www.transonc.com

Inhibitor of DNA Binding 2 Inhibits Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition via Up-Regulation of Notch3 in Breast Cancer



Xiao-Fen Wen<sup>\*,†,1</sup>, Min Chen<sup>†,‡,1</sup>, Yang Wu<sup>†</sup>, Min-Na Chen<sup>\*,†</sup>, Aleksandra Glogowska<sup>§</sup>, Thomas Klonisch<sup>§</sup> and Guo-Jun Zhang<sup>†,‡</sup>

\*Department of Breast Medical Oncology, Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, 7 Raoping Road, Shantou, China; <sup>†</sup>ChangJiang Scholar's Laboratory, Shantou University Medical College, 22 Xinling Road, Shantou, China; <sup>‡</sup>Xiang'an Hospital, Xiamen University, 2000 East Xiang'an Rd, Xiamen, Fujian, China; <sup>§</sup>Dept. of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

## Abstract

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in women worldwide. Incurable metastatic breast disease presents a major clinical challenge and is the main cause of breast cancer-related death. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a critical early promoter of metastasis. In the present study, we identified a novel role for the inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Id2), a member of the basic helix–loop–helix protein family, during the EMT of breast cancer. Expression of Id2 was positively correlated with Notch3 in breast cancer cells. Low expression of Id2 and Notch3 was associated with worse distant metastasis-free survival in breast cancer patients. The present study revealed that Id2 activated Notch3 expression by blocking E2A binding to an E-box motif in the Notch3 promoter. The Id2-mediated up-regulation of Notch3 expression at both the mRNA and protein levels resulted in an attenuated EMT, which was associated with reduced motility and matrix invasion of ER-positive and -negative human breast cancer cells and the emergence of E-cadherin expression and reduction in the mesenchymal marker vimentin in triple-negative breast cancer cells. In summary, our findings identified Id2 as a suppressor of the EMT and positive transcriptional regulator of Notch3 in breast cancer. Id2 and Notch3 may serve as novel prognostic markers in a subpopulation of ER-positive breast cancer patients.

Translational Oncology (2018) 11, 1259–1270

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor in women and the second leading cause of cancer death of women worldwide [1, 2]. Different types of breast cancer cells, such as luminal A and B, Her-2, and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) display diverse histopathological features, genetic and genomic variability, and clinical outcomes. Metastatic breast cancer remains clinically challenging and very difficult to cure. Recent studies demonstrated that the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays a pivotal role in cancer metastasis [3], including breast cancer [4,5]. The EMT is characterized by the loss of epithelial-like properties, including tight-junction proteins and E-cadherin, and the acquisition of mesenchymal properties, such as the intermediate filament protein, vimentin [6,7]. These processes increase tumor aggressiveness and enhance the metastatic spread of breast cancer. Therefore, identifying

key molecules in the EMT and elucidating the underlying mechanisms of the EMT will ultimately result in novel treatment options to suppress breast cancer metastasis.

Address all correspondence to: Guo-Jun Zhang, Xiang'an Hospital of Xiamen University, 2000 East Xiang'an Rd, Xiamen, Fujian, China. E-mail: gjzhang@xah.xmu. edu.cn or Thomas Klonisch, Dept. of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada. E-mail: Thomas.Klonisch@umanitoba.ca

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 18 April 2018; Accepted 23 July 2018

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Neoplasia Press, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). 1936-5233/18 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2018.07.015 Basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) transcription factors are key regulators of cell cycle progression, proliferation [8], differentiation, and lineage commitment [9]. bHLH proteins are obligate dimers that dimerize through their HLH domains and bind DNA through the basic domains to regulate the transcription of target genes, which contain E-boxes (CANNTG) in their promoters [10]. The E2A gene encodes two class I bHLH members (E12 and E47), which are formed by means of variant splicing [11]. The E2A proteins (E12/E47) regulate the expression of target genes by homodimerization or heterodimerization with Class II bHLH proteins [12,13]. Previous studies have shown that E12/E47 proteins are distributed broadly in most adult tissues [12]. Specifically, the expression of epithelial markers was repressed upon E12/E47 binding to the E-pal element in the E-cadherin promoter, which resulted in the suppression of the E-cadherin gene [14,15].

E12 and E47 can be negatively regulated by the class V bHLH Inhibitor of DNA-binding protein family (Id) members, which lack the basic DNA binding element [16,17]. Upon heterodimerization, Id proteins block the binding of E12 or E47 to DNA. Id1, 2, and 3 are ubiquitously expressed in mammalian tissues [18,19]. Id proteins have diverse and complex biological effects depending on the cell lineage and differentiation state [20]. Both Id1 and Id2 are involved in the regulation of cell growth and differentiation during the normal development of the mammary gland [21]. Id2-deficient mice display impaired lobule-alveolar development during pregnancy and intrinsic defects in cell proliferation, survival, and lactogenic differentiation [22]. Previous studies demonstrated that overexpression of Id2 could antagonize the TGF-β-mediated induction of the EMT and Id2 has anti-oncogenic potential in normal mouse mammary epithelial cells (NMuMG), murine lens epithelium, and human renal proximal tubule epithelial cells [23-25]. Id2 regulates cell differentiation, proliferation, development, and tumorigenesis [18,19,26]. Signals from the extracellular microenvironment can promote the role of Id2 in tumorigenesis [27-29]. Overexpression of Id2 is linked to tumor progression in pancreatic cancer, neuroblastoma, and lung cancer [30–32]. In addition, elevated Id2 protein levels indicate poor clinical outcome in TNBC patients [33]. The cellular localization of Id2 has been recognized as an important factor in determining the outcome. In breast cancer, cytoplasmic, but not nuclear, localization of Id2 protein is associated with reduced invasive capacity and less aggressive tumor phenotypes [34,35]. It is possible that the regulation, degradation, or localization of the Id2 mRNA and protein all have specific functions during breast cancer. However, this idea requires further clarification.

Notch signaling plays important fundamental roles in normal development. Notch transmembrane receptors (Notch 1–4) signal through ligand (i.e., Jagged and Delta-like family proteins) binding, and then undergo proteolytic cleavage, which results in the release of the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) that then translocates to the nucleus to promote the expression of downstream target genes, such as Hes1 and Hey-1 [36,37]. Notch signaling has been implicated in many processes, including cell fate determination and oncogenesis. Notch signaling has a role in mammary gland development [38–40] and Notch3 marks clonogenic mammary luminal progenitor cells in transgenic mice [41]. A recent study connected Notch3 with Wnt signaling by showing that Notch3 can uniquely modulate the expression of the Wnt signaling receptor, Frizzled7 (FZD7) in a human luminal progenitor-enriched subpopulation. This report concluded that Notch3 was considered a key gene for luminal cell commitment [42].

TGF- $\beta$  is a key cytokine promoting the EMT [43], and bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP7) can suppress the EMT by antagonizing TGF-B [44]. BMP7, Id2, and Id3 have also been found to delay the injury-induced EMT of lens epithelial cells [23]. BMP7 has also been reported to abolish TGF-\beta-mediated alpha-SMA expression by restoring Id2 levels [24]. Ectopic Id2 or Id3 expression renders human mammary carcinoma epithelial cells refractory to growth inhibition and EMT induced by TGF-B. Knockdown of endogenous Id2 or Id3 sensitizes epithelial cells to BMP, leading to a robust growth inhibition and induction of transdifferentiation [20]. Intriguingly, our group recently showed that Notch3 could repress the EMT in breast cancer [45,46]. Although certain evidence points to a role for Id2 and Notch3 in the maintenance of the epithelial phenotype [47], the relationship between Id2 and Notch3 in human epithelial breast cancer cells remains largely unknown. Public database analysis of a large cohort of breast cancer patients revealed a significant association between Id2 and Notch3 and distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS; http://co.bmc.lu.se/gobo). In the current study, we describe two E-boxes in the Notch3 promoter. We identified E-box 1 as a docking site for the Id2/E2A heterodimer, which leads to the activation of Notch3 expression. Notch3 signaling antagonized the EMT and resulted in the epithelial phenoconversion of ER-/PR-/Her2- TNBC cells. We conclude that Id2 is a novel regulator of Notch3 expression and attenuates the mesenchymal dedifferentiation of human breast cancer cells.

### **Materials and Methods**

### Cell Culture and Establishment of Stable Cell Line

MCF-7, T47D, SKBR3, BT549, and MDA-MB-231 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Tissue Collection and cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, CA, USA) and antibiotics. MDA-MB-231-Id2 and the control cell line were generated by stable transfection with 2 ng pcDNA3-Id2 or pcDNA3, respectively, by Lipo2000 (Life Technology, NY, USA) and selection with G418 (1000 µg/ml) for 3 to 4 weeks.

#### Plasmids Construction and Small Interfering RNA

pCS2.E12, pcDNA3.E47, pCLE.N3ICD, and control vectors were purchased from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). The pcDNA3.Id2 was obtained by cloning a PCR product of fulllength human Id2 into the eukaryotic expression vector pcDNA3.1. The Notch3 promoter region (–900 to –650 upstream of exon 1) was subcloned into the KpnI/XhoI sites of the luciferase reporter gene pRL-SV40 (Promega, WI, USA), and named pGL3-Notch3-E1. The region +161 to +292 downstream of exon 1 was subcloned into the KpnI/XhoI sites and named pGL3-Notch3-E2. These Notch3 promoter regions were generated by PCR with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. Id2, E2A, Notch3, and control siRNAs were synthesized by the Genepharma Company (Suzhou, China). The oligonucleotides sequences of the siRNAs are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

# RNA Purification and Real-Time PCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol (Life Technology, NY, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and stored at – 80 °C. Reverse transcription was performed using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Bio Inc., Dalian, China) according to the



**Figure 1.** Id2, E12 and E47 dysregulate Notch3 expression in breast cancer cells. (A, B) The mRNA expression level of Id2 and Notch3 was analyzed by qRT-PCR in breast cancer cell lines. (C) Id2 and Notch3 protein levels were analyzed by western blot in breast cancer cell lines. (D, E) The mRNA expression level of E12 and E47 was analyzed by qRT-PCR in breast cancer cell lines. (F, G) Expression of Id2 and Notch3 mRNA and protein in Id2 overexpressing MDA-MB-231 cells were analyzed by qRT-PCR and western blot, respectively. (H, I) The expression of Id2 and Notch3 mRNA and protein in Id2 knock-down MCF-7 cells were analyzed by qRT-PCR and western blot, respectively. (J, K, L) The expression of E47 and Notch3 in MCF-7 cells was analyzed by qRT-PCR and western blot 48 h after transfection of pcDNA3-E47. (M, N, O) The expression of E12 and Notch3 in MCF-7 cells was analyzed by qRT-PCR and western blot 48 h after transfection of pCS2-E12.

Wen et al.

manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio Inc., Dalian, China) on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). The primer sequences for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1. PCR reactions were performed at 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min, 10 min at 72 °C, and finally 4 °C. The relative expression levels for each gene were normalized to  $\beta$ -actin as an internal control.

### Immunoblot Analysis

Protein extraction and western blot were performed as described previously [48]. Briefly, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and phosphatase inhibitors (5 mM sodium orthovanadate). Protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. A list of primary antibodies is given in Supplementary Table S3.



**Figure 2.** E2A inhibits Notch3 expression by antagonizing Id2. (A) Schematic representation of the E-box binding sites in the Notch3 promoter. (B, C) The ChIP assays for MCF-7 cells were performed with anti-E2A and anti-Id2 antibodies. The pull-down of E2A or Id2 protein from the IP complex was analyzed by western blot using E2A or Id2 antibodies. Rabbit IgG was used as a control for the ChIP assays. (D) The Co-IP assay for E12- or E47-expressing MCF-7 cells was performed using an anti-Id2 antibody to pull-down the protein complex, and then E2A and Id2 antibodies were used for western-blot. Rabbit IgG was used as a control for the IP assay. (E, H) After co-transfection of different amounts of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 in the presence of reporter plasmids pGL3-Notch3-E1 in MCF-7 cells, dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed to detect the luciferase activity in the cell lysates. (F, I) Dual-luciferase reporter assays were also performed on MCF-7 cells after cotransfection of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pcDNA3.1.Id2 and reporter plasmid pGL3-Notch3-E1. (G, J) Dual-luciferase reporter assays were also performed on MCF-7 cells after cotransfection of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pcDNA3.1.Id2 and reporter plasmid pGL3-Notch3-E1. (G, J) Dual-luciferase reporter assays were also performed on MCF-7 cells after cotransfection of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pGL3-Notch3-E1. (G, J) Dual-luciferase reporter assays were also performed on MCF-7 cells after cotransfection of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pGL3-Notch3-E1. (G, J) Dual-luciferase reporter assays were also performed assays were also performed on MCF-7 cells after cotransfection of pcDNA3-E47 or pCS2-E12 2/5 with pGL3-Notch3-E2.



**Figure 3.** Alteration of Id2 and Notch3 expression in EMT or MET models. (A, B, C, D) The expression of Id2 and Notch3 proteins were analyzed by western blot following the treatment of MCF-7 with TGF-β (2, 5, 10 ng/ml) for 72 h. LE: Long Exposure; SE: Short Exposure. (E, F, G, H) Id2 and Notch3 proteins were analyzed by western blot following treatment of MCF-7 cells with BMP7 (10, 50, 100 ng/ml) for 72 h. LE: Long Exposure; SE: Short Exposure. 72 h. LE: Long Exposure; SE: Short Exposure.

#### Immunofluorescence

Fix cells with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature (RT), RT), wash cells 2 times with 1x phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) at RT, cover with 0.5% triton X-100 (Solarbio, Tongzhou Dist., Beijing, China) 5 to 8 minutes, wash cells 2 times with 1x PBS at RT, blocked with reagent 2.5% goat serum. Solute primary antibody 1:250 by 2.5% goat serum, incubate with primary antibody overnight at 4 °C, washed cells 3 times with PBS, incubate with secondary antibody(1:1000) for 1 hours at RT, wash cells 3 times with  $1 \times PBS$  at RT. Mount in DAPI (Solarbio, Tongzhou Dist., Beijing, China) 3-5 minutes, wash cells 3 times with 1x PBS at RT. A list of primary antibodies is given in Supplementary Table S3.

#### Wound Healing Assay

Cells were pretreated with mitomycin C (25 mg/ml) for 30 min before an injury line was made with a 2-mm wide plastic tip on cells plated in culture dishes at 90% confluency. After rinsing in PBS, breast cancer cells were allowed to migrate in complete medium, and photographs were taken (x40) after 24 h (MDA-MB-231) or 48 h

(MCF-7). Five randomly chosen widths of the injury line were selected for quantitation.



# Transwell Migration and Invasion Assay

Cell culture inserts (8µM pore size; BD, CA, USA) and matrigel invasion chambers (BD, CA, USA) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of  $2 \times 10^5$  MDA-MB-231 cells or  $5 \times 10^5$  MCF-7 cells in serum-free medium were inoculated in the upper chamber after breast cancer cells had been serum-starved for 24 h. Complete medium was added to the bottom chamber. The cells that migrated to the underside of the filter were stained with 0.1% crystal violet after 24 h for MDA-MB-231 and 48 h for MCF-7. For the invasion assays, cells were stained and counted after 48 h for MDA-MB-231 and 72 h for MCF-7. The cell numbers in five different fields for each well were counted independently by two investigators and the average numbers are shown.

# Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay

Breast cancer cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at RT, lysed, and sonicated. Samples were precleared for 1 h with protein G beads, and ChIP was performed overnight using an anti-E2A antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. MA, USA) or control normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). After washing and elution of the beads, cross-linking was reversed, and protein was digested with proteinase K. ChIP DNA was isolated using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) and analyzed by quantitative PCR using Brilliant SYBR Green Master Mix. The PCR primer pairs were designed to amplify a 250 bp E-box1 fragment and a 132 bp E-box2 fragment (Supplementary Table S1).

# Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay

The luciferase assay was performed using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The luciferase activity was measured 48 h after transfection. MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with pGL3-Notch3-E1 or pGL3-Notch3-E2 in the presence of pCS2. E12, pcDNA3.E47, pcDNA3.Id2, or control vector in 24-well plates and pRL-SV40 (Promega, Madison, USA) was used as a control vector to normalize for the transfection efficiency.

## Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data are shown as the mean value  $\pm$  S.E.M. unless otherwise stated and statistically analyzed by the two-sided Student's *t*-test. The levels of significance were set at \**P* < .05 and \*\**P* < .01. The survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method, and statistical relevance between groups was calculated using the log-rank test.

## Results

# Id2 is Co-Expressed With Notch3 and Up-Regulates Notch3 Expression in Breast Cancer Cells

The Gene Set Analysis (GSA)-Cell line database revealed the Id2 mRNA expression levels in a panel of human breast cancer cell lines [49]. GSA-Cell lines clustered by genes selected by PAM50 analysis

were identified as luminal (ERBB3- and ESR1-positive) or basal-like (ESR1-negative, CAV1-positive). The basal-like cell lines contained two major subdivisions: basal A (KRT5- and KRT14-positive) and basal B (VIM-positive). Elevated Id2 expression was observed in the luminal and basal A subgroups compared to the basal B subgroup (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Similar expression profiles were obtained for Notch3 in the different subgroups (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Consistent with the GSA-Cell line database, we confirmed higher expression of both Id2 and Notch3 mRNA and protein in ER+ breast cancer cells (MCF-7 and T47D) but lower expression in ER- (MDA-MB-231 and BT549) or HER2-positive (SKBR3) cell lines (Figure 1, A-C). More importantly, lower levels of Id2 had poor prognoses in the subgroup of luminal B, ER(+) and lymph node negative patients as evidenced by shorter DMFS (all P < .05, Supplementary Fig. S1,*C-F*). Intriguingly, a low expression level of Notch3 was also associated with shorter DMFS (database ID: 25379, n = 358, P = .02201, Supplementary Fig. S1,G). Thus, these data suggested there might be a potential link between Id2 and Notch3 in ER+ breast cancer.

We further investigated a potential role for Id2 in the regulation of Notch3 expression by either silencing or overexpressing Id2 in human breast cancer cells. Overexpression of Id2 in MDA-MB-231 increased Notch3 mRNA expression by 1.75 fold (Figure 1*F*), which resulted in an increase in full-length Notch3 (Notch3-FL) and Notch3-ICD protein levels (Figure 1*G*). In contrast, Id2 silencing resulted in a 57% decrease in Notch3 mRNA expression (Figure 1*H*). Notch3-FL and ICD proteins were also significantly down-regulated in MCF-7 cells (Figure 1*I*). These results demonstrated a consistent trend in Notch3 expression by either up-regulation or down-regulation of Id2 in breast cancer cells.

# Id2 Up-Regulates Notch3 by Antagonizing E2A

To investigate whether Id2 regulated Notch3 expression by antagonizing E2A, a negative regulator of the DNA-binding protein family, we try to find the relation among Id2, Notch3 and E2A. In accordance with the expression of Id2 and Notch3, the expression of E2A (E12/E47) was higher in MCF-7 than MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 1, D–E). Then we expressed either E47 or E12 in MCF-7 cells. We found that Notch3 mRNA was reduced by ectopic E47 expression (42%, Figure 1*J*) or E12 expression (31%, Figure 1*M*). Accordingly, the protein levels of Notch3-ICD and Notch3-FL were decreased by 38% and 51% in E47-expressing MCF-7 cells (Figure 1, K–L), respectively, or 60% and 61% in E12-expressing MCF-7 cells (Figure 1, N–O), respectively.

We identified two E-boxes (CANNTG) as potential binding sites for the Id2/E2A heterodimer [10] that were located at -799 to -783 bp (E-box 1) and+ 192 to +197 bp (E-box 2) in exon one of Notch3 (Figure 2A). First, western blot results confirmed that E2A and Id2 antibodies efficiently pull-down E2A and Id2 protein from the IP complex (Figure 2, *B and C*). Next, we performed ChIP assays with primer pairs spanning the two E-box binding sites to investigate whether Id2 and E2A bind to the Notch3 promoter. Our ChIP data revealed a strong binding of E2A to E-box 1 and E-box 2 (Figure 2*B*), but strong binding of Id2 to only E-box1 (Figure 2*C*). To investigate

**Figure 4.** Id2/Notch3 axis attenuated EMT. (A, B, C, D) After the silencing of Id2 by siRNA or transfecting of the Id2 expression vector in MCF-7 for 48 h, the representative micrographs (×200) were taken, and E-cadherin and vimentin expression were analyzed by western blot. (E, F, G, H) Immunofluorescence was also performed to analyze the expression of E-cadherin and vimentin in Id2 siRNA-expressing MCF-7 cells or Id2-expressing MDA-MB-231 cells. (I) Following transfection with Id2 siRNA or cotransfection of Id2 siRNA and pCLE.Notch3-ICD in MCF-7 cells, Id2, Notch3, E-cadherin and vimentin expression vector and Notch3 siRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells, Id2, Notch3, E-cadherin and vimentin expression were analyzed by western blot. (J) Following cotransfection of the Id2 expression vector and Notch3 siRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells, Id2, Notch3, E-cadherin and vimentin expression were analyzed by western blot.



**Figure 5.** Id2 expression inhibited EMT via Notch3 and triggered phenoconversion. (A, B, C, D, E, F) Following transfection with Id2 siRNA or cotransfection of Id2 siRNA and pCLE.Notch3-ICD in MCF-7 cells, representative micrographs (×200) were taken to document cell motility in wound healing assays and transwell assays for migration and invasion. (G, H, I, J, K, L) Following cotransfection of the Id2 expression vector and Notch3 siRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells, representative micrographs (×200) were taken to document cell motility in wound healing assays and transwell assays for migration and invasion. All experiments were performed at least three times, and data were statistically analyzed by the two-sided *t* test. \*, P < .05; \*\*, P < .01; \*\*\*, P < .001. Error bars indicate S.E.M.

whether Id2 forms a complex with E2A, IP analyses were performed in E47 and E12 expressing MCF-7 cells. The data demonstrated that both E47 and E12 proteins bound to endogenous Id2 (Figure 2D).

To address whether Id2 antagonizes E2A to the E-box in the Notch3 promoter, pGL3-Notch3-E1 and pGL3-Notch3-E2 luciferase reporter systems were employed. Both ectopic E47 and E12 expression decreased the luciferase activity of pGL3-Notch3-E1 by 1.4 to 3.22 fold in the E47-transfected MCF-7 cells (Figure 2*E*) and 1.14 to 2.0 fold in the E12-transfected MCF-7 cells (Figure 2*H*) in a dose-dependent manner. The inhibitory effect of E47 and E12 on the luciferase activity of pGL3-Notch3-E1 was partially rescued by Id2 (Figure 2, *F and I*). However, both ectopic E47 and E12 expression had no effects on the luciferase activity in the MCF-7 cells containing the pGL3-Notch3-E2 luciferase construct (Figure 2, *G and J*). Taken together, these data suggest that Id2 up-regulates the expression of Notch3 at the transcriptional level through an interaction with E2A at the binding site of E-box 1 in the Notch 3 promoter.

## Concordance of Id2 and Notch3 Expression in TGF-β-Induced EMT or BMP-Induced MET Models

To investigate the roles of Id2 and Notch3 in the progression of the EMT, we treated MCF-7 cells with TGF- $\beta$  and quantified the expression of Id2 and Notch3. TGF- $\beta$  treatment decreased the Id2 protein level by more than 30% (Figure 3, *A and B*), and Notch3-FL and Notch3-ICD protein levels by over 80% in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3, *C and D*). Treatment of MCF-7 cells with BMP7

caused a dose-dependent increase of Id2 (up to 2.7 fold) (Figure 3, *E* and *F*) and Notch3 (Notch3-FL and Notch3-ICD, 2.3 fold; Figure 3, *G* and *H*) protein levels.

## Overexpression of Id2 Increases Epithelial Marker and Knock-Down of Id2 Induces Mesenchymal Markers

To investigate the effect of Id2 on the epithelial-mesenchymal phenoconversion of breast cancer cells, we knocked down Id2 with siRNA in MCF-7 cells or overexpressed Id2 in MDA-MB-231 cells. We observed morphological changes associated with the EMT in MCF-7 cells following Id2 knockdown. Compared to MCF-7 cells, the morphology of Id2 siRNA-expressing cells was changed from an epithelial-like phenotype to a fibroblast-like morphology (Figure 4A). In addition, these cells had decreased E-cadherin and increased vimentin as demonstrated by western blot and immunofluorescence (Figure 3, C-F). In contrast, MDA-MB-231 cells, which normally have a spindle-shaped, mesenchymal morphology, exhibited a cobblestone-like, epithelial phenotype when expressing Id2 (Figure 4B). These Id2expressing cells had increased E-cadherin and decreased vimentin as shown by western-blot and immunofluorescence (Figure 3, D-H). Furthermore, when Notch3 was over-expressed in the silincing-Id2 MCF-7 cell, the expression of E-cadherin was partially rescued and vimentin was re-inhibited (Figure 41), while opposite change of Ecadherin and vimentin were observed when Notch3 was silenced in the overexpressing-Id2 MDA-MB-231 cell (Figure 4/), suggesting that Id2 inhibits EMT through the activation of Notch3 in breast cancer.



**Figure 6.** Proposed model of how Id2 and Notch3 attenuate EMT in breast cancer. (A) the E2A-E2A homodimerization with the corepressors (CoR) binds to E-box sequences of Notch3 promoter and inactivates Notch3 transcription, permitting the repression of Ecadherin and up-regulation of vimentin to ultimately induce EMT in breast cancer. (B) the Id2-E2A heterodimerization E2A complex releases the binding with E-box of Notch3 promoter and activates Notch3 transcription, permitting the repression of vimentin and upregulation of E-cadherin to attenuate EMT in breast cancer.

#### Id2/Notch3 Axis Inhibited Cell Motility and Cell Invasion

Wound healing and matrix invasion assays were performed to investigate the effect of the Id2/Notch3 axis on the motility and invasiveness of MCF-7 cells. Wound healing assays showed that Id2 knockdown increased the cellular mobility by 22.3% whereas overexpression of Notch3 attenuated this increase (Figure 5, A and B). Id2 silencing caused a 4.3-fold increase in migration (Figure 5, C and D) and a 3.2-fold enhancement of invasion (Figure 5, E and F) by MCF-7 cells compared to scrambled siRNA. Ectopic Notch3-ICD expression was sufficient to attenuate the increase the migration and invasion of MCF-7 (Figure 5, C-F). Conversely, wound healing assays revealed a 22% decrease in cell motility upon ectopic Id2 expression in MDA-MB-231, which was partially rescued by specific knockdown of Notch3 (Figure 5, G and H). Upon overexpression of Id2 in MDA-MB-231 cells, transwell migration was reduced by 78% (Figure 5, I and I) and invasion by 89% (Figure 5, K and L). Intriguingly, specific silencing of Notch3 by siRNA blocked the inhibitory effects of Id2 overexpression on the migration and invasion of MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5, I-L). Thus, these data suggest that the inhibitory effect of Id2 on EMT is partially mediated by Notch3.

#### Discussion

The identification of molecules and mechanisms that regulate the invasive phenotype of breast cancer cells is important for understanding tumor metastasis and to identify novel therapeutic targets. Both Notch3 [41,50] and Id2 [34] are indispensable during the development of the mammary gland. In this study, we showed coexpression of Id2 and Notch3 in various human breast cancer lines with higher expression in the luminal type compared to basal-like breast cancers. As shown in Figure 6, we found that Id2 blocked the binding of the bHLH transcriptional factor E2A to E-box 1 of the Notch3 promoter and increased Notch3 gene activity. Concomitant up-regulation of Id2 and Notch3 diminished the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells, which was associated with a mesenchymal-to-epithelial (MET) phenoconversion. A public GSA-Patient database search (http://co.bmc.lu.se/gobo) of a cohort of breast cancer patients revealed that patients in the Id2+ (database: 26582 and 26,740) and Notch3+ (database: 25379) luminal B primary breast cancer subgroup had longer distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS; all P < .05, Supplementary Fig. S1, C-E). This association suggested that Id2 and Notch3 may be potential prognostic biomarkers for a subgroup of ER+ breast cancer patients.

This study is the first report to find two E-box motifs (-788 to -793 and+ 192 to 197) within the Notch3 promoter that serve as binding sites for the bHLH factor E2A, a negative transcriptional regulator of Notch3. The E-box 1 motif (-788 to -793) might serve as a docking site for Id2, which competes with E2A. Id2 binding effectively antagonized E2A transcriptional repression and allowed the activation of Notch3 transcription (Supplementary Fig. S1*G*). This finding is consistent with previous reports that Id2 is a negative regulator of E2A for the activation of downstream target genes [23–25].

Intriguingly, we found that the Id2/Notch3 axis plays a novel role in the epithelial–mesenchymal and mesenchymal-epithelial transition. Several TGF- $\beta$  or BMP epithelial phenoconversion models showed that Id2 plays a key role in maintaining a MET-like epithelial phenoconversion [20,24,34,47]. Moreover, Id2 is part of a network of interacting molecular switches that governs mammary epithelial cell phenotypes [21] (i.e., prevents down-regulation of E-cadherin and  $\beta$ 4 integrin [47,51]). Additionally, Ohashi et al. reported that Notch3 is a key factor that limits the overexpression of ZEBs, which are transcription factors that are essential for TGF- $\beta$ -mediated EMT [52]. Notch3 could be involved in luminal cell differentiation by modulating Wnt signaling via FZD7 [42]. Thus, addressing the interplay between Id2 and Notch3 may be a significant mechanism for EMT. Indeed, our study identified a novel Id2-Notch3-EMT axis whereby the inhibitory function of Id2 on E2A facilitates up-regulation of Notch3 expression, and this opposes functional EMT.

The Id2-Notch3-EMT axis revealed in the present study together with our recent publication on Notch3 [45,46] and previous report on Id2 [34] indicate that Id2 and Notch3 signaling might be important in the progression of breast cancer. However, previous reports for the role of Id2 or Notch3 in the migration or invasion of breast cancer cells remain controversial. Differentiated breast cancer cells, such as T47D and MCF-7, strongly express Id2 and Notch3. In contrast, the mesenchymal and invasive MDA-MB-231 has very low Id2 and Notch3 protein levels. Ectopic expression of Id2 in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436 markedly reduced the invasiveness of these TNBC cells [34,35]. We confirmed that overexpression of Id2 in MDA-MB-231 cells coincided with a reduced migratory and invasive phenotype and up-regulation of Notch3. Ectopic Id2 expression appeared to initiate a complex epithelial-like phenoconversion with a reduced migratory behavior of MDA-MB-231 cells. These results differed markedly from the aggressive phenotype associated with the up-regulation of Notch3. Human mammary epithelial cells with ectopic Wnt-1 expression showed tumorigenic transformation accompanied by Notch activation and elevated expression of Notch members, including Notch3 [53]. In MCF-7 cells, autocrine IL-6 triggered Notch3-dependent mammosphere formation, resistance to hypoxia, and invasive behavior [54]. Notch3 signaling is associated with an invasive/metastatic phenotype and poor survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma [55]. In contrast, high Notch3 transcript levels correlated with better overall survival only in ER+ breast cancer patients [56]. These controversial reports did not investigate the involvement of Id2 and suggest a potential Id2independent regulation of the Notch3 gene by other factors (e.g., Wnt, IL6). In this study, the enhanced cell migration/invasion upon Id2 silencing is critically rescued by the presence of Notch3, which supports a role for Notch3 in the prevention of the aggressive breast cancer behavior.

The association of Id2 expression with disease severity and poor prognosis is contradictory and differs in different human tumor types. Although the reasons are largely unknown, recent reports suggest that not only the overall Id2 levels but the specific intracellular localization of Id2 in tumor cells may be critical in assessing Id2 function. A negative correlation was reported for Id2 protein expression and histological grade in breast cancer [51] whereas tissue immunodetection studies identified Id2 as a predictor of poor prognosis in TNBC [33]. The intensity of the cytoplasmic, but not nuclear, staining of Id2 protein was positively correlated with patient survival [35]. Recently, qRT-PCR analysis of 2688 genes in breast cancer tissue (n = 80) and histologically normal epithelium samples (n = 16)revealed that the expression of Id2 was reduced in luminal type A and B breast cancer patients [57]. For Notch3, high transcript levels correlated with better overall survival only in ER+ breast cancer patients (n = 3554) [56]. We also demonstrated that breast cancer patients with moderate to high Id2 and Notch3 mRNA expression had significantly longer distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) when using the GSA-Patient database. Cumulatively, these data suggest that

Id2 and Notch3 may qualify as predictors of better prognosis in ER+ breast cancer patients.

In summary, the results of this study investigated whether Id2 was a novel positive regulator of Notch3 by blocking E2A binding to an E-box motif in the Notch3 promoter. The Id2/Notch3 axis promotes a differentiated non-invasive phenotype in breast cancer cells, and both Id2 and Notch3 may serve as novel biomarkers of good prognosis for breast cancer subtypes.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2018.07.015.

# **Conflict of Interest**

None of the authors have any potential conflicts to disclose.

#### Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory on Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment Research, Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute (CCSRI), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC). This study was funded in part by grants from Major International Collaborative Research Project of NSFC (81320108015), research team project of Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2016A030312008), special fund for public welfare research and capacity building in Guangdong Province (2014A020210026), and the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation (CBCF).

### References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, and Jemal A (2016). Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin 66(1), 7–30.
- [2] La Vecchia C, Bosetti C, Lucchini F, Bertuccio P, Negri E, Boyle P, and Levi F (2010). Cancer mortality in Europe, 2000-2004, and an overview of trends since 1975. Ann Oncol 21(6), 1323–1360.
- [3] Serrano MJ, Ortega FG, Alvarez-Cubero MJ, Nadal R, Sanchez-Rovira P, Salido M, Rodriguez M, Garcia-Puche JL, Delgado-Rodriguez M, and Sole F, et al (2014). EMT and EGFR in CTCs cytokeratin negative non-metastatic breast cancer. *Oncotarget* 5(17), 7486–7497.
- [4] Chao YL, Shepard CR, and Wells A (2010). Breast carcinoma cells re-express Ecadherin during mesenchymal to epithelial reverting transition. *Mol Cancer* 9, 179.
- [5] Kamiya T, Goto A, Kurokawa E, Hara H, and Adachi T (2016). Cross talk mechanism among EMT, ROS, and histone acetylation in phorbol ester-treated human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. Oxid Med Cell Longev 20161284372.
- [6] Lamouille S, Xu J, and Derynck R (2014). Molecular mechanisms of epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15(3), 178–196.
- [7] Howard S, Deroo T, Fujita Y, and Itasaki N (2011). A positive role of cadherin in Wnt/beta-catenin signalling during epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *PLoS One* 6(8)e23899.
- [8] Iavarone A, Garg P, Lasorella A, Hsu J, and Israel MA (1994). The helix-loophelix protein Id-2 enhances cell proliferation and binds to the retinoblastoma protein. *Genes Dev* 8(11), 1270–1284.
- [9] Tontonoz P, Kim JB, Graves RA, and Spiegelman BM (1993). ADD1: a novel helix-loop-helix transcription factor associated with adipocyte determination and differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 13(8), 4753–4759.
- [10] Murre C, McCaw PS, and Baltimore D (1989). A new DNA binding and dimerization motif in immunoglobulin enhancer binding, daughterless, MyoD, and myc proteins. *Cell* 56(5), 777–783.
- [11] Chakraborty T, Brennan TJ, Li L, Edmondson D, and Olson EN (1991). Inefficient homooligomerization contributes to the dependence of myogenin on E2A products for efficient DNA binding. *Mol Cell Biol* 11(7), 3633–3641.
- [12] de Pooter RF and Kee BL (2010). E proteins and the regulation of early lymphocyte development. *Immunol Rev* 238(1), 93–109.
- [13] Kee BL (2009). E and ID proteins branch out. Nat Rev Immunol 9(3), 175–184.
- [14] Beck K, Peak MM, Ota T, Nemazee D, and Murre C (2009). Distinct roles for E12 and E47 in B cell specification and the sequential rearrangement of immunoglobulin light chain loci. J Exp Med 206(10), 2271–2284.

- [15] Moreno-Bueno G, Cubillo E, Sarrio D, Peinado H, Rodriguez-Pinilla SM, Villa S, Bolos V, Jorda M, Fabra A, and Portillo F, et al (2006). Genetic profiling of epithelial cells expressing E-cadherin repressors reveals a distinct role for Snail, Slug, and E47 factors in epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cancer Res* 66(19), 9543–9556.
- [16] Biggs J, Murphy EV, and Israel MA (1992). A human Id-like helix-loop-helix protein expressed during early development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89(4), 1512–1516.
- [17] Ellmeier W, Aguzzi A, Kleiner E, Kurzbauer R, and Weith A (1992). Mutually exclusive expression of a helix-loop-helix gene and N-myc in human neuroblastomas and in normal development. *EMBO J* 11(7), 2563–2571.
- [18] Norton JD (2000). ID helix-loop-helix proteins in cell growth, differentiation and tumorigenesis. J Cell Sci 113(Pt 22), 3897–3905.
- [19] Ling F, Kang B, and Sun XH (2014). Id proteins: small molecules, mighty regulators. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 110, 189–216.
- [20] Kowanetz M, Valcourt U, Bergstrom R, Heldin CH, and Moustakas A (2004). Id2 and Id3 define the potency of cell proliferation and differentiation responses to transforming growth factor beta and bone morphogenetic protein. *Mol Cell Biol* 24(10), 4241–4254.
- [21] Parrinello S, Lin CQ, Murata K, Itahana Y, Singh J, Krtolica A, Campisi J, and Desprez PY (2001). Id-1, ITF-2, and Id-2 comprise a network of helix-loop-helix proteins that regulate mammary epithelial cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. J Biol Chem 276(42), 39213–39219.
- [22] Kim NS, Kim HT, Kwon MC, Choi SW, Kim YY, Yoon KJ, Koo BK, Kong MP, Shin J, and Cho Y, et al (2011). Survival and differentiation of mammary epithelial cells in mammary gland development require nuclear retention of Id2 due to RANK signaling. *Mol Cell Biol* 31(23), 4775–4788.
- [23] Saika S, Ikeda K, Yamanaka O, Flanders KC, Ohnishi Y, Nakajima Y, Muragaki Y, and Ooshima A (2006). Adenoviral gene transfer of BMP-7, Id2, or Id3 suppresses injury-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of lens epithelium in mice. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **290**(1), C282–C289.
- [24] Veerasamy M, Phanish M, and Dockrell ME (2013). Smad mediated regulation of inhibitor of DNA binding 2 and its role in phenotypic maintenance of human renal proximal tubule epithelial cells. *PLoS One* 8(1)e51842.
- [25] Gervasi M, Bianchi-Smiraglia A, Cummings M, Zheng Q, Wang D, Liu S, and Bakin AV (2012). JunB contributes to Id2 repression and the epithelialmesenchymal transition in response to transforming growth factor-beta. *J Cell Biol* 196(5), 589–603.
- [26] Zebedee Z and Hara E (2001). Id proteins in cell cycle control and cellular senescence. Oncogene 20(58), 8317–8325.
- [27] Lasorella A, Benezra R, and Iavarone A (2014). The ID proteins: master regulators of cancer stem cells and tumour aggressiveness. *Nat Rev Cancer* 14(2), 77–91.
- [28] Sikder HA, Devlin MK, Dunlap S, Ryu B, and Alani RM (2003). Id proteins in cell growth and tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* 3(6), 525–530.
- [29] Sun XH, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, and Baltimore D (1991). Id proteins Id1 and Id2 selectively inhibit DNA binding by one class of helix-loop-helix proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* 11(11), 5603–5611.
- [30] Rollin J, Blechet C, Regina S, Tenenhaus A, Guyetant S, and Gidrol X (2009). The intracellular localization of ID2 expression has a predictive value in non small cell lung cancer. *PLoS One* 4(1)e4158.
- [31] Chen XS, Zhang YH, Cai QY, and Yao ZX (2012). ID2: A negative transcription factor regulating oligodendroglia differentiation. *J Neurosci Res* **90**(5), 925–932.
- [32] Kleeff J, Ishiwata T, Friess H, Buchler MW, Israel MA, and Korc M (1998). The helix-loop-helix protein Id2 is overexpressed in human pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 58(17), 3769–3772.
- [33] Li K, Yao L, Chen L, Cao ZG, Yu SJ, Kuang XY, Hu X, and Shao ZM (2014). ID2 predicts poor prognosis in breast cancer, especially in triple-negative breast cancer, and inhibits E-cadherin expression. *Onco Targets Ther* 7, 1083–1094.
- [34] Itahana Y, Singh J, Sumida T, Coppe JP, Parrinello S, Bennington JL, and Desprez PY (2003). Role of Id-2 in the maintenance of a differentiated and noninvasive phenotype in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 63(21), 7098–7105.
- [35] Stighall M, Manetopoulos C, Axelson H, and Landberg G (2005). High ID2 protein expression correlates with a favourable prognosis in patients with primary breast cancer and reduces cellular invasiveness of breast cancer cells. *Int J Cancer* 115(3), 403–411.
- [36] McElhinny AS, Li JL, and Wu L (2008). Mastermind-like transcriptional coactivators: emerging roles in regulating cross talk among multiple signaling pathways. *Oncogene* 27(38), 5138–5147.
- [37] Acar A, Simoes BM, Clarke RB, and Brennan K (2016). A role for notch signalling in breast cancer and endocrine resistance. *Stem Cells Int* 20162498764.

- [38] Bouras T, Pal B, Vaillant F, Harburg G, Asselin-Labat ML, Oakes SR, Lindeman GJ, and Visvader JE (2008). Notch signaling regulates mammary stem cell function and luminal cell-fate commitment. *Cell Stem Cell* 3(4), 429–441.
- [39] Rangel MC, Bertolette D, Castro NP, Klauzinska M, Cuttitta F, and Salomon DS (2016). Developmental signaling pathways regulating mammary stem cells and contributing to the etiology of triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 156(2), 211–226.
- [40] Raafat A, Goldhar AS, Klauzinska M, Xu K, Amirjazil I, McCurdy D, Lashin K, Salomon D, Vonderhaar BK, and Egan S, et al (2011). Expression of Notch receptors, ligands, and target genes during development of the mouse mammary gland. J Cell Physiol 226(7), 1940–1952.
- [41] Lafkas D, Rodilla V, Huyghe M, Mourao L, Kiaris H, and Fre S (2013). Notch3 marks clonogenic mammary luminal progenitor cells in vivo. *J Cell Biol* 203(1), 47–56.
- [42] Bhat V, Sun YJ, Weger S, and Raouf A (2016). Notch-induced expression of FZD7 requires noncanonical NOTCH3 signaling in human breast epithelial cells. *Stem Cells Dev* 25(7), 522–529.
- [43] Fuxe J and Karlsson MC (2012). TGF-beta-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition: a link between cancer and inflammation. *Semin Cancer Biol* 22(5–6), 455–461.
- [44] Wang S and Hirschberg R (2004). Bone morphogenetic protein-7 signals opposing transforming growth factor beta in mesangial cells. *J Biol Chem* 279 (22), 23200–23206.
- [45] Zhang X, Liu X, Luo J, Xiao W, Ye X, Chen M, Li Y, and Zhang GJ (2016). Notch3 inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition by activating Kibra-mediated Hippo/YAP signaling in breast cancer epithelial cells. *Oncogene* 5(11)e269.
- [46] Dou XW, Liang YK, Lin HY, Wei XL, Zhang YQ, Bai JW, Chen CF, Chen M, Du CW, and Li YC, et al (2017). Notch3 maintains luminal phenotype and suppresses tumorigenesis and metastasis of breast cancer via trans-activating estrogen receptor-alpha. *Theranostics* 7(16), 4041–4056.
- [47] Kondo M, Cubillo E, Tobiume K, Shirakihara T, Fukuda N, Suzuki H, Shimizu K, Takehara K, Cano A, and Saitoh M, et al (2004). A role for Id in the regulation of TGF-beta-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transdifferentiation. *Cell Death Differ* **11**(10), 1092–1101.

- [48] Thomas C and Gustafsson JA (2011). The different roles of ER subtypes in cancer biology and therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 11(8), 597–608.
- [49] Neve RM, Chin K, Fridlyand J, Yeh J, Baehner FL, Fevr T, Clark L, Bayani N, Coppe JP, and Tong F, et al (2006). A collection of breast cancer cell lines for the study of functionally distinct cancer subtypes. *Cancer Cell* 10(6), 515–527.
- [50] Hu C, Dievart A, Lupien M, Calvo E, Tremblay G, and Jolicoeur P (2006). Overexpression of activated murine Notch1 and Notch3 in transgenic mice blocks mammary gland development and induces mammary tumors. *Am J Pathol* 168(3), 973–990.
- [51] Chang C, Yang X, Pursell B, and Mercurio AM (2013). Id2 complexes with the SNAG domain of Snai1 inhibiting Snai1-mediated repression of integrin beta4. *Mol Cell Biol* 33(19), 3795–3804.
- [52] Ohashi S, Natsuizaka M, Naganuma S, Kagawa S, Kimura S, Itoh H, Kalman RA, Nakagawa M, Darling DS, and Basu D, et al (2011). A NOTCH3-mediated squamous cell differentiation program limits expansion of EMT-competent cells that express the ZEB transcription factors. *Cancer Res* 71(21), 6836–6847.
- [53] Ayyanan A, Civenni G, Ciarloni L, Morel C, Mueller N, Lefort K, Mandinova A, Raffoul W, Fiche M, and Dotto GP, et al (2006). Increased Wnt signaling triggers oncogenic conversion of human breast epithelial cells by a Notchdependent mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**(10), 3799–3804.
- [54] Sansone P, Storci G, Tavolari S, Guarnieri T, Giovannini C, Taffurelli M, Ceccarelli C, Santini D, Paterini P, and Marcu KB, et al (2007). IL-6 triggers malignant features in mammospheres from human ductal breast carcinoma and normal mammary gland. *J Clin Invest* 117(12), 3988–4002.
- [55] Zhou L, Zhang N, Song W, You N, Li Q, Sun W, Zhang Y, Wang D, and Dou K (2013). The significance of Notch1 compared with Notch3 in high metastasis and poor overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One* 8(2)e57382.
- [56] Xu J, Song F, Jin T, Qin J, Wu J, Wang M, Wang Y, and Liu J (2016). Prognostic values of Notch receptors in breast cancer. *Tumour Biol* 37(2), 1871–1877.
- [57] Zubor P, Hatok J, Moricova P, Kajo K, Kapustova I, Mendelova A, Racay P, and Danko J (2015). Gene expression abnormalities in histologically normal breast epithelium from patients with luminal type of breast cancer. *Mol Biol Rep* 42(5), 977–988.