

# Virally Mediated Connexin 26 Expression in Postnatal Scala Media Significantly and Transiently Preserves Hearing in Connexin 30 Null Mice

Li Zhang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Wenwen Wang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Sun Myoung Kim<sup>2,3†</sup>, Jianjun Wang<sup>2,3</sup>, Binfei Zhou<sup>2,3</sup>, Weijia Kong<sup>1</sup>, James Zheng<sup>2,3</sup> and Xi Lin<sup>2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Otolaryngology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Cell Biology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Roberto Bruzzone, Institut Pasteur, France

#### Reviewed by:

Martine Cohen-Salmon, INSERM U1050 Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherche en Biologie, France Thomas W. White, Stony Brook University, United States

\*Correspondence:

Xi Lin xlin2@emory.edu <sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular and Cellular Pathology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 21 March 2022 Accepted: 11 April 2022 Published: 27 April 2022

#### Citation:

Zhang L, Wang W, Kim SM, Wang J, Zhou B, Kong W, Zheng J and Lin X (2022) Virally Mediated Connexin 26 Expression in Postnatal Scala Media Significantly and Transiently Preserves Hearing in Connexin 30 Null Mice. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:900416. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.900416 Non-sensory cells in the sensory epithelium of the cochlea are connected extensively by gap junctions. Functionally null mutations in *GJB6* (encoding Cx30) cause hearing loss in humans. In this study, we injected AAV1-CB7-*Gjb2* into the scala media between P0-2 in the cochlea of  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice. The injection increased Cx26 expression and significantly preserved auditory functions. However, the hearing preservation gradually declined and essentially disappeared 3 months after the injections. In contrast, the morphological preservation was still significant at 3 months post-injection. We found that the expression of Cx26, at both the mRNA and protein levels, showed substantial decreases during the 3-month period. Curiously, treatments by injecting AAV1-CB7-*Gjb6* with the identical approach failed to yield any hearing preservation. Our results demonstrated the first successful cochlear gene therapy treatment in mouse models by virally expressing a companion gene of *Gjb6*.

Keywords: connexin, scala media, cochlea, gene therapy, virus, mouse, hearing sensitivity

## INTRODUCTION

Hearing loss (HL) is the most common sensory deficit in humans (Bowl et al., 2017). According to data published by the World Health Organization (WHO), around 466 million people worldwide are affected by disabling HL. Thirty-four million of the affected people are children (https://www.who. int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/deafness-and-hearing-loss). Approximately 1 in 500 infants is affected by congenital HL and most (50–60%) of cases are caused by genetic mutations (Smith et al., 2005; Korver et al., 2017). Children with congenital HL are affected in many other aspects of their lives, including delayed language (Roberts, 1979; Kennedy et al., 2006) and cognitive developments (Roberts, 1979; Davis and Hind, 1999), difficulties in achieving better school performance (Culbertson and Gilbert, 1986; Baille et al., 1996) and in preserving their quality of life (Wake et al., 2004; Roland et al., 2016).

Gap junctions (GJs) are intercellular membrane channels that facilitate the movement of ions and biochemically active molecules among functionally coupled cells (Wu et al., 2019). Connexins (Cxs) are protein subunits used in assembling GJs, and compatible subtype of Cxs co-assemble to form homomeric or heteromeric GJs in organ/tissue specific manners (Mese et al., 2007; Goodenough and

Gene Therapy in Cx30-Null Mice

Paul, 2009). GJs co-assembled from Cx26 and Cx30 are the predominant form of GJs in the inner ear. These GJs connect all types of non-sensory cells in the organ of Corti (OC), the fibrocytes in lateral wall and basal cells in the stria vascularis (SV) (Forge et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2019). Mutations in GIB2 (encoding Cx26) and GJB6 (encoding Cx30) result in hereditary HL, which accounts for about half of the all cases of human hereditary HL cases (Wu et al., 2019). More than 300 deafness mutations have been reported in GJB2 and GJB6 (Stenson et al., 2017). One common aspect of these GIB2 and GIB6 mutations is that most of them generate functionally null Cxs. Both Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> (Cx30<sup>-/-</sup>) (Teubner et al., 2003) and conditional Gjb2<sup>-/-</sup> (Cohen-Salmon et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2009) mice are deaf. Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice exhibit severe hearing impairment and never develop the endocochlear potential (EP). Both non-sensory cells and hair cells in the cochlea degenerate after postnatal day 18 (P18) (Teubner et al., 2003). However, another Cx30 knock-out mouse model  $(Cx30^{\Delta/\Delta})$  generated by Boulay et al. (2013) shows normal hearing. The Cx30<sup>-/-</sup>model shows a very low expression of Cx26 compared to the Cx30<sup> $\Delta/\Delta$ </sup> model, in which half of Cx26 expression was preserved, indicating that the absence of Cx30 alone is not responsible for deafness.

Current major treatment options for sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) patients are hearing aids and cochlear implants (CIs). However, the two options have some major limitations. Hearing aids rely on residual hearing to work. The resolution of CIs still needs improvement and is quite expensive. Tremendous effort has been spent to find novel treatments, including cochlear gene therapy, that are expected to restore hearing with more natural sound quality and to have a significantly lower lifetime cost. Rapid progress in the study of cochlear gene therapy has been made in the last few years. Most hereditary HL is caused by homozygous recessive mutations in one gene, which means genetic hearing loss is potentially amenable by exogenous expression of a single wild-type (WT) gene in many cases (Akil et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018). Adeno-associated virus (AAV) mediated gene expression had been used to successfully prevent hearing loss in several mouse models. Previous studies from our lab and others showed that Cx30 null mice displayed normal hearing if experimental manipulations maintain cochlear Cx26 protein level at the WT level (Ahmad et al., 2007; Boulay et al., 2013). In this study, we injected AAV1 vectors to express either Gjb2 or Gjb6 in the scala media (SM) of Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Our goal is to investigate whether supplemental viral expression of either Cx26 or Cx30 at the neonatal stage could preserve the auditory functions. Results showed successful hearing preservation in Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice by our current approach performed at early postnatal stage for about 3 months only with the overexpression of AAV1-mediated Cx26 expression.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Design and Packaging of Recombinant AAV1 Constructs

Full-length cDNA of *Mus musculus Gjb2* was obtained by enzymatic digestion from the pCMV6-Kan/Neo-Gjb2 plasmid

(OriGene Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD) by using KpnI and XhoI. The plasmid of pCMV6-Kan/Neo-Gjb6 was also purchased from OriGene Technologies. The GFP gene was obtained from the pAAV-GFP vector (Cell Biolabs Inc., San Diego, CA) by digesting with EcoRI and SalI. The cut-off cDNAs were inserted into the pENN.AAV.CB7.CI.RBG (Gene Therapy Program, University of Pennsylvania) to form the plasmids of either the pAAV-CB7-*Gjb2*, pAAV-CB7-Gjb6 or pAAV-CB7-GFP (Supplementary Figure S1B), respectively. AAV1 virus particles were prepared at the Emory Viral Vector Core (http://neurology.emory.edu/ENNCF/viral\_vector/). More details about the design and construction of viral plasmids (Yu et al., 2014) and virus production (Wang et al., 2013) were described previously. Virus titers were measured by a method based on a real-time PCR method (Aurnhammer et al., 2012). The titers of AAV1-CB7-Gjb2, AAV1-CB7-Gjb6 and AAV1-CB7-GFP were typically  $1.5 \times 10^{12}$ ,  $4.5 \times 10^{12}$  and  $1.5 \times 10^{13}$ genome copies/ml, respectively. The virus aliquots (10 µl each, in phosphate buffered solution (PBS)) were stored in -80°C freezer. One aliquot was thawed at room temperature prior to the injection.

# Mouse Models and Virus Injection Procedures

Animal care and use were in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Emory University. Surgical procedures of virus injection were performed following the same protocol as those previously described (Chang et al., 2015). Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice were purchased from the European Mouse Mutant Archive (EMMA, www.infrafrontier.eu) and were used in our previously published studies (Ahmad et al., 2007; Chang et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2009). Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice were originally generated in a mixed 129P2/OlaHsd and C57BL/6NCrl strain background (Teubner et al., 2003). Mice with the CBA/CaJ background, unlike the C57BL/6 background, have consistently displayed minimal age-related HL (Zheng et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2009). In order to minimize the risk of early-onset age-related HL due to genetic background, we backcrossed the purchased  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice with the CBA/CaJ mice (purchased from the Jackson Lab., Bar Harbor, ME) for more than 30 generations and obtained a near homogeneous CBA/CaJ genetic background for  $Gib6^{-/-}$  mice used in this study.

Recombinant AAV1 vectors were injected into the left ear of  $Gjb6^{--}$  mice from P0 to P2. The ABRs were recorded (Ahmad et al., 2007) at 1, 2, and 3 months post-injection. The mouse cochleae were extracted for measuring viral DNA copies, Gjb2 mRNA and Cx26 protein levels. A diagram showing the experimental design is given in **Supplementary Figure S1A**. Mice were anesthetized by placing them on ice. A skin incision was made behind the ear to expose the otic bulla. The tympanic membrane and auditory ossicles were used as landmarks during surgery. The locations of the basal cochlear turn and round window membrane (RWM) were identified by their anatomical relation to the stapedius artery. Glass micropipettes (tip size 10–15 µm) were made on a horizontal

pipette puller (Model P-2000, Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA). We used a micromanipulator (MP-285, Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA) to precisely control the movement of injection pipettes. The tip of the pipette was advanced to penetrate into the SM or scala tympani (ST) to inject ~1.0  $\mu$ l of virus fluid into the left cochlea by using a Picospritzer III pressure microinjection system (Picospritzer III, Parker Hannifin Corp, NY). Fast green dye (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St Louis, MO), which was visible when observed under bright light illumination of a dissection microscopy (Stemi 2000, Zeiss, Germany), was included in the solution to help visually monitor and confirm the fluid ejections. After surgeries, mice were allowed to recover on a 37°C heating pad (model TR-100, Fine Science Tool Inc., Foster City, CA) before returning to the animal housing facility.

# Measurement of the Auditory Brainstem Responses

Mice were anesthetized with the mixture of ketamine (80 mg/kg), xylazine (10 mg/kg) and acepromazine (30 mg/kg). Tone burst (4–32 kHz) or click sound stimuli generated by Biosig were delivered by a speaker (model MF1, Tucker Davis Technologies, Alachua, FL) to the ear through a 1/8' I.D. PVC tubing. Speakers are calibrated every month by following manufacturer's instructions. ABRs from WT mice with or without AAV1-CB7-GFP injections and  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice injected with or without AAV1-CB7-GF6 were measured at 1 month after the injection. ABRs in  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice with or without AAV1-CB7-Gjb6 were measured at 1 month after the injection were measured at 1, 2, and 3 months post-injection (**Supplementary Figure S1A**). More details for ABR test protocols and determination of ABR thresholds can be found in our published papers (Ahmad et al., 2007).

# Immunolabelling of Cochlear Whole Mount Preparations

The cochleae of anesthetized mice were dissected from mice heads. Samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 2 h. Cochleae obtained from animals older than 10 days were decalcified with 10% EDTA for 4 days until the bony tissue was soft to the touch of forceps. The Cochlea was dissected out by carefully removing the bony tissue surrounding it. The Reissner's membrane and tectorial membrane were removed, and the OC and the lateral walls were preserved. Samples were blocked and permeabilized with 10% goat serum in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS (PBST) at room temperature for 1 h. They were then incubated with primary antibodies against either Cx26 (1:200, Invitrogen, 71-0500, Waltham, MA), Cx30 (1:200, Invitrogen, 71-2200, Waltham, MA), Myosin VIIa (1:200, Proteus biosciences Inc., Ramona, CA) or GFP 25-6,790, (1:200, Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., 600-401-215, Limerick, PA) diluted with 0.1% PBST at 4°C overnight. After washing three times with PBS (10 min/each wash) at room temperature, samples were incubated with either Alexa 488 conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500, Invitrogen, A11034, Waltham, MA) or Phalloidin-Tetramethylrhodamine B isothiocyanate (Phalloidin-TRITC) (1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., P1951-.1 MG, St Louis, MO) for

1 h at 4°C. Processed samples were washed for three times in PBS (10 min/each wash) at room temperature. Samples were mounted in fluoromount-G antifading solution and examined using a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). More details can be found in our published papers (Chang et al., 2015).

### Measurement of Viral Titer, RNA and Protein Levels of the Cx26 in the Cochlea

Genomic DNA and RNA were extracted from the cochleae at time points of 1, 2, and 3 months post-injection (Supplementary Figure S1A). DNA and RNA were isolated using AllPrep DNA/ RNA mini kit (Qiagen, 80,204, Hilden, Germany) from the cochleae. RNA was treated by DNase I (Promega, M6101, Madison, WI) first and then used for cDNA synthesis using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quantabio, 95,048, Beverly, MA). Semi-quantitative PCR analysis was performed using the following primers: CMV-F 5'ACGGGGTCATTAGTTCAT AGC3' and CMV-R 5'GCTCACCTCGACCATGGTAA3' for viral DNA; Gapdh-F 5'GCTGAGTCATGGTGGTTCTG3' and Gapdh-R 5'TTCCAACCCTAATCCCAGGG3' for Gapdh mRNA; Gjb2-F 5'TTCAGACCTGCTCCTTACCG3' and Gjb2-R 5'ATGCATAGCTACCAGGAGGG3' for Gjb2 mRNA; βactin-F 5'GGGACCTGACGGACTACCTC3' and  $\beta$ -actin-R 5'CCATACCCAAGAAGGAAGGCT3' for β-actin mRNA.

Total protein was extracted from the cochleae at time points of 1, 2, and 3 months post-injection. Cochlear samples were homogenized by a pestle in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and cochlear lysate was prepared using RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1.0% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-pH 8.0) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 04693116001, Indianapolis, IN). The lysate was passed through a 22-gauge needle with syringe at least 10 times prior to centrifugation and processed for standard western blot with mouse anti-Cx26 antibody (0.25  $\mu$ g/ml, Invitrogen, 71–0500, Waltham, MA), and mouse anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin antibody (0.25  $\mu$ g/ml, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-32293, Dallas, Texas).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were statistically analyzed using *t*-test and they are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The significance level in statistical analyses was set at p < 0.05. Statistical significance is indicated in the figures as follows: n.s., not significant; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

## RESULTS

### Virally Mediated Gjb2 Expression Significantly Enhanced Cx26 Protein Level and the Formation of GJs in Supporting Cells

We first optimized the viral transduction efficiency by comparing results of AAV1-CB7-GFP (green fluorescent protein) expressions obtained from injections into either the SM or ST



of WT mice at P0 to P2. The signal of GFP, 1 week after injection, was examined from immunolabelling of whole mount cochlear samples. GFP-positive cells were identified in inner hair cells (IHCs), outer hair cells (OHCs), Hensen's cells (HeCs), inner sulcus cells (ISCs), outer sulcus cells (OSCs), Claudius cells (CCs) and marginal cells (MCs) (Supplementary Figure S2A). Transduction efficiency achieved by injection into the SM appeared to be significantly better for most cochlear cell types lining the walls of the SM, including the targeted cochlear supporting cells (e.g., HeCs, ISCs, OSCs, CCs) (Supplementary Figures S2A,B). In addition, tone-burst

auditory brainstem response (ABR) tests showed that injections into the SM between P0-2 did not impair the hearing thresholds of WT mice measured at 1 month post-injection (**Supplementary Figure S2C**). We therefore injected viral solution into the SM in rest of our studies for the treatment of  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice in order to optimize virally-mediated Cx expressions in cochlear cells targeted for treatment.

We performed whole mount immunolabelling to examine the cellular expression pattern of Cx26 in WT and  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice with or without AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 injections. Cx26 was widely expressed in supporting cells of the OC in WT mice (left column



of Figure 1A), and the Cx26 expression was not found in the IHCs, OHCs after the AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 injection (Figure 1A). The overall Cx26 expression level appeared to be decreased in the cochlea of un-injected  $Gib6^{-/-}$  mice (Figure 1), which is consistent with previous reports of others and our lab (Lautermann et al., 1998; Ahmad et al., 2003; Ahmad et al., 2007). These reduced immunoreactivities of Cx26 were observed in the fibrocytes, OSCs, CCs, HeCs and ISCs (Figure 1) in the un-injected cochlea of  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice compared to those of WT. After injecting AAV1-CB7-Gib2 into the cochlea of  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice, we observed that the Cx26 immunolabelling signal in these cells were apparently higher than the un-injected cochlea (Figure 1). The Cx26 expression was not found in the IHCs, OHCs in the cochlea with the AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 injection (Figure 1). Western blot showed that the overall Cx26 expression levels in the cochlea of un-injected and injected  $Gib6^{-/-}$  mice was 39.3 ± 8.6% and 179.9 ± 30.6% respectively, when compared to the WT mice examined 1 month post-injection (left three columns of Figure 4A). The western blot result was consistent with that of whole mount immunelabelling (Figure 1). These results showed that AAV1-CB7-*Gjb2* significantly increased Cx26 protein level in the cochlear cells targeted for treatment.

### ABR Thresholds Were Preserved Temporarily for Three Months by AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 Injections While the Preservation of the Cochlear Morphology Seemed to Last Longer

To evaluate the treatment efficacy on the hearing threshold, we measured ABR thresholds using either click or tone-burst evoked responses from three groups of mice: 1) WT mice, 2)  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice with the AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 injections, from both un-injected and injected ears, 3)  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice injected with AAV1-CB7-Gjb6. Untreated  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice showed severe HL (n = 35, Figure 2B; data points showed by filled squares) in the range of about 60–90 dB when measure 1 month post-injections. We found that the ABR thresholds of mice treated with AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 were significantly preserved, as measured by either click (n = 14) or tone-burst sounds (n = 35, Figure 2B; data points showed by filled triangles). The differences in ABR thresholds between the



Images. (b) Quantifications of the percentage of OHC loss (normalized to agematched WT controls) in the apical, middle and base turns of un-injected  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice and  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice injected with AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 examined at 3 months post-injection. Error bars in (B) represent standard deviation of the mean (n = 3 for each group). Myo7a, Myosin 7A.Scale bar: 50 µm (low magnification), 20 µm (high magnification).

un-injected and injected ears (in the same animal) were statistically significant (**Figure 2B**). The average difference of ABR thresholds between the two ears evoked by click sound was  $37 \pm 9$  dB (n = 14, t-test, p < 0.001). The average improvements measured by tone-bursts presented at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 32 kHz were  $27 \pm 11$ ,  $28 \pm 15$ ,  $31 \pm 15$ ,  $24 \pm 16$ ,  $22 \pm 20$  and  $13 \pm 16$  dB, respectively (n = 35, t-test, p < 0.001 for all frequencies).

We monitored long-term treatment efficacy in the injected  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice for 3 months (n = 6) and found that the differences between un-injected and injected ears significantly and gradually decreased (**Figure 2C**). The differences in ABR thresholds measured at 1 month post-injection were 42 ± 8 dB tested by the click and were 30 ± 11, 35 ± 18, 47 ± 19, 45 ± 10, 30 ± 17 and 20 ± 14 dB at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24 and 32 kHz, respectively tested by tone bursts. The difference between ABR thresholds measured at 2 months post-injection decreased to 37 ± 10 dB tested by the click sound and  $20 \pm 9$ ,  $32 \pm 12$ ,  $40 \pm 11$ ,  $40 \pm 13$ ,  $20 \pm 13$  and  $10 \pm 6$  dB at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24 and 32 kHz tested by tone bursts. These differences were still statistically significant (n = 6, *t*-test, p < 0.05 for all frequencies). Measured at 3 months post-injection, these differences between treated and untreated ears were no longer

statistically significant (n = 6, *t*-test, p > 0.05). We also injected AAV-CB7-*Gjb6* into the SM of the left cochlea of *Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup>* mice at P0-2 using the same procedures as those used to inject AAV-CB7-*Gjb2*. The expressions of Cx30 in the cochlea after injection of AAV-CB7-*Gjb6* were confirmed (**Supplementary Figure S3A**). However, we never observed preservation of the hearing threshold tested from more than one hundred *Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (**Supplementary Figure S3B**).

The morphological changes in the cochlea of  $Gib6^{-/-}$  mice started from the degeneration of the OHCs (Teubner et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2009). We performed immunolabelling to examine whether the injection of AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 preserved normal morphology of OHCs. Examined at 3 months when the difference of ABR thresholds between the un-injected and injected ears were small and no longer statistically significant (Figure 2C), we found severe OHCs degeneration in both the middle (50.33  $\pm$  4.93% loss of OHCs) and basal turns (89.00  $\pm$ 3.61%) of the un-injected cochlea (right column of Figures 3A,B). In contrast, the middle and basal turn in the injected cochlea showed relatively few OHC loss (<1%) (left column of Figures 3A,B). These results suggested that morphological preservation (e.g., survival of OHCs) after injection of AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 lasted longer than the hearing preservation in *Gib6<sup>-/-</sup>* mice.

### Time Course of the Changes in the Viral Titer, mRNA and Protein Levels of Cochlear Gjb2

To investigate the underlying mechanism for decreased treatment efficacy (Figure 2C) over a relatively short period of 3 months, we examined the changes in the levels of AAV genome copy numbers (viral titer), Gjb2 transcripts (mRNA), and Cx26 protein levels in the cochleae. Primers specific for the CMV promoter amplified the AAV genome (Supplementary Figure S1C) and the AAV was detected only in the injected cochleae (top rows of Figure 4A). The copy numbers of AAV genome were significantly decreased gradually during the 3-month period (top rows of Figures 4A,B). The AAV genome copy numbers measured at second and third month were 51.9  $\pm$  3.4% and 15.5  $\pm$ 0.8% of that of the first month, respectively (Figure 4B). For the Gjb2 mRNA level, un-injected cochlea was  $34.0 \pm 1.7\%$  of that of the WT, while the injected cochlea was  $224.5 \pm 6.3\%$  compared to the WT cochlea when examined at 1 month. The mRNA levels decreased dramatically during the 3 months period after injection (middle rows of Figures 4A,C). They were  $120.0 \pm 4.2\%$  and  $46.3 \pm 5.7\%$  of the WT levels measured at two and 3 months postinjection, respectively (Figure 4C). We performed western blots to examine the Cx26 protein level in the cochlea. The Cx26 protein in the untreated cochlea was  $39.3 \pm 8.6\%$  compared to the WT mice when measured at 1 month. The Cx26 protein level in the injected cochlea was 179.9 ± 30.6% of the WT cochlea measured at 1 month post-injection. The protein levels of the injected cochlea gradually decreased (bottom rows of Figures **4A,D**). They were 107.2  $\pm$  16.9% and 61.6  $\pm$  5.2% of the WT level when measured at two and 3 months post-injection, respectively (Figure 4D).



## DISCUSSION

In this study we injected either AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 or AAV1-CB7-*Gjb6* to the SM of  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice by the same procedure. Viral titer of AAV1-CB7-Gjb6 was no less than the AAV1-CB7-Gjb2. However, the hearing thresholds of  $Gib6^{-/-}$  mice were only preserved by postnatal injection (P0-2) of the AAV1-CB7-Gjb2 (Figure 2). Our published studies found that Cx26 plays a unique and dominate role in the early development of the cochlea (Qu et al., 2012). We also showed that transgenic mice with extra copies of the Gjb2 expressed from the bacteria artificial chromosome in the Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice have normal hearing (Ahmad et al., 2007). Boulay et al. (2013) reported that the hearing loss phenotype exhibited by Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice depends on the cumulative effect of deletion of Cx30 and 3' insertion of the lacZ and neo genes, which causes dramatic reduction in Cx26 protein level in the cochlea of Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice. These data support that the reduced Cx26, other than the deletion of Cx30, is the reason for the hearing loss in  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice. They further support our hypothesis that virally increasing the Cx30 in the absence of appropriate Cx26 level is unlikely to preserve hearing in Gjb6<sup>-/-</sup> mice. The current study supports the hypothesis that upregulation of Cx26 other than Cx30 is the key in preventing

deafness caused by Cx30 null mutation. Comparing to prior cochlear gene therapy studies, one important and novel design in our study was that we virally expressed the *Gjb2*, which is a companion gene of the *Gjb6* that displayed positive treatment outcomes in mice. The new design expanded the design principles of current gene replacement/supplement therapies, and support the importance of studying gene-gene interactions as the basis for mechanism-based gene therapy in the future.

Several studies have been published with the aim of preserving hearing in Cx30 null mice. Crispino et al. (2017) failed to preserve the auditory function by injecting BAAV-Cx26 or BAAV-Cx30 to  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice via semicircular canal at P4. The results were consistent with our observation that directly supplementing Cx30 in the early postnatal age failed to preserve hearing in  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice. However, delivering BAAV-Cx26 also failed to restored hearing in that study. The reason may be due to a rapid decrease in the transcript level of Cx26, which measured only 15.5 ± 4.5% (p < 0.005, ANOVA) of its initial peak 30 days after injections. In this study, the transcription of Cx26 could be maintained at the WT level for about 2 months (**Figure 4**). Miwa et al. (2013) performed electroporation-mediated transuterine gene transfer of the WT Cx30 gene into the otocysts of homozygous Cx30 null mice. They reported significant hearing preservation. The Cx26 level was not measured in that study,

therefore it is unclear whether the observed hearing preservation was directly caused by embryonic boost in the Cx30 expression or indirectly by increasing in Cx26 protein level when the Cx30 level is boosted in the embryonic stage.

Another important finding was that the treatment efficacy only lasted for less than 3 months by our current injection protocols. A survey of published cochlear gene therapy studies (Supplementary Table S1) revealed that at least half of the prior studies showed relatively short efficacy of less than 6 months in mouse models regardless of injections made at embryonic, neonatal or later stages (Chang et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018; Yoshimura et al., 2019). For examples, an *in-utero* virus-mediated gene therapy approach preserves the hearing of MsrB3<sup>-/-</sup> mice. However, hearing thresholds begin to increase at 7 weeks of age (Kim et al., 2016). Chang et al. (2015) showed that restored hearing in  $Kcnq1^{-/-}$  mice by delivering AAV-Kcnq1 via injecting into the SM at P0-2 started to deteriorate beginning at 18 weeks. Hearing restoration of Tmc1<sup>Bth/+</sup> mice using a single intracochlear injection of an artificial microRNA carried by an AAV vector at adult stage also showed transient efficacy and rescued hearing start to decline at 8 weeks after injections (Yoshimura et al., 2019). The transient efficacy of gene therapies was also observed in gene therapy studies of other organs. Administration of AAV-G6Pase into dogs with GSD-Ia prevented hypoglycemia, but the treatment efficacy waned between 2 and 30 months of age (Demaster et al., 2012). In human follow-up studies of ocular gene therapy in the LCA2 clinical trials, the vision improvement in LCA patients by AAV2-RPE65 injections progressively diminished after 3 years (Bainbridge et al., 2015; Jacobson et al., 2015). These studies suggest that the long-term treatment efficacy of cochlear gene therapy is not guaranteed. One popular hypothesis for the efficacy decline is that the expression level of virally-expressed gene may slowly decline to below a needed therapeutic threshold level (Bainbridge et al., 2015).

To directly investigate the underlying mechanism for decline in treatment efficacy, we measured the time course of changes in the viral titer, the expression of Cx26 at both the mRNA and protein levels, and found that all of which declined significantly during the 3-month period in the cochlea. At 3 months postinjection when the hearing of injected and un-injected ears had no statistically significant differences, we found that both total mRNA and protein levels of Cx26 were below those of the WT levels (Figure 4). These results suggested that the maintenance of normal cochlear functions needed the Cx26 to be expressed above a certain threshold level, below which the cochlear functions cannot be maintained. Cunningham et al. (2008) and Yiu et al. (2010) both showed that the rapid decline of AAV-mediated hepatic gene expression was resulted from the fast rate of the hepatocellular cellular turnovers. As the supporting cells in the OC, the cells in SV and lateral wall may turnover slowly (Dunaway et al., 2003; Lang et al., 2003; Li et al., 2017), we suspect that this may be one reason that the Cx26 expression gradually declined. How to maintain the long-term efficacy is a critical issue for future clinical translation the cochlear gene therapy. To extend the treatment efficacy, we postulate that a second injection or even more follow-up injections at the adult stage may be needed. Demaster et al. and Young et al. show that re-administration of the AAV vector could prolong the treatment

efficacy in dogs with GSD-Ia (Demaster et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2018). For the  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice, the second injection to prevent the decline of hearing appears to be possible since most of the hair cells in the treated ears did not degenerate at 3 months (**Figure 3**). Another approach may be to discover more viral vectors with higher transduction efficiency or vectors that integrate into the genome. Geng et al. (2017) showed that treatment efficacy of gene therapy in *Clrn1* KO-TgAC1 mice with AAV-*Clrn1*-UTR injection could last for 150 days while mice treated with AAV-*Clrn1* could only last for 90 days. These studies suggest that designs to include more appropriate promoters or tags may potentially increase long-term efficacy of the gene therapy.

In summary, our study showed that virally mediated Gjb2 expression could significantly prevent hearing loss in  $Gjb6^{-/-}$  mice for about 2 months. The preservation of cochlear morphology is longer than the hearing preservation. Our studies also suggested research directions and technical issues for future studies. One of them is to improve long-term efficacy such that cochlear gene therapy may have a better chance and appeal for successful clinical translation into human applications.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Emory University.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LZ, WW, and SK conducted and designed part of the experiments, analyzed a subset of data, and wrote part of the paper. JW, BZ, JZ, and WK conducted part of the experiments and analyzed a subset of data. XL conducted and designed all the experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

## FUNDING

This study was supported by grants to XL from the National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders (R21DC017272 and RO1 DC014496) and by grant to WW from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81800914).

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2022.900416/ full#supplementary-material

### REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S., Chen, S., Sun, J., and Lin, X. (2003). Connexins 26 and 30 are Co-Assembled to Form gap Junctions in the Cochlea of Mice. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 307 (2), 362–368. doi:10.1016/s0006-291x(03)01166-5
- Ahmad, S., Tang, W., Chang, Q., Qu, Y., Hibshman, J., Li, Y., et al. (2007). Restoration of Connexin26 Protein Level in the Cochlea Completely Rescues Hearing in a Mouse Model of Human Connexin30-Linked Deafness. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104 (4), 1337–1341. doi:10.1073/pnas.0606855104
- Akil, O., Seal, R. P., Burke, K., Wang, C., Alemi, A., During, M., et al. (2012). Restoration of Hearing in the VGLUT3 Knockout Mouse Using Virally Mediated Gene Therapy. *Neuron* 75 (2), 283–293. doi:10.1016/j.neuron. 2012.05.019
- Aurnhammer, C., Haase, M., Muether, N., Hausl, M., Rauschhuber, C., Huber, I., et al. (2012). Universal Real-Time PCR for the Detection and Quantification of Adeno-Associated Virus Serotype 2-Derived Inverted Terminal Repeat Sequences. *Hum. Gene Ther. Methods* 23 (1), 18–28. doi:10.1089/hgtb.2011.034
- Baille, M. F., Arnaud, C., Cans, C., Grandjean, H., du Mazaubrun, C., and Rumeau-Rouquette, C. (1996). Prevalence, Aetiology, and Care of Severe and Profound Hearing Loss. Arch. Dis. Child. 75 (2), 129–132. doi:10.1136/adc.75.2.129
- Bainbridge, J. W. B., Mehat, M. S., Sundaram, V., Robbie, S. J., Barker, S. E., Ripamonti, C., et al. (2015). Long-Term Effect of Gene Therapy on Leber's Congenital Amaurosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 372 (20), 1887–1897. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1414221
- Boulay, A.-C., del Castillo, F. J., Giraudet, F., Hamard, G., Giaume, C., Petit, C., et al. (2013). Hearing Is Normal without Connexin30. J. Neurosci. 33 (2), 430–434. doi:10.1523/Jneurosci.4240-12.2013
- Bowl, M. R., Simon, M. M., Simon, M. M., Ingham, N. J., Greenaway, S., Santos, L., et al. (2017). A Large Scale Hearing Loss Screen Reveals an Extensive Unexplored Genetic Landscape for Auditory Dysfunction. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 886. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00595-4
- Chang, Q., Tang, W., Ahmad, S., Zhou, B., and Lin, X. (2008). Gap Junction Mediated Intercellular Metabolite Transfer in the Cochlea Is Compromised in Connexin30 Null Mice. *PLoS One* 3 (12), e4088. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0004088
- Chang, Q., Wang, J., Li, Q., Kim, Y., Zhou, B., Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Virally Mediated Kcnq1 Gene Replacement Therapy in the Immature Scala Media Restores Hearing in a Mouse Model of Human Jervell and Lange-Nielsen Deafness Syndrome. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 7 (8), 1077–1086. doi:10.15252/emmm. 201404929
- Cohen-Salmon, M., Ott, T., Michel, V., Hardelin, J.-P., Perfettini, I., Eybalin, M., et al. (2002). Targeted Ablation of Connexin26 in the Inner Ear Epithelial gap Junction Network Causes Hearing Impairment and Cell Death. *Curr. Biol.* 12 (13), 1106–1111. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(02)00904-1
- Crispino, G., Galindo Ramirez, F., Campioni, M., Zorzi, V., Praetorius, M., Di Pasquale, G., et al. (2017). *In Vivo* Genetic Manipulation of Inner Ear Connexin Expression by Bovine Adeno-Associated Viral Vectors. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 6567. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06759-y
- Culbertson, J. L., and Gilbert, L. E. (1986). Children with Unilateral Sensorineural Hearing-Loss - Cognitive, Academic, and Social-Development. *Ear Hear.* 7 (1), 38–42. doi:10.1097/00003446-198602000-00007
- Cunningham, S. C., Dane, A. P., Spinoulas, A., Alexander, I. E., and Alexander, I. E. (2008). Gene Delivery to the Juvenile Mouse Liver Using AAV2/8 Vectors. *Mol. Ther.* 16 (6), 1081–1088. doi:10.1038/mt.2008.72
- Davis, A., and Hind, S. (1999). The Impact of Hearing Impairment: A Global Health Problem. Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol. 49 (Suppl. 1), S51–S54. doi:10.1016/s0165-5876(99)00213-x
- Demaster, A., Luo, X., Curtis, S., Williams, K. D., Landau, D. J., Drake, E. J., et al. (2012). Long-Term Efficacy Following Readministration of an Adeno-Associated Virus Vector in Dogs with Glycogen Storage Disease Type Ia. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 23 (4), 407–418. doi:10.1089/hum.2011.106
- Dunaway, G., Mhaskar, Y., Armour, G., Whitworth, C., and Rybak, L. (2003). Migration of Cochlear Lateral Wall Cells. *Hear. Res.* 177 (1-2), 1–11. doi:10. 1016/s0378-5955(02)00767-0
- Forge, A., Becker, D., Casalotti, S., Edwards, J., Marziano, N., and Nevill, G. (2003). Gap Junctions in the Inner Ear: Comparison of Distribution Patterns in

Different Vertebrates and Assessment of Connexin Composition in Mammals. J. Comp. Neurol. 467 (2), 207–231. doi:10.1002/cne.10916

- Geng, R., Omar, A., Gopal, S. R., Chen, D. H.-C., Stepanyan, R., Basch, M. L., et al. (2017). Modeling and Preventing Progressive Hearing Loss in Usher Syndrome III. Sci. Rep. 7 (1), 13480. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13620-9
- Goodenough, D. A., and Paul, D. L. (2009). Gap Junctions. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 1 (1), a002576. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a002576
- Jacobson, S. G., Cideciyan, A. V., Roman, A. J., Sumaroka, A., Schwartz, S. B., Heon, E., et al. (2015). Improvement and Decline in Vision with Gene Therapy in Childhood Blindness. N. Engl. J. Med. 372 (20), 1920–1926. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1412965
- Kennedy, C. R., McCann, D. C., Campbell, M. J., Law, C. M., Mullee, M., Petrou, S., et al. (2006). Language Ability after Early Detection of Permanent Childhood Hearing Impairment. N. Engl. J. Med. 354 (20), 2131–2141. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa054915
- Kim, M.-A., Cho, H.-J., Bae, S.-H., Lee, B., Oh, S.-K., Kwon, T.-J., et al. (2016). Methionine Sulfoxide Reductase B3-Targeted In Utero Gene Therapy Rescues Hearing Function in a Mouse Model of Congenital Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 24 (11), 590–602. doi:10.1089/ars.2015.6442
- Korver, A. M. H., Smith, R. J. H., Van Camp, G., Schleiss, M. R., Bitner-Glindzicz, M. A. K., Lustig, L. R., et al. (2017). Congenital Hearing Loss. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 3, 16094. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2016.94
- Lang, H., Schulte, B. A., and Schmiedt, R. A. (2003). Effects of Chronic Furosemide Treatment and Age on Cell Division in the Adult Gerbil Inner Ear. J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 4 (2), 164–175. doi:10.1007/s10162-002-2056-4
- Lautermann, J., ten Cate, W.-J. F., Altenhoff, P., Grümmer, R., Traub, O., Frank, H.-G., et al. (1998). Expression of the gap-Junction Connexins 26 and 30 in the Rat Cochlea. *Cel Tissue Res.* 294 (3), 415–420. doi:10.1007/s004410051192
- Lee, Y. M., Conlon, T. J., Specht, A., Coleman, K. E., Brown, L. M., Estrella, A. M., et al. (2018). Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of AAV Gene Therapy in the Canine Model of Glycogen Storage Disease Type Ia. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 41 (6), 977–984. doi:10.1007/s10545-018-0199-7
- Li, Y., Watanabe, K., Fujioka, M., and Ogawa, K. (2017). Characterization of Slow-Cycling Cells in the Mouse Cochlear Lateral wall. *PLoS One* 12 (6), e0179293. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179293
- Meşe, G., Richard, G., and White, T. W. (2007). Gap Junctions: Basic Structure and Function. J. Invest. Dermatol. 127 (11), 2516–2524. doi:10.1038/sj.jid. 5700770
- Miwa, T., Minoda, R., Ise, M., Yamada, T., and Yumoto, E. (2013). Mouse Otocyst Transuterine Gene Transfer Restores Hearing in Mice with Connexin 30 Deletion-Associated Hearing Loss. *Mol. Ther.* 21 (6), 1142–1150. doi:10. 1038/mt.2013.62
- Qu, Y., Tang, W., Zhou, B., Ahmad, S., Chang, Q., Li, X., et al. (2012). Early Developmental Expression of Connexin26 in the Cochlea Contributes to its Dominate Functional Role in the Cochlear gap Junctions. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 417 (1), 245–250. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.11.093
- Roberts, G. C. (1979). Early Cognitive Development in Deaf Children. *Young Child.* 34 (6), 53–59.
- Roland, L., Fischer, C., Tran, K., Rachakonda, T., Kallogjeri, D., and Lieu, J. E. C. (2016). Quality of Life in Children with Hearing Impairment: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 155 (2), 208–219. doi:10.1177/0194599816640485
- Smith, R. J., Bale, J. F., Jr., and White, K. R. (2005). Sensorineural Hearing Loss in Children. Lancet 365 (9462), 879–890. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71047-3
- Stenson, P. D., Mort, M., Ball, E. V., Evans, K., Hayden, M., Heywood, S., et al. (2017). The Human Gene Mutation Database: Towards a Comprehensive Repository of Inherited Mutation Data for Medical Research, Genetic Diagnosis and Next-Generation Sequencing Studies. *Hum. Genet.* 136 (6), 665–677. doi:10.1007/s00439-017-1779-6
- Sun, Y., Tang, W., Chang, Q., Wang, Y., Kong, W., and Lin, X. (2009). Connexin30 Null and Conditional Connexin26 Null Mice Display Distinct Pattern and Time Course of Cellular Degeneration in the Cochlea. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 516 (6), 569–579. doi:10.1002/cne.22117
- Teubner, B., Michel, V., Pesch, J., Lautermann, J., Cohen-Salmon, M., Sohl, G., et al. (2003). Connexin30 (Gjb6)-Deficiency Causes Severe Hearing Impairment and Lack of Endocochlear Potential. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12 (1), 13–21. doi:10.1093/ hmg/ddg001

- Wake, M., Hughes, E. K., Collins, C. M., and Poulakis, Z. (2004). Parent-Reported Health-Related Quality of Life in Children with Congenital Hearing Loss: A Population Study. *Ambul. Pediatr.* 4 (5), 411–417. doi:10.1367/A03-191R.1
- Wang, Y., Chang, Q., Tang, W., Sun, Y., Zhou, B., Li, H., et al. (2009). Targeted Connexin26 Ablation Arrests Postnatal Development of the Organ of Corti. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 385 (1), 33–37. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.05.023
- Wang, Y., Sun, Y., Chang, Q., Ahmad, S., Zhou, B., Kim, Y., et al. (2013). Early Postnatal Virus Inoculation into the Scala media Achieved Extensive Expression of Exogenous green Fluorescent Protein in the Inner Ear and Preserved Auditory Brainstem Response Thresholds. J. Gene Med. 15 (3-4), 123–133. doi:10.1002/jgm.2701
- Wu, X., Zhang, W., Li, Y., and Lin, X. (2019). Structure and Function of Cochlear Gap Junctions and Implications for the Translation of Cochlear Gene Therapies. *Front. Cel. Neurosci.* 13, 529. doi:10.3389/fncel.2019.00529
- Yiu, W. H., Lee, Y. M., Peng, W.-T., Pan, C.-J., Mead, P. A., Mansfield, B. C., et al. (2010). Complete Normalization of Hepatic G6PC Deficiency in Murine Glycogen Storage Disease Type Ia Using Gene Therapy. *Mol. Ther.* 18 (6), 1076–1084. doi:10.1038/mt.2010.64
- Yoshimura, H., Shibata, S. B., Ranum, P. T., Moteki, H., and Smith, R. J. H. (2019). Targeted Allele Suppression Prevents Progressive Hearing Loss in the Mature Murine Model of Human TMC1 Deafness. *Mol. Ther.* 27 (3), 681–690. doi:10. 1016/j.ymthe.2018.12.014
- Yu, Q., Wang, Y., Chang, Q., Wang, J., Gong, S., Li, H., et al. (2014). Virally Expressed Connexin26 Restores gap Junction Function in the Cochlea of Conditional Gjb2 Knockout Mice. *Gene Ther.* 21 (1), 71–80. doi:10.1038/gt.2013.59

- Zhang, W., Kim, S. M., Wang, W., Cai, C., Feng, Y., Kong, W., et al. (2018). Cochlear Gene Therapy for Sensorineural Hearing Loss: Current Status and Major Remaining Hurdles for Translational Success. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11, 221. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00221
- Zheng, Q. Y., Johnson, K. R., and Erway, L. C. (1999). Assessment of Hearing in 80 Inbred Strains of Mice by ABR Threshold Analyses. *Hear. Res.* 130 (1-2), 94–107. doi:10.1016/s0378-5955(99)00003-9

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Zhang, Wang, Kim, Wang, Zhou, Kong, Zheng and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.