

Clinical utility of pembrolizumab in the management of advanced solid tumors: an evidence-based review on the emerging new data

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Cancer Management and Research

Pauline du Rusquec
Omeline de Calbiac
Marie Robert
Mario Campone
Jean Sebastien Frenel

Medical Oncology Department, Institut
de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, Saint-
Herblain 44800, France

Abstract: Pembrolizumab is a full-length human immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) monoclonal antibody directed against the immune checkpoint PD-1 to remove its binding with PD-L1 and thus to restore an anti-tumor immune response of T cells. Pembrolizumab is one of the most advanced immune checkpoint inhibitors for cancer care. Apart from rare and serious adverse effects, its favorable tolerance profile enables to treat fragile patients who have often no other choice than best supportive care. The effective retained dose of pembrolizumab is a venous administration of 200 mg every 3 weeks until disease progression, intolerance or up to 24 months. Pembrolizumab has already proven its efficacy and thus obtained marketing authorization in so-called hot or hypermutated tumors or tumors expressing PD-L1 such as melanomas, non-small cell lung cancers, urothelial carcinomas, cervical cancer, etc. Pembrolizumab is also authorized in the United States in the treatment of mismatch repair-deficient tumors or with microsatellite instability. The current challenge is to expand its use in tumor types that are supposed to be less immunogenic, for example, by attempting to warm up the tumor microenvironment, or by combining pembrolizumab with other molecules. An acceptable toxicity profile of such combinations remains to explore. We review here the current indications of this drug, the main prognostic and predictive factors of its efficacy as well as the potential forthcoming indications.

Keywords: pembrolizumab, immune checkpoint inhibitor, anti PD-1 antibody

Introduction

Immunotherapy is a major breakthrough of cancer therapy in recent years, as shown by the awarding of the 2018 Nobel Prize in Medicine to immunologist James Allison. To restore the patient's own anti-tumor immunity is now a successful strategy in opposition to conventional cytotoxic or targeted therapy. Pembrolizumab is a major weapon in the immunotherapy pipeline, and we review here the latest data on its development.

I. Pembrolizumab

Pembrolizumab (MK3475, Keytruda[®], Merck & Co.,¹ Whitehouse Station, New Jersey, USA) is a full-length human immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) monoclonal antibody directed against the immune checkpoint Programmed cell Death 1.

a. Mechanism of action

Programmed cell Death 1 (PD-1) is expressed on activated T cells acting as a checkpoint of the effector stage of the immune response (Figure 1).² Its ligands, Programmed cell

Correspondence: Jean Sebastien Frenel
Medical Oncology Department, Institut
de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, 11 Boulevard
Jacques Monod, Saint-Herblain 44800
France
Tel +33 240 679 900
Fax +3 324 067 9776
Email Jean-Sebastien.Frenel@ico.unican-
cer.fr




Figure 1 Modeling the mode of action of pembrolizumab.

Death Ligand 1 (PD-L1) and 2 (PD-L2), are expressed on tumor cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells.³ The binding of PD1 with PD-L1 triggers the tolerance of tumor cells by the immune system, promoting tumor growth. By impairing the PD-1/PD-L1 binding, Pembrolizumab leads to a physiological shift to immune reactivity and anti-tumor effect.

b. Pharmacokinetics

Pembrolizumab is supplied as powder for solution for infusion as 100 mg/4 mL vials for intravenous (IV) injection.⁴ Pembrolizumab is administered intravenously at 200 mg every 3 weeks, immediately and completely bioavailable. The time to reach steady state is of 18 weeks. Age, gender, race, and tumor burden have no clinically meaningful effect on clearance like mild or moderate renal impairment or mild hepatic impairment.^{5,6}

c. Studies and clinical development

Developmental studies of pembrolizumab are usually preceded by the acronym KEYNOTE. Dozens of studies are in progress, in monotherapy or combination in almost all types of cancer. First in list, KEYNOTE-001 demonstrated the activity of pembrolizumab in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC),⁷ and highlighted the importance of PD-L1 expression as predictive biomarker. A pooled analysis of 14 trials has shown an overall response rate

(ORR) of 26% with this drug (95% Confidence Interval (CI) 21 to 31).⁸

d. Tolerance of pembrolizumab

The safety profile is satisfactory and superimposable with other checkpoint inhibitors. Overall, the incidence of any grade treatment adverse events (AE) in 3922 patients was 74.3% (95% CI 0.671 to 0.805).⁹ A meta-analysis of 11 studies found 9% (95% CI 6% to 14%) of grade 3/4 AE,⁸ significantly lower than conventional treatments. Overall, 5% of the patients went out of clinical trial for unacceptable toxicity. The most common AE are fatigue, diarrhea, nausea, rash and pruritus, myalgia and arthralgia. Less frequent but more concerning AE are the so-called immune-related Adverse Events (irAEs) related to the therapeutic class of pembrolizumab and generally consistent across tumor types.¹⁰ Endocrine irAEs are the most frequent, with 10–15% of hypothyroidism/hyperthyroidism and 1–3% of hypophysitis (1–3%).^{11,12} Some rare but life-threatening AE have been reported with like encephalopathy,¹³ pneumonitis,¹⁴ nephritis,¹⁵ hepatitis,¹⁶ myocarditis,¹⁷ and colitis.¹⁸ Their management includes the suspension of the drug, substitutive opotherapy and immunosuppression by high doses of corticosteroids or powerful immunosuppressant like tumor necrosis factor antagonists or mycophenolate mofetil.

2. Approved indications for pembrolizumab

a. Melanoma

Table 1 summarize current approved indications for pembrolizumab. In 2015, pembrolizumab monotherapy was the first anti-PD1 antibody approved in Europe for treating advanced melanoma progressing on standard therapy based on data from KEYNOTE-001 and KEYNOTE-002.^{19,20} The KEYNOTE-006 comparing pembrolizumab to ipilimumab in first or second line leads to an extension of this approval for previously untreated melanoma regardless of BRAF status.²¹ This trial showed an impressive overall survival (OS) benefit for pembrolizumab: 32.7 vs 15.9 months (HR 0.73 (95%CI 0.61 to 0.89)). Among the 103 patients who continued 2 years of pembrolizumab, 86% were free of progression 20 months after discontinuation of the drug. Recently, the FDA has accepted a supplemental biologics license application (sBLA) for the use of pembrolizumab as an adjuvant treatment for patients with high-risk resected stage III melanoma, based on the KEYNOTE-054 trial.³⁶ Hazard ratio for recurrence or death was 0.57 (98.4% CI, 0.43 to 0.74), $P < 0.001$.

b. Lung cancer NSCLC

Following KEYNOTE-010,²² pembrolizumab was approved in 2015 in second line for PD-L1-positive (Tumor proportion score (TPS) $\geq 1\%$) NSCLC pretreated by chemotherapy or tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) if epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutated or anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-rearranged. Based on KEYNOTE-024 trial,²³ indication was extended in 2016 to front line EGFR/ALK wild type PD-L1+ (TPS $\geq 50\%$) NSCLC. Of note, the KEYNOTE-042,^{37,24} in the same context but with a different PD-L1 expression (TPS score $\geq 1\%$), did not show any benefit of pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy in that broader population. More recently, a combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy as first line has been approved based on an OS improvement in the KEYNOTE-189 trial.²⁵ OS benefit was seen across all PD-L1 categories of PD-L1 expression.

Squamous cell NSCLC

Pembrolizumab plus carboplatin/paclitaxel or nab-paclitaxel should become a new standard of care for the

first-line treatment base on significant OS benefit in the KEYNOTE-407.²⁶ Interestingly, the grade 3 AE was lower in the combination arm (74.5% vs 64.4%). Pembrolizumab benefit was independent from PD-L1 TPS expression, but the magnitude of the benefit was correlated with PD-L1 expression.

c. Bladder cancer

The KEYNOTE-045 leads to the FDA approval of pembrolizumab for urothelial carcinoma progressing after platinum comparing pembrolizumab with investigator's choice chemotherapy in second-line.²⁷ Interestingly the PD-L1-positive ($\geq 10\%$) subgroup seems to have a worst prognosis than the global population: median OS, 8.0 months vs 5.2 months (HR=0.57 (95% CI, 0.37 to 0.88); $P=0.005$). Pembrolizumab is also approved for cisplatin-ineligible patients based on the KEYNOTE-052 and then offers a real hope to a group of patient with a very poor prognosis.^{28,38}

d. Cervical cancer

In June 2018, Pembrolizumab has been granted approval by the FDA for the treatment of patients with advanced, PD-L1+ cervical cancer progressing after chemotherapy. The KEYNOTE-028 multi-cohort phase Ib trial included 24 heavily pretreated patients with PD-L1 $\geq 1\%$ cervical cancer to receive pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg Q2W for up to 24 months.³⁹ ORR was 17% with a median DOR of 5.4 months. Then, the phase II basket KEYNOTE-158 study of 11 cancer types enrolled 98 patients with pretreated cervical cancer to receive pembrolizumab.³⁰ Results showed an ORR of 13.3% with responses restricted to PD-L1+ tumors.

e. Gastrointestinal cancers

Gastric cancer

The KEYNOTE-059 phase II trial included advanced gastric cancer (≥ 2 lines).³¹ ORR was 11.6%. ORR was 15.5% and 6.4% for PD-L1-positive and negative patients, respectively. Given the benefit in RR and DOR, the FDA has granted marketing authorization for patients with PD-L1+ gastric cancer on the 3rd or higher line.⁴⁰ The phase III KEYNOTE-061 failed to confirm the superiority of pembrolizumab to paclitaxel chemotherapy as a second-line therapy with post-hoc interesting results in MSI high tumors.³² The ongoing phase III trial KEYNOTE-062 is testing the frontline combination of pembrolizumab + Cisplatinum/5FU in PD-L1+ and HER2 negative tumors.⁴¹

Table 1 Main clinical trials leading to FDA approval for pembrolizumab

p Disease site	Disease site	Study acronym	Publication	Study	Design	n	Treatment line	Treatment	Primary endpoint	ORR	Median PFS (months)	HR (95% CI)	Median OS (months)	HR (95% CI)	FDA approval
Melanoma	Ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma	001	2014	Robert et al ¹⁹	R Phase Ib	173	≥2	I: 1 P 2mg/kg Q3W or 10mg/kg Q2W or Q3W	ORR	26%; 26%; 26%	22 weeks (2mg/kg) vs 14 weeks (10mg/kg)	0.84 (0.57–1.23)	NA	NA	09/2014
		002	2015	Ribas et al ²⁰	RC Phase II	540	≥2	I: 1 P 2 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg Q3W vs ICC	PFS	21%; 25%; 4%	2.9 (P) vs 2.7 (ICC)	0.57 (0.45–0.73), P<0.0001 (2mg/kg vs ICC) 0.50 (0.39–0.64), P<0.0001 (10mg/kg vs ICC)	NA	NA	
	006	2015	Robert et al ²¹	RC Phase III	834	I–2	I: 1 P 10mg/kg Q2W or Q3W vs IPI 3mg/kg Q3W	PFS and OS	33.7%; 32.9%; 11.9%	5.5 (P Q2W) vs 4.1 (P Q3W) vs 2.8 (IPI)	0.58 (0.46–0.72), P<0.001 (P Q2W vs IPI) 0.58 (0.47–0.72), P<0.001 (P Q3W vs IPI)	NR	0.63 (0.47–0.83), P=0.0005 (P Q2W vs IPI) 0.69 (0.52–0.90), P=0.0036 (P Q3W vs IPI)	12/2015	
Lung	PD-L1 ≥1% NSCLC	010	2016	Herbst et al ²²	R Phase II/III	1034	≥2	I: 1 P 2 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg vs DOC 75mg/m ²	PFS and OS	18%; 18%; 9%	3.9: 4.0: 4.0	0.88 (0.74–1.05), P=0.07 (P 2mg/kg vs DOC) 0.79 (0.66–0.94), P=0.004 (P 10mg/kg vs DOC)	10.4: 12.7: 8.5	0.71 (0.58–0.88), P=0.0008 (P 2mg/kg vs DOC) 0.61 (0.49–0.75), P<0.0001 (P 10mg/kg vs DOC)	10/2015
		024	2016	Reck et al ²³	RC Phase III	305	Ist	I: 1 P 200MG Q3W vs ICC	PFS	44.8% vs 27.8%	10.3 (P) vs 6.0 (ICC)	0.50 (0.37–0.68), P<0.001	30.0 vs 14.2	0.63 (0.47–0.86), P=0.002	10/2016

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

P pDisease site	Disease site	Study acronyms	Publication	Study	Design	n	Treatment line	Treatment	Primary endpoint	ORR	Median PFS (months)	HR (95% CI)	Median OS (months)	HR (95%CI)	FDA approval
	EGFR-ALK- PD-L1 ≥ 1% NSCLC	042	2018	Lopes et al ²⁴	RC Phase III	1274	1st	1: P 200 mg Q3W vs ICC	OS	NA	NA	NA	16.7 vs 12.1	0.81 (0.71-0.93), P=0.0018	
	EGFR-ALK- non-squamous NSCLC	021													
	EGFR-ALK- non-squamous NSCLC	189	2018	Gandhi et al ²⁵	RC Phase III	616	1st	2:1 pem + platinum-based drug plus P 200 mg or placebo Q3W for 4 cycles, followed by pem + P or placebo as maintenance therapy	PFS and OS	47.6% vs 18.9%	8.8 vs 4.9	0.52 (0.43-0.64), P<0.001	NR vs 11.3	0.49 (0.38-0.64), P<0.001	08/2018
Urothelial cancer	Squamous NSCLC	407	2018	Paz-Ares et al ²⁶	RC Phase III	560	1st	1: Carbo AUC 6+ paclitaxel 200 mg/m ² Q3W or nab-paclitaxel 100 mg/m ² weekly plus P or placebo for 4 cycles followed by P/placebo	PFS and OS	58.4% vs 35.0%	6.4 vs 4.8	0.56 (0.45-0.70), P<0.0001	15.9 vs 11.3	0.64 (0.49-0.85), P=0.0008	10/2018
	Platine pre-treated advanced UC	045	2017	Bellmunt et al ²⁷	R Phase III	542	2	P 200 mg Q3W vs ICC	PFS and OS	21.1% vs 11.4%	2.1 vs 3.3	0.98 (0.81-1.19), P=0.42	10.3 vs 7.4	0.73 (0.59-0.91), P=0.002	
	Cisplatin-ineligible advanced UC	052	2017	Balar et al ²⁸	Phase II	370	1st	P 200 mg Q3W	ORR	28.9%	2	-	11.5	-	05/2017
	accRCC	427 - cohort A	2018	McDermott et al ²⁹	Phase II	107	1st	P 200 mg Q3W	ORR	33.6%	8.7	-	NA	NA	

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

p Disease site	Disease site	Study acronym	Publication	Study	Design	n	Treatment line	Treatment	Primary endpoint	ORR	Median PFS (months)	HR (95% CI)	Median OS (months)	HR (95% CI)	FDA approval
Cervix	Advanced cervical cancer	158	2018	Chung et al ³⁰	Phase II	98	≥2	P200 mg Q3W	ORR	13.3% (16% if PD-L1 +)	2.1	-	9.4	-	06/2018 (PD-L1 CPS≥1%)
GI cancer	Advanced gastric and GOJ cancer	059	2018	Fuchs et al ³¹	Phase II	259	≥3	P 200 mg Q3W	ORR	11.6% (15.5 and 6.4% for PD-L1 pos and neg tumors)	2.0	-	5.6	-	09/2017
	Advanced gastric and GOJ cancer	061	2018	Shitara et al ³²	R Phase III	592	2nd	P 200 mg Q3W vs standard paclitaxel doses	PFS and OS in patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥1%	16% vs 14%	1.5 vs 4.1	1.27 (1.03–1.57)	9.1 vs 8.3	0.82 (0.66–1.03), P=0.0421	11/2018
	HCC that progressed on sorafenib	224	2018	Zhu et al ³³	Phase II	104	2nd	P 200 mg Q3W	ORR	17%	4.9	-	12.0	-	11/2018
Head and neck	PD-L1 ≥1% HNSCC	012	2016	Seiwert et al ³⁴	Phase Ib	60	≥2	P 10 mg/kg Q2W or P 200 mg Q3W	ORR	18%	2.0	-	13.0	-	08/2016
	Advanced HNSCC	040	2018	Cohen et al ³⁵	R Phase III	495	≥2	1: P 200 mg Q3W vs ICC (MTX, DOC or cetuximab)	OS	14.6 vs 10.1%	2.1 vs 2.3	0.95 (0.79–1.16), P=0.030	8.4 vs 6.9	0.80 (0.65–0.98), P=0.0161	05/2017
MSI-H or dMMR tumors	15 cancer types	016–16-4-012–02-8-158	-	-	-	149	Any	-	-	39.6%	-	-	-	-	05/2017

Note: Bold written results are from the negative clinical trials.

Abbreviations: P, pembrolizumab; IPI, ipilimumab; R, randomised; RC, randomized controlled; DOC, docetaxel; ICC, investigator-choice chemotherapy; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; Q2W, every two weeks; Q3W, every three weeks; NA, not assessed; NR, not reached; UC, urothelial carcinoma; accRCC, advanced clear cell renal cell carcinoma; GOJ, gastro-oesophageal junction; CPS, combined positive score; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; MTX, methotrexate; MSI-H, microsatellite instability high; dMMR, mismatch repair deficient.

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

Pembrolizumab is approved as monotherapy in second-line of HCC based on the results of KEYNOTE-224 trial. This phase II trial included 104 HCC pretreated with sorafenib.³³ ORR was 17%. Of note, 25% of the patients were positive for hepatitis B and C virus, and an immune-related hepatitis occurred in three patients without viral flares. The ongoing KEYNOTE-240 trial is a phase III study aims at confirming these results.

f. Head and neck

The FDA approved pembrolizumab for recurrent or metastatic HNSCC on August 5, 2016.⁴² The KEYNOTE-012 is the first study investigating a PD-1 antibody for recurrent or metastatic HNSCC who progressed after platinum-containing chemotherapy.³⁴⁻⁴⁴ ORR was 18% including 4% of CR and a ≥ 6 months DOR in 85% of the patients. The randomized phase III trial KEYNOTE-048 evaluated pembrolizumab monotherapy or combined with platinum/5FU chemotherapy vs the EXTREME regimen as first-line in 882 PD-L1 CPS $\geq 20\%$ HNSCC.⁴⁵ Pembrolizumab alone or with chemotherapy significantly improved OS supporting pembrolizumab or pembrolizumab + platinum/5-FU as a new first-line standards of care for recurrent or metastatic HNSCC.

g. MSI-H or dMMR tumors

Five similar trials included 149 patients with various tumor types characterized by microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) high or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) (KEYNOTE-016 (n=58), KEYNOTE-164 (n=61), KEYNOTE-012 (n=6), KEYNOTE-028 (n=5), and KEYNOTE-158 (n=19)). The ORR with pembrolizumab across studies was 39.6% with 78% of responses lasting ≥ 6 months. These innovative data led to an FDA granted accelerated approval for pembrolizumab in MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors progressing on treatment and without satisfactory alternative treatment options. This is the first time that a drug has a tissue-agnostic market authorization.

3. Promising therapeutic trials of pembrolizumab

Here are detailed the most promising trials of pembrolizumab as a monotherapy (Table 2):

a. Lung and chest cancer

NSCLC

KEYNOTE-091 aims at investigating the impact on outcome of adjuvant pembrolizumab after completion

of radical surgery and standard adjuvant chemotherapy in the PD-L1+ subgroup and overall population.⁴⁶ Primary results are awaited for August 19, 2021.

SCC

One complete response (CR) and 8 partial responses (PR) were observed in PD-L1 TPS $\geq 1\%$ small cell carcinoma (SCC) in the multicohort phase Ib open-label KEYNOTE-028 trial.^{47,48} In the KEYNOTE-158, a phase II basket study,⁴⁹ out of the 107 SCC, RR was 35.7% in PD-L1+ tumors vs 6.0% in PD-L1- tumors. The KEYNOTE-604 randomizes pembrolizumab/placebo with chemotherapy for newly diagnosed advanced SCC.⁵⁰ Maintenance therapy with pembrolizumab was evaluated in 45 patients with advanced SCC following 4–6 cycles of platinum/etoposide.⁵¹ The disease control rate was 42% (1 CR, 3 PR, and 15 SD) and median PFS and OS were 1.4 months and 9.2 months, respectively. In this small cohort, pembrolizumab did not improve PFS but improved OS suggesting that some patients might benefit from this strategy.

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM)

PD-L1 is expressed in 20–40% and is a factor of worse prognosis.^{52,53} Pembrolizumab monotherapy provide a 20% ORR in PDL1+ TPS $\geq 1\%$ MPM.⁵⁴ A Phase II trial of pembrolizumab is ongoing (NCT02399371). Preliminary results showed a response rate of 21% and a disease control rate of 76% and the optimization of PDL-1 threshold is ongoing.⁵⁵

TC

Thymic carcinomas and thymomas are rare tumors with limited therapeutic options. Two phase II (NCT02607631 and NCT02364076) showed an interesting ORR (24.2% and 22.5%) with pembrolizumab in that context.^{56,57}

b. Urothelial carcinoma

Bladder

Neoadjuvant pembrolizumab is evaluated in the PURE-01 phase 2 trial (NCT02736266). Cisplatin-eligible or ineligible patients will receive three cycles of the drug before surgery and radiologically non-responders are given three additional courses of dose-dense MVAC chemotherapy. Pathologic complete response (pT0) is the primary endpoint. The first 25 evaluable patients have been presented with a very satisfactory histological response rate of 8/25 pT0 (32%) and 3/25 pTa/is.⁵⁸ The ongoing KEYNOTE-361 is a randomized, open-label, phase 3 study of

Table 2 Promising therapeutic trials of pembrolizumab

Disease site	Disease site	Study acro-nyms	Design	Treatment line	Treatment
Lung	SCC	604	RC Phase III	1st	CT + P or placebo
	Stage IB-IIIa NSCLC	091 (PEARLS)	RC Phase III	Adjuvant	CT followed by P or placebo
Urothelial carcinomas	Bladder	PURE-01	Phase II	Neoadjuvant	3 cycles of P 200mg Q3W before surgery
	Cisplatin eligible or ineligible advanced UC	361	R Phase III	1st	P with or without CT vs CT alone
	Kidney cancer	427	Phase II	1st	P
	Kidney cancer	426	RC Phase III	1st	P + axitinib vs sunitinib
breast	TNBC	522		Neoadjuvant	CT ± P followed by P or placebo as maintenance therapy
CCR	MSI-high or MMR-deficient CCR	164	Phase II	≥2	P
	MSI-high or MMR-deficient CCR	177	RC Phase III	1st	P vs SOC chemotherapy
Esophageal, GOJ and gastric carcinoma	Esophageal or GOJ carcinoma	181	R phase III	2nd	P vs ICC
	Advanced or metastatic esophageal carcinoma	590	RC phase III	1st	Cisplatin +5FU + P or placebo
	PD-L1+, HER2- gastric or GOJ carcinoma	062	R phase III	1st	P vs P+ CT (cisplatin +5FU)

Abbreviations: P, pembrolizumab; R, randomised; RC, randomised controlled; CT, chemotherapy; ICC, investigator-choice chemotherapy; SOC, standard of care; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; Q2W, every two weeks; Q3W, every three weeks; UC, urothelial carcinoma; accRCC, advanced clear cell renal cell carcinoma; SCC, small cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; UC, urothelial carcinoma; TNBC, triple negative breast cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MMR, mismatch repair; CCR colorectal cancer; GOJ, gastro-oesophageal junction; HCC, hepatocellular carcinomas.

pembrolizumab ± chemotherapy vs chemotherapy alone in both cisplatin-eligible or ineligible patients with advanced untreated urothelial carcinoma.

Kidney cancer

KEYNOTE-427 evaluated pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line in advanced clear cell (accRCC) and not clear cell RCC. The results of the accRCC cohort showed an ORR of 38.2% with long-lasting responses in 75% of the patients.²⁹ The promising KEYNOTE-426 study is an ongoing phase III multicenter, open-label, randomized trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab plus axitinib vs sunitinib alone in untreated metastatic RCC.⁵⁹

Prostate cancer

The KEYNOTE-199 trial is a 5-cohort phase II trial studying pembrolizumab monotherapy in metastatic

pretreated CRPC.⁶⁰ Anti-tumor activity was observed in all cohorts: disease control rate (DCR) was 26% (95% CI 21 to 32) with 11% of the patients presenting DCR lasting more than 6 months.

c. Breast cancer

Neoadjuvant setting

Pembrolizumab combined with chemotherapy provided up to 80% pathological response (ypT0 ypN0) in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) in the KEYNOTE-173 trial.⁶¹ The I-SPY2 phase II study assessed the addition of pembrolizumab to neoadjuvant paclitaxel followed by doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide for ≥T2 HER2 negative BC.⁶² Pembrolizumab improved pCR rates in all HER2 subtypes (pCR rate 46% vs 16%), especially in TNBC. The KEYNOTE-522 is currently evaluating pembrolizumab + neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by adjuvant pembrolizumab in TNBC.⁶³

TNBC

Atezolizumab, an anti-PD-L1 antibody has shown a very interesting PFS benefit in front line therapy for TNBC in combination with nab-paclitaxel.⁶⁴ The Keynote-355 has randomized pembrolizumab/placebo with various regimens of chemotherapy (nab-paclitaxel, paclitaxel, or carboplatin/gemcitabine) in the same setting.⁶⁵ The principal objectives are PFS and OS in all patients and in patients with PD-L1-positive tumors defined as PD-L1 staining in $\geq 1\%$ tumor cells or in stroma. The results are warmly awaited in the next 6 months.

HER2 positive BC

The PANACEA Study evaluated pembrolizumab in combination with trastuzumab in patients with trastuzumab-resistant, HER2+, PD-L1-positive (phase Ib) or negative (phase II) metastatic breast cancer.⁶⁶ For PD-L1+ patients (n=40) ORR and DCR were 15% and 25%, respectively. No objective responses were observed in the PD-L1 negative cohort (n=12).

d. Gynecological cancer

Endometrial cancer

POLE (polymerase ϵ) mutated (6–12%) and MSI endometrial tumors exhibited significantly elevated TILs, high expression of PD-1 and PD-L1 and greater peritumoral T-lymphocytes supporting trials of immune-checkpoint inhibitors.^{67,68} An ongoing single-institution phase II study of pembrolizumab in MMR deficient cancers included 9 patients with endometrioid carcinoma and showed an ORR of 56%, including 1 CR.⁶⁹ The KEYNOTE-775 is currently evaluating pembrolizumab plus lenvatinib vs chemotherapy in second line endometrial cancer (NCT03517449).

Ovarian cancer

KEYNOTE-100 is an ongoing phase II for relapsing ovarian cancer after front-line platinum-based therapy.⁷⁰ Of the 376 patients included, ORR was 9%, median PFS was 2.1 months. ORR reaches 14% and 25% for patients with PD-L1 CPS $\geq 1\%$ and $\geq 10\%$. Homologous recombination deficiencies are a frequent hallmark of serous high-grade ovarian cancer leading to the approval of PARP inhibitors. The combination of PARPi with immunotherapy seems very promising by boosting the immune response. TOPACIO/KEYNOTE-162 phase I/II study evaluated the association of Niraparib and pembrolizumab in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer and TNBC.⁷¹ ORR and DCR were 25% and 68% and 45% and 73% in the 11 BRCA-mutated patients. NEOPEMBROV is an ongoing randomized,

controlled phase II study for ovarian cancers that are not eligible for primary surgery. Patients will receive pembrolizumab or placebo added to with carboplatin and paclitaxel. Primary objective is to evaluate the complete resection rate after interval debulking surgery.

e. Gastrointestinal cancer

CRC

The phase II KEYNOTE-016 was conducted to evaluate pembrolizumab in metastatic CRC with (MMR deficient) or without (MMR proficient) MMR deficiency.^{72,73} The ORR was much higher in MMRd tumor: 40% vs 0%, as was PFS rate: 78 vs 11% for MMR deficient and proficient patients. The KEYNOTE-164 aims at confirming these data by recruiting MSI-H CCR defined by PCR-based assay or lack of expression of ≥ 1 MMR protein (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2) by IHC.⁷⁴ The KEYNOTE-177 is an international, randomized, open-label, phase 3 study of pembrolizumab vs standard-of-care chemotherapy in first-line MMR-deficient or MSI-high metastatic CRC.⁷⁵

Esophageal cancer

The phase II KEYNOTE-180 will evaluate pembrolizumab as a monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced or metastatic esophageal cancer.⁷⁶ KEYNOTE-181 is a phase III trial comparing pembrolizumab vs standard therapy in advanced esophageal or gastro-esophageal junction carcinoma that progressed after first-line therapy.⁷⁷ KEYNOTE-590 is designed to evaluate efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab vs placebo plus cisplatin and 5-FU chemotherapy as first-line treatment in participants with locally advanced or metastatic esophageal carcinoma.

Squamous cell carcinoma of the anal canal (SCCA)

KEYNOTE-028 included PD-L1+ SCCA. PD-L1 positivity was found in 74% of the screened patients. Among the 24 patients, no CR were noted but 4 patients had a PR, for an ORR of 17%, and 10 patients (42%) had a confirmed stable disease. The DCR was 58%.⁷⁸ A phase II study in refractory metastatic SCCA is currently recruiting (NCT02919969).

Biliary tract

PD-L1 is highly expressed in cholangiocarcinoma in association to a high density of CD3-positive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes suggesting a potential role for pembrolizumab.⁷⁹ KEYNOTE-028 basket trial enrolled 24 patients with PD-L1+ biliary tract cancer to receive pembrolizumab monotherapy.⁸⁰ Four PR have been

observed prompting a successor biliary cancer cohort of 100 patients in the ongoing KEYNOTE-158 basket trial (NCT02628067). Not yet recruiting, the NCT03260712 will evaluate Cisplatin + Gemcitabine and pembrolizumab in that context.

f. Head and neck

The KEYNOTE-055 phase II study included 171 patients with HNSCC progressing within 6 months of platinum-based chemotherapy with cetuximab.⁸¹ 82% of the patients were PD-L1 positive and 22% were HPV positive. ORR with pembrolizumab monotherapy was 16% with a median DOR of 8 months (range: 2 to 12). Response rates were similar in all HPV and PD-L1 subgroups. Median PFS and OS were 2.1 and 8 months, respectively. The KEYNOTE-040 was a randomized phase III study which included patients with HNSCC after a platinum-based chemotherapy to receive either pembrolizumab or standard of care.³⁵ Primary endpoint (PFS and OS) was not reached. Median OS was not statistically higher with pembrolizumab. Subgroups analyses showed a weighty prolonged OS proportionally to PD-L1 expression: OS was 8.7 months (HR=0.75 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.95), $P=0.0078$) for PD-L1 CPS \geq 1%; and OS was 11.6 vs 7.9 months (HR=0.54 (95% CI 0.35 to 0.82), $P=0.0017$) for PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%.

The following ongoing studies will evaluate pembrolizumab for high-risk HNSCC in both neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting (phase II, NCT02641093; phase II for HPV negative HNSCC NCT02296684).⁸² KEYNOTE-412 will evaluate the addition of pembrolizumab in combination with concomitant chemo-radiotherapy for locally advanced HNSCC.⁸³

g. Rare tumors

ACSé pembrolizumab (NCT03012620) is a multi-cohort phase II study designed to propose secured accessed to pembrolizumab for patients with rare cancer. Seven cohorts are recruiting including rare sarcoma, rare ovarian cancer, primary central nervous system lymphomas, rare thyroid cancer, rare malignant neuroendocrine cancer, germ-cell cancer, and NK/T-cell lymphoma.

4. Predictive factors of response to pembrolizumab and selection of patients

a. PD-L1 status

PD-L1 expression is the main predictive biomarker of response, and its expression is often associated with

a poor prognosis. Some important questions are still unsolved. Whether the PD-L1 positivity must be determined on tumor cell, immune cell or both is unknown. Therefore, PD-L1 positivity definitions vary across studies with scores like tumor proportion score (TPS) or combined proportion score (CPS) which is the sum of the percentage of PD-L1 expressing tumor cells and immune cells as a fraction of the number of tumor cells.⁸⁴ The optimal threshold of PD-L1 positivity to select the patients is not established varying from 1% to 50%, for example, in NSCLC and different antibodies are used. In addition, some variations of PD-L1 expression have been observed between the course of the disease raising the question of the best moment to evaluate PD-L1 expression (primitive tumor vs metastases).^{85,86} Lastly, complete response are observed in 17% of the PD-L1-negative advanced melanoma.⁸⁷ PD-L1 positivity is important but not sufficient for identify responders.

b. Mutational load and neoantigen burden

The tumor mutational load (TML) corresponds to the somatic mutation rate for a given tumor and varies according to the tissue of origin of cancer.⁸⁸ A high TML leads to the expression of multiple neoantigens and triggers an immune response. Therefore, a high TML is potentially associated with the efficacy of checkpoint inhibitors. These observations were validated in two cohorts of lung cancer receiving pembrolizumab where a high TML was associated with ORR, durable clinical benefit, and PFS.⁸⁹ A retrospective study of various tumor types showed that mutational load and T-cell-inflamed microenvironment were predictors of response to pembrolizumab.⁹⁰ Median number of mutations was 180 in responders vs 61 in non-responders. Another retrospective study including various tumor types demonstrated that a threshold of \geq 20 mutations/megabase was associated with improved ORR, PFS, and OS.⁹¹ Prospective study data are expected to validate the value of TMB.

c. Mismatch repair deficiency

An analysis of tumor mutational load in 100,000 cancer genomes identified a novel mutation hotspot in the promoter of the DNA mismatch repair gene *PMS2*, that was significantly associated with high tumor mutational load.⁹² Whole-exome sequencing also showed that MMR deficient tumors are largely most mutated than MMR proficient tumors (1782 vs 73 somatic mutations per tumors, $P=0.007$) associated with prolonged PFS

for high somatic mutational load.⁷² This high tumor mutation load is also associated with genetic alterations leading to dysregulation of the mechanisms of DNA repair, such as microsatellite instability and the POLE gene, as shown in endometrial cancer. In the phase II KEYNOTE-016 which includes MMR proficient and deficient colorectal cancer patient and MMR deficient cancer that were not colorectal, MMR deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade by pembrolizumab.^{73,93} Four more clinical trials included patients with different cancers with the common characteristic of MMR deficiency, concluding that pembrolizumab was effective in this population. These data, which led to the FDA approval, however, remain preliminary, and the results of the phase 3 studies are firmly awaited.

d. Need of new response criteria?

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours 1.1 (RECIST) criteria using CT (computed tomography) scan are the gold standards to evaluate the efficacy of drugs. Efforts have been made with the development of irRC (immune-related Response Criteria), irRECIST (immune-related RECIST), and iRECIST (immune RECIST) without changing the standards of care for the moment. IrRECIST and iRECIST seem to better identify patients with unconventional response as false progressors.⁹⁴ Metabolic imaging like positron emission tomography (PET) tracers as 18 F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) are known to be taken up in inflammatory cells, what may predict response to immunotherapy better than CT.^{95–97}

5. How to increase the efficacy of pembrolizumab?

a. To warm up the tumor microenvironment

Tumors are now considered like a continuum between hot and cold tumors, depending on the density of infiltration by immune cells. Tumor inflammation composite scores have been evaluated and indicate that the efficacy of pembrolizumab is correlated to a high density of immune cells.^{34,98,99} Different strategies aiming at increasing infiltration of immune cell in tumor site are currently evaluated.

Patients who do not respond to anti-PD-1 antibody lack CD8+ T cells inside the tumor lesions.¹⁰⁰ A phase Ib study (MASTERKEY-265) combining pembrolizumab with an oncolytic virotherapy (talimogene laherparepvec (TVEC))

administered in the tumor advanced melanoma.^{101,102} ORR rate was 62%, with a complete response rate of 33% per irRC. CD8+ T cells level, elevated PD-L1 expression, and IFN- γ gene expression were observed in tumors of responders also in distant lesions. The phase III trial is ongoing in melanoma. Another strategy of combination of pembrolizumab with anti-TLR9 (a stimulating agent tumor microenvironment by activating plasmacytoid dendritic cells) is ongoing. CMP-001 comprises a CpG-A oligodeoxynucleotide packaged within a virus-like particle. CMP-001–001 is an ongoing phase Ib trial evaluating intratumoral (IT) CMP-001 in combination with pembrolizumab in PD-1 resistant advanced melanoma (either did not respond or progressed) on prior anti-PD-1 monotherapy or in combination.^{103,146} Safety data of 63 patients demonstrated a manageable toxicity with fever, N/V, headache, hypotension, and rigors. Grade 3/4-related AEs were reported in 15 of 68 patients. The ORR across all dose cohorts on weekly (n=40) and Q3W schedules (n=13) were 22.5% (9/40; 95% CI 11% to 39%) and 7.7% (1/13; 95% CI 0% to 36%) respectively. Distant regression of non-injected tumors occurred in cutaneous, nodal, hepatic, and splenic metastases. CMP-001 dosing at 5 mg/weekly has been selected for further evaluation in the ongoing dose expansion phase of this study. Epacadostat is an indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibitor. IDO1 is an endogenous mechanism of acquired peripheral immune tolerance in vivo.¹⁰⁴ Several therapeutic trials are evaluating epacadostat with pembrolizumab. Two ongoing phase III studies are testing the combination in UC, after first-line platinum,¹⁰⁵ or for cisplatinum-ineligible patients.¹⁰⁶ In head and neck SCC, the phase I/II study ECHO-202/KEYNOTE-037 also have shown promising results with an ORR for patients with 1–2 or 3 prior line of 34% and 14%, respectively.¹⁰⁷ An ongoing phase III study randomizes pembrolizumab plus epacadostat vs pembrolizumab and vs the EXTREME regimen as first-line treatment for advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (ECHO-304/KEYNOTE-669).¹⁰⁸ However a phase III in melanoma failed to increase PFS compared to pembrolizumab monotherapy.¹⁰⁹

b. Combination with other checkpoint inhibitor

Although pembrolizumab has supplanted ipilimumab in the management of melanoma, it was the first checkpoint inhibitor to demonstrate its efficacy in melanoma. The KEYNOTE-029,¹¹⁰ an open-label phase Ib study tested pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg plus ipilimumab 1 mg/kg every 3 weeks for four doses, followed by pembrolizumab

monotherapy. Grade 3–4 treatment-related AE were particularly high (45%) with an ORR of 60%.

c. Association of pembrolizumab with targeted therapies

Multiple studies are currently testing pembrolizumab in combination with already approved therapies. Lenvatinib is a multikinase inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1–3, fibroblast growth factor receptor 1–4, platelet-derived growth factor receptor α , RET, and KIT. In 13 patients with unresectable HCC,¹¹¹ the combination pembrolizumab/lenvatinib provided an ORR of 46%. In 22 HNSCC regardless of PD-L1 status, this combination provided consistent and durable responses were seen: ORR (36.4%), median DOR (8.2 months), and PFS (8.2 months).¹¹² Vorinostat, an HDAC inhibitor has been combined with pembrolizumab in recurrent metastatic HNSCC and salivary gland cancer with an ORR of 36% and 16%, respectively.¹¹³

Conclusion

The role of pembrolizumab in the management of cancer is no longer to prove. Indeed, many marketing authorizations have been granted to pembrolizumab by the FDA in various types of cancer. Better tolerated and more effective than conventional treatments, this makes it a treatment of choice. Selecting the patients benefiting at best from the therapy remains challenging. PD-L1 expression, the most used biomarker, remains imperfect. Numerous combination trials are ongoing.

Disclosure

Marie Robert received travel expenses. Marie Robert also reports honoraria from Merck and Novartis during the conduct of the study. Mario Campone acted in an advisory role for Novartis, Sanofi, Pierre Fabre, Lilly and Astra Zeneca outside the submitted work. The other authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Scapin G, Yang X, Prosis WW, et al. Structure of full-length human anti-PD1 therapeutic IgG4 antibody pembrolizumab. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2015;22(12):953–958. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3129
- Blank C, Brown I, Peterson AC, et al. PD-L1/B7H-1 inhibits the effector phase of tumor rejection by t cell receptor (TCR) transgenic CD8⁺ T cells. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64(3):1140–1145. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3259
- Okazaki T, Honjo T. PD-1 and PD-1 ligands: from discovery to clinical application. *Int Immunol.* 2007;19(7):813–824. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxm057
- Sharp M, Corp D, Kenilworth NJ: KEYTRUDA[®] (pembrolizumab) Prescribing Information.pdf. Available from: http://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/k/keytruda/keytruda_pi.pdf. Accessed October 6, 2018.
- Ahamadi M, Freshwater T, Prohn M, et al. model-based characterization of the pharmacokinetics of pembrolizumab: a humanized anti-pd-1 monoclonal antibody in advanced solid tumors: pharmacokinetics of pembro in solid tumors. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol.* 2017;6(1):49–57. doi:10.1002/psp4.12139
- Longoria TC, Tewari KS. Evaluation of the pharmacokinetics and metabolism of pembrolizumab in the treatment of melanoma. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2016;12(10):1247–1253. doi:10.1080/17425255.2016.1216976
- Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non–small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(21):2018–2028. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1501824
- Zhang T, Xie J, Arai S, et al. The efficacy and safety of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies for treatment of advanced or refractory cancers: a meta-analysis. *Oncotarget.* 2016;7:45. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.12230
- Wang M, Ma X, Guo L, Xia F. Safety and efficacy profile of pembrolizumab in solid cancer: pooled reanalysis based on randomized controlled trials. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2017;11:2851–2860. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S146286
- Sznol M, Postow MA, Davies MJ, et al. Endocrine-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade and expert insights on their management. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2017;58:70–76. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.06.002
- Michot JM, Bigenwald C, Champiat S, et al. Immune-related adverse events with immune checkpoint blockade: a comprehensive review. *Eur J Cancer.* 2016;54:139–148. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2015.11.016
- Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD. Immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(17):1974–1982. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.59.4358
- Feng S, Coward J, McCaffrey E, Coucher J, Kalokerinos P, O’Byrne K. Pembrolizumab-induced encephalopathy: a review of neurological toxicities with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2017;12(11):1626–1635. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2017.08.007
- Leroy V, Templier C, Faivre J-B, et al. Pembrolizumab-induced pneumonitis. *ERJ Open Res.* 2017;3(2):00081–02016. doi:10.1183/23120541.00081-2016
- Escandon J, Peacock S, Trabolsi A, Thomas DB, Layka A, Lutzky J. Interstitial nephritis in melanoma patients secondary to PD-1 checkpoint inhibitor. *J Immunother Cancer.* 2017;5:1. doi:10.1186/s40425-016-0205-2
- Wu Z, Lai L, Li M, Zhang L, Zhang W. Acute liver failure caused by pembrolizumab in a patient with pulmonary metastatic liver cancer: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96(51):e9431. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000009431
- Läubli H, Balmelli C, Bossard M, Pfister O, Glatz K, Zippelius A. Acute heart failure due to autoimmune myocarditis under pembrolizumab treatment for metastatic melanoma. *J Immunother Cancer.* 2015;3:1. doi:10.1186/s40425-015-0057-1
- Geukes Foppen MH, Rozeman EA, van Wilpe S, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition-related colitis: symptoms, endoscopic features, histology and response to management. *ESMO Open.* 2018;3(1):e000278. doi:10.1136/esmoopen-2017-000278
- Robert C, Ribas A, Wolchok JD, et al. Anti-programmed-death-receptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: a randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. *The Lancet.* 2014;384(9948):1109–1117. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60958-2
- Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, et al. Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(8):908–918. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00083-2
- Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(26):2521–2532. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1503093

22. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim D-W, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2016;387(10027):1540–1550. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01281-7
23. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(19):1823–1833. doi:10.1056/NEJMoA1606774
24. Lopes G, Wu Y-L, Kudaba I, et al. Pembrolizumab (pembro) versus platinum-based chemotherapy (chemo) as first-line therapy for advanced/metastatic NSCLC with a PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) $\geq 1\%$: open-label, phase 3 KEYNOTE-042 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(18_suppl):LBA4–LBA4. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.18_suppl.LBA4
25. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(22):2078–2092. doi:10.1056/NEJMoA1801005
26. Paz-Ares LG, Luft A, Tafreshi A, et al. Phase 3 study of carboplatin-paclitaxel/nab-paclitaxel (Chemo) with or without pembrolizumab (Pembro) for patients (Pts) with metastatic squamous (Sq) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):105. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.105
27. Bellmunt J, de Wit R, Vaughn DJ, et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(11):1015–1026. doi:10.1056/NEJMoA1613683
28. Balar AV, Castellano D, O'Donnell PH, et al. First-line pembrolizumab in cisplatin-ineligible patients with locally advanced and unresectable or metastatic urothelial cancer (KEYNOTE-052): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18(11):1483–1492. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30072-4
29. McDermott DF, Lee J-L, Szczylik C, et al. Pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line therapy in advanced clear cell renal cell carcinoma (accRCC): results from cohort A of KEYNOTE-427. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):4500. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.4500
30. Chung HC, Schellens JHM, Delord J-P, et al. Pembrolizumab treatment of advanced cervical cancer: updated results from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):5522. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.5522
31. Fuchs CS, Doi T, Jang RW, et al. Safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer: phase 2 clinical KEYNOTE-059 trial. *JAMA Oncol*. 2018;4(5):e180013. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.0013
32. Shitara K, Özgüroğlu M, Bang Y-J, et al. Pembrolizumab versus paclitaxel for previously treated, advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (KEYNOTE-061): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *The Lancet*. 2018. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31257-1
33. Zhu AX, Finn RS, Edeline J, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma previously treated with sorafenib (KEYNOTE-224): a non-randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018;19(7):940–952. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30351-6
34. Seiwert TY, Burtneß B, Mehra R, et al. Safety and clinical activity of pembrolizumab for treatment of recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (KEYNOTE-012): an open-label, multicentre, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016;17(7):956–965. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30066-3
35. Cohen EEW, Soulières D, Le Tourneau C, et al. Pembrolizumab versus methotrexate, docetaxel, or cetuximab for recurrent or metastatic head-and-neck squamous cell carcinoma (KEYNOTE-040): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *The Lancet*. 2018. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31999-8
36. Eggermont AMM, Blank CU, Mandala M, et al. Adjuvant Pembrolizumab versus placebo in resected stage III melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(19):1789–1801. doi:10.1056/NEJMoA1802357
37. Mok T, Wu Y-L, Watson PA, Zhang J, Rangwala RA, Lopes G. Phase 3 KEYNOTE-042 trial of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) versus platinum doublet chemotherapy in treatment-naïve patients (pts) with PD-L1-positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(15_suppl):TPS8105–TPS8105. doi:10.1200/jco.2015.33.15_suppl.tps8105
38. Vuky J, Balar AV, Castellano DE, et al. Updated efficacy and safety of KEYNOTE-052: A single-arm phase 2 study investigating first-line pembrolizumab (pembro) in cisplatin-ineligible advanced urothelial cancer (UC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):4524. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.4524
39. Frenel J-S, Le Tourneau C, O'Neil B, et al. Safety and Efficacy of pembrolizumab in advanced, programmed death ligand 1-positive cervical cancer: results from the phase Ib KEYNOTE-028 trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(36):4035–4041. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.74.5471
40. Fashoyin-Aje L, Donoghue M, Chen H, et al. FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab for recurrent locally advanced or metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma expressing PD-L1. *Oncologist*. 2018. theoncologist.2018-0221. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0221
41. Tabernero J, Bang Y-J, Fuchs CS, et al. KEYNOTE-062: phase III study of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) alone or in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone as first-line therapy for advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(4_suppl):TPS185–TPS185. doi:10.1200/jco.2016.34.4_suppl.tps185
42. Larkins E, Blumenthal GM, Yuan W, et al. FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab for the treatment of recurrent or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with disease progression on or after platinum-containing chemotherapy. *Oncologist*. 2017;22(7):873–878. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0496
43. Chow LQM, Haddad R, Gupta S, et al. Antitumor activity of pembrolizumab in biomarker-unselected patients with recurrent and/or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: results from the phase Ib KEYNOTE-012 expansion cohort. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(32):3838–3845. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.68.1478
44. Mehra R, Seiwert TY, Gupta S, et al. Efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab in recurrent/metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: pooled analyses after long-term follow-up in KEYNOTE-012. *Br J Cancer*. 2018. doi:10.1038/s41416-018-0131-9
45. Burtneß B, Harrington KJ, Greil R, et al. KEYNOTE-048: phase III study of first-line pembrolizumab (P) for recurrent/metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (R/M HNSCC). *Ann Oncol*. 2018;29(suppl 8). doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy424
46. O'Brien MER, Hasan B, Dafni U, et al. EORTC-ETOP randomized, phase 3 trial with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pembrolizumab versus placebo for patients with early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) after resection and standard adjuvant chemotherapy: PEARLS (NCT02504372). *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(15_suppl):TPS8571–TPS8571. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.34.15_suppl.TPS8571
47. Ott PA, Elez E, Hiret S, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer: results from the phase Ib KEYNOTE-028 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(34):3823–3829. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.72.5069
48. Ott P, Felip E, Hiret S, et al. OA05.01 pembrolizumab in patients with extensive-stage small cell lung cancer: updated survival results from KEYNOTE-028. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2017;12(1):S259. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2016.11.250
49. Chung HC, Lopez-Martin JA, Kao SC-H, et al. Phase 2 study of pembrolizumab in advanced small-cell lung cancer (SCLC): KEYNOTE-158. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):8506. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.8506

50. Rudin C, Shen L, Pietanza MC. P2.04-007 KEYNOTE-604: phase 3 randomized, double-blind trial of pembrolizumab/placebo plus etoposide/platinum for extensive stage-SCLC. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2017;12(11):S2400. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2017.11.020
51. Gadgeel SM, Ventimiglia J, Kalemkerian GP, et al. Phase II study of maintenance pembrolizumab (pembro) in extensive stage small cell lung cancer (ES-SCLC) patients (pts). *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):8504. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.8504
52. Cedrés S, Ponce-Aix S, Zugazagoitia J, et al. Analysis of expression of programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma (MPM). Gangopadhyay N, ed. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(3):e0121071. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121071
53. Mansfield AS, Roden AC, Peikert T, et al. B7-H1 expression in malignant pleural mesothelioma is associated with sarcomatoid histology and poor prognosis. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2014;9(7):1036–1040. doi:10.1097/JTO.0000000000000177
54. Alley EW, Lopez J, Santoro A, et al. Clinical safety and activity of pembrolizumab in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma (KEYNOTE-028): preliminary results from a non-randomised, open-label, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(5):623–630. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30169-9
55. Kindler H, Karrison T, Y-H CT, et al. OA13.02 phase II trial of pembrolizumab in patients with Malignant Mesothelioma (MM): interim analysis. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2017;12(1):S293–S294. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2016.11.301
56. Cho J, Ahn M-J, Yoo KH, et al. A phase II study of pembrolizumab for patients with previously treated advanced thymic epithelial tumor. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):8521. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.8521
57. Giaccone G, Kim C, Thompson J, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with thymic carcinoma: a single-arm, single-centre, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19(3):347–355. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30062-7
58. Necchi A, Briganti A, Raggi D, et al. Interim results from PURE-01: A phase 2, open-label study of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab (pembro) before radical cystectomy for muscle-invasive urothelial bladder carcinoma (MIUC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(6_suppl):TPS533–TPS533. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.6_suppl.TPS533
59. Rini BI, Powles T, Chen M, Puhlmann M, Atkins MB. Phase 3 KEYNOTE-426 trial: pembrolizumab (pembro) plus axitinib versus sunitinib alone in treatment-naive advanced/metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):TPS4597–TPS4597. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.TPS4597
60. De Bono JS, Goh JC, Ojamaa K, et al. KEYNOTE-199: pembrolizumab (pembro) for docetaxel-refractory metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):5007. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.5007
61. Schmid P, Park YH, Muñoz-Couselo E, et al. Pembrolizumab (pembro) + chemotherapy (chemo) as neoadjuvant treatment for triple negative breast cancer (TNBC): preliminary results from KEYNOTE-173. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):556. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.556
62. Nanda R, Liu MC, Yau C, et al. Pembrolizumab plus standard neoadjuvant therapy for high-risk breast cancer (BC): results from I-SPY 2. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):506. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.506
63. Schmid P, Cortes J, Bergh JCS, et al. KEYNOTE-522: phase III study of pembrolizumab (pembro) + chemotherapy (chemo) vs placebo + chemo as neoadjuvant therapy followed by pembro vs placebo as adjuvant therapy for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):TPS602–TPS602. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.TPS602
64. Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, et al. Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(22):2108–2121. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1809615
65. Cortés J, Guo Z, Karantza V, Aktan G. KEYNOTE-355: randomized, double-blind, phase III study of pembrolizumab (pembro) + chemotherapy (chemo) vs placebo (PBO) + chemo for previously untreated, locally recurrent, inoperable or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(5_suppl):TPS18–TPS18. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.5_suppl.TPS18
66. Loi S, Giobbè-Hurder A, Gombos A, et al. Abstract GS2-06: phase Ib/II study evaluating safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab and trastuzumab in patients with trastuzumab-resistant HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: results from the PANACEA (IBCSG 45-13/BIG 4-13/KEYNOTE-014) study. *Cancer Res.* 2018;78(4Supplement):GS2-06. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.SABCS17-GS2-06
67. Eng C. POLE mutations in colorectal cancer: a new biomarker? *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;1(3):176–177. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30030-9
68. McConechy MK, Talhouk A, Leung S, et al. Endometrial carcinomas with POLE exonuclease domain mutations have a favorable prognosis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2016;22(12):2865–2873. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2233
69. Fader AN, Diaz LA, Armstrong DK, et al. Preliminary results of a phase II study: PD-1 blockade in mismatch repair-deficient, recurrent or persistent endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2016;141:206–207. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.04.532
70. Matulonis UA, Shapira-Frommer R, Santin A, et al. Antitumor activity and safety of pembrolizumab in patients with advanced recurrent ovarian cancer: interim results from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-100 study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):5511. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.5511
71. Konstantinopoulos PA, Waggoner SE, Vidal GA, et al. TOPACIO/Keynote-162 (NCT02657889): A phase 1/2 study of niraparib + pembrolizumab in patients (pts) with advanced triple-negative breast cancer or recurrent ovarian cancer (ROC)—results from ROC cohort. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):106. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.106
72. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(26):2509–2520. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1500596
73. Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. *Science.* 2017;357(6349):409–413. doi:10.1126/science.aan6733
74. Le DT, Yoshino T, Jäger D, et al. KEYNOTE-164: phase II study of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) for patients with previously treated, microsatellite instability-high advanced colorectal carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(4_suppl):TPS787–TPS787. doi:10.1200/jco.2016.34.4_suppl.tps787
75. Diaz LA, Le DT, Yoshino T, et al. KEYNOTE-177: phase 3, open-label, randomized study of first-line pembrolizumab (Pembro) versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) or microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) metastatic colorectal carcinoma (mCRC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(4_suppl):TPS877–TPS877. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.4_suppl.TPS877
76. Shah MA, Bannouna J, Shen L, et al. Pembrolizumab for previously treated metastatic adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus: phase 2 KEYNOTE-180 study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(15_suppl):TPS4139–TPS4139. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.34.15_suppl.TPS4139
77. Doi T, Bannouna J, Shen L, et al. KEYNOTE-181: phase 3, open-label study of second-line pembrolizumab vs single-agent chemotherapy in patients with advanced/metastatic esophageal adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(15_suppl):TPS4140–TPS4140. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.34.15_suppl.TPS4140

78. Ott PA, Piha-Paul SA, Munster P, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of the anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab in patients with recurrent carcinoma of the anal canal. *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28(5):1036–1041. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx029
79. Fontugne J, Augustin J, Pujals A, et al. PD-L1 expression in perihilar and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8:15. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.15602
80. Bang YJ, Doi T, Braud FD, et al. 525 Safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in patients (pts) with advanced biliary tract cancer: interim results of KEYNOTE-028. *Eur J Cancer.* 2015;51:S112. 10.1016/S0959-8049(16)30326-4.
81. Bauml J, Seiwert TY, Pfister DG, et al. Pembrolizumab for platinum- and cetuximab-refractory head and neck cancer: results from a single-arm, phase II study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(14):1542–1549. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.70.1524
82. Uppaluri R, Zolkind P, Lin T, et al. Neoadjuvant pembrolizumab in surgically resectable, locally advanced HPV negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):6012. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.6012
83. Machiels J-PH, Licita L, Rischin D, et al. KEYNOTE-412: pembrolizumab (pembro) in combination with chemoradiation versus chemoradiation alone in locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (LA-HNSCC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):TPS6090–TPS6090. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.TPS6090
84. Ghatalia P, Zibelman M, Geynisman DM, Plimack E. Approved checkpoint inhibitors in bladder cancer: which drug should be used when? *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* 2018. doi:10.1177/1758835918788310
85. Kim S, Koh J, Kwon D, et al. Comparative analysis of PD-L1 expression between primary and metastatic pulmonary adenocarcinomas. *Eur J Cancer.* 2017;75:141–149. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2017.01.004
86. Tretiakova M, Fulton R, Kocherginsky M, et al. Concordance study of PD-L1 expression in primary and metastatic bladder carcinomas: comparison of four commonly used antibodies and RNA expression. *Mod Pathol.* 2017;31:623. doi:10.1038/modpathol.2017.188
87. Robert C, Ribas A, Hamid O, et al. Durable complete response after discontinuation of pembrolizumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(17):1668–1674. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.75.6270
88. Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome Initiative, ICGC Breast Cancer Consortium, ICGC MML-Seq Consortium, et al. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature.* 2013;500(7463):415–421. doi:10.1038/nature12477
89. Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, et al. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science.* 2015;348(6230):124–128. doi:10.1126/science.aaa1348
90. Cristescu R, Mogg R, Ayers M, et al. Mutational load (ML) and T-cell-inflamed microenvironment as predictors of response to pembrolizumab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(7_suppl):1. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.7_suppl.1
91. Goodman AM, Kato S, Bazhenova L, et al. Tumor mutational burden as an independent predictor of response to immunotherapy in diverse cancers. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2017;16(11):2598–2608. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0386
92. Chalmers ZR, Connelly CF, Fabrizio D, et al. Analysis of 100,000 human cancer genomes reveals the landscape of tumor mutational burden. *Genome Med.* 2017;9:1. doi:10.1186/s13073-017-0424-2
93. Lau E. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts benefit of anti-PD-1 therapy. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(7):e319. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00031-5
94. Tazdait M, Mezquita L, Lahmar J, et al. Patterns of responses in metastatic NSCLC during PD-1 or PDL-1 inhibitor therapy: comparison of RECIST 1.1, irRECIST and iRECIST criteria. *Eur J Cancer.* 2018;88:38–47. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2017.10.017
95. Sachpekidis C, Hassel JC, Dimitrakopoulou-Strauss A. 18F-FDG PET/CT reveals disease remission in a patient with ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma treated with pembrolizumab. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2016;41(2):156–158. doi:10.1097/RLU.0000000000001039
96. Derclé L, Seban R-D, Lazarovici J, et al. 18F-FDG PET and CT scans detect new imaging patterns of response and progression in patients with hodgkin lymphoma treated by anti-programmed death 1 immune checkpoint inhibitor. *J Nucl Med.* 2018;59(1):15–24. doi:10.2967/jnumed.117.193011
97. Dimitrakopoulou-Strauss A. Monitoring of patients with metastatic melanoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors using PET–CT. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* 2018. doi:10.1007/s00262-018-2229-6
98. Muro K, Chung HC, Shankaran V, et al. Pembrolizumab for patients with PD-L1-positive advanced gastric cancer (KEYNOTE-012): a multicentre, open-label, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17(6):717–726. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00175-3
99. Ayers M, Luceford J, Nebozhyn M, et al. IFN- γ -related mRNA profile predicts clinical response to PD-1 blockade. *J Clin Invest.* 2017;127(8):2930–2940. doi:10.1172/JCI91190
100. Herbst RS, Soria J-C, Kowanetz M, et al. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. *Nature.* 2014;515(7528):563–567. doi:10.1038/nature14011
101. Ribas A, Dummer R, Puzanov I, et al. Oncolytic virotherapy promotes intratumoral T cell infiltration and improves anti-PD-1 immunotherapy. *Cell.* 2017;170(6):1109–1119.e10. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.027
102. Conry RM, Westbrook B, McKee S, Norwood TG. Talimogene laherparepvec: first in class oncolytic virotherapy. *Hum Vaccines Immunother.* 2018;14(4):839–846. doi:10.1080/21645515.2017.1412896
103. Milhem M, Gonzales R, Medina T, et al. Abstract CT144: intratumoral toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) agonist, CMP-001, in combination with pembrolizumab can reverse resistance to PD-1 inhibition in a phase 1b trial in subjects with advanced melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 2018;78(13Supplement):CT144. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.AM2018-CT144
104. Brochez L, Chevolet I, Kruse V. The rationale of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase inhibition for cancer therapy. *Eur J Cancer.* 2017;76:167–182. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2017.01.011
105. Powles T, Bellmunt J, Petrylak DP, et al. Pembrolizumab (pembro) plus epacadostat or placebo for locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (UC) after failure of first-line platinum-containing chemotherapy: KEYNOTE-698/ECHO-303. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):TPS4586–TPS4586. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.TPS4586
106. Balar AV, Plimack ER, Grivas P, et al. Phase 3, randomized, double-blind trial of pembrolizumab plus epacadostat or placebo for cisplatin-ineligible urothelial carcinoma (UC): KEYNOTE-672/ECHO-307. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(15_suppl):TPS4587–TPS4587. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.TPS4587
107. Hamid O, Bauer TM, Spira AI, et al. Epacadostat plus pembrolizumab in patients with SCCHN: preliminary phase I/II results from ECHO-202/KEYNOTE-037. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15_suppl):6010. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.6010

108. Cohen EEW, Rischin D, Pfister DG, et al. A phase 3, randomized, open-label study of epacadostat plus pembrolizumab, pembrolizumab monotherapy, and the EXTREME regimen as first-line treatment for recurrent/metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (R/M SCCHN): ECHO-304/KEYNOTE-669. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):TPS6090–TPS6090. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.TPS6090
109. Long GV, Dummer R, Hamid O, et al. Epacadostat (E) plus pembrolizumab (P) versus pembrolizumab alone in patients (pts) with unresectable or metastatic melanoma: results of the phase 3 ECHO-301/KEYNOTE-252 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):108. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.108
110. Long GV, Atkinson V, Cebon JS, et al. Standard-dose pembrolizumab in combination with reduced-dose ipilimumab for patients with advanced melanoma (KEYNOTE-029): an open-label, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18(9):1202–1210. doi:10.1016/S1473-2045(17)30428-X
111. Ikeda M, Sung MW, Kudo M, et al. A phase 1b trial of lenvatinib (LEN) plus pembrolizumab (PEM) in patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):4076. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.4076
112. Taylor MH, Rasco DW, Brose MS, et al. A phase 1b/2 trial of lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):6016. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.6016
113. Rodriguez CP, Wu V, Voutsinas JM, et al. Phase I/II trial of pembrolizumab(P) and vorinostat(V) in recurrent metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HN) and salivary gland cancer (SGC). *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15_suppl):6025. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.6025

Cancer Management and Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient.

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal>