

Angewandte International Edition www.angewandte.org

Biological Activity Hot Paper

 How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201731

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202201731

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202201731

Photoaffinity Capture Compounds to Profile the Magic Spot Nucleotide Interactomes**

Thomas M. Haas, Benoît-Joseph Laventie, Simon Lagies, Caroline Harter, Isabel Prucker, Danilo Ritz, Raspudin Saleem-Batcha, Danye Qiu, Wolfgang Hüttel, Jennifer Andexer, Bernd Kammerer, Urs Jenal, and Henning J. Jessen*

Abstract: Magic Spot Nucleotides (MSN) regulate the stringent response, a highly conserved bacterial stress adaptation mechanism, enabling survival under adverse external challenges. In times of antibiotic crisis, a detailed understanding of stringent response is essential, as potentially new targets for pharmacological intervention could be identified. In this study, we delineate the MSN interactome in Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium applying a family of trifunctional photoaffinity capture compounds. We introduce MSN probes covering a diverse phosphorylation pattern, such as pppGpp, ppGpp, and pGpp. Our chemical proteomics approach provides datasets of putative MSN receptors both from cytosolic and membrane fractions that unveil new MSN targets. We find that the activity of the non-Nudix hydrolase ApaH is potently inhibited by pppGpp, which itself is converted to pGpp by ApaH. The capture compounds described herein will be useful to identify MSN interactomes across bacterial species.

[*] Dr. T. M. Haas, Dr. S. Lagies, C. Harter, I. Prucker, Dr. D. Qiu, Dr. W. Hüttel, Prof. Dr. B. Kammerer, Prof. Dr. H. J. Jessen Institute of Organic Chemistry, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg Albertstraße 21, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany) E-mail: henning.jessen@oc.uni-freiburg.de

Dr. B.-J. Laventie, Prof. Dr. U. Jenal Infection Biology, Biozentrum, University of Basel Spitalstrasse 41, 4056 Basel (Switzerland)

Dr. D. Ritz

Proteomics Core Facility, Biozentrum, University of Basel Spitalstrasse 41, 4056 Basel (Switzerland)

- Dr. R. Saleem-Batcha, Prof. Dr. J. Andexer Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg Albertstraße 25, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany)
- Prof. Dr. H. J. Jessen
- CIBSS—The Center for Biological Signaling Studies

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany)

- [**] A previous version of this manuscript has been deposited on a preprint server (https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.15.472736).
- © 2022 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Magic Spot Nucleotides (MSN) constitute a class of 3',5'phosphorylated alarmones, featuring a characteristic 3'diphosphate substructure (Figure 1A). MSN are ubiquitous in bacteria, where they govern the stringent response, a fundamental stress adaptation mechanism.^[1–5] The stringent response enables bacteria to rapidly adjust metabolism under challenging conditions like nutrient-starvation (nitrogen, carbon, fatty-acids etc.),^[5,6] extreme pH,^[7] heat^[8] or antibiotics.^[9]

Produced and degraded by RelA/SpoT Homologue (RSH)-enzymes and small alarmone synthetases (SAS), MSN such as ppGpp, pppGpp^[10] or pGpp^[11] operate through different modes of action. In gram-negative bacteria like *Escherichia coli*, (p)ppGpp directly binds to the RNA-polymerase in cooperation with DksA, altering the transcriptional profile and enabling rapid adaptation towards stresses.^[12] In gram-positive bacteria such as *Bacillus sub-tillis*, (p)ppGpp indirectly regulates transcription, through GTP-pool depletion during (p)ppGpp accumulation.^[13]

In addition to these major transcriptional changes during stringent response, MSN directly bind and regulate several molecular targets such as proteins and riboswitches^[14] and modulate their function in a concentration dependent manner.^[5,15] Through these direct interactions, (p)ppGpp modulates bacterial DNA replication, ribosome biogenesis and hibernation. translation. metabolism, and pathogenicity.^[16,17] In Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), it has recently been demonstrated that inhibition of Rel_{Mtb}the enzyme responsible for MSN production-can directly kill nutrient starved Mtb and further enhances the killing potency of the known Mtb drug isoniazid in a mouse model. This highlights the importance to increase understanding of MSN function.^[18]

The high conformational flexibility of the MSNs' bisdiphosphate moiety enables various binding modes, also with regards to metal complexation, thus there is no consensus sequence of MSN-binding motives.^[19] Consequently, putative MSN-receptors are difficult to identify by bioinformatics. Recently, the systematic identification of new (p)ppGpp–effectors has become a focus of research by the application of different techniques, including radioactive labelling and mass spectrometry (Figure 1B).

In 2016, Gründling et al. presented a genome wide nucleotide-protein interaction screen in *Staphylococcus*

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201731 (1 of 12)







Systematic investigations of MSN - receptors:

	approach	organism	nucleotides	novel proteins captured
2016: Gründling ^[20]	DraCALA	S aureus	(p)ppGpp- mixture	7
2018: Zhang & Gerdes ^[21]	DraCALA	E. coli	ppGpp, pppGpp	12
2019: Laub ^[23]	affinity-based capture	E. coli	ppGpp	>50
2020: Wang & Lee ^[22]	DraCALA	<i>B. anthracis</i> pGpp, ppGpp, ppGpp		>30

В

This work: investigations of MSN - interactome using a new family of MSN - photoaffinity probes



Figure 1. A) General structure of Magic Spot Nucleotides and two modes of action in the context of the bacterial stringent response. B) Summary of previous systematic investigations of MSN receptors in different bacteria.^[20-23] C) Graphical summary of this work including a simplified representation of the trifunctional capture compounds. RNAP: RNA polymerase.

aureus based on a differential radial capillary action of ligand assay (DRaCALA), radiolabelled [³²P]-(p)ppGpp and an open reading frame library.^[20] This initial screening unveiled seven new MSN-targets. In 2018, Zhang, Gerdes et al. performed a DRaCALA screen, using [³²P]-(p)ppGpp and *E. coli* proteins produced from the ASKA plasmid library.^[21] As a result, eight known and twelve unknown (p)ppGpp targets in *E. coli* were uncovered. In 2020, Wang, Lee et al. conducted a DRaCALA investigation using [³²P]-(pp)pGpp, [³²P]-pppp, [³²P]-pppGpp and an open reading

frame library from *Bacillus anthracis*.^[22] Notably, Wang, Lee et al. individually screened triphosphate, tetraphosphate and pentaphosphate modifications, generating specific interactome data sets, with substantial homology to analogue screens of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

In 2019, Laub et al. presented the chemoenzymatic synthesis and application of ppGpp-analogues substituted with biotin and diazirine-functionalities appended to the diphosphate moieties via phosphorothioates.^[23] These capture compounds were successfully applied in a unique

photoaffinity-based SILAC mass spectrometry experiment using *E. coli* cell lysates. Here, more than 50 putative novel ppGpp-targets were captured.

Despite such impressive progress during the last five years, one can still consider bacterial MSN-interactomes to be understudied, e.g., regarding the unique targets of MSNs with different phosphorylation patterns and nucleobases (guanine and adenine), their variability across different species, aspects of compartmentalisation (membrane vs. cytosolic fractions), and different growth conditions. For example, Yang et al. stated in 2020 that "because binding targets differ between different species and most interactomes have not been characterized, the conserved and diversifying features of these interactomes remain incompletely understood." Furthermore, "whether the (p)ppApp molecules exert growth control independent from (p)ppGpp via a separated protein target spectrum […] remains to be investigated".^[5]

The motivation of the present study is to overcome such limitations by introducing a new family of trifunctional MSN-photoaffinity capture compounds (Figure 1C), accessible in milligram quantities by chemical synthesis. Equipped with biotin and photoreactive phenylazide functionalities,^[24] this new toolbox covers the most important MSN-representatives: ppGpp, ppGpp, pGpp and ppApp. Notably, in the case of the abundant ppGpp, modifications were introduced at the nucleobase as well as the 5'-diphosphate chain, enabling various binding modes, which should pull-down a more complete interactome. We applied these capture compounds in photoaffinity based pull-down experiments using the soluble and the membrane fraction of *E. coli* cell lysates.

Herein, we report extensive interactome datasets, covering numerous known and putative MSN-receptors. Despite substantial capture-overlap, our screening was indeed sensitive towards the phosphorylation pattern of the applied MSN and unveiled significant differences with regards to the nucleobase. In addition, we present the first systematic screen using a multiplexed mixture of two capture compounds for ppGpp-effectors in *S. typhimurium*, where (p)ppGpp and the stringent response already proved essential for the pathogen to invade host cells and express virulence genes.^[25]

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of capture compounds was based on an extension of our recently presented synthetic methods in the context of MSN construction.^[26–28] Chemoselective P-amidite chemistry,^[29] regioselective RNase T_2 -catalysis, and NHS-ester based amidation reactions are synthetic key elements of this approach as shown in Scheme 1.

Synthesis of Amino–Magic Spot Nucleotides

MSNs equipped with aliphatic amino-groups served as suitable intermediates towards diverse trifunctional MSN-

capture compounds. Such amines can be chemoselectively functionalized in the presence of other nucleophilic groups as present in MSNs.^[30] All syntheses started from commercially available ribonucleosides (guanosine (1), adenosine (2), Scheme 1). Treatment of 1 or 2 with pyrophosphoryl chloride followed by P–Cl-bond hydrolysis and RNase T₂-induced regioselective 2',3'-cyclophosphate-opening delivered the key intermediates pGp (3) and pAp (4) in 87 % and 43 % yield, respectively.^[26]

To enable nucleobase modification (Scheme 1A), pGp (4) was brominated selectively at the 8-position with a buffered solution of Br₂. Subsequently, 8-bromo-pGp was aminated in a nucleophilic aromatic substitution process by 1,6-hexamethyldiamine. As the resulting amino-pGp **5** was not soluble, the amino-function was protected in 65 % yield with an NHS-ester of Fmoc-glycine. The Fmoc-protected pGp derivative **6** was chemoselectively bisphosphitylated using a fluorenyl-modified P-amidite [(FmO)₂P-N*i*Pr₂] (**SI-1**). After oxidation, 1,8-diazabicyclo(5.4.0)undec-7-ene (DBU) induced global deprotection of Fm-groups led to amino-ppGpp **7** modified at the nucleobase in 33 % yield.

pNp **3** and **4** were also crucial for the syntheses of MSNderivatives modified at the 5'-phosphate chain with terminal esters. pGp (**3**) was transformed into ppGp (**8**) in a four-step telescoping sequence consisting of bisphosphitylation, oxidation, Fm-deprotection and RNase T_2 -catalysis, resulting in 87 % yield.^[26] **8** was subsequently bisphosphorylated by (pentynylO)(FmO)P-N*i*Pr₂ (**SI-3**). After oxidation, the intermediate was dissolved in MeOH, which induced the formation of a 2',3'-cylcophosphate under concomitant phosphate group removal at the 3'-end. After Fm-deprotection on the 5'-end with piperidine, the 2',3'-cylcophosphate was hydrolyzed regioselectively by RNase T_2 leading to pentynyl-pppGp (**9**) in 46 % yield after 5 steps from ppGp (**8**) without purification of any intermediate.

pGp (3) and pAp (4) were both bis-diphosphorylated using pentyne-substituted P-amidite SI-3 followed by oxidation. The obtained bis-diphosphate intermediates were treated with MeOH, leading to the corresponding 2',3'cyclophosphates. The Fm-group on the 5'-end was removed by piperidine and the 2',3'-cyclophosphates were regioselectively hydrolyzed by RNase T₂-catalysis leading to pentynylppGp (10) and pentynyl-ppAp (11) in yields of 61 % and 74 %, each without intermediate purification.

In addition, guanosine (1) was transformed to pentynylpGp (12) in 5 steps and 43 % yield: First, 1 was regioselectively phosphitylated with P-amidite **SI-1** at the 5'position.^[31] The intermediate was treated with the Pdiamidite **SI-2** under formation of a 2',3'-cyclophosphite. Oxidation and Fm-deprotection delivered the corresponding 2',3'-cyclophosphate, that was ring-opened by RNase T_2 to give pentynyl-pGp (12) in 43 % yield after five telescoping steps.

The four pentynylphosphates 9, 10, 11 and 12 were chemoselectively phosphorylated at the 3'-phosphate^[27] leading to a group of pentyne-substituted Magic Spot Nucleotides structures (13–15) in yields of 57–84 % in three steps without purification of intermediates.

Angewandte



Scheme 1. Synthesis of various amino-substituted MSN derivatives. A: Synthesis of amino-MSN modified at the nucleobase. B: Synthesis of amino-MSN modified at the 5'-phosphate chain as esters. C: Reaction conditions: a) $P_2Cl_4O_3$ (11 equiv), 0°C, 3 h. b) NaHCO₃ (1 M), 0°C. c) RNase T_2 , pH 7.5, 37°C, 12 h. d) Br₂ (3.5 equiv), H₂O, NaOAc-buffer (200 mM, pH 4), rt, 1 h. e) 1,6-diaminohexane/H₂O (pH 9.8), 115°C, 12 h. f) Fmoc-Glu-OSu (3 equiv), DMSO/H₂O, rt, 12 h. g) (FmO)₂P-NiPr₂ (3.0 equiv), ETT (5.0 equiv), DMF, rt, 15 min. h) *m*CPBA (3.0 equiv), -20°C, 15 min. i) DBU, rt, 30 min. j) RNase T_2 , pH 5.5, 37°C, 12 h. k) (FmO) (pentynylO)P-NiPr₂ (2.2 equiv), ETT (5.0 equiv), DMF, rt, 15 min. l) MeOH, 37°C, 4 h. m) piperidine/DMF (1/4 v/v), rt, 30 min. n) (FmO) (pentynylO)P-NiPr₂ (1.7 equiv), ETT (4.0 equiv), DMF/DMSO, 0°C, 1 h. o) (FmO)P-(NiPr₂)₂ (1.3 equiv), rt, 45 min. p) TBHP (3.3 equiv), rt, 1 h. q) piperidine (20 vol%). r) Amino-PEG₃-azide (1.5 equiv), Na-ascorbate (1.8 equiv), CuSO₄·5 H₂O (0.35 equiv), TEAA-buffer (pH 7, 200 mM), rt, 3 h. *Abbreviations*: Ade: adenine; DBU: diazabicycloundecene. DMF: dimethylformamide; DMSO: dimethylsulfoxide. ETT: 5-(ethylthio)-1*H*-tetrazole. Fm: fluorenylmethyl; Fmoc: fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl. Glu: glycine. Gua: guanine; *m*CPBA: *meta*-chloro perbenzoic acid. OSu: 1-hydroxy-2,5-pyrrolidindion. PEG: polyethylene glycol. TBHP: *tert*-butylhydroperoxide. TEAA: triethylammonium acetate.

Alkyne tagged pentynyl-MSN **13**, **14**, **15**, and **16** are a versatile platform to obtain libraries of functionalized MSN for diverse applications via click chemistry.^[32] In this particular case, we used these intermediates in a Cucatalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction with amino-PEG₃-azide. The corresponding triazole-containing click products (**17–20**) represent a family of phosphate modified amino-MSN and were isolated in yields of 36–81 %. The amino functionality now enabled ready modification with

commercially available NHS esters, such as e.g., photoaffinity labeled and biotinylated linkers (Scheme 2).

Transformation of Amino–MSN into Trifunctional Capture Compounds

Biotin and a photoreactive phenylazide group were introduced to transform amino-MSN (7, 17, 18, 19, 20) into trifunctional capture compounds for photoaffinity pull-



Scheme 2. Overview of the trifunctional MSN capture compounds. A) NHS-ester modification as a synthetic concept towards trifunctional capture compounds. B) Structural representation of NHS-esters bearing biotin moieties and photoreactive phenylazide groups (optimal irradiation wavelength for nitrene generation indicated in orange). C) Structures and yields of various MSN capture compounds.

down. In this context, NHS-esters seemed particularly suitable, as they enable chemoselective amide bond formation with amines, presumably even in the context of highly modified MSN. Two different NHS-esters were applied in reactions with amino-MSN (Figure 2 Step A and B). NHS- ester 1 (28) is the commercially available *Sulfo-SBED*, containing biotin and a photoreactive phenylazide group. NHS-ester 2 (29) was designed and synthesized in our group (synthesis see Supporting Information) to include a second photoaffinity handle. Based on lysine as a trifunctional

GDCh

Research Articles





Figure 2. Top (light grey): explanation of simplified MSN-capture compound representation. Bottom (light blue): Comparative workflow of pulldown experiment and the corresponding competition control experiment. Step A: incubation of capture compounds and MSN-competitors with cell lysates. Step B: UV-irradiation induces photo-crosslinking. Step C: Streptavidin coated magnetic beads allow the separation of captured proteins from the lysate. Step D: Trypsin digestion of captured proteins followed by LC–MS/MS-analysis.

platform, **29** is functionalized with biotin and tetrafluorophenylazide. **28** and **29** differ in linker lengths, optimal wavelength for photoactivation and reactivity of the generated nitrene. $^{\left[33\right] }$

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201731 (6 of 12)

In summary, various amino-MSN and NHS-esters were coupled successfully under slightly basic aqueous conditions in yields of 19–61 % on highly functionalized, polar MSN-scaffolds. This resulted in a family of diverse trifunctional MSN-capture compounds, including four ppGpp-CC (21–24), pppGpp-CC (25), pGpp-CC (26) and ppApp-CC (27) (Scheme 2C). The single capture compounds were synthesized on milligram scale, highlighting the effectiveness of our chemoenzymatic approach. Despite their structural complexity, we were able to thoroughly characterize the final products by ¹H-NMR, ³¹P{¹H} NMR, ¹⁹F NMR, HRMS and HPLC-UV (Supporting Information).

Notably, a capture compound (SI-13) based on ppGp (8), an understudied constitutional isomer of pGpp (or GTP), was synthesized and applied in pull-down experiments (see Supporting Information). Structurally, ppGp (8) is not part of the class of Magic Spot Nucleotides, but its presence and regulatory function in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* has been suggested already in 1979.^[34,35] Furthermore, high ppGp levels were observed under N-starvation in *Strepto-myces clavuligerus* and *Streptomyces coelicolor*, indicating a potential role as alarmone in these species.^[36,37]

The Pull-Down Experiments

The capture compounds were applied in an experimental pull-down set-up developed by Laventie et al. 2017.^[38] A summary of the basic workflow is shown in Figure 2. Initially, bacterial cell lysates of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* were prepared (see the Supporting Information). Additionally, the membrane fraction of *E. coli* was resolubilized using *n*-dodecyl-B–D-maltoside as a detergent and processed separately as described in the Supporting Information.

The pull-down experiments (Figure 2, left) commenced with incubation of the bacterial cell-lysate with single MSN-capture compounds (Step A). Subsequently, the mixture was irradiated with UV-light (310 nm), transforming the phenyl-azides into nitrene species (Step B).^[24] The highly reactive nitrene undergoes follow-up reactions, such as CH insertions, leading to covalent bond-formation in its vicinity, which is ideally occupied by MSN receptors. The CC-protein complexes were pulled down by the addition of streptavidin coated magnetic beads. The residual lysate was removed by stringent washing, the captured proteins were trypsinized and analyzed by mass spectrometry.

In the competition control experiment (Figure 2, right), a 1000-fold excess of unmodified MSN competitor was present in the incubation mixture. The resulting preferential occupation of MSN-binding pockets by the MSN competitor prevents specific CC-protein interactions. At the same time, unspecific interactions not based on MSN-binding pockets are not suppressed, thereby reducing the background of false-positive hits. The competition control is processed identically concerning the subsequent steps. All experiments described were performed in triplicates. For evaluation, the relative protein enrichment of the pull-down samples compared to the competition control equates the final data set. The enriched proteins should derive from specific interaction with the MSN-moiety of the capture compounds and hence can be considered as putative MSN-receptors.

Pull-Down Results: Analysis and Discussion

Figure 3, A summarizes and compares the results of the chemical proteomics experiment using different capture compounds and E. coli extracts (cytosolic vs membrane fraction). Furthermore, hit-overlaps between the different capture compounds are visualized and examples of enrichment lists as well as the corresponding hit-maps are shown (Figure 3B, C). The thresholds of enrichment for incorporation of proteins into the lists were $\log_2(\text{enrichment}) > 2$, corresponding to a minimum 4-fold increase compared to the competition control. The q-value threshold was set to 0.05 to exclude most of the statistically not significant results. The detailed lists of putative MSN-receptors for all pull-down probes as well as their graphical summaries (hitmaps) are available in the Supporting Information (chapter 4). Given the large data sets, the following discussion is limited to a qualitative focused analysis of the pull-down results.

In a first round of pilot experiments, the efficiency of ppGpp-CC1+2 was compared to ppGpp-CC3+4 using the E. coli soluble lysate fraction. These capture compounds differ in their linker structure as well as the photoreactive moiety (phenylazide vs tetrafluorophenyl azide) and the attachment of the linker to either the 5'-diphosphate or the nucleobase. The results were clear-cut, as ppGpp-CC3 and -CC4 (same linker and tetrafluorophenyl azide) led to a combined enrichment of only three proteins. In contrast, ppGpp-CC1 and ppGpp-CC2 pulled-down 41 and 64 E. coli proteins, respectively, from the soluble fraction according to the threshold criteria described above (Figure 3A). Despite an overlap of hits between ppGpp-CC1 and ppGpp-CC2, 68% of the combined hit count was specific to one of the CCs (Figure 3A, right). These results demonstrate that the point of attachment is of crucial importance for pull-down performance and that multiplexing of probes will likely provide superior coverage. Among the enriched proteins, three (ppGpp-CC1) or eight (ppGpp-CC2), respectively, were known ppGpp-receptors validating our approach.^[5]

Furthermore, several hits from our analysis were identical to the proteins found by Wang et al. 2019 (nine proteins from ppGpp-CC1 and CC2).^[23] Overall, we interpret these congruences as being supportive for the quality of our data, and conclude that our hits likely represent putative ppGppreceptors. The vast majority (>75%) of the putative *E. coli* ppGpp-receptors described in our dataset have not been related to MSN before, and thus can serve as starting points for further biological investigations (vide infra).

As we found that the commercial *Sulfo-SBED*-linker (Scheme 2, B) gave good results consistently and irrespective of the attachment point (nucleobase vs. 5'- oligophosphate), further MSN-derivatives (pGpp-CC, pppGpp-CC and ppApp-CC) were then applied in pull-down experiments based on this linker, initially using the *E. coli* soluble fraction. In the case of pGpp-CC (29 hits) the hit-overlap

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201731 (7 of 12)





A: Overview – results of capture experiments



B: Exemplified hit-list (ppGpp-CC2, E. coli, soluble and membrane fraction)

ppGpp – CC2 (soluble fraction)						
Nr.	gene	protein	log ₂ (enrichment)	q-value		
1	moeA	Molybdopterin molybdenumtransferase	9,4	0,00		
2	btsR	Transcriptional regulatory protein	7,7	0,04		
3	yciK	Uncharacterized oxidoreductase YciK	7,1	0,02		
4	upp	Uracil phosphoribosyltransferase	6,6	0,01		
5	dbpA	ATP-dependent RNA helicase	5,3	0,00		
6	grxA	Glutaredoxin 1	4,8	0,00		
7	fabH	3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 3	4,7	0,00		
8	ppnN	Pyrimidine/purine nucleotide 5'-monophosphate nucleosidase	4,1	0,00		
9	deoB	Phosphopentomutase	4,1	0,01		
10	gpt	Xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase	4,0	0,00		
11	nagC	N-acetylglucosamine repressor	4,0	0,01		
12	proB	Glutamate 5-kinase	3,7	0,01		
13	folE	GTP cyclohydrolase 1	3,5	0,01		
14	pka	Protein lysine acetyltransferase	3,5	0,00		
15	purC	Phosphoribosylaminoimidazole- succinocarboxamide synthase	3,5	0,00		
16	yheS	Uncharacterized ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	3,3	0,00		
17	mglB	D-galactose-binding periplasmic protein	3,3	0,00		
18	moaE	Molybdopterin synthase catalytic subunit	3,2	0,01		
19	pepN	Aminopeptidase N	3,2	0,00		
20	gnd	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating	2,9	0,00		
21	nfsB	Oxygen-insensitive NAD(P)H nitroreductase	2,9	0,01		
22	ydcF	Uncharacterized protein	2,8	0,02		
23	hisS	HistidinetRNA ligase	2,8	0,03		
24	grxB	Glutaredoxin 2	2,8	0,00		
25	nanE	Putative N-acetylmannosamine-6-phosphate 2- epimerase	2,7	0,00		
26	glmM	Phosphoglucosamine mutase	2,7	0,00		
27	fldA	Flavodoxin 1	2,7	0,00		
28	yjgM	Uncharacterized N-acetyltransferase	2,7	0,00		
29	modF	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	2,7	0,00		
30	apaH	Bis(5'-nucleosyl)-tetraphosphatase	2,6	0,01		

ppGpp – CC2 (membrane fraction)							
Nr.	gene	protein	log ₂ (enrichment)	q-value			
1	intF	Prophage integrase	7,1	0,00			
2	aphA	Class B acid phosphatase	6,0	0,00			
3	mrcA	Penicillin-binding protein 1A	5,1	0,02			
4	pdxH	Pyridoxine/pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase	4,9	0,00			
5	pcnB	Poly(A) polymerase I	4,4	0,00			
6	fadl	3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase	4,2	0,01			
7	ackA	Acetate kinase	4,0	0,00			
8	cspC	Cold shock-like protein	3,6	0,00			
9	atpA	ATP synthase subunit alpha	3,4	0,00			
10	mlaD	Intermembrane phospholipid transport system binding protein	3,3	0,01			
11	dps	DNA protection during starvation protein	3,2	0,00			
12	bcp	Peroxiredoxin	3,1	0,00			
13	rfaF	ADP-heptoseLPS heptosyltransferase 2	2,8	0,01			
14	modC	Molybdenum import ATP-binding protein	2,7	0,00			
15	fadJ	Fatty acid oxidation complex subunit alpha	2,7	0,00			





Figure 3. A) Left: Overview of datasets generated by various pull-down experiments including the numbers of hits. Thresholds (SI-data): $log_2(enrichment) > 2.0, q$ -value < 0.05. Right: Hit-overlap analysis. B) Exemplary hit-list extracts from ppGpp-CC2 (*E. coli*, soluble and membrane fraction). Known ppGpp-receptors are marked in green.^[5] Proteins already captured by Wang et al. are marked in yellow.^[23] C) Exemplary hit-map representation of ppGpp-CC2 (*E. coli* soluble fraction).

with ppGpp-CC and pppGpp-CC was high (>80%) but nevertheless, we obtained five unique hits: transcriptional regulator YjdC, D-mannonate reductase UxuB, tryptophane synthase beta chain TrpB, cold shock-like protein CspE, and glucose-phosphate-dehydrogenase Zwf. Interestingly, transcriptional control of the *zwf* operon by MSN was already observed by Shyp et al. in 2021 in *Caulobacter crescentus*.^[17] A subset of unique targets, despite a high overlap of pGpp with (p)ppGp regarding interactors also has recently been found by Wang using DRaCALA in Bacillus.^[22] The differentiation of MSN targets with regards to the degree of phosphorylation is underlined even more in the case of pppGpp-CC (59 hits), which enriched more than 35% of proteins not captured by ppGpp-CCs or pGpp-CC (Figure 3, A, right).

In stark contrast to ppGpp-CC2, the structurally closely related ppApp-CC captured six proteins only (YhhA, PfkB, Eno, RibF, YeaG, Prs), with an overlap of ≈ 30 % compared to ppGpp-CCs. Until today, ppApp generation is under debate in *E. coli* wildtype.^[39] Nonetheless, (p)ppApp has recently attracted substantial attention also in combination with toxins-antitoxin systems.^[40,41] Therefore, while still unclear whether there is a relevance for this novel MSN representative in *E. coli*, the delineation of potential binding partners is very attractive. Our finding supports the idea, that the interactome of ppApp and ppGpp is not superimposable.^[42] Moreover, the low number of identified interactors using our approach could hint towards a more focused cellular function of ppApp.

In a next round of experiments, ppGpp-CC1 and -CC2 were applied in pull-downs using the resolubilized membrane fraction of *E. coli* cell lysates. The overlapping hits ($\approx 50\%$) between membrane and soluble lysate fraction probably arise from membrane-associated soluble proteins or incomplete removal of soluble proteins from the membrane pellet. Nevertheless, numerous membrane-associated proteins were now also identified as putative MSN interactors for example ArtP (arginine transport binding protein), ModC (molybdenum import ATP-binding protein), MrcA (penicillin-binding protein), MlaD (intermembrane phospholipid transport system), DtpA (dipeptide and tripeptide permease) and DamX (cell division protein).

Finally, a mixture of ppGpp-CC1+2 was applied in a pull-down experiment for a first systematic investigation of ppGpp-receptors in *S. typhimurium* (soluble fraction). Multiplexing of the different capture compounds enables broader coverage of targets in one single experiment. We identified 37 putative ppGpp-receptors in total. Among these hits, 9 proteins (e.g.: Ssb, HsIV, FusA, GlmM and PfkB) represent homologues to proteins that have also been captured by ppGpp-CC in *E. coli*.

Although ppGp is no Magic Spot Nucleotide in a formal sense, it is structurally highly related to MSN and a potential alarmone as described above. Consequently, a pull-down experiment was performed using ppGp-CC in *E. coli* soluble lysate fraction. Here, 66 potential interactors related to various cellular processes were identified. Notably, the hit-overlap with its constitutional isomer pGpp was only 10% of the combined hit-count, underlining the approaches'

sensitivity towards specific phosphorylation patterns. These results should support further investigations on the potential role of ppGp in the bacterial stress response.

Target Validation: Bis(5'-nucleosyl)-tetraphosphatase ApaH Is Regulated by Magic Spot Nucleotides In Vitro

Recently, Yang et al. found that pGpp is produced from pppGpp by YvcI (also termed NaaH) in *Bacillus anthracis*.^[22] YvcI is a Nudix hydrolase with MutT being a homologue in *E. coli*. Interestingly, MutT has already been identified as (p)ppGpp binding target in *E. coli* by Zhang and colleagues:^[21] Biochemical analysis revealed that ppGpp is cleaved to pGp, while no data regarding pppGpp cleavage was shown. Ndx8 from *Thermus thermophilus*, a homologue Nudix hydrolase, also converts ppGpp to pGp and other nucleoside diphosphates to nucleoside monophosphates.^[43]

In our *E. coli* pulldowns, we consistently identified ApaH (bis(5'-nucleosyl)-tetraphosphatase) as binding target of pppGpp, ppGpp and pGpp. ApaH degrades dinucleoside polyphopshates, such as diadenosine tetraphosphate (Ap4A), a member of a second alarmone class in bacteria (Figure 4, A1).^[44] Interestingly, ApaH would be the first non-Nudix type hydrolase interacting with MSN, while several Nudix-type hydrolases have been described.^[21,45-47] We therefore investigated, if MSNs influence the activity of ApaH to uncover potential crosstalk between these different alarmones.

We used Ap4A as substrate. Co-incubation of either MSN with 8 nM ApaH and Co^{2+} led to diminished degradation of 22 μ M Ap4A as determined by LC–MS (see Supporting Information). Consequently, IC_{50} values were determined for the MSNs as well as for GTP. pppGpp inhibited ApaH by 50 % when present at $8\pm3 \mu$ M (Figure 4, A2). The IC₅₀ value of ppGpp was $21\pm7 \mu$ M. Importantly, guanosine triphosphate (GTP) had a much higher IC₅₀ value of $200\pm100 \mu$ M.

Since ApaH hydrolyzes a phospoanhydride bond with a nucleoside pyrophosphate as product, we examined, whether ApaH can also metabolize the MSNs pppGpp and ppGpp (Figure 4B1). While no cleavage product was found when 10 nM ApaH was incubated with 65 μ M ppGpp for 30 min, a GTP isomer was formed from 65 μ M pppGpp (Supporting Figure 15A–F). This product had the same retention time as pGpp and ppGp but not as GTP. To further elucidate the cleavage product, capillary electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry was employed and confirmed that pGpp was produced by ApaH from pppGpp and not ppGp using synthetic references in spiking experiments (Figure 4B2).

Next, we also determined the IC_{50} value of pGpp for Ap4A hydrolysis with $190 \pm 30 \ \mu\text{M}$ (Supporting Figure 15, G). Incubation of 10 nM ApaH with 65 μM GTP resulted in GMP formation (Figure 4B1), indicating ApaH requires a nucleoside 5'-triphosphate for hydrolysis. This is consistent with established ApaH activity against Ap3A, Ap4A, Ap5A, Ap6A, ATP and Ap₄, whereas no activity is known towards Ap2A.^[48]







Figure 4. Biochemical characterization of MSN target ApaH: A1) Hydrolysis of Ap4 A catalyzed by ApaH including overview of potential inhibitors. A2) Exemplary inhibition curves and IC_{50} -values. B1) Graphic representation of ApaH pyrophosphatase activity including kinetic parameters. B2) Determination of pGpp-constitution by CE-MS (extracted ion-chromatogram for 522 m/z (triphosphorylated guanosine).

Subsequently, we analyzed kinetic parameters of ApaHcatalyzed transformations. For pppGpp-hydrolysis, the Michaelis–Menten constant $K_{\rm M}$ and the catalytic rate $k_{\rm cat}$ were determined as $140 \pm 40 \,\mu$ M and $6 \pm 1 \,{\rm s}^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 4B1 and Supporting Figure 18). In contrast, $K_{\rm M}$ of GTP degradation was found to be $13\pm 5 \,\mu$ M and $k_{\rm cat}$ was $0.88\pm$ $0.08 \,{\rm s}^{-1}$ (Supporting Figure 19). The latter value is consistent with the work by Plateau et al., with a $k_{\rm cat} < 1 \,{\rm s}^{-1}$ for GTP. The hydrolytic reaction of Ap4A to ADP exhibits kinetic parameters of 22 μ M for $K_{\rm M}$ and 250 ${\rm s}^{-1}$ for $k_{\rm cat}$ under similar conditions.^[49] In summary, the catalytic activity of ApaH towards pppGpp-hydrolysis is moderate compared to Ap4A-hydrolysis as primary reaction.

Conclusion

In the present paper, we introduce a new family of trifunctional capture compounds based on biotin (enrichment), phenylazides (photoactivation) and various MSN structures such as ppGpp, pppGpp, pGpp and ppApp. In the case of ppGpp, modifications were installed at the phosphate chains as well as the nucleobase, facilitating different binding modes. We successfully applied this toolbox in pull-down experiments using cell lysates of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*. The chemical proteomics approach delivered extensive data sets of putative MSN-receptors, in part uniquely correlating with their distinct phosphorylation pattern. Several *bona fide* MSN-receptors were part of the hit-lists supporting the

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201731 (10 of 12)



accuracy of the presented data. Interestingly, the majority of enriched proteins has not been correlated to MSN before. The ppGpp-pull-down was also performed using the membrane fraction of *E. coli* lysate, generating a list of putative membrane associated ppGpp-receptors.

We are confident, that the presented lists of putative Magic Spot Nucleotide receptors will be a starting point for the community to study MSN binding targets of different MSN in various organisms and more detail. Along these lines, we have validated ApaH as the first non-Nudix type hydrolase whose function is inhibited by MSN but that also degrades pppGpp to pGpp. While pGpp has not been described in *E. coli*, its recent identification in *Bacillus* points towards a potential biological relevance of our finding. We are confident, that the availability of this new class of capture compounds will pave the way for specific interactome analyses in other bacterial species under various conditions and that our probes can also be applied to plants or algae.^[50]

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (CIBSS, EXC-2189, Project ID 390939984). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 864246, to H.J.J.). We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes. This work was supported by a grant of the Swiss National Science Foundation (310030B_185372) to U.J. We thank MagRes of the University of Freiburg for significant amount of time for NMR spectroscopy. We thank Dr. Pavel Salavei from the BIOSS protein laboratory (University of Freiburg) for protein expression and purification. We are grateful for the continuous support of the proteomics core facility at Biozentrum Basel: we especially thank Dr. Thomas K. C. Bock, Dr. Alexander Schmidt and Ulrike Lanner. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords: Alarmones · Magic Spot Nucleotides · Photoaffinity Proteomics · ppGpp · Stringent Response

K. Potrykus, M. Cashel, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2008, 62, 35–51.
 C. C. Boutte, S. Crosson, Trends Microbiol. 2013, 21, 174–180.

- [3] V. Hauryliuk, G. C. Atkinson, K. Murakami, T. Tenson, K. Gerdes, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2015, 13, 298–309.
- [4] K. Potrykus, M. Cashel, Nat. Microbiol. 2018, 3, 862-863.
- [5] W. Steinchen, V. Zegarra, G. Bange, Front. Microbiol. 2020, 11, 2072.
- [6] Z. D. Dalebroux, M. S. Swanson, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2012, 10, 203–212.
- [7] U. Kanjee, I. Gutsche, E. Alexopoulos, B. Zhao, M. El Bakkouri, G. Thibault, K. Liu, S. Ramachandran, J. Snider, E. F. Pai, W. A. Houry, *EMBO J.* **2011**, *30*, 931–944.
- [8] H. Schäfer, B. Beckert, C. K. Frese, W. Steinchen, A. M. Nuss, M. Beckstette, I. Hantke, K. Driller, P. Sudzinová, L. Krásný, V. Kaever, P. Dersch, G. Bange, D. N. Wilson, K. Turgay, *PLoS Genet.* 2020, 16, e1008275.
- [9] J. K. Hobbs, A. B. Boraston, ACS Infect. Dis. 2019, 5, 1505– 1517.
- [10] M. Cashel, J. Gallant, Nature 1969, 221, 838-841.
- [11] T. Oki, A. Yoshimoto, T. Ogasawara, S. Sato, A. Takamatsu, Arch. Microbiol. 1976, 107, 183–187.
- [12] P. Sanchez-Vazquez, C. N. Dewey, N. Kitten, W. Ross, R. L. Gourse, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 8310–8319.
- [13] L. Krásný, R. L. Gourse, EMBO J. 2004, 23, 4473–4483.
- [14] M. E. Sherlock, N. Sudarsan, R. R. Breaker, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2018, 115, 6052–6057.
- [15] G. Bange, D. E. Brodersen, A. Liuzzi, W. Steinchen, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2021, 75, 383–406.
- [16] S. E. Irving, N. R. Choudhury, R. M. Corrigan, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2021, 19, 256–271.
- [17] V. Shyp, B. N. Dubey, R. Böhm, J. Hartl, J. Nesper, J. A. Vorholt, S. Hiller, T. Schirmer, U. Jenal, *Nat. Microbiol.* 2021, 6, 59–72.
- [18] N. K. Dutta, L. G. Klinkenberg, M.-J. Vazquez, D. Segura-Carro, G. Colmenarejo, F. Ramon, B. Rodriguez-Miquel, L. Mata-Cantero, E. Porras-De Francisco, Y.-M. Chuang, H. Rubin, J. J. Lee, H. Eoh, J. S. Bader, E. Perez-Herran, A. Mendoza-Losana, P. C. Karakousis, *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaav2104.
- [19] W. Steinchen, G. Bange, Mol. Microbiol. 2016, 101, 531-544.
- [20] R. M. Corrigan, L. E. Bellows, A. Wood, A. Gründling, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, E1710–E1719.
- [21] Y. Zhang, E. Zborníkova, D. Rejman, K. Gerdes, *mBio* 2018, 9, e02188-17.
- [22] J. Yang, B. W. Anderson, A. Turdiev, H. Turdiev, D. M. Stevenson, D. Amador-Noguez, V. T. Lee, J. D. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 5388.
- [23] B. Wang, P. Dai, D. Ding, A. Del Rosario, R. A. Grant, B. L. Pentelute, M. T. Laub, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2019**, *15*, 141–150.
- [24] M. R. Cline, S. M. Mandel, M. S. Platz, *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 1981–1987.
- [25] J. Pizarro-Cerdá, C. Tedin, Mol. Microbiol. 2004, 52, 1827– 1844.
- [26] T. M. Haas, P. Ebensperger, V. B. Eisenbeis, C. Nopper, T. Dürr, N. Jork, N. Steck, C. Jessen-Trefzer, H. J. Jessen, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 5339–5342.
- [27] T. M. Haas, S. Mundinger, D. Qui, N. Jork, K. Ritter, T. Dürr-Mayer, A. Ripp, A. Saiardi, G. Schaaf, H. J. Jessen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202112457; *Angew. Chem.* **2022**, *134*, e202112457.
- [28] H. J. Jessen, T. Dürr-Mayer, T. M. Haas, A. Ripp, C. C. Cummins, Acc. Chem. Res. 2021, 54, 4036–4050.
- [29] G. S. Cremosnik, A. Hofer, H. J. Jessen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 286–289; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 290–294.
- [30] A. Barré, M.-L. Tîntaş, V. Levacher, C. Papamicaël, V. Gembus, Synthesis 2016, 48, A–L.
- [31] T. M. Haas, D. Qiu, M. Häner, L. Angebauer, A. Ripp, J. Singh, H.-G. Koch, C. Jessen-Trefzer, H. J. Jessen, *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, 85, 14496–14506.

GDCh



- [32] M. Meldal, F. Diness, Trends Chem. 2020, 2, 569–584.
- [33] E. Smith, I. Collins, Future Med. Chem. 2015, 7, 159–183.
- [34] C. C. Pao, J. Gallant, J. Biol. Chem. 1979, 254, 688–692.
- [35] T. Nishino, J. Gallant, P. Shalit, L. Palmer, T. Wehr, J. Bacteriol. 1979, 140, 671–679.
- [36] C. Jones, A. Thompson, R. England, *Microbiology* 1996, 142, 1789–1795.
- [37] E. Strauch, E. Takano, H. A. Baylis, M. J. Bibb, *Mol. Microbiol.* **1991**, *5*, 289–298.
- [38] B. J. Laventie, T. Glatter, U. Jenal, Methods Mol. Biol. 2017, 1657, 361–376.
- [39] M. Sobala, B. Bruhn-Olszewska, M. Cashel, K. Potrykus, Front. Microbiol. 2019, 10, 859.
- [40] S. Ahmad, B. Wang, M. D. Walker, H.-K. R. Tran, P. J. Stogios, A. Savchenko, R. A. Grant, A. G. McArthur, M. T. Laub, J. C. Whitney, *Nature* 2019, 575, 674–678.
- [41] S. Jimmy, C. Kumar Saha, T. Kurata, C. Stavropoulos, S. R. Alves Oliveira, A. Koh, A. Cepauskas, H. Takada, D. Rejman, T. Tenson, H. Strahl, A. Garcia-Pino, V. Hauryliuk, G. C. Atkinson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2020**, *117*, 10500–10510.
- [42] B. Bruhn-Olszewska, V. Molodtsov, M. Sobala, M. Dylewski, K. S. Murakami, M. Cashel, K. Potrykus, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene Regul. Mech.* 2018, 1861, 731–742.

- [43] T. Ooga, Y. Ohashi, S. Kuramitsu, Y. Koyama, M. Tomita, T. Soga, R. Masui, J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 15549–15556.
- [44] L. Krüger, C. J. Albrecht, H. K. Schammann, F. M. Stumpf, M. L. Niedermeier, Y. Yuan, K. Stuber, J. Wimmer, F. Stengel, M. Scheffner, A. Marx, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 5808.
- [45] G. C. Atkinson, T. Tenson, V. Hauryliuk, PLoS One 2011, 6, e23479.
- [46] S. Ronneau, R. Hallez, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2019, 43, 389– 400.
- [47] R. Sanyal, A. Vimala, R. Harinarayanan, Front. Microbiol. 2020, 11, 562804.
- [48] A. Guranowski, H. Jakubowski, E. Holler, J. Biol. Chem. 1983, 258, 14784–14789.
- [49] P. Plateau, M. Fromant, A. Brevet, A. Gesquiere, S. Blanquet, *Biochemistry* 1985, 24, 914–922.
- [50] The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [1] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD032009 and 10.6019/PXD032009.

Manuscript received: January 31, 2022

Accepted manuscript online: March 16, 2022 Version of record online: March 30, 2022