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#### Abstract

The photocatalytic reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is an effective way to solve the greenhouse effect. Different kinds of materials, such as semiconductors, coordination compounds, and bioenzymes, have been widely investigated to increase the efficiency of the photocatalytic reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. However, a high selectivity and great stability are still challenges for material scientists. Here, we report for the first time visible light photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction by a series of CdSe/ZIF-8 nanocomposites combining the excellent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity of ZIF-8 and the narrow energy gap of CdSe quantum dots (QDs). The composites show a higher catalytic performance than those of the pure components. Among CdSe/ZIF-8-x ( $x=$ $n_{\text {Case }} / n_{\text {ZIF- }-8}$ ), the highest yield ( $42.317 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1}$ ) for reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO in 12 h , was obtained using nanocomposites with a ratio of $0.42\left(n_{\text {Case }} / n_{\text {ZIF-8 }}\right)$ within the range of investigation.


Nowadays, global energy shortage and environmental pollution are two major obstacles to the development of human society, and have attracted increasing concern. Using solar energy to convert $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into valuable fuels or chemicals is extremely attractive due to its dual function of the reduction of the greenhouse effect and also as an alternative energy source to fossil fuels. Recently, different kinds of materials, such as semiconductor materials, ${ }^{1-3}$ metal complexes, ${ }^{4-6}$ and bioenzyme catalysts, ${ }^{7}$ have been explored for photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) constructed from metalcontaining clusters and organic building blocks are types of crystalline porous materials, and have been widely applied in many fields, such as gas storage, ${ }^{8}$ electrochemical energy storage (EES) ${ }^{9,10}$ and catalysis. ${ }^{11}$ Recently, MOFs ${ }^{12-14}$ have been considered as potential new catalysts due to their excellent capability for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption and capture. ${ }^{15}$ These porous materials provide a large number of catalytic active sites, and their porous structures are conducive to charge transfer. ${ }^{16}$ During the adsorption process, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ coordinates with unsaturated metal sites and forms chemical bonds with MOFs. ${ }^{12}$ Blom and co-workers demonstrated that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can interact with metal ions and form end-on adducts with one of the oxygen lone pair orbitals. ${ }^{17}$

ZIF-8, which is constructed from $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ centres and imidazolate ligands, shows a high $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity since the imidazolate ligand has a high adsorption capacity for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and also a strong complexation ability of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \cdot{ }^{18}$ However, ZIF-8 has

[^0]a wide band gap (4.9 eV, ref. 19), which means that ZIF-8 is barely photoactive enough to catalyse $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction. However, CdSe QDs can easily be excited to generate electron-hole pairs upon visible light irradiation due to their narrow band gap. Osterloh and co-workers reported CdSe QDs of several sizes applied to photocatalytic $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution and showed the quantitative relationship between the degree of quantum confinement and the photocatalytic $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution. ${ }^{20}$

In this work, we synthesized a series of CdSe/ZIF-8-x composites, which combine the excellent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacity of ZIF-8 with the narrow energy gap of CdSe QDs. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) indicated the successful combination of CdSe QDs and ZIF-8. The CdSe/ZIF-8 composite exhibits an increased yield for reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO compared with pure CdSe QDs or ZIF-8. Under visible light irradiation for 12 h , the CO yield was $42.317 \mu_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}}{ }^{-1}$, which is 6.13 and 10.84 times the yields catalysed by CdSe ( $6.901 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1}$ ) and by ZIF-8 $\left(3.905 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1}\right)$, respectively.

Reagents used in this work were analytically pure and used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis was performed using a Rigaku Dmax-2000 diffractometer equipped with a $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.15406 \mathrm{~nm})$ radiation source. The morphology of the catalysts was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F) operated at 200 kV . Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) pictures were prepared using a Hitachi scanning electron microscope S-4800. Elemental mapping was carried out by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) on the same instrument. Inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (ICP, Cary5000) was used for multi-elemental analyses. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ absorption behaviours of the catalysts were studied with physical adsorption apparatus (ASAP

2020M). Solid UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UVvis DRS) was carried out at room temperature to evaluate the band gap energy $\left(E_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$. The products of the photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction were detected by gas chromatography (GC7900, Techcomp). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements were carried out on an Elitesizer from Brookhaven.

CdSe QDs were synthesized by a previously reported procedure. ${ }^{21}$ The resulting CdSe QDs were precipitated by adding ethanol and dispersed in 5 mL of hexane as a stock solution.

The synthesis of CdSe/ZIF-8 was based on the pure ZIF-8 synthesis process with modification. ${ }^{22}$ A certain quantity of the above CdSe QD stock solution was precipitated by adding ethanol, and re-dispersed in 5 mL of an $n$-hexanol solution of 0.1642 g ( 2 mmol ) of 2-methylimidazole ( Hmim ) via ultrasonication. A solution of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $n$-hexanol was rapidly poured into the above solution under stirring. The product was collected by centrifugation after 1 h , washed with $n$-hexanol twice and dried at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h under vacuum. The samples produced from $n_{\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}} n_{\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}$ equal to $0.4,0.8$, and 1.2 were named as samples 1 to 3 , respectively.

The photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction performance of CdSe/ZIF-$8-x$ was performed in a typical catalytic system with $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ (bpy $=2^{\prime}, 2$-bipyridine) as a photosensitizer and triethanolamine (TEOA) as a sacrificial reducing agent in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-saturated acetonitrile (MeCN). ${ }^{23-27}$ The photosensitizer $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{mg})$ and catalysts CdSe/ZIF-8-x ( 5 mg ) were dispersed in a solution of 1 mL of triethanolamine (TEOA) and 4 mL of acetonitrile. Before irradiation, the suspension was purged with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 15 min to eliminate any air. With vigorous stirring, a 300 W Xe lamp with a 420 nm cut-off filter was utilized as the light source. After illumination for 12 h , the produced gases were analysed and quantified by gas chromatography.

The molar ratios of CdSe to ZIF-8 of the composites were characterized by ICP (Table 1). Samples $1-3$ showed the same trend, that the $n_{\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}} / n_{\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}$ of the products was lower than that in the reaction system due to the different reaction conversion rates of CdSe and ZIF-8.

PXRD patterns of the as-prepared samples are shown in Fig. 1. All of diffraction peaks can be indexed as CdSe with a cubic phase (PDF\#19-0191, shown by *) and ZIF-8 (CCDC no. 602542). The diffraction peaks of samples $1-3$ are obviously wider than those of the bulk ZIF-8 and CdSe. The diameter of CdSe is around 5 nm , which was calculated from the half-width of the diffraction peaks using Scherrer's formula. This was also confirmed from the TEM images (Fig. 2). ${ }^{28}$

TEM images of samples 1-3 are shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2(d), the particle sizes of the CdSe QDs in the yellow circle are about

[^1]

Fig. 1 PXRD patterns of CdSe/ZIF-8-x. The peaks shown by * are the (hkl) of CdSe.

4 nm , which are the same as those of pure CdSe QDs synthesized by the same method. ${ }^{29}$ The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of sample 2 (Fig. 2(d)) shows clear fringes with a lattice spacing of $c a .0 .348 \mathrm{~nm}$, which are attributed to the (111) plane of CdSe. This result indicates that the morphology of CdSe QDs did not change after being added to the reaction system of ZIF8. The increasing contents of CdSe in samples 1-3 are clearly shown by the density of the QDs (Fig. 2(a-c)), which correspond with the ICP results. However, an excess addition of CdSe resulted in aggregation (Fig. 2(c)).

The morphology and size of CdSe/ZIF-8- $x$ were studied, and the SEM images are shown in Fig. S2. $\dagger$ Clearly, the particle size of sample 2 was the smallest of the CdSe/ZIF-8-x samples. Sample 3 shows an obvious aggregation of CdSe/ZIF-8- $x$ and an amorphous structure. The particle size was further investigated by DLS. The mean particle size values of ZIF-8 and samples 1-3 were $2443,1279,463$, and 873 nm , respectively. Clearly, it can be seen that the moderate addition of CdSe is beneficial for smaller ZIF-8 crystals, while an excess addition of CdSe results in the aggregation of CdSe/ZIF-8-x. This result is in somewhat agreement with the TEM (Fig. 2) and SEM (Fig. S2 $\dagger$ ) results.


Fig. $2(a-c)$ TEM images of samples 1-3 and (d) a HRTEM image of sample 2.


Fig. 3 (a) Survey spectrum of sample 2, (b) core level spectrum of Zn $2 p$, (c) core level spectrum of Se 3d, and (d) core level spectrum Cd 3d

A typical EDS spectrum and elemental analysis of sample 2 are shown in Fig. S1 and Table S1, $\dagger$ respectively, confirming the presence of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{C}$ and O . The elemental ratio of $n_{\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}}$ $n_{\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}$ calculated by the EDS is only 0.09 , which is lower than that of the ICP result. This is probably due to the fact that the analysis of EDS comes from the surface elements and the lower elemental ratio indicates that CdSe is wrapped inside ZIF-8.

Fig. 3 shows the XPS survey spectrum and high-resolution spectra for $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} 3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+} 2 \mathrm{p}$, and $\mathrm{Se}^{2-} 3 \mathrm{~d}$. As shown in Fig. 3 (b), the $2 p_{3 / 2}$ and $2 p_{1 / 2}$ binding energies of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ are located at values of 1044.8 and 1021.7 eV, respectively. Fig. 3(c) shows the 3 d peak of $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$ at 54.1 eV . In addition, Fig. 3(d) shows that only two peaks appear, at binding energies of 411.7 and 404.9 eV , which are shifted towards the lower binding energy by about 0.3 eV of those of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\left(3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\left(3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}\right)$, from data reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ The above results confirm the strong combination of CdSe and ZIF-8.

As shown in Fig. 4, among samples $1-3$, sample 2 exhibits the highest $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at 298 K , which is about 13 times that of


Fig. $4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption behaviour of CdSe/ZIF-8-x at 298 K .

ZIF-8. This result means that sample 2 can greatly absorb $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ before the reduction reaction so that it can accelerate the kinetic process of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction. Additionally, all the samples show a linear relationship between $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and relative pressure (0.1-1.0), indicating that the interaction between $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and the samples is obviously physical. ${ }^{27,31}$ According to the DLS result, the greater adsorption performance of sample 2 could be due to the relatively uniform dispersion of CdSe in ZIF-8. While the aggregation of CdSe/ZIF-8- $x$ results in a lower $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake by the lower valid surface area and active sites from the unsaturated metal sites. ${ }^{12}$ In addition, the morphology of the samples characterized by TEM, as shown in Fig. 2, indicates that the greater adsorption performance of sample 2 is due to the sufficient quantity of CdSe and the relatively uniform dispersion of CdSe in ZIF-8 and a lower aggregation of CdSe/ZIF-8-x.

Solid UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) was used to evaluate the band gap energy $\left(E_{g}\right)$ of samples 1-3. ${ }^{32}$ UV-vis DRS of CdSe/ZIF-8 with different proportions were studied at room temperature. From Fig. 5(a), it can be seen that the absorption wavelength of ZIF-8 is about 302 nm , which is not in the visible light region. However, the visible light absorption ability of CdSe/ZIF-8 is obviously better than that of pure ZIF-8, and the spectral response range widened to $527-630 \mathrm{~nm}$. In addition, we found that the $E_{g}$ value of ZIF-8 is 4.88 eV , Fig. $5\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$, which is too large to be used for visible light catalysis. However, the CdSe/ZIF-8 composites have much smaller $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ values than that of ZIF-8, at around 2.0 eV (Fig. $5\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\mathrm{b}_{4}\right)$ ). This result supports the conclusion that the CdSe/ZIF-8 composites show better photocatalytic ability than ZIF-8.


Fig. 5 (a) UV-vis DRS and $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{4}\right)(A h \nu)^{2}$ vs. $h \nu$ curves of CdSe/ZIF-8$x \mathrm{~s}$.

Table 2 Summary of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption properties and photocatalytic activities of $\mathrm{CdSe} / \mathrm{ZIF}-8-x$ (with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as the gas feedstock)

| Sample | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{STP}\right)$ | CO production <br> rate $\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production rate $\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

To study the thermal stability of CdSe/ZIF-8-x, we conducted TGA. As shown in Fig. S3, $\dagger$ the initial decomposition temperatures of ZIF-8 and samples $1-3$ are $118,186,422$, and $158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, indicating that sample 2 has the highest thermal stability. Combined with the TEM results, the relatively uniform dispersion in sample 2 achieved the strongest combination force between CdSe and ZIF-8 among all the samples. Photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction experiments were carried out under visible light irradiation, and the results are summarized in Table 2. Pure CdSe and ZIF-8 show low photocatalytic activities for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction, in which the CO production rate is below 1 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. With an increase in the addition of CdSe, the rate of CO production first increases and then decreases. Among all the as-prepared samples, sample 2 shows the highest CO (3.526 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production rates ( $0.102 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ), in which the CO production rate was about 11 times that of ZIF$8\left(0.325 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$ and about 6 times that of CdSe $(0.575$ $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ). However, when using $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to replace $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to start the reaction under identical conditions, a little CO and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ were detected. The control experiment indicated that the CO product comes from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas (Table $\mathrm{S} 2 \dagger$ ).

In a photocatalytic process, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption is the ratelimiting step, ${ }^{33}$ which is attributed to the fact that the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conversion efficiency of the photocatalyst significantly relies on the amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules adsorbed. ${ }^{16}$ Combined with the DLS result, the higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake of sample 2 is the main reason for the high photocatalytic activities for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction. Theoretically, increasing the yield of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is more difficult than that of CO , because reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO consumes two electrons while eight electrons are needed in the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ transformation.


Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of the proposed mechanism of photocatalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction over CdSe/ZIF-8-x.

Given the experimental results that CdSe/ZIF-8-x showed a higher $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ production rate than pure CdSe and ZIF-8, we considered that ZIF-8 pores could play the role of a "nanoreactor" to enclose $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and CO , so as to finish the lengthy transformation process and improve the yield of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{34}$ In addition, the above inference could be supported by the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption capacities data (Table 2).

Based on all the above results, the probable mechanism for CdSe/ZIF-8- $x$ in the photocatalytic process is proposed as follows (Fig. 6). As shown in Fig. 6, CdSe QDs play the core role in the photocatalytic process, as they were excited to generate electron-hole pairs upon visible light irradiation due to their narrow band gap. Furthermore, the addition of CdSe improved the conductivity of CdSe/ZIF-8- $x$, which attributed to the charge transfer since a good conductivity leads to only a small charge transfer resistance. ${ }^{35,36}$ ZIF-8 plays a key role as the "electrons transporter" and also as the "nanoreactor", which means that photogenerated electrons could be transferred quickly from CdSe to ZIF-8. Then, the molecular $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ photosensitizer can effectively receive the photoinduced electrons to reduce the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule absorbed by the ZIF-8 pores to yield CO. On the other hand, the photogenerated holes are quenched by TEOA acting as a sacrificial electron donor.

## Conclusions

In this study, a series of CdSe/ZIF-8-x nanocomposites was synthesized. They have a higher photocatalytic activity than pure CdSe and ZIF-8. PXRD, TEM and EDS results indicate that the CdSe QDs were successfully combined with ZIF-8. Moreover, sample 2 , in which $n_{\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}} / n_{\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}$ is equal to 0.4 , shows a higher thermal stability and increased yield for reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO ( $3.526 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}\left(0.102 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right.$ ). The CO yield is about 11 times that of ZIF-8 $\left(0.325 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21571193) and the Open Funds of the State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization RERU2013012.

## References

1 L. Schmidt-Mende, J. K. Stolarczyk and S. N. Habisreutinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7372-7408.
2 Y. Liu, Z. Wang, B. Huang, Y. Dai, X. Qin and X. Zhang, Curr. Org. Chem., 2014, 18, 620-628.
3 J. Low, B. Cheng and J. Yu, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 392, 658686.

4 M. F. Kuehnel, K. L. Orchard, K. E. Dalle and E. Reisner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 7217-7223.
5 P. Kar, S. Farsinezhad, N. Mahdi, Y. Zhang, U. Obuekwe, H. Sharma, J. Shen, N. Semagina and K. Shankar, Nano Res., 2016, 9, 3478-3493.
6 S. Navalón, A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 562-577.
7 S. Zhang, J. Shi, Y. Sun, Y. Wu, Y. Zhang, Z. Cai, Y. Chen, C. You, P. Han and Z. Jiang, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 3913-3925.

8 J. R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H. C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1477-1504.
9 W. Zhang, X. Jiang, X. Wang, Y. V. Kaneti, Y. Chen, J. Liu, et al., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 8435-8440.
10 C. Young, R. R. Salunkhe, J. Tang, C.-C. Hu, M. Shahabuddin, E. Yanmaz, M. S. A. Hossain, J. H. Kimbf and Y. Yamauchi, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 29308.
11 J. W. Liu, L. F. Chen, H. Cui, J. Y. Zhang, L. Zhang and C. Y. Su, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 6011-6061.

12 Z. Huang, P. Dong, Y. Zhang, X. Nie, X. Wang and X. Zhang, J. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Util., 2018, 24, 369-375.

13 D. Sun, Y. Gao, J. Fu, X. Zeng, Z. Chen and Z. Li, Chem. Соттип., 2015, 51, 2645-2648.
14 Y. Lee, S. Kim, J. K. Kang and S. M. Cohen, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5735-5738.
15 Y. Chen, D. Wang, X. Deng and Z. Li, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7, 4893-4904.
16 M. Wang, J. Liu, C. Guo, X. Gao, C. Gong, Y. Wang, B. Liu, X. Li, G. G. Gurzadyan and L. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 4768-4775.
17 P. D. Dietzel, R. E. Johnsen, H. Fjellvag, S. Bordiga, E. Groppo, S. Chavan and R. Blom, Chem. Commun., 2008, 5125-5127.

18 S. Wang and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 23082320.

19 F. Wang, Z. S. Liu, H. Yang, Y. X. Tan and J. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 450-453.
20 J. Zhao, M. A. Holmes and F. E. Osterloh, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 4316-4325.
21 B. Mahler, P. Spinicelli, S. Buil, X. Quelin, J. P. Hermier and B. Dubertret, Nat. Mater., 2008, 7, 659-664.

22 J. Cravillon, S. Münzer, S. J. Lohmeier, A. Feldhoff, K. s. Huber and M. Wiebcke, Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 14101412.

23 Y. Wang, S. Wang and X. W. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 17236-17240.
24 J. Qin, S. Wang and X. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 209, 476482.

25 S. Wang, W. Yao, J. Lin, Z. Ding and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1034-1038.
26 S. Wang, B. Guan and X. Lou, Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, 11, 306.

27 S. Wang and X. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 162, 494-500.
28 R. Solanki, J. Huo and J. L. Freeouf, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2002, 81, 3864.

29 J. C. Kim, J. Choi, Y. B. Lee, J. H. Hong, J. I. Lee, J. W. Yang, W. I. Lee and N. H. Hur, Chem. Commun., 2006, 5024-5026.

30 S. Liu, F. Chen, S. Li, X. Peng and Y. Xiong, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 211, 1-10.
31 S. Pawsey, K. Yach and L. Reven, Langmuir, 2002, 18, 52055212.

32 L. Liu, J. Ding, C. Huang, M. Li, H. Hou and Y. Fan, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 3035-3043.
33 V. P. Indrakanti, J. D. Kubicki and H. H. Schobert, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2(7), 745-758.
34 H. He, J. A. Perman, G. Zhu and S. Ma, Small, 2016, 12, 63096324.

35 H. Gu, W. Fan and T. Liu, Nanoscale Horiz., 2017, 2, 277-283.
36 J. Du, M. Yang, F. Zhang, X. Cheng, H. Wu, H. Qin, Q. Jian, X. Lina, K. Li and D. J. Kang, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 30993106.
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[^1]:    Table 1 Molar ratios of $n_{\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}} / n_{\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}$ in the reaction system and products

    | Sample | Reaction system | Products |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | 1 | 0.4 | 0.30 |
    | 2 | 0.8 | 0.42 |
    | 3 | 1.2 | 0.59 |

