody (clone WM15; Dianova, Hamburg, Germany), anti-CD25 (clone IL-2-R1; Coulter Laboratories, Krefeld, Germany), anti-CD69 (clone Leu23; Becton-Dickenson, Heidelberg, Germany) and anti-CD95 (clone UB2; Immunotech, Hamburg, Germany) was performed at 4 °C for 30 min. After two washes in cold PBS the cells were incubated with goat anti mouse – FITC conjugate (Dianova) at 4 °C for 30 min. Cells were washed twice in cold PBS and then fixed using 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cytofluorimetric analysis was performed on a Epics Profile II (Coulter). 20,000 cells per sample were counted. The threshold was defined in such a manner that positive staining included no more than 1% of the relevant control.

Immunofluorescence-microscopy

Freshly isolated peripheral T cells were allowed to rest for one day in medium before cells were either used untreated or after a further 20 hour-activation by PHA/PMA. Cells were collected by centrifugation $(300 \times g, 5 \text{ min}, \text{ room temperature})$ and resuspended in 100 µl PBS at a density of 5×10^6 cells/ml. To facilitate detection of both intracellular and membrane-bound CD13 T cells were permeabilized using the cell permeabilization kit (Dianova) cssentially following the protocol recommended by the supplier. A mixture of two anti-CD13 mAbs (clones WM15 (Dianova) and My7 (Coulter Laboratories)) were used as the primary antibody at a 1 : 25 dilution. In the controls the primary antibody was either omitted or replaced by IgG1 isotype control (Sigma). Prior to the application of the secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse conjugated to Texas Red, (IgG1-specific, Dianova, 1 : 200 diluted) unspecific binding was blocked by normal goat serum (Dianova) diluted 1 : 25. Between all incubation steps cells were washed twice by gently shaking in PBS/0.01% (v/v) Tween 20 (Sigma) for 10 min.

Stained cells were collected by centrifugation and the supernatant was removed. Cells were carefully resuspended in 50 µl Möviol (Hoechst, Germany) and applied on microscopic slides. Möviol was allowed to polymerize overnight at room temperature before the cells were examined by either laser-scan (Noran Instrument Odyssey XL with InterVision 1.4.1, excitation 568 nm, emission filters 600 BP) or conventional video fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axiovert 135 TV, Sony 3 CCD camera, Kontron frame grabber, and KS 300 software, filter set 00).

Ala-pNA hydrolysing activity

Ala-pNA hydrolysing activity was measured in triplicate. 100 µl of cells suspended in PBS, pH 7.4, were mixed with 100 µl of 5 mmol/l Ala-pNA. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 400 µl 1 mol/l sodium acetate, pH 4.4, either immediately (substrate blank) or after 60 to 120 min of incubation at 37 °C. After spinning down all particulate material (90 s at 10,000 × g) the absorbances of the supernatants were read at 390 nm using Spekol 21 (Carl Zeiss Jena).

Results

Aminopeptidase activity

T cells were cultured for 20 hours after simulation by PHA-L/PMA, regardless whether the inhibitors were present or not, and whether they were given prior to, simultaneously with, or after the mitogenic stimulation.

Freshly isolated peripheral blood T cells exhibit only low levels of Ala-pNAhydrolysing activity, in average 4.8 pkat/10⁶ cells (Table 1). This activity is dramatically increased in the course of T cell activation reaching about 31 pkat/10⁶ cells at day 3 and up to 42 pkat/10⁶ cells at day 4 after stimulation as shown previously (27).

Table 1. Effects of cycloheximide and tunicamycin on the PHA/PMA-induced Ala-pNA hydrolysing activity of peripheral human T cells.

Inhibitor	Ala-pNA hydrolysing activity (pkat/10 ⁶ cells)
None (resting cells) none	4.8 ± 0.8 8.6 ± 1.2
Tunicamycin –8 hours	82+18
Simultaneously	8.6 ± 1.7
+8 hours Cycloheximide	10.1 ± 2.2
2 hours 5 hours	7.8 ± 1.4 8.3 ± 1.8

All values given as mean of 4 experiments \pm SEM. Enzymatic activity was measured after 20 hours of activation by PHA/PMA. Tunicamycin was given 8 hours prior to, simultaneously with, or 8 hours after PHA/PMA. Cycloheximid was present the last 2 or 5 hours, respectively, of the 20 hour stimulation.

Twenty hours after mitogenic stimulation by PHA-L/PMA there was a slight increase of Ala-pNA-hydrolysing activity detectable (8.6 pkat/10⁶ cells). Simulation in the presence of tunicamycin did not change this aminopeptidase activity (8.6 pkat/10⁶ cells), irrespective of the inhibitor of glycosylation given 8 hours prior to, simultaneously with, or 8 hours after the addition of PHA-L/PMA (Table 1).

Cycloheximide included in the cell culture for the last 2 or 5 hours, respectively, of the 20 hour incubation also failed to alter the PHA-L/PMA-induced increase in Ala-pNA-hydrolysing activity substantially (7.8 and 8.3 pkat/10⁶ cells).

Surface expression of CD13

As it has been shown recently (27), T cell activation leads to a significant increase in the number of cells expressing CD13 on their surface. Whereas rest-

ing T cells practically lack CD13 surface expression, up to 51% CD13-positive T cells are present 3 days after activation.

Here we demonstrate, that the PHA-L/PMA-induced surface expression of CD13 measured 20 hours after stimulation is moderately decreased by the simultaneously given inhibitor of glycosylation, tunicamycin, to about 60% CD13-positive cells compared to control. Tunicamycin showed similar effects when given 8 hours prior to or 8 hours after the stimulation by PHA-L/PMA (74% or 65% of control, Fig. 1A).

In this respect CD13 behaved like CD95 (FAS/APO-1), the induction of which was also partially inhibited by simultaneously applied tunicamycin (79% of control, Fig. 1D). As with the CD13 antigen, induction of CD95 surface expression was not differently affected by tunicamycin given 8 hours prior to or 8 hours after mitogenic stimulation of T cells (79% or 73% of control, Fig. 1D). On the contrary, the induction of the CD69 antigen (AIM) was largely uneffected by tunicamycin (101% of control, Fig. 1C).

However, the induction of the CD25 antigen on the surface of T cells appeared to be greatly decreased by tunicamycin. Interestingly, this inhibition is clearly time-dependent with a maximum depression when tunicamycin is given 8 hours prior to the mitogenic activation (24% of control, Fig. 1B).

The effects of the inhibitor of protein biosynthesis cycloheximid on the mitogen-induced surface expression of CD13 on peripheral T cells were studied 20 hours after stimulation by PHA-L/PMA. Cytoheximid was added to the cell culture medium 2 or 5 hours, respectively, before the 20 hours of incubation were completed. Cycloheximid when present during the last 2 hours of stimulation provoked a slight increase of the number of CD13 positive cells (115% of control, Fig. 2A). The presence of cycloheximid during the last five hours of culture reduced the number of CD13 positive cells to about 78% of control. In comparison, cycloheximid was much more effective in suppressing the surface expression of CD25, CD69 and CD95 under identical conditions (Fig. 2B–D).

Another inhibitor of protein biosynthesis, puromycin, showed no effect on the induction of CD13 positive cells, but completely prevented the generation of CD25 positive cells (Fig. 3A, B). For both antigens it made no difference whether the effector was given prior to, simultaneously with, or after the mitogenic stimulation. In contrast, puromycin effectively inhibited the formation of CD69 or CD95 positive cells when given 8 hours before or simultaneously with

Figure 1. Induction of CD13 (A), CD25 (B), CD69 (C) and CD95 (D) surface expression on resting (PP⁻) of PHA/PMA-activated (PP⁺) T cells in the presence (TM⁺) or absence (TM⁻) of tunicamycin measured 20 hours after stimulation. Tunicamycin was given 8 hours prior to the activation (-8 h), simultaneously with the activation (sim.), or 8 hours after activation (+8 h), respectively.

Figure 2. Induction of CD13 (A), CD25 (B), CD69 (C) and CD95 (D) surface expression on resting (PP-) or PHA/PMA-activated (PP+) T cells in the presence (CHX+) or absence (CHX-) of cycloheximide measured 20 hours after stimulation. Cycloheximide, when included, was present during the last 2 (2 h) or 5 (5 h) hours, respectively, of the 20 hours stimulation period.



Figure 1



Aminopeptidase N expression in T cells · 61



Figure 3

the stimulation by PHA-L/PMA. Puromycin was not effective when given 8 hours after the mitogenic activation (Fig. 3C, D).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Both laser-scan (Fig. 4, a–d) and conventional video fluorescence (Fig. 4, e) microscopy revealed that resting T cells contain low amounts of CD13 (Fig. 4, top), which upon activation by PHA/PMA is gradually upregulated. This activation-dependent induction of CD13 expression could be seen as early as 20 hours after stimulation (Fig. 4, bottom). About 100% of T cells analyzed appeared to be CD13-positive. Laser-scan microscopy revealed, that in resting T cells CD13 is mainly localized in close vicinity to the cell surface (Fig. 4, top, a–d). After T cell activation there is an increase of both the membrane-associated and the cytosolic CD13 (Fig. 4, bottom).

Discussion

A number of studies convincingly proved the expression of the aminopeptidase N gene in human peripheral T cells and related cell lines (19, 21, 24, 28, 35). A more recent paper demonstrated that the activation-dependent increase of aminopeptidase N (CD13) enzymatic activity and surface expression is paralleled by an increase of APN-mRNA contents of T cells (27).

The aim of this study was to analyze the role of protein biosynthesis and glycosylation on the induction of CD13 (APN) surface expression. Therefore, the effects of the inhibitors of protein biosynthesis, cycloheximide and puromycin, and of the inhibitor of glycosylation, tunicamycin, on the activation-dependent generation of CD13-positive T cells were compared to those on the induction of other leukocyte surface antigens, namely CD25, CD69 and CD95. The induction of these glycoproteins occurs in a clearly defined sequence: CD69, CD25, and CD95. There is evidence for a very rapid induction of CD13 on granulocytes and monocytes (29), an observation which is consistent with our own data showing that CD13 surface expression of peripheral T cells is detectable as early as one day after stimulation.

The induction-dependent expression of the leukocyte surface antigens CD13, CD25, CD69, and CD95 was differently affected by the inhibitors used resulting in a moderate, profound or neglectable inhibition of the corresponding surface expression by e.g. tunicamycin or puromycin.

[◀]

Figure 3. Induction of CD13 (A), CD25 (B), CD69 (C) and CD95 (D) surface expression on resting (PP-) or PHA/PMA-activated (PP+) T cells in the presence (PM+) or absence (PM-) of puromycin measured 20 hours after stimulation. Puromycin was given 8 hours prior to the activation (-8 h), simultaneously with the activation (sim.), or 8 hours after activation (+ 8 h), respectively.

64 · U. LENDECKEL et al.





Tunicamycin effectively prevented the generation of CD25-positive T cells, partially diminished the number of CD13- or CD95-positive cells, but showed no effect on the mitogen-induced CD69 surface expression at all. The latter finding is in full accordance to the observation of SANCHEZ-MATEOS and SANCHEZ-MADRID (30), that the 24-kDa unglycosylated CD69 polypeptide is induced on the surface of cells treated with tunicamycin. It is concluded from this report, that glycosylation of the protein is neither required for CD69 surface expression nor for the acquisition of external epitops recognized by relevant mAbs.

The same may be true for the rapid activation-dependent expression of the CD13 antigen, although its surface expression on T cells seems to be slightly favoured in absence of tunicamycin.

The systematic studies of DANIELSEN and co-workers (31–34) on the biosynthesis of microvillar aminopeptidase N clearly revealed that in enterocytes or related systems blocking of cotranslational glycosylation or inducing malglycosylation as well as preventing the correct trimming of N-linked carbohydrate all result in dramatically reduced apical expression of APN, which is due to a rapid leupeptin-sensitive degradation in a pre-Golgi compartment. The authors also highlight the importance of a correct timing of biosynthesis in relation to highmannose glycosylation to facilitate proper ternary and quarternary conformations. On this background it must be concluded that the induction of CD13 on activated T cells in spite of the presence of tunicamycin is not fully dependent on *de novo* protein biosynthesis. This would require the existence of a mechanism independent of biosynthesis aiding CD13 surface expression.

In support of this assumption, in T cells the induction of CD13 surface expression also appeared to be relatively resistant to the inhibition of protein biosynthesis. The surface induction of CD13 in response to T cell activation was slightly decreased by the inhibitors of protein synthesis, cycloheximide or puromycin. In contrast, puromycin strongly diminished the generation of CD25-positive cells and partially inhibited the development of CD69 and CD95 surface expression. Cycloheximide markedly decreased surface expression of CD25, CD69 and CD95, whereas CD13 induction remained unaffected. This phenomenom is strongly reminding of the situation in granulocytes/monocytes reported earlier by others. WERFEL et al. (29) demonstrated that after stimulation of leukocytes by the anaphylatoxin C5a there was an increase of CD13 membrane expression within minutes on both granulocytes and monocytes, an effect not prevented by the action of cycloheximid. The authors suggested, therefore, that pre-formed, mature CD13 molecules are rapidly translocated from internal storage sites to the cell surface after stimulation. By analogy with the data presented in this study we propose a similar mechanism underlying the rapid activation-dependent CD13 surface expression in human peripheral T cells measured 20 hours after stimulation. This view is supported by the detection of immunoreactive CD13 in resting T cells by means of immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 4). From these investigations it is also apparent, that this early induction of CD13, which is largely independent of protein biosynthesis, is superimposed by the slowly on-going induction of APN gene expression peaking at days 3 or 4 after activation. In contrast to the short-time culture performed in this study, the surface expression of CD13 observed later is dependent on newly synthesized CD13. In support of this view, in T cells there is a dramatic increase of APN-mRNA content 3 days after stimulation (27). Because prolonged exposure of the T cells to inhibitors of the kind used in this study would cause severe damage to the cells, future studies of long-term effects will require other approaches.

All effectors used in our study prevented the generation of CD25 surface expression on T cells in response to PHA-L/PMA activation. Due to the fact that the induction of CD25 requires on-going protein biosynthesis it is obvious that the conditions applied in our experiments effectively inhibited the *de novo* synthesis of proteins.

Our finding that the rapid induction of CD13 in the course of T cell activation is relatively resistant to the action of cycloheximide or tunicamycin is substantiated by the observation that the induction of Ala-pNA-hydrolysing activity of viable T cells remains also unchanged by these inhibitors.

Surprisingly, the strong inhibition of CD69 surface expression consistently seen after 2 hours of cycloheximide action could not be observed when this inhibitor was present for 5 hours during the mitogenic activation. Unfortunately, at present the answers to this striking phenomenom are largely speculative. We propose that CD69 surface expression is increased with the cycloheximide-provoked depletion of another protein which might normally contribute in the rapid degradation or masking of CD69. Alternatively, depletion of a short-lived protein associated with CD69 might promote its membrane location or improve the accessability to anti-CD69 mAb.

The data presented here confirm the activation-dependent increase in CD13 (APN) surface expression of human peripheral T cells reported earlier and clearly demonstrate that this induction of CD13 expression based on two different mechanisms. First, there is a rapid induction of CD13-surface expression relatively independent of protein biosynthesisis, which is due to a mobilization and/or further unmasking of mature CD13 from internal sites of storage. Second, there is a slowly on-going induction of APN gene expression, which leads to maximum CD13 surface expression after 3 to 4 days. This later induction is clearly dependent of *de novo* protein biosynthesis and correlates well with the observed increase in APN-mRNA levels (27).

This assumption rests on the observed resistance to puromycin and cycloheximide of the generation of CD13-positive T cells in the course of their mitogenic activation. In support of this view tunicamycin did not prevent the induction of CD13 surface expression on T cells.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Mrs. CHRISTINE WOLF, Mrs. HELGA OSSYRA, and Mrs. RUTH HILDE HÄDICKE for expert technical assistance and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 387) for financial support.

References

- 1. WATT, V. M., and H. F. WILLARD. 1990. The human aminopeptidase N gene: isolation, chromosome localization, and DNA polymorphism analysis. Hum. Genet. 85: 651.
- 2. BARNES, K., A. J. KENNY, and A. J. TURNER. 1994. Localization of aminopeptidase N and dipeptidyl peptidase IV in pig striatum and in neuronal and glial cell cultures. Eur. J. Neurosci. 6: (4) 531.
- 3. NOREN, O., H. SJÖRSTRÖM, E. M. DANIELSEN, G. M. COWELL, and H. SKOVBJERG. 1986. In: DESNUELLE, P. (Ed.) Molecular and Cellular Basis of Digestion. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, p. 335.
- COHEN, M. L., L. E. GEARY, and K. S. WILEY. 1983. Enkephalin degradation in the guineapig ileum: effect of aminopeptidase inhibitors, puromycin and bestatin. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 224: 379.
- 5. KANAYAMA, N., Y. KAJIWARA, J. GOTO, E. EL MARADNY, K. MAEHARA, K. ANDOU, and T. TERAO. (1995). Inactivation of interleukin-8 by aminopeptidase N (CD13). J. Leukoc. Biol. 57: 129.
- 6. HOFFMANN, T., J. FAUST, K. NEUBERT, and S. ANSORGE. (1993). Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD26) and aminopeptidase N (CD13) catalyzed hydrolysis of cytokines and peptides with N-terminal cytokine sequences. FEBS Lett. **336:** 61.
- 7. DELMAS, B., J. GELFI, R. L'HARIDON, L. K. VOGEL, H. SJOSTROM, O. NOREN, and H. LAUDE. 1992. Aminopeptidase N is a major receptor for the entero-pathogenic coronyvirus TGEV. Nature 357: 417.
- 8. YEAGER, C. L., R. A. ASHMUN, R. K. WILLIAMS, C. B. CARDELLICHIO, L. H. SHAPIRO, A. T. LOOK, and K. V. HOLMES. 1992. Human aminopeptidase N is a receptor for human coronavirus 229E. Nature **357**: 420.
- 9. YONEDA, J., I. SIKI, H. FUJII, F. ABE, Y. KOJIMA, and I. AZUMA. 1992. Inhibition of tumor invasion and extracellular matrix degradation by ubenimex (bestatin). Clin. Exp. Metastasis 10: 49.
- 10. HANSEN, A. S., O. NOREN, H. SJOSTROM, and O. WERDELIN. 1993. A mouse aminopeptidase N is a marker for antigen-presenting cells and appears to be co-expressed with major histocompatibility complex class II molecules. Eur. J. Immunol. 23: 2358.
- 11. ASHMUN, R. A., A. T. LOOK. 1990. Metalloprotease activity of CD13/aminopeptidase N on the surface of human myeloid cells. Blood **75:** 462.
- 12. LOOK, A. T., R. A. ASHMUN, L. H. SHAPIRO, and S. C. PEIPER. 1989. Human myeloid plasma membrane glycoprotein CD13 (gp150) is identical to aminopeptidase N. J. Clin. Invest. 83: 1299.
- BASSAN, R., A. BIONDI, S. BENVESTITO, M. L. TINI, M. ABBATE, P. VIERO, T. BARBUI, and A. RAMBALDI. 1992. Acute undifferentiated leukemia with CD7⁺ and CD13⁺ immunopheno-type. Lack of molecular lineage commitment and association with poor prognostic features. Cancer 69: 396.
- 14. CANTU RAJNOLDI, A., C. PUTTI, M. SAITTA, D. GRANCHI, R. FOA, R. SCHIRO, M. CASTAGNI, C. VALEGGIO, M. JANKOVIC, R. MINIERO, et al. 1991. Co-expression of myeloid antigens in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: relationship with the stage of differentiation and clinical significance. Br. J. Haematol. **79**: 40.
- 15. DREXLER, H. G., E. THIEL, and L. W.-D. 1991. Review of the incidence and clinical relevance of myeloid antigen-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 5: 637.
- FERRARA, F., C. DE ROSA, A. FASANARO, G. MELE, O. FINIZIO, E. M. SCHIAVONE, O. A. SPADA, V. RAMETTA, and L. DEL VECCHIO. 1990. Myeloid antigen expression in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: clinicohematological correlations and prognostic relevance. Hematol. Pathol. 4: 93.
- 17. GUYOTAT, D., L. CAMPOS, Z. H. SHI, C. CHARRIN, D. TREILLE, J. P. MAGAUD, and D. FIERE. 1990. Myeloid surface antigen expression in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 4: 664.
- 18. PINTO, A., L. DEL VECCHIO, A. CARBONE, M. RONCADIN, R. VOLPE, D. SERRAINO, S. MONFARDINI, A. COLOMBATTI, and V. ZAGONEL. 1991. Expression of myelomonocytic anti-

gens is associated with unfavourable clinicoprognostic factors in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Ann. Oncol. 2 Suppl. 2: 107.

- 19. ANSORGE, S., E. SCHÖN, and D. KUNZ. 1991. Membrane-bound peptidases of lymphocytes: functional implications. Biomed. Biochim. Acta 50: 799.
- KUNZ, D., F. BUHLING, H. J. HUTTER, T. AOYAGI, and S. ANSORGE. 1993. Aminopeptidase N (CD13, EC 3.3.4.11.2) occurs on the surface of resting and concanavalin A-stimulated lymphocytes. Biol. Chem. Hoppe Seyler 374: 291.
- ŘIEMANN, D., A. SCHWACHULA, M. HENTSCHEL, and J. LANGNER. 1993. Demonstration of CD13/aminopeptidase N on synovial fluid T cells from patients with different forms of joint effusions. Immunobiology 187: 24.
- 22. BÜHLING, F., D. KUNZ, U. LENDECKEL, D. REINHOLD, and S. ANSORGE. 1992. Aminopeptidase N (AP N; CD13) on natural killer cells from peripheral blood and synovial fluid (abstract). Immunobiol. **186**: 157.
- ARITA, Y., K. KITA, K. NASU, S. DOI, S. FUKUHARA, M. NISHIKORI, H. MIWA, E. TATSUMI, T. NOSAKA, M. HATANAKA, et al. 1990. Frequent expression of myeloid antigen (CD13) on immature T cells in culture. Nippon Ketsueki Gakkai Zasshi 53: 21.
- 24. WEX, T., U. LENDECKEL, H. WEX, K. FRANK, and S. ANSORGE. 1995. Quantification of aminopeptidase N mRNA in T cells by competitive PCR. FEBS. Lett. 374: 341.
- 25. JULIUS, M. H., E. SIMPSON, and L. A. HERZBERG. 1973. A rapid method for the isolation of functional thymus-derived murine lymphocytes. Eur. J. Immunol. 3: 645.
- 26. BANK, U., D. REINHOLD, and S. ANSORGE. 1991. Messung der zellularen Aktivität mit Hilfe des MTT-Farbtests. Untersuchungen zur Optimierung des Verfahrens. Allerg. Immunol. Leipz. 37: 119.
- 27. LENDECKEL, U., T. WEX, D. REINHOLD, TH. KÄHNE, K. FRANK, and S. ANSORGE. 1996. Induction of Alanyl Aminopeptidase Gene and Surface Expression in Human T Cells by Mitogenic Activation. Biochem. J. **319**: 817.
- 28. LENDECKEL, U., T. WEX, T. KAHNE, K. FRANK, D. REINHOLD, and S. ANSORGE. 1994. Expression of the aminopeptidase N (CD13) gene in the human T cell lines HuT78 and H9. Cell Immunol. 153: 214.
- 29. WERFEL, T., G. SONNTAG, M. H. WEBER, and O. GÖTZE. 1991. Rapid increases in the membrane expression of neutral endopeptidase (CD10), aminopeptidase N (CD13), tyrosine phosphatase (CD45), and Fc gamma-RIII (CD16) upon stimulation of human peripheral leukocytes with human C5a. J. Immunol. 147: 3909.
- 30. SANCHEZ-MATEOS, P., and F. SANCHEZ-MADRID. 1991. Structure-function relationship and immunochemical mapping of external and intracellular antigenic sites on the lymphocyte activation inducer molecule, AIM/CD69. Eur. J. Immunol. 21: 2317.
- 31. DANIELSEN, E. M., and J. OLSEN. 1988. Biosynthesis of intestinal microvillar proteins. Effect of castanospermine on cell-free synthesis of aminopeptidase N. FEBS. Lett. 228: 102.
- 32. DANIELSEN, E. M. 1992. Folding of intestinal brush border enzymes. Evidence that highmannose glycosylation is an essential early event. Biochemistry 31: 2266.
- 33. DANIELSEN, E. M., and G. M. COWELL. 1984. Biosynthesis of intestinal microvillar proteins. Further characterization of the intracellular processing and transport. FEBS Lett. 166: 28.
- 34. DANIELSEN, E. M. 1989. Post-translational suppression of expression of intestinal brush border enzymes by fructose. J. Biol. Chem. 264: 13726.
- 35. STANGE, T., KETTMANN, U., and H.-J. HOLZHAUSEN. 1996. Immunoelectron microscopic single and double labelling of aminopeptidase N (CD13) and dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD26). Acta histochem. 98: 323.

UWE LENDECKEL, University of Magdeburg, Center of Internal Medicine, Institute of Experimental Internal Medicine, Leipziger Str. 44, D-39120 Magdeburg, Germany.