






Research Article

Synthesis of Novel Selenocyanates and Evaluation of Their Effect in Cultured Mouse Neurons Submitted to Oxidative Stress

Tiago E. A. Frizon ¹, José H. Cararo,² Sumbal Saba ³, Gustavo C. Dal-Pont,² Monique Michels,⁴ Hugo C. Braga,⁵ Tairine Pimentel,⁶ Felipe Dal-Pizzol ⁴, Samira S. Valvassori ² and Jamal Rafique ⁶

¹Department of Energy and Sustainability, Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Araranguá, 88906-072 SC, Brazil

²Translational Psychiatry Laboratory, Graduate Program in Health Sciences, University of Southern Santa Catarina (UNESC), Criciúma, 88806-000 SC, Brazil

³Center for Natural and Human Sciences-CCNH, Federal University of ABC (UFABC), Santo André, 09210-580 SP, Brazil

⁴Laboratory of Experimental Pathophysiology, Graduate Program in Health Sciences, Health Sciences Unit, University of Southern Santa Catarina (UNESC), Criciúma. 88806-000 SC, Brazil

⁵Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP), São José dos Campos, 12231-280 SP, Brazil

⁶Institute of Chemistry, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS), Campo Grande, 79074-460 MS, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Tiago E. A. Frizon; tiago.frizon@ufsc.br, Sumbal Saba; sumbal6s@gmail.com, Samira S. Valvassori; samiravalvassori@unesc.net, and Jamal Rafique; jamal.chm@gmail.com

Received 12 February 2020; Revised 1 May 2020; Accepted 5 May 2020

Academic Editor: José P. Andrade

Copyright © 2020 Tiago E. A. Frizon et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Herein, we report the synthesis of novel selenocyanates and assessment of their effect on the oxidative challenge elicited by hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in cultured mouse neurons. First, α -methylene- β -hydroxy esters were prepared as precursors of allylic bromides. A reaction involving the generated bromides and sodium selenocyanate was conducted to produce the desired selenocyanates (3a-f). We next prepared cultures of neurons from 7-day-old mice ($n = 36$). H₂O₂ (10⁻⁵ M) was added into the culture flasks as an oxidative stress inducer, alone or combined with one of each designed compounds. (PhSe)₂ was used as a positive control. It was carried out assessment of lipid (thiobarbituric acid reactive species, 4-hydroxy-2'-nonenal, 8-isoprostane), DNA (8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine), and protein (carbonyl) modification parameters. Finally, catalase and superoxide dismutase activities were also evaluated. Among the compounds, 3b, 3d, and 3f exhibited the most pronounced pattern of antioxidant activity, similar to (PhSe)₂. These novel aromatic selenocyanates could be promising to be tried in most sophisticated *in vitro* studies or even at the preclinical level.

1. Introduction

Selenium (Se) is a trace element regarded essential for humans and other mammals [1]. The main biological role of this metalloid is related to its incorporation into selenoproteins, most of which participate in redox homeostasis (particularly glutathione peroxidase [GPx, EC 1.11.1.9] and thioredoxin reductase [EC 1.8.1.9]), metabolism of thyroid hormones, biosynthesis of other Se-containing proteins [2], and in the spermatogenesis [3]. In addition, adequate supple-

mentation with Se contributes to the detoxification of heavy metals, including mercury and related compounds [4]. At least in part, this effect is ascribable to the marked antioxidant property of Se and its organic analogues [5].

In this regard, a number of papers have pointed out the synthetic versatility of compounds termed organochalcogens [6–13]. Selenium-containing organochalcogenides seem to be of great therapeutic relevance, mostly due to the ability of these compounds to mimic natural substances with antioxidant, antitumor, antimicrobial, and antiviral activities

[14–20]. One of the most known drugs of such class is called Ebselen (Figure 1), which presents GPx-like activity and has been searched for therapy of several human disorders [21]. In relation with the current pandemic of coronavirus (COVID-19), a very interesting study just appeared in the literature where the organoselenium compound, Ebselen, presented the strongest antiviral effect at a concentration of $10\ \mu\text{M}$ treatment in COVID-19 virus-infected Vero cells [22]. Diphenyl diselenide ((PhSe)₂) is another organoselenium compound with GPx-like activity (Figure 1) [23].

By considering the biological roles of these compounds, the development of new and efficient routes for the synthesis of organoselenides is a tempting research area [12, 24, 25]. Therefore, as an additional step of the ongoing efforts aiming at the design of novel organoselenides and medicinal chemistry [26–28], the present study reports the synthesis of novel aromatic selenocyanates, comprising a second generation of chalcogenide esters. Furthermore, assessment of the effect of these compounds on the oxidative challenge elicited by hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in mixed cultures of mouse neurons was undertaken, in order to provide insightful cues on their biological activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allylic Bromide 2. 5.0 mmol of LiBr was added to a stirred solution of 2.5 mmol of α -methylene- β -hydroxy esters (Morita-Baylis-Hillman adduct) 1 in 10.0 mL of acetonitrile at 0–5°C, followed by the addition of 6.3 mmol of 96% H₂SO₄. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was allowed to attend the room temperature and the stirring was continued until the complete consumption of 1 (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was diluted by CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and the organic phase was successively extracted with H₂O, saturated NaHCO₃, brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The organic phase was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) using flash silica gel, resulting in the corresponding allylic bromides 2a–f. (Figures S13–S18, FTIR for compound 2a–f in Supplementary Materials, pg 11–13).

2.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allylic Selenocyanates 3. To a stirred solution of allylic bromide 2a–f (1.0 mmol) in 4.0 mL of acetone/H₂O (4:1 v/v) at 25°C was added 1.25 mmol of KSeCN. After stirring for 10 h, the final mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with H₂O and brine. The organic extract was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) to give the corresponding compounds 3a–f.

2.3. Methyl (Z)-3-phenyl-2-(selenocyanatomethyl)acrylate (3a). Yield 96%; m.p. 72–73°C. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 305, 3003, 2956, 2935, 2849, 2141, 1693, 1619, 1435, 1346, 1255, 1077, 754, 482. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.59–7.36 (m, 5H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.8, 143.0, 133.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.8, 126.9, 102.4, 52.5, 25.5. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₁NO₂Se: C,




FIGURE 1: Structure of Ebselen and diphenyl diselenide.

51.44; H, 3.96; Br, 22.25; N, 5.00; O, 11.42; Se, 28.18. Found: C, 50.53; H, 3.70. (Figure S1, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S7, FTIR for compound 3a in Supplementary Materials, pg 2 and 8, respectively).

2.4. Methyl (Z)-3-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(selenocyanatomethyl)acrylate (3b). Yield 86%; m.p. 72–74°C: $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3062, 2952, 2840, 2156, 1710, 1625, 1487, 1435, 1273, 1198, 1154, 1068, 1008, 808, 503. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J*=8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.8, 141.9, 132.7, 132.4, 130.8, 127.8, 124.2, 102.4, 52.9, 25.4. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀BrNO₂Se: C, 40.14; H, 2.81; Br, 22.25; N, 3.90; O, 8.91; Se, 21.99. Found: C, 40.13; H, 2.80. (Figure S2, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S8, FTIR for compound 3b in Supplementary Materials, pg 3 and 8, respectively).

2.5. Methyl (Z)-3-(2-bromophenyl)-2-(selenocyanatomethyl)acrylate (3c). Yield 98%; white solid, m.p. 71.5–73.0°C. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3032, 2953, 2926, 2850, 2150, 1678, 1438, 1363, 1295, 1090, 758, 586. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.66 (dd, *J*=8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.7, 142.1, 134.5, 133.2, 130.9, 130.0, 129.1, 127.8, 123.9, 102.7, 52.9, 25.5. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀BrNO₂Se: C, 40.14; H, 2.81; Br, 22.25; N, 3.90; O, 8.91; Se, 21.99. Found: C, 40.12; H, 2.78. (Figure S3, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S9, FTIR for compound 3c in Supplementary Materials, pg 4 and 9, respectively).

2.6. Methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(selenocyanatomethyl)acrylate (3d). Yield 94%; white solid, m.p. 73–75°C. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3088, 2952, 2854, 2149, 1716, 1583, 1453, 1287, 1197, 1082, 793. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.8, 141.8, 135.8, 132.2, 130.6, 129.3, 127.7, 102.4, 52.8, 25.4. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀ClNO₂Se: C, 45.81; H, 3.20; Cl, 11.27; N, 4.45; O, 10.17; Se, 25.10. Found: C, 45.80; H, 3.18. (Figure S4, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S10, FTIR for compound 3d in Supplementary Materials, pg 5 and 9, respectively).

2.7. Methyl (Z)-3-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-2-(selenocyanatomethyl)acrylate (3e). Yield 89%; white solid, m.p. 75.0–78.0°C. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3088, 2952, 2854, 2149, 1716, 1583, 1435, 1287, 1167, 1082, 763. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J*=0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.37 (m, 2H), 3.98 (s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.5, 138.8, 136.3, 135.0, 131.1, 130.8, 130.1, 130.0, 127.7, 102.6, 53.3, 25.4. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₉Cl₂NO₂Se: C, 41.29; H,

2.60; Cl, 20.31; N, 4.01; O, 9.17; Se, 22.62. Found: C, 41.31; H, 2.58. (Figure S5, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S11, FTIR for compound 3e in Supplementary Materials, pg 6 and 10, respectively).

2.8. Methyl (Z)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-(selenocyanatomethyl) acrylate (3f). Yield 95%; yellow solid, m.p. 83–84°C. IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3106, 2955, 2846, 2150, 1721, 1599, 1516, 1429, 1342, 1272, 1202, 1158, 854, 769. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.32 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.2, 147.9, 140.3, 140.1, 130.5, 130.0, 124.1, 102.1, 53.0, 24.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀N₂O₄Se: C, 44.32; H, 3.10; N, 8.61; O, 19.68; Se, 24.28. Found: C, 44.29; H, 3.11. (Figure S6, ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra and Figure S12, FTIR for compound 3f in Supplementary Materials, pg 7 and 10, respectively).

2.9. Animals. Thirty-six, 7-day-old mice (*Mus musculus*; Balb/C strain) were obtained from the Central Animal House of the University of Southern Santa Catarina (UNESC). Animals received *ad libitum* water and chow and were kept in a colony room with $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ temperature and a 12 hours light/dark cycle. Experimental groups were as follows: positive control (culture medium and sample); negative control or stress (culture medium, sample, and H₂O₂); and a group for each tested 3a–f compounds (culture medium, sample, H₂O₂, and the corresponding compound—seven groups); it was used four animals per group. Mice were killed by decapitation without anesthesia, the skull was opened, and the total brain was excised and cleaned. All experimental procedures were performed with approval by the UNESC's Ethical Committee (protocol # 012/2016-1).

2.10. Cell Culture and Hydrogen Peroxide Challenge. Immediately after euthanasia of the animals, the brain was placed in a chamber with constant air flux and ultraviolet illumination for incubation. Cells from both cerebral hemispheres were dissociated in phosphate-buffered saline (0.9%) and plated at a density of 10^5 cells/cm² in 75 cm² culture flasks with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 20% antibiotics. H₂O₂ (10^{-5} M) was added soon after as an oxidative stress inducer according to the reference [29]. Each 3a–f compound was also added to the medium, aiming to reach a 10 μM concentration, based on the study carried out by Posser and coworkers [23]. (PhSe)₂, which presents GPx-like activity, was used as a positive control [23]. The plate was kept in a carbon dioxide (CO₂)-incubator during 24 hours. Thereafter, samples were stored at -80°C for the subsequent analyses.

2.11. Assessment of Lipid Peroxidation. Quantification of thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS) was carried out on the basis of malondialdehyde (MDA) content through the reference [30]. Briefly, samples (200 μL aliquot) were mixed with 1 mL 10% trichloroacetic acid and 1 mL 0.67% thiobarbituric acid and heated in boiling water during 30 minutes. MDA equivalents absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 532$ nm, using 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane as standard. Data were expressed as MDA equivalents (nmol/mg protein).

4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE) content was determined using the assay kit from Cell Biolabs (Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, California, USA). 8-isoprostane (8-ISO) level was measured using the ACE™ Competitive EIAs Kit (Cayman) with 8-isoprostane-acetylcholinesterase (EC 3.1.1.7) conjugate as a tracer and 8-isoprostane-specific rabbit antiserum. Adducts of 4-HNE with lysine, histidine, or cysteine residues in proteins were quantified according to the immunoassay described by Kimura and coworkers [31].

2.12. DNA and Protein Modification Parameters. Nuclear DNA was isolated from the cells using the PureGenome™ On-Spot Tissue DNA Kit (EMD Millipore, Burlington, Massachusetts, USA). DNA content in the extracts was measured by using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Levels of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), a compound generated by oxidation of 2'-deoxyguanosine residues, were determined using the OxiSelect™ Oxidative DNA Damage ELISA Kit (Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, USA).

Protein oxidative damage was estimated on the basis of carbonyl content determination according to the method described by Levine and coworkers [32]. Protein precipitation was conducted by the addition of 20% trichloroacetic acid to the samples (400 μL aliquot), which were then dissolved in a diphenylhydrazine (DNPH) solution. Data were expressed as nmol/mg protein. Sample absorbance was read at $\lambda = 370$ nm.

2.13. Catalase Activity. Catalase (CAT; EC 1.11.1.6) activity was assessed on the basis of *in vitro* H₂O₂ decomposition according to the standardized method [33]. Brain tissue was sonicated in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and the resulting suspension was submitted to centrifugation (3,000 g for 10 minutes). A 20 μL sample aliquot was added to 980 μL substrate mixture, which contained 0.3 mL H₂O₂ in 50 mL 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). Initial and final absorbance values were recorded at $\lambda = 240$ nm after 1 and 6 minutes, respectively. A standard curve was established using purified CAT (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States) at the same experimental conditions of the samples.

2.14. Superoxide Dismutase Activity. Measurement of superoxide dismutase (SOD; EC 1.15.1.1) activity was performed based on its ability to spontaneously inhibit oxidation of adrenaline to adrenochrome [34]. Sodium carbonate buffer (2.78 mL; 0.05 mM; pH 10.2), 100 μL EDTA (1.0 mM), and 20 μL supernatant or sucrose solution (blank) were incubated at 30°C for 45 minutes. Thereafter, the reaction was initiated by adding 100 μL adrenaline solution (9.0 mM). The change in absorbance was recorded at $\lambda = 480$ nm for 8 minutes. Temperature was maintained at 30°C throughout the assay procedure. One unit of SOD produced 50% of adrenaline auto-oxidation. Data were expressed as units/mg protein.

2.15. Determination of Protein Content in the Samples. Biochemical analyses were related to the protein content in the samples, for normalization. A 10 μL aliquot of each sample was used in this procedure. Measurements were carried out

according to the Peterson's method [35]. Bovine serum albumin was used as standard.

2.16. Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). Differences between groups were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. The software used for the comparisons was the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). Differences were rated as statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.













3. Results

3.1. Synthesis of the Selenocyanates (3). α -Methylene- β -hydroxy esters for organic synthesis were readily prepared by the Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction. Such compounds are building blocks for the synthesis of several substances, by converting their hydroxyl group into acetates, bromides, and thiocyanates, acting like acceptors in many useful synthetic approaches. Thus, allylic bromides (2) were obtained according to the method described by Ferreira and coworkers [36]. In this procedure, lithium bromide (LiBr) and H_2SO_4 were added to a previously stirred solution of Baylis-Hillman adducts (i.e., α -methylene- β -hydroxy esters, 1) in acetonitrile at 0-5°C (Table 1). The reaction mixture stirred until the consumption of the starting material. After the completion of the reaction, dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2) was added and washed with water, saturated with sodium bicarbonate ($NaHCO_3$) and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate ($MgSO_4$), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography to yield the corresponding 2-bromomethyl-2-alkenoates 2.

In the next step, a reaction was conducted involving the generated 2 and potassium selenocyanate (KSeCN) to produce the desired allylic selenocyanates [37]. Briefly, the process occurs by nucleophilic displacement of bromide with KSeCN in acetone, without the use of an external base. More specifically, aromatic-substituted allylic selenocyanates (3) could be obtained by mixing the corresponding bromides (2) with 1.25 molar equiv. of KSeCN in acetone at 25°C in 10 min. The corresponding products (3) were then isolated in high yields after purification in a short plug of silica gel using acetone as eluent (Table 1). Assignment of the organoselenium structures (3a-f) was based on the characteristic signals for the selenocyanate (SeCN) functional group at infrared (IR; sharp band at 2140–2157 cm^{-1}) and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (^{13}C NMR, 102 ppm) spectra of all purified products (Supplementary Materials).

3.2. Effect of Different Selenocyanates (3) towards Lipid Peroxidation Elicited by H_2O_2 . Initially, it was performed measurement of TBARS level in the samples (Figure 2(a)). As expected, TBARS content was significantly increased in the cell cultures only receiving H_2O_2 (stress group), as compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$). In addition, it was detected increased MDA levels in the cells subjected to the oxidative challenge and receiving Compound 3a as well, in comparison to the control group ($p = 0.000026$). In contrast,

TABLE 1: Synthesis of organoselenium compounds (3a-f) from allylic bromides (2a-f).

Entry	R	Yield (%) ^[a] of 2	Yield (%) ^[a] of 3
1	C_6H_5	 2a, 85%	 3a, 96%
2	4-Br- C_6H_4	 2b, 89%	 3b, 86%
3	2-Br- C_6H_4	 2c, 76%	 3c, 98%
4	4-Cl- C_6H_4	 2d, 80%	 3d, 94%
5	2,4-(Cl) ₂ - C_6H_3	 2e, 88%	 3e, 89%
6	4-NO ₂ - C_6H_4	 2f, 87%	 3f, 95%

^[a]Isolated yields.

addition of Compound 3b, Compound 3c, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, Compound 3f, or $(PhSe)_2$ to the medium significantly reduced TBARS levels in cells exposed to H_2O_2 , as compared to the cultures from stress group ($p < 0.05$).

The next step in the assessment of lipid peroxidation of the samples was the determination of the 4-HNE content (Figure 2(b)). Significant increases in this parameter were detected in cell cultures exposed to H_2O_2 alone or in combination with Compound 3a or Compound 3c, as compared to control cells (H_2O_2 : $p = 0.01002$; Compound 3a: $p = 0.0053$; Compound 3c: $p = 0.00103$). However, 4-HNE levels in cells exposed to H_2O_2 whose medium received Compound 3b, Compound 3d, and Compound 3f or $(PhSe)_2$ exhibited a trend to decrease in comparison to cells from stress group (Compound 3b: $p = 0.22$; Compound 3d: $p = 0.74$; Compound 3e: $p = 0.99$; Compound 3f: $p = 0.16$; $(PhSe)_2$: $p = 0.61$).

Finally, 8-ISO content was measured to provide further insight on the lipid peroxidation elicited by H_2O_2




FIGURE 2: Thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS, a), 4-hydroxy-2'-nonenal (4-HNE, b) and 8-isoprostane (8-ISO, c) levels in cultures of neurons obtained from 7-day-old-mice exposed to hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) alone or in the presence of organoselenium compounds (diphenyl diselenide ((PhSe)₂) or one of each tested selenocyanates (3a–f)). The concentration of each organoselenium compound in the medium was 10 μ M. Data were expressed as malondialdehyde equivalents nmol per milligram protein (MDA equivalents nmol/mg protein—TBARS), micrograms per milligram protein (μ g/mg protein – 4-HNE) and picograms per milligram protein (pg/mg protein – 8-ISO). $n = 4$ animals per group. * $p < 0.05$, as compared to the control group; # $p < 0.05$, as compared to the stress group. (Tukey's *post hoc* test).

(Figure 2(c)). Significantly increased levels of such marker were detected in cells exposed to H_2O_2 alone or combined with Compound 3a, Compound 3c, or Compound 3e, as compared to control cells (H_2O_2 : $p = 0.000004$; Compound 3a: $p = 0.000008$; Compound 3c: $p = 0.00019$; Compound 3e: $p = 0.014$). In contrast, addition of Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂ into the medium of cells exposed to H_2O_2 produced a decrease in the 8-ISO content, as compared to cells submitted to the oxidative challenge in a selenocyanate free medium (Compound 3b: $p = 0.000004$; Compound 3d: $p = 0.000007$; Compound 3f: $p = 0.00016$; (PhSe)₂: $p = 0.000051$).

3.3. Effect of Different Selenocyanates on the H_2O_2 -Induced Oxidative Damage against DNA and Proteins. In the present contribution, DNA damage was estimated on the basis of 2'-deoxyguanosine residue oxidation into 8-OHdG. There was an increase in the levels of this by-product in cells receiving H_2O_2 alone or combined with Compound 3a or Compound 3c, in comparison to control cells (H_2O_2 : $p < 0.05$; Compound 3a: $p < 0.05$; Compound 3c: $p < 0.05$). Nevertheless, reduced 8-OHdG content was detected in the cells submitted to the oxidative challenge but receiving one of each remaining selenocyanates in their medium, as compared to cell cultures from stress group (Compound 3b: $p < 0.05$; Compound 3d: $p = 0.00044$; Compound 3e: $p < 0.05$; Compound 3f: $p = 0.000001$; (PhSe)₂: $p = 0.00025$). Figure 3(a) depicts data obtained with the determination of 8-OHdG levels in the cell cultures.

Additionally, increased carbonyl content—an important parameter correlated with protein damage, was found in cells receiving H_2O_2 alone or in combination with Compound 3a or Compound 3c, in comparison to control cells (H_2O_2 : $p = 0.000022$; Compound 3a: $p = 0.0018$; Compound 3c: $p = 0.00012$). In contrast, the addition of Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂ to the cell medium exposed to H_2O_2 significantly mitigated increased carbonyl levels, as compared to the cell culture

submitted to oxidative challenge with no addition of selenocyanate (Compound 3b: $p = 0.000004$; Compound 3d: $p = 0.0021$; Compound 3e: $p = 0.00027$; Compound 3f: $p = 0.00028$; (PhSe)₂: $p = 0.00047$). Figure 3(b) shows the findings obtained with the determination of carbonyl content in the cultures.

3.4. Effect of the Synthesized Compounds on Activity of Antioxidant Enzymes. Figure 4 depicts the effect of selenocyanates (3) on the CAT activity. Oxidative challenge elicited by H_2O_2 produced a significant increase in this parameter ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4(a)). In comparison to neurons only receiving H_2O_2 , decreased CAT activity was detected in all cultures in which there was an addition of any organoselenium compound in particular (Figure 4(a); $p < 0.05$). In contrast, no significant differences in SOD activity were found between control cultures and neurons receiving H_2O_2 (Figure 4(b); $p = 1.00$). Interestingly, the addition of Compound 3a, Compound 3b, Compound 3c, Compound 3d, or (PhSe)₂ elicited upregulation of SOD activity, as compared to the control or H_2O_2 cultures (Figure 4(b); $p < 0.05$). A similar effect was not observed when Compound 3e or Compound 3f was added into the medium (Figure 4(b); $p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Administration of compounds bearing the selenocyanate functional group in their structure was significantly associated to inhibition of lipid peroxidation and enhancement of antioxidant enzyme defences in the liver of mice receiving cadmium [38]. In addition, antioxidant activity was implicated in at least part of the therapeutic properties of organoselenium compounds [39, 40]. This effect was further showed in studies performed with cell culture [41–43] and animal species such as rats [44, 45], fishes [46], *Drosophila melanogaster* [47], and *Caenorhabditis elegans* [48].




FIGURE 3: 8-Hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG, a) and protein carbonyl (b) levels in cultures of neurons obtained from 7-day-old mice exposed to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) alone or in the presence of organoselenium compounds (diphenyl diselenide ((PhSe)₂) or one of each tested selenocyanates (3a–f)). The concentration of each organoselenium compound in the medium was 10 μM. Data were expressed as nanograms per milligram protein (ng/mg protein) and nanomoles per milligram protein (nmol/mg protein). *n* = 4 animals per group. **p* < 0.05, as compared to the control group; #*p* < 0.05, as compared to the stress group. (Tukey's *post hoc* test).




FIGURE 4: Catalase (a) and superoxide dismutase (b) activities in cultures of neurons obtained from 7-day-old mice exposed to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) alone or in the presence of organoselenium compounds (diphenyl diselenide ((PhSe)₂) or one of each tested selenocyanates (3a–f)). The concentration of each organoselenium compound in the medium was 10 μM. Data were expressed as enzyme units per milligram protein (U/mg protein). *n* = 4 animals per group. **p* < 0.05, as compared to the control group; #*p* < 0.05, as compared to the stress group. (Tukey's *post hoc* test).

The present study reported that cells submitted to oxidative challenge with H₂O₂ in culture medium containing Compound 3b, Compound 3c, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, Compound 3f, or the control compound (PhSe)₂ exhibited lower TBARS levels than cells in similar conditions but without addition of selenocyanates; Compound 3a was the only that failed to prevent this oxidative modification elicited by H₂O₂. Furthermore, all compounds failed to prevent an increase in the 4-HNE levels elicited by H₂O₂. However, a trend to decrease in such parameter was observed in cells subjected to oxidative challenge in the presence of Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂. With respect to 8-ISO content, Compound 3a, Compound 3c, or Compound 3e was not effective in normalization of the aberrant marker levels detected in cells into a pro-oxidant culture

environment. Presence of Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂ in the medium collaborated to lowering 8-ISO levels in cells at oxidative challenge. In addition, increased 8-OHdG levels were detected in cells exposed to H₂O₂ alone or combined with Compound 3a or Compound 3c, whereas decreased content of this marker was found in cells receiving Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂. Similarly, it was showed higher carbonyl content in cells receiving H₂O₂ alone or combined with Compound 3a or Compound 3c; in contrast, presence of Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, Compound 3f, or (PhSe)₂ in the medium triggered a significant decrease in this parameter. All the synthesized compounds were implicated in significant attenuation of CAT activity, whereas four compounds (Compounds 3a–d) and (PhSe)₂

produced upregulation of SOD activity when added to the cultured neurons exposed to H_2O_2 .

Thus, Compound 3b, Compound 3d, and Compound 3f exhibited the most favorable antioxidant profile, potentially with higher scavenging activity towards reactive oxygen species. Since $(PhSe)_2$ presents GPx-like antioxidant activity and so was used in the present study as reference [23], the three aforementioned compounds could in part act mimicking this enzyme activity. On the other hand, Compound 3a and Compound 3c were the substances with the worst performance. Compound 3e, in turn, showed a moderate antioxidant profile, due to the fact of this compound did not counteract alterations in the levels of 4-HNE and 8-ISO. Regarding R groups attached to the aromatic ring bound to a five-carbon branch bearing a selenocyanate group, nitro or bromine functional group at *para* orientation and chlorine group at *ortho* orientation could be pivotal to the antioxidant profile of the molecules designed with such R groups. Accordingly, compounds with bromine group at *ortho* orientation or chlorine group at *para* orientation showed weaker antioxidant activity, which substantiates the importance of R group position on the activity of each selenocyanate. Indeed, minimal structural alterations in selenocyanates may strictly influence their antioxidant activity, as shown in the paper performed by Ibrahim and coworkers [40]. The precise mechanisms underpinning marked differences in the antioxidant profile of compounds with so similar structure are unknown, but they may be partly driven by the experimental observation indicating that bromine is a weaker functional group to withdraw electrons, as compared to chlorine or nitro groups, keeping a higher electron density at the selenocyanate group [49]. Although $(PhSe)_2$ is not a selenocyanate, its antioxidant activity may be related to the phenylselenyl group, which was recently described by Vogt and colleagues [50] as pivotal for the antioxidant roles of 7-chloro-4-phenylselenyl-quinoline.

Bis(2-hydroxyphenyl) diselenide and other diphenyl diselenides possess remarkable radical trapping activity, preventing an increase in the contents of protein carbonyls and lipid hydroperoxides elicited by oxidative imbalances [51]. The reference compound, $(PhSe)_2$, has been tested to manage several pathological conditions characterized by oxidative disturbances. To illustrate, the antiviral property of the compound was associated to its antioxidant action in mice infected with type 2 *Herpes simplex* virus in the paper performed by Sartori and coworkers [52]; this antioxidant activity was characterized by a decrease in MDA content and alleviation of CAT and SOD inhibition—parameters assessed in the present study. Furthermore, $(PhSe)_2$ was showed to counteract reductions in the content of total thiol and activity of antioxidant enzymes as well the release of reactive oxygen species and TBARS elicited by acute intoxication with manganese in *Drosophila melanogaster* [47]. The compound was also effective to mitigate protein and lipid oxidative modifications and collaborated to normalize CAT and SOD activities in the colon of rats submitted to dextran sulfate-induced colitis [53]. Moreover, $(PhSe)_2$ markedly decreased levels of reactive oxygen species and alleviated inflammation in the spleen of rodents chronically infected with *Toxoplasma gondii* [54]. Therefore, our findings on

the effect of $(PhSe)_2$ corroborate its previously reported antioxidant activity.

Since marked antioxidant effect was assigned to Compound 3b, Compound 3d, and Compound 3f and the fact of antioxidant activity was showed to contribute to the therapeutic properties of organoselenium compounds [40], it is expected that these novel compounds could be promising in experimental studies performed in pathological scenarios in which oxidative stress is strongly implicated. We cannot ascertain the precise mechanisms involved in this antioxidant effect. Possible ways may include the direct trapping (and eventual decomposition) of the reactive oxygen species in the cell medium, mimicking the activity of antioxidant enzymes, as described for $(PhSe)_2$ and Ebselen. On the other hand, compounds can act indirectly, triggering signaling cascades aiming to abrogate oxidative stress. Indeed, several antioxidants strongly activate a signaling molecule involved in response to oxidative stress and cell survival termed nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) [55]. For example, supplementation with the antioxidant *p,p'*-methyl-diphenyl diselenide was implicated in Nrf2 activation in frontal cortex of rats submitted to experimental pain-depression dyad [44]. 3-Selena-1-dethiacephem and $(PhSe)_2$ were also involved in Nrf2 pathway activation, contributing for the antioxidant roles of these organoselenium compounds [56, 57]. Thus, Nrf2 activation by the novel selenocyanates cannot be ruled out in the present study, potentially substantiating the reported antioxidant properties.

It is noteworthy that selenocyanates have been tested for the therapy of oxidative stress-driven diseases, including cancer [58]. In this regard, supplementation with 1,4-phenylenebis(methylene)selenocyanate (*p*-XSC) was showed to abrogate the tumorigenesis process triggered by nitrosamine and enhance antioxidant defenses in mouse lung [59]. In addition, the 8-OHdG levels, a parameter measured in the present contribution, provide an estimation of cancer risk. Recently, Wu and colleagues [60] detected a significant increase in the 8-OHdG content in leucocytes from cancer patients, as compared to healthy subjects. Evidence concerning a potential role of selenocyanates in the reduction of DNA oxidative modification, estimated as 8-OHdG levels, is scarce yet. Nevertheless, *p*-XSC was also showed decrease 8-OHdG levels in rat mammary glands, 6 hours after intragastric administration of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-*b*]pyridine—a well-known carcinogenic agent [61, 62]. Other organoselenium compound able to decrease 8-OHdG content in animals is $(PhSe)_2$ ($5 \mu\text{mol/kg}$ body weight), as described in the brain of mice orally receiving methylmercury, which was accompanied by decreased brain-derived neurotrophic factor level, oxidative disturbances, and histological modifications in the cerebral cortex [63]. Selenocyanates can also reduce genotoxicity induced by certain compounds *in vivo*. To illustrate, oral administration of diphenylmethyl selenocyanate produced a significant decrease in the DNA damage in hepatocytes of mice acutely receiving carbon tetrachloride [64]. Thereby, Compound 3b, Compound 3d, Compound 3e, and Compound 3f have potential antigenotoxic activity by mitigating the increase in 8-OHdG content induced by H_2O_2 in the cell cultures.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have described the synthesis and preclinical antioxidant assessment of novel selenocyanates (3a–f) using standardized and widely available methodologies. All selenocyanates were evaluated for their antioxidant ability in vitro. Compound 3b, Compound 3d, and Compound 3f showed significant activity when tested in cultured mouse neurons exposed to H₂O₂, with a pattern resembling to (PhSe)₂. Measurement of CAT and SOD activities provided further evidence that most of the synthesized compounds are endowed of marked antioxidant activity. We did not use various concentrations of each compound in culture aiming to determine the best value with minimal potential adverse events (or a dose-response curve), which is one limitation of the present work. We argue that further in vitro studies using these promising selenocyanates will pave the way for their use in most sophisticated pathological experimental scenarios and potentially as a novel platform of antioxidant drugs with therapeutic properties.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Disclosure

Some of the preliminary results of the current work were presented during the poster section at the 42nd Annual Meeting of the Brazilian Chemical Society-2019, Joinville-SC, Brazil.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' Contributions

Jamal Rafique, Tiago E. A. Frizon, José H. Cararo, Sumbal Saba, Felipe Dal-Pizzol, and Samira S. Valvassori conceived the project and wrote the manuscript. Tiago E. A. Frizon, Sumbal Saba, and Jamal Rafique performed the synthesis and characterization analysis (NMR, FTIR, HRMS). Tairine Pimentel and Hugo de C. Braga performed the purification of 3a–f. Gustavo C. Dal-Pont, Monique Michels, Felipe Dal-Pizzol, and Samira S. Valvassori performed the biological evaluation.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the “Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES)” (Brazil)—Finance Code 001 and “National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq)” (Brazil). Jamal Rafique would like to acknowledge CNPq Universal (433896/2018-3) and “Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS)” (MS, Brazil) for the funding. We also acknowledge “Research and Innovation Support Foundation of Santa Catarina State (FAPESC)

(2019TR1055)” (SC, Brazil), “Southern University of Santa Catarina (UNESC)” (SC, Brazil) and “Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC)” (SC, Brazil). Translational Psychiatry Laboratory (Brazil) is one of the centers of the National Institute for Molecular Medicine (INCT-MM) and one of the members of the Center of Excellence in Applied Neurosciences of Santa Catarina (NENASC). Its research is supported by grants from CAPES, CNPq, FAPESC, *Instituto Cérebro e Mente*, and UNESC (Brazil). The authors also acknowledge CEBIME (Laboratório Central de Biologia Molecular Estrutural) for the HRMS analysis.

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (200 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3a. Figure S2: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (300 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3b. Figure S3: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (400 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3c. Figure S4: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (300 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3d. Figure S5: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (400 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3e. Figure S6: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra: (a) ¹H NMR (400 MHz) in CDCl₃ and (b) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz) in CDCl₃ for compound 3f. Figure S7: infrared spectra of compound 3a. Figure S8: infrared spectra of compound 3b. Figure S9: infrared spectra of compound 3c. Figure S10: infrared spectra of compound 3d. Figure S11: infrared spectra of compound 3e. Figure S12: infrared spectra of compound 3f. Figure S13: infrared spectra of starting material 2a. Figure S14: infrared spectra of starting material 2b. Figure S15: infrared spectra of starting material 2c. Figure S16: infrared spectra of starting material 2d. Figure S17: infrared spectra of starting material 2e. Figure S18: infrared spectra of starting material 2f. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- [1] S. Kurokawa and M. J. Berry, “Selenium. role of the essential metalloid in health,” *Metal Ions in Life Sciences*, vol. 13, pp. 499–534, 2013.
- [2] M. Roman, P. Jitaru, and C. Barbante, “Selenium biochemistry and its role for human health,” *Metallomics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 25–54, 2014.
- [3] U. Ahsan, Z. Kamran, I. Raza et al., “Role of selenium in male reproduction—a review,” *Animal Reproduction Science*, vol. 146, no. 1–2, pp. 55–62, 2014.
- [4] H. A. Spiller, “Rethinking mercury: the role of selenium in the pathophysiology of mercury toxicity,” *Clinical Toxicology*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 313–326, 2017.
- [5] N. Y. Rusetskaya and V. B. Borodulin, “Biological activity of selenorganic compounds at heavy metal salts intoxication,” *Biomeditsinskaya Khimiya*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 449–461, 2015.
- [6] J. Rafique, S. Saba, A. R. Schneider, M. S. Franco, S. M. Silva, and A. L. Braga, “Metal- and solvent-free approach to access 3-Se/S-chromones from the cyclization of enamines in the

- presence of dichalcogenides catalyzed by KIO_3 ,” *ACS Omega*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 2280–2290, 2017.
- [7] S. Kumar, J. Yan, J. F. Poon et al., “Multifunctional antioxidants: regenerable radical-trapping and hydroperoxide-decomposing ebselenols,” *Angewandte Chemie*, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 3729–3733, 2016.
- [8] K. P. Bhabak and G. Muges, “Functional mimics of glutathione peroxidase: bioinspired synthetic antioxidants,” *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 1408–1419, 2010.
- [9] J. Rafique, S. Saba, T. E. A. Frizon, and A. L. Braga, “ Fe_3O_4 Nanoparticles: a robust and magnetically recoverable catalyst for direct C-H bond selenylation and sulfenylation of benzothiazoles,” *ChemistrySelect*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 328–334, 2018.
- [10] H. J. Reich and R. J. Hondal, “Why nature chose selenium,” *ACS Chemical Biology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 821–841, 2016.
- [11] J. Rafique, S. Saba, M. S. Franco et al., “Direct, metal-free C(sp²)-H chalcogenation of indoles and imidazopyridines with dichalcogenides catalysed by KIO_3 ,” *Chemistry - A European Journal*, vol. 24, no. 16, pp. 4173–4180, 2018.
- [12] S. Saba, J. Rafique, M. S. Franco et al., “Rose Bengal catalysed photo-induced selenylation of indoles, imidazoles and arenes: a metal free approach,” *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 880–885, 2018.
- [13] L. T. Silva, J. B. Azeredo, S. Saba, J. Rafique, A. J. Bortoluzzi, and A. L. Braga, “Solvent- and metal-free chalcogenation of bicyclic arenes using I_2/DMSO as non-metallic catalytic system,” *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 2017, no. 32, pp. 4740–4748, 2017.
- [14] G. M. Almeida, J. Rafique, S. Saba et al., “Novel selenylated imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines for breast cancer chemotherapy: inhibition of cell proliferation by Akt-mediated regulation, DNA cleavage and apoptosis,” *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 503, no. 3, pp. 1291–1297, 2018.
- [15] J. Rodrigues, S. Saba, A. C. Joussef, J. Rafique, and A. L. Braga, “ KIO_3 -catalyzed C(sp²)-H bond selenylation/sulfenylation of (hetero)arenes: synthesis of chalcogenated (hetero)arenes and their evaluation for anti-Alzheimer activity,” *Asian Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 1819–1824, 2018.
- [16] G. A. M. Jardim, D. J. B. Lima, W. O. Valença et al., “Synthesis of selenium-quinone hybrid compounds with potential antitumor activity via Rh-catalyzed C-H bond activation and click reactions,” *Molecules*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 83, 2018.
- [17] L. S. Galant, J. Rafique, A. L. Braga et al., “The thiol-modifier effects of organoselenium compounds and their cytoprotective actions in neuronal cells,” *Neurochemical Research*, vol. 2020, 2020.
- [18] T. E. Frizon, J. Rafique, S. Saba, I. H. Bechtold, H. Gallardo, and A. L. Braga, “Synthesis of functionalized organoselenium materials: selenides and diselenides containing cholesterol,” *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 2015, no. 16, pp. 3470–3476, 2015.
- [19] M. R. Scheide, A. R. Schneider, G. A. M. Jardim et al., “Electrochemical synthesis of selenyl-dihydrofurans via anodic selenofunctionalization of allyl-naphthol/phenol derivatives and their anti-Alzheimer activity,” *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, vol. 18, 2020.
- [20] J. Rafique, R. F. S. Canto, S. Saba, F. A. R. Barbosa, and A. L. Braga, “Recent advances in the synthesis of biologically relevant selenium-containing 5-membered heterocycles,” *Current Organic Chemistry*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 166–188, 2015.
- [21] N. Noguchi, “Ebselen, a useful tool for understanding cellular redox biology and a promising drug candidate for use in human diseases,” *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 595, pp. 109–112, 2016.
- [22] Z. Jin, X. Du, Y. Xu et al., “Structure of M^{pro} from COVID-19 virus and discovery of its inhibitors,” *Nature*, vol. 2020, 2020.
- [23] T. Posser, J. L. Franco, D. A. dos Santos et al., “Diphenyl diselenide confers neuroprotection against hydrogen peroxide toxicity in hippocampal slices,” *Brain Research*, vol. 1199, pp. 138–147, 2008.
- [24] A. G. Meirinho, V. F. Pereira, G. M. Martins et al., “Electrochemical oxidative C(sp²)-H bond selenylation of activated arenes,” *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 2019, no. 38, pp. 6465–6469, 2019.
- [25] S. Saba, G. Botteselle, M. Godoi et al., “Copper-catalyzed synthesis of unsymmetrical diorganyl chalcogenides (Te/Se/S) from boronic acids under solvent-free conditions,” *Molecules*, vol. 22, no. 8, p. 1367, 2017.
- [26] M. M. Peterle, M. R. Scheide, L. T. Silva, S. Saba, J. Rafique, and A. L. Braga, “Copper-catalyzed three-component reaction of oxadiazoles, elemental Se/S and aryl iodides: synthesis of chalcogenyl (Se/S)-oxadiazoles,” *ChemistrySelect*, vol. 3, no. 46, pp. 13191–13196, 2018.
- [27] L. Bettanin, S. Saba, C. Doerner et al., “ NH_4I -catalyzed chalcogen(S/Se)-functionalization of 5-membered N-heteroaryls under metal-free conditions,” *Tetrahedron*, vol. 74, no. 29, pp. 3971–3980, 2018.
- [28] H. Khan, H. Amin, A. Ullah et al., “Antioxidant and antiplasmodial activities of bergenin and 11-O-galloylbergenin isolated from *Mallotus philippensis*,” *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, vol. 2016, Article ID 1051925, 6 pages, 2016.
- [29] H. Morales, P. Tilquin, J. F. Rees, A. Massip, F. Dessy, and A. Van Langendonck, “Pyruvate prevents peroxide-induced injury of in vitro preimplantation bovine embryos,” *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 149–157, 1999.
- [30] H. H. Draper and M. Hadley, “[43] Malondialdehyde determination as index of lipid peroxidation,” *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 186, pp. 421–431, 1990.
- [31] H. Kimura, S. Liu, S. Yamada et al., “Rapid increase in serum lipid peroxide 4-hydroxynonenal (HNE) through monocyte NADPH oxidase in early endo-toxemia,” *Free Radical Research*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 845–851, 2009.
- [32] R. L. Levine, D. Garland, C. N. Oliver et al., “[49] Determination of carbonyl content in oxidatively modified proteins,” *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 186, pp. 464–478, 1990.
- [33] H. Aebi, “[13] Catalase in vitro,” *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 105, pp. 121–126, 1984.
- [34] J. V. Bannister and L. Calabrese, “Assays for superoxide dismutase,” *Methods of Biochemical Analysis*, vol. 32, pp. 279–312, 1987.
- [35] G. L. Peterson, “A simplification of the protein assay method of Lowry et al. which is more generally applicable,” *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 346–356, 1977.
- [36] M. Ferreira, L. Fernandes, and M. M. Sá, “A highly efficient and general method for the preparation of (Z)-allylic bromides derived from Morita-Baylis-Hillman adducts,” *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 564–568, 2009.
- [37] B. G. Batista, D. F. Dalla Lana, G. P. Silveira et al., “Allylic selenocyanates as new agents to combat fusarium species involved

- with human infections,” *ChemistrySelect*, vol. 2, no. 35, pp. 11926–11932, 2017.
- [38] U. H. Sk, A. K. Sharma, S. Ghosh, and S. Bhattacharya, “Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel spiro 6-methoxytetralin-1,3'-pyrrolidine based organoselenocyanates against cadmium- induced oxidative and hepatic damage in mice,” *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 3265–3273, 2010.
- [39] J. Rafique, S. Saba, R. F. S. Canto et al., “Synthesis and biological evaluation of 2-picolylamide-based diselenides with non-bonded interactions,” *Molecules*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 10095–10109, 2015.
- [40] M. Ibrahim, W. Hassan, J. Anwar, C. W. Nogueira, and J. B. Teixeira Rocha, “Fe(II) and sodium nitroprusside induce oxidative stress: a comparative study of diphenyl diselenide and diphenyl ditelluride with their naphthyl analog,” *Drug and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 48–56, 2011.
- [41] C. Dong, L. Zhang, R. Sun et al., “Role of thioredoxin reductase 1 in dysplastic transformation of human breast epithelial cells triggered by chronic oxidative stress,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 36860, 2016.
- [42] X. Lu, G. Mestres, V. P. Singh et al., “Selenium- and tellurium-based antioxidants for modulating inflammation and effects on osteoblastic activity,” *Antioxidants*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 13, 2017.
- [43] X. Lu, E. Zhang, S. Yin, L. Fan, and H. Hu, “Methylseleninic acid prevents patulin-induced hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity via the inhibition of oxidative stress and inactivation of p53 and MAPKs,” *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 65, no. 26, pp. 5299–5305, 2017.
- [44] C. E. S. Oliveira, M. H. M. Marcondes Sari, V. A. Zborowski, V. C. Prado, C. W. Nogueira, and G. Zeni, “Pain-depression dyad induced by reserpine is relieved by p,p'-methoxyl- diphenyl diselenide in rats,” *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 791, pp. 794–802, 2016.
- [45] A. S. Reis, M. P. Pinz, C. F. Bortolatto et al., “Antioxidant compound (E)-2-benzylidene-4-phenyl-1,3-diselenole protects rats against thioacetamide-induced acute hepatotoxicity,” *Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, vol. 95, no. 9, pp. 1039–1045, 2017.
- [46] C. Menezes, J. Leitemperger, C. Murussi et al., “Effect of diphenyl diselenide diet supplementation on oxidative stress biomarkers in two species of freshwater fish exposed to the insecticide fipronil,” *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1357–1368, 2016.
- [47] I. A. Adedara, A. O. Abolaji, J. B. Rocha, and E. O. Farombi, “Diphenyl diselenide protects against mortality, locomotor deficits and oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster* model of manganese-induced neurotoxicity,” *Neurochemical Research*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1430–1438, 2016.
- [48] S. T. Stefanello, P. Gubert, B. Puntel et al., “Protective effects of novel organic selenium compounds against oxidative stress in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*,” *Toxicology Reports*, vol. 2, pp. 961–967, 2015.
- [49] M. Solimannejad, M. Malekani, and I. Alkorta, “Cooperative and diminutive unusual weak bonding In F3CX...HMgH...Y and F3CX...Y...HMgH Trimers (X = Cl, Br; Y = HCN, and HNC),” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, vol. 114, no. 45, pp. 12106–12111, 2010.
- [50] A. G. Vogt, G. T. Voss, R. L. de Oliveira et al., “Organoselenium group is critical for antioxidant activity of 7-chloro-4-phenylselenyl-quinoline,” *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, vol. 282, pp. 7–12, 2018.
- [51] J. Saluk, M. Bijak, P. Nowak, and B. Wachowicz, “Evaluating the antioxidative activity of diselenide containing compounds in human blood,” *Bioorganic Chemistry*, vol. 50, pp. 26–33, 2013.
- [52] G. Sartori, N. S. Jardim, M. H. Marcondes Sari et al., “Antiviral action of diphenyl diselenide on herpes simplex virus 2 infection in female BALB/c mice,” *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 117, no. 7, pp. 1638–1648, 2016.
- [53] F. Petronilho, M. Michels, L. G. Danielski et al., “Diphenyl diselenide attenuates oxidative stress and inflammatory parameters in ulcerative colitis: a comparison with ebselen,” *Pathology, Research and Practice*, vol. 212, no. 9, pp. 755–760, 2016.
- [54] P. H. Doleski, M. V. Ten Caten, D. F. Passos et al., “Toxoplasmosis treatment with diphenyl diselenide in infected mice modulates the activity of purinergic enzymes and reduces inflammation in spleen,” *Experimental Parasitology*, vol. 181, pp. 7–13, 2017.
- [55] S. K. Niture, R. Khatri, and A. K. Jaiswal, “Regulation of Nrf2—an update,” *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, vol. 66, pp. 36–44, 2014.
- [56] R. Terazawa, D. R. Garud, N. Hamada et al., “Identification of organoselenium compounds that possess chemopreventive properties in human prostate cancer LNCaP cells,” *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 18, no. 19, pp. 7001–7008, 2010.
- [57] S. G. Müller, N. S. Jardim, C. B. Quines, and C. W. Nogueira, “Diphenyl diselenide regulates Nrf2/Keap-1 signaling pathway and counteracts hepatic oxidative stress induced by bisphenol A in male mice,” *Environmental Research*, vol. 164, pp. 280–287, 2018.
- [58] A. Fuentes-Aguilar, L. L. Romero-Hernández, A. Arenas-González et al., “New selenosteroids as antiproliferative agents,” *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, vol. 15, no. 23, pp. 5041–5054, 2017.
- [59] J. P. Richie Jr., W. Kleinman, D. H. Desai et al., “The organoselenium compound 1,4-phenylenebis(methylene)selenocyanate inhibits 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone-induced tumorigenesis and enhances glutathione-related antioxidant levels in A/J mouse lung,” *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 93–103, 2006.
- [60] D. Wu, B. Liu, J. Yin et al., “Detection of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) as a biomarker of oxidative damage in peripheral leukocyte DNA by UHPLC–MS/MS,” *Journal of Chromatography B, Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, vol. 1064, pp. 1–6, 2017.
- [61] K. El-Bayoumy, Y. H. Chae, P. Upadhyaya et al., “Comparative tumorigenicity of benzo[a]pyrene, 1-nitropyrene and 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine administered by gavage to female CD rats,” *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 431–434, 1995.
- [62] K. El-Bayoumy, Y. H. Chae, J. G. Rosa et al., “The effects of 1-nitropyrene, 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine and 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene on 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels in the rat mammary gland and modulation by dietary 1,4-phenylenebis(methylene)selenocyanate,” *Cancer Letters*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 7–13, 2000.
- [63] V. Glaser, B. Moritz, A. Schmitz et al., “Protective effects of diphenyl diselenide in a mouse model of brain toxicity,” *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, vol. 206, no. 1, pp. 18–26, 2013.
- [64] R. K. Das, S. U. Hossain, and S. Bhattacharya, “Protective effect of diphenylmethyl selenocyanate against CCl4-induced hepatic injury,” *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 527–537, 2007.