

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Resuscitation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitation

Letter to the Editor

Drone delivery of AED's and personal protective equipment in the era of SARS-CoV-2



EUROPEAN

RESUSCITATION

To the Editor,

Scquizzato and colleagues have recently described the fear of contracting SARS-CoV-2 as an obstacle to perform bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR).¹ A case similar to the one reported has also occurred in our country.² The authors describe the current ERC guidelines that advise continuous chest compressions (CCC)-CPR and early defibrillation, as well as an only-look technique to assess the absence of signs of life to dispel bystanders' concern about CPR in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. It is currently not predictable what dynamics SARS-CoV-2 transmission will develop in the coming years, but prolonged or intermittent social distancing may be necessary until 2022.³ A long-term strategy is required to maintain the current out-of-hospital-cardiac-arrest (OHCA) survival rate.

We believe that the development and introduction of novel techniques may help to limit human contact and encourage bystanders to start CPR on site as soon as possible. Studies have demonstrated the capability of drones to carry medical equipment⁴ and personal protective equipment (PPE) like gloves and facemasks to the site of an emergency. Experimental studies involving drone delivered AED's have also proven that drones can reach an accident faster than the emergency medical service (EMS). Drones can provide first responders with CPR advice and may convey a sense of security through telemedical support. Claesson and colleagues recorded the time interval from dispatch to arrival of an AED in cases of OHCA in a suburban area of Stockholm, which is characterised by restricted airspace and excessive Emergency Medical Services (EMS) response times.⁴ Drones were sent on 18 flights to locations where OHCAs within a 10-km radius from the fire station had historically occurred, with a median flight distance of 3.2 km. The median time from dispatch to arrival was 5:21 min (IQR, 3:03-8:33; shortest time, 1:15 min) for drones compared to 22:00 min (IQR, 17:48-29:00; shortest time, 5:00 min) for EMS (P < 0.001). Bystanders reported that availability and use of the AED was perceived safe and feasible and conversation with the dispatcher via the drone was positive and helpful.⁵

Resuscitation councils stress the relevance of CCC-CPR without mouth-to-mouth ventilation and advise first responders to look for the absence of signs of life only, to reduce the fear of disease contraction. We further suggest the introduction of drones wherever may be indicated. Aside from providing AED and CPR advice to bystanders, drones can deliver PPE and communicate safety instructions. Although this seems a logistical challenge, it can be a crucial part of the strategy to encourage, instruct and reassure bystanders to perform CPR even in times of epidemic.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Credit author statement

Michiel J van Veelen: Conceptualization, investigation, writing original draft Marc Kaufmann: Conceptualization, review & editing Hermann Brugger: Supervision, review & editing Giacomo Strapazzon: Conceptualization, supervision, review & editing.

REFERENCES

- Scquizzato T, Olasveengen TM, Ristagno G, Semeraro F. The other side of novel coronavirus outbreak: fear of performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Resuscitation 2020;150:92–3.
- l'Adige. Uomo in arresto cardiaco ed escursionista nega aiuto: tragedia in Valle Aurina. Trento, Italy: l'Adige; 2020.
- Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. Science (New York, NY) 2020.
- Claesson A, Bäckman A, Ringh M, et al. Time to delivery of an automated external defibrillator using a drone for simulated out-ofhospital cardiac arrests vs. emergency medical services. JAMA 2017;317:2332–4.
- Sanfridsson J, Sparrevik J, Hollenberg J, et al. Drone delivery of an automated external defibrillator – a mixed method simulation study of bystander experience. Scand. J. Trauma Resusc. Emerg. Med. 2019;27:40.

Michiel J. van Veelen* Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, Eurac Research, Bolzano, Italy

* Corresponding author at: Eurac Research, Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, Via Ipazia 2, 39100 Bolzano, Italy. E-mail addresses: michiel.vanveelen@eurac.edu, michielvanveelen@hotmail.com (M. van Veelen) marc.kaufmann@sabes.it (M. Kaufmann) hermann.brugger@eurac.edu (H. Brugger) giacomo.strapazzon@eurac.edu (G. Strapazzon).

Received 23 April 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.038 © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Marc Kaufmann¹ Emergency Medical Services 112, Health Care System Alto Adige, Bolzano, Italy

Hermann Brugger² Giacomo Strapazzon² Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, Eurac Research, Bolzano, Italy

¹Emergency Medical Services 112, Health Care System Alto Adige, Via Druso 116, 39100, Bolzano, Italy.

²Eurac Research, Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, Via Ipazia 2, 39100, Bolzano, Italy.