

RESEARCH

Open Access

Utility of brief questionnaires of health-related quality of life (Airways Questionnaire 20 and Clinical COPD Questionnaire) to predict exacerbations in patients with asthma and COPD

Marina Blanco-Aparicio^{1*}, Isabel Vázquez², Salvador Pita-Fernández³, Sonia Pértega-Díaz³
and Héctor Vereá-Hernando¹

Abstract

Background: There is some evidence that quality of life measured by long disease-specific questionnaires may predict exacerbations in asthma and COPD, however brief quality of life tools, such as the Airways Questionnaire 20 (AQ20) or the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ), have not yet been evaluated as predictors of hospital exacerbations.

Objectives: To determine the ability of brief specific health-related quality of life (HRQoL) questionnaires (AQ20 and CCQ) to predict emergency department visits (ED) and hospitalizations in patients with asthma and COPD, and to compare them to longer disease-specific questionnaires, such as the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), the Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire (CRQ) and the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ).

Methods: We conducted a two-year prospective cohort study of 208 adult patients (108 asthma, 100 COPD). Baseline sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables were assessed. All patients completed the AQ20 and the SGRQ. COPD patients also completed the CCQ and the CRQ, while asthmatic patients completed the AQLQ. We registered all exacerbations that required ED or hospitalizations in the follow-up period. Differences between groups (zero ED visits or hospitalizations versus ≥ 1 ED visits or hospitalizations) were tested with Pearson's χ^2 or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, ANOVA for normally distributed continuous variables, and Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables. Logistic regression analyses were performed to estimate the predictive ability of each HRQoL questionnaire.

Results: In the first year of follow-up, the AQ20 scores predicted both ED visits (OR: 1.19; $p = .004$; AUC 0.723) and hospitalizations (OR: 1.21; $p = .04$; AUC 0.759) for asthma patients, and the CCQ emerged as independent predictor of ED visits in COPD patients (OR: 1.06; $p = .036$; AUC 0.651), after adjusting for sociodemographic, clinical, and psychological variables. Among the longer disease-specific questionnaires, only the AQLQ emerged as predictor of ED visits in asthma patients (OR: 0.9; $p = .002$; AUC 0.727). In the second year of follow-up, none of HRQoL questionnaires predicted exacerbations.

Conclusions: AQ20 predicts exacerbations in asthma and CCQ predicts ED visits in COPD in the first year of follow-up. Their predictive ability is similar to or even higher than that of longer disease-specific questionnaires.

Keywords: COPD, Asthma, Exacerbation, Risk factors, Emergency visits, Hospitalization, Health-related quality of life

* Correspondence: mba@mun-do-r.com

¹Servicio de Neumología, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Exacerbations occur commonly in patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) resulting in clinical deterioration, accelerated lung function decline [1], increased mortality [2] and worsening of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [3]. This further leads to an increased number of required medical consultations, emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalizations [4], with the consequent increase of costs [5,6]. Therefore, it would be very important to identify patients with higher risk of exacerbations in order to take preventive measures.

Several sociodemographic, clinical and psychological factors are related to a higher risk of ED visits and hospitalizations, both in asthma [7,8] and COPD [9,10]. HRQoL has also been recently found to be related to the use of healthcare resources [11,12]. Among specific questionnaires, the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) [13] and the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) [14] are related to likelihood of exacerbations in asthma, and the SGRQ has shown ability to predict admissions and readmissions in COPD patients [3,10,12].

Nevertheless, in spite of the proven utility of these questionnaires, their routine use in clinical practice is limited as they are long and time-consuming to complete. Brief quality of life tools, such as the Airways Questionnaire 20 (AQ20) [15] or the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ) [16], have not yet been evaluated as predictors of hospital exacerbations in patients with asthma and COPD.

Furthermore, the association between scores on HRQoL questionnaires and exacerbations has been estimated controlling for sociodemographic, clinical and functional variables [3,14,17], but very few studies have controlled for anxiety and depression. Both variables have been reported to be related to the use of healthcare resources [8,10] and HRQoL [18,19].

This study is aimed to 1) determine the ability of brief questionnaires AQ20 and CCQ to predict ED visits and hospitalizations in patients with asthma and COPD, controlling for the possible confounding effect of sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables; and 2) compare the predictive ability for exacerbations between these brief questionnaires and the longer disease-specific questionnaires such as SGRQ, Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire (CRQ) and AQLQ.

Material and methods

Patients

A prospective non-intervention cohort study with a two-year follow-up was carried out in the University Hospital of A Coruña (Spain).

We selected a cohort of 208 patients (108 asthma and 100 COPD) with no associated comorbidity, who took part in the Spanish validation study of the AQ20

questionnaire. The asthma entry criteria were as follows: (a) diagnosis of asthma made by a respiratory physician; (b) increase greater than 12% in FEV₁ or more than 20% in PEF_R, following a dose of 200 µg salbutamol, or airway hyperresponsiveness, assessed by methacholine inhalation challenge test, observed on past evaluations; (c) age over 16; (d) smoking history of less than 20 pack-years; and (e) in smoking patients, FEV₁/FVC ratio higher than 0.7 was required to exclude COPD.

The COPD entry criteria were: (a) diagnosis according to GOLD strategy [20], (b) post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC ratio lower than 0.7 and FEV₁ lower than 80% of the predicted value, (c) age over 40, (d) smoking history of 20 or more pack-years, and (e) no history suggestive of asthma.

Full details of the selection procedure have been already published [21].

Measures

The AQ20 [15] is a specific questionnaire validated for patients with asthma or COPD. It includes 20 items with "yes" responses scored as 1, and "no" and "not applicable" scored as 0. The summary score ranges from 0 (best health) to 20 (worst health). It shows good reliability and validity [22,23].

The CCQ [16] is a COPD-specific questionnaire with 10 items answered on a seven-point Likert-type scale. It consists of three domains: Symptoms, Functional State and Mental State. The total score and the scores of the domains are the sum of scores given to each item, divided by the number of items. Thus, total score as well as the score on each of the three domains varies from 0 (best health) to 6 (worst health). The CCQ has shown good test-retest reliability, responsiveness and convergent and divergent validity [16].

The SGRQ [24] is a 50-item questionnaire specific for obstructive respiratory disease, which provides a total score and three component scores: Symptoms, Activity and Impact. Each score ranges from 0 (best health) to 100 (worst health). It has a good repeatability, it is correlated with a range of established measures of disease activity, and it responds to improvement and deterioration in the health of patients with chronic airflow limitation [24].

The CRQ [25] is a COPD-specific questionnaire that consists of 20 items comprising four domains: Dyspnea, Fatigue, Emotional Function and Mastery. Each domain is scored from 1 (worst quality of life) to 7 (best quality of life) and a total score can be calculated as the sum of scores on the four domains. It has shown good psychometric properties (reliability, validity and responsiveness) [25].

The AQLQ [26] is an asthma-specific questionnaire composed of 32 items which comprise four domains: Symptoms, Activities, Emotional Functioning and Environmental Stimuli. Each domain can be scored from 1

(worst health) to 7 (best health). A total score can be obtained as the sum of scores on the four domains. It has demonstrated good reliability, construct validity and responsiveness [27]. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [28] has two 7-item subscales to measure anxiety and depression. Responses are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale. Scores range from 0 to 21 for each subscale and a cut-off point of eleven identifies clinical disorders. It is a reliable and valid instrument for assessing anxiety and depression in medical patients [29].

The Spanish versions of all questionnaires were used [30-35] and good psychometric properties were demonstrated in all cases [20,31,32,34,36,37].

Procedure

In the baseline assessment, we reviewed the clinical reports and carried out interviews with patients to collect information about sociodemographic variables (age, sex, age at diagnosis, smoking habit, educational and socioeconomic level, employment status, place of residence and marital status). A spirometry was carried out as described previously [21]. COPD patients also carried out the 6 minute walking test (6MWT) [38] and the BODE index was calculated on a 0 to 10 scale [39]. All patients completed the AQ20, SGRQ and HADS questionnaires. COPD patients also completed the CCQ and the CRQ, and asthmatic patients also filled in the AQLQ. We registered all exacerbations that required ED visits or hospitalizations, defined as an increase of respiratory symptoms that required a modification in treatment, during the two years after the baseline assessment. Data were obtained from the computer system and the review of clinical reports.

The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of Clinical Investigation (CEIC of Galicia: 2009/396) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistical Analysis

All results are presented as mean \pm SD, or frequency and percentage. Patients were divided into two categories (zero ED visits or hospitalizations versus ≥ 1 ED visits or hospitalizations per year of follow-up).

Differences in sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables and in scores on HRQoL questionnaires between patients with and without exacerbations were tested with Pearson's X^2 or Fisher's Exact test for categorical variables, ANOVA for normally distributed continuous variables, and Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables.

Logistic regression analyses were conducted to estimate the ability of each questionnaire to predict the use of healthcare resources. Analyses were performed separately for those measures of HRQoL that showed differences in baseline scores between patients with and without

exacerbations during follow-up. Age and sex were included as covariates in all models, as well as all sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables with $p < .10$ in univariate analysis. A forward-stepwise strategy was used. A value of $p < .05$ was considered significant for all analyses. We determined the area under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences 15.0 for Windows was used (SPSS Inc. USA).

Results

A total of 208 patients (108 asthma and 100 COPD) were included in the study. The mean (SD) age of the patients with asthma was 51 (18) years (range: 16-84); 55% were female and 9% were current smokers. The mean (SD) disease duration was 18 (16.1) years and mean (SD) post-bronchodilatation forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was 96.4 (21)% predicted. Asthma severity according to GINA criteria was: 2.8% mild intermittent, 18.5% mild persistent, 48% moderate persistent and 30.6% severe persistent.

The mean (SD) age of COPD patients was 66 (8.4) years (range: 40-80); 7% were female and 23% were current smokers. Mean disease duration was 9.2 (7.3) years and post-bronchodilatation FEV₁ was 59.1 (19.5)% predicted; mean BODE index was 2.13 (2.01) and 6MWT was 383 (96.4) meters. COPD severity following the GOLD classification was 12% mild, 46% moderate, 32% severe and 10% very severe. Both groups of patients (asthma and COPD) differed in all sociodemographic, clinical and functional variables mentioned ($p < .05$).

A more detailed description of the baseline characteristics of the patients was included in a previously published article [21].

Differences in sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables between patients with and without exacerbations are shown in Table 1 for asthmatic patients and Table 2 for COPD patients.

Differences in the scores of the HRQoL questionnaires between patients with and without exacerbations are shown in Tables 3 and 4 for asthma and COPD, respectively. Asthmatic patients with ED visits in the first year of follow-up showed statistically significant differences in the AQ20, the AQLQ total and subscales scores, and the SGRQ total and subscales scores, except Symptoms, in comparison with patients without ED visits. Asthmatic patients with hospitalizations in the first year of follow-up also showed worse scores in the AQ20 and in the SGRQ total and subscales scores, except Symptoms. In the second year, patients with ED visits only showed significant differences in the score of the SGRQ Impact subscale and patients with hospitalizations, in the score of the SGRQ Symptoms subscale.

Table 1 Sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological characteristics of asthmatic patients with and without ED visits or hospitalizations in the first and the second year of follow-up

	1st year				2nd year			
	ED visits		Hospitalizations		ED visits		Hospitalizations	
	0 n = 94	≥1 n = 14	0 n = 100	≥1 n = 8	0 n = 101	≥1 n = 7	0 n = 105	≥1 n = 3
<u>Sociodemographic variables</u>								
Age, year	51.5 ± 18.3	50.4 ± 17.1	51.0 ± 18.4	56.5 ± 12.2	51.5 ± 18.4	49.1 ± 13.2	51.5 ± 17.9	46.3 ± 26.1
Female, n (%)	48 (51)	12 (86)*	54 (54)	6 (75)	54 (53)	6 (86)	58 (55)	2 (67)
Age at diagnosis, year	30.7 ± 21.7	28.1 ± 22.1	29.8 ± 21.8	37.0 ± 20.7	30.7 ± 22.0	24.8 ± 16.2	30.4 ± 21.6	26.6 ± 30.9
Disease duration, year	17.0 ± 15.6	22.5 ± 19.3	17.8 ± 16.2	16.7 ± 16.1	17.2 ± 16.1	24.3 ± 16.3	17.6 ± 16.4	20.0 ± 5.3
Active smoking, n (%)	8 (8.5)	2 (14)	10 (10)	0 (0)	9 (10)	1 (11)	10 (9)	0 (0)
Mean pack-year	12.3 ± 6.8	9 ± 7.4	11.9 ± 6.8	11.0 ± 12.7	11.8 ± 6.9	12.3 ± 9.3	12.0 ± 6.9	5.0 ± 0.1
Education level, n (%)								
Illiterate/Primary School	48 (51)	9 (64)	51 (51)	6 (75)	53 (52)	4 (57)	56 (53)	1 (33)
Secondary School/University	46 (49)	5 (36)	49 (49)	2 (25)	48 (48)	3 (43)	49 (47)	2 (67)
Socioeconomic level, n (%)								
Low/Medium-low	31 (33)	2 (14)	30 (30)	3 (37.5)	31 (31)	2 (29)	33 (31)	0 (0)
Medium-medium/Medium-high/High	63 (67)	12 (86)	70 (70)	5 (62.5)	70 (69)	5 (71)	72 (69)	3 (100)
Employment status, n (%)								
Working	30 (32)	3 (21)	32 (32)	1 (13)	31(31)	2 (29)	32 (30)	1 (33)
Retired/Disability	64 (68)	11 (79)	68 (68)	7 (87)	70 (69)	5 (71)	73 (70)	2 (67)
Place of residence, n (%)								
Rural	27 (29)	3 (21)	28 (28)	2 (25)	29 (29)	1 (14)	30 (28.5)	0 (0)
Urban	67 (71)	11 (79)	72 (72)	6 (75)	72 (71)	6 (86)	75 (71)	3 (100)
Marital status (%)								
Single/separated/divorced/widowed	31 (33)	4 (28)	34 (34)	1 (12.5)	33 (33)	2 (28)	34 (32)	1 (33)
Married/unmarried partner	63 (67)	10 (72)	66 (66)	7 (87.5)	68 (67)	5 (72)	71 (68)	2 (66)
<u>Clinical-functional variables</u>								
BMI, kg/m ² MRC dyspnea scale, n (%)	28.0 ± 4.8	27.9 ± 5.3	27.7 ± 4.8	31.2 ± 3.4*	27.9 ± 4.6	29.0 ± 7.7	28.0 ± 4.9	26.44 ± 2.6
Grade 0-1-2	82 (90)	9 (75)	86 (89)	5 (83)	86 (88)	5 (100)	88 (88)	3 (100)
Grade 3-4	9 (10)	3 (25)	11 (11)	1 (17)	12 (12)	0 (0)	12 (12)	0 (0)
Spirometry								
FVC post (%)	104.8 ± 17.8	106.1 ± 13.4	105.0 ± 17.1	105.8 ± 21.1	92.9 ± 0.2	89.5 ± 21.2	92.0 ± 21.5	93.4 ± 25.8
FEV ₁ post (%)	95.6 ± 21.7	101.6 ± 15.5	96.1 ± 21.0	100.5 ± 22.0	97.0 ± 21.1	87.0 ± 19.0	96.5 ± 21.1	82.3 ± 21.0
GINA classification								
GINA I-II	21 (22)	2 (14)	23 (23)	0 (0)*	22 (22)	1 (14)	23 (22)	0 (0)
GINA III-IV	73 (78)	12 (86)	77 (77)	8 (100)	79 (78)	6 (86)	82 (78)	3 (100)
Previous year exacerbations								
Hospitalizations	0.2 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.5 [‡]	0.2 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.6 [†]
ED visits	1.0 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 1.9	1.0 ± 1.4	2.4 ± 1.5 [†]	1.1 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.7	1.1 ± 1.4	3.0 ± 2.0*
<u>Psychological variables</u>								
HADS anxiety	5.5 ± 4.3	8.8 ± 3.3 [†]	5.8 ± 4.3	3.5 ± 3.2**	3.3 ± 3.2	5.9 ± 5.9	3.8 ± 3.9	4.1 ± 5.3
HADS depression	3.4 ± 3.3	5.7 ± 3.3 [†]	7.9 ± 3.4	6.5 ± 4.3*	3.6 ± 3.5	5.5 ± 4.7	3.9 ± 3.7	4.5 ± 4.8*

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD), unless otherwise indicated.

BMI body mass index, MRC scale Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, FVC post forced vital capacity post-bronchodilatation, FEV₁ post forced expiratory volumen in 1-s post-bronchodilatation, GINA Global Initiative for Asthma.

P values: comparisons between groups were tested using the Pearson's X² or Fisher's Exact test (categorical variables) or ANOVA (normally distributed continuous variables) and Mann-Whitney U test (non- normally distributed variables or non-parametric data).

** p < .1 *p < .05; †p < .01; ‡p < .001.

Table 2 Sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological characteristics of COPD patients with and without ED visits or hospitalizations in the first and the second year of follow-up

	1st year				2nd year			
	ED visits		Hospitalizations		ED visits		Hospitalizations	
	0 n = 79	≥1 n = 21	0 n = 87	≥1 n = 13	0 n = 79	≥1 n = 21	0 n = 81	≥1 n = 19
<u>Sociodemographic variables</u>								
Age, year	66.0 ± 7.9	65.5 ± 10.2	65.3 ± 8.7	70.1 ± 5.0	65.2 ± 8.8	68.7 ± 6.1	65.3 ± 8.6	69.0 ± 6.8
Female, n (%)	5 (6)	2 (9)	7 (8)	0 (0)	6 (7)	1 (5)	6 (7)	1 (5)
Age at diagnosis, year	56.8 ± 9.1	55.4 ± 7.5	56.7 ± 8.8	55.8 ± 8.3	56.1 ± 8.7	58.5 ± 9.0	56.3 ± 8.8	57.7 ± 8.7
Disease duration, year	9.1 ± 7.3	9.6 ± 7.7	8.4 ± 6.8	14.3 ± 8.8*	9.0 ± 6.9	10.1 ± 8.8	8.8 ± 7.0	10.8 ± 8.5
Active smoking, n (%)	15(19)	8 (38)**	20(23)	3(23)	19(24)	4(19)	17 (22)	5 (26)
Mean pack-year	63.0 ± 25.1	59.6 ± 27.3	62.9 ± 25.1	58.1 ± 28.3	63.2 ± 2.2	59.1 ± 27.0	61.1 ± 24.6	67.4 ± 29.2
Education level, n (%)								
Illiterate/Primary School	52 (66)	16 (76)	57 (66)	11 (85)	52 (66)	16 (76)	52 (64)	16 (84)
Secondary School/University	27 (34)	5 (24)	30 (34)	2 (15)	27 (34)	5 (24)	29 (36)	3 (16)
Socioeconomic level, n (%)								
Low/Medium-low	31 (39)	13 (62) **	36 (41)	8 (61)**	34 (43)	10 (48)	34 (42)	10 (53)
Medium-medium/Medium-high/High	48 (61)	8 (38)	51 (59)	5 (39)	45 (57)	11 (52)	47 (58)	9 (47)
Employment status, n (%)								
Working	11 (14)	4 (19)	15 (17)	0 (0)	14 (18)	1 (5)	15 (18)	0
Retired/Disability	68 (86)	17 (81)	72 (83)	13 (100)	65 (82)	20 (95)	66 (81)	19 (100)*
Place of residence, n (%)								
Rural	21 (27)	5 (24)	24 (28)	2 (15)	23 (29)	3 (14)	21 (26)	5 (26)
Urban	58 (73)	16 (76)	63 (72)	11 (85)	56 (71)	18 (86)	60 (74)	14 (74)
Marital status n (%)								
Married/unmarried partner	65 (82)	18 (86)	73 (84)	10 (77)	66 (83)	17 (81)	67 (83)	16 (84)
Single/separated/divorced/widowed	14 (18)	3 (14)	14 (16)	3 (23)	13 (16)	4 (19)	14 (17)	3 (16)
<u>Clinical-functional variables</u>								
BMI, kg/m ²	28.4 ± 4.6	26.0 ± 4.1**	28.2 ± 4.5	25.4 ± 4.4**	28.3 ± 4.6	26.1 ± 4.3	28.1 ± 4.6	26.7 ± 4.7
MRC dyspnea scale, n (%)								
Grade 0-1-2	68 (86)	15 (72)	74 (85)	12 (92)	66 (84)	17 (81)	69 (85)	14 (74)
Grade 3-4	11 (14)	6(28)	13 (15)	1 (8)	13 (16)	4 (19)	12 (15)	5 (26)
Spirometry								
FVC post (%)	92.9 ± 2.0	89.5 ± 21.2	92.0 ± 21.5	93.4 ± 25.8	91.6 ± 21.2	94.7 ± 25.2	93.7 ± 22.5	85.7 ± 18.2
FEV ₁ post (%)	59.5 ± 19.3	57.5 ± 20.5	59.9 ± 18.8	54.0 ± 23.6	59.3 ± 18.3	58.2 ± 24.3	60.8 ± 19.6	51.7 ± 17.6
6MWT, m	394.2 ± 86.5	341.1 ± 120.7**	395.1 ± 83.6	302.3 ± 136.3 [†]	393.1 ± 88.4	345.1 ± 117.0	396.8 ± 83.8	324.1 ± 124.3 [†]
BODE index (0–10)	1.9 ± 1.9	2.8 ± 2.5	1.87 ± 1.8	3.8 ± 2.5*	2.0 ± 1.8	2.5 ± 2.7	1.9 ± 1.8	3.2 ± 2.4*
GOLD classification								
GOLD 1-2	46 (58)	12 (57)	53 (61)	5 (38)	48 (61)	10 (48)	49 (60)	9 (47)
GOLD 3-4	33 (42)	9 (43)	34 (39)	8 (62)	31 (39)	11 (52)	32 (40)	10 (53)
Hospitalizations previous year	0.3 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.8*	0.25 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 2.1 [†]	0.3 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 1.8 [†]	0.2 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 1.9 [†]
ED visits previous year	1.0 ± 1.6	0 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 3.2	1.2 ± 1.9	1.2 ± 1.9	1.0 ± 1.5	1.9 ± 2.8

Table 2 Sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological characteristics of COPD patients with and without ED visits or hospitalizations in the first and the second year of follow-up (Continued)

Psychological variables								
HADS anxiety	3.3 ± 3.2	5.9 ± 5.9	3.8 ± 3.9	4.1 ± 5.3	4.0 ± 3.9	3.3 ± 4.5	4.0 ± 4.1	3.3 ± 4.1
HADS depression	3.6 ± 3.5	5.5 ± 4.7	3.9 ± 3.7	4.5 ± 4.8	4.0 ± 3.9	3.8 ± 3.9	3.9 ± 3.7	4.3 ± 4.4

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD), unless otherwise indicated.

BMI body mass index, MRC scale Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, FVC post forced vital capacity post-bronchodilatation, FEV1 post forced expiratory volumen in 1-s post-bronchodilatation, 6MWT 6-min walking test, BODE index Body mass index, Degree of Airflow Obstruction, Dyspnea and Exercise Capacity Index; GOLD Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.

P values: comparisons between groups were tested using the Pearson's X² or Fisher's Exact test (categorical variables) or ANOVA (normally distributed continuous variables) and Mann-Whitney U test (non-normally distributed variables or non-parametric data).

** p < .1 *p < .05; †p < .01; ‡p < .001.

In COPD, only the CCQ Total and Mental domain showed significant differences between patients with and without ED visits in the first year. As regards to hospitalizations in the first year, differences appeared in the CCQ Total and Functional subscale, the SGRQ Total and all subscales scores, except Symptoms, and the CRQ Mastery subscale. During the second year of follow-up, no differences were found in the HRQoL questionnaires between patients with and without exacerbations.

Predictive models of ED visits and hospitalizations in the first year of follow-up in asthma and COPD patients for those HRQoL questionnaires that showed differences in both groups of patients (zero ED visits or hospitalizations versus ≥ 1 ED visits or hospitalizations) adjusted by sociodemographic, clinical, functional and psychological variables are shown in Table 5.

In the AQ20 model for asthmatic patients, the AQ20 total score emerged as an independent predictor for ED visits (OR: 1.19; p = .004) and hospitalizations (OR: 1.21; p = .04) in the first year of follow-up. In the SGRQ model, the questionnaire lost significance after adjusting for anxiety, which emerged as the only predictor of ED visits (OR: 1.18; p = .01). In the AQLQ model, the total score emerged as predictor of ED visits (OR: 0.9; p = .002), but it did not predict hospitalizations.

In COPD patients, the CCQ emerged as an independent predictor of ED visits in the first year of follow-up (OR: 1.06; p = .036), but it did not predict hospitalizations. In the SGRQ and the CRQ models, none of these questionnaires emerged as independent predictors of COPD exacerbations in the first year.

Among the considered sociodemographic, clinical and functional variables, the BMI and the frequency of

Table 3 Baseline scores on HRQoL questionnaires of patients with and without ED visits or hospitalizations due to asthma in the first and the second year of follow-up

HRQoL questionnaires	1st year				2nd year			
	ED visits		Hospitalizations		ED visits		Hospitalizations	
	0 n = 94	≥1 n = 14	0 n = 100	≥1 n = 8	0 n = 101	≥1 n = 7	0 n = 105	≥1 n = 3
AQ20	7.3 ± 4.6	11.6 ± 5.4 [†]	7.5 ± 4.7	12.4 ± 5.1 [*]	7.7 ± 4.8	10.3 ± 6.2	7.8 ± 4.8	11.6 ± 6.4
SGRQ scores								
Total	29.8 ± 17.9	43.1 ± 14.7 [†]	30.1 ± 17.6	48.9 ± 14.9 [†]	30.6 ± 17.9	44.5 ± 17.0	31.0 ± 17.9	48.7 ± 16.3
Symptoms	41.4 ± 20.3	43.5 ± 22.0	40.7 ± 20.4	53.8 ± 17.9	41.9 ± 20.7	38.3 ± 16.5	40.8 ± 19.9	70.1 ± 16.2 [*]
Activity	37.2 ± 24.7	55.1 ± 19.6 [*]	37.8 ± 24.2	60.9 ± 22.4 [*]	38.3 ± 24.5	57.2 ± 23.5	39.1 ± 24.8	54.3 ± 23.3
Impact	21.9 ± 18.0	36.2 ± 15.7 [†]	22.4 ± 17.8	40.5 ± 16.6 [†]	22.7 ± 17.9	39.3 ± 18.1 [*]	23.4 ± 18.2	38.9 ± 15.5
AQLQ scores								
Total	5.2 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 1.0 [†]	5.2 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 0.9	4.9 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 1.2
Symptoms	5.6 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.1 [*]	5.5 ± 1.2	5.0 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 0.9	5.5 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 0.8
Activities	4.6 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 1.0 [†]	4.5 ± 0.9	4.1 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 0.9	4.5 ± 1.4	4.5 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 1.2
Emotional functioning	5.7 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.4 [†]	5.6 ± 1.3	5.5 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.3	5.3 ± 1.8	5.6 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 2.2
Environmental stimuli	5.6 ± 1.3	4.2 ± 1.7 [†]	5.5 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 1.4	4.8 ± 1.8	5.4 ± 1.4	5.0 ± 1.7

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD).

AQ20 Airways Questionnaire 20, SGRQ St George's Respiratory Questionnaire, AQLQ Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire.

P values: comparisons between groups were tested using t test Mann-Whitney U (non-parametric data).

** p < .1 *p < .05; †p < .01; ‡p < .001.

Table 4 Baseline scores on HRQoL questionnaires of patients with and without ED visits or hospitalizations due to COPD in the first and the second year of follow-up

HRQoL questionnaires	1st year				2nd year			
	ED visits		Hospitalizations		ED visits		Hospitalizations	
	No n = 79	≥1 n = 21	No n = 87	≥1 n = 13	No n = 79	≥1 n = 21	No n = 81	≥1 n = 19
AQ20	7.0 ± 4.1	8.4 ± 4.1	7.3 ± 4.3	7.4 ± 2.8	7.4 ± 4.3	6.9 ± 3.3	7.3 ± 4.4	7.0 ± 3.0
CCQ								
Total	1.5 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 1.2*	1.5 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 1.0*	1.6 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 1.0	1.8 ± 1.0
Symptoms	1.8 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.3	2.0 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 1.2
Functional	1.2 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 1.1*	1.2 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 1.3	1.8 ± 1.3
Mental	1.3 ± 1.4	2.2 ± 1.5*	1.4 ± 1.4	2.1 ± 1.5	1.4 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 1.8	1.6 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 1.4
SGRQ scores								
Total	36.7 ± 18.4	42.6 ± 16.8	36.5 ± 18.0	47.7 ± 16.1*	37.6 ± 18.5	39.3 ± 17.0	36.8 ± 18.4	42.8 ± 16.4
Symptoms	38.8 ± 18.4	41.6 ± 20.9	38.2 ± 18.2	47.5 ± 21.8	38.7 ± 18.1	42.0 ± 21.9	38.8 ± 18.2	42.1 ± 21.8
Activity	50.7 ± 23.5	56.9 ± 19.4	50.1 ± 23.0	64.8 ± 16.2*	51.2 ± 23.3	54.9 ± 20.7	49.8 ± 23.1	61.1 ± 19.0
Impact	28.1 ± 19.9	34.7 ± 18.6	28.2 ± 19.9	38.1 ± 16.4*	29.5 ± 20.3	29.5 ± 17.6	28.8 ± 20.5	32.6 ± 16.3
CRQ scores								
Total	98.3 ± 16.2	87.0 ± 23.2	97.1 ± 18.3	87.6 ± 18.8	96.9 ± 18.0	91.7 ± 20.2	96.2 ± 18.8	93.7 ± 17.8
Dyspnea	19.1 ± 4.7	17.8 ± 5.1	18.8 ± 4.9	18.5 ± 4.1	18.9 ± 5.0	18.5 ± 4.3	18.7 ± 5.0	19.0 ± 4.2
Fatigue	19.5 ± 4.5	17.2 ± 5.9	19.4 ± 4.8	16.5 ± 5.2	19.3 ± 4.7	17.8 ± 5.6	19.2 ± 4.8	17.8 ± 5.6
Emotional Function	37.4 ± 7.4	32.2 ± 11.6	36.6 ± 8.6	34.0 ± 9.9	36.5 ± 8.5	35.2 ± 9.8	36.2 ± 8.9	36.4 ± 8.5
Mastery	22.6 ± 5.0	19.8 ± 7.2	22.5 ± 5.4	18.5 ± 6.0*	22.4 ± 5.2	20.2 ± 6.8	22.3 ± 5.5	20.4 ± 6.3

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD).

AQ20 Airways Questionnaire 20, CCQ Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ), SGRQ St George's Respiratory Questionnaire, CRQ: Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire.

P values: comparison between groups were tested using t test Mann-Whitney U (non-parametric data).

*p < .05; †p < .01; ‡p < .001.

previous hospitalizations were predictors of hospitalizations in the first-year of follow-up in all models, and the disease duration emerged also as predictor in the SGRQ model, both in asthma and COPD patients. In the CCQ model, the BMI and the frequency of previous hospitalizations predicted emergency visits in COPD.

In the second year of follow-up, none of the assessed HRQoL questionnaires were independent predictors of exacerbations, except the Impact domain of the SGRQ, which emerged as independent predictor of ED visits due to asthma (OR: 1.04; p = .03).

The frequency of previous hospitalizations was the only independent predictor of hospitalizations in the second year, both in asthma (OR: 2.30; p = .006) and in COPD (OR: 3.01; p = 0.007). The comparison between the HRQoL questionnaires that emerged as predictors of exacerbations in asthmatic patients revealed that the AQ20 had predictive ability for ED visits in the first year (area under the ROC curve 0.723) similar to longer questionnaires such as the AQLQ (area under the ROC curve 0.750). Area under the ROC curve for prediction of hospitalizations was 0.759 for the AQ20. In COPD, area under the ROC curve for the CCQ predicting ED visits in the first year was 0.651.

Discussion

Our results show that brief HRQoL questionnaires such as the AQ20 and the CCQ are independent predictors of the use of healthcare resources. The AQ20 predicts ED visits and hospitalizations in asthma and the CCQ predicts ED visits in COPD. The predictive value of both questionnaires has proven to be as high as of the longer disease-specific questionnaires such as the SGRQ, the AQLQ and the CRQ. The predictive ability of the AQ20 and the CCQ is only shown in the first year of follow-up, suggesting the convenience of a periodic administration, at least annually.

In asthma, the AQ20 showed an ability to predict ED visits in the first year similarly to the AQLQ and a higher predictive value to predict hospitalizations. To our knowledge, no studies comparing the ability of AQ20 and AQLQ to predict exacerbations have been carried out. In comparison with the SGRQ, the AQ20 shows higher ability to predict both ED visits and hospitalizations in the first year. To date, there is only one study [40] comparing the ability of the AQ20 and the SGRQ to predict exacerbations retrospectively, and it showed that both the AQ20 score (OR 1.15, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.25) and the SGRQ score (OR 1.03, 95% CI 1.01 to

Table 5 Logistic regression analyses predicting ED visits and hospitalizations of asthma and COPD patients in the first year of follow-up

	ED visits				Hospitalizations			
	Predictors	OR	95% CI	p	Predictors*	OR	95% CI	p
ASTHMA								
AQ20 Model								
	AQ20	1.19	1.05-1.34	0.004	AQ20	1.21	1.01-1.45	0.041
					BMI	1.25	1.01-1.54	0.034
					Previous hosp.	12.98	2.57-65.49	0.002
SGRQ Model								
	HADS anxiety	1.18	1.04-1.34	0.01	BMI	1.23	0.99-1.51	0.034
					Previous hosp.	10.07	2.19-46.41	0.003
AQLQ Model								
	AQLQ total score	0.97	0.45-0.99	0.002	-	-	-	-
					BMI	1.27	1.04-1.54	0.016
					Previous hosp.	11.12	2.56-48.24	0.001
COPD								
CCQ Model								
	CCQ total score	1.06	1.00-1.11	0.036	-	-	-	-
	BMI	0.87	0.76-0.99	0.041	BMI	0.79	0.66-0.96	0.002
	Previous hosp.	1.79	1.12-2.87	0.014	Previous hosp.	2.60	1.44-4.69	0.001
SGRQ Model								
	-	-	-	-	BMI	0.82	0.68-0.98	0.03
					Previous hosp.	2.55	1.42-4.60	0.001
					Disease duration	1.09	1.00-1.18	0.03

*Only predictors with p values < .05 are included.

AQ20 Airways Questionnaire 20, HADS Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, CCQ Clinical COPD Questionnaire, BMI Body mass index.

Variables with p < .1 included in the different multivariate models are: 1) ED visits in asthma: age, sex, HADS anxiety, HADS depression 2) Hospitalizations in asthma: age, sex, GINA severity, previous ED visits, previous hospitalizations, BMI, HADS anxiety, HADS depression. 3) ED visits in COPD: age, sex, previous hospitalizations, BMI, socioeconomic level, active smoking, 6MWT. 4) COPD hospitalizations: age, sex, disease duration, BMI, socioeconomic level, 6MWT, BODE index, previous hospitalizations.

1.06) were significantly associated with exacerbations experienced in the previous six months. This finding agrees with the present study suggesting that the AQ20 could be a good alternative to the SGRQ.

In COPD, the AQ20 did not emerge as a significant predictor of ED visits or hospitalizations in the two-year of follow-up. This aspect has not been previously addressed. In the Spanish validation study of the questionnaire [21] we also observed better psychometric properties in asthma than in COPD, suggesting that, although it can be used in patients with several respiratory diseases, it shows higher applicability in asthmatic patients.

In COPD, the CCQ emerged as an independent predictor of ED visits in the first year, with a higher predictive value than the CRQ and the SGRQ, as neither of them emerged as predictors. There are no data in literature analyzing the CRQ ability to predict exacerbations. Although in earlier literature the SGRQ was associated with higher frequency of exacerbations and admissions [3,10,19], these studies included more severe COPD patients and did not adjust for HADS anxiety-depression, except

for one study [10]. To date, there is only one study [41] that has shown that impairment in the CCQ in weekly sequential measurements allows for early identification of exacerbations (defined as the increase of symptoms registered in a diary), but it did not consider ED visits or hospitalizations and it did not compare the CCQ with other questionnaires.

The predictive ability of the CCQ reported in the present study has not been identified before and our results are potentially relevant to help in the selection of short HRQoL questionnaires in clinical practice.

It should also be noted that none of the assessed HRQoL questionnaires were found to be predictors of exacerbations in the second year of follow-up, except the SGRQ Impact subscale, which emerged as predictor of ED visits due to asthma. Amongst the assessed sociodemographic, clinical and functional variables, only BMI and hospitalizations in the previous year emerged as independent predictors of ED visits in the first year of follow-up in COPD, and also predicted hospitalizations in the first year in both asthma and

COPD. In asthma, our data agree with Rodrigo et al. [42], who found that patients with BMI > 25 kg/m² showed significant increases in length of ED stay and rate of hospitalization, despite adjustments for other confounding variables. In COPD, our findings differ from other authors who found that the independent predictive ability of BMI disappeared in models including dyspnea [12,43]. Possibly, measuring dyspnea with the Shortness of Breath Questionnaire instead of the MRC scale used in our study could explain the difference between the obtained results. However, our results agree with previous investigations which pointed out prior exacerbations as the most important predictor of future exacerbations, both in asthma [44,45] and in COPD [3,9]. These results support recent observations in literature concerning the presence of an exacerbating phenotype independent of functional severity [46].

It is important to emphasize that studies aimed to identify predictors of exacerbations in asthma and COPD often disregard the psychological variables [10]. However, it is widely known that anxiety and depression are related to exacerbations [9,10,43] and HRQoL [10,18,19] in both diseases.

In asthma, our results agree with previous studies showing that ED visits in the first year of follow-up are more frequent in patients with worse scores in anxiety and depression [8], although in the multivariate analysis HADS loses significance after adjusting for HRQoL measured with the AQ20 or the AQLQ. Nevertheless, in the model for the SGRQ, anxiety remained as an independent predictor of ED visits due to asthma (instead of SGRQ). These results probably suggest that the emotional dimension is not well captured by the SGRQ. In fact, the SGRQ Impact subscale is heterogeneous and does not just consider emotional aspects (in our sample, Cronbach's alpha was 0.47).

In COPD, we did not find differences in terms of anxiety and depression scores in patients with ED visits or hospitalizations, whereas other authors [10] have shown that anxiety emerged as a predictor of readmissions, probably due to the higher functional severity in their patients and their higher scores in the HADS. Furthermore, only 7% of COPD patients of our sample were female and other authors reported higher anxiety and depression scores in women versus men [47] and, consequently, a higher association to hospital readmissions [48].

The results of this study must be interpreted taking into account several limitations. Firstly, we did not assess the level of asthma control, which is one of the factors shown to predict the use of health care resources [49]. Secondly, in COPD we could have included the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) [50] in the comparison because it has been recently proposed by GOLD strategy [51]. The role of both questionnaires (CAT and CCQ) in optimizing

everyday care of COPD has been recently analyzed [52]. In the present study, we used the CCQ because the questionnaire has some advantages over the CAT, such as the division in domains, the preference manifested by the patients [53], and its excellent psychometric properties including the determination of the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) calculated by several methods [54,55]. The CCQ is included in the 2013 GOLD update [56]. Thirdly, the sample size was small and inclusion of stable patients without comorbidities resulted in a low exacerbation rate. In fact, a very limited number of patients required hospitalization especially in the asthma group. In COPD, the sample mainly comprised males, possibly due to the smoking habits in Spanish males and females in the age group of our cohort [57]. This could have affected generalizability of our results to women. Lastly, we have not taken into account those exacerbations not severe enough to require medical attention, although it is known that they can have a negative impact on quality of life [58]. Our study population makes it possible to minimize the misclassification of patients with comorbid disease such as congestive heart failure, allowing us to focus primarily on predictors related to respiratory diseases. This could also explain the low mortality rate in the cohort.

Further investigations in other populations with comorbidities are needed in order to confirm whether the simplified and short HRQoL questionnaires (AQ20 and CCQ) can contribute (in addition to prior exacerbations) to identifying, in routine practice, a subgroup of patients more prone to future exacerbations, in order to take preventive measures for their avoidance or early management.

To our knowledge, this report is the first to simultaneously compare the ability of several short HRQoL instruments applicable in routine care and longer instruments used in research to predict exacerbations in both types of airway diseases (stable asthma and COPD patients). Our findings allow us to conclude that the AQ20 is a better predictor in asthma and the CCQ, in COPD.

In conclusion, the AQ20 in asthma and the CCQ in COPD showed similar or even higher ability to predict exacerbations than longer disease-specific questionnaires. Therefore, these brief and easy to complete questionnaires allow for introducing the HRQoL measurement in the routine clinical practice in a periodic pattern, in order to identify patients with higher risk of exacerbations.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MBA, IV, HVH participated in the design of the study, coordination, implementation, analysis and interpretation of the data and wrote the manuscript. SPF and SPD participated in the analysis and interpretation of the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Esther Romero-Frais for her assistance in editing this manuscript.

Author details

¹Servicio de Neumología, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain. ²Departamento de Psicología Clínica y Psicobiología, Facultad de Psicología, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain. ³Unidad de Epidemiología Clínica y Bioestadística, Complejo Hospitalario, Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain.

Received: 6 November 2012 Accepted: 21 May 2013

Published: 27 May 2013

References

1. Donaldson GC, Seemungal TA, Bhowmik A, Wedzicha JA: **Relationship between exacerbation frequency and lung function decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Thorax* 2002, **57**:847–852.
2. Soler-Cataluña JJ, Martínez García MA, Román Sanchez P, Salcedo E, Navarro M, Ochando R: **Severe acute exacerbations and mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Thorax* 2005, **60**:925–931.
3. Seemungal TA, Donaldson GC, Paul EA, Bestall JC, Jeffries D J, Wedzicha JA: **Effect of exacerbation on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **157**(5 Pt 1):1418–1422.
4. Cydulka RK, Mcfadden ER, Emerman Ch L, Sivinski LD, Pisanelli WP, Rimm AD: **Patterns of hospitalization in elderly patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997, **156**(6):1807–1812.
5. Smith DH: **A national estimate of the economic costs of asthma.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997, **156**:787–793.
6. Sullivan SD, Ramsey SD, Lee TA: **The economic burden of COPD.** *Chest* 2000, **117**(Suppl):5S–9S.
7. Griswold SK, Nordstrom CR, Clark S, Gaeta TJ, Price ML, Camargo CA: **Asthma exacerbations in North American adults: who are the “frequent fliers” in the emergency department?** *Chest* 2005, **127**(5):1579–1586.
8. Eisner MD, Katz PP, Lactao G, Iribarren C: **Impact of depressive symptoms on adult asthma outcomes.** *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2005, **94**(5):566–574.
9. García-Aymerich J, Monso E, Marrades RM, Escarabill J, Felez MA, Sunyer J, Anto JM: **Risk factors for hospitalization for a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. EFRAM study.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **164**:1002–1007.
10. Gudmundsson G, Gislason T, Janson C, Lindberg E, Hallin R, Ulrik CS, Brøndum E, Nieminen MM, Aine T, Bakke P: **Risk factors for rehospitalization in COPD: role of health status, anxiety and depression.** *Eur Respir J* 2005, **26**:414–419.
11. Schatz M, Zeiger RS, Mosen D, Vollmer WM: **Asthma specific quality of life and subsequent asthma emergency hospital care.** *Am J Manag Care* 2008, **14**(4):206–211.
12. Fan VS, Ramsey SD, Make BJ, Martínez FJ: **Physiologic variables and functional status independently predict COPD hospitalizations and emergency department visits in patients with severe COPD.** *COPD* 2007, **4**:29–39.
13. Osman LM, Calder C, Robertson R, Friend JAR, Legge JS, Douglas JG: **Symptoms, quality of life, and health service contact among young adults with mild asthma.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000, **161**:498–503.
14. Eisner MD, Ackerson LM, Chi F, Kalkbrenner A, Buchner D, Mendoza G, Lieu T: **Health-related quality of life and future health care utilization for asthma.** *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002, **89**(1):46–55.
15. Quirk FH, Jones PW: **Repeatability of two new short airways questionnaires.** *Thorax* 1994, **49**:1075.
16. Van der Molen T, Willemsse BW, Schokker S, Ten Hacken NH, Postma DS, Juniper EF: **Development, validity and responsiveness of the clinical COPD questionnaire.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003, **1**:13.
17. Schatz M, Mosen D, Apter AJ, Zeiger RS, Vollmer WM, Stibolt TB, Leong A, Johnson MS, Mendoza G, Cook EF: **Relationship of validated psychometric tools to subsequent medical utilization for asthma.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005, **115**(3):564–570.
18. Maurer J, Rebbapragada V, Borson S, Goldstein R, Kunik ME, Yohannes AM, Hanania NA, ACCP Workshop Panel on Anxiety and Depression in COPD: **Anxiety and depression in COPD: current understanding, unanswered questions, and research needs.** *Chest* 2008, **134**:43–56.
19. Balcells E, Gea J, Ferrer J, Serra I, Orozco-Levi M, Batlle J, Rodríguez E, Benet M, Donaíre-González D, Antó JM, García-Aymerich J, PAC-COPD Study Group: **Factors affecting the relationship between psychological status and quality of life in COPD patients.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2010, **8**:108.
20. Fabbri LM, Hurd SS: **Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of COPD: 2003 update.** *Eur Respir J* 2003, **22**:1–2.
21. Blanco-Aparicio M, Vázquez MI, Romero-Frais E, Seoane G, Vereia-Hernando H: **Validation of a Spanish version of the airways questionnaire 20: a short and simple instrument.** *Qual Life Res* 2010, **19**:1235–1240.
22. Hajiro T, Nishimura K, Jones PW, Tsukino M, Ikeda A, Koyama H, Izumi T: **A novel, short, and simple questionnaire to measure health-related quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **159**:1874–1878.
23. Oga T, Nishimura K, Tsukino M, Sato S, Hajiro T, Mishima M: **Comparison of the responsiveness of different disease-specific health status measures in patients with asthma.** *Chest* 2002, **122**:1228–1233.
24. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P: **A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation: the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire.** *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992, **145**:1321–1327.
25. Guyatt GH, Berman LB, Townsend M, Pugsley SO, Chambers LW: **A measure of quality of life for clinical trials in chronic lung disease.** *Thorax* 1987, **42**:773–778.
26. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Epstein RS, Ferrie PJ, Jaeschke R, Hiller TK: **Evaluation of impairment of health related quality of life in asthma: development of a questionnaire for use in clinical trials.** *Thorax* 1992, **47**:76–83.
27. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Ferrie PJ, Griffith LE: **Measuring quality of life in asthma.** *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993, **147**:832–838.
28. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP: **The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.** *Psychiatr Scand* 1983, **76**:361–370.
29. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D: **The validity of the Hospital anxiety and depression scale. An updated literature review.** *J Psychosom Res* 2002, **52**(2):69–77.
30. Blanco Aparicio M, Vázquez Rodríguez I, Vereia Hernando H: **Adaptación transcultural al español del “Airways Questionnaire 20 (AQ20): un cuestionario de calidad de vida abreviado para la evaluación clínica del asma y EPOC.** *Arch Bronconeumol* 2009, **45**(1):24–29.
31. Ferrer M, Alonso J, Prieto L, Plaza V, Monsó E, Marrades R, Aquar MC, Khalat A, Antó JM: **Validity and reliability of the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire after adaptation to a different language and culture: the Spanish example.** *Eur Respir J* 1996, **9**(6):1160–1166.
32. Güell R, Casan P, Sangenis M, Morante F, Belda J, Guyatt GH: **Quality of life in patients with chronic respiratory disease: the Spanish version of the Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire (CRQ).** *Eur Respir J* 1998, **11**:55–60.
33. Sanjuás C, Alonso J, Sanchis J, Casan P, Broquetas JM, Ferrie PJ, Juniper EF, Antó JM: **Cuestionario de calidad de vida en pacientes con asma: la versión española del Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire.** *Arch Bronconeumol* 1995, **31**:219–224.
34. Blanco Aparicio M, Vázquez MI, Vereia Hernando H: **Fiabilidad y validez de la versión española del Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ).** *Arch Bronconeumol* 2010, **46**:108s.
35. Caro I, Ibáñez E: **La escala hospitalaria de ansiedad y depresión. Su utilización práctica en psicología de la salud.** *Bol Psicol* 1992, **36**:43–69.
36. Quintana JM, Padierna A, Esteban C, Arostegui I, Bilbao A, Ruiz I: **Evaluation of the psychometric characteristics of the Spanish version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.** *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2003, **107**:216–221.
37. Sanjuás C, Alonso J, Ferrer M, Currull V, Broquetas JM, Antó JM: **Adaptation of the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire to a second language preserves its critical properties: The Spanish version.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001, **54**:182–189.
38. Butland RJA, Pang J, Gross ER, Woodcock M, Gedales DM: **Two, six and twelve minute walking tests in respiratory disease.** *Br Med J* 1982, **284**:1607–1608.
39. Celli BR, MacNee W, Committee members: **Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper.** *Eur Respir J* 2004, **23**:932–946.
40. Win T, Pearce L, Nathan J, Mmath FC, Laroche C: **Use of the Airway Questionnaire 20 to detect changes in quality of life in asthmatic patients and its association with the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire and clinical parameters.** *Can Respir J* 2008, **15**(3):133–137.

41. Trappenburg JC, Touwen I, De Weert-van Oene GH, Bourbeau J, Monnikhof EM, Verheij TJ, Lammers JW, Schrijvers AJ: **Detecting exacerbations using the Clinical COPD Questionnaire.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2010, **8**:102.
42. Rodrigo GJ, Plaza V: **Body mass index and response to emergency department treatment in adults with severe asthma exacerbations: a prospective cohort study.** *Chest* 2007, **132**(5):1513–1519.
43. Cao Z, Ong KC, Eng P, Tan WC, Ng TP: **Frequent hospital readmissions for acute exacerbation of COPD and their associated factors.** *Respirology* 2006, **11**:188–195.
44. Li D, German D, Lulla S, Thomas RG, Wilson SR: **Prospective study of hospitalization for asthma: a preliminary risk factor model.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995, **151**(3 Pt 1):647–655.
45. Miller MK, Lee JH, Miller DP, Wenzel SE: **Recent asthma exacerbations: a key predictor of future exacerbations.** *Respir Med* 2007, **101**(3):481–489.
46. Hurst JR, Vestbo J, Anzueto A, Locantore N, Müllerova M, Tal-Singer R, Miller B, Lomas DA, Agustí A, Macnee W, Calverley P, Rennard S, Wouters EF, Wedzicha JA: **Susceptibility to exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2010, **363**(12):1128–1138.
47. Ng TP, Niti M, Tan WC, Cao Z, Ong KC, Eng P: **Depressive symptoms and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: effect on mortality, hospital readmission, symptom burden, functional status, and quality of life.** *Arch Intern Med* 2007, **167**:60–67.
48. Naberan K, Azpeitia A, Cantoni J, Miravittles M: **Impairment of quality of life in women with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Respir Med* 2012, **106**:367–373.
49. Vollmer WM, Markson LE, O'Connor E, Sanocki LL, Fitterman L, Berger M, Buist AS: **Association of asthma control with health care utilization and quality of life.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **160**:1647–1652.
50. Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, Wiklund L, Chen W-H, Kline Leidy N: **Development and first validation of the COPD assessment test.** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**:648–665.
51. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD): *Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*; 200. Updated 2011. [cited 2012 Sept 18]. Available from <http://www.goldcopd.org/>.
52. Jones PW, Price D, van der Molen T: **Role of clinical questionnaires in optimizing everyday care of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2011, **6**:289–296.
53. Tsiligianni IG, van der Molen T, Moraitaki D, Lopez I, Kocks JWH, Karagiannis K, Siafakas N, Tzanakis N: **Assessing health status in COPD. A head-to-head comparison between the COPD assessment test (CAT) and the clinical COPD questionnaire (CCQ).** *BMC Pulm Med* 2012, **12**:20.
54. Kocks JWH, Tuinenga MG, Uil SM, van den Berg JWK, Stahl E, van der Molen T: **Health status measurement in COPD: the minimal clinically important difference of the clinical COPD questionnaire.** *Respir Res* 2006, **7**:62.
55. Kocks JWH, Kerstjens HAM, Snijders SL, De Vos B, Biermann JJ, Van Hengel P, Strijbos JH, Bosveld HEP, van der Molen T: **Health status in routine clinical practice: validity of the clinical COPD questionnaire at the individual level.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2010, **8**:135.
56. *Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD)*; 2013. cited 2013 Apr 23. Available from: <http://www.goldcopd.org/>.
57. Jiménez-Ruiz CA, Sobradillo V, Gabriel R, Viejo JL, Masa JF, Miravittles M, Villasante C, Fernández-Fau L: **Respiratory symptoms and diagnosis of COPD in smokers of various types of tobacco. Results from the IBERPOC Study (in Spanish).** *Arch Bronconeumol* 2002, **38**:530–535.
58. Miravittles M, Ferrer M, Pont A, Zalacain R, Alvarez-Sala JL, Masa F, Vereza H, Murio C, Ros F, Vidal R, IMPAC Study Group: **Effect of exacerbations on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a 2 year follow up study.** *Thorax* 2004, **59**:387–395.

doi:10.1186/1477-7525-11-85

Cite this article as: Blanco-Aparicio et al.: Utility of brief questionnaires of health-related quality of life (Airways Questionnaire 20 and Clinical COPD Questionnaire) to predict exacerbations in patients with asthma and COPD. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2013 **11**:85.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

