OPEN

Vitamin D Deficiency Strongly Predicts Adverse Medical Outcome Across Different Medical Inpatient Populations

Results From a Prospective Study

Lena Graedel, MD, Meret Merker, BMed, Susan Felder, MD, Alexander Kutz, MD, Sebastian Haubitz, MD, Lukas Faessler, MSc, Martha Kaeslin, PhD, Andreas Huber, MD, Beat Mueller, MD, and Philipp Schuetz, MD, MPH

Abstract: Vitamin D deficiency has been associated with several adverse outcomes mainly in the outpatient setting. The objective of this study was to examine the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and its association with risk of adverse clinical outcomes in a large prospective cohort of medical inpatients.

We collected clinical data and measured 25(OH)D levels in adult medical patients upon hospital admission and followed them for 30 days. Regression analyses adjusted for age, gender, comorbidities, and main medical diagnosis were performed to study the effect of vitamin D deficiency on several hospital outcomes.

Of 4257 included patients, 1510 (35.47%) had 25(OH)D levels of 25 to 50 nmol/L (vitamin D insufficiency) and 797 (18.72%) had levels of <25nmol/L (severe deficiency). Vitamin D insufficiency and severe deficiency were associated (OR/HR, 95%CI) with an increased risk of 30-day mortality (OR 1.70, 1.22–2.36 and 2.70, 1.22–2.36) and increased length of stay (HR 0.88, 0.81–0.97 and 0.72, 0.65–0.81). Severe deficiency was associated with risk of falls (OR 1.77, 1.18–2.63), impaired Barthel index (OR 1.80, 1.42–2.28), and impairment in quality of life. Most associations remained robust after multivariate adjustment and in subgroups stratified by gender, age, comorbidities, and main diagnoses (P for interaction >0.05).

In this comprehensive and large medical inpatient cohort, vitamin D deficiency was highly prevalent and strongly associated with adverse clinical outcome. Interventional research is urgently needed to prove the effect of vitamin D supplementation on these outcomes.

(Medicine 95(19):e3533)

Abbreviations: 1,25(OH)2D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D, CHF = congestive heart

Editor: Gokhan Cuce.

- Correspondence: Philipp Schuetz, Medical University Department, University of Basel, Kantonsspital Aarau, Tellstrasse, CH-5001 Aarau, Switzerland (e-mail: schuetzph@gmail.com).
- This study was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF Professorship, PP00P3_150531/1), the Research Council of the Kantonsspital Aarau (1410.000.044), and the Schweizerische Akademie der Medizinischen Wissenschaften (SAMW).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

failure, CI = confidence interval, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, LOS = length of hospital stay, OR = odds ratio.

INTRODUCTION

n humans, vitamin D is acquired from transformation of 7-dehydrocholesterol in the epidermis by exposure to ultraviolet B radiation, as well as dietary intake. The vitamin is then metabolized in the liver to 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) and in the kidneys to the active 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)2D). It has pleiotropic effects since a majority of cells in the human body express vitamin D receptors. 1,25(OH)2D may interact with over 200 genes thereby influencing cellular proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, insulin and renin production, bone and muscle metabolism, and stimulating macrophage cathelicidin production.¹⁻⁴ In accordance with these cellular effects, several clinical studies reported negative effects of vitamin D deficiency on mortality risk,⁵⁻⁸ cancer,⁹ cardiovascular,¹⁰ and infectious diseases¹¹⁻¹³ among others.

Although there is still controversy about optimal levels of vitamin D, a 25(OH)D serum concentration of less than 50 nmol/L is generally considered to indicate vitamin D insufficiency with levels of <25nmol/L indicating severe deficiency. To date, a sufficient vitamin D status is mostly defined by its benefits for the prevention of bone diseases. Optimal levels, however, may also depend on the patient population and medical illness with recent evidence suggesting that higher levels (up to 75–110 nmol/L) may positively influence medical diagnosis such as cancer or cardiovascular diseases. ^{14–18}

As vitamin D deficiency is increasingly being prevalent worldwide¹⁹ with a prevalence of about 50% in the healthy elderly population in Switzerland²⁰ in-depth knowledge of this contributing factor to disease has important public health implications.²¹ Most of this research, however, has focused on outpatients and clinical data on medical inhospital patients are still scarce.

To close this gap, the aim of this prospective patient cohort study was to examine the associations of vitamin D deficiency and different adverse clinical outcomes within different medical patient subgroups.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This is an observational, prospective cohort study. Between March 2013 and February 2014 consecutive adult medical patients were included upon hospital admission in the emergency department into the quality-control TRIAGE project. This project's main aim is to optimize the triage and patient flow of adult patients with medical emergencies.²²

Received: January 13, 2016; revised: April 4, 2016; accepted: April 6, 2016.

From the Medical University Department (LG, SF, AK, SH, LF, BM, PS), University of Basel, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau; Medical Faculty of the University of Basel (MM), Basel; and Department of Laboratory Medicine (MK, AH), Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000003533

As an observational quality control study, the Institutional review board of the Canton of Aargau has approved the study and waived the need for informed consent (EK 2012/059).

Patient Population and Management

We included consecutive adult patients with an acute medical illness seeking inhospital care. Surgical and pediatric patients were excluded. Initial vital signs and other clinical information such as socio-demographics, main medical diagnosis, and comorbidities were recorded upon admission. Left over blood samples of all patients were collected and stored for later analyses including measurement of vitamin D levels. Clinical information and patient outcomes were assessed until hospital discharge and all patients were contacted by a structured phone interview 30 days after admission in order to evaluate satisfaction with care, clinical and functional outcome measures, quality of life measures, performance in activities of daily living, as well as rehospitalization rates and mortality. In case the patient could not be reached, family members or the general practitioner were contacted.²²

Main Diagnosis and Comorbidities

Patients were divided according to their leading diagnosis including infections, cardiovascular diseases, metabolic diseases, cancer, neurological disorders, digestive tract diseases, pulmonary diseases, and other disease. Metabolic diseases thereby included different diseases such as diabetes with hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, and severe electrolyte disturbances among others. We also defined the following comorbidity groups: congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, dementia, diabetes mellitus, cancer, and chronic renal failure. Nutritional status was assessed using the Nutritional Risk Screening 2002 within 48 hours after hospital admission. A risk of malnutrition was defined as a Nutritional Risk Screening 2002 score of ≥ 3 points.²³

Outcome

Our primary outcome was 30 day all-cause mortality assessed during the hospital stay and by telephone interviews at day 30.

Secondary outcomes included functional impairment, quality of life, length of hospital stay (LOS), readmission rate, and falls and fractures. Performance of daily living was measured by the Barthel index.²⁴ We defined functional impairment as a Barthel index < 95 points. In order to assess quality of life, we used the standardized measure of health questionnaire EQ-5D including a descriptive system with 5 dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression), as well as a Europe specific summary index value.²⁵ These results were displayed as 2 levels, "impairments" or "no impairments." The occurrence of falls and fractures was registered during hospitalization and in the 30 days following hospitalization by telephone interview.

Assessment of Vitamin D Levels and Definition of Insufficiency

25(OH)D levels were measured on left-over samples upon admission using a chemiluminescence immunoassay for the quantitative determination of vitamin D. This method determines 25(OH)D3 as well as 25(OH)D2. We defined a normal vitamin D status as serum 25(OH)D > 50 nmol/L (>20 ng/mL), vitamin D insufficiency as 25 to 50 nmol/L (10–20ng/mL), and a severe deficiency as <25 nmol/L (<10 ng/mL).²⁶

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as percentages and counts or vice versa and continuous variables as medians (interquartile ranges, 25th–75th percentiles), unless stated otherwise. Frequency comparison was done by the Chi-square test. For all binary endpoints logistic models with odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) were used. For time to hospital discharge, Cox regression models with hazard ratios were calculated. To adjust for possible confounding we used 3 statistical models: model 1 for age and gender; model 2 for age, gender, and comorbidities; model 3 for age, gender, comorbidities, and main diagnosis.

We evaluated the association between vitamin D levels and outcomes in the overall population as well as within different predefined subgroups based on gender, age (cut-off 75 years), and main medical diagnosis. Evidence of effect modification within these subgroups was assessed by inclusion of interaction terms into the statistical models. A *P* value <0.05 (for a 2-sided test) was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA 12.1 (Stata corp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Patient Population

Of 4257 included patients, 1510 (35.47%) had 25(OH)D levels of 25 to 50 nmol/L (vitamin D insufficiency) and 797 (18.72%) had levels of <25nmol/L (severe deficiency). Baseline characteristics of the overall population stratified according to vitamin D status are summarized in Table 1. Patients with an inadequate vitamin D level were older and were at higher risk of malnutrition. Laboratory analysis in patients with low vitamin D levels revealed lower serum albumin levels, lower albumin corrected serum calcium levels and higher serum levels of creatinine. Also, patients being included during winter and spring season had significantly lower vitamin D levels as compared to summer and fall.

Primary Endpoint: Association of Vitamin D and Mortality

With lower vitamin D levels we found a stepwise increase in mortality of 3.4%, 5.6%, and 8.7% in patients with vitamin D sufficiency, insufficiency, and severe deficiency, respectively. In regression analysis, the unadjusted OR of 30-day mortality for vitamin D insufficient patients compared to sufficient levels (reference) was 1.70 (95%CI 1.22–2.36, P = 0.002) and in severely deficient patients 2.70 (1.22–2.36, P < 0.001). After stepwise adjustment for demographics (model 1), comorbidities (model 2), and medical diagnosis (model 3), the associations remained significant with an OR of 1.49 (95%CI 1.03–2.14, P = 0.03) for insufficient and 1.92 (95%CI 1.29–2.14, P = 0.001) for severely deficient patients in the fully adjusted model (for detailed results see Table 2).

We also investigated whether the association of vitamin D deficiency and 30 day mortality would differ among subgroups of different demographics and main diagnoses (Appendix 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A941 and in Figure 1). For most analyses, results were robust and no evidence of effect modification (P > 0.05) was found, except for neurological disorders, for which the associations became weaker.

Secondary Endpoints: Vitamin D and Hospital Outcomes

LOS was stepwise increased in vitamin D sufficient, insufficient, and severely deficient patients (3 [1, 6], 4 [1, 7], and 5 [2, 9] days, respectively, P < 0.001).

Characteristics	Overall	Normal Vitamin D Status	Insufficiency	Severe Deficiency	P Value
N, %	4257 (100%)	1950 (45.8%)	1510 (35.5%)	797 (18.7%)	
Age (median, IQR)	63 (46, 75)	61 (44, 74)	63 (46, 75)	68 (52, 79)	< 0.001
Male sex	2364 (55.5%)	1068 (54.8%)	869 (57.5%)	427 (53.6%)	0.12
NRS > 3	378 (24.42%)	147 (22.1%)	121 (22.3%)	110 (32.4%)	< 0.001
Laboratory findings	· · · · ·			· · · · ·	
Albumin (median, IQR)	37.7 (34, 40.7)	38.0 (34.6, 40.9)	37.7 (34.2, 40.5)	36.7 (31.5, 40.1)	< 0.001
Creatinine (median, IQR)	85 (70, 105)	84 (71, 102)	85 (69, 106)	87 (71, 117)	0.002
Calcium (median, IQR)	2.26 (2.19, 2.35)	2.26 (2.19, 2.35)	2.26 (2.18, 2.34)	2.28 (2.20, 2.37)	< 0.001
CRP (median, IQR)	17.9 (6.9, 70.1)	18 (7, 70)	16 (7, 62)	21 (7, 74)	0.25
Leucocytes (median, IQR)	8.5 (6.7, 11.0)	8.3 (6.7, 10.8)	8.6 (6.8, 11.1)	8.6 (6.6, 11.3)	0.34
Season					
Spring	1698 (43.9%)	570 (33.5%)	724 (51.9%)	404 (52.3%)	< 0.001
Summer	1386 (35.8%)	849 (49.9%)	393 (28.2%)	144 (18.6%)	< 0.001
Fall	324 (8.4%)	180 (10.6%)	101 (7.2%)	43 (5.6%)	< 0.001
Winter	461 (11.9%)	101 (5.9%)	178 (12.8%)	182 (23.5%)	< 0.001
Main diagnosis				· · · · ·	
Infectious disease	610 (14.3%)	279 (14.3%)	204 (13.5%)	127 (15.9%)	0.29
Cardiovascular disease	947 (22.3%)	414 (21.2%)	354 (23.4%)	179 (22.5%)	0.3
Metabolic disorder	59 (1.4%)	14 (0.7%)	24 (1.6%)	21 (2.6%)	< 0.001
Cancer	217 (5.10%)	92 (4.7%)	85 (5.6%)	40 (5.0%)	0.48
Neurological disorder	1083 (25.44%)	525 (26.9%)	383 (25.4%)	175 (22.0%)	0.025
Digestive tract disease	458 (10.76%)	209 (10.7%)	155 (10.3%)	94 (11.8)	0.53
Pulmonary disease	157 (3.69%)	64 (3.3%)	62 (4.1%)	31 (3.9%)	0.42
Miscellaneous	726 (17.05%)	353 (18.1%)	243 (16.1%)	130 (16.3%)	0.25
Comorbidities					
Diabetes	610 (14.33%)	211 (10.8%)	235 (15.6%)	164 (10.6%)	< 0.01
Tumor	638 (14.99%)	258 (13.2%)	235 (15.6%)	145 (18.2%)	0.003
Heart failure	250 (5.87%)	86 (4.4%)	89 (5.9%)	75 (9.4%)	< 0.001
COPD	204 (4.79%)	71 (3.6%)	70 (4.6%)	63 (7.9%)	< 0.001
Dementia	130 (3.05%)	48 (2.5%)	41 (2.7%)	41 (5.1%)	< 0.001
Renal failure	633 (14.87%)	225 (11.5%)	233 (15.6%)	175 (22.0%)	< 0.001
Obesity	520 (12.22%)	193 (9.9%)	220 (14.6%)	107 (13.4%)	< 0.001
Location after discharge					
Home	1.645 (38.64%)	768 (39.4%)	573 (37.9%)	304 (38.1%)	0.66
Other hospital	226 (5.31%)	91 (4.7%)	83 (5.5%)	52 (6.5%)	0.13
Nursing home	172 (4.04%)	63 (3.2%)	55 (3.6%)	54 (6.8%)	< 0.001
Rehabilitation clinic	197 (4.63%)	87 (4.5%)	67 (4.4%)	43 (5.4%)	0.52
Inhospital death	115 (2.70%)	35 (1.8%)	51 (3.4%)	29 (3.6%)	0.003
Other or unknown	1.902 (44.68%)	906 (46.5%)	681 (45.1%)	315 (39.5%)	0.004

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CRP = C-reactive protein, IQR = interquartile range, NRS = nutritional risk score, P = P-value are statistically significant at P < 0.05.

Unadjusted hazard ratio for time to hospital discharge was 0.88 (0.81–0.97, P = 0.007) and 0.72 (0.65–0.81, P < .001). These associations remained mostly significant after multivariate adjustment (Table 3). Similarly, we found a higher frequency in impairment in activities of daily living (Barthel index < 95 points) based on vitamin D levels, with 11.3%, 11.6%, and 18.7% in vitamin D sufficient, insufficient, and severely deficient patients, respectively. For severely deficient patients the association was significant with an OR of 1.80 (95%CI 1.42–2.28, P < 0.001), which remained robust after adjustment. In subgroup analysis (Appendix 1, http://links. lww.com/MD/A941 and Figures 2 and 3), there was evidence of effect modification (P < 0.05) with the main diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases, which had an even stronger association. For hospital readmission, we found no association of low

vitamin D levels with 30-day hospital readmission rate overall, and in different subgroups (no effect modifications).

Falls/Fractures and Quality of Life in the Follow-Up

We found a stepwise increase in reports of falls in the vitamin D deficient and severely deficient patients (3.1%, 3.4%, and 5.4%), yet after adjustment these associations did not remain significant. Also, the frequency of reported fractures did not depend on vitamin D status (P = 0.69).

We also observed an increase in reported impairment in quality of life (defined by mobility, self-care, usual activity, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression) in patients with a severe vitamin D deficiency compared to patients with

Readmission Rate, Falls, and Fractı Diagnosis (Model 3)	ures) Adjusted for Age and	Gender (Model 1), Age, Ger	nder, and Comorbidities (Mo	odel 2), and Age, Gender,	Comorbidities, and Main
	30-day Mortality	Length of Stay (median, IQR)	Rate of 30-day Readmission	Falls	Fractures
Overall n, %	220 (5.17%)	3 (1, 7)	415 (10.82%)	155 (3.64%)	12 (0.28%)
Normal vitamin D status (Vit $D \ge 50$)	66 (3.4%)	3 (1,6)	178 (9.9%)	61 (3.1%)	4 (0.2%)
Vitamin D insufficiency	85 (5.6%)	4 (1, 7)	159 (11.7%)	51 (3.4%)	4 (0.3%)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	69 (8.7%)	5 (2, 9)	78 (11.3%)	43 (5.4%)	4 (0.5%)
Unadjusted analysis (OR/HR [95%CI], P-	value)				
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	1.7 $(1.23-2.37, P=0.002)$	$0.88 \ (0.81 - 0.977, P = 0.007)$	1.21 $(0.96-1.51, P=0.106)$	1.08 (0.74–1.58, $P = 0.681$)	1.29 $(0.32-5.17, P=0.717)$
Vitamin D severe deficiency	2.71 (1.91 - 3.83, P < 0.001)	$0.72 \ (0.65-\ 0.81,\ P<0.001)$	1.16 $(0.87 - 1.53, P = 0.315)$	1.77 (1.18-2.63, P = 0.005)	$2.45 \ (0.61 - 9.84, P = 0.205)$
Adjusted analysis (model 1) (OR/HR [95%	%CI], P-value)				
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	1.53 (1.09–2.15, $P = 0.014$)	$0.89 \ (0.81 - 0.97, P = 0.013)$	1.18 $(0.94-1.49, P=0.154)$	1.06 (0.72–1.57, $P = 0.761$)	1.27 $(0.32-5.10, P=0.735)$
Vitamin D severe deficiency	2.03 (1.4-2.94, P < 0.001)	$0.76~(0.67{-}0.85, P < 0.001)$	$1.11 \ (0.82 - 1.49, P = 0.497)$	1.59 (1.05-2.42, P = 0.029)	$2.22 \ (0.55 - 7.9.01, P = 0.263)$
Adjusted analysis (model 2) (OR/HR [95%	%CI], P-value)				
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	1.47 (1.03 - 2.09, P = 0.033)	$0.92 \ (0.84 - 1.01, P = 0.072)$	$1.16 \ (0.92 - 1.47, P = 0.212)$	1.02 (0.69–1.51, $P = 0.926$)	1.32 (0.33–5.33, $P = 0.694$)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.83 (1.25–2.69, $P = 0.002$	0.79~(0.70-0.88, P < 0.001)	$1.05 \ (0.78 - 1.43, P = 0.728)$	1.49 (0.97–2.27, $P = 0.067$)	$2.18 \ (0.53 - 9.03, P = 0.282)$
Adjusted analysis (model 3) (OR/HR [95%	%CI], P-value)				
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	1.49 $(1.04-2.14, P = 0.030)$	$0.91 \ (0.83 - 1.00, P = 0.044)$	$1.17 \ (0.92 - 1.47, P = 0.209)$	1.02 (0.69–1.51, $P = 0.934$)	$1.24 \ (0.31 - 5.05, P = 0.758)$
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.92 (1.30–2.85, $P = 0.001$)	$0.78 \ (0.70 - 0.87, P < 0.001)$	1.05 $(0.78 - 1.42, P = 0.752)$	$1.50 \ (0.98-2.29, P=0.064)$	$2.11 \ (0.50 - 8.84, P = 0.307)$
CI = confidence interval, $HR = hazar$	d ratio, IQR = interquartile rang	ge, LOS = length of hospital stay,	OR = odds ratio, P = P-value a	re statistically significant at P	< 0.05, Vit = Vitamin.



FIGURE 1. Predictive value of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency for 30-day mortality by patient subgroups.

sufficient vitamin D status, except for the dimensions pain/ discomfort and anxiety/depression. The association between severe vitamin D deficiency and decreased quality of life remained significant after adjustment save for pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression (model 1–3) and usual activities in model 2. In subgroup analyses (Appendix 1, http://links.lww. com/MD/A941 and Figures 4 and 5), there was no evidence of effect modification (P > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

This findings of our study including a large and wellcharacterized cohort of medical inpatients with different diseases with vitamin D levels measured upon hospital admission are 3-fold: 1st, we found a high prevalence of >50% of vitamin D deficiency with levels below 50 nmol/L and almost 20% of patients had with severe deficiency with levels below 25 nmol/ L. This accords with findings from a previous study by Thomas et al²⁷ as well as data from the Federal Office of Public health in Switzerland.²⁸ Second, we found strong associations of vitamin D deficiency and several patient relevant adverse clinical outcomes measured during the hospital stay and after 30 days including mortality, LOS, falls and functional impairment, and quality of life. Third, most of these associations remained robust after multivariate adjustment and within different subgroups suggesting that effects are rather not disease specific but more general for medical inpatients.

Several clinical studies have been conducted investigating association of vitamin D deficiency and different adverse medical outcomes for medical patients, such as mortality, $^{29-42}$

LOS,^{36,38,43–45} functional impairment,^{46,47} higher disease severity,^{39–41,48} and increased risk or severity of infections.^{29,30,33,43,49–52} However, most of these studies were small and limited to specific patient subgroups. Also, rigorous multivariate adjustment for possible confounders was not done in most studies. Although several studies suggest a link between vitamin D deficiency and various adverse outcomes, definite conclusions could not be drawn. To our knowledge, our study is first to examine associations of vitamin D deficiency and adverse outcome in a large and unselected patient population with multiple short-term medical outcomes and is thus able to close this gap.

However, our study is not able to prove causality and to answer the question whether vitamin D deficiency contributes to worse patient outcome or whether it is merely a surrogate of increased disease severity, lower exposure to sun, and/or malnutrition. To address this issue we used multivariate regression analysis including different potential confounders such as age and disease. Most of the observed associations were robust. Still, interventional research is needed to answer the question whether vitamin D supplementation in the acute phase of illness in medical inpatients has beneficial effects on outcome.

Vitamin D is also considered as a negative acute-phase protein, which could explain the observed low levels during acute illness and the association between low levels and adverse outcome such as higher mortality. Several mechanisms may be responsible for such as a decrease of vitamin D carrier proteins, increased conversion of 25(OH)D to 1,25(OH)2D, as well as hemodilution.^{53,54} Similar to other studies, we also found

		Ouality of Life					
Ι	7unctional Impairment (Barthel < 95)	Index	Mobility	Self-Care	Usual Activities	Pain/Discomfort	Anxiety/Depression
Overall n, %	507 (12.8%)	840 (45.45%)	341 (20.31%)	237 (14.12%)	461 (27.46%)	550 (32.76%)	417 (24.84%)
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	209 (11.3%)	399 (46.1%)	151 (19.0%)	99 (12.5%)	206 (26.0%)	262 (33.0%)	192 (24.2%)
Vitamin D insufficiency	163(11.6%)	300 (46.4%)	102 (17.3%)	73 (12.4%)	148 (25.1%)	195 (33.1%)	145 (24.6%)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	135 (18.7)	141 (42.0%)	88 (29.6%)	65 (21.9%)	107 (36.0%)	93 (31.3%)	80 (26.9%)
Unadjusted analysis (OR/HR [95%CI], P-value)							
Normal vitamin D status (Vit $D > 50$)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	1.03(0.83 - 1.28)	1.01 (0.83-1.25)	0.89 (0.67 - 1.18,	0.99 (0.72-1.4,	0.96 (0.75–1.22,	1.00(0.80 - 1.26)	1.02 (0.80-1.31,
	P = 0.784)	P = 0.888	P = 0.413)	P = 0.960)	P = 0.72)	P = 0.979)	P = 0.862)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.81 (1.43–2.29,	0.85 (0.66–1.09)	1.79 (1.32–2.43,	1.96 (1.4–2.78,	1.60 (1.21–2.13,	0.92 (0.69 - 1.23,	1.15 (0.85 - 1.56,
	P < 0.001)	P = 0.199	P < 0.001)	P < 0.001)	P = 0.010)	P = 0.588)	P = 0.92)
Adjusted analysis (model 1) (OR/HR [95%C <i>P</i> -value)	л],						
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D>50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	0.99 (0.79 - 1.25,	1.02 (0.83-1.25)	0.87 (0.66 - 1.16,	0.97 (0.69 - 1.35,	0.95 (0.74–1.22,	1.01 (0.80–1.27,	1.03 (0.80-1.32,
×	P = 0.956)	P = 0.868	P = 0.351)	P = 0.849	P = 0.6690)	P = 0.932	P = 0.813)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.53 (1.19–1.97,	0.94 (0.72-1.22),	1.63 (1.19–2.23,	1.67 (1.16–2.39,	1.47 (1.10–1.97,	0.90(0.67 - 1.20)	1.15 (0.85–1.57,
	P = 0.001)	P = 0.646	P = 0.002)	P = 0.005)	P = 0.010)	P = 0.481)	P = 0.367)
Adjusted analysis (model 2) (OR/HR [95%C	лј,						
P-value)							
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D>50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	0.97 (0.77 - 1.23,	1.03 (0.84–1.27),	0.85 (0.64 - 1.13,	0.95 (0.67–1.34,	0.92 (0.71-1.19,	0.99 (0.7 - 1.24,	1.02(0.79 - 1.31)
	P = 0.816	P = 0.783	P = 0.272)	P = 0.763)	P = 0.513)	P = 0.891)	P = 0.882)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.36 (1.05–1.77,	0.99 (0.76–1.30),	1.48 (1.07-2.03,	1.52 (1.05–2.21,	1.33 (0.99–1.81,	0.87 (0.65 - 1.16,	1.10(0.81 - 1.51)
	P = 0.02)	P = 0.955	P = 0.017)	P = 0.027	P = 0.059)	P = 0.341)	P = 0.525)
Adjusted analysis (model 3) (OR/HR [95%C <i>P</i> -value)	л],						
Normal vitamin D status (Vit D > 50)	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Vitamin D insufficiency	0.98 (0.77–1.24,	1.01 (0.81–1.24),	0.87 (0.65–1.16,	0.96(0.68 - 1.36,	0.95 (0.73-1.23,	1.00(0.79 - 1.26,	1.02 (0.79-1.31,
	P = 0.860)	P = 0.958	P = 0.346)	P = 0.831)	P = 0.677)	P = 0.993)	P = 0.892)
Vitamin D severe deficiency	1.39(1.07 - 1.81,	0.96 (0.74-1.26),	1.51 (1.09–2.09,	1.56 (1.07-2.28,	1.42(1.04 - 1.93,	0.88 (0.65 - 1.19,	1.09 (0.80 - 1.50,
	P = 0.014)	P = 0.791	P = 0.014)	P = 0.022	P = 0.026)	P = 0.407)	P = 0.590)



FIGURE 2. Predictive value of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency for time to hospital discharge by patient subgroups.

Overall	Insufficiency Severe deficiency		_ _	
Age ≥75	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Age <75	Insufficiency Severe deficiency		_	
Female	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Male	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Infectious diseases	Insufficiency Severe deficiency		+	
Cardiovascular diseases	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Cancer	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Neurological diseases	Insufficiency Severe deficiency			
Digestive tract diseases	Insufficiency Severe deficiency		→	
Pulmonary diseases	Insufficiency Severe deficiency		•	-
Odds ratio		0	1	10
			95% CI	 Adi, OR

FIGURE 3. Predictive value of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency for 30-day readmission rate by patient subgroups.



FIGURE 4. Predictive value of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency for functional impairment by patient subgroups as measured by the Barthel index.



FIGURE 5. Predictive value of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency for falls by patient subgroup.

significantly lower albumin level in vitamin D deficient patients.^{55,56} Thus, measuring total serum 25(OH)D may not be adequate to assess vitamin D status during acute illness. The free fraction of 25(OH)D may be a more accurate representation, because it is not influenced by albumin levels and it represents the physiologically important fraction. However, measurement of the bioavailable fraction is technically more challenging.^{55,57}

Vitamin D has also been suggested to have antiinflammatory and antiproliferative properties.^{4,58} These effects may physiopathologically explain the increase in adverse outcomes with deficient vitamin D status and call for the substitution of patients in order to correct impaired vitamin D status.⁵⁹ However, without interventional data in this patient population such conclusions may not be warranted. Current recommendations for vitamin D screening and supplementation are based on data regarding the benefits for musculoskeletal outcomes. Many large observational studies have been conducted on the benefits of vitamin D supplementation on falls and fractures.^{60,61} Still, even for musculoskeletal health there is remaining ambiguity with recent randomized trials reporting conflicting results.^{62,63}

The study has several strengths: we studied a large, welldefined cohort with a heterogeneous patient population, thus justifying the validity of our data in the general population. In order to assess impairments in quality of life and activities of daily living we used standardized tools, which furthermore facilitate the comparison of our results. Vitamin D levels were measured in a consecutive sample limiting the risk of selection bias. Nevertheless, some limitations of our study should be considered. It was a single-center study including Swiss patients and results may not be generalizable to other countries. Although we adjusted for potential confounders, we cannot eliminate the possibility of residual confounding factors influencing our results. For example, parathyroid hormone levels were not available. Also, there is controversy regarding the best methodology for measuring 25(OH)D. High-performance liquid chromatography is generally considered the gold-standard, as it is more accurate and reliable than the chemiluminescence immunoassav we used in our study. However, this method is time and labor intensive and requires expensive equipment, thus making it unsuitable to high-capacity clinical laboratories.^{64,65} In addition, we did not have data available on chronicity of disease which may be an important factor explaining the relationship of low vitamin D levels and adverse outcome. Most importantly, as an observational study it is more hypothesis generating and does not address causality.

CONCLUSION

Our study confirms results from previous studies and shows a high prevalence of inadequate vitamin D status in the medical inpatient population with strong associations with different adverse medical outcomes and robust results among different medical diagnosis subgroups. High-quality randomized controlled studies are needed to assess whether vitamin D supplementation could improve the course and recovery from an acute illness in the medical inpatient setting.

REFERENCES

 Bouillon R, Bischoff-Ferrari H, Willett W. Vitamin D and health: perspectives from mice and man. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2008;23: 974–979.

- Liu PT, Stenger S, Li H, et al. Toll-like receptor triggering of a vitamin D-mediated human antimicrobial response. *Science*. 2006;311:1770–1773.
- Nagpal S, Na S, Rathnachalam R. Noncalcemic actions of vitamin D receptor ligands. *Endocr Rev.* 2005;26:662–687.
- DeLuca HF. Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamin D. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80(6 Suppl): 1689S–1696S.
- Chowdhury R, Kunutsor S, Vitezova A, et al. Vitamin D and risk of cause specific death: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational cohort and randomised intervention studies. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g1903.
- Garland CF, Kim JJ, Mohr SB, et al. Meta-analysis of all-cause mortality according to serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Am J Public health. 2014;104:e43–50.
- Melamed ML, Michos ED, Post W, et al. 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and the risk of mortality in the general population. *Arch Inter Med.* 2008;168:1629–1637.
- Zittermann A, Prokop S. The role of vitamin D for cardiovascular disease and overall mortality. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;810:106–119.
- Ness RA, Miller DD, Li W. The role of vitamin D in cancer prevention. *Chin J Nat Med.* 2015;13:481–497.
- Zittermann A. Vitamin D and cardiovascular disease. Anticancer Res. 2014;34:4641–4648.
- Nnoaham KE, Clarke A. Low serum vitamin D levels and tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2008;37:113–119.
- Klassen KM, Fairley CK, Chen M, et al. Vitamin D deficiency may be associated with a more rapid decline in CD4 cell count to <350 cells/muL in untreated HIV-infected adults. *Curr HIV Res.* 2015;13:517–523.
- Watkins RR, Lemonovich TL, Salata RA. An update on the association of vitamin D deficiency with common infectious diseases. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2015;93:363–368.
- Giovannucci E. Epidemiological evidence for vitamin D and colorectal cancer. J Bone Miner Res. 2007;22(Suppl 2):V81–85.
- Giovannucci E, Liu Y, Hollis BW, et al. 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of myocardial infarction in men: a prospective study. *Arch Inter Med.* 2008;168:1174–1180.
- Dobnig H, Pilz S, Scharnagl H, et al. Independent association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin d and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d levels with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Arch Inter Med.* 2008;168:1340–1349.
- Forman JP, Giovannucci E, Holmes MD, et al. Plasma 25hydroxyvitamin D levels and risk of incident hypertension. *Hyper*tension. 2007;49:1063–1069.
- Pilz S, Henry RM, Snijder MB, et al. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D is not associated with carotid intima-media thickness in older men and women. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2009;84:423–424.
- Mithal A, Wahl DA, Bonjour JP, et al. Global vitamin D status and determinants of hypovitaminosis D. *Osteoporos Int.* 2009;20: 1807–1820.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA. Empfehlungen der Eidgenössischen Ernaöhrungskommis-sion zur Vitamin-D-Zufuhr fuör die Schweizer Bevoölkerung. Swiss Med Forum. 2012;12:775–778.
- MacLaughlin J, Holick MF. Aging decreases the capacity of human skin to produce vitamin D3. J Clin Invest. 1985;76: 1536–1538.
- 22. Schuetz P, Hausfater P, Amin D, et al. Optimizing triage and hospitalization in adult general medical emergency patients: the triage project. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2013;13:12.

- Kondrup J, Rasmussen HH, Hamberg O, et al. Nutritional risk screening (NRS 2002): a new method based on an analysis of controlled clinical trials. *Clin Nutr.* 2003;22:321–336.
- Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: the Barthel index. MD State Med J. 1965;14:61–65.
- EuroQol G. EuroQol a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy*. 1990;16:199–208.
- 26. Prevention and management of osteoporosis. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser. 2003;921:1–164back cover.
- Thomas MK, Lloyd-Jones DM, Thadhani RI, et al. Hypovitaminosis D in medical inpatients. N Engl J Med. 1998;338:777–783.
- Federal Commission for Nutrition: Vitamin D deficiency: Evidence, safety, and recommendations for the Swiss Population. Expert Report of the FCN. Zurich. Federal Office for Public Health. 2012.
- Abdelfatah M, Nayfe R, Moftakhar B, et al. Low vitamin D level and impact on severity and recurrence of Clostridium difficile infections. J Invest Med. 2015;63:17–21.
- Anty R, Tonohouan M, Ferrari-Panaia P, et al. Low levels of 25hydroxy vitamin d are independently associated with the risk of bacterial infection in cirrhotic patients. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol.* 2014;5:e56.
- Remmelts HH, van de Garde EM, Meijvis SC, et al. Addition of vitamin D status to prognostic scores improves the prediction of outcome in community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;55:1488–1494.
- Leow L, Simpson T, Cursons R, et al. Vitamin D, innate immunity and outcomes in community acquired pneumonia. *Respirology*. 2011;16:611–616.
- Lange N, Litonjua AA, Gibbons FK, et al. Pre-hospital vitamin D concentration, mortality, and bloodstream infection in a hospitalized patient population. *Am J Med.* 2013;126:640e619–e627.
- 34. Amrein K, Quraishi SA, Litonjua AA, et al. Evidence for a U-shaped relationship between prehospital vitamin D status and mortality: a cohort study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;99: 1461–1469.
- 35. Khalili H, Talasaz AH, Salarifar M. Serum vitamin D concentration status and its correlation with early biomarkers of remodeling following acute myocardial infarction. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2012;101:321–327.
- Amrein K, Zajic P, Schnedl C, et al. Vitamin D status and its association with season, hospital and sepsis mortality in critical illness. *Crit Care.* 2014;18:R47.
- Braun A, Chang D, Mahadevappa K, et al. Association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and mortality in the critically ill. *Crit Care Med.* 2011;39:671–677.
- McKinney JD, Bailey BA, Garrett LH, et al. Relationship between vitamin D status and ICU outcomes in veterans. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2011;12:208–211.
- Aygencel G, Turkoglu M, Tuncel AF, et al. Is vitamin d insufficiency associated with mortality of critically ill patients? *Crit Care Res Pract.* 2013;2013:856747.
- Hu J, Luo Z, Zhao X, et al. Changes in the calcium-parathyroid hormone-vitamin d axis and prognosis for critically ill patients: a prospective observational study. *PloS One*. 2013;8:e75441.
- Su LX, Jiang ZX, Cao LC, et al. Significance of low serum vitamin D for infection risk, disease severity and mortality in critically ill patients. *Chin Med J.* 2013;126:2725–2730.
- Venkatram S, Chilimuri S, Adrish M, et al. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with mortality in the medical intensive care unit. *Crit Care*. 2011;15:R292.

- Pletz MW, Terkamp C, Schumacher U, et al. Vitamin D deficiency in community-acquired pneumonia: low levels of 1,25(OH)2 D are associated with disease severity. *Respir Res.* 2014;15:53.
- Helard L, Mateus-Hamdan L, Beauchet O, et al. Hypovitaminosis D in geriatric acute care unit: a biomarker of longer length of stay. *Dis Markers*. 2013;35:525–529.
- Beauchet O, Launay C, de Decker L, et al. Who is at risk of long hospital stay among patients admitted to geriatric acute care unit? Results from a prospective cohort study. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2013;17:695–699.
- 46. Kiebzak GM, Moore NL, Margolis S, et al. Vitamin D status of patients admitted to a hospital rehabilitation unit: relationship to function and progress. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2007;86:435–445.
- Pellicane AJ, Wysocki NM, Mallinson TR, et al. Prevalence of 25hydroxyvitamin D deficiency in the acute inpatient rehabilitation population and its effect on function. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;92:705–711.
- Higgins DM, Wischmeyer PE, Queensland KM, et al. Relationship of vitamin D deficiency to clinical outcomes in critically ill patients. *J Parent Enter Nutr.* 2012;36:713–720.
- Wang WJ, Gray S, Sison C, et al. Low vitamin D level is an independent predictor of poor outcomes in Clostridium difficileassociated diarrhea. *Ther Adv Gastroenterol.* 2014;7:14–19.
- van der Wilden GM, Fagenholz PJ, Velmahos GC, et al. Vitamin D status and severity of Clostridium difficile infections: a prospective cohort study in hospitalized adults. J Parent Enter Nutr. 2014.
- Ginde AA, Camargo CA Jr, Shapiro NI. Vitamin D insufficiency and sepsis severity in emergency department patients with suspected infection. Acad Emerge Med. 2011;18:551–554.
- Quraishi SA, Litonjua AA, Moromizato T, et al. Association between prehospital vitamin D status and hospital-acquired bloodstream infections. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98:952–959.
- Dahl B, Schiodt FV, Kiaer T, et al. Serum Gc-globulin in the early course of multiple trauma. *Crit Care Med.* 1998;26:285–289.
- Krishnan A, Ochola J, Mundy J, et al. Acute fluid shifts influence the assessment of serum vitamin D status in critically ill patients. *Crit Care.* 2010;14:R216.
- 55. Bikle DD, Gee E, Halloran B, et al. Assessment of the free fraction of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in serum and its regulation by albumin and the vitamin D-binding protein. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1986;63:954–959.
- Bikle DD, Halloran BP, Gee E, et al. Free 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels are normal in subjects with liver disease and reduced total 25hydroxyvitamin D levels. J Clin Invest. 1986;78:748–752.
- 57. Premaor MO, Alves GV, Crossetti LB, et al. Hyperparathyroidism secondary to hypovitaminosis D in hypoalbuminemic is less intense than in normoalbuminemic patients: a prevalence study in medical inpatients in southern Brazil. *Endocrine*. 2004;24:47–53.
- Chun RF, Liu PT, Modlin RL, et al. Impact of vitamin D on immune function: lessons learned from genome-wide analysis. *Front Physiol.* 2014;5:151.
- Guadarrama-Lopez AL, Valdes-Ramos R, Martinez-Carrillo BE. Type 2 diabetes, PUFAs, and vitamin D: their relation to inflammation. J Immunol Res. 2014;2014:860703.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Staehelin HB, et al. Fall prevention with supplemental and active forms of vitamin D: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b3692.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Willett WC, Wong JB, et al. Prevention of nonvertebral fractures with oral vitamin D and dose dependency: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Inter Med.* 2009;169:551–561.

- Hansen KE, Johnson RE, Chambers KR, et al. Treatment of vitamin D insufficiency in postmenopausal women: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:1612–1621.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Orav EJ, et al. Monthly high-dose vitamin D treatment for the prevention of functional decline: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Inter Med.* 2016;176:175–183.
- Snellman G, Melhus H, Gedeborg R, et al. Determining vitamin D status: a comparison between commercially available assays. *PloS One.* 2010;5:e11555.
- Zerwekh JE. Blood biomarkers of vitamin D status. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:1087S–1091S.