Investigation of mechanisms responsible for decreased susceptibility of aztreonam/avibactam activity in clinical isolates of Enterobacterales collected in Europe, Asia and Latin America in 2019

Rodrigo E. Mendes¹*, Timothy B. Doyle¹, Jennifer M. Streit¹, Francis F. Arhin², Helio S. Sader¹ and Mariana Castanheira¹

¹JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA 52317, USA; ²Pfizer, Inc, Kirkland, Quebec, Canada

*Corresponding author. E-mail: rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com

Received 2 April 2021; accepted 6 July 2021

Background: The combination aztreonam/avibactam is currently under Phase 3 trials for the treatment of serious infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria including those with MBLs.

Objectives: To investigate the resistance mechanisms in Enterobacterales exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MICs of \geq 4 mg/L.

Methods: Among 8787 Enterobacterales, 17 (0.2%) isolates exhibited an aztreonam/avibactam MIC of \geq 4 mg/ L. Isolates were sequenced and screened for β -lactamases. Sequences of porins, penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3) and expression levels of AmpC and AcrA were evaluated.

Results: Eleven (11/4154 isolates; 0.26%) *Escherichia coli*, three (3/1981; 0.15%) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and three (3/628; 0.5%) *Enterobacter cloacae* were identified. All *E. coli* showed either an 'YRIK' or 'YRIN' insertion in PBP3. In general, these isolates carried bla_{CMY} and/or bla_{CTX-M} variants, except for one isolate from Korea that also produced NDM-5 and one isolate from Turkey that produced OXA-48. Two DHA-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* overexpressed *acrA* and had a premature stop codon in either OmpK35 or OmpK36, whereas a third *K. pneumoniae* carried bla_{PER-2} and had a premature stop codon in OmpK35. All three *E. cloacae* expressed AmpC at levels \geq 570-fold, but sequence analysis did not reveal known amino acid alterations associated with decreased avibactam binding or increased hydrolysis of β -lactams. Minor amino acid polymorphisms within OmpC, OmpF and PBP3 were noted among the *E. cloacae*.

Conclusions: A small number of isolates (0.2%) met the inclusion criteria. *E. coli* showed altered PBP3 as the most relevant resistance mechanism, whereas *K. pneumoniae* had multiple resistance mechanisms. Further investigations are needed to clarify resistance in *E. cloacae*.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance remains a great concern worldwide, especially among Gram-negative bacteria. The latest report from the US CDC estimated 197 400 cases and 9100 deaths caused by Enterobacterales resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins (ESC), and 13100 cases and 1100 deaths caused by Enterobacterales resistant to carbapenems (CRE).¹ In Europe, 31.7% of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were reported as resistant to ESC and 7.5% of *K. pneumoniae* were reported as resistant to carbapenems in 2018. Resistance rates varied greatly (0%–78%) among the 30 European countries, but most countries (18) reported resistance rates for ESC higher than 20%. Moreover, carbapenem resistance

among *K. pneumoniae* remained below 4% in most countries, but occurrences between 8% and 30% were reported in seven countries, and a rate as high as 64% was reported in Greece.²

The occurrences of resistance phenotypes to ESC and carbapenems are considered serious and urgent threats, respectively.¹ These threats prompted the development of new therapeutic options and/or strategies as part of a global action plan against antimicrobial resistance.³ Aztreonam/avibactam, a monobactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor (BLI) combination, is undergoing Phase 3 clinical trials for treating infections caused by Gram-negative organisms including those producing MBLs.⁴ In contrast to most β -lactams, monobactams are not substrates for MBLs, whereas avibactam reversely inactivates most Class A and C and some D β -

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com lactamase enzymes.⁵ Thus, this combination mitigates resistance caused by most ESBL, including carbapenemases.⁶

The *in vitro* activity of aztreonam/avibactam was assessed against a large collection of contemporary (2019) clinical Enterobacterales recovered from patients hospitalized in medical centres located in Europe, Latin America and the Asia-Pacific region.⁷ In this previous study, a total of 18 Enterobacterales displayed an aztreonam/avibactam MIC of \geq 4 mg/L. These isolates were selected for molecular characterization to investigate the resistance mechanisms associated with this phenotype. This study expands on the previous publication⁷ to report on the epidemiological typing and resistance mechanisms observed among these select pathogens.

Materials and methods

The original study included 8787 Enterobacterales collected consecutively in 2019 from 64 medical centres in Europe, Russia and Turkey (n = 6170); the Asia-Pacific region (n = 1456); and Latin America (n = 1161). Information related to these organisms can be obtained in Sader *et al.* (2021).⁷ Within this collection, 18 (0.2%) isolates exhibited an aztreonam/ avibactam MIC of ≥ 4 mg/L (Table 1): 11 *Escherichia coli*, 3 *K. pneumoniae*, 3 *Enterobacter cloacae* species complex, and 1 *Proteus vulgaris*. The *P. vulgaris* was later found to be non-viable and was therefore excluded from further characterization (Table 1). Susceptibility testing was performed by reference broth microdilution according to CLSI.^{8,9}

DNA extraction was performed with the ThermoScientificTM KingFisherTM Flex Magnetic Particle Processor (Cleveland, OH, USA) and used as input material for library construction. Libraries were normalized using the bead-based normalization procedure (Illumina) and then sequenced on MiSeq (Reagent Kit v2; 2 × 250 paired reads; 500 cycles). *De novo* assembled FASTQ files were screened for β-lactamases, as previously described.¹⁰ Gene sequences encoding for penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3), OmpC/OmpK36 and OmpF/Ompk35 were investigated. Sequence analysis comparison was performed using sequences from a control isolate belonging to the same MLST as the query sequence. Isolates were subjected to the quantification of AmpC (except for *K. pneumoniae*) and AcrA (AcrAB-TolC) expression.¹¹

Results

Eleven (11/4154 surveillance isolates; 0.26%) *E. coli* had elevated aztreonam/avibactam MICs (4–16 mg/L). Ceftazidime/avibactam MICs of 1–8 mg/L were obtained against these isolates, except for one *E. coli* from Korea that carried *bla*_{NDM-5} and *bla*_{OXA-181} (MIC, >32 mg/L) (Tables 1 and 2). Elevated MIC results for aztreonam and ESC (\geq 8 mg/L) were obtained against *E. coli*, whereas low MIC values were noted for meropenem (0.03–0.12 mg/L) and imipenem (\leq 0.12–1 mg/L), except against the isolate from Korea that carried *bla*_{NDM-5} and *bla*_{OXA-181} (imipenem and meropenem MIC, >8 mg/L) and one isolate from Turkey with a *bla*_{OXA-48} (imipenem MIC, 4 mg/L) (Tables 1 and 2).

These 11 *E. coli* isolates carried multiple ESBL and plasmid AmpC-encoding genes, mostly consisting of CTX-M and CMY variants (Table 2). Four ST types were observed, with five isolates from two sites in Turkey belonging to ST410. Additionally, the NDM-5-producing *E. coli* strain from Korea belonged to ST410 (Table 2). All *E. coli* showed amino acid alterations in the PBP3 sequence either as an 'YRIK' or 'YRIN' insertion after amino acid 333. Overexpression of either the intrinsic *ampC* (\leq 1.2-fold) or *acrA* (\leq 4.5-fold) gene was not detected in any *E. coli*.

Aztreonam/avibactam MICs of 8 mg/L or >16 mg/L and ceftazidime/avibactam MICs of 4 mg/L or 16 mg/L were observed in three *K. pneumoniae* among a collection of 1981 (0.15%) isolates (Table 1). In general, these isolates had elevated MICs for β -lactams and β -lactam/BLI combinations; however, isolate 1122568 had a lower MIC for aztreonam/clavulanate (0.25 mg/L) and ceftazidime/clavulanate (1 mg/L). These *K. pneumoniae* remained susceptible to carbapenems (MIC, 0.5–1 mg/L), except for one strain from Bangkok, which displayed an imipenem and meropenem MIC of 4 mg/L (Table 1). All three isolates had elevated MICs for ertapenem.

The *K. pneumoniae* isolate 1116221 carried DHA-1 and had a premature stop codon at position 43 of OmpK36, whereas WT sequences were observed for OmpK35 and PBP3 (Table 2). This isolate showed expression of *acrA* 6.2-fold higher than the control strain (Table 2). *bla*_{PER-2} and *bla*_{DHA-1} were detected in isolates 1122568 and 1125511, respectively, and displayed premature stop codons in OmpK35 as well as amino acid alterations in PBP3 (Table 2). Expression of *acrA* in isolate 1125511 was 5.5-fold higher than the control strain.

Three (3/628; 0.5%) isolates identified as *E. cloacae* species complex displayed aztreonam/avibactam MICs of 4–16 mg/L and ceftazidime/avibactam MICs of 1-4 mg/L. These isolates exhibited elevated MICs to other β-lactams and β-lactam/BLI combinations, but remained susceptible to carbapenems, with the exception of isolate 1108008 (MIC, >2 mg/L) and isolate 1118254 (ertapenem MIC, 2 mg/L). All three isolates demonstrated a high-level expression of AmpC (>570-fold). Additionally, isolates 1108008 and 1118254 carried *bla*_{CTX-M-15} and *bla*_{SHV-12}, respectively (Table 2). In general, the E. cloacae complex isolates showed minor amino acid polymorphisms within OmpC and OmpF, except for isolate 1102685, which had multiple alterations within OmpF (Table 2). No amino acid alterations within the AmpC enzyme were noted (Figure S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online), but isolates 1102685 and 1118254 showed within PBP3, respectively, a G306V and a glutamic acid insertion at position 259 (Table 2).

Discussion

A total of 11 E. coli isolates were selected for this study; of these isolates, 9 isolates had the 'YRIK' insertion and 2 isolates had the 'YRIN' insertion after position 333 of PBP3. These insertions were previously described by Alm et al.¹² to cause decreased aztreonam binding at the target site and were further evaluated by Sadek et al. (2020).¹³ Isolates possessing an altered PBP3 and *bla*_{NDM} would be refractory to aztreonam/avibactam and any clinically available β -lactams and β-lactam/BLI combinations. Recent studies reported a high prevalence of NDM-producing E. coli with PBP3 insertions, which seem to be more prevalent in India.^{13,14} However, other surveillance studies reported a low proportion (<0.3%) of Enterobacterales with aztreonam/avibactam MICs of >4 mg/L; these isolates tended to be carbapenem susceptible.¹⁵ A narrow aztreonam/avibactam MIC range (4-16 mg/L) was obtained against E. coli as well as for ceftazidime/ avibactam (1-8 mg/L), except against the NDM-5-producing E. coli (>32 mg/L). These results indicate that the PBP3 mutations are essentially driving the higher aztreonam/avibactam MICs and the MIC variation (4–16 mg/L) may be caused by the β -lactamase background, as demonstrated previously.¹³

The three K. pneumoniae had aztreonam/avibactam MICs of $\geq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ and ceftazidime/avibactam MICs of 4–16 mg/L. The

| | | | | | | | | | | MIC | (mg/L) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Collection number | Site code | Country | City | Organism | ATM | ATM/AVI | ATM/CLA | CAZ | CAZ/AVI | CAZ/CLA | COZ/TZB | CRO | FEP | SAM | TZP | MEM | IPM | ETP |
| 1108470 | 68 | Turkey | Ankara | E. coli | >64 | ∞ | 32 | >128 | 2 | >128 | >16 | 8~ | >256 | >64 | >128 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.12 |
| 1108523 | 68 | Turkey | Ankara | E. coli | 32 | ∞ | 32 | >128 | 2 | >128 | >16 | 8~ | 32 | >64 | >128 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.12 |
| 1108694 | 68 | Turkey | Ankara | E. coli | 64 | ∞ | 64 | >128 | 2 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | ∞ | 64 | 128 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.12 |
| 1114251 | 69 | Turkey | Istanbul | E. coli | >64 | 16 | 32 | >128 | ∞ | >128 | >16 | 80 | >256 | >64 | >128 | 0.06 | - | 0.5 |
| 1114255 | 69 | Turkey | Istanbul | E. coli | 64 | ∞ | 64 | >128 | 8 | >128 | >16 | 8~ | 128 | >64 | >128 | Ļ | 4 | >2 |
| 1118669 | 606 | Korea | Kangwondo | E. coli | >64 | 4 | 32 | >128 | >32 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | >256 | >64 | >128 | 32 | 8~ | >2 |
| 1116284 | 603 | Thailand | Bangkok | E. coli | >64 | ∞ | 16 | >128 | 4 | 16 | >16 | % ^ | >32 | 64 | >128 | 0.03 | ≤0.12 | 0.5 |
| 1128667 | 380 | France | Rennes Cedex | E. coli | >64 | 4 | 8 | >128 | 1 | 8 | >16 | % ^ | >256 | 64 | 64 | 0.03 | ≤0.12 | 0.25 |
| 1130864 | 86 | Italy | Rome | E. coli | >64 | 8 | 16 | >128 | 8 | 16 | >16 | % ^ | >256 | >64 | >128 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 2 |
| 1116957 | 263 | Australia | Sydney | E. coli | 16 | ∞ | 32 | >128 | 2 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | 64 | >64 | >128 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 1126350 | 283 | Vietnam | Hanoi | E. coli | >64 | 16 | 64 | >128 | 4 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | >256 | >64 | >128 | 0.12 | 0.25 | >2 |
| 1122568 | 40 | Argentina | Buenos Aires | K. pneumoniae | >64 | 8 | 0.25 | >128 | 16 | 1 | >16 | % ^ | 32 | >64 | >128 | Ļ | 0.5 | >2 |
| 1125511 | 215 | Taiwan | Taipei | K. pneumoniae | >64 | ∞ | >64 | >128 | 4 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | ∞ | >64 | >128 | 0.5 | 1 | >2 |
| 1116221 | 603 | Thailand | Bangkok | K. pneumoniae | >64 | >16 | >64 | >128 | 16 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | 16 | >64 | >128 | 4 | 4 | >2 |
| 1102685 | 614 | Australia | Melbourne | E. cloacae | 64 | 4 | >64 | >128 | 1 | >128 | >16 | % ^ | 32 | 16 | 128 | 0.03 | ≤0.12 | 0.03 |
| 1108008 | 81 | Poland | Warsaw | E. cloacae | 64 | 4 | 64 | >128 | 4 | 128 | >16 | % ^ | 128 | >64 | >128 | 2 | 2 | >2 |
| 1118254 | 81 | Poland | Warsaw | E. cloacae | >64 | 16 | >64 | >128 | 2 | >128 | >16 | 80 | 32 | >64 | >128 | 0.12 | 0.5 | 2 |
| ATM, aztreonam; A ceftazidime/avibac bactam: TZP, piperc | TM/AVI, az tam; CAZ/(icillin/tazol | ztreonam/a CLA, ceftazi bactam; ME | vibactam (at fixe dime/clavulanat EM. meropenem; | ed concentration (e; COZ/TZB, cefto (IMP, imipenem: I | of 4 n Iozan ETP, el | ng/L); ATM e/tazobact tapenem. | /CLA, aztre cam (at fix | ed conc | clavulana :entration | te (at fixe of 4 mg/l | d concent -); CRO, ce | ration ftriaxo | of 4 m ne; FEF | g/L); C/ , cefep | AZ, cef oime; S | tazidin AM, ar | ne; CAZ npicillir | /AVI, /sul- |

| | S | |
|-------|---|---|
| | | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| | $\overline{0}$ | |
| | | |
| | = | |
| | z | |
| | t) | |
| | ă | |
| | ۳ | |
| | 7 | |
| | - | |
| | Q | |
| | Ο | |
| | | |
| | 5 | |
| | ř | |
| | S | |
| | 5 | |
| | āi | |
| | Ξ. | |
| ų | - | |
| | 0 | |
| | Ē | |
| | F. | |
| | O | |
| | ₽ | |
| | σ | |
| | Ν | |
| • | Ξ | |
| | Φ | |
| | ÷ | |
| | 2 | |
| | Q. | |
| | H | |
| | 2 | |
| - | <u> </u> | |
| | U | |
| | ~ | |
| | Ū | |
| | Ē | |
| 1 | ÷ | |
| | ∟ | |
| | ,⊐ | |
| | +- | |
| | ۲ | |
| | Q | |
| | +- | |
| | σ | |
| | Ð | |
| | Ť. | |
| | U | |
| | Φ | |
| | - | |
| | Ψ. | |
| | 0, | |
| | | |
| | \geq | |
| | 2 | |
| | 2 | |
| | | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| | 4 | |
| | 4 7 | |
| • | 4 | |
| | S >41 | |
| | llts ≥4 I | |
| | ults 241 | |
| | sults 241 | |
| | esults ≥4 r | |
| | results 241 | |
| | C results 24 I | |
| | IIC results ≥4 i | |
| | MIC results ≥4 i | |
| | IMIC results ≥4 I | |
| | m MIC results 24 i | |
| | am MIC results 24 i | |
| | tam MIC results 24 i | |
| | ctam MIC results 241 | |
| 0.200 | actam MIC results 24 I | |
| | oactam MIC results 24 I | |
| | 'ibactam MIC results ≥4 i | |
| | ivibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 I | |
| | n/avibactam MIC results ≥4 1 | |
| | m/avibactam MIC results _41 | |
| | am/avibactam MIC results _4 1 | |
| | 1 ham/avibactam MIC results 24 1 | |
| | onam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | eonam/avibactam MIC results _4 1 | |
| | reonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | treonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | ztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 I | |
| | g aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 1 | |
| | ig aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥4 i | |
| | ing aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 i | |
| | iting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 i | • |
| | biting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 241 | |
| | ilbiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 24 i | • |
| | hibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 4 i | |
| | vhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 41 | |
| | exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 41 | |
| | s exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 241 | |
| | es exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results \geq 4 i | |
| | tes exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results <u>></u> 41 | |
| | ates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results \geq 4 I | |
| | vlates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 24 i | |
| | solates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 241 | |
| | lsolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results 241 | |
| | Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥ 41 | |
| | I. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥41 | |
| | 1. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥ 41 | |
| | e 1. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results \geq 41 | |
| | Ne 1. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results \geq 41 | |
| | ble 1. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥ 41 | |
| | able 1. Isolates exhibiting aztreonam/avibactam MIC results ≥ 41 | |

| | | MIC (n | ng/L) | | R-lartamase aenes | mRNA e | xpression ^a | Am | no acid alteration | (0 |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| Collection numbe | r Organism | ATM/AVI | CAZ/AV. | I MLST | h-incluinase denes | AcrA | AmpC | OmpF/OmpK35 | OmpC/OmpK36 | PBP3 |
| 1108470 | E. coli | 8 | 2 | 410 | CMY-42, CTX-M-15, OXA-1 | 3.6 | 1.2 | WT | ΜT | R333insYRIK |
| 1108523 | E. coli | ∞ | 2 | 410 | CMY-42, 0XA-1 | 3.8 | $^{<1}$ | WT | L14Q | R333insYRIK |
| 1108694 | E. coli | ∞ | 2 | 410 | CMY-141 | 2.7 | $^{<1}$ | WT | G137D | R333insYRIK |
| 1114251 | E. coli | 16 | ∞ | 410 4 | CMY-42, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1 | 3.5 | $^{<1}$ | WT | WT | R333insYRIK |
| 1114255 | E. coli | 8 | ∞ | 410 | OXA-48, CMY-42, CTX-M-14, | 2.3 | <1 | WT | WT | R333insYRIK |
| | : | | | | TEM-190 | | | | | |
| 1118669 | E. coli | 4 | >32 | 410 | NDM-5, OXA-181, CMY-2, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1 | 2.4 | $^{<1}$ | ΨŢ | R199L | R333insYRIN |
| 1116284 | E. coli | ∞ | 4 | 405 | CTX-M-15, OXA-1 | $\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle \sim}$ | $^{<1}$ | N258X | MT | R333insYRIK |
| 1128667 | E. coli | 4 | 1 | 405 | CTX-M-55, OXA-1 | $\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle \sim}$ | $^{<1}$ | N258X | MT | R333insYRIK |
| 1130864 | E. coli | ∞ | ∞ | 405 | CTX-M-15, OXA-1 | $\stackrel{<}{\sim}$ | $^{<1}$ | N258X | MT | R333insYRIK |
| 1116957 | E. coli | ∞ | 2 | 38 | CMY-42, OXA-1 | $\stackrel{<}{\sim}$ | $^{<1}$ | WT | M | R333insYRIK |
| 1126350 | E. coli | 16 | 4 | 617 | CMY-42, CTX-M-27 | 4.5 | $^{<1}$ | WT | M | R333insYRIN |
| 1122568 | K. pneumoniae ^b | ∞ | 16 | 872 | PER-2, SHV-11 | 1.1 | NA | Y286X | MT | M6T, A33V, |
| | | | | | | | | | | V41I, L370I, |
| | | | | | | | | | | Q374K, H396R, |
| | | | | | | | | | | E434A, I447M, |
| | | | | | | | | | | N455S, L577Q, A578G |
| 1125511 | K. pneumoniae ^b | ∞ | 4 | 15 | DHA-1, SHV-28 | 5.5 | NA | A119X | WT | Y432C |
| 1116221 | K. pneumoniae ^b | >16 | 16 | 273 | DHA-1, LAP-2, SHV-11, TEM-1 | 6.2 | NA | WT | Y43X | WT |
| 1102685 | E. cloacae | 4 | 1 | 350 | ACT-27 | $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$ | 3667 | A19S, S159L, Y199F, | P177A | G306V |
| | | | | | | | | E200D, Y208L, E224K, G225A, G234E, L235M, Y236H, T243K, N276A, Q276A, F277H, D778, F279insENT | | |
| 1108008 | E. cloacae | 4 | 4 | 121 | ACT-25, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1 | $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{\scriptstyle \sim}$ | 3060 | WT | WT | WT |
| 1118254 | E. cloacae | 16 | 2 | 78 | ACT-24, SHV-12, TEM-1 | $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$ | 570 | WT | P177A, D188E | E258_S259insE |
| ATM/AVI, aztreon ^a Reported expres ^b K. pneumoniae is | am/avibactam; C/ sion results are re olates had WT se | AZ/AVI, cei slative to a quences o | ftazidim control f OmpK | ie/aviba isolate. 37. | ctam. | | | | | |

Mendes et al.

aztreonam/ and ceftazidime/clavulanate MICs (0.25–1 mg/L) were 16- to 32-fold lower than when these drugs were combined with avibactam against a PER-2 producer (1122568). Avibactam seems to inhibit PER-2 to a lesser extent than other ESBLs, which can partially explain the elevated MICs.¹⁶ Notably, clavulanate did not bring the aztreonam (0.25 mg/L) and ceftazidime (1 mg/L) MICs down to WT levels (modal MIC, 0.03 mg/L and 0.12 mg/L, respectively; data not shown). The absence of OmpK35 or OmpK36 does not significantly affect susceptibility to ceftazidime.¹⁷ However, the absence of both porins or absence of any porin and the presence of an ESBL increases the ceftazidime MIC around 4-fold, which seems to fit the results observed for 1122568.¹⁷

The remaining *K. pneumoniae* isolates 1116221 and 1125511 produced DHA-1. The former isolate had a premature stop codon within OmpK36, whereas the latter isolate had a premature stop codon within OmpK35. Both isolates expressed moderate levels of AcrAB-TolC. Nicolas-Chanoine *et al.*¹⁸ demonstrated that a DHA-1-producing *K. pneumoniae* strain exhibited a ceftazidime/avibactam MIC of 2 mg/L, and isogenic strains expressing DHA-1 and additional resistance mechanisms associated with drug influx or efflux had MICs of 4–16 mg/L. These results are consistent with those obtained here (MIC, 4–16 mg/L) and suggest that the aztreonam/avibactam and ceftazidime/avibactam MICs obtained against isolates 1116221 and 1125511 were likely due to the production of DHA-1 in combination with drug efflux and porin deficiencies.⁵

One possible hypothesis for the elevated aztreonam/avibactam MICs in isolates 1102685 and 1108008 (MIC, 4 mg/L) would be the similar elevated expression of AmpC. It is tempting to speculate that the amount of enzyme produced could overcome the in vitro inhibitory capability of avibactam used at 4 mg/L. However, while isolate 1108008 had a WT PBP3 sequence, isolate 1102685 showed a G306V mutation. This glycine is located within the η 3 loop region. Although it is considered a conserved amino acid, it is situated at the opposite side of the active β -lactam binding site and may not affect enzyme-substrate affinities, unless G306V causes conformational changes in the PBP3 structure that affect the active site. E. cloacae 1118254 had a higher aztreonam/avibactam MIC (16 mg/L), but a much lower expression of AmpC compared with isolates 1102685 and 1108008. However, isolate 1118254 had a glutamic acid insertion in the transpeptidase domain (amino acid 237-577) of PBP3. This insertion was previously reported in an E. cloacae that displayed an aztreonam/avibactam MIC of >8 mg/L, ¹⁹ and it is located adjacent to the conserved alanine at position 257 at the end of the $\alpha 8$ loop, which adjoins the active binding site.²⁰

This study further analysed 17 (17/8787; 0.2%) Enterobacterales isolates that showed a decreased susceptibility to aztreonam/avibactam to discern their associated resistance mechanisms. In summary, *E. coli* tended to be carbapenem susceptible and produce an altered PBP3, likely as a relevant aztreonam/avibactam resistance mechanism acting in conjunction with the β -lactamase background.¹³ The *K. pneumoniae* showed multiple mechanisms, whereas the *E. cloacae* did not show clear evidence to explain their elevated MICs, other than an overexpression of AmpC.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all participants of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program for providing bacterial isolates. We would also like

to thank Amy Chen, Judy Oberholser and Sean DeVries for editorial assistance.

Funding

This study at JMI Laboratories was supported by Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY, USA). Pfizer was involved in the decision to present these results.

Transparency declarations

M.C., T.B.D., J.M.S., H.S.S. and R.E.M. are employees of JMI Laboratories, which was a paid consultant to Pfizer in connection with the development of this study and manuscript. F.F.A. is an employee of Pfizer, Inc.

JMI Laboratories contracted to perform services in 2020 for Affinity Biosensors, Allergan, Amicrobe, Inc., Amplyx Pharma, Artugen Therapeutics USA, Inc., Astellas, Basilea, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, BIDMC, bioMerieux, Inc., BioVersys Ag, Bugworks, Cidara, Cipla, Contrafect, Cormedix, Crestone, Inc., Curza, CXC7, Entasis, Fedora Pharmaceutical, Fimbrion Therapeutics, Fox Chase, GlaxoSmithKline, Guardian Therapeutics, Hardy Diagnostics, IHMA, Janssen Research & Development, Johnson & Johnson, Kaleido Biosciences, KBP Biosciences, Luminex, Matrivax, Mayo Clinic, Medpace, Meiji Seika Pharma Co., Ltd, Melinta, Menarini, Merck, Meridian Bioscience Inc., Micromyx, MicuRx, N8 Medical, Nabriva, National Institutes of Health, National University of Singapore, North Bristol NHS Trust, Novome Biotechnologies, Paratek, Pfizer, Prokaryotics Inc., QPEX Biopharma, Rhode Island Hospital, RIHML, Roche, Roivant, Salvat, Scynexis, SeLux Diagnostics, Shionogi, Specific Diagnostics, Spero, SuperTrans Medical LT, T2 Biosystems, The University of Queensland, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tufts Medical Center, Universite de Sherbrooke, University of Iowa, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. University of Wisconsin. UNT System College of Pharmacy. URMC. UT Southwestern, VenatoRx, Viosera Therapeutics and Wayne State University. There are no speakers' bureaus or stock options to declare.

Supplementary data

Figure S1 is available as Supplementary data at JAC Online.

References

1 CDC. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States. 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf.

2 ECDC. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe (EARS-Net) 2018. 2019. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/surveil lance-antimicrobial-resistance-Europe-2018.pdf.

3 WHO. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. http://www.wpro. who.int/entity/drug_resistance/resources/global_action_plan_eng.pdf.

4 Cornely OA, Cisneros JM, Torre-Cisneros J *et al.* Pharmacokinetics and safety of aztreonam/avibactam for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections in hospitalized adults: results from the REJUVENATE study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2020; **75**: 618–27.

5 Ehmann DE, Jahic H, Ross PL *et al.* Kinetics of avibactam inhibition against Class A, C, and D β -lactamases. *J Biol Chem* 2013; **288**: 27960–71.

6 Marshall S, Hujer AM, Rojas LJ *et al.* Can ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam overcome β -lactam resistance conferred by metallo- β -lactamases in *Enterobacteriaceae? Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; **61**: e02243-16.

7 Sader HS, Carvalhaes CG, Arends SJR *et al*. Aztreonam/avibactam activity against clinical isolates of Enterobacterales collected in Europe, Asia and Latin America in 2019. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; **76**: 659–66.

8 CLSI. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically—Eleventh Edition: M07. 2018.

9 CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing— Thirtieth Edition: M100. 2020.

10 Mendes RE, Jones RN, Woosley LN *et al.* Application of next-generation sequencing for characterization of surveillance and clinical trial isolates: analysis of the distribution of β -lactamase resistance genes and lineage background in the United States. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2019; **6**: S69–78.

11 Castanheira M, Costello SE, Woosley LN *et al.* Evaluation of clonality and carbapenem resistance mechanisms among *Acinetobacter baumannii-Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* complex and *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates collected in European and Mediterranean countries and detection of two novel β -lactamases, GES-22 and VIM-35. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; **58**: 7358–66.

12 Alm RA, Johnstone MR, Lahiri SD. Characterization of *Escherichia coli* NDM isolates with decreased susceptibility to aztreonam/avibactam: role of a novel insertion in PBP3. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2015; **70**: 1420–8.

13 Sadek M, Juhas M, Poirel L *et al.* Genetic features leading to reduced susceptibility to aztreonam-avibactam among metallo-β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2020; **64**: e01659-20.

14 Periasamy H, Joshi P, Palwe S *et al.* High prevalence of *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in India harbouring four amino acid inserts in PBP3 adversely

impacting activity of aztreonam/avibactam. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020; **75**: 1650–1.

15 Karlowsky JA, Kazmierczak KM, de Jonge BLM *et al. In vitro* activity of aztreonam-avibactam against *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated by clinical laboratories in 40 countries from 2012 to 2015. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; **61**: e00472-17.

16 Ruggiero M, Papp-Wallace KM, Taracila MA *et al.* Exploring the landscape of diazabicyclooctane (DBO) inhibition: avibactam inactivation of PER-2 β -lactamase. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; **61**: e02476-16.

17 Tsai YK, Fung CP, Lin JC *et al. Klebsiella pneumoniae* outer membrane porins OmpK35 and OmpK36 play roles in both antimicrobial resistance and virulence. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 1485–93.

18 Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Mayer N, Guyot K *et al.* Interplay between membrane permeability and enzymatic barrier leads to antibiotic-dependent resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Front Microbiol* 2018; **9**: 1422.

19 Mushtaq S, Vickers A, Doumith M *et al.* Activity of β -lactam/taniborbactam (VNRX-5133) combinations against carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; **76**: 160–70.

20 Sun S, Selmer M, Andersson DI. Resistance to β -lactam antibiotics conferred by point mutations in penicillin-binding proteins PBP3, PBP4 and PBP6 in *Salmonella enterica*. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e97202.