Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease

The once-daily fixed-dose combination of olodaterol and tiotropium in the management of COPD: current evidence and future prospects

Eric Derom^(D), Guy G. Brusselle and Guy F. Joos

Abstract: Long-acting bronchodilators are the cornerstone of pharmacologic treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Spiolto® or Stiolto® is a fixed-dose combination (FDC) containing two long-acting bronchodilators, the long-acting muscarinic receptor antagonist tiotropium (TIO) and the long-acting β^2 -adrenoceptor agonist olodaterol (OLO), formulated in the Respimat[®] Soft Mist™ inhaler. A total of 13 large, multicentre studies of up to 52 weeks' duration have documented its efficacy in more than 15,000 patients with COPD. TIO/OLO 5/5 µg FDC significantly increases pulmonary function compared with placebo and its respective constituent mono-components TIO $5\mu q$ and OLO $5\mu q$. TIO/OLO $5/5\mu q$ also results in statistically and clinically significant improvements in patient-reported outcomes, such as dyspnoea, use of rescue medication, and health status. Addition of OLO $5 \mu q$ to TIO $5 \mu q$ reduces the rate of moderate-to-severe exacerbations by approximately 10%. Compared with placebo and TIO 5 μ g, TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g significantly improves exercise capacity (e.g. endurance time) and physical activity, the latter increase being reached by a unique combination behavioural modification intervention, dual bronchodilatation and exercise training. Overall, the likelihood for patients to experience a clinically significant benefit is higher with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg than with its constituent mono-components, which usually yield smaller improvements which do not always reach statistical significance, compared with baseline or placebo. This supports the early introduction of TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu q$ in the management of patients with symptomatic COPD.

Keywords: bronchodilatation, COPD, dyspnoea, exacerbation, exercise tolerance, hyperinflation, inspiratory capacity, LABA, LAMA, physical activity, spirometry

Received: 21 November 2018; revised manuscript accepted: 18 March 2019

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by persistent airflow limitation caused by smoking or exposure to noxious gases. Typical symptoms are reduced exercise tolerance, breathlessness that progresses over the years, cough and sputum production, which worsen acutely during an exacerbation and require additional treatment, unscheduled medical visits and even hospital care. COPD eventually leads to reduced activities of daily living, poor quality of life and premature death.¹

Data provided by the Global Burden of Disease study indicate that more than 300 million people have COPD worldwide. Of these, 4.7 million will die from COPD in 2020, corresponding to the third place in the world ranking of causes of death, just behind ischemic heart disease and stroke. COPD also contributes to 29.4 million years lost to disability worldwide.²

In Europe, COPD was responsible in 2014 for \in 38.7 billion of direct medical costs. In the United States (US), direct medical costs burden

Ther Adv Respir Dis

2019, Vol. 13: 1–24 DOI: 10.1177/ 1753466619843426

© The Author(s), 2019.

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: Eric Derom

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Ghent University Hospital, Ingang 12, Route 1404, Corneel Heymanstaan 10, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium eric.derom@ugent.be

Guy G. Brusselle

Guy F. Joos Department of Respiratory Medicine, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

journals.sagepub.com/home/tar



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

the healthcare budget with US\$31.2 billion and a further US\$3.9 billion because of absenteeism costs.^{2,3}

COPD is not curable, but strategies to reduce its global burden have been developed, comprising smoking cessation, avoidance of exposure to occupational risk factors, prevention and management of comorbidities and promotion of physical activity. The management of the individual patient with COPD aims to alleviate symptoms, improve health status and exercise tolerance, and reduce the frequency and severity of exacerbations. It basically consists of influenza and pneumococcal vaccination, smoking cessation, pulmonary rehabilitation as well as treatment with bronchodilating and antiinflammatory drugs.¹

2019 Global Initiative for Chronic The Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) strategy recommends primarily the use of one long-acting bronchodilator [either a long-acting β_2 -agonist (LABA) or a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA)] as the first-line therapy for patients with symptomatic COPD, classified as GOLD B patients, and proposes to escalate this to dual long-acting bronchodilatation in the case of persistent symptoms. Likewise, dual long-acting bronchodilatation is the preferred option in COPD GOLD C patients, the oligosymptomatic patients who experience recurrent exacerbations, in cases of an insufficient response to a LAMA as first-line treatment. For GOLD group D patients, dual bronchodilatation is one among several proposed treatment options.1

In the light of these recommendations and the available scientific evidence, several formulations containing both a LAMA and a LABA have been developed as fixed-dose combination (FDC) and approved for marketing in the treatment of COPD. Tiotropium-olodaterol 5/5 µg (TIO/OLO 5/5µg) once daily (Spiolto® or Stiolto[®] formulated in the Respinat[®] Soft MistTM Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, inhaler; Germany) and umeclidinium-vilanterol 55/22 µg (UMEC/VIL 55/22µg) once daily (Laventair-Anoro® Ellipta[®]; GlaxoSmithKline PLC, London, United Kingdom) are approved worldwide. Aclidinium-formoterol 340/12µg twice daily (Duaklir[®] Genuair[®]; AstraZeneca PLC, London, United Kingdom) and glycopyrronium-indacaterol 85/43 µg (GLY/IND 85/43 µg) once daily (QVA149; Ultibro® Breezhaler®,

Novartis International AG, Basel, Switzerland) are approved in Europe. In the US, however, the GLY/IND 27.5/12.5µg formulation is only approved at a twice daily dose and marketed as UtibronTM Neohaler[®], as are two doses twice daily of glycopyrrolate-formoterol 18/9.6µg (Bevespi, Aerosphere[®]).

We have previously extensively reviewed the therapeutic efficacy of TIO/OLO 5/5 µg FDC at a oncedaily dose in patients with COPD. We described in detail its effects on lung function, dyspnoea and health-related quality of life (HR-OoL) compared with placebo, the respective mono-components tiotropium (TIO) 5µg and olodaterol (OLO) 5µg, and the LABA-inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) FDC salmeterol-fluticasone (SALM/FLU).⁴ The present review will primarily focus on the phase III studies published as abstract (MORACTO, TORRACTO^{5,6}) or unpublished (VESUTO, DYNAGITO, PHYSACTO, OTIVATO⁷⁻¹⁰) at the time of our previous review: effects of TIO/ OLO $5/5 \mu g$ on lung volumes, exercise tolerance, physical activity and exacerbations. This publication will also assess some of the post hoc analyses of previously published material (OTEMTO, TONADO^{11,12}) dealing with cost-effectiveness and safety. It will also focus on the effects in Japanese patients. In the first paragraphs, we shall, however, briefly reassess the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5 µg on spirometry, HR-QoL and dyspnoea.

Most of the data, analysed in the present review, are derived from 13 phase III, multicentre, randomized, double-blind, controlled studies conducted in over 16,500 patients with COPD. All studies (six of which were replicate studies) are published as full papers in peer-reviewed journals and briefly summarised in Table 1. Overall, six studies (VIVACITO,13 ENERGITO,14 MORACTO I and II,5 VESUTO,9 OTIVATO¹⁰), used a crossover design, seven studies (OTEMTOI and II,¹¹VIVACITO,¹³TORRACTO,⁶ MORACTO I + II,⁵ PHYSACTO⁸) were placebo controlled, and five studies (TONADO 1 + $2,^{12}$ VESUTO,9 OTIVATO10 and DYNAGITO7) compared TIO/OLO with TIO or OLO as monotherapy. In one trial, the ENERGITO study, TIO/OLO 5/5µg was compared with SALM/FLU.14

The present review focuses on TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$, the only recommended dosage formulated in a Soft MistTM inhaler and given as two inhalations of TIO/OLO $2.5/2.5 \mu g$ once daily. It will not analyse

Study name	First author (year of publication)	Daily treatments (<i>n</i> of patients randomized)	Primary endpoint	Key secondary endpoints
TONADO 1+2 ¹²	Buhl (2015)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (522/507) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (522/508) OLO 5 μg (528/510) TIO 5 μg (527/506) TIO 2.5 μg (525/507)	FEV ₁ AUC ₀₋₃ at wk 24 Trough FEV ₁ at wk 24 SGRQ at wk 24	TDI at wk 24
VIVACITO ¹³	Beeh (2015)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (139) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (136) OLO 5 μg (138) TIO 5 μg (138) TIO 2.5 μg (137) PLA (138)	FEV ₁ AUC ₀₋₂₄ at wk 6	FEV ₁ AUC ₀₋₁₂ at wk 6 FEV ₁ AUC ₁₂₋₂₄ at wk 6 Peak FEV ₁ AUC ₀₋₃ at wk 6 Trough FEV ₁ at wk 6 Δ RV and Δ FRC at wk 6
OTEMTO 1+2 ¹¹	Singh (2015)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (204/202) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (202/202) TIO 5 μg (204/203) PLA (204/202)	SGRQ at wk 12 FEV ₁ AUC ₀₋₃ at wk 12 Trough FEV ₁ at wk 12	TDI at wk 12
ENERGITO ¹⁴	Beeh (2016)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (221) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (215) SALM/FLU 50/500 μg bid (215) SALM/FLU 50/250 μg bid (212)	$FEV_1 AUC_{0-12}$ at wk 6	$FEV_1 AUC_{0-24}$ $FEV_1 AUC_{12-24}$ at wk 6 Peak $FEV_1 AUC_{0-3}$ at wk 6 Trough FEV_1 at wk 6
TORRACTO ⁶	Maltais (2018)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (139) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (133) PLA (132)	CWRCE at wk 12	ESWT at wk 6 and 12, CWRCE at wk 6, pre-exercise IC and IC during exercise at wk 12
MORACTO 1+2 ⁵	O'Donnell (2017)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (226/224) TIO/OLO 2.5/5 μg (223/219) TIO 5 μg (227/218) OLO 5 μg (217/219) PLA (222/216)	Pre-exercise IC at wk 6 CWRCE at wk 6	Borg–time slope/endurance time, FVC 1 h post-dose, isotime and exercise values for IC
VESUT0 ⁹	lchinose (2018)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (184) TIO 5 μg (184)	Pre-exercise IC at wk 6	FEV1, FVC 30 min post-dose, 6MWD, physical activity at wk 6
PHYSACT0 ⁸	Troosters (2018)	SMBM + TI0/0L0 + exercise (70) SMBM + TI0/0L0 5/5 μg (72) SMBM + TI0 5 μg (67) SMBM + PLA (65)	ESWT at wk 8	ESWT at wk 12, 6MWD, spirometry, IC, CRQ-SAS, mMRC, rescue medication, physical activity
DYNAGIT07	Calverley (2018)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (3939) TIO 5 μg (3941)	Moderate and severe exacerbations over 52 wk	Time to first moderate or severe exacerbation, rate of exacerbations leading to hospitalization, time to first exacerbation leading to hospitalization, time to all-cause mortality, rate of exacerbations treated with corticosteroids or antibiotics
OTIVATO ¹⁰	Maltais (2019)	TIO/OLO 5/5 μg (106) TIO 5 μg (106)	Borg Scale at the end of the 3-min CSST at wk 6	IC, FEV ₁ post-dose, Borg scale at 1, 2 and 2.5 min during the 3-min CSST, TDI at wk $\rm 6$

Table 1. Key design details of 13 completed phase III studies in patients with COPD comparing clinical effects of tiotropium/ olodaterol fixed-dose combinations (TIO/OLO 5/5 μg) with mono-components or placebo in patients with COPD.

6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; AUC, area under the curve; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRQ-SAS, chronic respiratory disease questionnaire-self-administered standardized; CSST, constant speed shuttle test; CWRCE, constant work-rate cycle endurance time at 75% of peak work rate; ESWT, endurance time during shuttle walk test; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; IC, inspiratory capacity; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; OLO, olodaterol; PLA, placebo; SALM/FLU, salmeterol/fluticasone; SGRQ, St. George's respiratory questionnaire score; TDI, transitional dyspnoea index; TIO, tiotropium; TIO/OLO, tiotropium/olodaterol fixed-dose combination, wk, week.

Table 2. Differences between TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ and PLA, TIO $5 \mu g$ monotherapy, and OLO $5 \mu g$ monotherapy for different spirometric endpoints, expressed as change from baseline.

Study	AUC ₀₋₂₄ FEV ₁ (ml)	AUC ₀₋₃ FEV ₁ (ml)	Trough FEV ₁ (ml)		
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg versus PLA					
Beeh ¹³	$\Delta = 280$		201 <i>versus</i> –6		
Singh 1+2 ¹¹		$\Delta=331$ and $\Delta=299$	$\Delta =$ 162 and $\Delta =$ 166		
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> TIO 5 μg					
Beeh ¹³	$\Delta = 110$		201 versus 122		
Singh ¹¹		$\Delta=$ 111 and $\Delta=$ 105	$\Delta=$ 28 and $\Delta=$ 39		
Buhl 1+2 ¹²		$\Delta =$ 117 and $\Delta =$ 103	$\Delta=$ 71 and $\Delta=$ 50		
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> OLO 5 μg					
Beeh ¹³	$\Delta = 115$		201 <i>versus</i> 109		
Buhl 1+2 ¹²		$\Delta = 123$ and $\Delta = 132$	$\Delta=$ 82 and $\Delta=$ 88		
			11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

AUC, area under the curve; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; MCID, minimal clinically important difference; OLO, olodaterol; PLA, placebo; TIO, tiotropium; TIO/OLO, tiotropium/olodaterol fixed-dose combination. MCID for FEV₁ equals 100–140 ml.³⁰

data obtained at a lower dose of 2.5µg published in some studies, nor compare the efficacy and safety of TIO/OLO 5/5µg with other LABA/LAMA FDCs. Different network analyses and systematic reviews have addressed this topic recently, showing that if differences between FDCs exist, these are often small and of unclear clinical significance.15-24 Though limited in number, well-designed head-tohead comparisons show that differences between FDCs may exist.²⁵ The only head-to-head comparison between TIO/OLO FDC and UMEC/VIL FDC published so far showed a 52 ml difference in trough forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) in favour of UMEC/VIL.²⁶ In that study, however, methodological differences such as unsupervised inhalation of the study medication and the assessment of the bronchodilating effect at only one point in time might have interfered with eventual results. The pharmacological properties of TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ FDC, which have been reviewed in detail elsewhere²⁷⁻²⁹ also fall beyond the scope of the present review.

Therapeutic efficacy on spirometric variables

The VIVACITO study as well as the replicate OTEMTO and TONADO studies have assessed

the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5µg on spirometry over 6, 12, and 52 weeks, respectively. Statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvements in FEV₁, defined as an increase exceeding 100–140 ml (Table 2), were observed for peak FEV_1 and the FEV_1 area under the curve (AUC) from 0 to 3h (FEV₁ AUC₀₋₃), FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂, FEV₁ AUC₁₂₋₂₄ and FEV₁ AUC₀₋₂₄, compared with placebo,^{11,13} the mono-components TIO 5µg¹¹⁻¹³ and OLO 5µg^{12,13} and SALM/FLU FDC.¹⁴ For example, the increase in FEV₁ 3h after inhalation of TIO/OLO $5/5\mu g$ exceeded 300 ml compared with placebo and 100ml compared with its mono-component TIO $5 \mu g$. Even for trough FEV₁, the increase of 162 mland 166ml relative to placebo reached statistical and clinical significance.¹¹ In the six randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) in which TIO/OLO 5/5µg was compared with TIO, OLO or SALM/ FLU FDC, differences between dual bronchodilatation and single bronchodilatation were statistically significant, but just failed to reach the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 100 ml.4

Breathlessness

The Mahler Transition Dyspnea Index (TDI) was assessed in the OTEMTO and TONADO studies.^{11,12} In OTEMTO, TDI focal scores

Table 3. Differences between TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g and PLA, TIO 5 μ g monotherapy, and OLO 5 μ g monotherapy for two patient-centred outcomes with response rates and numbers needed to treat.

Study	TDI	TDI responders (%)	NNT (95% CI)	SGRQ	SGRQ responders (%)	NNT (95% CI)
TIO/OLO 5/5μg <i>versus</i> PLA						
Singh 1+2 ¹¹	2.1 and 1.2	53.9 versus 26.2	4 (3.1–4.2)	-4.89 and -4.59	53.1 <i>versus</i> 31.2 and 51.8 <i>versus</i> 32.6	5 (3.8–5.7) and 6 (4.2–6.6)
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> TIO 5 μg						
Singh 1+2 ¹¹	0.6 and 0.6	53.9 <i>versus</i> 41.0	8 (5.8–11.7)	-2.49 and -1.72	53.1 <i>versus</i> 41.7 and 51.8 <i>versus</i> 41.1	9 (6.3–14.2) and 10 (6.6–15.7)
Buhl 1+212	0.4	54.9 <i>versus</i> 50.6 (NS)	24 (NS)	-1.23	57.5 versus 48.7	12 (7.6–22.5)
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> OLO 5 μg						
Buhl 1+2 ¹²	0.4	54.9 <i>versus</i> 48.2	15 (9.0–42.9)	-1.69	57.5 <i>versus</i> 44.8	8 (5.9–12.0)
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg Singh 1+2 ¹¹ Buhl 1+2 ¹² TIO/OLO 5/5 μg Buhl 1+2 ¹²	versus TIO 5 μy 0.6 and 0.6 0.4 versus OLO 5 μ 0.4	g 53.9 versus 41.0 54.9 versus 50.6 (NS) 54.9 versus 48.2	8 (5.8–11.7) 24 (NS) 15 (9.0–42.9)	-2.49 and -1.72 -1.23 -1.69	53.1 versus 41.7 and 51.8 versus 41.1 57.5 versus 48.7 57.5 versus 44.8	9 (6.3–14.2) and 10 (6.6–15.7) 12 (7.6–22.5) 8 (5.9–12.0)

CI, confidence interval; HR-QoL, health-related quality of life; NNT, number needed to treat; NS, nonsignificant; OLO, olodaterol; PLA, placebo; SGRQ, St. George's respiratory questionnaire score; TDI, Transitional Dyspnea Index; TIO, tiotropium; TIO/OLO, tiotropium/olodaterol fixed-dose combination.

Responders were defined as patients exhibiting a change of 1 unit for TDI and -4 units for SGRQ.^{32,33}

significantly improved after 12 weeks by 1.62 units with TIO/OLO 5/5µg compared with placebo and 0.59 units compared with TIO 5µg. In TONADO, TDI scores significantly improved after 24 weeks by 0.36 and 0.42 units with TIO/ OLO 5/5 µg compared with TIO 5µg and OLO 5µg, respectively. A response analysis of the OTEMTO and TONADO studies showed that 54% of the patients treated with TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ achieved MCID of one unit improvement in TDI, which contrasts with the 41-50% of the patients on TIO 5µg, 48% of those on OLO 5µg and 26% of those on placebo.⁴ Overall, these two studies indicate that more patients receiving TIO/OLO 5/5µg were likely to achieve clinically important improvements in TDI than with the mono-components (Table 3). Indeed, the risk ratio (RR) was 1.17 [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.07, 1.28] for TIO/OLO 5/5µg versus TIO 5µg and 1.14 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.28) versus OLO 5µg.³¹

The number of patients deteriorating over time in terms of dyspnoea under a given treatment represents another approach to compare different interventions. In OTEMTO, less than 11% of patients on any active treatment worsened compared with placebo (23%) at week 12.³⁴ In TONADO, patients on TIO/OLO 5/5 µg showed a delayed onset to

deteriorate in dyspnoea over 52 weeks, compared with either monotherapy, with hazard ratios (HRs) for TDI of 0.84 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.98) for TIO/ OLO 5/5 μ g versus TIO 5 μ g and 0.82 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.96) for TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g versus OLO 5 μ g.³⁴

In the PHYSACTO study, the dyspnoea domain of the Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire-Self Administered Standardized (CRQ-SAS) at week 12 increased significantly in the placebo-treated patients to whom a 12-week self-management behaviour modification (SMBM) was offered, compared with baseline (5.59 versus 5.35 points per question). Further improvements in the CRQ-SAS dyspnoea domain score were observed at week 12 with increasingly potent additional interventions, reaching statistical significance and largely exceeding the MCID of 0.5 units for the average score on each domain³⁵ with TIO/OLO 5/5µg with or without exercise training, compared with placebo. The modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) scale of dyspnoea data were consistent with the CRQ-SAS dyspnoea domain results.8

In the two-period crossover OTIVATO study,¹⁰ the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5µg were compared with those of TIO 5µg in GOLD stage II–III COPD patients with lung hyperinflation at rest [functional

residual capacity (FRC) at baseline: >155% predicted] and a significant degree of breathlessness during every day activities. After 6 weeks, Borg dyspnoea score had decreased at the end of the 3-min constant speed shuttle test (CSST) from baseline with both TIO 5µg (mean -0.968; 95% CI: -1.238,-0.698) and TIO/OLO 5/5µg (mean: -1.325; 95% CI: -1.594,-1.056), with a treatment difference of -0.357 (95% CI: -0.661, -0.053). The number needed to treat (NNT) was seven to achieve the MCID for activity-related breathlessness for TIO/OLO 5/5µg compared with TIO 5µg.¹⁰

Use of rescue medication

In COPD, increased use of rescue medication is usually a sign of increased respiratory symptoms, whereas a decrease in its use is a marker of clinical efficacy and reduced risk of exacerbations.^{36,37} Moreover, night-time rescue medication usage may be an important surrogate for night-time symptom control and sleep disturbance, which is known to impact on HR-QoL in COPD.

Rescue medication use or days and nights free of rescue medication were assessed in 5 of the 11 trials in which the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5µg were assessed. In the 12-week OTEMTO study, overall use of rescue salbutamol diminished from 3.0 puffs/day in placebo recipients to 2.4 puffs/day in TIO 5µg-treated patients and to 1.8 puffs/day in the TIO/OLO 5/5µg group.¹¹ At night, patients on TIO/OLO 5/5µg needed less rescue medication, as they reduced their use at week 12 by 0.42 (95% CI: -0.64, -0.20) puff/night compared with TIO 5µg and by 1.00 (95% CI: -1.22, -0.78) puff/ night compared with placebo [Figure 1(a)].

Throughout the 52-week follow up in the TONADO studies, TIO/OLO 5/5µg significantly reduced the use of salbutamol rescue medication, its daytime use falling from 0.97 puff/day with TIO 5µg to 0.76 puff/day with TIO/OLO 5/5µg.^{4,12} In the same trial, the common baseline use of rescue medication was 2.2 puffs/night. Rescue medication use reduced significantly for patients receiving TIO/OLO 5µg by 0.55 (95% CI: -0.72, -0.39) puff/night compared with TIO 5µg and 0.28 (95% CI: -0.44, -0.11) puff/night compared with OLO 5µg at 52weeks (Figure 1, panel B).

The recently published PHYSACTO study investigated the use of rescue medication during physical activity. A reduction in rescue salbutamol use was observed in all actively treated groups compared with placebo at week 9 and 12, the effect tending to be more pronounced in patients treated with dual bronchodilatation.⁸

Health-related quality of life

HR-QoL of patients with COPD is only loosely related to the degree of spirometric impairment.³⁸ For that reason, measuring the effects of therapeutic interventions on HR-QoL using the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) represents an important complement of spirometric data.

The SGRO total scores increased in all studies with TIO/OLO 5/5µg compared with the respective monotherapies or placebo. In the OTEMTO 1 and 2 studies, SGRQ improved statistically at 12 weeks by 4.89 and 4.56 points with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg versus placebo,¹¹ respectively, an increase that exceeded the MCID of 4 points (Table 2). Compared with TIO 5µg, the improvement with TIO/OLO 5/5µg ranged between 2.49 points and 1.72 points, which was also statistically significant, but did not reach the MCID. Response rates (the percentage of patients in whom the MCID was reached) were 41% in the TIO 5µg recipients and 52% in the TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ group (Table 3). This indicates that many patients do well with monotherapy and only a restricted number of patients (11%) reach the MCID with dual bronchodilatation, with a NNT to reach the MCID of 10.4 In the OTEMTO study, an important Hawthorne effect was present, as 32% of the placebo recipients were ranked as SGRQ responders,11 rendering the interpretation of these response rates difficult.

In the TONADO studies, 58% of patients who were on TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g were classified as SGRQ responders after 24 weeks, compared with 49% on TIO 5 μ g and 45% on OLO 5 μ g.¹² More participants receiving TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g had a clinically meaningful difference in SGRQ in favour of dual treatment compared with TIO 5 μ g (RR: 1.21; 95% CI: 1.12, 1.30) or OLO 5 μ g (RR: 1.28; 95% CI: 1.18, 1.40).³¹

A post hoc analysis of the OTEMTO studies reported that the magnitude of the improvement in SGRQ with TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$, TIO $5 \mu g$ and placebo was dependent on GOLD COPD stage, with greater effects in symptomatic patients.³⁹ When analysed by baseline breathlessness, the



Figure 1. Adjusted weekly mean night-time rescue medication use over 12 weeks in the OTEMTO studies (a) and over 52 weeks in the TONADO studies (b). Reproduced from Ferguson and colleagues, 2017.³⁴

O, olodaterol; T, tiotropium.

difference between SGRQ scores achieved by TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g compared with TIO 5 μ g was greatest in patients with a mMRC of 2 or more compared with those with an mMRC <2.⁴⁰ This indicates that the greatest benefit of combination bronchodilator therapy can be expected in the more symptomatic patients.

Assessing the preventive effect of an intervention on change over time of the SGRQ is another way to establish the validity of a treatment. In the OTEMTO studies, the likelihood to experience a deterioration in HR-QoL at week 12 was significantly lower in recipients of TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g (18%) compared with those in the TIO 5 μ g (23%) or placebo group (30%), with no statistically significant difference between the latter two groups. Likewise, significantly fewer patients deteriorated in terms of SGRQ with TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g (14%) than with either monotherapy (19%) in the TONADO studies at week 24. In a further analysis, the time to SGRQ deterioration over 52 weeks in TONADO

was significantly delayed for TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g with HR of 0.82 (95% CI : 0.70, 0.96) versus TIO 5 μ g and 0.70 (95% CI: 0.60, 0.82) versus OLO.³⁴

Lung volumes

One of the characteristic clinical features of COPD is airflow limitation, caused by the increased resistance of conducting airways and the heterogeneous pathological alterations of the elastic properties of the lung. Both mechanisms are responsible for the ineffective gas emptying during expiration, the latter only for the increase in end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) at rest (static hyperinflation). A temporary and variable increase in EELV above the resting volume of the respiratory system often develops in patients with COPD during exercise as a consequence of the shortening of expiratory time during exercise, a phenomenon termed dynamic hyperinflation.⁴¹ Increases in EELV are mirrored by decreases in inspiratory capacity (IC) and vice versa.⁴²

Dynamic hyperinflation is in part reversible: physiological studies have demonstrated that inhalation of bronchodilators reduces exertional dyspnoea and improves exercise endurance through a sustained reduction of EELV and increase in IC at rest and during exercise,⁴³ illustrating the interdependency between these variables.

End-expiratory lung volume

In the explorative part of the VIVACITO study, the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5µg and its monocomponents TIO 5µg and OLO 5µg on hyperinflation were investigated in a subset of the 143 patients. After 6 weeks of a treatment, TIO/OLO 5/5µg vielded significantly larger reductions in EELV at 2h 30 min post-dose than placebo and the mono-components TIO 5µg or OLO 5µg. Changes in EELV from baseline were -52ml with placebo, -435ml and -431ml with the monocomponents OLO 5µg and TIO 5µg, respectively and -547 ml with TIO/OLO 5/5. At 22h 30 min post-dose, only TIO/OLO 5/5 µg resulted in a significant decrease in EELV of -250 ml versus baseline, contrasting with the modest and nonsignificant changes in EELV (less than -100 ml) observed with the two monotherapies.13

Inspiratory capacity

The reductions in severity of hyperinflation after TIO/OLO $5/5 \,\mu g$ reported in the previous paragraph

were mirrored by significant improvements in IC, which increased by 351 ml at 2h 30 min and by 99 ml at 22h 30 min post-dose, compared with baseline (Table 4).¹³ Compared with placebo, these increases exceeded the MCID, which has been estimated to range between 140–200 ml.^{30,44} A more systematic assessment of the effects of dual bronchodilatation on indices of hyperinflation was performed in the TORRACTO, PHYSACTO, OTIVATO and both MORACTO studies.^{5,6,8,10}

In the two replicate MORACTO studies,⁵ with their incomplete crossover design, TIO/OLO 5/5 µg significantly increased IC (2h post-dose) after 6 weeks of treatment by approximately 250 ml compared with placebo (Figure 2). These significant improvements exceeded the MCID. These increases contrast with the statistically significant but clinically insignificant increase with TIO 5µg and OLO 5µg, which fell just below 100ml compared with placebo. Here, the effect of dual bronchodilatation was slightly more than additive in nature. A response analysis showed that a significantly greater proportion of patients were classified as IC responders when on TIO/OLO 5/5µg than on monotherapies or placebo. For example, 55% of the patients exhibited a 150ml increase in IC with dual therapy, whereas the percentage of patients reaching that threshold was 45% when treated with monotherapy and about 20% when on placebo. Improvements in IC with TIO/OLO $5/5\,\mu g$ were sustained during the endurance test at both isotime and end exercise (Figure 2).

Similar effects were observed in the TORRACTO and PHYSACTO studies. In the TORRACTO study, mean IC significantly increased by approximately 200 ml in the TIO/OLO 5/5 µg group at pre-exercise, compared with placebo. Here again, the gain was sustained during exercise both at isotime and at the end of exercise after 6 and 12 weeks of treatment.6 In the PHYSACTO study, IC increased from 2.431 in the placebo group to 2.541 in the TIO 5µg treated group to reach 2.74 and 2.811 in the two TIO/OLO 5/5 µg-treated groups. Differences between TIO/ OLO 5/5µg and placebo were statistically significant and averaged 310 ml (95% CI: 170, 450) and 390 ml (95% CI: 250, 530), an effect that exceeded twice the MCID.8

In the OTIVATO study,¹⁰ resting IC increased by 271 ml with TIO 5μ g and 489 ml with TIO/OLO $5/5\mu$ g with a treatment difference of 218 ml (95%)

Table 4. Differences between TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ and PLA, TIO $5 \mu g$ monotherapy, and OLO $5 \mu g$ monotherapy for IC and endurance time during exercise, expressed as change from baseline.

Study	IC at rest (ml)	CWRCE (s)	ESWT (s)	
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg versus PLA				
Beeh ¹³	351 <i>versus</i> 16			
Maltais ⁶	$\Delta \approx 220$	85 versus 23	65	
O'Donnell 1+2 ⁵	$\Delta=$ 244 and $\Delta=$ 265	$\Delta=$ 79 and $\Delta=$ 55		
Troosters ⁸	360 <i>versus</i> 50		71	
TIO/0L0 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> TIO 5 μg				
Beeh ¹³	351 <i>versus</i> 251			
O'Donnell 1+2 ⁵	$\Delta=$ 114 and $\Delta=$ 88	$\Delta=-3$ and $\Delta=19$		
Troosters ⁸	360 versus 230		61	
Maltais ¹⁰	489 versus 271			
TIO/OLO 5/5 μg <i>versus</i> OLO 5 μg				
Beeh ¹³	351 <i>versus</i> 242			
O'Donnell 1+2 ⁵	$\Delta=$ 119 and $\Delta=$ 80	$\Delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 47$		

IC, inspiratory capacity; CWRCE, endurance time during constant work rate at 70–80% of VO₂max; ESWT, endurance time during shuttle walk test; IC, inspiratory capacity; MCID, minimal clinically important difference; PLA, placebo; TIO, tiotropium; OLO, olodaterol; TIO/OLO, tiotropium/olodaterol fixed-dose combination. MCID for CWRCE equals 46–105 s^{30,44}; MCID for ESWT equals 56–61 s and 70–82 m.⁴⁵





Reproduced from O'Donnell and colleagues, 2017.⁵

As each patient only received four out of five possible treatments, isotimes differ between treatments.

O, olodaterol; SE, standard error; T, tiotropium.

CI: 121, 314) . That difference vastly exceeded that found in MORACTO (101 ml).⁵ Apparently, by selecting patients with COPD with a severe degree of hyperinflation (FRC: >120% predicted), more room for improvement of IC was available in the OTIVATO than in the TORRACTO, PHYSACTO, and both MORACTO studies, which did not exclude patients with COPD without hyperinflation.^{5,6,8}

Exercise capacity

In patients with COPD, bronchodilators enhance tidal expiratory flow rate, promote lung emptying and reduce hyperinflation at rest and during exercise. These beneficial effects eventually alleviate the degree of exertional dyspnoea and delay the time point at which mechanical limitation to ventilation develops.^{42,46,47} This has been documented with the mono-components TIO^{46,48-51} and OLO,⁵² which both beneficially impact on EET. Whether maximisation of bronchodilatation with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg may further improve exercise endurance was addressed in the TORRACTO, MORACTO and PHYSACTO studies.^{5,6,8} In these studies, constant work-rate cycle ergometry (CWRCE) to symptom limitation at 75% of peak incremental work rate44 and the more recently developed endurance shuttle walk test (ESWT) at 85% of maximum oxygen consumption were selected as main outcomes, since these tests are among the most sensitive ones to detect changes in exercise capacity following pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions.53 Well-defined thresholds of MCID have been established for the CWRCE^{30,44} and the ESWT.⁴⁵ Possibly, the ESWT is more reflective of activities performed by patients in everyday life.54

The TORRACTO study

The TORRACTO study was primarily designed to compare the effects of TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ with placebo on EET during CWRCE in patients with COPD in a parallel-group design after 12 weeks of treatment. It also evaluated EET during the ESWT in a subset of patients.⁶ A total of 404 patients were randomized and received treatment: 385 were included in the full analysis set. Overall, 73% were GOLD stage 2, with mean predicted FEV₁ of 59%.

Data obtained at 12weeks were similar to those at week 6. A statistically significant 14% improvement

in endurance time during CWRCE was observed after 12 weeks with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg versus placebo: baseline EET (geometric means) during CWRCE was 443 \pm 12s, reached 465 \pm 19s in the placebo recipients and 528 \pm 20s in the TIO/OLO 5/5 µg group, the 63s difference reaching both statistical and clinical significance. The increase in dyspnoea (Borg scale) during exercise [(end of exercise Borg score minus pre-exercise Borg score)/per time unit] was numerically lower for TIO/OLO 5/5 µg compared with placebo at weeks 6 and 12 but failed to reach statistical significance.⁶

In the ESWT substudy, the common baseline mean EET was 311 ± 14 s. ESWT remained unaffected by placebo $(311 \pm 23$ s) but increased to 376 ± 26 s with TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu$ g. That 21%improvement in endurance time with TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu$ g after 12 weeks just failed to reach statistical significance *versus* placebo (p = 0.055), although it numerically reached clinical significance.⁶

The MORACTO studies

With their double-blind, incomplete crossover design, the replicate MORACTO studies compared the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g with those of TIO 5 μ g, OLO 5 μ g and placebo on EET during CWRCE after 6 weeks.⁵ A total of 586 patients were randomized and 571 were included in the full analysis. 73% of the included patients were GOLD stage 2 and 21% GOLD stage 3, with a mean FEV₁ of 58%. The presence of lung hyperinflation (with FRC exceeding more than 120% predicted) was not an inclusion criterion in these studies.

TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g enhanced endurance time during CWRCE significantly *versus* placebo by 55 s (+13%) and 79 s (+22%) after 6 weeks in the two MORACTO studies (Figure 3 for the combined studies). These increases exceeded the MCID.³⁰ For a 100 s increase, approximately 35% of patients were classified as EET responders with TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g compared with 20% of placebo-treated patients. Moreover, TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g reduced dyspnoea significantly more than placebo.⁵

Differences between TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ and the mono-components TIO $5 \mu g$ or OLO $5 \mu g$ were inconsistent in terms of statistical significance and results slightly differed between the individual studies. Indeed, increases in endurance time



Figure 3. Adjusted geometric mean $(\pm SE)$ EET in s during constant work-rate cycle ergometry after 6 weeks of treatment (combined studies).

were only observed in MORACTO 2 with TIO/ OLO 5/5 μ g versus OLO 5 μ g but not versus TIO 5 μ g. Post hoc analyses did not identify any specific subgroup of patients exhibiting greater improvements in EET with TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g versus monotherapies.⁵

The PHYSACTO study

The effects on exercise endurance and on functional exercise capacity of TIO 5µg and TIO/ OLO $5/5 \mu g$ alone or in conjunction with exercise training were addressed in the PHYSACTO study, a 12-week, randomized, partially doubleblind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial.8 In that study, all participating patients with COPD attended a 12-week lasting program aimed at modifying their behaviour. That SMBM program consisted of a total of six individual and group sessions and focused on improving patient engagement in, and maintenance of, exercise and physical activity. During individual sessions, the case manager dealt with the patient's current level of physical activity, functional limitations, clinical barriers to physical activity (including motivation) and the ultimate physical activity goal at the end of the program. Group sessions concentrated on the benefits of physical activity, set physical activity goals and educated the patients on their disease, healthy life habits, stress management, breathing techniques, exercise planning and how to distinguish normal from abnormal physical symptoms.⁵⁵

In a short time window of 3 months such SMBM programs typically have only a limited impact on exercise tolerance.^{56,57} Endpoints were EET during an ESWT at 85% of VO₂max after 8 weeks (end of the exercise training program) and 12 weeks. The six-minute walking distance (6MWD) captured functional exercise tolerance. A total of 304 patients were randomized, with 303 receiving study treatment. A total of 88% of patients completed the 12-week trial.

Endurance time at week 8 did not change from baseline in the placebo group (244 versus 243s), but increased with TIO 5µg by 10s, with TIO/ OLO 5/5µg without exercise training by 71s and with TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ with exercise training by 111s, the MCID being reached in the latter two treatment groups (Figure 4). Treatment ratios versus placebo were 1.29 (95% CI: 1.06, 1.57) for TIO/OLO 5/5 ug without and 1.46 (95% CI: 1.20, 1.78) for TIO/OLO 5/5µg with exercise training. The overall results may not reflect the true benefit as 13 patients exceeded the endurance time termination criteria of 20 min after 8 weeks: none for placebo, 3 for TIO $5\mu g$, 2 for TIO/OLO 5/5 µg without and 8 for TIO/OLO 5/5µg with exercise training. The 6MWD followed the same profile and significant changes compared with placebo were observed in both TIO/OLO 5/5µg groups. Improvements in endurance time were accompanied by a significant reduction in dyspnoea at isotime at week 8 in the TIO/OLO 5/5µg groups without and with exercise training. Comparable results were observed at week 12.

Intensity of dyspnoea using the modified Borg scale at isotime during the ESWT or at the 6MWT decreased with all the active treatments compared with the placebo + SMBM group week 8. The 6MWD at week 8 did not change from baseline (464 *versus* 457 m) in the placebo group, but TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g *without* and *with* exercise training provided small but statistically significant improvements in 6MWD compared with placebo (21 m and 27 m, respectively). A reduction in dyspnoea intensity during the 6MWT at week 8 was seen in the TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g group with exercise training.

^{*}p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.0001. Reproduced from O'Donnell and colleagues, 2017. 5

EET, exercise endurance time; 0, olodaterol; SE, standard error; T, tiotropium.



Figure 4. Geometric mean EET during endurance shuttle walk test at weeks 8 and 12. Exercise training was stopped after 8 weeks in the SMBM + TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ + exercise training arm; all treatment arms continued study medication until week 12. Geometric means baseline exercise endurance test: $243 \pm 9 s$ (week 8); $242 \pm 9 s$ (week 12). Test termination time limit was 20 mins.

Reproduced from Troosters and colleagues, 2018.8

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

EET, exercise endurance time; OLO, olodaterol; SE, standard error; SMBM, self-management behaviour modification; TIO, tiotropium.

Physical activity

The physiologic benefits of enhanced exercise capacity do not automatically translate into the behavioural change of engaging in a more active lifestyle.^{58–60} Therefore, the PHYSACTO study also investigated whether the improvements in endurance time and the reductions in breathing discomfort resulting from a multimodal approach consisting of behavioural intervention, maximal bronchodilatation and exercise training may complementary affect physical activity. At week 12, the SMBM program had significantly increased the number of steps per day by 1.098 in the placebo group compared with baseline. Further increases in number of steps per day were not observed with any of the additional interventions.⁸

A significant improvement in Functional Performance Inventory Short Form total score *versus* baseline was observed for SMBM + TIO/ OLO $5/5 \mu g$ *without* exercise training compared with SMBM + placebo at week 12, with the most notable improvements seen in the 'body care', 'physical exercise', and 'recreation' subscales.

The PHYSACTO trial is one of the first to evaluate the patient's experience with physical activity in the domain of amount and difficulty using the PROactive scale.⁶¹ Significant improvements in daily PROactive Physical Activity (measuring a combination of 'amount' and 'difficulty') in COPD total score at week 12 were observed for SMBM TIO/OLO 5/5µg *with* (4.10 points) or *without* (3.66 points) exercise training compared with placebo (1.96 points). The overall impression of the PHYSACTO study is that improvements in physical activity experience and dyspnoea symptoms during daily life are best served by the addition of dual bronchodilatation and exercise training to the SMBM program. Indeed, although the SMBM program increased substantially physical activity on a daily basis, improvements for several other outcomes reached only statistical significance in combination with maximal bronchodilatation and exercise training.⁸

Exacerbations

The DYNAGITO study, with its double-blind, parallel-group, active-controlled, randomized design, was conducted as a 52-week study to investigate whether TIO/OLO 5/5µg reduced the rate of COPD exacerbations compared with TIO 5µg alone.⁷ Only patients with COPD were included with an FEV₁ < 60% predicted and at least one moderate or severe exacerbation in the preceding year requiring treatment with systemic corticosteroids, or antibiotics. Patients on ICSs at inclusion continued their treatment. The primary endpoint was the rate of moderate-to-severe

COPD exacerbations from the first dose of medication until one day after last drug administration. Secondary endpoints were the time to first moderate or severe COPD exacerbation during the treatment period, the rate of exacerbations leading to hospitalization, time to first exacerbation leading to hospitalization, and time to allcause mortality.

More than 7800 patients with a mean percent predicted FEV₁ of 44% were randomized. A total of 70% of patients were on ICSs. Patients on TIO/ OLO 5/5µg were more likely to complete the study than those on TIO 5µg alone [3451 (88%) *versus* 3939 (84%), with a discontinuation HR of 0.73; 95% CI: 0.65, 0.82]. The rate of moderate-tosevere exacerbations was numerically lower with TIO/OLO 5/5µg (0.90; 99% CI: 0.84, 0.96 per year) than with TIO 5µg (0.97; 99% CI: 0.93, 1.03 per year) with a RR of 0.93 (99% CI: 0.85, 1.02). As the *p* value equalled 0.0498, the targeted 0.01 significance level, needed to meet regulatory requirements, was not met.⁷

The HR for time to first moderate-to-severe COPD exacerbation with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg versus TIO 5µg was 0.95 (99% CI: 0.87, 1.03). The HR for time to first COPD exacerbation leading to hospitalization was 0.93 (95% CI: 0.82, 1.06). For severe exacerbations, the RR for TIO/OLO 5/5µg compared with TIO 5µg was 0.89 (95% CI: 0.78, 1.02) and for exacerbations leading to hospitalization the RR was 0.89 (95% CI: 0.76, 1.03). Interestingly, a post hoc analysis of the subgroup of patients receiving ICSs at baseline demonstrated that the RR for exacerbations was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.84, 0.99), whereas there was no difference between TIO/OLO 5/5µg and TIO 5µg in the group not receiving any corticosteroid at baseline (RR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.86, 1.15).7

The authors concluded that TIO/OLO 5/5 μg did not reduce exacerbation rate as much as expected compared with TIO 5 μg alone.

Effects and baseline ß-blocker use

Cardiovascular disease is linked closely with COPD and physicians may be reluctant to use β -blocking agents in patients with COPD, despite their proven effectiveness in preventing cardiovascular events. In the TONADO 1 and 2 studies, 557 of 5162 patients (11%) received β -blockers at baseline, among whom at least 80%

were on cardioselective β -blockers. These patients had more frequent a history of cardiovascular comorbidities and medications. The observation that baseline post-bronchodilator FEV₁ was higher in the β -blocker group (1.4701) compared with that in the no β -blocker group (1.3621) may suggest that β -blockers were less often prescribed in more diseased patients with COPD. No differences were observed in trough FEV₁, trough forced vital capacity (FVC), SGRQ and TDI between patients treated with and without β -blockers, supporting the cautious and appropriate use of β -blockers in patients with COPD and cardiovascular comorbidity.⁶²

Effects in Asian patients with COPD

Asian patients with COPD, and particularly Japanese patients, appear to differ from patients of European or American descent in terms of physiology and use of medication. Such differences may potentially affect the outcome of medical interventions. This issue might have easily been missed, since European and American patients with COPD numerically dominate most large multicentre trials. As a consequence, subanalyses of the Asian and Japanese patients with COPD participating in the TONADO[®] and DYNAGITO[®] studies have been recently performed.

Of the 5163 patients randomized in the TONADO study, 1152 (22%) were of east Asian and 413 (8%) of Japanese origin.^{63,64} The east Asian population showed slightly greater FEV₁ AUC₀₋₃ and trough FEV₁ treatment differences between TIO/ OLO 5/5 μ g and TIO 5 μ g, when compared with the overall population.⁶³

The Japanese patients randomized in the TONADO study were slightly older and contained more men and fewer current smokers, but with higher pack-year smoking history. Body mass index was lower, as were baseline SGRQ and TDI. A lower proportion of Japanese patients used ICSs (27 *versus* 47%) at baseline but the use of LAMAs was higher (65 *versus* 36%).⁶⁴

Improvements in pulmonary function were similar to those seen in the overall population. Relative to baseline, SGRQ total scores improved in Japanese patients at week 24 of treatment in all groups, with the larger reduction in symptom scores seen in the group receiving TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ and relatively modest changes with the mono-components compared with the overall population. Compared with the overall population, improvements in total SGRQ scores with TIO/OLO 5/5µg *versus* the mono-components were greater in the Japanese population. For example, mean treatment difference between TIO/OLO 5/5µg and TIO 5µg was -3.60 (95% CI: -6.71, -0.50) in the Japanese and only -1.23 (95% CI: -2.31, -0.15) in the overall population. The number of responders with TIO/OLO 5/5µg was, however, similar for the Japanese and the overall population.⁶⁴

TDI at baseline was slightly higher (i.e. fewer symptoms) in Japanese patients compared with the overall population (7.75 *versus* 6.54, respectively). That score improved to >1 for TIO/OLO 5/5µg *versus* baseline compared with only 0.40–0.85 for the mono-components. Compared with the mono-therapies, TIO/OLO 5/5µg improved TDI by >0.7 units in Japanese patients, whereas these increases were much smaller in the overall population. Hence, dual bronchodilatation yielded greater benefits for patient-centred outcomes than mono-therapies in Japanese patients compared with the overall population, whereas such differences were not observed for pulmonary function.⁶⁴

A total of 461 (5%) of the 7880 treated patients in the DYNAGITO study were of Japanese origin.⁶⁵ As in the TONODO study, Japanese patients were older, with longer smoking histories, a higher proportion of men and ex-smokers, and a lower body mass index. LAMA use at baseline was more widespread (86 *versus* 63%), whereas ICS use at baseline was less common (48 *versus* 70%). More patients in the Japanese subgroup received LAMA/LABAs (24% *versus* 12%) and fewer received ICS/LABA combination therapy (5% *versus* 25%) at baseline. Overall, 40% of the Japanese patients used triple ICS/LAMA/LABA combination therapy, which was similar to the overall study population.

A total of 21% of the Japanese patients randomized in the TIO 5µg discontinued the study *versus* 10% in the TIO/OLO 5/5µg arm (discontinuation HR: 0.45; 95% CI: 0.27, 0.73), contrasting with the 16% *versus* 12% in the overall population (discontinuation HR: 0.73, 95% CI 0.65, 0.82).⁶⁵

In Japanese patients, TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ resulted in a 29% lower rate of annualized moderate-tosevere COPD exacerbations relative to TIO $5 \mu g$

monotherapy, a difference that did not reach the p < 0.01 target (0.94 versus 1.32/years, RR: 0.71; 99% CI: 0.46, 1.10; p = 0.0434). This ratio numerically exceeded the nonsignificant 7% reduction reported in the overall population (RR: 0.93, 99% CI: 0.85, 1.02). Likewise, the annualized rate of severe exacerbations was 19% lower in the TIO/OLO $5/5\mu g$ arm than TIO $5\mu g$ arm (0.35 versus 0.43/year), contrasting with the 11% reduction seen in the overall population. Within the limitations of this exploratory analysis, it thus appears that TIO/OLO 5/5µg exerted numerically larger effects on moderate-to-severe exacerbations in the Japanese subgroup, compared with the overall study population, an observation potentially related to differences in clinical characteristics between the two populations.65

The aim of the VESUTO study, a randomized, double-blind, active-controlled, crossover trial, was to assess the efficacy of TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ and TIO 5 µg on lung volumes, exercise capacity, and physical activity in 184 Japanese COPD patients.65 All patients exhibited an mMRC dyspnoea scale ≥ 1 , a 6MWD <400 m and a score ≥ 4 on the modified Borg scale of dyspnoea at the end of the 6MWT. The difference in IC between TIO/OLO 5/5µg and TIO 5µg was 115 ml (95% CI: 77, 153), which is similar to what was seen in the MORACTO, TORRACTO and PHYSICATO studies.^{5,6,8} Dual bronchodilatation did not affect the 6MWD compared with TIO 5µg. In the combined GOLD 3 and 4 subgroups, however, a small, but statistically significant increase in 6MWD was seen with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg versus TIO 5 µg (18 m; 95% CI: 2, 34). No significant differences were found for physical activity between the two treatments in terms of mean number of steps per day or daily duration of physical activity.9

Cost-effectiveness

Overall, three studies have attempted to assess the cost-effectiveness and cost utility of TIO/OLO $5/5\,\mu$ g, using a new cost-effectiveness model, developed by Carl Selya-Hammer.⁶⁶ This model is based on an individual patient-level approach and takes the heterogeneity of the individual patient into account. More specifically, each patient is tracked over time and individual changes in pulmonary function (improvement and decline), disease severity, exacerbation risk, mortality, costs and quality of life are monitored over a certain time span and used

to estimate and predict cost-effectiveness and cost utility over the following years. That new model represents an improvement over the older Markov model, which uses a cohort-like approach whereby patients are distributed in different cohorts in accordance with their health states based on the GOLD COPD classification.

The economic studies were run over a time horizon of 15 years while using the data of patients participating in the two 52-weeks TONADO studies.¹² Pulmonary function was assumed to decline at a constant rate based on disease stage, even after patients had left the study. Exacerbation risk was estimated based on a random-effects logistic regression analysis of exacerbations in UPLIFT.⁶⁷ Mortality by age and disease stage was estimated from an analysis of TIOSPIR68 trial data or data from existing COPD cohorts. Medical expenditures were calculated from the perspective of the health care paver, and took direct medical expenditures associated with bronchodilator therapy and other COPD treatments, such as exacerbations, into account.66

In the Italian study, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio was €7518 per additional quality adjusted life year (QALY) with TIO/OLO 5/5µg versus TIO 5µg, which is far below the thresholds currently in use to evaluate the clinical value of a treatment.⁶⁶ A Dutch study confirmed these data, as it estimated that TIO/OLO 5/5µg had an incremental costeffectiveness ratio of €7004 per QALY, compared with TIO 5µg alone.⁶⁹ In the United Kingdom, the free-dose combination of TIO 5µg and salmeterol was associated with the same QALYs as TIO/OLO 5/5µg, but with higher costs.⁷⁰

Safety

The safety profile of TIO, given as mono-component, has been investigated in 11,626 patients with COPD treated with placebo and 12,929 with TIO (totalling 35 studies with 14,909 patientyears of TIO exposure). A numerically lower risk of adverse events (AEs) with a RR of 0.90 (95% CI: 0.87, 0.93) and serious AEs (SAEs) with a RR of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.89, 0.99)) was reported in favour of the TIO recipients.⁷¹ Cardiac AEs were not increased in TIO-treated patients, with a RR of 0.93 (95% CI: 0.85, 1.02)).⁷¹

Safety of OLO was assessed in 16,591 patients (among whom 14,915 had COPD GOLD stage

2-4) who participated in 26 studies, among which 17 placebo-controlled trials and 9 trials in which TIO/OLO was compared with TIO. A total of 9855 patients were in the OLO arm and 6736 were in the control arm. In the placebo-controlled studies, the OLO arm had a numerically higher odds ratio (OR) for death, a finding that, however, did not reach statistical significance (OR: 1.31; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.89). Heterogeneity was not observed and subgroup analyses according to the treatment regimen, type of respiratory disease, and use of an ICS or a methylxanthine did not reveal any specific risk factors or risk groups. The risk of nonfatal SAEs in the OLO arm was not significantly higher compared with the control arm (OR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.91, 1.15).72

The cardiovascular and respiratory safety profile of TIO/OLO 5/5µg has been compared with that of TIO 5µg in more than 9900 patients who participated in the 52-week DYNAGITO and TONADO studies.7,11,73 Total exposure was 4579 person-years for TIO/OLO 5/5µg, and 4438 person-years for TIO 5µg. The proportion of patients leaving the trials prematurely was lower with TIO/OLO 5/5µg (12.5%) than with TIO 5µg (16.4%). This was mainly attributed to a lower proportion of patients with respiratory AEs, such as COPD exacerbations and dyspnoea in the TIO/OLO 5/5µg arm (5.9%) than in the TIO 5µg arm (7.9%; RR: 0.72; 95% CI 0.62, 0.84). Significant differences in the incidence of AEs and SAEs, cardiovascular AEs or central nervous system vascular AEs between treatments were not observed. The incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) was 2.11 per 100 patient-years with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg and 2.22 with TIO 5µg (RR: 0.95; 95% CI 0.72, 1.25). The incidence of fatal MACE (including death with undetermined cause) was 0.91 and 1.00 per 100 patient-years with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg and TIO 5 µg, respectively (RR: 0.91; 95% CI 0.60, 1.37).

Similar conclusions were made in specific subgroups, such as the 1742 patients with renal failure enrolled in the TONADO studies.⁷⁴ Despite the presence of mild (44%), moderate (13%) and severe (0.2%) renal impairment, and a history of hypertension (46%), cardiac disorders (23%) or a disturbed glucose metabolism (13%), side effects were distributed equally regardless of the severity of renal impairment. Approximately 70% of patients reported one or more AEs. Interestingly, the proportion of patients experiencing an AE was independent of the severity of renal impairment, and the proportion of patients with an AE or SAE was not related to the arm in which they were randomized. These recent studies confirm previous data, showing the absence of any signal of differences in frequency of AEs and SAEs between TIO/OLO $5/5\mu g$ and its respective mono-components.³¹

When investigating the safety of TIO/OLO in patients with COPD, it is important to highlight that in the classical RCTs a well-selected patient population is treated and examined, since many exclusion criteria are applied. Most importantly, patients with asthma or asthma COPD overlap have been excluded, since monotherapy with LABAs in asthma has been associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations and mortality.75 Therefore, the safety of TIO/OLO as well as other LAMA/LABA FDCs will also need to be investigated in real life studies (encompassing observational pharmaco-vigilance studies and pragmatic trials, enrolling broader patient populations in primary and secondary care settings). Indeed, older asthma patients (such as smoking asthmatics or patients with late-onset asthma) might be misdiagnosed as COPD, putting them at risk of treatments with long-acting bronchodilators (LABAs or LAMAs) without ICSs.

Perspective: dual bronchodilatation in patients with COPD: does it really matter?

Large studies in patients with COPD have unequivocally demonstrated that long-acting bronchodilator monotherapy not only improves FEV₁, lung volumes and exercise endurance, but also reduces the risk of exacerbations and improves patient-reported outcomes, such as breathlessness, health status or use of rescue medication.^{10,43,76-79} The key question is whether a switch from longacting bronchodilator monotherapy to a LAMA/ LAMA FDC such as TIO/OLO 5/5 µg leads to additional clinically significant benefits and should be introduced early in the management of patients with COPD.

LAMAs and LABAs are primarily bronchodilators, but their mechanism of action on airway smooth muscle is complex. Studies characterizing the pharmacological interactions between TIO and OLO *in vitro* showed that TIO and OLO induce a submaximal concentration-dependent inhibition of bronchial contractility, when administered separately. Low concentrations of a fixed 5:5 concentration ratio of a TIO/OLO combination elicited an effect that exceeded the expected additive effect of the drugs given separately, suggesting the existence of a strong synergistic interaction.⁸⁰ The same authors reported similar *in vitro* findings with other LAMA/LABA combinations.^{81–83}

As observed *in vitro*, the improvement in FEV_1 with TIO/OLO 5/5 µg or another LAMA/LAMA, seen in patients with COPD, slightly exceeds the sum of the bronchodilating action of its individual components, as it ranges between 20 and 66 ml in parallel-group studies and 29 ml in the only cross-over study published so far.⁸⁴

In an attempt to understand the working mechanism of short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMAs) and short-acting β_2 -agonists (SABAs) in patients with COPD, Donohue reported 15 years ago that some patients with COPD reversed to salbutamol only, others to ipratropium only, a third group to both drugs, whereas some were not reversible at all.⁸⁵ This eventually supports the use of SABA/SAMA formulations as rescue therapy in patients with COPD. More recently, the same author investigated in a crossover study the ability of individual patients with COPD to increase their FEV₁ after inhalation of either UMEC, VIL or UMEC/VIL.86 This allowed the investigators to classify patients with COPD into four groups: responders to UMEC only, responders to VIL only, responders to both UMEC and VIL and nonresponders to either of the two drugs. Small improvements with UMEC/VIL over monotherapy were observed in nonresponders to both UMEC and VIL, which tended to be more than additive in nature. Less than additive effects to UMEC/VIL were observed in dual responders, suggesting that in the latter patients the effects of UMEC/VIL were near the plateau of the dose-response curve. In patients responding to only one drug, full additive effects were noted. Although these findings require confirmation with other LABA/LAMA FDCs, this analysis strongly supports that beneficial effects of dual bronchodilatation may be expected in most patients with COPD, with quantitatively greater improvements in patients identified as responders to either a LAMA or a LABA.

The GOLD 2019 document proposes to start with one bronchodilator in symptomatic patients with COPD, currently classified as GOLD stage B and upgrade to dual bronchodilator therapy, if symptoms persist.¹ This recommendation is based on the response analysis of the effects of long-acting bronchodilators on patient-reported outcomes, which indicates that already 40-50%of the patients with COPD experience a clinically significant improvement with one long-acting bronchodilator, whereas the addition of a second bronchodilator enhances the number of responders by no more than 10%,⁴ restricting its use to patients with persistent daytime dyspnoea or reduced HR-QoL on monotherapy.³⁹

This approach can be questioned, since data now support that an earlier introduction of dual bronchodilatation slows down the decline over time of dyspnoea and HR-QoL³⁴ and leads to a substantial reduction in rescue medication (Figure 1). Moreover, doubts exist whether traditional thresholds may be used to distinguish responders from nonresponders to compare two active treatments, since these thresholds have only been validated for placebo-controlled trials.⁸⁷

It is well established that bronchodilators reduce dynamic hyperinflation, even in the absence of any change in FEV1.88 In this regard, the effects of TIO/OLO 5/5 ug on hyperinflation, exercise endurance and activity-related breathlessness represent undoubtedly the most convincing plea for the early initiation of dual bronchodilatation in the treatment of patients with COPD. Indeed, only dual bronchodilatation yielded statistically significant increases in EELV and residual volume (RV) which persist for more than 22h.13 These data were confirmed in six other studies which unequivocally showed that TIO/OLO 5/5µg yielded increases in IC at rest and during exercise, that were twice as large as after monotherapy and vastly exceeded the MCID, compared with placebo.5,6,8-10

These increases in IC observed with mono- and dual bronchodilator therapy were not always transferred into statistically or clinically significant improvements in EET.

In some studies, TIO/OLO 5/5 μ g yielded numerically larger improvements in exercise endurance than a single bronchodilator,⁸ whereas in other studies the additional increase in IC was not or barely transferred into a gain in exercise endurance.⁵ Such inconsistencies have also been observed with other LAMA/LABA FDCs^{89–92} and might be attributed to at least two factors. First, the presence of hyperinflation was not an inclusion criterion in exercise endurance studies with TIO/ OLO $5/5\mu g$ and the absence of lung hyperinflation in some of the included patients might have minimized the improvement in IC even after maximal bronchodilatation. Second, it is unlikely to expect an exercise benefit of similar magnitude in patients with COPD when adding a second bronchodilator to an existing one than when adding one bronchodilator to placebo, since exercise limitation is often multifactorial. If providing additional bronchodilatation with a second bronchodilator prolongs exercise duration in some patients with COPD, in others it shifts the mechanism of symptom limitation from dyspnoea to leg fatigue.93,94 In the latter situation, only a combination of exercise training and maximum bronchodilatation could enhance exercise endurance.

The relevance of the latter mechanism was illustrated in the PHYSACTO study, which showed that the gain in exercise endurance was greater in TIO/OLO $5/5 \mu g$ recipients treated *with* than *without* exercise training.⁸ Although the numerical difference in EET between these two groups was not significant, it should be underlined that the magnitude of the effect of patients receiving training, reported in the paper, was underestimated, since the endurance test was terminated after 20 min.

Whereas TIO/OLO 5/5 µg is superior to a monocomponent in the context of endurance time if combined with exercise training (and therefore should be prescribed to patients with COPD in order to maximise the effect of a pulmonary rehabilitation program⁸), the incremental benefit of adding OLO 5µg to TIO 5µg to reduce COPD exacerbations is apparently less convincing7: a decrease by only 7% in moderate-to-severe exacerbations (p = 0.498) was seen with dual bronchodilatation versus TIO 5µg in the DYNAGITO study. That the targeted 0.01 significance level was not met may be attributed to inappropriate choices made in its statistical analysis. Indeed, confounding factors were not taken into account. If multiple covariates models similar to those used in previous COPD trials, such as SPARK⁹⁵ or FLAME⁹⁶ had been used, the targeted p value < 0.001 would have been reached for differences in annualized rate of moderate-to-severe exacerbations between TIO/OLO 5/5µg and TIO 5µg, with reductions in exacerbation rate ranging

between 9 and 11%.⁷ These results are consistent with the reduction by 10–12% reported in the SPARK study,⁹⁵ the only other study in which the effects of GLY/IND and LAMAs on exacerbation rates were compared.

The modest reduction in the risk to exacerbate when adding a LABA to LAMA monotherapy^{7,95} does not invalidate the role of dual bronchodilatation in the reduction of COPD exacerbations. Indeed, several studies have documented that adding a LAMA to a LABA/ICS leads to a significant reduction in COPD exacerbations.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ Currently, the main mechanism by which LAMAs and LABAs reduce exacerbation rates is attributed to the reduction of the baseline threshold for symptoms through a lowering the degree of hyperinflation. That beneficial effect may be amplified by a decrease of symptoms via other mechanisms, such as decreased mucus secretion (by LAMAs) and enhanced mucociliary clearance (by LABAs).¹⁰¹ Conversely, ICSs reduce exacerbation rate in patients with COPD by its anti-inflammatory properties and particularly by reducing the number of recurrent exacerbations, with a substantial treatment benefit that is higher in patients with an elevated blood eosinophil count.102,103

Data from the IMPACT study, which addressed the effects of UMEC/VIL, a LAMA/LABA FDC, VIL/FLU, a LABA/ICS and UMEC/VIL/FLU, a LAMA/LABA/ICS on exacerbation rate in patients with COPD with moderate-to-severe and often recurrent exacerbations, allows the clinician to position LAMA/LABA and ICS-containing formulations in the maintenance treatment of COPD.97 Indeed, a detailed analysis of the IMPACT study demonstrates that the ability of the ICS-containing treatments to reduce exacerbation rates is highly dependent on blood eosinophil count: LABA/ICS and LAMA/LABA/ICS unequivocally reduce exacerbation rate compared with LAMA/LABA in patients whose blood eosinophil count exceeds $150/\mu$ l, with a greater effect at blood eosinophil counts $>300/\mu$ l and a virtually absent effect below a blood eosinophil count of 100-150/µl. In the latter patients, the effect of LAMA/ LABA equalled that of triple therapy and was superior to that of a LABA/ICS FDC.¹⁰⁴ Similar findings were made in the KRONOS study.¹⁰⁰ These prospectively collected data are in line with previously published retrospective data on the role of blood eosinophil levels in predicting the therapeutic response to ICS in patients with COPD

with frequent exacerbation phenotype^{98,105–109} and eventually confirms its role as a theragnostic masker. Hence, maximum bronchodilatation might be the treatment of choice in patients with COPD who exacerbate and exhibit low blood eosinophil counts, as GOLD 2019 suggests.¹

Conclusion

TIO/OLO 5/5 µg is a LAMA/LABA FDC which has been thoroughly investigated in over 15,000 patients with COPD. Its effects on a variety of relevant clinical endpoints demonstrate superiority over its mono-components, with improvements in spirometric variables and lung volumes that exceed the minimal clinically important difference. These physiological improvements are translated into gains in patient-reported outcomes (such as dyspnoea, HR-QoL, and use of rescue medication), exercise endurance and physical activity, which generally exceed the effects seen with monotherapies. Addition of OLO 5 µg to TIO $5\mu g$ reduces exacerbation rate in patients with COPD by 10%, a finding which is consistent with those seen with other LAMA/LABA combinations. In patients with COPD with low blood eosinophil counts, there is increasing evidence that dual bronchodilatation is as good in preventing COPD exacerbations as LAMA/LABA/ICSs and even better than LABA/ICSs. TIO/OLO $5/5\mu g$ is well tolerated, provided that patients with asthma are excluded.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Thierry Troosters for valuable suggestions, and Annie Wittevrongel and Anny Mattelaer for expert technical help and writing assistance.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest statement

ED's clinical department has received financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GSK and Novartis to perform clinical studies. He has participated in advisory boards for Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Cipla, GSK, Novartis and Astra Zeneca, for which a fee was given to the clinical department. He has received travel grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GSK and AstraZeneca to attend international congresses. He has received speaker's fees (given to the clinical department) from Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Chiesi, Astra Zeneca and Novartis to give scientific presentations to local general practitioner groups and pulmonologists.

ORCID iD

Eric Derom https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1110-4940

References

- 1. Agusti A and Vogelmeier C. *Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease*. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (updated 2019). Report 2019.
- Lopez-Campos JL, Tan W and Soriano JB. Global burden of COPD. *Respirology (Carlton, Vic)* 2016; 21: 14–23.
- Ford ES, Murphy LB, Khavjou O, et al. Total and state-specific medical and absenteeism costs of COPD among adults aged ≥18 years in the United States for 2010 and projections through 2020. Chest 2015; 147: 31–45.
- Derom E, Brusselle GG and Joos GF. Efficacy of tiotropium-olodaterol fixed-dose combination in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2016; 11: 3163–3177.
- O'Donnell DE, Casaburi R, Frith P, et al. Effects of combined tiotropium/olodaterol on inspiratory capacity and exercise endurance in COPD. Eur Respir J 2017; 49: 1601348.
- Maltais F, O'Donnell D, Galdiz Iturri JB, et al. Effect of 12 weeks of once-daily tiotropium/ olodaterol on exercise endurance during constant work-rate cycling and endurance shuttle walking in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2018; 12: 1753465818755091.
- Calverley PMA, Anzueto AR, Carter K, et al. Tiotropium and olodaterol in the prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations (DYNAGITO): a double-blind, randomised, parallel-group, active-controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 337–344.
- Troosters T, Maltais F, Leidy N, et al. Effect of bronchodilation, exercise training, and behavior modification on symptoms and physical activity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 198: 1021–1032.
- 9. Ichinose M, Minakata Y, Motegi T, *et al.* Efficacy of tiotropium/olodaterol on lung

volume, exercise capacity, and physical activity. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018; 13: 1407–1419.

- Maltais F, Aumann JL, Kirsten AM, et al. Dual bronchodilation with tiotropium/olodaterol further reduces activity-related breathlessness versus tiotropium alone in COPD. Eur Respir J 2019. 53: 1802049.
- Singh D, Ferguson GT, Bolitschek J, et al. Tiotropium + olodaterol shows clinically meaningful improvements in quality of life. *Respir Med* 2015; 109: 1312–1319.
- Buhl R, Maltais F, Abrahams R, et al. Tiotropium and olodaterol fixed-dose combination versus mono-components in COPD (GOLD 2-4). Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 969–979.
- Beeh KM, Westerman J, Kirsten AM, et al. The 24-h lung-function profile of oncedaily tiotropium and olodaterol fixed-dose combination in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2015; 32: 53–59.
- Beeh KM, Derom E, Echave-Sustaeta J, et al. The lung function profile of once-daily tiotropium and olodaterol via Respimat[®] is superior to that of twice-daily salmeterol and fluticasone propionate via Accuhaler[®] (ENERGITO[®]) study. Int *f Chron Obstruct* Pulmon Dis 2016; 11: 193–205.
- Calzetta L, Rogliani P, Matera MG, et al. A systematic review with meta-analysis of dual bronchodilation with LAMA/LABA for the treatment of stable COPD. Chest 2016; 149: 1181–1196.
- Oba Y, Sarva ST and Dias S. Efficacy and safety of long-acting beta-agonist/long-acting muscarinic antagonist combinations in COPD: a network meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2016; 71: 15–25.
- Ismaila AS, Huisman EL, Punekar YS, et al. Comparative efficacy of long-acting muscarinic antagonist monotherapies in COPD: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2015; 10: 2495–2517.
- Rodrigo GJ, Price D, Anzueto A, et al. LABA/LAMA combinations versus LAMA monotherapy or LABA/ICS in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2017; 12: 907–922.
- Calzetta L, Rogliani P, Ora J, et al. LABA/ LAMA combination in COPD: a meta-analysis on the duration of treatment. Eur Respir Rev 2017; 26: 160043.

- Schlueter M, Gonzalez-Rojas N, Baldwin M, et al. Comparative efficacy of fixed-dose combinations of long-acting muscarinic antagonists and long-acting beta₂-agonists: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2016; 10: 89–104.
- Cazzola M, Rogliani P, Calzetta L, et al. Triple therapy versus single and dual longacting bronchodilator therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2018; 52: 1801586.
- Miravitlles M, Baek S, Vithlani V, et al. Optimal bronchodilation for COPD patients: are all long-acting beta₂-agonist/long-acting muscarinic antagonists the same? *Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul)* 2018; 81: 198–215.
- Rogliani P, Calzetta L, Braido F, et al. LABA/ LAMA fixed-dose combinations in patients with COPD: a systematic review. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018; 13: 3115–3130.
- Sion KYJ, Huisman EL, Punekar YS, et al. A network meta-analysis of Long-Acting Muscarinic Antagonist (LAMA) and Long-Acting ß2-Agonist (LABA) Combinations in COPD. Pulm Ther 2017; 3: 20.
- 25. Kerwin E, Ferguson GT, Sanjar S, *et al.* Dual bronchodilation with indacaterol maleate/glycopyrronium bromide compared with umeclidinium bromide/vilanterol in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD: results from two randomized, controlled, cross-over studies. *Lung* 2017; 195: 739–747.
- Feldman GJ, Sousa AR, Lipson DA, et al. Comparative Efficacy of Once-Daily Umeclidinium/Vilanterol and Tiotropium/ Olodaterol Therapy in Symptomatic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Randomized Study. Adv Ther 2017; 34: 2518–2533.
- 27. Dhillon S. Tiotropium/Olodaterol: a review in COPD. *Drugs* 2016; 76: 135–146.
- Mosley JF, Smith LL and Dutton BN. Tiotropium Bromide/Olodaterol (Stiolto Respimat): once-daily combination therapy for the maintenance of COPD. *Pharmacy & Therapeutics* 2016; 41: 97–102.
- 29. Deeks ED. Olodaterol: a review of its use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Drugs* 2015; 75: 665–673.
- Cazzola M, MacNee W, Martinez FJ, et al. Outcomes for COPD pharmacological trials: from lung function to biomarkers. Eur Respir J 2008; 31: 416–469.

- 31. Miravitlles M, Urrutia G, Mathioudakis AG, et al. Efficacy and safety of tiotropium and olodaterol in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Res* 2017; 18: 196.
- 32. Mahler DA and Witek TJ Jr. The MCID of the transition dyspnea index is a total score of one unit. *COPD* 2005; 2: 99–103.
- 33. Jones PW. St. George's respiratory questionnaire: MCID. *COPD* 2005; 2: 75–79.
- 34. Ferguson GT, Karpel J, Bennett N, et al. Effect of tiotropium and olodaterol on symptoms and patient-reported outcomes in patients with COPD: results from four randomised, double-blind studies. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med 2017; 27: 7.
- 35. Schunemann HJ, Puhan M, Goldstein R, *et al.* Measurement properties and interpretability of the Chronic respiratory disease questionnaire (CRQ). *COPD* 2005; 2: 81–89.
- Jenkins CR, Postma DS, Anzueto AR, *et al.* Reliever salbutamol use as a measure of exacerbation risk in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *BMC Pulm Med* 2015; 15: 97.
- 37. Punekar YS, Sharma S, Pahwa A, *et al.* Rescue medication use as a patient-reported outcome in COPD: a systematic review and regression analysis. *Respir Res* 2017; 18: 86.
- Spruit MA, Watkins ML, Edwards LD, et al. Determinants of poor 6-min walking distance in patients with COPD: the ECLIPSE cohort. *Respir Med* 2010; 104: 849–857.
- 39. Singh D, Gaga M, Schmidt O, et al. Effects of tiotropium + olodaterol versus tiotropium or placebo by COPD disease severity and previous treatment history in the OTEMTO[®] studies. *Respir Res* 2016; 17: 73.
- Halpin DMG. The Role of Tiotropium+Olodaterol dual bronchodilator therapy in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Tuberc Respir Dis* (Seoul) 2018; 81: 13–18.
- 41. O'Donnell DE. Hyperinflation, dyspnea, and exercise intolerance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2006; 3: 180–184.
- 42. O'Donnell DE, Elbehairy AF, Webb KA, *et al.* The link between reduced inspiratory capacity and exercise intolerance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2017; 14: S30–S39.
- 43. O'Donnell DE and Laveneziana P. Lung hyperinflation in COPD: the impact of

pharmacotherapy. *Eur Respir Review* 2006; 15: 85–89.

- 44. Puente-Maestu L, Palange P, Casaburi R, et al. Use of exercise testing in the evaluation of interventional efficacy: an official ERS statement. Eur Respir J 2016; 47: 429–460.
- 45. Borel B, Pepin V, Mahler DA, *et al.* Prospective validation of the endurance shuttle walking test in the context of bronchodilation in COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 1166–1176.
- O'Donnell DE, Flüge T, Gerken F, et al. Effects of tiotropium on lung hyperinflation, dyspnoea and exercise tolerance in COPD. Eur Respir J 2004; 23: 832–840.
- O'Donnell DE, Casaburi R, Vincken W, et al. Effect of indacaterol on exercise endurance and lung hyperinflation in COPD. *Resp Med* 2011; 105: 1030–1036.
- Maltais F, Hamilton A, Marciniuk D, et al. Improvements in symptom-limited exercise performance over 8 h with once-daily tiotropium in patients with COPD. Chest 2005; 128: 1168–1178.
- Bedard ME, Brouillard C, Pepin V, et al. Tiotropium improves walking endurance in COPD. Eur Respir J 2012; 39: 265–271.
- 50. Cooper CB, Celli BR, Jardim JR, *et al.* Treadmill endurance during 2-year treatment with tiotropium in patients with COPD: a randomized trial. *Chest* 2013; 144: 490–497.
- 51. Casaburi R, Maltais F, Porszasz J, et al. Effects of tiotropium on hyperinflation and treadmill exercise tolerance in mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2014; 11: 1351–1361.
- 52. Maltais F, Kirsten AM, Hamilton A, et al. Evaluation of the effects of olodaterol on exercise endurance in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from two 6-week crossover studies. *Respir Res* 2016; 17: 77.
- Borel B, Provencher S, Saey D, et al. Responsiveness of various exercise-testing protocols to therapeutic interventions in COPD. *Pulm Med* 2013; 2013: 410748.
- Pepin V, Laviolette L, Brouillard C, *et al.* Significance of changes in endurance shuttle walking performance. *Thorax* 2011; 66: 115–120.
- 55. Bourbeau J, Lavoie KL, Sedeno M, *et al.* Behaviour-change intervention in a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled COPD

study: methodological considerations and implementation. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6: e010109.

- 56. Mendoza L, Horta P, Espinoza J, et al. Pedometers to enhance physical activity in COPD: a randomised controlled trial. Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 347–354.
- 57. Demeyer H, Louvaris Z, Frei A, et al. Physical activity is increased by a 12-week semiautomated telecoaching programme in patients with COPD: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Thorax* 2017; 72: 415–423.
- Thorpe O, Johnston K and Kumar S. Barriers and enablers to physical activity participation in patients with COPD: a systematic review. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2012; 32: 359–369.
- 59. Thorpe O, Kumar S and Johnston K. Barriers to and enablers of physical activity in patients with COPD following a hospital admission: a qualitative study. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2014; 9: 115–128.
- 60. Nolan CM, Maddocks M, Canavan JL, *et al.* Pedometer step count targets during pulmonary rehabilitation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; 195: 1344–1352.
- Gimeno-Santos E, Raste Y, Demeyer H, et al. The PROactive instruments to measure physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur Respir J 2015; 46: 988–1000.
- Maltais F, Buhl R, Koch A, *et al.* β-blockers in COPD: a cohort study from the TONADO research program. *Chest* 2018; 153: 1315–1325.
- Bai C, Ichinose M, Lee SH, et al. Lung function and long-term safety of tiotropium/olodaterol in East Asian patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2017; 12: 3329–3339.
- 64. Ichinose M, Taniguchi H, Takizawa A, et al. The efficacy and safety of combined tiotropium and olodaterol via the Respimat[®] inhaler in patients with COPD: results from the Japanese sub-population of the Tonado[®] studies. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2016; 11: 2017–2027.
- 65. Ichinose M, Nishimura M, Akimoto M, et al. Tiotropium/olodaterol versus tiotropium in Japanese patients with COPD: results from the DYNAGITO study. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018; 13: 2147–2156.

- 66. Selya-Hammer C, Gonzalez-Rojas Guix N, Baldwin M, et al. Development of an enhanced health-economic model and cost-effectiveness analysis of tiotropium + olodaterol Respimat[®] fixed-dose combination for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in Italy. Therap Adv Respir Dis 2016; 10: 391–401.
- 67. Tashkin DP, Celli B, Senn S, *et al.* A 4-year trial of tiotropium in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359: 1543–1554.
- Wise RA, Anzueto A, Cotton D, et al. Tiotropium Respimat inhaler and the risk of death in COPD. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 1491–1501.
- van Boven JF, Kocks JW and Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness and budget impact of the fixed-dose dual bronchodilator combination tiotropium-olodaterol for patients with COPD in the Netherlands. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2016; 11: 2191–2201.
- 70. Tebboth A, Ternouth A and Gonzalez-Rojas N. UK-specific cost-effectiveness of tiotropium + olodaterol fixed-dose combination versus other LAMA + LABA combinations in patients with COPD. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 2016; 8: 667–674.
- Halpin DM, Dahl R, Hallmann C, et al. Tiotropium HandiHaler[®] and Respimat[®] in COPD: a pooled safety analysis. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2015; 10: 239–259.
- Lee HW, Kim HJ and Lee CH. The impact of olodaterol on the risk of mortality and serious adverse events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2017; 83: 1166–1175.
- 73. Ferguson GT, Buhl R, Bothner U, *et al.* Safety of tiotropium/olodaterol in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: pooled analysis of three large, 52-week, randomized clinical trials. *Respir Med* 2018; 143: 67–73.
- 74. LaForce C, Derom E, Bothner U, et al. Longterm safety of tiotropium/olodaterol Respimat[®] in patients with moderate-to-very severe COPD and renal impairment in the TONADO[®] studies. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018; 13: 1819–1831.
- 75. Nelson HS, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER, *et al.* The salmeterol multicenter asthma research trial: a comparison of usual pharmacotherapy for asthma or usual pharmacotherapy plus salmeterol. *Chest* 2006; 129: 15–26.

- Halpin DM, Vogelmeier C, Pieper MP, et al. Effect of tiotropium on COPD exacerbations: a systematic review. *Respir Med* 2016; 114: 1–8.
- 77. Chapman KR, Rennard SI, Dogra A, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of indacaterol, a long-acting beta₂-agonist, in subjects with COPD: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. Chest 2011; 140: 68–75.
- Calverley PM, Anderson JA, Celli B, et al. Salmeterol and fluticasone propionate and survival in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 2007; 356: 775–789.
- 79. Jones PW, Donohue JF, Nedelman J, *et al.* Correlating changes in lung function with patient outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a pooled analysis. *Respir Res* 2011; 12: 161.
- Calzetta L, Rogliani P, Mattei M, et al. Pharmacological characterization of the interaction between tiotropium and olodaterol administered at 5:5 concentrationratio in equine bronchi. COPD 2017; 14: 526–532.
- Calzetta L, Rogliani P, Facciolo F, et al. Pharmacological characterization of the interaction between umeclidinium and vilanterol in human bronchi. Eur J Pharmacol 2017; 812: 147–154.
- Cazzola M, Calzetta L, Page CP, et al. Pharmacological characterization of the interaction between aclidinium bromide and formoterol fumarate on human isolated bronchi. Eur J Pharmacol 2014; 745: 135–143.
- 83. Cazzola M, Calzetta L, Puxeddu E, *et al.* Pharmacological characterisation of the interaction between glycopyrronium bromide and indacaterol fumarate in human isolated bronchi, small airways and bronchial epithelial cells. *Respir Res* 2016; 17: 70.
- Price D, Ostrem A, Thomas M, et al. Dual bronchodilation in COPD: lung function and patient-reported outcomes - a review. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2017; 12: 141–168.
- 85. Donohue JF. Therapeutic responses in asthma and COPD. Bronchodilators. *Chest* 2004; 126: 125S–137S.
- Donohue JF, Singh D, Munzu C, et al. Magnitude of umeclidinium/vilanterol lung function effect depends on monotherapy responses: results from two randomised controlled trials. *Respir Med* 2016; 112: 65–74.

- Jones PW, Beeh KM, Chapman KR, et al. Minimal clinically important differences in pharmacological trials. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2014; 189: 250–255.
- Tantucci C, Duguet A, Similowski T, et al. Effect of salbutamol on dynamic hyperinflation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. Eur Respir J 1998; 12: 799–804.
- Beeh KM, Korn S, Beier J, et al. Effect of QVA149 on lung volumes and exercise tolerance in COPD patients: the BRIGHT study. *Respir Med* 2014; 108: 584–592.
- Maltais F, Singh S, Donald AC, et al. Effects of a combination of umeclidinium/vilanterol on exercise endurance in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two randomized, double-blind clinical trials. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2014; 8: 169–181.
- 91. Singh S, Maltais F, Tombs L, et al. Relationship between exercise endurance and static hyperinflation in a post hoc analysis of two clinical trials in patients with COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018; 13: 203–215.
- 92. Watz H, Troosters T, Beeh KM, et al. ACTIVATE: the effect of aclidinium/formoterol on hyperinflation, exercise capacity, and physical activity in patients with COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2017; 12: 2545–2558.
- Deschenes D, Pepin V, Saey D, et al. Locus of symptom limitation and exercise response to bronchodilation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 2008; 28: 208–214.
- 94. Magnussen H, Paggiaro P, Schmidt H, et al. Effect of combination treatment on lung volumes and exercise endurance time in COPD. *Respir Med* 2012; 106: 1413–1420.
- 95. Wedzicha JA, Decramer M, Ficker JH, et al. Analysis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations with the dual bronchodilator QVA149 compared with glycopyrronium and tiotropium (SPARK): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group study. Lancet Respir Med 2013; 1: 199–209.
- Wedzicha JA, Banerji D, Chapman KR, et al. Indacaterol-Glycopyrronium versus Salmeterol-Fluticasone for COPD. N Engl J Med 2016; 374: 2222–2234.
- 97. Lipson DA, Barnhart F, Brealey N, *et al.* Oncedaily single-inhaler triple versus dual therapy in

patients with COPD. N Engl J Med 2018; 378: 1671–1680.

- 98. Singh D, Papi A, Corradi M, et al. Single inhaler triple therapy versus inhaled corticosteroid plus long-acting beta₂-agonist therapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (TRILOGY): a double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial. Lancet (London, England) 2016; 388: 963–973.
- 99. Lipson DA, Barnacle H, Birk R, et al. FULFIL trial: once-daily triple therapy for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 196: 438–446.
- 100. Ferguson GT, Rabe KF, Martinez FJ, et al. Triple therapy with budesonide/glycopyrrolate/ formoterol fumarate with co-suspension delivery technology versus dual therapies in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (KRONOS): a double-blind, parallel-group, multicentre, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 2018; 6: 747–758.
- 101. Beeh KM, Burgel PR, Franssen FME, et al. How do dual long-acting bronchodilators prevent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 196: 139–149.
- 102. Brusselle GG, Bracke K and Lahousse L. Targeted therapy with inhaled corticosteroids in COPD according to blood eosinophil counts. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 416–417.
- Brusselle G, Pavord ID, Landis S, et al. Blood eosinophil levels as a biomarker in COPD. *Respir Med* 2018; 138: 21–31.
- 104. Pascoe S, Barnes N, Brusselle G, et al. Blood eosinophil counts and treatment response in COPD: analyses of IMPACT. Eur Respir J 2018; 52: OA2127. DOI: 10.1183/13993003. congress-2018.OA2127. Abstract book.
- 105. Pascoe S, Locantore N, Dransfield MT, et al. Blood eosinophil counts, exacerbations, and response to the addition of inhaled fluticasone furoate to vilanterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a secondary analysis of data from two parallel randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 435–442.
- 106. Bafadhel M, Peterson S, De Blas MA, et al. Predictors of exacerbation risk and response to budesonide in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a post-hoc analysis of three randomised trials. Lancet Respir Med 2018; 6: 117–126.

107.	Chapman KR, Hurst JR, Frent SM, et al. Long
	term triple therapy de-escalation to indacaterol/
	glycopyrronium in patients with chronic
	obstructive pulmonary disease (SUNSET):
	a randomized, double-blind, triple-dummy
	clinical trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;
	198: 329–339.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tar

SAGE journals

108. Calverley PMA, Tetzlaff K, Vogelmeier C, *et al.* Eosinophilia, frequent exacerbations,

and steroid response in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; 196: 1219–1221.

 Papi A, Vestbo J, Fabbri L, *et al.* Extrafine inhaled triple therapy versus dual bronchodilator therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (TRIBUTE): a double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2018; 391: 1076–1084.