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#### Abstract

Cycloaddition reaction of tropone with 1,1-diethoxyethene catalyzed by Lewis acid (LA), $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, was examined by using $\omega$ B97X-D-level density functional theory (DFT) calculations. In the absence of LA, the reaction proceeds in a stepwise fashion to form two chemical bonds, first between the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in ethene and then between the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom in the former and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in the latter. When $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ is attached to the O atom in tropone, the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom in tropone is attacked preferentially by the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene in the second stage. The attack of the O atom in tropone is shown to be less likely; thus, the $[4+2]$ addition is favored in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3^{-}}$ catalyzed reaction. In contrast, the attack of the O atom in the $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone to the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene is preferred over the attack of the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom, indicating that the [8+2] cycloaddition instead of the $[4+2]$ cycloaddition proceeds in the $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-catalyzed reaction. Whether the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene is attacked by $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ or by O in the second bond formation step is shown in this study to be governed mainly by the nucleophilicity of $\sigma$-lone pair electrons of the carbonyl O atom of tropone in the presence of LA. These results are consistent with the experiments reported by Li and Yamamoto.


## - INTRODUCTION

Tropone and its derivatives have attracted the attention of both synthetic and theoretical chemists for more than half a century. ${ }^{1-5}$ A variety of higher-order cycloaddition reactions utilizing its nonbenzenoid aromaticity, such as $[6+3]$, $[6+4]$, $[8+2]$, and $[8+3]$ additions, have been reported. ${ }^{6-10}$ In contrast, the Diels-Alder [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction is relatively limited because of the electron-deficient nature of tropones, although the reaction can directly provide the bicyclo[3.2.2] structures found in natural products or bioactive compounds. ${ }^{11-16}$ Nozoe et al. examined the reaction of tropolones with maleic anhydride under reflux conditions and obtained $[4+2]$ adducts. ${ }^{11}$ Takeshita et al. reported the Diels-Alder reactions of tropones under high-pressure conditions. ${ }^{12}$ Thus, harsh conditions are required for the Diels-Alder reaction of tropones.

One method for overcoming the low reactivity of tropones toward the $[4+2]$ cycloaddition reaction is to increase the nucleophilicity for use as dienes in the normal-electrondemand Diels-Alder reactions. Jørgensen et al. reported Brønsted base-catalyzed asymmetric [4 + 2] cycloaddition reactions of tropolones. ${ }^{17}$ Okamura et al. examined the DielsAlder reaction between $\alpha$-tropolone and electron-deficient dienophiles prompted by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or silica gel. ${ }^{18}$ More recently, Wu et al. proposed a theoretical carbonyl umpolung strategy for activating tropone. ${ }^{19}$

Another method is the use of Lewis acid (LA) to activate tropones as dienes in the inverse-electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) reaction with electron-rich dienophiles. Li and Yamamoto reported the IEDDA reaction of tropone with electron-rich dienophiles. ${ }^{20}$ Reaction of tropone (1) with 1,1diethoxyethene (2) catalyzed by $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ gave the $[4+2]$ cycloadduct, 9,9-diethoxybicyclo[3.2.2]nona-3,6-dien-2-one (3), while the reaction catalyzed by other LAs such as $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ provided 2,2-diethoxy-3,3a-dihydro- 2 H -cyclohepta[b]furan (4), which corresponds to the $[8+2]$ cycloadduct. Both 3 and 4 were obtained when $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$, and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ were used as the LA catalyst. They further applied the bicyclo[3.2.2] compounds obtained by the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-catalyzed reaction to the formal synthesis of platencin. ${ }^{21}$

The difference in catalysis toward the IEDDA reaction among those LAs is of great interest to us. Domingo and Pérez recently examined the reactions of tropone with cyclic ketene acetal in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ by using molecular

[^0]
electron density theory, and concluded that a series of weak attractive/repulsive interactions control the selectivity in giving $[4+2]$ or $[8+2]$ cycloadduct. ${ }^{22}$ In this study, we focused on the difference in catalytic roles for the cycloaddition reactions between $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, both of which have the same molecular structural frameworks, and examined the precise reaction mechanisms for the reaction of 1 with 2 catalyzed by $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, as shown in Scheme 1, by using $\omega$ B97X-Dlevel density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

Scheme 1. Reaction of 1 with 2 Catalyzed by a Lewis Acid (LA)


## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction without LA. We first examine the cycloaddition between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in the absence of LA. Stepwise pathways were found both for $[4+2]$ and for $[8+2]$ cycloadditions (Figure 1). ${ }^{23}$ In the $[4+2]$ addition pathway, nucleophilic attack of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in 2 by the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in 1 gives an intermediate complex $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ through the transition state $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$. In $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{A} 4,}$ the distances between $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ in $\mathbf{2}$ and between $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ in $\mathbf{1}$ and $C^{1}$ in 2 are 1.59 and $3.38 \AA$, respectively (Figure S2). The stability analysis for the solution of the closed-shell KohnSham equations showed that the obtained Kohn-Sham wave function of $\mathbf{I N T}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ is stable. The bond formation between $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ in 1 and $C^{1}$ in 2 proceeds through the second transition state $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ to afford the $[4+2]$ adduct $\mathbf{3}$. The Gibbs free energies of $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{A} 4}, \mathbf{I N T}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$, and $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$, relative to the initial state $(\mathbf{1}+$ 2), $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$, are located relatively high, at $25.1,18.9$, and 22.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (the activation energies, $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}$, of TS1 ${ }_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ are 21.3 and $3.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively ${ }^{24}$ ). On the other hand, the transition state $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$, in which the direction of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in dienophile is different from that in $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$, leads to the intermediate complex $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$. The complex $\mathrm{INT}_{\text {A8 }}$ provides the $[8+2]$ product 4 through the transition state for the second bond formation between the O atom in $\mathbf{1}$ and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$. The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$, $27.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}=22.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, is higher than that of TS1 $\mathbf{A}_{4}$, while the $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of $\mathbf{T S} 2_{\mathrm{A} 8}, 20.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}\right.$ $=1.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, is slightly lower than that of TS2 $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=\right.$ $22.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} ; \Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}=3.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). In addition, the calculation revealed that the intermediate complex in the [4+ 2] addition pathway, $\mathbf{I N T}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$, is transformed into $\mathbf{I N T}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$ in the [8+2] addition pathway via rotation around the bond formed first between the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in 1 and the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in 2 through another transition state, $T S 3_{A 4}$. One notes that the relative free energy of $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ is not high, $22.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}=3.4\right.$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), compared with those of other transition states.

Thus, the first bond formation through $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ is preferred over TS1 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{A} 8}$. The resulting $\mathbf{I N T}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ leads, however, to TS2 ${ }_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ and also to $\mathbf{T S} 3_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ because these transition state structures have


Figure 1. Gibbs free energy diagrams for the reaction of 1 with 2 without LA (kcal/mol). The $[4+2]$ and $[8+2]$ addition pathways are represented in red and blue, respectively.
almost the same relative free energies ( 22.1 and $22.5 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol ). This indicates that both 3 and 4 are formed in the addition of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in the absence of a catalyst (Scheme 2 and Figure S3). Experimentally, Takeshita et al. examined the cycloaddition reaction of tropone with 1,1-diethoxyethane under thermal conditions and observed [8+2], [4+2], and [6 $+2]$ cycloadducts. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{We}$ could locate the transition state structure for bond formation between the $\mathrm{C}^{7}$ atom in 1 and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in 2 to afford the [6+2] adduct 5, TS4 $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ (Figure S2). The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of TS4 ${ }_{\mathrm{A} 4}, 25.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, is seen, however, to be higher than those of $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ to give 3 and $\mathbf{4}$, respectively. We also examined transition state structures with other conformations of diethoxy moieties for the first bond formation (Figure S4), and TS1 ${ }_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ has the lowest free energy among the obtained structures. Moreover, similar stepwise pathways were obtained for $\mathrm{TS}_{1} \mathbf{B}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{T S} 1_{\mathrm{B} 8}$ (Figure S5).
$B\left(C_{6} F_{5}\right)_{3}$-Catalyzed Reaction. It was shown above to be difficult to obtain selectively the $[4+2]$ or $[8+2]$ cycloadduct in the reaction between 1 and 2 without any catalyst. The

Scheme 2. Pathways for the Reaction of 1 with 2 without LA


Figure 2. Gibbs free energy diagrams for the reaction of 1 with 2 catalyzed by $B\left(C_{6} F_{5}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. The $[4+2]$ and $[8+2]$ addition pathways are represented in red and blue, respectively.
formation of two bonds should take place in a stepwise manner to avoid the strong overlap repulsion that would intervene in the cycloadditions. ${ }^{25}$ We examine next the pathways for the reaction between tropone attached to $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(1 \cdots \mathrm{~B}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$, which is lower in Gibbs free energy by $13.4 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol than $\left(1+B\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$, and $\mathbf{2}$. As in the case of noncatalyzed reaction system, stepwise pathways were found both for the [4 $+2]$ and for the [8+2] cycloadditions (Figures 2 and S7). In
the $[4+2]$ addition pathway, the first bond formation through the transition state, $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$, is followed by the second bond formation through the intermediate complex INT1 $1_{\text {FA }}$ and the transition state TS2 ${ }_{\text {FA } 4}$ (Figure 3). ${ }^{26}$ The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{KA}}$ values of $\mathrm{TS1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}, \mathbf{I N T} 1_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$, and TS2 $\mathbf{F A}$, are $13.7,0.6$, and $11.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, much lower than those in the noncatalyzed reaction pathway ( $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}$ of $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{F A A}}$ are 11.5 and $10.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). In the $[8+2]$ addition pathway,


Figure 3. Structures of $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}, \mathbf{T S} 2_{\mathrm{FA} 4}, \mathbf{T S} 1_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$, and $\mathbf{T S 6} \mathbf{F A} 8$. Distances are shown in angstrom.
Scheme 3. Pathways for the Reaction of 1 with 2 Catalyzed by $B\left(C_{6} F_{5}\right)_{3}$

on the other hand, the first bond formation via the transition state $\operatorname{TS1}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=17.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} ; \Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}=16.7 \mathrm{kcal} /\right.$ $\mathrm{mol})$ gives an intermediate complex $\operatorname{INT} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-0.7\right.$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). This complex $\mathrm{INT1}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$, in which the dienophile moiety is located above the tropone plane, is then transformed into the other complex $\operatorname{INT}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=4.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, in which the dienophile moiety lies on the side of tropone (Figures S7 and S8). The complex $\mathbf{I N T 2} \mathbf{2 A A}_{\text {F }}$ is further transformed into the other conformation complex INT5 ${ }_{\text {FA8 }}$
$\left(\right.$ INT2 $_{\text {FA } 8} \rightarrow$ TS3 $_{\text {FA } 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=5.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$ $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{TS4}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=6.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ $\rightarrow$ INT4 FA $8\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=4.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow$ TSS $_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=6.0\right.$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \rightarrow \operatorname{INTS}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=5.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$; Figures S 7 and S8)), and then the complex INT5 FAs provides INT6 FA8 via the transition state, $\mathbf{T S 6}_{\mathbf{F A} 8}$, for the bond formation between the O atom in tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene (Figure 3 for the structure of $\mathbf{T S 6} \mathbf{F A 8}$ ). The LA, $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, is now dissociated from the O atom in tropone through $\mathrm{TS}^{\mathrm{FA} 8}$ to give finally the


Figure 4. Gibbs free energy diagrams for the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathbf{2}$ catalyzed by $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. The [4+2] and [8+2] addition pathways are represented in red and blue, respectively.
$[8+2]$ cycloadduct $4 \cdots \mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ (Figure S8). The distance between the boron atom in $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ and the oxygen atom in tropone at $\mathrm{INT5}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}, \mathrm{TS6}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$, INT6 $\mathbf{F A}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$, TS7 $7_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$, and $4 \cdots$ $\mathbf{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ is $1.51,1.67,1.80,1.89$, and $4.18 \AA$, respectively. The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of $\mathbf{T S 6} \boldsymbol{6}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$ and TS7 $7_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$ is 16.9 and $16.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, much higher than that of $\mathbf{T S}^{\mathrm{FA} 4}$ for the second bond formation in the $[4+2]$ addition pathway ( $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}$ of TS6 $_{\text {FA } 8}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {FA8 }}$ is 11.4 and $1.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). The intermediate complex in the $[4+2]$ addition pathway, INT1 $1_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$, is transformed into the intermediate complex in the $[8+2]$ pathway, $\operatorname{INT} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\operatorname{INT} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)\right.$ $\rightarrow \operatorname{TS3}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=2.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=\right.$ $-0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \rightarrow \operatorname{TS4}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=6.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow$
$\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=1.7\right.$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \rightarrow$ INT4 $_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow$ TS6 $_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$ $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{INTS}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-0.7 \mathrm{kcal} /\right.$ $\mathrm{mol}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TS} 7_{\mathrm{FA} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}\right.$ $=-0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$; Figures 2, S7, and S8). One sees in Figure 2 shows that the $[4+2]$ addition pathway should take place preferentially in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-catalyzed reaction (Scheme 3).
$\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-Catalyzed Reaction. The stepwise pathways for the reaction between tropone attached to $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}\left(\mathbf{1} \cdots \mathrm{BPh}_{3}\right)$, which is lower in Gibbs free energy by $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ than $\left(\mathbf{1}+\mathrm{BPh}_{3}\right)$, and 2 were examined next (Figures 4, 5, S9, and S10). For the $[4+2]$ addition pathway, the first bond formation through the transition state, $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{H D} 4}$, is followed by the second bond


TS1 ${ }_{\text {HD8 }}$



Figure 5. Structures of $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}, \mathbf{T S} \mathbf{H D}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}, \mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}, \mathbf{T S 5}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$, and $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$. Distances are shown in angstrom.
formation through intermediate complex INT1 $_{\text {HD4 }}$ and transition state $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ (Figure 5 for the structures of TS1 $\mathbf{H D}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ ). The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}, \mathbf{I N T} 1_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$, and $\mathbf{T S} 2_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ is $17.5,3.2$, and $13.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, which are slightly higher than the corresponding values in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ catalyzed pathway $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K} \ddagger}\right.$ of $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ is 15.1 and $10.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). ${ }^{27}$ In the [8+2] addition pathway, on the other hand, the $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of the transition state for the first bond formation $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ and of the resulting intermediate complex $\operatorname{INT} 1_{\mathrm{HDs}}$ is 21.1 and $5.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, being higher than those of $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ and $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}} \ddagger\right.$ of TS1 $1_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ is $18.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). The migration of $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ via TS2 ${ }_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=7.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ and the rotation of a phenyl ring in
$\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ via $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{HD8}}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=6.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ provide complex $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=5.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$; Figure S9). Further migration of both $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ and the dienophile moiety gives complex INT4 $_{\mathrm{HD} 8}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ via TS4 ${ }_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=10.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$. Finally, bond formation between the O atom in 1 and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in 2 , accompanied by a simultaneous bond breaking between the O atom in 1 and the $B$ atom in $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, gives the $[8+2]$ cycloadduct $4 \cdots \mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ via the transition state $\mathbf{T S 5}_{\mathrm{HD8}}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=10.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$ and $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ $=9.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; Figure 5 for the structure of $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{HD8}}$ ). The pathway from the complex $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ to $4 \cdots \mathrm{BPh}_{3}$ is lower in free energy than TS2 $\mathbf{H D}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ in the $[4+2]$ pathway $\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=\right.$

Scheme 4. Pathways for the Reaction of 1 with 2 Catalyzed by $\mathbf{B P h}_{3}$

$13.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), although $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{HDs}}$ in the $[8+2]$ pathway is higher in free energy than $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ in the [4+2] pathway.
The intermediate complex in the $[4+2]$ addition pathway, $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$, is transformed easily into the complex in the [8+2] pathway, $\mathbf{I N T} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$, via $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ (Figure 5 for the structure of $\left.\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}\right)$. The $\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}$ of TS3 ${ }_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ is $11.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is lower than that of TS2 $\mathbf{H D}\left(\Delta G^{298 \mathrm{~K}}=13.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$; Figure 4). These results indicate that the $[8+2]$ cycloadduct is produced preferentially through the transformation of $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ to $\mathrm{INT}_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ via $\mathbf{T S} 3_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ (Scheme 4).

Reactivity and Selectivity. We investigated orbital interactions for the noncatalyzed [ $4+2$ ] cycloaddition by using the interaction frontier orbitals (IFOs) ${ }^{28,25}$ calculated at the $\omega$ B97X/6-311G**(6d)// $\omega$ B97X-D (IEFPCM) $/ 6-$ $311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}(5 \mathrm{~d})$ level of theory. Electron delocalization from ethene to tropone at $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathbf{A 4}}$ is represented by a pair of orbitals $\left(\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime} ; \psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ (Figure S11a). The orbital $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ consists of the unoccupied Kohn-Sham orbitals of the tropone fragment, showing a large amplitude on the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom. The orbital $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is the $\pi$ bonding orbital localized on the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond of ethene, given by a linear combination of the occupied Kohn-Sham orbitals of the ethene fragment. The orbitals $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are located at +0.07 and -7.88 eV in energy, respectively. Electron delocalization from tropone to ethene is governed by a pair of orbitals $\left(\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime} ; \psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ (Figure S11a). The orbital $\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ is localized at the $\mathrm{p} \pi$ orbital at the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom, which is composed of the occupied canonical orbitals of tropone. The orbital $\psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ is the $\pi$ antibonding orbital localized on the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond, given by a combination of the unoccupied orbitals of ethene. The orbitals $\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are located at -9.65 and +7.89 eV , respectively. The energy gap between $\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ is much larger than that between $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. That is, electron delocalization from ethene to tropone, inverse-electron demand, is essential for the first bond formation step of the $[4+2]$ cycloaddition. ${ }^{29}$

At $\mathbf{T S} 2_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ for the transition state structure in the second bond formation step giving the $[4+2]$ adduct, electron delocalization from ethene to tropone is represented by a pair of orbitals $\left(\phi_{3}{ }^{\prime} ; \psi_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, as illustrated in Figure S11b. The orbitals, $\phi_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ are localized around the bond formed through TS1 ${ }_{\text {A4 }}$, showing that electron delocalization remains of importance in strengthening the first bond between the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in ethene. A pair of orbitals $\left(\phi_{4}{ }^{\prime} ; \psi_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, which shows the electron delocalization from
tropone to ethene, come to have large amplitudes in between the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom in tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene. The energy gap between $\phi_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ has been reduced compared with that between $\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ at $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ (the orbitals $\phi_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ are located at -8.89 and +2.34 eV in energy, respectively). Thus, the latter electron delocalization plays an important role in the formation of a bond between the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom in tropone and the $C^{1}$ atom in ethene.

Based on the results for the noncatalyzed cycloaddition, we next examined the first bond formation step in the [ $4+2$ ] addition pathway in the presence of an LA. At $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{FA}^{4} \text {, }}$ two pairs of interacting orbitals similar in shape to those in TS1 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$ were obtained (Figures S12 and S13), indicating that the reaction mechanism is essentially unchanged by the attachment of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$. However, the electron-accepting orbital $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is lowered by 2.00 eV compared to the orbital $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$. The electron-accepting ability of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in tropone is seen to be strengthened significantly by the attachment of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} .{ }^{30}$ Meanwhile, the electron-donating orbital $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ of ethene is slightly elevated by 0.23 eV in $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$ relative to the orbital $\psi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{A} 4}$. In response to the enhancement of the acidic character of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom, the energy gap between the electron-donating and electron-accepting levels is significantly reduced in $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathbf{F A} 4}$, compared with that in $\mathbf{T S} 1_{\text {A }}$, to facilitate electron delocalization. The first role of the LA is the strengthening of electrophilicity of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom, consistent with findings reported by Domingo and Pérez. ${ }^{22}$ At $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$, the energy level of the electron-accepting orbital in tropone $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (Figure S12) is 1.45 eV lower than the orbital $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in TS1 ${ }_{\text {A } 4}$, but 0.55 eV higher than the orbital $\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$. This signifies that the electron-accepting ability of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in the $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone is weaker than that in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3^{-}}$ attached tropone but is significantly stronger than that in tropone without any LA. This difference in the strength of the electron-accepting ability of $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ is reasoned in terms of the decrease in electron population and polarization of charges induced by the LA on the tropone framework. The natural population atomic charge of $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ and the sum of the atomic charge in tropone at $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ are shown to be -0.165 and -0.064 , and -0.129 and -0.018 at $\mathbf{T S 1}_{\text {FA4 }}{ }^{31,32}$ They were -0.182 and -0.466 , respectively, in the noncatalyzed case.

For the second bond formation step in the [4+2] addition pathway in the presence of the LA, electron delocalization


Figure 6. Pair of interacting orbitals $\left(\phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime} ; \psi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for (a) TS $_{\text {FA8 }}$ and (b) TSS $_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$ calculated at the $\omega$ B97X-D/6-311G ${ }^{* *}(6 \mathrm{~d}) / / \omega$ B97XD(IEFPCM) $/ 6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ level of theory. The orbitals $\phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ for TS6 $_{\text {FA8 }}, \psi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ for TS6 $_{\text {FA8 }}, \phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ for TS5 $_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$, and $\psi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ for TS5 $_{\mathrm{HD8}}$ are located at -12.32 , $+2.05,-11.14$, and +1.87 eV in energy, respectively.
from tropone to ethene is represented by a pair of orbitals, $\left(\phi_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime} ; \psi_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ at TS2 ${ }_{\mathrm{FA} 4}$ and $\mathbf{T S} 2_{\mathrm{HD} 4}$ (Figure S14). The electrondonating orbitals, $\phi_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3^{-}}$and $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone, are -10.98 and -10.24 eV , respectively, showing that the nucleophilicity of the $\mathrm{p} \pi$-orbital in $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-attached tropone is lower than that of $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone
Next, we examine the orbital interactions at the transition state, $\mathbf{T S 6}_{\mathrm{FA} 8}$ or $\mathbf{T S 5} \mathbf{H D 8}$, for bond formation between the O atom in $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ - or $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene in the $[8+2]$ addition pathway. Electron delocalization from tropone to ethene at TS6 FA8 is represented by a pair of orbitals $\left(\phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime} ; \psi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$, as illustrated in Figure 6a. The electron-donating orbital $\phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is localized in the $\sigma$-lone pair orbital at the O atom, while the electron-accepting orbital $\psi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is the $\pi$-antibonding orbital localized on the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in ethene. At TS5 ${ }_{\mathrm{HD} 8}$, a pair of orbitals very similar in shape was obtained (Figure 6b). The energy of the electron-donating orbital $\phi_{5}{ }^{\prime \prime},-11.14 \mathrm{eV}$, is higher than that in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ attached tropone, -12.32 eV , indicating that the electrondonating ability of the $\sigma$-lone pair electrons at the O atom is considerably stronger in the $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-attached tropone.
In summary, the attachment of the $\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, to tropone strengthens the electrophilicity of the $\mathrm{p} \pi$-orbital, having a large amplitude on the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in tropone. The electrophilicity of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-attached tropone is seen to be higher, as is expected from the stronger Lewis acidity of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ than that of $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}{ }^{33,34}$ Thus, the first bond formation between the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-attached tropone and the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ atom in ethene is much easier in this step. In contrast, the LA weakens the electron-donating character of both the $\mathrm{p} \pi$-orbital in the tropone ring and the also $\sigma$-lone pair orbital on the O atom in tropone. In particular, the nucleophilicity of the $\sigma$-lone pair electrons on the O atom, which plays the dominant role in the second bond formation in the $[8+2]$ cycloaddition, is weakened markedly by the attachment of the LA. Here, the effect of LA is seen to be more significant in the $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$-attached tropone. ${ }^{35}$ Thus, the $[8+$ 2] addition is suppressed and the $[4+2]$ addition proceeds in the case of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, whereas the $[8+2]$ addition is preferred in the case of $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

We examined the cycloaddition reaction of tropone with $1,1-$ diethoxyethene catalyzed by an $\mathrm{LA}, \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$, by using $\omega$ B97X-D-level DFT calculations. In the absence of a LA, we identified two stepwise pathways, the $[4+2]$ and $[8+$ 2] additions. The first bond formation between $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ in tropone and $C^{2}$ in 1,1-diethoxyethene prefers the [4+2] pathway, but the bond formation in the second stage between the $\mathrm{C}^{5} / \mathrm{O}$ in tropone and $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ in the ethene prefers the [ $8+2$ ] process. In addition, the calculations revealed a path to transfer from the $[4+2]$ pathway to the $[8+2]$ pathway. Thus, both the $[4+2]$ and $[8+2]$ adducts were formed under a thermal condition. The LA-catalyzed additions take place in two stages, as is the case in the noncatalyzed cycloadditions. In the $B\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ catalyzed reaction, the attack of the $C^{1}$ atom in ethene by the $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atom in tropone is preferred to the attack by the O atom in tropone in the second stage. On the contrary, the attack of the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ atom in ethene by the O atom in tropone is preferred in the $\mathrm{BPh}_{3}$-catalyzed reaction, indicating that the formation of the [8 +2 ] adduct is favored in this case. Whether $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ of the dienophile is attacked by $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ or by O of tropone in the second bond formation step is related to the nucleophilicity of the $\sigma$ lone pair electrons at the LA-attached O atom in tropone. These results are consistent with the experimental results reported by Li and Yamamoto.

## - COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

DFT calculations were carried out with the Gaussian $09^{36}$ program package. Geometry optimization and analytical vibrational frequency analysis were performed by the restricted Kohn-Sham DFT by using the long-range corrected hybrid functionals with dispersion corrections ( $\omega$ B97X-D). ${ }^{37,38} \mathrm{~A}$ larger grid (superfinegrid) was used in the numerical integration. ${ }^{36}$ Pople's $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set was used for the Gaussian basis functions (5d polarization functions). ${ }^{39}$ The polarizable continuum model with integral equation formalism (IEFPCM) ${ }^{40}$ was used for the solvent effects of dichloromethane. To confirm that the obtained transition state structures connect the reactant and product structures, IRC calculations ${ }^{41}$ or structural optimizations from the initial structures which were displaced along the imaginary frequency
mode of the transition states were performed. To explore the conformers of $\mathbf{2}$, the conformer search program CONFLEX ${ }^{42}$ was initially used and the structures with lower energies were then optimized by using DFT calculations.
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