Commentary

Commentary: Combined cataract extraction with a new nonvalved glaucoma drainage device in adult eyes with cataract and refractory glaucoma

Dr. Rao and Dr. Pathak-Ray have provided a comprehensive review and insight into the first indigenously designed Aurolab aqueous drainage implant (AADI) valve and its use in patients with recalcitrant glaucoma with concurrent cataract. ^[1] The AADI is based on the Baerveld prototype except that it is not impregnated with Barium (which was done to keep the object radiographically identifiable).

It is good to have a low-cost, made-in-India valve that is easily accessible to glaucoma surgeons. The tube versus trabeculectomy study indeed put tubes ahead of the trabeculectomy. ^[2] A study by Wilson on a population similar to ours, however, found them to be equally efficacious. ^[3] Hence, at the current level of understanding, and in a country like ours, the use of tubes should be encouraged in situations where trabeculectomy may not be a primary option.

Fibrin glue as used in this study may add to the expenses. Instead of using a corneal button or preserved sclera, a carefully dissected lamellar scleral pocket can safely cover the tube. [4] A very interesting finding in this study is the rarity of choroidal detachment and hypotony which generally accounts to 6%-25% of complications in other study that we have reviewed. [5,6] The reason for this is difficult to understand, but it could be related to extremely good vents such that the intraocular pressure never really was too high, thus the sudden lowering did not happen. This would be really important, especially in the combined cases as a shallow anterior chamber would not only push the valve forward but the intraocular lens could also touch the endothelium. An important point to remember is that unless an excellent venting is done, the intraocular pressure may remain high initially for about 6 weeks, which could further spike by steroid use post cataract surgery. Hence, if the glaucoma is advanced, one may choose this device with extreme caution.

Harsh Kumar

Department of Glaucoma, Centre for Sight, Safadarjung Enclave, New Delhi, India Correspondence to: Dr. Harsh Kumar, Department of Glaucoma, Centre for Sight, Safadarjung Enclave, New Delhi - 110029, India. E-mail: drharshps@gmail.com

References

- Rao DP, Pathak-Ray V. Combined cataract extraction with a new nonvalved glaucoma drainage device in adult eyes with cataract and refractory glaucoma. Indian J Ophthalmol 2018;66:1278-83.
- Gedde SJ, Schiffman JC, Feuer WJ, Herndon LW, Brandt JD, Budenz DL, et al. Treatment outcomes in the tube versus trabeculectomy (TVT) study after five years of follow-up. Am J Ophthalmol 2012;153:789-80300.
- 3. Wilson MR, Mendis U, Paliwal A, Haynatzka V. Long-term follow-up of primary glaucoma surgery with Ahmed glaucoma valve implant versus trabeculectomy. Am J Ophthalmol 2003;136:464-70.
- Archana S, Premanand C, Raman GV. Aurolab aqueous drainage implant: My surgical technique. Kerala J Ophthalmol 2017;29:41-5.
- Hoffman KB, Feldman RM, Budenz DL, Gedde SJ, Chacra GA, Schiffman JC, et al. Combined cataract extraction and baerveldt glaucoma drainage implant: Indications and outcomes. Ophthalmology 2002;109:1916-20.
- Chung AN, Aung T, Wang JC, Chew PT. Surgical outcomes of combined phacoemulsification and glaucoma drainage implant surgery for Asian patients with refractory glaucoma with cataract. Am J Ophthalmol 2004;137:294-300.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website:
国家20043国	www.ijo.in
	DOI: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_1347_18

Cite this article as: Kumar H. Commentary: Combined cataract extraction with a new nonvalved glaucoma drainage device in adult eyes with cataract and refractory glaucoma. Indian J Ophthalmol 2018;66:1284.