# Glomerular Hyperfiltration as Predictor of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors among Children and Adolescents: The Childhood and Adolescence Surveillance and Prevention of Adult-V Study

# Abstract

**Background:** The prevalence of glomerular hyperfiltration and chronic kidney disease is increasing worldwide in parallel with obesity hypertension epidemic. The effect of increases in glomerular filtrations (GFR) in children with metabolic syndrome has not been studied. The purpose of the present study is to investigate the relationship between GFR and cardiometabolic risk factors in a large sample of pediatric population. Methods: In this nationwide survey, 3800 participants were selected by cluster random sampling from 30 provinces in Iran. Anthropometric measures, biochemical, and clinical parameters were measured. We also measured estimated GFR (eGFR) using the recently modified Schwartz equations and other known cardiometabolic risk factors such as elevated total cholesterol, high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and obesity. **Results:** The response rate was 91.5% (n = 3843). The mean and standard deviation (SD) (Mean  $\pm$  SD) of eGFR for girls, boys, and total population were 96.71  $\pm$  19.46,  $96.49 \pm 21.69$ , and  $96.59 \pm 20$  ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Overall, 38.7% of the participants did not have any cardiometabolic risk factor. In multivariate models, the risk of elevated systolic blood pressure (BP) (odds ratio [OR]: 1.48; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.08-2.02), elevated diastolic BP (OR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.08-2.02), elevated LDL-C (OR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.07-1.70), and obesity (OR: 1.70; 95%CI: 1.24-2.33) were significantly higher in participants with higher eGFR level than those with the lower level but not with low level of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (OR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.60-0.88). Conclusions: This study demonstrates an association between glomerular hyperfiltration and obesity-related hypertension in a large sample of the Iranian pediatric population, independently of other classical risk factors.

**Keywords:** Cardiometabolic risk factors, children and adolescents, estimated glomerular filtration rate

# Introduction

There has been a marked worldwide increase in the prevalence of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2 (T2DM), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) over the last two decades.<sup>[1-3]</sup>

The NCDs largely contributing to the CVD, and CKD populations are T2DM and hypertension. The prevalence of CKD increases progressively with increasing body mass index (BMI) from 15% among people with BMI <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to about 40% among those with a BMI of >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>[4-9]</sup>

Emerging data strongly suggest childhood obesity potentially plays a powerful role in influencing later susceptibility to CKD.<sup>[10-13]</sup>

Emerging data also suggest an adverse embryonic, fetal, or postneonatal environment and is responsible for epigenetic modifications (SGA, prematurity) leading to metabolic syndrome (MS) in later childhood. MS risk is higher in those born smaller who became obese as adolescents and adults.<sup>[14]</sup>

Prevention of CKD due to type 2 diabetes and obesity hypertension is likely possible, simply by careful glycemic therapy, a healthy lifestyle and by observing current guidelines for blood pressure (BP) control.<sup>[15-18]</sup>

Unfortunately, despite the demonstration of effective therapy, obesity-related CKD is still not being recognized or treated properly. Screening of high-risk patients,

**How to cite this article:** Kelishadi R, Qorbani M, Assadi F, Motlagh E, Djalalinia S, Shahsavari A, *et al.* Glomerular hyperfiltration as predictor of cardiometabolic risk factors among children and adolescents: The childhood and adolescence surveillance and prevention of adult-V Study. Int J Prev Med 2018;9:33. Roya Kelishadi<sup>1</sup>, Mostafa Qorbani<sup>2,3</sup>, Farahnak Assadi⁴. Mohammad Esmaeil Motlagh<sup>5</sup>, Shirin Djalalinia<sup>6</sup>, Ali Shahsavari<sup>1</sup>, Hasan Ziaodini7, Majzoubeh Taheri<sup>8</sup>. Gita Shafiee<sup>3</sup>, Azadeh Aminianfar<sup>9</sup>, Sajjad Esmaeili<sup>1</sup>, Tahereh Aminaei<sup>8</sup>, Morteza Mansourian<sup>10</sup>, Ramin Heshmat<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics. Child Growth and Development Research Center, Research Institute for Primordial Prevention of Non-communicable Disease. Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Non-communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran, <sup>3</sup>Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Nephrology, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran,



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

<sup>6</sup>Development of Research Technology Center, Deputy of Research and Technology, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran, <sup>7</sup>Department of Health, Bureau of Health and Fitness, Ministry of Education and Training, Tehran, Iran, <sup>8</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Office of Adolescents and School Health, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran, <sup>9</sup>Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, <sup>10</sup>Health Management and Economics Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Mostafa Qorbani,

Non-communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Emam Ali Hospital, Karaj, Iran. Dr Ramin Heshmat

Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: mqorbani1379@yahoo.com; rheshmat@tums.ac.ir

referral and consultation with nephrologists, and even provision of therapy after diagnosis is inadequate. The burden of disease is also paralleled by the enormous cost for delivering CKD care.

Primary care providers are the first line of defense against obesity-related CKD. They can play a significant role in early diagnosis, treatment, patient education, and referral.

Thus, early identification of individuals at risk of NCDs at every stage of life course from before conception, fetal life, early childhood, through adolescents are essential to prevent or retard the CKD progression and improve patients' outcome.

# Methods

The data of this study were collected as a part of the "National survey of school student high-risk behaviors" (2014–2015), as the fifth survey of the school-based surveillance system entitled Childhood and Adolescence Surveillance and Prevention of Adult NCDs study. Details on the methodology have been presented before,<sup>[19]</sup> and here, we report it in brief.

### Study population and sampling framework

The study population consisted of students aged 7–18 years in urban and rural areas of 30 provinces in Iran. They were selected through multistage, stratified cluster sampling method. Sampling within each province was conducted according to the Student's residence area (urban or rural) and level of education (primary and secondary) using the proportional to size method and with equal sex ratio. Moreover, the number of samples of different educational grades in urban and rural areas was estimated according to the number of students in each grade. The total sample size calculated as 480 students in each province (48 clusters of 10 students); in each province, 14 clusters were randomly selected for biochemical test, i.e., a total of 4200 students.

### Procedure and measurements

The students' questionnaire was derived from the World Health Organization (WHO)-Global School Student Health Survey. The validity and reliability of Farsi-translated questionnaire was assessed previously.<sup>[20]</sup>

A team of trained health-care experts recorded information by interview; they also performed the physical examinations under standard protocols<sup>[21]</sup> by using calibrated instruments. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg while individuals wearing a light cloth and height were measured without shoes to the nearest 0.1 cm. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) to height squared (m<sup>2</sup>). Waist circumference was measured using a nonelastic tape at a point midway between the lower border of the rib cage and the iliac crest at the end of normal expiration to the nearest 0.1 cm.

We used the WHO growth curves to define BMI categories, i.e., underweight as age- and sex-specific BMI  $<5^{\text{th}}$ , overweight as sex-specific BMI for age of  $85^{\text{th}}$ - $95^{\text{th}}$ , and obesity as sex-specific BMI for  $>95^{\text{th}}$  percentile.<sup>[22]</sup> Abdominal obesity was defined as waist/height ratio equal to or more than 0.5.<sup>[23]</sup>

BP was measured in the sitting position on the right arm using a mercury sphygmomanometer with an appropriate cuff size. It was measured 2 times at 5-min intervals, and the average was recorded.<sup>[24-25]</sup>

Eligible participants accompanied by parents were referred to the laboratory where 6 mL venous blood samples were collected after 12-h overnight fasting. All collection tubes were centrifuged at  $2500-3000 \times g$  for 10 min. Immediately after centrifugation, serum samples were aliquot into 200 microliter tubes and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. All samples were transferred by cold chain to Isfahan Mahdieh Laboratory. Fasting blood glucose (FBG), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and creatinine were measured enzymatically by Hitachi autoanalyzer (Tokyo, Japan).

FBG  $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ , TG  $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ , TC  $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$ , LDL-C  $\geq 110 \text{ mg/dl}$ , and high HDL-C < 40-45 mg/dlwere considered as abnormal.<sup>[17]</sup> Elevated BP was defined as either high systolic or diastolic (systolic BP [SBP], diastolic BP [DBP])  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile for age, gender, and height.<sup>[26,27]</sup>

The creatinine-based glomerular filtrations (GFR) defined as the milliliter of plasma that was cleared from creatinine in one minute. The estimated GFR (eGFR) was to avoid the timed urine collection according to the newly revised Schwartz equation.<sup>[26,27]</sup>

#### **Ethical considerations**

Study protocols were reviewed and approved by ethical committees and other relevant national regulatory organizations. The Research and Ethics council of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences approved the study (Project number: 194,049). After complete explanation of the study objectives and protocols, written informed consent and verbal consent were obtained from the parents and students, respectively.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by using STATA package version, 11.0 (STATA Statistical Software: Release 11. College Station, TX: STATA Corp LP. Package), and P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Data are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) (Mean ± SD) for continuous variables and as number (percentage) for categorical variables. The Student's t-test was used to compare mean differences between quantitative variables. Association between qualitative variables was assessed by Pearson Chi-square test. The mean of cardiometabolic risk factors across eGFR tertiles was compared by analysis of variance test. Correlation between eGFR and cardiometabolic risk factors was assessed using Pearson correlation coefficient test. Different logistic regression models were used to examine the association of eGFR and cardiometabolic risk factors. Model I: crude model (without adjustment); Model II: was adjusted for age, living area, sex, PA, ST, and SES, and Model III: additionally adjusted for BMI in all abnormality except weight disorders. All statistical analyses were performed using survey analysis method.

# Results

The response rate was 91.5% (n = 3843); characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1. The mean  $\pm$  SD for age and BMI were 12.28  $\pm$  3.15 years and 18.48  $\pm$  4.69 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The mean  $\pm$  SD of eGFR was 96.71  $\pm$  19.46, 96.49  $\pm$  21.69, and 96.59  $\pm$  20.66 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> for girls, boys, and total population, respectively. The mean SBP and DBP were higher in boys than in girls; but mean levels of TG, LDL-C, and TC were higher in girls than in boys ([Table 1]. Overall, 38.7% of the participants did not have any cardiometabolic risk factor.

Table 2 presents the mean  $\pm$  SD of cardiometabolic risk factors by tertile of eGFR. The range of eGFR level in tertile 1, 2, and 3 was considered <86.19, 86.19-103.25, and >103.25 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Tertile 3 of eGFR was associated with higher mean ± SD of weight (48.92  $\pm$  16.98 kg) and BMI (19.59  $\pm$  5.33 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), respectively. Mean SBP and DBP were higher in tertile 2 (98.31  $\pm$  13.00and 63.19  $\pm$  10.04 mmHg, respectively) compared to tertile 1 (96.40  $\pm$ 13.23 and 61.86 ± respectively) and tertile 10.41 mmHg.

3 (101.49  $\pm$  11.94 and 65.57  $\pm$  9.76 mm Hg, respectively) compared to tertile 2. This finding suggests positive relationship between increases in eGFR and elevated BP. All cardiometabolic indexes (except for HDL-C and FBG) were higher in T3 of eGFR than in other two tertiles. HDL-C and FBG had no significant difference across the tertiles of eGFR.

Table 3 shows the prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors by tertile of eGFR. The frequency of elevated SBP and DBP was significantly different across tertiles of eGFR.

The correlation of cardiometabolic risk factors with eGFR according to gender is presented in Table 4. In both genders, weakly positive significant correlations were documented between eGFR and all cardiometabolic and anthropometric variables except for FBG and HDL-C. The strongest correlations were documented for weight, followed by waist circumference.

Results of logistic regression models are presented in Table 5. In multivariate models, the risk of elevated BP (odds ratio [OR]: 1.48; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.08–2.02), elevated DBP (OR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.08–2.02), elevated LDL (OR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.07–1.70), and overweight (OR: 1.70; 95% CI: 1.24–2.33) in individuals with elevated eGFR (3<sup>rd</sup> tertile) was significantly higher than those in low level of eGFR. Moreover, elevated eGFR was associated with lower risk of low HDL-C (OR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.60–0.88).

# Discussion

In this study, we explored the association of MS with glomerular hyperfiltration in a large sample of the Iranian children. These results indicated that the obese conditions are associated with increases in GFR, irrespective of other known CKD risk factors, thus raising the possibility of an increased susceptibility of obesity to development of CKD and CVD.

Children with elevated eGFR tended to be obese, had a higher SBP and DBP and elevated LDL-C levels. The result findings also showed positive significant correlations between eGFR and all cardiometabolic and anthropometric variables in both girls and boys except for FBG and HDL-C levels.

Obesity-induced glomerular hyperfiltration is a well-defined entity and is a major risk factor involved in the pathogenesis of obesity-related CKD.<sup>[28-33]</sup> The findings of the present study further evidence the fetal and environmental origin of adult CKD and CVD onset.<sup>[14]</sup>

Recent studies in adults have documented the clustering of risk factors of chronic diseases as cardiovascular and renal diseases, including type 2 diabetes mellitus, glucose intolerance, central obesity, hypertension, atherogenic dyslipidemia, and microalbuminuria<sup>[34,35]</sup> Some of these factors, as chronic hyperglycemia and

Total         Boy         Girl $P$ Age mean (SD) (year)1         12.28 $\pm$ 3.15         12.39 $\pm$ 3.14         12.17 $\pm$ 3.16         <0.001           Living area,         10194 (71.4)         5150 (71.3)         5044 (71.6)         0.65           Bural         4080 (28.6)         2078 (28.7)         2002 (28.4)         6           eGFR,         96.59 (20.66)         96.49 (21.69)         96.71 (19.46)         0.74           Height (cm),         147.07 (17.53)         148.15 (18.77)         144.93 (15.93)         <0.001           Weight (kgh,         41.54 (16.93)         42.36 (18.23)         40.41 (15.82)         <0.001           Weight (map),         66.63 (12.10)         67.65 (12.87)         65.76 (11.33)         <0.001           WHtR1         0.45 (0.06)         0.45 (0.06)         0.45 (0.06)         0.65 (0.06)         0.65 (0.00)           DBP (mmHg),         98.72 (12.91)         99.55 (13.43)         98.77 (12.72)         <0.001           FBG (mg/dL),         88.16 (45.27)         87.15 (45.52)         80.02 (44.78)         0.20           TG (mg/dL),         88.16 (45.27)         87.15 (45.52)         80.02 (47.78)         0.30           TDL-C (mg/dL),         40.61 (9.98)         46.21 (10.17)         45.16	Table 1: Characteristics of the participants by gender: The CASPIAN-V study				
Age mean (SD) (year)1         12.28±3.15         12.39±3.14         12.17±3.16         <0.001           Living area,		Total	Boy	Girl	Р
Living area, Urban 10194 (71.4) 5150 (71.3) 5044 (71.6) 0.65 Rural 4080 (28.6) 2078 (28.7) 2002 (28.4) GFR 9, 96,59 (20.66) 96,49 (21.69) 96,71 (19.46) 0.74 Height (cm), 147.07 (17.53) 148.15 (18.77) 144.93 (15.93) <0.001 Weight (kg), 41.54 (16.93) 42.36 (18.23) 40.41 (15.82) <0.001 Weight (kg), 41.54 (16.93) 42.36 (18.23) 40.41 (15.82) <0.001 WC (cm), 66.63 (12.10) 67.65 (12.87) 65.76 (11.33) <0.001 WHR1 0.45 (0.06) 0.45 (0.06) 0.45 (0.06) 0.45 (0.06) 0.088 BMI (kg/m²), 18.48 (4.69) 18.48 (4.96) 18.53 (4.43) 0.56 SBP (mmHg), 98.72 (12.91) 99.55 (13.43) 98.77 (12.72) <0.001 DBP (mmHg), 63.53 (10.19) 64.08 (10.70) 63.57 (10.14) 0.004 FBG (mg/dL), 91.66 (12.13) 92.06 (12.91) 91.20 (11.14) 0.02 TG (mg/dL), 46.16 (9.98) 46.21 (10.17) 46.16 (9.75) 0.86 LDL-C (mg/dL), 90.06 (22.64) 89.31 (22.90) 90.86 (22.26) 0.034 TC1 153.85 (27.47) 152.96 (28.06) 154.83 (26.67) 0.03 Physical activity. Low 4454 (33.4) 2147 (31.9) 2307 (35.0) <0.001 Moderate 4424 (43.2) 2219 (33.0) 2205 (33.4) Vigorous 4440 (33.3) 2360 (35.1) 2080 (31.6) Steren Time. Low 11644 (83.8) 5863 (83.4) 5781 (84.3) 0.18 High 2243 (16.2) 1164 (16.6) 1079 (15.7) SES_ Low 4454 (33.4) 2147 (31.9) 2307 (35.0) <0.001 Medium 4424 (33.2) 2219 (33.0) 2205 (33.4) High 2440 (33.3) 2360 (35.1) 2080 (31.6) Sterent Time. Low 4454 (33.4) 2147 (31.9) 2307 (35.0) <0.008 Elevated SBP_ 438 (3.1) 210 (3.0) 228 (3.3) .255 Elevated DBP_ 1604 (11.5) 815 (11.5) 780 (11.4) 0.87 Elevated FBG, 1604 (11.5) 815 (11.5) 780 (11.4) 0.87 Elevated FBG, 1604 (11.5) 815 (11.5) 780 (11.4) 0.87 Elevated FBG, 1604 (11.5) 815 (11.5) 780 (11.4) 0.87 Number of MetS components. 0 (1443 (38.7) 706 (35.9) 737 (41.7) 0.005 3 U44 (19.9) 403 (20.5) 341 (19.3) 1 U44 (19.9) 403 (20.5) 341 (19.3) 1 U44 (19.9) 403 (20.5) 341 (19.3) 1 U44 (19.9) 403 (20.5) 76 (4.3)	Age mean (SD) (year)1	12.28±3.15	12.39±3.14	12.17±3.16	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Living area <sub>2</sub>				
Rural         4080 (28.6)         2078 (28.7)         2002 (28.4) $cGFR_2$ 96.59 (20.66)         96.49 (21.69)         96.71 (19.46)         0.74           Height (cm),         41.57 (17.53)         148.15 (18.77)         144.93 (15.93)         <0.001	Urban	10194 (71.4)	5150 (71.3)	5044 (71.6)	0.65
eGFR,         96.59 (20.66)         96.49 (21.69)         96.71 (19.46)         0.74           Height (cm),         147.07 (17.53)         148.15 (18.77)         144.93 (15.93)         <0.00	Rural	4080 (28.6)	2078 (28.7)	2002 (28.4)	
Height (cm), Weight (kg), $147.07 (17.53)$ $148.15 (18.77)$ $144.93 (15.93)$ $<0.001$ Weight (kg), WC (cm), 1 $61.63 (12.10)$ $67.65 (12.37)$ $65.76 (11.33)$ $<0.001$ WHR1 $0.45 (0.06)$ $0.45 (0.06)$ $0.45 (0.06)$ $0.008$ BMI (kg/m), 1 $18.48 (4.69)$ $18.48 (4.96)$ $18.53 (4.43)$ $0.56$ SBP (mmHg), 1000 $98.72 (12.91)$ $99.55 (13.33)$ $98.77 (12.72)$ $<0.001$ DBP (mmHg), 1010 $63.53 (10.19)$ $64.08 (10.70)$ $63.57 (10.14)$ $0.004$ FBG (mg/dL), 17G (mg/dL), 1101 $91.66 (12.13)$ $92.06 (12.91)$ $91.20 (11.14)$ $0.020$ HDL-C (mg/dL), 1101 $90.06 (22.64)$ $89.31 (22.90)$ $90.86 (22.66)$ $0.033$ HDL-C (mg/dL), 1101 $90.06 (22.64)$ $89.31 (22.90)$ $90.86 (22.66)$ $0.033$ Physical activity_2 $Utrop (15.7)$ $Utrop (15.7)$ $0.001$ Low $4454 (33.2)$ $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ Vigorous $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ StS_2 $Utrop (15.7)$ $Utrop (15.7)$ $Utrop (15.7)$ Low $4454 (33.4)$ $2147 (31.9)$ $2307 (35.0)$ $<0.001$ Medium $4424 (33.2)$ $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ Urigorous $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ StS_2 $Utrop (15.7)$ $Utrop (15.7)$ $Utrop (15.7)$ Low $11644 (83.8)$ $5863 (83.4)$ $5781 (84.3)$ $0.18$ High $2440 (33$	eGFR,	96.59 (20.66)	96.49 (21.69)	96.71 (19.46)	0.74
Weight (kg), Weight (kg), $41.54$ (16.93) $42.36$ (18.23) $40.41$ (15.82) $<0.00$ )WC (cm), WG (cm), $66.63$ (12.10) $67.65$ (12.87) $65.76$ (11.33) $<0.00$ )WHR1 $0.45$ (0.06) $0.45$ (0.06) $0.45$ (0.06) $0.008$ BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), $18.48$ (4.69) $18.48$ (4.90) $18.53$ (4.43) $0.56$ SBP (mmHg), $98.72$ (12.91) $99.55$ (13.43) $98.77$ (12.72) $<0.001$ DBP (mmHg), $63.53$ (10.19) $64.08$ (10.70) $63.57$ (10.14) $0.004$ FGG (mg/dL), $91.66$ (12.13) $92.06$ (12.91) $91.20$ (11.14) $0.02$ TG (mg/dL), $88.16$ (45.27) $87.15$ (45.52) $89.02$ (44.78) $0.20$ HDL-C (mg/dL), $90.06$ (22.64) $89.31$ (22.90) $90.86$ (22.26) $0.034$ TC1 $153.85$ (27.47) $152.96$ (28.06) $154.83$ (26.67) $0.03$ Physical activity_ $U$ $U$ $U$ $V$ $V$ Low $4454$ (33.4) $2147$ (31.9) $2307$ (35.0) $<0.001$ Moderate $4424$ (33.2) $2219$ (33.0) $2205$ (33.4) $V$ Vigorous $4440$ (33.3) $2360$ (35.1) $2080$ (31.6)SES_ $U$ $U$ $1644$ (16.6) $1079$ (15.7)Low $11644$ (83.8) $5863$ (83.4) $5781$ (84.3) $0.18$ High $2424$ (33.2) $2219$ (33.0) $2205$ (33.4) $0.001$ Medium $4424$ (33.2) $2219$ (33.0) $2205$ (33.4) $0.001$ High $4440$ (33.3) $266$ (35.1)	Height (cm),	147.07 (17.53)	148.15 (18.77)	144.93 (15.93)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{cccc} WC (cm)_{1}^{1} & 66.63 (12.10) & 67.65 (12.87) & 65.76 (11.33) & <0.001 \\ WH RI & 0.45 (0.06) & 0.45 (0.06) & 0.45 (0.06) & 0.008 \\ BM (kg/m)_{1} & 18.48 (4.69) & 18.48 (4.69) & 18.53 (4.43) & 0.56 \\ SBP (mmHg)_{1} & 98.72 (12.91) & 99.55 (13.43) & 98.77 (12.72) & <0.001 \\ DPP (mmHg)_{1} & 63.53 (10.19) & 64.08 (10.70) & 63.57 (10.14) & 0.004 \\ FBG (mg/dL)_{1} & 91.66 (12.13) & 92.06 (12.91) & 91.20 (11.14) & 0.02 \\ TG (mg/dL)_{1} & 88.16 (45.27) & 87.15 (45.52) & 89.02 (44.78) & 0.20 \\ HDL-C (mg/dL)_{1} & 90.06 (22.64) & 89.31 (22.90) & 90.86 (22.66) & 0.034 \\ TC1 & 153.85 (27.47) & 152.96 (28.06) & 154.83 (26.67) & 0.03 \\ Physical activity_{2} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Low & 4454 (33.4) & 2147 (31.9) & 2307 (35.0) & <0.001 \\ Moderate & 4424 (33.2) & 2219 (33.0) & 2205 (33.4) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Weight (kg)	41.54 (16.93)	42.36 (18.23)	40.41 (15.82)	< 0.001
WHR1         0.45 (0.06)         0.45 (0.06)         0.45 (0.06)         0.45 (0.06)         0.008           BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ),         18.48 (4.69)         18.48 (4.96)         18.53 (4.43)         0.56           SBP (mmHg),         98.72 (12.91)         99.55 (13.43)         98.77 (12.72)         <0.001	WC (cm)	66.63 (12.10)	67.65 (12.87)	65.76 (11.33)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m²)118.48 (4.69)18.48 (4.96)18.53 (4.43)0.56SBP (mmHg)198.72 (12.91)99.55 (13.43)98.77 (12.72)<0.01	WHtR1	0.45 (0.06)	0.45 (0.06)	0.45 (0.06)	0.008
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BMI $(kg/m^2)_1$	18.48 (4.69)	18.48 (4.96)	18.53 (4.43)	0.56
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SBP (mmHg)	98.72 (12.91)	99.55 (13.43)	98.77 (12.72)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DBP (mmHg),	63.53 (10.19)	64.08 (10.70)	63.57 (10.14)	0.004
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FBG (mg/dL),	91.66 (12.13)	92.06 (12.91)	91.20 (11.14)	0.02
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TG (mg/dL).	88.16 (45.27)	87.15 (45.52)	89.02 (44.78)	0.20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HDL-C (mg/dL).	46.16 (9.98)	46.21 (10.17)	46.16 (9.75)	0.86
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LDL-C (mg/dL).	90.06 (22.64)	89.31 (22.90)	90.86 (22.26)	0.034
Physical activity Low4454 (33.4)2147 (31.9)2307 (35.0)<0.001Moderate4424 (33.2)2219 (33.0)2205 (33.4)Vigorous4440 (33.3)2360 (35.1)2080 (31.6)Screen Time 2 </td <td>TC1</td> <td>153.85 (27.47)</td> <td>152.96 (28.06)</td> <td>154.83 (26.67)</td> <td>0.03</td>	TC1	153.85 (27.47)	152.96 (28.06)	154.83 (26.67)	0.03
Low4454 (33.4) $2147 (31.9)$ $2307 (35.0)$ <0.001Moderate4424 (33.2) $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ Vigorous $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ Streen Time, $11644 (83.8)$ $5863 (83.4)$ $5781 (84.3)$ $0.18$ Low11644 (83.8) $5863 (83.4)$ $5781 (84.3)$ $0.18$ High $2243 (16.2)$ $1164 (16.6)$ $1079 (15.7)$ SES, </td <td>Physical activity.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> ( )</td> <td></td>	Physical activity.			( )	
Moderate $4424 (33.2)$ $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ Vigorous $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ Screen Time2 $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Low	4454 (33.4)	2147 (31.9)	2307 (35.0)	< 0.001
Vigorous4440 (33.3)2360 (35.1)2080 (31.6)Screen Time2	Moderate	4424 (33.2)	2219 (33.0)	2205 (33.4)	
Screen Time2IntegerIntegerLow11644 (83.8)5863 (83.4)5781 (84.3)0.18High2243 (16.2)1164 (16.6)1079 (15.7)SES2IntegerInteger1164 (16.6)1079 (15.7)Low4454 (33.4)2147 (31.9)2307 (35.0)<0.001	Vigorous	4440 (33.3)	2360 (35.1)	2080 (31.6)	
Low11644 (83.8)5863 (83.4)5781 (84.3)0.18High2243 (16.2)1164 (16.6)1079 (15.7)SES2Low4454 (33.4)2147 (31.9)2307 (35.0)Medium4424 (33.2)2219 (33.0)2205 (33.4)High4440 (33.3)2360 (35.1)2080 (31.6)Abdominal obesity22972 (21.1)1550 (21.6)1422 (20.5)Low0.8228 (3.3)0.25Elevated SBP2438 (3.1)210 (3.0)228 (3.3)Elevated BP21604 (11.5)815 (11.5)704 (10.2)Elevated BP216104 (11.5)815 (11.5)789 (11.4)Elevated FBG2161 (4.2)96 (4.8)65 (3.5)Elevated TG21065 (27.7)541 (26.9)524 (28.6)Low HDL-c21134 (29.5)658 (32.7)476 (26.0)MetS2188 (5.0)108 (5.5)80 (4.5)Number of MetS components201443 (38.7)706 (35.9)01443 (38.7)706 (35.9)737 (41.7)0.00511357 (36.4)747 (38.0)610 (34.5)2744 (19.9)403 (20.5)341 (19.3)3174 (47)98 (5.0)76 (4.3)	Screen Time.				
High243 (16.2)1164 (16.6)1079 (15.7)SES2Low4454 (33.4)2147 (31.9)2307 (35.0)<0.001	Low	11644 (83.8)	5863 (83.4)	5781 (84.3)	0.18
SES2Control (Clu)Clu (Clu)Clu (Clu) $Medium$ 4454 (33.4)2147 (31.9)2307 (35.0)<0.001	High	2243 (16.2)	1164 (16.6)	1079 (15.7)	
Low $4454 (33.4)$ $2147 (31.9)$ $2307 (35.0)$ <0.001Medium $4424 (33.2)$ $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ High $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ Abdominal obesity2 $2972 (21.1)$ $1550 (21.6)$ $1422 (20.5)$ $0.08$ Elevated SBP2 $438 (3.1)$ $210 (3.0)$ $228 (3.3)$ $0.25$ Elevated DBP2 $1450 (10.4)$ $746 (10.5)$ $704 (10.2)$ $0.51$ Elevated BP2 $1604 (11.5)$ $815 (11.5)$ $789 (11.4)$ $0.87$ Elevated FBG2 $161 (4.2)$ $96 (4.8)$ $65 (3.5)$ $0.06$ Elevated TG2 $1065 (27.7)$ $541 (26.9)$ $524 (28.6)$ $0.22$ Low HDL-c2 $1134 (29.5)$ $658 (32.7)$ $476 (26.0)$ $<0.001$ MetS2 $188 (5.0)$ $108 (5.5)$ $80 (4.5)$ $0.17$ Number of MetS components2 $0$ $1443 (38.7)$ $706 (35.9)$ $737 (41.7)$ $0.005$ 1 $1357 (36.4)$ $747 (38.0)$ $610 (34.5)$ $341 (19.3)$ 2 $744 (19.9)$ $403 (20.5)$ $341 (19.3)$	SES				
InterventionInterventionInterventionInterventionMedium $4424 (33.2)$ $2219 (33.0)$ $2205 (33.4)$ High $4440 (33.3)$ $2360 (35.1)$ $2080 (31.6)$ Abdominal obesity2 $2972 (21.1)$ $1550 (21.6)$ $1422 (20.5)$ $0.08$ Elevated SBP2 $438 (3.1)$ $210 (3.0)$ $228 (3.3)$ $0.25$ Elevated DBP2 $1450 (10.4)$ $746 (10.5)$ $704 (10.2)$ $0.51$ Elevated BP2 $1604 (11.5)$ $815 (11.5)$ $789 (11.4)$ $0.87$ Elevated FBG2 $161 (4.2)$ $96 (4.8)$ $65 (3.5)$ $0.06$ Elevated TG2 $1065 (27.7)$ $541 (26.9)$ $524 (28.6)$ $0.22$ Low HDL-c2 $1134 (29.5)$ $658 (32.7)$ $476 (26.0)$ $<0.001$ MetS2 $188 (5.0)$ $108 (5.5)$ $80 (4.5)$ $0.17$ Number of MetS components2 $0$ $1443 (38.7)$ $706 (35.9)$ $737 (41.7)$ $0.005$ 1 $1357 (36.4)$ $747 (38.0)$ $610 (34.5)$ $341 (19.3)$ 2 $744 (19.9)$ $403 (20.5)$ $341 (19.3)$	Low	4454 (33.4)	2147 (31.9)	2307 (35.0)	< 0.001
HighHat (cl.)Lin (cl.)Lin (cl.)High4440 (33.3)2360 (35.1)2080 (31.6)Abdominal obesity2972 (21.1)1550 (21.6)1422 (20.5)0.08Elevated SBP2438 (3.1)210 (3.0)228 (3.3)0.25Elevated DBP21450 (10.4)746 (10.5)704 (10.2)0.51Elevated BP21604 (11.5)815 (11.5)789 (11.4)0.87Elevated FBG2161 (4.2)96 (4.8)65 (3.5)0.06Elevated TG21065 (27.7)541 (26.9)524 (28.6)0.22Low HDL-c21134 (29.5)658 (32.7)476 (26.0)<0.001	Medium	4424 (33.2)	2219 (33.0)	2205 (33.4)	
Abdominal obesity 22972 (21.1)1550 (21.6)1422 (20.5)0.08Elevated SBP 2438 (3.1)210 (3.0)228 (3.3)0.25Elevated DBP 21450 (10.4)746 (10.5)704 (10.2)0.51Elevated BP 21604 (11.5)815 (11.5)789 (11.4)0.87Elevated FBG 2161 (4.2)96 (4.8)65 (3.5)0.06Elevated TG 21065 (27.7)541 (26.9)524 (28.6)0.22Low HDL-c 21134 (29.5)658 (32.7)476 (26.0)<0.001	High	4440 (33 3)	2360 (35.1)	2080 (31.6)	
Elevated SBP2438 (3.1)210 (3.0)228 (3.3)0.25Elevated DBP21450 (10.4)746 (10.5)704 (10.2)0.51Elevated BP21604 (11.5)815 (11.5)789 (11.4)0.87Elevated FBG2161 (4.2)96 (4.8)65 (3.5)0.06Elevated TG21065 (27.7)541 (26.9)524 (28.6)0.22Low HDL-c21134 (29.5)658 (32.7)476 (26.0)<0.001	Abdominal obesity	2972 (21.1)	1550 (21.6)	1422(20.5)	0.08
Elevated DBP2       1450 (10.4)       746 (10.5)       704 (10.2)       0.51         Elevated BP2       1604 (11.5)       815 (11.5)       789 (11.4)       0.87         Elevated FBG2       161 (4.2)       96 (4.8)       65 (3.5)       0.06         Elevated TG2       1065 (27.7)       541 (26.9)       524 (28.6)       0.22         Low HDL-c2       1134 (29.5)       658 (32.7)       476 (26.0)       <0.001	Elevated SBP	438 (3.1)	210(3.0)	228 (3 3)	0.25
Elevated $BP_2$ 160 (10.1)       100 (10.1)       100 (10.2)       001         Elevated $BP_2$ 1604 (11.5)       815 (11.5)       789 (11.4)       0.87         Elevated FBG_2       161 (4.2)       96 (4.8)       65 (3.5)       0.06         Elevated TG_2       1065 (27.7)       541 (26.9)       524 (28.6)       0.22         Low HDL-c_2       1134 (29.5)       658 (32.7)       476 (26.0)       <0.001	Elevated DBP	1450 (10.4)	746 (10.5)	704 (10.2)	0.51
Elevated $BF_2$ 160 (11.5)       605 (11.5)       100 (11.1)       0.05         Elevated $FBG_2$ 161 (4.2)       96 (4.8)       65 (3.5)       0.06         Elevated $TG_2$ 1065 (27.7)       541 (26.9)       524 (28.6)       0.22         Low HDL-c_2       1134 (29.5)       658 (32.7)       476 (26.0)       <0.001	Elevated BP	1604 (11.5)	815 (11 5)	789 (11.4)	0.87
Elevated $TG_2$ 1065 (27.7)       541 (26.9)       524 (28.6)       0.22         Low HDL-c2       1134 (29.5)       658 (32.7)       476 (26.0)       <0.001	Elevated FBG	161 (4 2)	96 (4.8)	65 (3 5)	0.06
Low HDL- $c_2$ 1134 (29.5)       658 (32.7)       476 (26.0)       <0.001	Elevated TG	1065 (27.7)	541 (26 9)	524 (28.6)	0.22
Interview	Low HDL-c	1134 (29 5)	658 (32.7)	476 (26.0)	< 0.001
Number of MetS components2       1443 (38.7)       706 (35.9)       737 (41.7)       0.005         1       1357 (36.4)       747 (38.0)       610 (34.5)         2       744 (19.9)       403 (20.5)       341 (19.3)         3       174 (4.7)       98 (5.0)       76 (4.3)	MetS	188 (5 0)	108(55)	80 (4 5)	0.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of MetS components	100 (0.0)	100 (0.0)	00 (1.5)	0.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1443 (38 7)	706 (35.9)	737 (41 7)	0.005
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 744 (19.9) \\ 174 (4.7) \\ 98 (5.0) \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 010 (9.13) \\ 010 (9.13) \\ 341 (19.3) \\ 76 (4.3) \\ \end{array}$	1	1357 (36.4)	747 (38.0)	610 (34 5)	0.005
$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{174(17.5)}{174(4.7)}$ $\frac{105(20.5)}{100}$ $\frac{174(17.5)}{76(4.3)}$	1	744 (19.9)	403 (20 5)	341(193)	
	23	174 (4 7)	98 (5.0)	76 (4 3)	
4    14 (0.4)    10 (0.5)    4 (0.2)	4	14 (0 4)	10 (0.5)	4(02)	
Obesity $1615(11.4)$ $896(12.5)$ $719(10.3)$ <0.001	Obesity	1615 (11 4)	896 (12 5)	719 (10 3)	<0.001
Overweight $1330 (94)$ $621 (87)$ $709 (102)$ $0.001$	Overweight	1330 (9 4)	621 (8 7)	709 (10.2)	0.001
$G_{10}(0,1)$	Excess weight $4$	2945 (20.8)	1517 (21.2)	1428 (20 5)	0.002
Encode weight $2515(20.0)$ $1517(21.2)$ $1420(20.3)$ $0.52$ Flevated TC $189(4.9)$ $100(5.0)$ $89(4.9)$ $0.87$	Flevated TC	189 (4 9)	100(50)	89 (4 9)	0.52
Elevated LDL-C $674 (175)$ $341 (169)$ $333 (182)$ 0.31	Elevated LDL-C	674 (17 5)	341 (16.9)	333 (18.2)	0.31

hypertension, have classically been recognized as risk factors for CKD and are still considered to be of its major underlying causes.<sup>[35]</sup> Some studies in adults have shown the link of cardiometabolic risk factors with renal disease and the higher risk of CKD by increasing number of cardiometabolic risk factors<sup>[8,36]</sup> The frequency of

dyslipidemia, characterized by increased small particles LDL-C and VLDL and decreased levels of HDL-C, is more prevalent in CKD patients compared to general population.<sup>[34,35]</sup> Clustering of cardiometabolic factors and MS can be independently associated with higher risk of CKD;<sup>[35]</sup> on the other hand, CKD itself is an independent

Kelishadi, et al.: Glomerula	hyperfiltration a	and	cardiometabolic	risk	factors
------------------------------	-------------------	-----	-----------------	------	---------

Table 2: Mean (standard deviation) of cardiometabolic risk factors by tertile of eGFR: The CASPIAN V study				
Variable		Tertile of eGFR *		
	T1	Τ2	Т3	
Weight (kg)	35.27 (15.80)	40.44 (15.07)	48.92 (16.98)	< 0.001
Height (cm)	138.33 (17.83)	146.65 (15.44)	156.27 (14.28)	< 0.001
WC (cm)	63.01 (12.13)	66.66 (11.51)	70.23 (11.57)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	17.67 (4.49)	18.18 (3.95)	19.59 (5.33)	< 0.001
WHtR	0.45 (0.06)	0.45 (0.06)	0.44 (0.06)	0.01
SBP (mmHg)	96.40 (13.23)	98.31 (13.00)	101.49 (11.94)	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	61.86 (10.41)	63.19 (10.04)	65.57 (9.76)	< 0.001
FBG (mg/dL)	92.08 (13.68)	91.13 (10.63)	91.76 (11.86)	0.50
TG (mg/dL)	87.60 (45.93)	85.91 (39.09)	90.96 (49.99)	0.06
HDL-C (mg/dL)	45.66 (10.15)	46.66 (10.19)	46.17 (9.58)	0.19
LDL-C (mg/dL)	88.50 (23.31)	90.48 (22.12)	91.18 (22.41)	0.003
TC (mg/dL)	151.69 (28.81)	154.33 (26.67)	155.55 (26.75)	< 0.001

# Table 3: Prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors by tertiles of eGFR: the CASPIAN V study

Variable	Te	P for		
	T1	Т2	Т3	trend
Abdominal obesity	262 (20.6)	264 (21.0)	236 (18.6)	0.28
Elevated SBP	31 (2.5)	32 (2.6)	30 (2.4)	0.96
Elevated DBP	97 (7.7)	110 (8.9)	138 (11.1)	0.01
Elevated BP	106 (8.4)	121 (9.8)	147 (11.8)	0.01
Elevated FBG	53 (4.2)	51 (4.0)	56 (4.4)	0.89
Elevated TG	353 (27.7)	333 (26.3)	371 (29.2)	0.26
Low HDL-c	397 (31.2)	357 (28.2)	375 (29.5)	0.26
MetS	57 (4.5)	66 (5.3)	65 (5.2)	0.60
Number of MetS				
components				
0	472 (37.5)	506 (41.0)	465 (37.5)	0.30
1	470 (37.4)	428 (34.7)	459 (37.0)	
2	258 (20.5)	235 (19.0)	251 (20.2)	
3	55 (4.4)	62 (5.0)	57 (4.6)	
4	2 (0.2)	4 (0.3)	8 (0.6)	
Obesity	138 (10.8)	126 (10.0)	151 (11.9)	0.29
Overweight	83 (6.5)	136 (10.8)	128 (10.1)	< 0.001
Excess weight	221 (17.4)	262 (20.7)	279 (22.0)	0.01
High TC	72 (5.7)	52 (4.1)	63 (5.0)	0.19
High LDL-C	208 (16.3)	228 (18.0)	235 (18.5)	0.31

risk factor for CVDs.<sup>[8,36]</sup> Moreover, cardiometabolic factors can be also associated with acute kidney injury.<sup>[37]</sup>

In our study, in both genders, higher eGFR was associated with anthropometric indices and cardiometabolic factors except than FBG and HDL-C. Limited population-based studies exist in this regard, and most of them have been conducted in adults. A study in Japanese adult population reported that cardiometabolic risk factors were associated with decreased GFR and in turn with CKD.<sup>[38,39]</sup> A study among African-American adults found that some cardiometabolic factors, including elevated BP, abdominal obesity, low HDL-C, high FBG, and TG, was associated with a decrease in GFR levels and CKD.<sup>[40]</sup> It is also reported that in Korean adults, changes in eGFR levels

# Table 4: Correlation coefficient of cardiometabolic risk factors and eGFR by sex: The CASPIAN V study

Variable	eGFR*			
	Total	Boys	Girls	
Weight (kg)	0.35**	0.34**	0.37**	
Height (cm)	0.83**	0.43**	0.47**	
WC (cm)	0.26**	0.24**	0.28**	
BMI (kg/m2)	0.16**	0.14**	0.19**	
WHtR	-0.04*	-0.05**	-0.02	
SBP (mmHg)	0.17**	0.17**	0.17**	
DBP (mmHg)	0.15**	0.14**	0.16**	
FBG (mg/dL)	-0.004	-0.02	0.02	
TG (mg/dL)	0.09**	0.08**	0.10**	
HDL-C (mg/dL)	0.01	0.01	0.01	
LDL-C (mg/dL)	0.04**	-0.001	0.11**	
TC (mg/dL)	0.07**	0.03	0.13**	

were in line with changes in cardiometabolic risk factors.<sup>[41]</sup> Contrary to these findings, a large study among Chinese adults did not confirm the association of decreased GFR with cardiometabolic risk factors as BMI, FBG, and TG.<sup>[42]</sup> In a study among children with CKD, in spite of treatment, with decreasing GFR, the prevalence of metabolic abnormalities increased by 2- to 4-fold.<sup>[42]</sup> Variations in the findings of studies on the association of metabolic abnormalities with GFR might be in part because of racial and ethnic differences.<sup>[43,44]</sup>

The present data suggest that the increased prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors associated with glomerular hyperfusion could be the result of decreased number of functioning nephrons due to overweight and obesity. Association between renal hyperperfusion and hypertension has also been reported in obese patients secondary to glomerular capillary endothelial cell injury.<sup>[45]</sup> It is anticipated that glomerular hyperfiltration over a long period of time could result in further decline in eGFR and development of cardio MS. Moreover, it has been documented that patient with type 1 diabetes

Table 5: Associa	ation of cardiometabolic risk factors and
eGFR in logistic r	egression analysis: The CASPIAN-V study
Variable	Tertile of eGFR*

	T1	Τ2	Т3
Abdominal			
obesity			
Model I	Reference	1.02 (0.84-1.24)	0.88 (0.72-1.07)
Model II	Reference	1.04 (0.84-1.28)	0.91 (0.73-1.13)
Elevated SBP			
Model I	Reference	1.04 (0.63-1.72)	0.98 (0.58-1.62)
Model II	Reference	1.15 (0.67-1.98)	1.29 (0.72-2.31)
Model III	Reference	1.14 (0.66-1.97)	1.23 (0.68-2.22)
Elevated DBP			
Model I	Reference	1.16 (0.87-1.54)	1.49 (1.13-1.96)**
Model II	Reference	1.18 (0.86-1.60)	1.55 (1.13-2.11)**
Model III	Reference	1.17 (0.86-1.60)	1.48 (1.08-2.02)**
Elevated BP			
Model I	Reference	1.17 (0.89-1.54)	1.45 (1.12-1.89)**
Model II	Reference	1.18 (0.88-1.59)	1.51 (1.12-2.04)**
Model III	Reference	1.18 (0.88-1.58)	1.48 (1.08-2.02)**
Elevated TG			. ,
Model I	Reference	0.93 (0.78-1.11)	1.07 (0.90-1.27)
Model II	Reference	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	1.06 (0.87-1.28)
Model III	Reference	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	1.04 (0.86-1.27)
Low HDL-C		,	
Model I	Reference	0.86 (0.73-1.03)	0.92 (0.78-1.09)
Model II	Reference	0.80 (0.66-0.96)**	0.73 (0.60-0.89)**
Model III	Reference	0.80 (0.66-0.96)**	0.72 (0.60-0.88)**
ElevatedFBG		( )	
Model I	Reference	0.96 (0.65-1.43)	1.06 (0.72-1.56)
Model II	Reference	0.99 (0.65-1.52)	1.06 (0.69-1.64)
Model III	Reference	0.99 (0.65-1.51)	1.03 (0.67-1.60)
MetS		( )	
Model I	Reference	1.18 (0.82-1.71)	1.16 (0.80-1.67)
Model II	Reference	1.29 (0.88-1.91)	1.22 (0.81-1.84)
Model III	Reference	1.32 (0.89-1.96)	1.12 (0.74-1.70)
Elevated LDL-C		( )	
Model I	Reference	1.12 (0.91-1.38)	1.16 (0.94-1.42)
Model II	Reference	1.18 (0.94-1.48)	1.34 (1.07-1.69)**
Model III	Reference	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	1.35 (1.07-1.70)**
Elevated TC		( )	
Model I	Reference	0.71 (0.49-1.03)	0.87 (0.61-1.23)
Model II	Reference	0.77 (0.51-1.15)	1.23 (0.84-1.81)
Model III	Reference	0.77 (0.52-1.15)	1.25 (0.85-1.84)
Over weight			
Model I	Reference	1.72 (1.29-2.29)**	1.60 (1.20-2.14)**
Model II	Reference	1.68 (1.24-2.27)**	1.70 (1.24-2.33)**
Obesity		1.00 (1.2 + 2.27)	1.70 (1.2 · 2.00)
Model I	Reference	0 91 (0 70-1 17)	1 11 (0 86-1 41)
Model II	Reference	0.97 (0.74-1.28)	1 27 (0 96-1 67)
Excess weight		0.77 (0.77 1.20)	1.27 (0.90 1.07)
Model I	Reference	1 24 (1 01-1 51)**	1 34 (1 10-1 63)**
Model II	Reference	1 29 (1 04-1 59)**	1 21 (1 21_1 88)**
MOUCH II	Reference	1.27(1.0+-1.37)	1.21 (1.21=1.00)

mellitus and elevated baseline GFR values are more prone to develop impaired renal function such as proteinuria and hypertension than those with normal or lower baseline GFR<sup>[44]</sup> Further, in a recent study, diabetic male adults experience higher mortality rate due to renal hyperperfusion than the females participants, suggesting that hypertriglyceridemia has been reported to have a positive correlation with the development of glomerular hyperperfusion.<sup>[45]</sup>

Thus, adiposity, elevated BP, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia are important risk factors for NCDs including cardiovascular and kidney diseases.<sup>[46]</sup> Therefore, early detection of NCDs that originate from early life, such as obesity and hypertension, is warranted in high-risk children and adolescents.

# Study limitations and strengths

The main limitation of this study is the cross-sectional nature of data. The strengths are the novelty in the pediatric age group, the large sample size, and the nationwide coverage of the study.

# Conclusions

MS categories and glomerular hyperfiltration are positively associated, independently of other known CKD risk factors, suggesting that the increases in GFR may represent an important modifying factor of the association between MS and CKD.

The findings of this large population-based study serve as confirmatory evidence on a correlation between cardiometabolic risk factors and elevated eGFR among children and adolescents. The relationship of some cardiometabolic risk factors with the elevated GFR levels observed in this study may be related to glomerular hyperfiltration. The study findings emphasize the importance of primordial/primary prevention of MS and the renal function screening of at-risk children and adolescents.

### Acknowledgment

This nationwide study was conducted as a survey of a school-based surveillance program. The authors are thankful to the large team working with this study, as well as the participants and their families.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 15 Jun 17	Accepted: 21 Nov 17
Published: 19 Mar 18	

#### References

 Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A; GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators, *et al.* Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 2016;388:1459-544.

- Safiri S, Kelishadi R, Qorbani M, Lotfi R, Djalalinia S, Salehifar D, et al. Association of dietary behaviors with physical activity in a nationally representative sample of children and adolescents: The CASPIAN- IV study. Int J Pediatr 2016;4:1505-17.
- 3. Craig JM, Prescott S. Non-communicable diseases: Early life is key to disease risk. Nature 2014;512:28.
- Vernooij JW, van der Graaf Y, Visseren FL, Spiering W; SMART Study Group. The prevalence of obesity-related hypertension and risk for new vascular events in patients with vascular diseases. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2012;20:2118-23.
- Nguyen NT, Magno CP, Lane KT, Hinojosa MW, Lane JS. Association of hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndrome with obesity: Findings from the national health and nutrition examination survey, 1999 to 2004. J Am Coll Surg 2008;207:928-34.
- Kovesdy CP, Furth SL, Zoccali C, World Kidney Day Steering Committee. Obesity and kidney disease: Hidden consequences of the epidemic. Kidney Dis (Basel) 2017;3:33-41.
- Wu F, Guo Y, Chatterji S, Zheng Y, Naidoo N, Jiang Y, et al. Common risk factors for chronic non-communicable diseases among older adults in China, Ghana, Mexico, India, Russia and South Africa: The study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE) wave 1. BMC Public Health 2015;15:88.
- Kurella M, Lo JC, Chertow GM. Metabolic syndrome and the risk for chronic kidney disease among nondiabetic adults. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005;16:2134-40.
- Sarafidis PA, Whaley-Connell A, Sowers JR, Bakris GL. Cardiometabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease: What is the link? J Cardiometab Syndr 2006;1:58-65.
- Ogna A, Forni Ogna V, Bochud M, Guessous I, Paccaud F, Burnier M, *et al.* Association between obesity and glomerular hyperfiltration: The confounding effect of smoking and sodium and protein intakes. Eur J Nutr 2016;55:1089-97.
- 11. Kotsis V, Nilsson P, Grassi G, Mancia G, Redon J, Luft F, *et al.* New developments in the pathogenesis of obesity-induced hypertension. J Hypertens 2015;33:1499-508.
- Manrique C, Lastra G, Whaley-Connell A, Sowers JR. Hypertension and the cardiometabolic syndrome. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2005;7:471-6.
- Lastra G, Manrique C, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR. Cardiometabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. Curr Diab Rep 2006;6:207-12.
- 14. Junien C, Panchenko P, Pirola L, Amarger V, Kaeffer B, Parnet P, *et al.* The new paradigm of the developmental origin of health and diseases (DOHaD) epigenetics and environment: Evidence and missing links. Med Sci (Paris) 2016;32:27-34.
- Motie M, Evangelista LS, Lombardo D, Hoi J, Horwich TB, Hamilton M, *et al.* Effect of weight loss on renal function in overweight and obese patients with heart failure. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2017;11:95-8.
- Ohashi H, Oda H, Ohno M, Watanabe S. Weight reduction improves high blood pressure and microalbuminuria in hypertensive patients with obesity. Nihon Jinzo Gakkai Shi 2001;43:333-9.
- Jesudason DR, Pedersen E, Clifton PM. Weight-loss diets in people with type 2 diabetes and renal disease: A randomized controlled trial of the effect of different dietary protein amounts. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;98:494-501.
- 18. Daniels SR, Pratt CA, Hayman LL. Reduction of risk for cardiovascular disease in children and adolescents. Circulation

2011;124:1673-86.

- Motlagh ME, Ziaodini H, Qorbani M, Taheri M, Aminaei T, Goodarzi A, *et al.* Early findings of the fifth survey of childhood and adolescence surveillance and prevention of adult non-communicable disease: The CASPIAN-V Study. Int J Prev Med 2017;8:4
- Kelishadi R, Majdzadeh R, Motlagh ME, Heshmat R, Aminaee T, Ardalan G, *et al.* Development and evaluation of a questionnaire for assessment of determinants of weight disorders among children and adolescents: The Caspian-IV study. Int J Prev Med 2012;3:699-705.
- World Health Organization. Expert Committee on Physical Status. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Geneva: WHO; 1995.
- Onis M. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr 2006;9:76-85.
- Lo K, Wong M, Khalechelvam P, Tam W. Waist-to-height ratio, body mass index and waist circumference for screening paediatric cardio-metabolic risk factors: A meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2016;17:1258-75.
- 24. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2004;114:555-76.
- 25. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third report of the national cholesterol education program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult treatment panel III) final report. Circulation 2002;106:3143-421.
- Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T, Levey AS. Assessing kidney function – Measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2473-83.
- Schwartz GJ, Muñoz A, Schneider MF, Mak RH, Kaskel F, Warady BA, *et al.* New equations to estimate GFR in children with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2009;20:629-37.
- D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de Vries AP, Levi M, Porrini E, Herman-Edelstein M, *et al.* Obesity-related glomerulopathy: Clinical and pathologic characteristics and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Nephrol 2016;12:453-71.
- Chagnac A, Herman M, Zingerman B, Erman A, Rozen-Zvi B, Hirsh J, *et al.* Obesity-induced glomerular hyperfiltration: Its involvement in the pathogenesis of tubular sodium reabsorption. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:3946-52.
- Wuerzner G, Pruijm M, Maillard M, Bovet P, Renaud C, Burnier M, *et al.* Marked association between obesity and glomerular hyperfiltration: A cross-sectional study in an African population. Am J Kidney Dis 2010;56:303-12.
- 31. Ritz E, Koleganova N, Piecha G. Is there an obesity-metabolic syndrome related glomerulopathy? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2011;20:44-9.
- Wang Y, Chen X, Song Y, Caballero B, Cheskin LJ. Association between obesity and kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Kidney Int 2008;73:19-33.
- Tanaka H, Shiohira Y, Uezu Y, Higa A, Iseki K. Metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in Okinawa, Japan. Kidney Int 2006;69:369-74.
- Lamprea-Montealegre JA, Sharrett AR, Matsushita K, Selvin E, Szklo M, Astor BC, *et al.* Chronic kidney disease, lipids and apolipoproteins, and coronary heart disease: The ARIC study. Atherosclerosis 2014;234:42-6.

- 35. de Boer IH, Astor BC, Kramer H, Palmas W, Seliger SL, Shlipak MG, *et al.* Lipoprotein abnormalities associated with mild impairment of kidney function in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008;3:125-32.
- Tozawa M, Iseki C, Tokashiki K, Chinen S, Kohagura K, Kinjo K, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome and risk of developing chronic kidney disease in Japanese adults. Hypertens Res 2007;30:937-43.
- 37. Mendy VL, Azevedo MJ, Sarpong DF, Rosas SE, Ekundayo OT, Sung JH, *et al.* The association between individual and combined components of metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease among African Americans: The Jackson heart study. PLoS One 2014;9:e101610.
- Song YM, Sung J, Lee K. Genetic and environmental influences on the associations between change in kidney function and changes in cardiometabolic factors in Koreans. Clin Exp Nephrol 2017;21:474-80.
- Cai Q, Wang X, Ye J, Zhuo L, Song H, Liu C, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome does not always play a critical role in decreased GFR. Ren Fail 2016;38:383-9.
- 40. Furth SL, Abraham AG, Jerry-Fluker J, Schwartz GJ, Benfield M, Kaskel F, *et al.* Metabolic abnormalities, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and GFR decline in children with chronic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;6:2132-40.

- Foley RN, Wang C, Ishani A, Collins AJ. NHANES III: Influence of race on GFR thresholds and detection of metabolic abnormalities. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;18:2575-82.
- Mascali A, Franzese O, Nisticò S, Campia U, Lauro D, Cardillo C, *et al.* Obesity and kidney disease: Beyond the hyperfiltration. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2016;29:354-63.
- 43. Thomson HJ, Ekinci EI, Radcliffe NJ, Seah JM, MacIsaac RJ, Jerums G, *et al.* Elevated baseline glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is independently associated with a more rapid decline in renal function of patients with type 1 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications 2016;30:256-61.
- Yoo KD, Yoon HJ, Hwang SS, Heo NJ, Chin HJ, Yang SH, *et al.* Different association between renal hyperfiltration and mortality by sex. Nephrology (Carlton) 2017;22:804-10.
- 45. Lee J, Kim HJ, Cho B, Park JH, Choi HC, Lee CM, et al. Abdominal adipose tissue was associated with glomerular hyperfiltration among non- diabetic and normotensive adults with a normal body mass index. PLoS One 2015;10:e0141364.
- 46. Danaei G, Lu Y, Singh GM, Carnahan E, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, et al. Cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes mortality burden of cardiometabolic risk factors from 1980 to 2010. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:634-47.