

A narrative review of the controversy on the risk of mycobacterial infections with immune checkpoint inhibitor use: does Goldilocks have the answer?

Akshara Vaddi¹, Holly J. Hulsebus², Emily L. O'Neill³, Vijaya Knight^{4,5}, Edward D. Chan^{6,7,8}

¹Department of Biology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA; ²Complement Laboratory, Advance Diagnostics, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA; ³Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁴Clinical and Translational Allergy and Immunology Laboratory, Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁵Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, Rocky Mountain Regional Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Aurora, CO, USA; ⁷Department of Academic Affairs, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA; ⁸Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: A Vaddi, V Knight, ED Chan; (II) Administrative support: None; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: A Vaddi, V Knight, ED Chan; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: A Vaddi, V Knight, ED Chan; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Edward D. Chan, MD. Department of Medicine, Rocky Mountain Regional Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Aurora, CO, USA; Department of Academic Affairs, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA; Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA; D509, Neustadt Building, National Jewish Health, 1400 Jackson Street, Denver, CO 80206, USA. Email: chane@njhealth.org.

Background and Objective: Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have revolutionized oncologic treatment. Whether ICIs increase susceptibility to or provide protection against mycobacterial infections remains controversial. The objective of this narrative review is to summarize the literature on the link between ICI use and mycobacterial infections—tuberculosis and non-tuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) infections— and to critically discuss evidence linking ICIs with mycobacterial infections, the possible confounders, and, if indeed the ICIs predispose to such infections, the potential mechanisms of how this may occur.

Methods: We conducted a literature search on PubMed for relevant articles published from 2011 to current time [2024] utilizing specific keywords of "immune checkpoint inhibitors", "programmed cell death protein-1", "PD-1", "programmed death-ligand 1", "PD-L1", "cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4", or "CTLA-4" with that of "non-tuberculous mycobacterial lung disease", "tuberculosis", or "mycobacteria". The bibliographies of identified papers were perused for additional relevant articles.

Key Content and Findings: *Ex vivo* studies using human cells indicate that ICIs would be salubrious for the host against mycobacteria. Yet, many case reports associate ICI use with mycobacterial infections, mostly tuberculosis. Potential confounders include immunosuppression from the cancer, concomitant use of immunosuppressive drugs, lung injury and distortion from chemotherapeutics or radiation, and reporting bias. Mice with genetic disruption of the programmed cell death protein-1 (*PD-1*) gene are paradoxically more susceptible to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*). In contrast, mice administered neutralizing antibody to T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-containing protein 3 (TIM3) or knocked out for *TIM3* gene have greater capacity to control an *M. tuberculosis* infection. We posit that hosts with greater baseline immunodeficiency are more likely to derive benefit from ICIs against mycobacterial infections than those with more intact immunity, where ICIs are more likely to be detrimental.

Conclusions: Studies are needed to test the hypothesis that ICIs may either protect or predispose to mycobacterial infections, depending on the baseline host immune status. Prospective studies are required of patients on ICIs that control for potential confounders as anecdotal case reports are insufficient to provide a causal link. Murine studies with ICIs are also required to corroborate or refute studies of mice with genetic disruption of an immune checkpoint.

1602

Keywords: Mycobacteria; tuberculosis (TB); non-tuberculosis mycobacteria; immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs)

Submitted Sep 05, 2023. Accepted for publication Jan 11, 2024. Published online Feb 27, 2024. doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-1395

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-1395

Introduction

The interaction between T cells and antigen-presenting cells (APC), the latter cells represented by dendritic cells and macrophages, is a fundamental process that links the innate and adaptive branches of the immune system. In addition to the presentation of antigenic peptides bound to class I or II major histocompatibility complex moleculesthe former present on all cell types and the latter on APC—to the T cell receptor (TCR), other accessory molecules also mediate the interaction between APC and T cells. For instance, the engagements between cell surface CD80 and CD86 (formerly known as B7-1 and B7-2) molecules on APC and CD28 molecule on T cells augment T effector cell activation (Figure 1A). In contrast, the interactions between CD80/CD86 on APC with cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) on T cells deactivate T effector cells (1-3). Similarly, the engagement of the programmed-death ligand 1 (PD-L1) on APC and programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) receptor on T cells also deactivates T effector cells and induces their apoptosis (Figure 1A) (3). Hence, both CTLA-4 and PD-1 as well as T cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3) (4) are known as "immune checkpoints" because they put a "check" on excessive immune responses by the APC-T cell interaction. There are other immune checkpoints that may also deactivate T effector cells (Figure 1B). Whereas CD80/ CD86-CTLA-4 or PD-L1/2-PD-1 engagement inhibits activation of FOXP3-negative T effector cells and induces their "exhaustion" (defined broadly as reduced T effector function) (5), these interactions enhance the suppressive activity of FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells (Tregs); i.e., a form of Treg "rejuvenation" (6).

Many cancers have increased expression of PD-L1 on their cell surface. Teleologically, the heightened PD-L1 expression serves as a survival mechanism for cancer cells by thwarting the ability of cytotoxic T cells to kill them. Hence, by upregulating PD-L1 expression, cancer cells evade host immune surveillance by deactivating and inducing apoptosis of PD-1⁺ T effector cells (*Figure 1A*) (7).

As predicted, monoclonal antibodies that neutralize

PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4—collectively known as immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs)—avert T cell deactivation as well as enhance T effector cell activation (*Figure 1C*) by preventing the binding of PD-L1 to PD-1 or CD80/CD86 to CTLA-4. Hence, ICIs restore type 1 immune responses, including the production of interferongamma (IFN γ) and T cell-mediated cytotoxicity against cancer cells (1,8).

Presently, several ICIs are approved or are in clinical trials to treat several types of cancers (9,10) (*Table 1*). The impact of ICIs on the prognosis and survival times of subjects with various cancer types indicated has been transformative. For instance, the ICI combination of nivolumab (an anti-PD-1 neutralizing antibody) and ipilimumab (an anti-CTLA-4 neutralizing antibody) for metastatic melanoma is associated with a 5-year survival rate of 52%, a substantial improvement from the <25% survival rate before the availability of ICIs (12). Similarly, in advanced melanoma cases, the utilization of combined nivolumab and ipilimumab has nearly doubled the median survival time (72 months) as compared to nivolumab alone (37 months) (13).

Not surprisingly, based on their mechanism of action, ICIs are known to cause autoimmune and inflammatory disorders that may affect virtually any organ (Table 2) (14-16). It is increasingly recognized that many microbial pathogens including Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis)-the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB)are able to induce host immune checkpoint molecules and exploit them to evade host immunity, inducing a state of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell exhaustion as well as natural killer cell deactivation (5,17-20). Indeed, M. tuberculosis induction of IFNy upregulates PD-1, which, in turn, inhibits IFN γ -producing T effector (T_H1) cells, a form of negative feedback mechanism to prevent excessive IFNy production (21). Given that ICIs prevent T effector cell exhaustion, it is reasonable to predict that the ICIs would be protective against infections (20,22). Indeed, promising beneficial results have been observed with ICIs used against infections due to simian immunodeficiency virus, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), malaria, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, influenza virus, Toxoplasma, and



Figure 1 Diagram of the relationship between T effector cells and antigen-presenting cell resulting in activation or deactivation of the T cells. (A) CD28-CD80/CD86 interaction activates T effector cells, as exemplified by the "larger" (blue colored) T_{H1} cell. In contrast, CTLA-4-CD80/CD86, PD-1-PD-L1, or TIM3-galectin-9 interaction causes exhaustion (and apoptosis) of T effector cells, as shown by the "smaller" (gray colored and dashed outline) T_{H1} cell. Cancer cells (red globular shaped cell) that express PD-L1 are also able to deactivate T effector cells following binding to PD-1, thwarting the ability of T_{H1} effector cells to kill them. (B) A larger repertoire of immune checkpoints on T cells and their respective receptors on antigen-presenting cells, the latter represented by a green colored dendritic cell (modified from Wykes MN and Lewin SR. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2018;18:91-104 with permission obtained from Springer Nature Publisher). (C) Several specific antibodies have been developed to the immune checkpoints (or their ligands), activating T effector cells to kill cancer cells (depicted by the red lightning bolt). How these antibodies may predispose to mycobacterial infections or conversely, to help treat them, remains controversial. TIM3, T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-containing protein 3; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4; PD-L1/2, programmed-death ligand 1/2; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1.

Leishmania (20,22). While one would intuitively expect that ICIs will also fortify host immunity against mycobacterial infections such as TB and non-tuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) diseases, case reports and experimental findings in animals suggest that ICIs may paradoxically increase host vulnerability. Another unanswered question, which should be predicated on the unresolved issue of whether ICIs predispose to TB, is whether to test for latent TB infection and to treat if present in those who will be undertaking ICI treatment (23,24). The goal of this narrative review is to address this controversy on the risk (or non-risk) of mycobacterial infections (TB and diseases caused by NTM) with the use of ICIs. We present this article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1395/rc).

Methods

We conducted a literature search of PubMed for relevant

articles utilizing search terms and strategies noted in *Table 3*. Citations from 2011 to 2023 were reviewed given that the first ICI ipilimumab was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2011. The bibliographies of identified articles were further perused for additional relevant articles. Subsequently, each relevant article was reviewed by one or more of the authors and a narrative review was composed.

Experimental and clinical evidence implicating that ICIs may predispose to mycobacterial infections

Experimental animals and ex vivo cell models

In mice with genetic disruption of the PD-1 gene, resulting in the lack of interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1, one could reasonably predict that they would have increased ability to control *M. tuberculosis* infections due to heightened activation of T effector cells. Yet, three 1604

Table 1 Cancer types targeted by ICIs

| Cancer types undergoing therapeutic intervention (list not exhaustive, varying levels of evidence, and many in combination with other immunotherapy or chemotherapeutic agents) (11) |
|---|
| |
| Melanoma, NSCLC, malignant pleural mesothelioma, RCC, Hodgkin's lymphoma, HN-SCC, urothelial carcinoma, colorectal cancer, HCC, esophageal cancer, gastric cancer, gastroesophageal junction cancer, esophageal adenocarcinoma |
| Melanoma, NSCLC, small cell lung cancer, HN-SCC, Hodgkin's lymphoma, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, urothelial carcinoma, microsatellite instability-high or mismatch repair deficient (colorectal) cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, cervical cancer, HCC, Merkel cell carcinoma, RCC, endometrial carcinoma, tumor-mutational burden-high cancer, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, triple-negative breast cancer |
| Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, basal cell carcinoma, NSCLC |
| Mismatch repair-deficient recurrent or advanced endometrial cancer |
| Hodgkin's lymphoma and investigational for NSCLC |
| Investigational for HCC and Hodgkin's lymphoma |
| |
| Urothelial carcinoma, NSCLC, small cell lung cancer, HCC, melanoma |
| Urothelial carcinoma, RCC, Merkel cell carcinoma |
| NSCLC, extensive stage-small cell lung cancer, biliary tract cancer, HCC |
| NSCLC, advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma |
| |
| Colorectal cancer, HCC, melanoma, mesothelioma, NSCLC, RCC |
| |

ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; HN-SCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; PD-L1, programmed-death ligand 1; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4.

Table 2 Autoimmune inflammatory disorders associated with ICIs

| Organ or organ system | Associated autoinflammatory disorder |
|------------------------------|---|
| Skin | Rash/erythema and vitiligo |
| Endocrine system | Thyroiditis (hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism), hypophysitis, diabetes mellitus, Addison's disease (adrenal insufficiency) |
| Gastrointestinal system | Diarrhea, colitis, pancreatitis, and hepatitis |
| Pulmonary system | Pneumonitis and sarcoidosis |
| Eyes and neurological system | Episcleritis, uveitis, conjunctivitis, keratitis, orbital inflammation, myelitis, and aseptic meningitis |
| Hematological system | Red cell aplasia and autoimmune pancytopenia |
| Renal system | Interstitial nephritis, granulomatous nephritis, and glomerular lupus-like nephropathy |
| Musculoskeletal system | Polyarthritis and arthralgia |

ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors.

| summary |
|---------|
| 7 |

| <i></i> | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Items | Specification |
| Date of search | Periodically from August 31, 2022 to August 30, 2023 |
| Databases and other sources searched | PubMed and bibliographies of relevant articles |
| Search terms used | "Immune checkpoint inhibitors", "programmed cell death protein-1", "PD-1", "programmed death-ligand 1", "PD-L1", "cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4", or "CTLA-4" with that of "non-tuberculous mycobacterial lung disease", "tuberculosis", or "mycobacteria" |
| Timeframe | January 1, 2011 to August 30, 2023 |
| Inclusion criteria | English language only |
| Selection process | E.D.C. did the initial literature search with subsequent help from all authors |
| | |

PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; PD-L1, programmed-death ligand 1; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4.

studies demonstrated that mice with genetic disruption of PD-1 are more vulnerable to TB with increased bacterial burden, increased inflammatory cytokines [e.g., IFNy, interleukin (IL)-17, and/or tumor necrosis factor (TNF)], and neutrophilic tissue necrosis, with the lattermost finding possibly related to reduced autophagy in the macrophages of the PD-1 gene knockout mice (25-27). One of these studies implicated increased Treg number as being responsible for the reduced proliferation of *M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific T cells in the PD-1 knockout mice (27). However, since immune checkpoints are known to enhance Treg activation, ICIs would be expected to reduce Treg activation (6). Thus, a common explanation for the seemingly paradoxical finding that absence of PD-1 induces T effector cell hyperactivation and yet predisposes to TB is that the resulting "altered immune homeostasis"-characterized by excess inflammation, increased tissue necrosis, and reduced ability to control M. tuberculosis-is responsible, a theme elaborated below. Interestingly, PD-L1 knockout mice also had increased susceptibility to M. tuberculosis but were less severely affected, indicating that T cells are regulated by multiple ligands to the PD-1 receptor with M. tuberculosis infection (26).

Similar findings were found in non-human primates. Rhesus macaques administered anti-PD-1 antibody 2 weeks after infection, despite increased number and function of *M. tuberculosis*-specific CD8⁺ T cells and pro-inflammatory cytokines in the granulomas (but no difference in *M. tuberculosis*-specific CD4⁺ T cells), developed higher *M. tuberculosis* burden and worse TB disease than isotype control-treated monkeys (28). Furthermore, PD-1 blockade led to an upregulation of CTLA-4 and TNF in TB-specific CD4⁺ T cells isolated from the granulomas, while TNF⁺ TB-specific CD8⁺ T cells had increased production of IFN γ , IL-2, and granzyme B compared to isotype control-treated animals (28).

Using a 3-dimensional (3D) cell model-comprised of aggregates of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) surrounding M. tuberculosis-it was shown that PD-1 and PD-L1 were expressed in the cells that comprised this 3D microsphere model and that inhibition of PD-1 (with either a small molecule inhibitor or an anti-PD-1 antibody) increased cell-associated M. tuberculosis burden (29). This process was mediated by an increase in TNF; i.e., compared to control antibody, 3D microsphere model + M. tuberculosis + anti-PD-1 antibody \rightarrow increased TNF \rightarrow increased M. tuberculosis burden (29). In simplistic terms, this 3D model showed that PD-1 is host-protective whereas ICI and TNF are not although the investigators acknowledge that some (but not excess) TNF is still required for hostdefense against TB. This ex vivo finding was supported by the presence of CD4⁺PD-1⁺ and CD8⁺PD-1⁺ T cells in non-caseating granulomas present in the lung tissues of TB patients (29). In contrast, caseating TB granulomas were essentially void of PD-1⁺ T cells, the presence of abundant TNF⁺ cells, and presumably greater *M. tuberculosis* burden, supporting their ex vivo 3D cell model findings. Interesting, the number of CD4⁺PD-1⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood inversely correlated with the level of TNF in the sputa, supporting their experimental findings (29).

Summarization of these murine, non-human primate, *ex vivo* cell model, and human lung tissue studies indicates that at least a certain amount of immune checkpoint activation is necessary for optimal control of mycobacterial infection.

Human studies

In a retrospective review using the U.S. FDA Adverse

Reporting System for TB and NTM infections, of the ~74,000 adverse events reported with anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 antibodies, 72 cases of TB and 13 cases of NTM infections were found (30). Unfortunately, this study lacked sufficient details to definitively ascertain a causal link since no data were provided on concomitant administration of other chemotherapeutic agents/glucocorticoids in the mycobacteria-infected patients; moreover, a comparison group of control cancer patients not treated with ICIs was

not included in the analysis (30). Fujita *et al.* (31) noted a 1.6% incidence of active TB in 178 patients being treated with ICIs although a control group was not included for comparison. Studies associating ICIs with mycobacterial infections in humans are comprised mostly of case reports and case series (*Table 4*). While these case reports do not prove that ICIs predispose to mycobacterial infections, they do at least suggest that possibility. Alternatively, ICIs may have "unmasked" pre-existing, subclinical active

| | F - | 8 | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| F | Reference | Age; gender; race; country/ region (TB incidence) [†] | Cancer type; ICI used | Other immunosuppressives or confounders | Site of mycobacterial infection and TB treatment | |
| ٦ | Tuberculosis | | | | | |
| | Lee <i>et al.</i> (32) | 87; M; Asian; Singapore (49 per 10⁵) (33) | Hodgkin's lymphoma; pembrolizumab | ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine); chlorambucil + GC; gemcitabine + oxaliplatin; brentuximab (anti-CD30) | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 5 th ICI cycle | |
| | Fujita <i>et al.</i> (34) | 72; M; Asian; Japan (16 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Stage IV lung cancer; nivolumab | Carboplatin + docetaxel; carboplatin + gemcitabine | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 8 th ICI cycle | |
| | Chu <i>et al.</i> (35) | 59; M; Asian; Taiwan (41.4 per 10 ⁵) (36) | Metastatic NSCLC; nivolumab | Gefitinib, GC | Pericardial TB [‡] after 3 rd ICI cycle | |
| | Elkington <i>et al.</i> (37) | 62; F; NS; United Kingdom (8.1 per 10 ⁵) (33) | MetMelanoma; ipilimumab, pembrolizumab | None | Pulmonary and hepatic TB [‡] 2 years after completion of ICI | |
| | He <i>et al.</i> (38) | 65; F; Asian; China (61 per 10⁵) (33) | MetMelanoma; pembrolizumab | None; IL-2 | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 10 th ICI cycle | |
| | Jensen <i>et al.</i> (39) | 56; M; Caucasian; Denmark (5.4 per 10⁵) (33) | NSCLC; nivolumab | Pemetrexed, XRT | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 12 th ICI cycle | |
| | Picchi <i>et al.</i> (40) | 50; M; Caucasian France (9 per 10 ⁵) (33) | MetMelanoma; pembrolizumab | None | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 4 th ICI cycle | |
| | | 64; M; Caucasian; France (9 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Metastatic NSCLC; nivolumab | None | Spinal bone TB after 2 nd ICI cycle. Death from spinal cord compression | |
| | Tetikkurt <i>et al.</i> (41) | 53; M; NS; Turkey (16 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity; pembrolizumab | Cisplatin, XRT | Pulmonary and adrenal TB [‡] after 6 th ICI cycle | |
| | Kim & Kim (42) | 60; M; NS; South Korea (64 per 10⁵) (33) | Lung cancer; nivolumab | None | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 15 months of ICI | |
| | Inthasot <i>et al.</i> (43) | 69; M; NS; Belgium (7.7 per 10⁵) (33) | NSCLC; nivolumab | Cisplatin + pemetrexed | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 18 th ICI cycle | |
| | van Eeden <i>et al.</i> (44) | 56; F; Caucasian; South Africa (615 per 10 ⁵) (33) | NSCLC; nivolumab | Gemcitabine + carboplatin; pemetrexed + XRT | Pulmonary TB [‡] after unspecified cycles of ICI. Died from cancer | |

Table 4 Case reports associating ICIs and mycobacterial infection (TB and NTM)

Table 4 (continued)

Table 4 (continued)

_

| . , | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Reference | Age; gender; race; country/ region (TB incidence) [†] | Cancer type; ICI used | Other immunosuppressives or confounders | Site of mycobacterial infection and TB treatment |
| Tsai <i>et al.</i> (45) | 49; M; NS; Taiwan (37 per 10 ⁵) (36) | Metastatic HNSCC; nivolumab | Cisplatin + XRT; cetuximab, paclitaxel, carboplatin | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 3 months of ICI. Died 5 months after TB diagnosis from bacterial pneumonia |
| Takata <i>et al.</i> (46) | 75; M; Asian; Japan (13 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Metastatic NSCLC; nivolumab | Carboplatin + pemetrexed; carboplatin + paclitaxel; S-1 (tegafur, gimeracil, oteracil potassium) + gemcitabine + XRT | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 15 th ICI cycle |
| Barber <i>et al.</i> (47) | 59; M; Asian; USA. Emigrated from Vietnam (176 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Metastatic HNSCC; nivolumab | XRT, gemcitabine | Pulmonary TB after 3 rd ICI cycle. TB treatment unsuccessful and patient died |
| | 83; M; Caucasian; USA. Prior history of travel to Europe, China, South America, and the Caribbean (2.9 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma; pembrolizumab | None | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 11 th ICI cycle |
| Anastasopoulou <i>et al.</i> (7) | 76; F; Caucasian; Greece (4.7 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Advanced melanoma; nivolumab ipilimumab | Methylprednisolone, infliximab | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 8 th ICI cycle. Died 2 days after starting anti-TB treatment |
| | 85; M; Caucasian; Greece (4.7 per 10 ⁵) (33) | MetMelanoma; atezolizumab + cobimetinib (MEK inhibitor) | None | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 5 months of combined immune-therapy |
| lm <i>et al.</i> (48) | 63; M; NS; South Korea (48 per 10⁵) (33) | Lung adenocarcinoma; nivolumab | Prior chemotherapy (agents not specified) | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 41 th ICI cycle |
| | 79; M; NS; South Korea (48 per 10⁵) (33) | Lung squamous cell carcinoma; pembrolizumab | Prior chemotherapy (agents not specified) | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 14 th ICI cycle. Died from peritonitis |
| | | | Prednisone 30 mg 1 month before TB diagnosis | |
| | 59; F; NS; South Korea (48 per 10⁵) (33) | Lung adenocarcinoma; nivolumab | Dexamethasone 128 mg total before TB diagnosis | Pulmonary TB [‡] after 3 rd ICI cycle. Died from cancer |
| Chan <i>et al.</i> (49) | 67; M; NS; Singapore (46 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Lung adenocarcinoma; durvalumab | NS | Pulmonary TB [‡] 1.5 years after ICI initiation |
| | 86; M; NS; Singapore (46 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Lung adenocarcinoma; pembrolizumab | NS | Pulmonary TB [‡] 77 days after ICI initiation |
| | 62; M; NS; Singapore (46 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Lung squamous cell carcinoma; durvalumab | NS | Pulmonary TB 50 days after ICI initiation. Died 4 days after starting anti-TB treatment |
| | 41; M; NS; Singapore (46 per 10 ⁵) (33) | Lung adenocarcinoma; pembrolizumab | NS | Pulmonary TB [‡] 8 days after ICI initiation |
| Kato <i>et al.</i> (50) | 75; F; NS; Japan (12 per 10⁵) (33) | Lung squamous cell cancer; durvalumab | Carboplatin, paclitaxel, XRT | Reactivation pulmonary TB [‡] after 5 th ICI cycle |

Table 4 (continued)

1608

Table 4 (continued)

| Reference | Age; gender; race; country/ region (TB incidence) [†] | Cancer type; ICI used | Other immunosuppressives or confounders | Site of mycobacterial infection and TB treatment |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Lau <i>et al.</i> (51) | 29; F; NS; Hong Kong, China (57 per 10⁵) (33) | Nasopharyngeal carcinoma; pembrolizumab | Gemcitabine + cisplatin; cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil; docetaxel + cisplatin; gemcitabine + carboplatin; capecitabine; metronomic cyclophosphamide | Ileal TB [‡] after unspecified cycles of ICI |
| Suliman <i>et al.</i> (52) | 58; F; NS; Qatar (42 per 10⁵) (33) | Metastatic NSCLC; pembrolizumab | Carboplatin + pemetrexed after TB diagnosis | Pulmonary TB [‡] after unspecified cycles of ICI |
| Lin <i>et al.</i> (53) | 68; M; NS; China (52 per 10 ⁵) [§] (33) | Squamous cell lung cancer; camrelizumab | Cisplatin + docetaxel | Pulmonary TB [‡] 1 month after initiating ICI |
| Non-tuberculous r | nycobacterial infections | | | |
| Fujita <i>et al.</i> (54) | 66; F; NS; Japan | Recurrent NSCLC; nivolumab | Carboplatin, pemetrexed, XRT | M. intracellulare lung disease |
| | 80; M; NS; Japan | Metastatic NSCLC; atezolizumab | Carboplatin, paclitaxel, XRT | MAC lung disease |
| | 66; M; NS; Japan | Stage 4a NSCLC; atezolizumab | Carboplatin and nabPTX, docetaxel, and XRT | M. intracellulare lung disease |
| Baba <i>et al.</i> (55) | 80; M; NS; Japan | NSCLC; durvalumab | Carboplatin | M. avium lung disease |
| Okamoto <i>et al.</i> (56) | 69; M; NS; Japan | NSCLC; pembrolizumab | Carboplatin, paclitaxel | M. abscessus lung disease |
| Koyama <i>et al.</i> (57) | 44; F; Asian; Japan | Breast cancer; nivolumab | Doxorubicin + tamoxifen; leuprorelin acetate; bevacizumab + paclitaxel | M. mageritense SSTI & CRBSI |
| Chi <i>et al.</i> (58) | 58; M; NS; Taiwan | Renal cell carcinoma; pembrolizumab | Axitinib (inhibits tyrosine kinase receptors including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) | MAC lung disease |
| Omori <i>et al.</i> (59) | 74; M; NS; Japan | Lung cancer; pembrolizumab | Unknown | <i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>abscessus</i> vertebral osteomyelitis & epidural abscess |
| Yamaba <i>et al.</i> (60) | 82; M; NS; Japan | Advanced stage gastric cancer; nivolumab | Tegafur + gimeracil + oteracil; XRT | MAC lung disease |
| Pang <i>et al.</i> (61) ¹ | 53; M; Asian; China | Hepatocellular carcinoma; camrelizumab | Exacerbated severe psoriasis; chronic indwelling central venous catheter; topical glucocorticoids | <i>M. neoaurum</i> bacteremia (multiple blood cultures) |

[†], TB incidence for the publication year of the cited publication; [‡], TB treated successfully; [§], TB incidence data for 2022; [¶], see text as this patient has at least two major risk factors for NTM bacteremia without necessarily invoking the ICI as a risk factor. ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors; TB, tuberculosis; NTM, non-tuberculous mycobacteria; M, male; GC, glucocorticoids; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; F, female; NS, not specified; MetMelanoma, metastatic melanoma; XRT, radiotherapy; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; MAC, *Mycobacterium avium* complex; nabPTX, nab-paclitaxel; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection; CRBSI, catheter-related blood stream infection.

mycobacterial infections, invoking overt inflammation without necessarily increasing vulnerability to the mycobacteria (62). As we posit later, it appears that either possibility (ICIs predisposing to mycobacterial infections or unmasking a pre-existing infection) as well as ICIs providing protection against mycobacterial infections may occur, depending on the baseline immune status of the host. In a patient with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with anti-PD-1 antibody who developed multiple positive blood cultures for Mycobacterium neoaurum, the paramount risk factor was the presence of a chronic indwelling port catheter combined with the severe psoriasis that was exacerbated by the ICI (61). In other words, the prominent skin disease combined with a transcutaneous foreign object provided a likely portal of entry for the NTM invasion; i.e., there were significant pre-existing risk factors without necessarily incriminating the ICI.

Ogishi and colleagues (63) reported a child with inherited PD-1 deficiency who developed abdominal TB (and successfully treated) but subsequently died from excessive and systemic autoimmune inflammation. Interestingly, the child's lymphocytes produced only small amounts of IFNy with mycobacterial stimulation due to combined depletion of various lymphocyte populations that included a specific subset of gamma-delta T cells, mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells, and CD56⁺ natural killer lymphocytes (63). The excessive autoimmune inflammation was due to increased activation of STAT3 by IL-6 and IL-23 with subsequent increased expression of RORy (RAR-related orphan receptor gamma) (63), another transcription factor mainly expressed by $T_{\rm H}17$ cells. Since IL-17 is a neutrophil chemoattractant, it is interesting to speculate whether the inherited PD-1 deficiency ultimately included an ineffective hyperinflammatory (neutrophilic) response to both the TB and the autoimmune inflammation.

Chen and colleagues (64) recently reported a retrospective analysis of the incidence of TB in lung cancer patients who were treated with ICIs versus tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). They found that those treated with ICIs had significantly higher incidence of TB (2,298 per 10⁵ person-years) than those who received TKIs (412 per 10⁵ person-years) even after matching the two cohorts. One caveat is that the number of actual TB cases were quite small in both groups with 7 TB cases in 1,607 patients treated with ICI (1.58%) and 11 TB cases in 1,607 patients treated with TKI (0.68%) and thus the much higher incidence rate in the ICI group was calculated from a shorter period of cumulative time than the TKI group. A potential remaining

confounder to their findings is that the ICI group still had significantly greater use of systemic glucocorticoids than the TKI group even after the matching process. While these investigators also showed in a prospective component of their study that those on ICIs were more likely to have conversion of their interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) for latent TB infection, an inherent confounder is that ICIs normally function by increasing activation of IFN γ producing T_H1 cells and thus may be more likely to result in a more positive IGRA (64).

Experimental and clinical evidence indicating that ICIs do not increase vulnerability or are host-protective against mycobacterial infections

Experimental animals

Antibodies to the immune checkpoint TIM3 have also been developed to augment host T effector function against cancer (65). In both ex vivo mouse lung T cells and in vivo murine models, TIM3 was found to cause T cell exhaustion (66). In contrast to the findings with the PD-1 gene knockout mice (25-27), administration of blocking antibodies to TIM3 in M. tuberculosis-infected C57BL/6J mice significantly reduced the bacilli burden in the lungs compared to mice treated with isotype control antibody (66). Furthermore, TIM3 gene knockout mice also had reduced M. tuberculosis burden in the lungs and spleens as well as significantly longer survival than wildtype BALB/c mice (66). Similarly, administration of an anti-CTLA-4 antibody enhanced mycobacterium-specific T cell proliferation and IFNy production in the mediastinal lymph nodes of mice as well as a decrease in Mycobacterium bovis (M. bovis) Bacillus Calmette Guerin (BCG) burden by onehalf log at 6 weeks post-infection (although this did not reach statistical significance) (67). Hu et al. (68) also showed that a PD-L1 "blocker" in mice infected with M. tuberculosis (paradoxically) reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines/IFNy and of apoptosis compared to infected mice alone; unfortunately, the burden of M. tuberculosis in the alveolar macrophages or mouse lungs were not reported.

Human studies

Two separate single-center studies from Kyoto, Japan and Seoul, Korea showed that the incidences of TB reactivation in those on ICI was similar to the general population of Kyoto, Japan but significantly greater than the general population of Seoul, Korea, respectively (48,69). However, because the TB incidence in the Korean patients on ICI was similar to that in (all) cancer patients in Korea, it suggests that development of TB and use of ICIs may be coincidental in both Asian populations and not necessarily a cause-and-effect (48).

In South Korea (a TB-endemic country), only three cases of TB were reported out of 1,144 solid cancer patients treated with ICIs (pembrolizumab, nivolumab, or atezolizumab) with a median follow-up period of 187 days (48). Furthermore, a retrospective study in Korea of 6,335 lung cancer patients—of whom 899 were treated with ICIs—did not find an association between the use of ICIs and active TB (70). In 51 patients with skin cancer who were undergoing immunotherapy, 9 (18%) were found to have latent TB infection but none developed TB; however, it was unclear if patients received treatment for their latent TB infection or other forms of cancer chemotherapy, and the length of follow-up after initiating skin cancer treatment was not stated (24).

There are also reported cases in which ICIs may have a salubrious effect against mycobacterial infections. A 73-year-old man with stage IV lung adenocarcinoma was treated with paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab (antivascular endothelial growth factor antibody). During the course of treatment, he developed a cavitary lung nodule with peri-cavitary consolidation with sputum positive for M. abscessus subsp. massiliense (71). Due to a recurrence of lung cancer, his treatment strategy shifted to nivolumab and he also received 2 weeks of imipenem and amikacin to treat the *M. massiliense*. Despite only a brief period of suboptimal antimicrobial intervention, the cavitary nodule and infiltrate resolved with sputum culture conversion, leading the authors to speculate that nivolumab helped in treating the NTM lung disease; at the very least, the ICI did not exacerbate the M. massiliense infection (71). In a clinically remarkable case, Liu et al. (72) reported a 25-yearold man with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and disseminated Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) infection. Because he was recalcitrant to both anti-retroviral and anti-MAC therapies and there was high expression of PD-1 on his peripheral T cells, he was begun on sintilimab (an anti-PD-1 antibody), resulting in resolution of the disseminated MAC (72) (near the end of this paper, we will use this AIDS case, PD-1 knockout mice studies, and others to illustrate that ICIs may be either salubrious or detrimental depending on baseline immune status). A 61-year-old man was diagnosed concomitantly with poorlydifferentiated lung adenocarcinoma and Mycobacterium fortuitum (M. fortuitum) lung infection (73). Following treatment with pembrolizumab and anti-mycobacterial antibiotics (isoniazid, clarithromycin, and moxifloxacin), all cultures were negative but a new lung mass developed which on biopsy revealed a large number of "carbon particles" with histiocytes and multinucleated giant cells (73). Given that essentially all M. fortuitum strains are resistant to isoniazid and most strains have a functional erm39 gene (conferring inducible macrolide resistance) (74), it gives credence to the authors position that pembrolizumab may have helped clear the NTM infection (73). Nevertheless, we opine that the M. fortuitum isolated may not have been significant in this patient for several reasons: (I) M. fortuitum is historically more likely to be a colonizer than a true pathogen; (II) on chest computed tomography imaging, no features typical of NTM lung disease was cited; i.e., centrilobular nodules, bronchiectasis, and cavities were not described; (III) the histopathologic findings of the new nodule could also be consistent with a pneumoconiosis nodule. But at least the pembrolizumab did not exacerbate the M. fortuitum infection.

Ex vivo human cellular studies support the paradigm that partially blocking immune checkpoints improves host immunity against mycobacteria. In TB patients, blocking PD-1, PD-L1, or PD-L2 ex vivo in blood lymphocytes: (I) rescued the host protective IFNy-producing T cells and MAIT cells from exhaustion following M. tuberculosis antigen and M. bovis BCG stimulation, respectively; (II) enhanced specific degranulation of CD8⁺ T cells; and/ or (III) abrogated Treg-mediated immune suppression (21,75,76). Pan and co-workers (77) found higher PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in CD4⁺ T cells and CD14⁺ monocytes, respectively, from active TB patients compared to monocytes from non-TB subjects as well as a correlation between lack of sputum smear/culture conversion and higher PD-L1 expression on monocytes. Compared to healthy controls, active TB patients were found to have increased number of PD-1⁺ and PD-L1⁺ CD4⁺ T cells (including both T effector cells and Tregs), increased PD-1 expression on natural killer cells, and greater expression of PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 on CD14⁺ monocytes (17-19). With effective treatment of TB, PD-1 expression on CD4⁺ T cells decreased (19). Similar findings were seen in another cohort of active TB patients-increased gene expression of PD-L1 in peripheral blood cells-but with successful TB treatment, there was a decline in the expression of PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 and a decrease in PD-1 protein expression on CD4⁺, CD8⁺, and natural killer cells, leading the investigators to conclude that targeting PD-1 may be beneficial host-directed therapy (78). Peripheral blood CD4⁺ T cells from TB patients stimulated ex vivo with killed M. tuberculosis produced less IL-17, IL-23 receptor, and phosphorylated STAT3 and expressed more PD-1 (that decreased with anti-TB treatment) than cells from healthy controls; addition of anti-PD-1 antibody led to increased production of IL-17, IL-23 receptor, and phosphorylated STAT3 (79). Ex vivo blockade of both PD-1 and PD-L1/PD-L2 in co-cultures of CD4⁺ T cells and monocyte-derived macrophages augmented both phagocytosis and intracellular killing of M. bovis BCG (18). *M. tuberculosis* whole cell lysate/EsxA (aka ESAT-6) stimulation of THP-1 cells and murine bone marrowderived macrophages increased cell surface expression of PD-L1 (77). Additionally, pulmonary TB patients who were acid-fast bacteria positive or who failed to culture convert at 2 months into TB treatment had significantly greater proportion of blood monocytes that were PD-L1⁺ compared to those who were smear negative or culture converted at 2 months, respectively (77).

The PBMC from 50 subjects with MAC lung disease demonstrated lower levels of TNF and IFN γ compared to the PBMC of 30 healthy controls following *ex vivo* stimulation with MAC (80). Furthermore, the white blood cells of patients with MAC lung disease exhibited higher PD-1 and PD-L1 expression and greater apoptosis in the lymphocytes compared to control lymphocytes. Following a partial blockade of PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 using antagonizing antibodies, there was a notable increase in MAC-stimulated IFN γ production and a reduction in lymphocyte apoptosis (80). These findings suggest that the T cells in patients with MAC lung disease are in a state of exhaustion due to chronic antigen exposure. Thus, one could posit that such patients may benefit from adjunctive anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody therapy.

Discussion

The controversy

Based on the ability of ICIs to augment the activity of $T_{\rm H}1$ cells against cancer cells that express PD-L1, it seems plausible that ICIs can also enhance control of mycobacterial infections. However, as noted above, mice harboring genetic disruption of PD-1 demonstrated greater bacterial burden and worse survival following M.

tuberculosis infection, raising the possibility that excessive inflammation was detrimental to the animals, an ineffective hyperinflammatory response was present, or both (25-27). In contrast, mice with genetic disruption of PD-1 or wildtype mice administered anti-PD-1 neutralizing antibody and then infected with Histoplasma capsulatum had reduced fungal burden and improved survival (81). Additionally, in a cecal-ligation-and-puncture model of sepsis, administration of anti-PD-1 antibody improved survival (82). Mice administered the ICI anti-TIM3 antibody or have genetic disruption for TIM3 gene, in contrast to the findings with the PD-1 gene knockout mice, were more protected against M. tuberculosis. One important consideration is the genetic background of these knockout mice wherein the TIM3 gene knockout mice are in a BALB/c background, a strain that is considered to be more susceptible to TB (perhaps due to a greater induction of a $T_{\rm H}2$ response) than the C57BL/6 background of the PD-1 gene knockout mice (83,84). These murine studies indicate that whether complete or partial inhibition of immune checkpoint-cognate ligand interaction is salubrious or detrimental is likely to be pathogen-specific, immune checkpoint-specific, and/or dependent on the baseline immunity of the host.

As a preview to our hypothesis discussed later, these opposing findings in mice of the protective and deleterious response to genetic disruption of immune checkpoints suggest that hosts that are more or frankly immunocompromised may be more likely to benefit from ICIs whereas those with pre-existing intact or even heightened immune status may be more likely to deteriorate with ICIs.

Confounders that could undermine the link between ICIs and vulnerability to mycobacterial infections in human case reports

We next discuss potential confounders by which ICIs may be associated with increased mycobacterial infections and yet do not actually raise the risk of such infections. Some of these confounders include: (I) immune suppression from the cancer itself and/or the presence of other comorbid conditions such as diabetes, smoking, and end-stage renal disease that predispose to mycobacterial infections; (II) concomitant or sequential use of other cancer chemotherapeutic(s) or adjunctive glucocorticoids that are able to suppress host-protective immune cell function (85); and/or (III) lung injury from radiation or chemotherapeutic agents resulting in architecturally distorted lungs and predisposing such patients to secondary mycobacterial lung infections. Reporting bias is also likely to be present with human case reports that associate ICIs with mycobacterial infections; i.e., if no mycobacterial infections occurred during ICI treatments, such cases are unlikely to be reported. Case reports and case series also lack robust evidence of a causal relationship along with several potential confounders that are inherently present and unable to be accounted. We will not discuss the use of anti-TNF biologic agents and/or glucocorticoids to treat ICI-induced autoimmune/inflammatory complications as a confounder since it is obvious that these treatment strategies are independent risk factors for mycobacterial diseases.

Immune suppression from cancer itself and co-morbid conditions

Cancer has been recognized as an independent risk factor for developing active *M. tuberculosis* infection since the 1950s; however, this association is likely to vary depending on the cancer types (e.g., those that compromise the immune cells directly such as the leukemias), the country reporting the association (i.e., TB endemic *vs.* non-endemic countries), and concomitant use of immunosuppressive agents (discussed below) (7,85-87). Lymphopenia, a wellknown entity associated with cancer (88)—whether due to the cancer itself, treatment (discussed below), or both would likely increase the risk for TB and NTM infections.

Use of immunosuppressive drugs in the setting of cancer treatment

Glucocorticoids suppress the adaptive arm of the immune system, resulting in predisposition to TB or NTM infection, particularly when administered at supraphysiologic doses and for an "extended period" of time (89,90). Since glucocorticoids are commonly used in cancer treatmentwhether as part of the regimen to treat lymphoid cancers or as symptomatic treatment for nausea that commonly accompanies cancer chemotherapy—it is quite plausible that glucocorticoids contribute to increased risk of TB reactivation during cancer treatment. As noted in Table 4, most of the patients in whom ICI administration was linked to mycobacterial infections were on other chemotherapeutic agents that can suppress immune function (91). Several chemotherapeutic drugs are known to cause lymphopenia including cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, methotrexate, and taxanes (88). Fludarabine, used to treat hematological cancers, can strongly diminish the numbers of cytotoxic T cells (92). It is also plausible that glucocorticoids were

prescribed to treat chemotherapy-induced nausea but their use may not have been mentioned in the case reports as "relevant" medications.

Distortion of lung architecture

Due likely to greater virulence of M. tuberculosis compared to NTM, pulmonary TB may occur in previously normal lungs whereas NTM lung disease typically requires some pre-existing structural lung disease-most commonly bronchiectasis and emphysema (93). Parenchymal lung injury that occurs with certain chemotherapeutic agents and radiation treatment and any sequela of lung architectural distortion (e.g., lung fibrosis and traction bronchiectasis) is a plausible mechanism by which such abnormal areas are more vulnerable to mycobacterial infections. Furthermore, the influx of "wound-healing immune cells" to the site of the lung injury may also predispose those areas to mycobacterial infections as such recruited, reparative immune cells are "immunosuppressive" in the context of infections, a concept known as locus minoris resistentiae ("site of least resistance"); i.e., a site of previous injury is more susceptible to infections (94). Radiation therapy may cause mucosal injury, increasing susceptibility to infections. Additionally, radiotherapy, especially when applied to larger tumors, is known to induce lymphopenia (95). Thus, because radiation therapy and concomitant administration of immunosuppressive chemotherapy are commonly employed in cancer treatment, the occurrence of mycobacterial infections with ICIs may only be associative and not necessarily causative (54,60).

Potential mechanisms directly linking ICIs with susceptibility to mycobacterial infections

While there is a paucity of data directly linking ICIs and increased susceptibility to mycobacterial infections in humans, the evidence showing increased susceptibility to M. tuberculosis in PD-1 knockout mice, PD-L1 knockout mice, and administration of anti-PD-1 antibody in nonhuman primates cannot be ignored (25-28). We discuss below the potential mechanisms by which ICIs may directly predispose to mycobacterial infections, namely: (I) lymphopenia that rarely may be associated with the ICIs and (II) dysregulated hyperimmune responses caused by the ICIs that then predisposes to mycobacterial infections. It is also important to emphasize that these potential risk factors to mycobacterial infections posed by the ICIs are not mutually exclusive from the potential confounders

discussed above, possibly working in synergy to increase such susceptibility.

ICI-related lymphopenia and mycobacterial infections

One hypothesis proposed of ICI-associated mycobacterial infection is ICI-related lymphopenia (23,35). However, this phenomenon is a rare event with a reported incidence of grade 3 to 4 leukopenia of 1% (96,97) as well as grade 1 to 2 lymphopenia of 1.2% and 0.6% with pembrolizumab at doses of 2 and 10 mg/kg, respectively (98). The absence of a dose-response of pembrolizumab with lymphopenia raises doubts about the ICIs causing lymphopenia; indeed, one could contrarily argue that the higher dose of pembrolizumab reduced the frequency of lymphopenia. Whether ICIs can cause lymphopenia is not definitive and even if they can, the peripheral blood lymphopenia may be due to increased migration of T cells to the site of the tumor.

Induction of detrimental hyperinflammatory response to mycobacteria

"Dysregulated immunity" is an often-cited but unproven mechanism through which ICIs, despite enhancing hostprotective immunity, might paradoxically predispose individuals to M. tuberculosis and NTM. While it is logical to infer that ICIs may contribute to increased lung inflammation and subsequent injury, the specific mechanism(s) by which ICIs compromise the ability to control mycobacterial infection-resulting in increased mycobacterial burden-remains unintuitive and difficult to rationalize. The goal of this section is to clarify how a robust but excessive host immune response could predispose to mycobacterial infections. We posit three plausible and not necessarily mutually exclusive mechanisms by which enhancing T effector function may be associative or predispose to mycobacterial infections: (I) unmasking of a pre-existing subclinical mycobacteria infection without being causative; (II) excessive inflammation by ineffective immune cell types that dilutes a salubrious immune response; (III) excessive inflammation by normally effective immune cell types and cytokines that paradoxically impairs host-protective immune response.

Unmasking of a pre-existing subclinical mycobacteria infection without being causative

As previously mentioned, case reports and case series describing mycobacterial infections in the context of ICI use can only be associative with several potential confounders. Their co-occurrence may be merely coincidental. However, it is possible that ICIs, by inducing increased inflammation, can uncover a covert, pre-existing active mycobacterial disease that then becomes overt, coming to clinical attention. A well-described and possibly related syndrome is the immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) seen in AIDS patients with mycobacterial (or other types of) infections who are prescribed highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) (99). In these patients, pre-existing M. tuberculosis infection that may be subclinical or is being treated, is exacerbated as the patient regains the capacity to mount an inflammatory response from the HAART (100). In fact, many of these patients exhibited elevated number of activated CD4⁺ T cells, consistent with T cell activation in patients treated with ICIs (101). Similar paradoxical inflammatory syndromes with re-emergence of active infection have been observed in HIV-uninfected patients on treatment for TB or leprosy (102). One illustrative case is that of a patient with severe combined immune deficiency (SCID) who developed disseminated M. bovis BCG infection as new immune cells engrafted following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (103). It is possible that patients on ICIs who have subclinical active TB or who were treated for TB but still harbored live M. tuberculosis prior to ICI therapy, might experience an exacerbation of M. tuberculosis infection when ICIs create a hyperimmune response, manifesting an illness that led clinicians to diagnose a previously active but subclinical mycobacterial disease.

It has been posited that lowering the mycobacterial burden with anti-mycobacterial drugs before starting ICIs may not only prevent a hyperinflammatory state but also prevent a dysregulated and ineffective immune state akin to IRIS. One anecdotal supporting evidence for this is that in the aforementioned patient with AIDS and recalcitrant, disseminated MAC infection, addition of an ICI after the patient was given antibiotics for MAC (that presumably lowered the mycobacterial burden) affected a salubrious host-protective response (72,104). We also posit that his baseline compromised immune function likely affected a favorable response to the ICI.

Excessive inflammation by ineffective immune cell types that dilutes a salubrious immune response

One study that examined neutrophilic influx in *PD-1* gene knockout mice infected with *M. tuberculosis* found large numbers of degenerating and fragmented neutrophils accompanied by tissue necrosis compared to infected control mice (25). The allusion is that tissue injury and perhaps the influx of large numbers of ineffective neutrophil phenotypes

prevented—by steric interference—more effective immune cells from reaching the site of the infection and exerting their host-protective functions.

Excessive inflammation by normally effective immune cell types and cytokines that paradoxically impairs bostprotective immune response

Overall, there are conflicting data between ex vivo/in vitro human cell studies vs. in vivo murine studies (with the PD-1 gene knockout mice) on whether ICIs are protective or predisposing to infection with M. tuberculosis. As discussed above, ex vivo studies applying ICIs in human T cells and monocytes/macrophages showed that these agents prevented their exhaustion, activated their function, and/or enhanced their ability to clear mycobacteria (17-19,21,75-79). Whereas PD-1 gene knockout mice are paradoxically more susceptible to M. tuberculosis (25-27,105), mice in which TIM3 was antagonized with a specific ICI (anti-TIM3 antibody) or the TIM3 gene was knocked out are more protected against M. tuberculosis (66). Plausible mechanistic explanations for these differences include intrinsic differences among the different immune checkpoints (PD-1 vs. TIM3), the difference between partial inhibition of immune checkpoints with an antibody vs. genetic disruption of an immune checkpoint, and different background strains of mice used (C57BL/6 vs. BALB/c) wherein mice that are intrinsically and relatively more immunosuppressed (e.g., the BALB/c background in the TIM3 gene knockout mice) may be more likely to benefit from ICIs. Further confounding the relationship between PD-1, IFN γ and M. tuberculosis infection is that IFNy upregulates both PD-1 and PD-L1 expression (21,106,107); this form of negative feedback indicates that the host-protective immune response to M. tuberculosis is a delicate combination of proinflammatory and immune-dampening responses (108).

If there is a direct causative link of ICIs and mycobacterial infections, the most obvious paradox is that IFN γ plays a key role in host defense against mycobacterial disease *via* macrophage activation. Indeed, severely decreased or absence of IFN γ function due to T cell deficiency/exhaustion impairs the ability to form mature granulomas and increased vulnerability to severe disseminated mycobacterial infections (93,109-112). This phenomenon is obviously not occurring with ICI-associated mycobacterial infections because ICIs will induce more IFN γ and essentially all reported cases of ICI-associated human TB or NTM infections are localized disease (*Table 4*) and not the disseminated disease seen in those with profound defect in the IFN γ -IL-12 axis. However, one supporting clue that excess IFN γ (and its downstream effects) may contribute to a detrimental immune response to the host is based on a study showing that IFN γ production by T_H1 cells is far more important for TB control in the spleen than in the lungs and that increasing the IFN γ -producing capacity by the T_H1 cells actually exacerbated the lung infection and led to more rapid mortality (113). Furthermore, the inflammatory response to *M. tuberculosis* is not limited to IFN γ and includes pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF, IL-6, and IL-12/23 (114). These cytokines and chemokines, if excessive, could lead to hyperinflammation and dysregulated immune responses.

The scenario of how either hypoinflammation or hyperinflammation is detrimental to the host, respectively, may be illustrated by the following paradigm (108,115,116): (I) with "too little" inflammation (a more "logical" or easier concept to envision), insufficient T effector $(T_{H}1)$ activation results in overwhelming mycobacterial infection, necrotic macrophage death, and further spread of live mycobacteria released from the dving phagocytes (Figure 2A, left-hand side); (II) with "too much" inflammation, as seen with the absence or severe inhibition of immune checkpoints, there is excess amounts of IFNy production that induces TNF and other downstream inflammatory mediators (117), causing activation of receptor-interacting serine-threonine kinases 1 and 3 (RIP1 and RIP3), necrotic macrophage death (necroptosis), and release and proliferation of mycobacteria (115) (Figure 2A, right-hand side); (III) between these two extremes (as represented by the Goldilocks tale of "too cold" and "too hot" porridges), there is "just the right amounts" of IFNy and TNF ("porridge temperature just right") resulting in an optimal host protection against mycobacteria (Figure 2A, middle). Another analogy to this paradigm can be made wherein a civil demonstration by protestors (i.e., mycobacteria) and a fair and professional response by police officers (i.e., immune cells and cytokines) results in a peaceful resolution of the demonstration (i.e., resolution of TB or NTM disease) (Figure 2B, left-hand side). In contrast, if there is an inciting event (i.e., strong and prolonged immune checkpoint inhibition) by either side, chaos (i.e., excess inflammation) may ensue resulting in a violent demonstration (i.e., inability to resolve TB or NTM disease) (Figure 2B, right-hand side).

This paradigm of insufficient and excess inflammation (the latter due to ICIs) associated with worse outcome in TB is analogous to studies showing differential TB outcome based on the activity of leukotriene A₄ hydrolase (LTA₄H)—

1615



Figure 2 Hypothesized mechanism of how excessive inflammation due to ICI may increase vulnerability to mycobacterial infections. (A) The Goldilocks concept of how too little or too much inflammation produces specific phenotypic milieu that leads to ineffective control of mycobacterial infections (this figure was drawn de novo based on text from Roca FJ and Ramakrishnan L. Cell 2013;153:521-34; Tezera LB et al. Elife 2020;9:e52668; Morelli T et al. Thorax 2022;77:304-11). Insufficient T effector (T_H1) activation results in overwhelming infection, necrotic macrophage death, and spread of uncontrolled mycobacterial infection (left-hand side, represented by the "too cold" porridge). If there is excessive inflammation due to complete loss of immune checkpoints, the increased IFNy and induced TNF activates RIP1 and RIP3, leading to necrotic macrophage death (necroptosis), also impairing control of mycobacteria (right-hand side, represented by the "too hot porridge"). With an "appropriate" amount of effective inflammation (middle, represented by the "just right" temperature porridge), control and resolution of the mycobacterial infection are achieved. (B) An analogy of using police officers and demonstrators to show how an excessive cellular immune response induced by ICIs may increase vulnerability to mycobacterial infections. With civil and professional behaviors by the protestors and officers, respectively, the demonstration is peacefully conducted and ended (left-hand side); in this less than perfect analogy, elimination of the mycobacteria is analogous to peaceful resolution of the demonstration. However, if there is an external inciting event (ICI), the demonstrators (mycobacteria) and police officers (immune cells) further alienate each other, resulting in a violent demonstration (the disease is exacerbated and poorly controlled) (right-hand side). IFNy, interferon-gamma; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; RIP, receptor-interacting serine-threonine protein kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor.

an enzyme that normally metabolizes LTA₄ to the proinflammatory molecule LTB₄ as well as increases the metabolism of the anti-inflammatory molecule lipoxin A₄ (LXA₄), creating a net pro-inflammatory state (*Figure 3A*). The CC genotype of the *LTA4H* promoter [single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs17525495] is associated with decreased LTA₄H activity, resulting in less pro-inflammatory LTB₄ and more anti-inflammatory LXA₄ (118) (*Figure 3B*). An immunodeficient state would be analogous to this CC genotype (*Figure 3B*). In contrast, the TT genotype is associated with greater LTA₄H activity, resulting in increased conversion of LTA₄ to LTB₄, decreased levels of LXA₄, resulting in a hyperinflammatory phenotype (*Figure 3C*). Use of an ICI that results in worse outcome would be analogous to this TT genotype (*Figure 3C*). A study showed that both the hypoinflammatory (CC) and hyperinflammatory (TT) genotypes of LTA₄H ("the two extremes") were associated with poorer outcomes compared to the heterozygous (CT) genotype (119). A subsequent Cochrane review of nine randomized controlled studies (1,337 participants with TB meningitis) reported that compared anti-TB treatment alone, addition of glucocorticoids reduced death by about 25% (120); as could be rationally predicted, those with the hyperinflammatory (TT) genotype benefited most from glucocorticoids (119). In contrast, a subsequent study from Vietnam showed that those with the hyperinflammatory (TT) genotype had better survival than those with the hypoinflammatory

A LTA₄H induces a pro-inflammatory state in tuberculous meningitis



Figure 3 The genotype of LTA₄H influences the inflammatory response to tuberculous meningitis and analogy with the ICIs. (A) LTA₄H metabolizes the substrate LTA₄ to the pro-inflammatory LTB₄ as well as metabolizes the anti-inflammatory LXA₄. Thus, increased LTA₄H activity is pro-inflammatory. (B) With the CC genotype of LTA₄H, there is decreased LTA₄H activity, resulting in decreased LTB₄ and increased LXA₄ and LTA₄; the LTA₄ may be metabolized to LXA₄ (anti-inflammatory) and LTC₄ (pro-inflammatory) with a net effect of a hypoinflammatory state. Those with various forms of immunodeficiencies are analogous to those with the hypoinflammatory state (CC genotype of LTA₄H). (C) With the TT genotype of LTA₄H, there is increased LTA₄H activity, resulting in increased LTB₄, decreased LXA₄, and decreased LTA₄ as well as reduced amount of LTC₄ with still a net effect of a hyperinflammatory state. While unproven, ICI-induced hyperinflammatory state may be associated with a dysregulated and ineffective immune response to mycobacteria. Blue color font is used to denote anti-inflammatory status whereas red color font denotes pro-inflammatory effect. LTA₄H, leukotriene A₄ hydrolase; LTA₄, leukotriene A₄; LXA₄, lipoxin A₄; ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors.

(CC) genotype with the CT genotype in between the two homozygous genotypes (121). Another study from Indonesia of patients with TB meningitis showed that the LTA4H genotype did not show significant association to mortality although there was a non-significant trend toward better survival in the hyperinflammatory (TT) phenotype (122). These conflicting findings in the LTA4H genotype on mortality may be due to a number of factors that potentially or actually differ between the two populations studied including differences in linkage disequilibrium (particularly relevant with SNP analysis in different ethnic groups) and patient characteristics (e.g., higher proportion of patients with greater severity and mortality in the Indonesian groups, older age in the Vietnam group, etc.) (121-123). Overall, these LTA4H genotype studies support the paradigm that either excessive/ prolonged inflammation or insufficient inflammation may compromise the ability of the host to control TB.

The phenotypic heterogeneity of human TB (latent TB infection, primary TB, reactivation TB, and intervening TB phenotypes) may be reflected mechanistically ("endotypically") by heterogeneity at the TB granuloma level (124). Cardena and co-worker's (124) discourse on heterogeneity at both the host and granuloma levels supports the paradigm that either excessive or insufficient immune response against M. tuberculosis is detrimental to the infected host. While severe immunodeficiency (e.g., untreated AIDS) can result in overwhelming mycobacterial infection (TB or NTM), they noted that an excessive pro-inflammatory response can lead to liquefaction of the granuloma core, breach of the granuloma boundary, and spread of the tubercle bacilli and the accompanying inflammatory cellular and cytokine response to the previously unaffected lung parenchyma, signs that denote active TB (124). Thus, a balanced cytokine responsecomprised of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory



Figure 4 Examples of published or hypothetical conditions where inhibition of immune checkpoints would be beneficial or detrimental. We posit that in conditions where the host is either severely immunocompromised, immune checkpoint inhibition would be beneficial against mycobacterial infection, as exemplified by: (A) advanced AIDS patient with severe mycobacterial infection that improved with an ICI; (B) TIM3 antagonism or TIM3 gene knockout mice are protected against mycobacteria; (C) hypothetical cancer patient 1 with severe immunocompromised status in which ICI affords protection against mycobacteria. Why the TIM3 gene knockout mice are more resistant to M. tuberculosis and the PD-1 gene/PD-L1 gene knockout mice are more susceptible is unknown although we posit it may be due to differences in baseline immunity of their respective background mouse strains-BALB/c and C57BL/6 (see text). Conversely, in hosts with preserved immune function, immune checkpoint inhibition results in a hyperinflammatory state, worsening control of mycobacterial infections, as exemplified by: (D) PD-1 gene knockout mice; (E) PD-L1 gene knockout mice; and (F) hypothetical cancer patient 2 with relatively intact immune function. Patients in categories C and F may have either overt or subclinical mycobacterial infections whereas example patients or mice in the other categories (A,B,D,E) have overt and likely high mycobacterial burden due to natural or experimental infections. The arrow of "F" is relatively short because we do not see an overwhelming number of mycobacterial infections in cancer patients on ICI. Because "F" subjects are relatively more immunocompetent, they may be more likely to manifest prior subclinical mycobacterial infection ("more severe disease") upon administration of ICI; i.e., ICIs do not necessarily cause the mycobacterial infection but "unmask" a pre-existing subclinical infection. ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; TIM3, T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-containing protein 3; KO, knockout; WT, wild type; IC, immune checkpoint; PD-1, programmed cell

death protein-1; PD-L1, programmed-death ligand 1; IFNy, interferon-gamma; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

cells and soluble mediators in an orchestrated temporal fashion—is best associated with granuloma integrity and sterility (124).

How can we shed more light on these conflicting data on whether the ICIs are detrimental or beneficial with mycobacterial infections? An insightful diagram published by Tezera and co-workers (108) helps to illustrate that these conflicting reports are perhaps not contradictory when analyzed in the context of the baseline immune status of the host (*Figure 4*) as well as the immune checkpoint types involved (e.g., PD-1 *vs.* PD-L1 *vs.* TIM3) and the degree of immune checkpoint inhibition (e.g., neutralizing antibody *vs.* genetic disruption). Tezera *et al.* (108) proposed a "U" shape paradigm in which too little or too much hostprotective immune response (which we have arbitrarily represented by CD4⁺IFN γ^+ TNF⁺ T cells on the x-axis of *Figure 4*) may result in disseminated or severe localized disease (severity of disease on the y-axis) as alluded to

above. We have superimposed on this "U" diagram two published reports where the use of ICIs were shown to protect the host against mycobacterial infections along with a hypothetical case: (I) advanced AIDS patient with severe NTM infection that resolved with ICI (72); (II) mice with TIM3 antagonism or TIM3 gene knockout are protected against mycobacteria (66); (III) Hypothetical Cancer Patient 1 who is severely immunocompromised and ICI affords protection against mycobacteria (Figure 4, left-hand side). Because (I) and (III) have in common severe baseline immunodeficiencies, it seems plausible that they would derive benefit from inhibiting an immune checkpoint. Although it is not known why antagonizing TIM3 would benefit the host against the mycobacterial infection but antagonizing PD-1 is detrimental, it is interesting to speculate that perhaps it is related to the fact that the BALB/c genetic background of the TIM3 gene knockout mice is known to be more susceptible to TB than

the C57Bl/6 background of the *PD-1* gene knock mice; i.e., the genetic background of the *TIM3* gene knockout mice is relatively more immunocompromised than that for the *PD-1* gene knockout mice and thus knocking out *TIM3* was more beneficial to the host against *M. tuberculosis* than knocking out *PD-1*. Another possibility is that different immune checkpoints have different degrees of T effector cell exhaustion and thus different ICIs may also have varying degrees of rescue of the exhausted T cells.

We have also superimposed published reports where antagonizing immune checkpoints were shown to increase host vulnerability to mycobacterial infections along with a hypothetical case: (IV) PD-1 gene knockout mice are more predisposed to M. tuberculosis (25-27); (V) PD-L1 gene knockout mice are also more vulnerable but less so than the PD-1 gene knockout mice (26); and (VI) hypothetical cancer patient 2 with immunocompetence in whom administration of ICI predisposes to more severe mycobacterial infection (Figure 4, right-hand side). We posit that because in each of these three examples the baseline immune function is intact or relatively more so, inhibiting immune checkpoint worsened mycobacterial disease. Perhaps the PD-L1 knockout mice developed less severe mycobacterial disease than the PD-1 knockout mice is because the PD-L1 knockout mice still has PD-L2 present to bind the PD-1 receptor (26).

How can we incorporate the civil or uncivil demonstration analogy (Figure 2B) with that of baseline immune function impacting whether ICIs become beneficial or detrimental to the host with a mycobacterial infection (Figure 4)? In individuals with a pre-existing or inducible heightened immune cellular and cytokine response (analogous to a police force with a heightened or anxious emotional state), addition of ICI (inciting event) creates an excessive immune response that results in poor control of infection and increase in mycobacterial burden (analogous to a disproportionate law enforcement response that brings in more demonstrators, resulting in a civil demonstration that becomes violent and out of control). Conversely, in the presence of a subdued immune response (analogous to a calm police force with a measured and professional response to the demonstration), ICI (inciting behavior by a one or few demonstrators) is likely to improve the immune response to the infection and efficient killing of the mycobacteria (analogous to disruptive behavior by one or few demonstrators that is skillfully quelled, leading to the mutual respect between the demonstrators and the police force and a peaceful resolution of the demonstration).

Vaddi et al. ICIs and mycobacterial infections

One inherent limitation to the anecdotal human data linking ICI use to mycobacterial infections is that there are no prospective studies with adequate controls on whether ICIs truly increase the risk for mycobacterial infections; i.e., the case reports listed in *Table 4* simply cannot implicate ICIs as predisposing factors for the mycobacterial infections. Particularly lacking from these retrospective analyses is the inability to control for potential confounding factors and the possibility of reporting biases and in the case of TB, from countries with high endemicity for TB. Thus, based on all these factors, presence of active TB and ICI use may be merely coincidental.

There are several strengths to this paper. We organized the existing experimental data and clinical case reports that either link or refute ICIs with mycobacterial infections. We discussed the potential confounders that could undermine the seeming causative link between use of ICIs and mycobacterial infections. Given the possibility that ICIs may paradoxically increase vulnerability to mycobacterial infections, we discussed several potential mechanisms by which this may occur. Based on insightful published studies, we generated a (testable) hypothesis wherein ICIs may be either salubrious or detrimental to the host with mycobacterial infections in the context of baseline host immune status.

Conclusions

Whether ICIs predispose to mycobacterial infections remain controversial. While the preponderance of human cell studies supports the notion that ICIs are protective against mycobacterial infections, most of the experimental murine studies implicate ICIs as being predisposing agents with important exceptions in both models. To determine more definitively whether the ICIs predispose to mycobacterial infections, further prospective human case-control studies are needed, controlling for potential confounders. Murine studies that simultaneously compare specific ICIs that target different immune checkpoints (and given at various times in relationship to the mycobacterial infections) are needed to determine whether they corroborate or refute studies using mice with a genetic disruption for an immune checkpoint. The potential role of an ICI-induced hyperinflammatory response leading to dysregulated pathogen-specific responses that predispose to mycobacterial infections is an intriguing possibility and needs further study in both humans and animal models. Such studies will begin to help test the hypothesis that ICIs may induce either protection

or predisposition against mycobacterial infections, depending on the baseline immune status of the host.

Acknowledgments

Akshara Vaddi thanks Lee Rubenstein, J.D. and Brookfield East High School for the mentorship and program opportunities to accomplish this work. We also thank Drew Narum, B.S. for invaluable editorial assistance. We are also grateful to patients for teaching us about their illnesses. *Funding*: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1395/rc

Peer Review File: Available at https://jtd.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1395/prf

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://jtd.amegroups. com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1395/coif). E.D.C. serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Journal of Thoracic Disease* from February 2023 to January 2025. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

 Krummel MF, Allison JP. CD28 and CTLA-4 have opposing effects on the response of T cells to stimulation. J Exp Med 1995;182:459-65.

- 2. Greenwald RJ, Freeman GJ, Sharpe AH. The B7 family revisited. Annu Rev Immunol 2005;23:515-48.
- Fife BT, Bluestone JA. Control of peripheral T-cell tolerance and autoimmunity via the CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways. Immunol Rev 2008;224:166-82.
- 4. He Y, Cao J, Zhao C, et al. TIM-3, a promising target for cancer immunotherapy. Onco Targets Ther 2018;11:7005-9.
- Saeidi A, Zandi K, Cheok YY, et al. T-Cell Exhaustion in Chronic Infections: Reversing the State of Exhaustion and Reinvigorating Optimal Protective Immune Responses. Front Immunol 2018;9:2569.
- Saresella M, Marventano I, Longhi R, et al. CD4+CD25+FoxP3+PD1- regulatory T cells in acute and stable relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis and their modulation by therapy. FASEB J 2008;22:3500-8.
- Anastasopoulou A, Ziogas DC, Samarkos M, et al. Reactivation of tuberculosis in cancer patients following administration of immune checkpoint inhibitors: current evidence and clinical practice recommendations. J Immunother Cancer 2019;7:239.
- Wei SC, Duffy CR, Allison JP. Fundamental Mechanisms of Immune Checkpoint Blockade Therapy. Cancer Discov 2018;8:1069-86.
- Himmel ME, Saibil SD, Saltman AP. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer immunotherapy. CMAJ 2020;192:E651.
- Vaddepally RK, Kharel P, Pandey R, et al. Review of Indications of FDA-Approved Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors per NCCN Guidelines with the Level of Evidence. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:738.
- Lee JB, Kim HR, Ha SJ. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in 10 Years: Contribution of Basic Research and Clinical Application in Cancer Immunotherapy. Immune Netw 2022;22:e2.
- Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, et al. Five-Year Survival with Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1535-46.
- Wolchok JD, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, et al. Long-Term Outcomes With Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab or Nivolumab Alone Versus Ipilimumab in Patients With Advanced Melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2022;40:127-37.
- Michot JM, Bigenwald C, Champiat S, et al. Immunerelated adverse events with immune checkpoint blockade: a comprehensive review. Eur J Cancer 2016;54:139-48.
- Khan S, Gerber DE. Autoimmunity, checkpoint inhibitor therapy and immune-related adverse events: A review. Semin Cancer Biol 2020;64:93-101.

Vaddi et al. ICIs and mycobacterial infections

- Singh N, Hocking AM, Buckner JH. Immune-related adverse events after immune check point inhibitors: Understanding the intersection with autoimmunity. Immunol Rev 2023;318:81-8.
- 17. Alvarez IB, Pasquinelli V, Jurado JO, et al. Role played by the programmed death-1-programmed death ligand pathway during innate immunity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2010;202:524-32.
- Shen L, Gao Y, Liu Y, et al. PD-1/PD-L pathway inhibits M.tb-specific CD4(+) T-cell functions and phagocytosis of macrophages in active tuberculosis. Sci Rep 2016;6:38362.
- Shen L, Shi H, Gao Y, et al. The characteristic profiles of PD-1 and PD-L1 expressions and dynamic changes during treatment in active tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2016;101:146-50.
- 20. Wykes MN, Lewin SR. Immune checkpoint blockade in infectious diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2018;18:91-104.
- Jurado JO, Alvarez IB, Pasquinelli V, et al. Programmed death (PD)-1:PD-ligand 1/PD-ligand 2 pathway inhibits T cell effector functions during human tuberculosis. J Immunol 2008;181:116-25.
- 22. Rao M, Valentini D, Dodoo E, et al. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy for infectious diseases: learning from the cancer paradigm. Int J Infect Dis 2017;56:221-8.
- 23. Reungwetwattana T, Adjei AA. Anti-PD-1 Antibody Treatment and the Development of Acute Pulmonary Tuberculosis. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:2048-50.
- 24. Langan EA, Graetz V, Allerheiligen J, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors and tuberculosis: an old disease in a new context. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:e55-65.
- 25. Lázár-Molnár E, Chen B, Sweeney KA, et al. Programmed death-1 (PD-1)-deficient mice are extraordinarily sensitive to tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:13402-7.
- 26. Barber DL, Mayer-Barber KD, Feng CG, et al. CD4 T cells promote rather than control tuberculosis in the absence of PD-1-mediated inhibition. J Immunol 2011;186:1598-607.
- Tousif S, Singh Y, Prasad DV, et al. T cells from Programmed Death-1 deficient mice respond poorly to Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. PLoS One 2011;6:e19864.
- 28. Kauffman KD, Sakai S, Lora NE, et al. PD-1 blockade exacerbates Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in rhesus macaques. Sci Immunol 2021;6:eabf3861.
- Tezera LB, Bielecka MK, Ogongo P, et al. Anti-PD-1 immunotherapy leads to tuberculosis reactivation via dysregulation of TNF-α. Elife 2020;9:e52668.

- Anand K, Sahu G, Burns E, et al. Mycobacterial infections due to PD-1 and PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitors. ESMO Open 2020;5:e000866.
- 31. Fujita K, Elkington P, Redelman-Sidi G, et al. Serial interferon-gamma release assay in lung cancer patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors: a prospective cohort study. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2022;71:2757-64.
- 32. Lee JJ, Chan A, Tang T. Tuberculosis reactivation in a patient receiving anti-programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor for relapsed Hodgkin's lymphoma. Acta Oncol 2016;55:519-20.
- 33. WHO TB Report App. World Health Organization; 2022.
- Fujita K, Terashima T, Mio T. Anti-PD1 Antibody Treatment and the Development of Acute Pulmonary Tuberculosis. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:2238-40.
- 35. Chu YC, Fang KC, Chen HC, et al. Pericardial Tamponade Caused by a Hypersensitivity Response to Tuberculosis Reactivation after Anti-PD-1 Treatment in a Patient with Advanced Pulmonary Adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol 2017;12:e111-4.
- Tuberculosis. Taiwan: Taiwan Centers for Disease Control; 2021.
- Elkington PT, Bateman AC, Thomas GJ, et al. Implications of Tuberculosis Reactivation after Immune Checkpoint Inhibition. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;198:1451-3.
- He W, Zhang X, Li W, et al. Activated pulmonary tuberculosis in a patient with melanoma during PD-1 inhibition: a case report. Onco Targets Ther 2018;11:7423-7.
- Jensen KH, Persson G, Bondgaard AL, et al. Development of pulmonary tuberculosis following treatment with anti-PD-1 for non-small cell lung cancer. Acta Oncol 2018;57:1127-8.
- Picchi H, Mateus C, Chouaid C, et al. Infectious complications associated with the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in oncology: reactivation of tuberculosis after anti PD-1 treatment. Clin Microbiol Infect 2018;24:216-8.
- Tetikkurt S, Taş F, Emre F, et al. Significant Neutrophilic Emperipolesis in Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Case Rep Oncol Med 2018;2018:1301562.
- 42. Kim TH, Kim J. P3.CR-16 A Case of Toxic Hepatic Event Occurring in Combination Treatment with Nivolumab and Anti-Tuberculosis in Advanced Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2018;13:S1034.
- 43. Inthasot V, Bruyneel M, Muylle I, et al. Severe

1620

pulmonary infections complicating nivolumab treatment for lung cancer: a report of two cases. Acta Clin Belg 2020;75:308-10.

- 44. van Eeden R, Rapoport BL, Smit T, et al. Tuberculosis Infection in a Patient Treated With Nivolumab for Nonsmall Cell Lung Cancer: Case Report and Literature Review. Front Oncol 2019;9:659.
- Tsai CC, Chen JH, Wang YC, et al. Re-activation of pulmonary tuberculosis during anti-programmed death-1 (PD-1) treatment. QJM 2019;112:41-2.
- 46. Takata S, Koh G, Han Y, et al. Paradoxical response in a patient with non-small cell lung cancer who received nivolumab followed by anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis agents. J Infect Chemother 2019;25:54-8.
- Barber DL, Sakai S, Kudchadkar RR, et al. Tuberculosis following PD-1 blockade for cancer immunotherapy. Sci Transl Med 2019;11:eaat2702.
- Im Y, Lee J, Kim SJ, et al. Development of tuberculosis in cancer patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors. Respir Med 2020;161:105853.
- Chan GH, Gwee YX, Low JL, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for non-small cell lung cancer in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis or Hepatitis B: Experience from a single Asian centre. Lung Cancer 2020;146:145-53.
- 50. Kato Y, Watanabe Y, Yamane Y, et al. Reactivation of TB during administration of durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer: a case report. Immunotherapy 2020;12:373-8.
- 51. Lau KS, Cheung BM, Lam KO, et al. Tuberculosis reactivation at ileum following immune checkpoint inhibition with pembrolizumab for metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case report. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21:1148.
- 52. Suliman AM, Bek SA, Elkhatim MS, et al. Tuberculosis following programmed cell death receptor-1 (PD-1) inhibitor in a patient with non-small cell lung cancer. Case report and literature review. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2021;70:935-44.
- 53. Lin C, Xu G, Gao S, et al. Tuberculosis infection following immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for advanced cancer: a case report and literature review. Front Immunol 2023;14:1162190.
- 54. Fujita K, Yamamoto Y, Kanai O, et al. Development of Mycobacterium avium Complex Lung Disease in Patients With Lung Cancer on Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa067.
- 55. Baba K, Yoshida T, Shiotsuka M, et al. Rapid development of pulmonary Mycobacterium avium infection during

chemoradiotherapy followed by durvalumab treatment in a locally advanced NSCLC patient. Lung Cancer 2021;153:182-3.

- 56. Okamoto M, Kim YH, Ouchi A, et al. Exacerbation of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease in a patient with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer during treatment with PD-1 inhibitor and chemotherapy. Respir Med Case Rep 2021;34:101529.
- 57. Koyama T, Funakoshi Y, Imamura Y, et al. Device-related Mycobacterium mageritense Infection in a Patient Treated with Nivolumab for Metastatic Breast Cancer. Intern Med 2021;60:3485-8.
- Chi CY, Yeh YC, Pan SW. Cavitary Mycobacterium avium Complex Lung Disease Developed After Immunotherapy. Arch Bronconeumol 2022;58:174.
- Omori K, Kitagawa H, Tadera K, et al. Vertebral osteomyelitis caused by Mycobacteroides abscessus subsp. abscessus resulting in spinal cord injury due to vertebral body fractures. J Infect Chemother 2022;28:290-4.
- 60. Yamaba Y, Takakuwa O, Tomita Y, et al. Mycobacterium avium complex lung disease in a patient treated with an immune checkpoint inhibitor: A case report. Mol Clin Oncol 2022;16:37.
- Pang L, Chen Z, Xu D, et al. Case report: Mycobacterium neoaurum infection during ICI therapy in a hepatocellular carcinoma patient with psoriasis. Front Immunol 2022;13:972302.
- 62. Morelli T, Fujita K, Redelman-Sidi G, et al. Infections due to dysregulated immunity: an emerging complication of cancer immunotherapy. Thorax 2022;77:304-11.
- 63. Ogishi M, Yang R, Aytekin C, et al. Inherited PD-1 deficiency underlies tuberculosis and autoimmunity in a child. Nat Med 2021;27:1646-54.
- 64. Chen HW, Kuo YW, Chen CY, et al. Increased Tuberculosis Reactivation Risk in Patients Receiving Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Based Therapy. Oncologist 2024;oyad340.
- 65. Wolf Y, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK. TIM3 comes of age as an inhibitory receptor. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20:173-85.
- Jayaraman P, Jacques MK, Zhu C, et al. TIM3 Mediates T Cell Exhaustion during Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. PLoS Pathog 2016;12:e1005490.
- Kirman J, McCoy K, Hook S, et al. CTLA-4 blockade enhances the immune response induced by mycobacterial infection but does not lead to increased protection. Infect Immun 1999;67:3786-92.
- 68. Hu JF, Zhang W, Zuo W, et al. Inhibition of the PD-1/PD-

Vaddi et al. ICIs and mycobacterial infections

L1 signaling pathway enhances innate immune response of alveolar macrophages to mycobacterium tuberculosis in mice. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2020;60:101842.

- Fujita K, Yamamoto Y, Kanai O, et al. Incidence of Active Tuberculosis in Lung Cancer Patients Receiving Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa126.
- Kim HW, Kim JS, Lee SH. Incidence of tuberculosis in advanced lung cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors - A nationwide population-based cohort study. Lung Cancer 2021;158:107-14.
- Ishii S, Tamiya A, Taniguchi Y, et al. Improvement of Mycobacterium abscessus Pulmonary Disease after Nivolumab Administration in a Patient with Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. Intern Med 2018;57:3625-9.
- Liu L, Song Z, Xun J, et al. PD-1 Inhibitor for Disseminated Mycobacterium avium Infection in a Person With HIV. Open Forum Infect Dis 2023;10:ofac700.
- Zhang CC, Chen P. Anti-programmed death 1 antibody in the treatment of coexistent Mycobacterium fortuitum and lung cancer: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2022;10:3801-7.
- Kim SY, Moon SM, Jhun BW, et al. Species Distribution and Macrolide Susceptibility of Mycobacterium fortuitum Complex Clinical Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019;63:e02331-18.
- 75. Singh A, Mohan A, Dey AB, et al. Inhibiting the programmed death 1 pathway rescues Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific interferon γ-producing T cells from apoptosis in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2013;208:603-15.
- 76. Jiang J, Wang X, An H, et al. Mucosal-associated invariant T-cell function is modulated by programmed death-1 signaling in patients with active tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014;190:329-39.
- 77. Pan SW, Shu CC, Huang JR, et al. PD-L1 Expression in Monocytes Correlates with Bacterial Burden and Treatment Outcomes in Active Pulmonary Tuberculosis. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23:1619.
- 78. Hassan SS, Akram M, King EC, et al. PD-1, PD-L1 and PD-L2 Gene Expression on T-Cells and Natural Killer Cells Declines in Conjunction with a Reduction in PD-1 Protein during the Intensive Phase of Tuberculosis Treatment. PLoS One 2015;10:e0137646.
- Bandaru A, Devalraju KP, Paidipally P, et al. Phosphorylated STAT3 and PD-1 regulate IL-17 production and IL-23 receptor expression in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Eur J Immunol

2014;44:2013-24.

- Shu CC, Wang JY, Wu MF, et al. Attenuation of lymphocyte immune responses during Mycobacterium avium complex-induced lung disease due to increasing expression of programmed death-1 on lymphocytes. Sci Rep 2017;7:42004.
- Lázár-Molnár E, Gácser A, Freeman GJ, et al. The PD-1/PD-L costimulatory pathway critically affects host resistance to the pathogenic fungus Histoplasma capsulatum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:2658-63.
- Brahmamdam P, Inoue S, Unsinger J, et al. Delayed administration of anti-PD-1 antibody reverses immune dysfunction and improves survival during sepsis. J Leukoc Biol 2010;88:233-40.
- Nagabhushanam V, Cheers C. Non-major histocompatibility complex control of antibody isotype and Th1 versus Th2 cytokines during experimental infection of mice with Mycobacterium avium. Infect Immun 2001;69:1708-13.
- Bertolini TB, de Souza AI, Gembre AF, et al. Genetic background affects the expansion of macrophage subsets in the lungs of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected hosts. Immunology 2016;148:102-13.
- 85. Zaemes J, Kim C. Immune checkpoint inhibitor use and tuberculosis: a systematic review of the literature. Eur J Cancer 2020;132:168-75.
- Cheng MP, Abou Chakra CN, Yansouni CP, et al. Risk of Active Tuberculosis in Patients with Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2017;64:635-44.
- Dobler CC, Cheung K, Nguyen J, et al. Risk of tuberculosis in patients with solid cancers and haematological malignancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2017;50:1700157.
- Ménétrier-Caux C, Ray-Coquard I, Blay JY, et al. Lymphopenia in Cancer Patients and its Effects on Response to Immunotherapy: an opportunity for combination with Cytokines? J Immunother Cancer 2019;7:85.
- Jick SS, Lieberman ES, Rahman MU, et al. Glucocorticoid use, other associated factors, and the risk of tuberculosis. Arthritis Rheum 2006;55:19-26.
- 90. Lai CC, Lee MT, Lee SH, et al. Risk of incident active tuberculosis and use of corticosteroids. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2015;19:936-42.
- 91. Lombardi A, Gramegna A, Ori M, et al. Nontuberculous mycobacterial infections during cancer therapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a systematic review. ERJ Open Res 2022;8:00364-2022.

1622

- 92. Gamberale R, Galmarini CM, Fernández-Calotti P, et al. In vitro susceptibility of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell subsets to fludarabine. Biochem Pharmacol 2003;66:2185-91.
- 93. Chan ED. Vulnerability to nontuberculous mycobacterial lung disease or systemic infection due to genetic / heritable disorders. In: Griffith DE, editor. Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Disease: A Comprehensive Approach to Diagnosis and Management. Switzerland: Springer Nature; 2019:89-110.
- 94. Chan ED, Kong PM, Fennelly K, et al. Vertebral osteomyelitis due to infection with nontuberculous Mycobacterium species after blunt trauma to the back: 3 examples of the principle of locus minoris resistentiae. Clin Infect Dis 2001;32:1506-10.
- 95. Tang C, Liao Z, Gomez D, et al. Lymphopenia association with gross tumor volume and lung V5 and its effects on non-small cell lung cancer patient outcomes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;89:1084-91.
- Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1627-39.
- Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Squamous-Cell Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373:123-35.
- 98. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2016;387:1540-50.
- Vinhaes CL, Araujo-Pereira M, Tibúrcio R, et al. Systemic Inflammation Associated with Immune Reconstitution Inflammatory Syndrome in Persons Living with HIV. Life (Basel) 2021;11:65.
- 100. Lapadula G, Soria A, Bandera A, et al. Unmasking tuberculosis in the era of antiretroviral treatment. Eur Respir J 2012;39:1064-75.
- 101.Antonelli LR, Mahnke Y, Hodge JN, et al. Elevated frequencies of highly activated CD4+ T cells in HIV+ patients developing immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. Blood 2010;116:3818-27.
- 102. Sansonetti P, Lagrange PH. The immunology of leprosy: speculations on the leprosy spectrum. Rev Infect Dis 1981;3:422-69.
- 103. Liu S, Huo F, Dai G, et al. Case Report: Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for severe combined immunodeficiency. Front Immunol 2022;13:960749.
- 104.Lombardi A, Gramegna A, Gruarin P, et al. Comments on "PD-1 Inhibitor for Disseminated Mycobacterium avium

Infection in a Person Living With HIV". Open Forum Infect Dis 2023;10:ofad083.

- 105. Sable SB. Programmed death 1 lives up to its reputation in active tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2013;208:541-3.
- 106.Han Y, Liu D, Li L. PD-1/PD-L1 pathway: current researches in cancer. Am J Cancer Res 2020;10:727-42.
- 107.Imai Y, Chiba T, Kondo T, et al. Interferon-γ induced PD-L1 expression and soluble PD-L1 production in gastric cancer. Oncol Lett 2020;20:2161-8.
- 108. Tezera LB, Mansour S, Elkington P. Reconsidering the Optimal Immune Response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;201:407-13.
- 109. Wu UI, Holland SM. Host susceptibility to nontuberculous mycobacterial infections. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15:968-80.
- 110. Honda JR, Alper S, Bai X, et al. Acquired and genetic host susceptibility factors and microbial pathogenic factors that predispose to nontuberculous mycobacterial infections. Curr Opin Immunol 2018;54:66-73.
- 111.Kerner G, Rosain J, Guérin A, et al. Inherited human IFN-γ deficiency underlies mycobacterial disease. J Clin Invest 2020;130:3158-71.
- 112. Lombardi A, Villa S, Castelli V, et al. T-Cell Exhaustion in Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Nontuberculous Mycobacteria Infection: Pathophysiology and Therapeutic Perspectives. Microorganisms 2021;9:2460.
- 113. Sakai S, Kauffman KD, Sallin MA, et al. CD4 T Cell-Derived IFN-γ Plays a Minimal Role in Control of Pulmonary Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection and Must Be Actively Repressed by PD-1 to Prevent Lethal Disease. PLoS Pathog 2016;12:e1005667.
- 114. Toossi Z. The inflammatory response in Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz) 2000;48:513-9.
- 115.Roca FJ, Ramakrishnan L. TNF dually mediates resistance and susceptibility to mycobacteria via mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. Cell 2013;153:521-34.
- 116. Ahmed M, Tezera LB, Elkington PT, et al. The paradox of immune checkpoint inhibition re-activating tuberculosis. Eur Respir J 2022;60:2102512.
- 117. Vila-del Sol V, Punzón C, Fresno M. IFN-gammainduced TNF-alpha expression is regulated by interferon regulatory factors 1 and 8 in mouse macrophages. J Immunol 2008;181:4461-70.
- 118. Tobin DM, Vary JC Jr, Ray JP, et al. The lta4h locus modulates susceptibility to mycobacterial infection in zebrafish and humans. Cell 2010;140:717-30.
- 119. Tobin DM, Roca FJ, Oh SF, et al. Host genotype-specific

Vaddi et al. ICIs and mycobacterial infections

therapies can optimize the inflammatory response to mycobacterial infections. Cell 2012;148:434-46.

- 120. Prasad K, Singh MB, Ryan H. Corticosteroids for managing tuberculous meningitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;4:CD002244.
- 121. Thuong NTT, Heemskerk D, Tram TTB, et al. Leukotriene A4 Hydrolase Genotype and HIV Infection Influence Intracerebral Inflammation and Survival From Tuberculous Meningitis. J Infect Dis 2017;215:1020-8.
- 122.van Laarhoven A, Dian S, Ruesen C, et al. Clinical

Cite this article as: Vaddi A, Hulsebus HJ, O'Neill EL, Knight V, Chan ED. A narrative review of the controversy on the risk of mycobacterial infections with immune checkpoint inhibitor use: does Goldilocks have the answer? J Thorac Dis 2024;16(2):1601-1624. doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-1395

Parameters, Routine Inflammatory Markers, and LTA4H Genotype as Predictors of Mortality Among 608 Patients With Tuberculous Meningitis in Indonesia. J Infect Dis 2017;215:1029-39.

- 123.Fava VM, Schurr E. Evaluating the Impact of LTA4H Genotype and Immune Status on Survival From Tuberculous Meningitis. J Infect Dis 2017;215:1011-3.
- 124. Cadena AM, Fortune SM, Flynn JL. Heterogeneity in tuberculosis. Nat Rev Immunol 2017;17:691-702.

1624