

Citation: Chen H-F, Lan C-Y (2015) Role of *SFP1* in the Regulation of *Candida albicans* Biofilm Formation. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0129903. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0129903

Academic Editor: Alix Therese Coste, Institute of Microbiology, SWITZERLAND

Received: February 25, 2015

Accepted: May 14, 2015

Published: June 18, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Chen, Lan. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information file.

Funding: This work was supported by grants NSC101-2311-B-007-010-MY3 and NSC100-2627-B-007-002 (to CYL) from the National Science Council (Taiwan). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Role of SFP1 in the Regulation of Candida albicans Biofilm Formation

Hsueh-Fen Chen¹, Chung-Yu Lan^{1,2}*

1 Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30013, Taiwan, R.O.C,

2 Department of Life Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30013, Taiwan, R.O.C

* cylan@life.nthu.edu.tw

Abstract

Candida albicans is a major human fungal pathogen. One of the important features of C. albicans pathogenicity is the ability to form biofilms on mucosal surfaces and indwelling medical devices. Biofilm formation involves complex processes in C. albicans, including cell adhesion, filamentous growth, extracellular matrix secretion and cell dispersion. In this work, we characterized the role of the transcription factor Sfp1, particularly with respect to its function in the regulation of biofilm formation. The deletion of the SFP1 gene enhanced cell adhesion and biofilm formation in comparison to the wild-type strain. Interestingly, the sfp1-deleted mutant also exhibited an increase in the expression of the ALS1, ALS3 and HWP1 genes, which encode adhesin proteins. In addition, Sfp1 was demonstrated to function downstream of the Rhb1-TOR signaling pathway. Bcr1 and Efg1 are transcription factors that are critical for controlling biofilm formation, and Efg1 is also required for hyphal growth. Deleting either the BCR1 or EFG1 gene in the sfp1-null background led to reduced adhesin gene expression. As a result, the bcr1/sfp1 or efg1/sfp1 double deletion mutants exhibited dramatically reduced biofilm formation. The results indicated that Sfp1 negatively regulates the ALS1, ALS3 and HWP1 adhesin genes and that the repression of these genes is mediated by the inhibition of Bcr1 and Efg1.

Introduction

Candida albicans is a part of the normal microbial flora and typically inhabits the skin, the mucosal surfaces of the oral cavity, and the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts. However, this organism is also an opportunistic pathogen that can cause invasive and life-threatening infections, particularly in immunocompromised patients [1]. A critical feature that is closely related to the ability of *C. albicans* to cause infections is its ability to form surface-associated microbial communities called biofilms [2–4]. *C. albicans* can form biofilms on biotic or inert surfaces, such as the mucosal epithelia and a variety of indwelling medical devices. The increased use of implanted medical devices has enhanced the risk of *C. albicans* biofilm-related infections; thus, *C. albicans* has emerged as a major causative agent of nosocomial infections [2,4–6]. For example, biofilm formation on intravascular catheters is a key step in the development of hematogenously disseminated candidiasis [7]. Moreover, *C. albicans* is ranked third

among the leading causes of intravascular catheter-related infections with high levels of mortality [5,8-11].

Complex processes for biofilm formation in *C. albicans* have been proposed. Biofilm formation begins with the adhesion of yeast cells to a supporting surface, which is followed by cell proliferation that forms a basal layer along the surface [12]. Filamentous growth is then induced, and the biofilm is enclosed by secreted extracellular matrix materials, forming a complex three-dimensional architecture [11–13]. Finally, cells disperse from the mature biofilm into the surroundings. Biofilms protect *C. albicans* from antifungals and may also help cells escape from the host immune system [14–17]. The antifungal resistance of *C. albicans* remains a great threat in clinical settings. Therefore, to understand *C. albicans* pathogenesis and develop novel antifungal strategies, it is necessary to elucidate different aspects of biofilm formation.

In addition, C. albicans biofilm formation involves complex signaling and gene regulation networks [11,18]. The identification and study of transcription factors related to biofilm formation should provide important insights into the molecular mechanisms that control biofilm formation. For example, the deletion of the BCR1 gene or the MSS11 gene, which both encode transcription factors, affects biofilm formation in C. albicans [19,20]. Moreover, using a computational approach, we constructed the gene regulatory networks of biofilm and planktonic cells [21]. By comparing the network structure and performing statistical analysis, we revealed the differences between the networks and identified several potential transcription factors related to biofilm formation [21]. Among those candidates, orf19.5953 exhibited the most statistical significance and was considered to be a transcription factor closely related to biofilm formation. In this study, we characterized the function of C. albicans orf19.5953. We demonstrated that C. albicans orf19.5953 is a functional homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sfp1. Moreover, the deletion of C. albicans SFP1 promotes biofilm formation in comparison to the wild-type (WT) strain. The SFP1-deleted mutant also exhibited higher expression of the ALS1, ALS3 and HWP1 genes, which encode cell wall adhesion proteins [22-24]. Finally, Sfp1 functions downstream of the Rhb1-Tor1 signaling pathway and may coordinate with the transcriptional factors Bcr1 and Efg1. Our findings highlight a previously unknown mechanism for signaling and transcription regulation during C. albicans biofilm formation.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and growth conditions

All of the *C. albicans* strains used in this study are listed in Table A in <u>S1 File</u>. The cells were routinely grown in YPD medium (2% glucose, 1% yeast extract and 2% peptone). Plates were prepared with 1.5% agar. For the assay of cell adhesion and biofilm formation, synthetic complete (SC) medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base [YNB] with ammonium sulfate, 2.0% glucose, and 0.079% complete supplement mixture) was used.

DNA manipulation and strain construction

(1) Deletion and reintegration of the *C. albicans SFP1, EFG1* and *BCR1* genes. All deletion strains were generated from SC5314 using the *SAT1*-flipper method [25]. The primers used are listed in Table B in <u>S1 File</u>. The 5' flanking region of *SFP1* was amplified from the SC5314 genome using the primer pair CaSFP1uF-KpnI and CaSFP1uR-XhoI. The 3' flanking region of *SFP1* was amplified from the SC5314 genome using the primer pair CaSFP1uF-KpnI and CaSFP1uR-XhoI. The 3' flanking region of *SFP1* was amplified from the SC5314 genome using the primer pair CaSFP1dF-SacII and CaSFP1dR-SacI. The resulting 5' and 3' flanking regions of *SFP1* were independently cloned into the pSFS2A vector [25] to generate pSFS2AdSFP1. The DNA fragment carrying the 5' and 3' flanking regions of *SFP1* and the *SAT1*-flipper cassette was excised from pSFS2AdSFP1 via *KpnI/SacI* digestion. The linear DNA was purified and transformed into *C. albicans* cells for

integration into the chromosome between the 5' and 3' flanking sequences of *SFP1* via homologous recombination. The transformants were selected for nourseothricin resistance and verified by PCR. To remove the integrated *SAT1*-flipper cassette from the *SFP1* locus, the cells were grown in YPM medium (2% maltose, 1% yeast extract and 2% peptone) to induce the *MAL2* promoter-regulated recombinase for *SAT1*-FLIP excision. The heterozygous *sfp1*-deleted mutants (*sfp1*\Delta/*SFP1*) were used for a second round of deletion cassette integration and excision to knock out the second allele of *SFP1*. Two independently generated heterozygous and homozygous *sfp1*-deleted mutants (*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta) were used for further study.

To construct the *SFP1*-reintegrated strain, the DNA fragment composed of the *SFP1* promoter along with the full-length *SFP1* coding sequence was amplified from the SC5314 genome using the primer pair CaSFP1uF-KpnI and CaSFP1-2R-XhoI. This fragment was cloned into pSFS2AdSFP1 upstream of the *SAT1*-flipper cassette to replace the original *KpnI-XhoI* fragment, generating pSFP1R. The DNA fragment carrying the full-length *SFP1* gene, the *SAT1*flipper cassette and the 5' and 3' flanking regions of *SFP1* was excised from pSFP1R via *KpnI/ SacI* digestion, purified and transformed into the homozygous *SFP1*-deleted strains. Nourseothricin selection and removal of the *SAT1*-cassette were performed as described above. The strains carrying the integration in the first allele of *SFP1* were used to integrate *SFP1* into the second allele. Two independent *SFP1*-reintegrated strains were used in different experiments.

Similar procedures were used to delete and reintegrate EFG1 and BCR1 in the homozygous sfp1-deleted background, generating the $efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ and $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double mutant strains. The primer pair EFG1-UR-F-KpnI and EFG1-UR-R-XhoI was used for the 5' flanking region of the EFG1 gene, and the primer pair EFG1-DR-F-SacII and EFG1-DR-R-SacI was used for the 3' flanking region of the EFG1 gene. In addition, the primer pair BCR1 UR F1-KpnI and BCR1 UR R2-XhoI was used for the 3' flanking region of BCR1, and the primer pair BCR1 DR F1-SacII and BCR1 DR R2-SacI was used for the 3' flanking region of BCR1, and the primer pair BCR1 DR F1-SacII and BCR1 DR R2-SacI was used for the 3' flanking region of BCR1.

(2) Overexpression of the *C. albicans SFP1* gene. To construct a strain capable of tetracycline-induced *SFP1* overexpression, the *GFP* gene was excised from the pNIM1 plasmid via *BglII/Sal*I digestion [26] and replaced with the full-length *SFP1* sequence, generating pNIM1-SFP1. A DNA fragment containing the tetracycline-inducible promoter (*Ptet*)-*SFP1-SAT1* cassette was obtained from pNIM1-SFP1 via *SacII/KpnI* digestion. This linear DNA fragment was purified and transformed into the SC5314 genome for integration into one allele of the *ADH1* locus.

One-hybrid assay

To generate the strains used in the one-hybrid assay, the *SFP1* gene was PCR-amplified from the SC5314 genome using the primer pair SFP1-one-hybrid-1 and SFP1-one-hybrid-2 (Table B in <u>S1 File</u>). The PCR product was cloned into the pCIp-lexA-F1 plasmid [27,28] between the *Mlu*I and *Sph*I restriction enzyme sites to generate pCIp-LexA-F-SFP1. The DNA fragment containing the *lexA-SFP1* fusion was obtained from pCIp-LexA-F-SFP1 via *Stu*I digestion, purified and introduced into the *RPS1* locus of the COP1 and CCR1 strains [28] to yield COP-LexASFP1 and CCR-LexASFP1, respectively (Table A in <u>S1 File</u>). The COP1-derived strains use a LexA operator and an *ADH1* basal promoter to drive the *lacZ* reporter, whereas the CCR1-derived strains lack the LexA operator.

The expression level of β -galactosidase was determined using an X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside) overlay assay and a liquid β -galactosidase assay, as described previously [28], with some modifications. For the overlay assays, colonies were formed on agar plates during an overnight incubation and lysed with chloroform for 5 min. We decanted the chloroform solution and air-dried the plates for 10 min. The plates were overlaid with X-Galagarose (0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml of X-Gal, 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer [pH 7.0] and 1% agarose). After the gel solidified, the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C until the blue color developed. For the liquid β -galactosidase assays, the cells were grown overnight in YPD at 30°C, subcultured into fresh YPD and grown to mid-log phase (~5 h). The cell pellets were collected, washed with sterile double-distilled water (ddH₂O), and stored at -80°C until use. The cells were resuspended in 300 µl of Z buffer (60 mM Na₂HPO₄, 40 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, and 4 μ /ml of 98% mercaptoethanol, pH 7.0). The cell suspension was divided equally into three tubes, and 900 µl of Z buffer were added to each tube. The cells were lysed by adding 15 µl of 0.1% SDS and 30 µl of chloroform and vortexing the samples for 15 sec. Then, 0.2 ml of 4 mg/ml o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) in potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was added and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. When the mixture turned yellow, the reaction was stopped via the addition of $400 \,\mu$ l of 1 M Na₂CO₃. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and the absorbance of the supernatants was measured at 420 and 550 nm. The β-galactosidase activity was calculated as follows: 1 Miller Unit = $1000 \times [(OD_{420}) - (1.75 \times OD_{550})] / [(t) \times (v) \times (OD_{600})]$, where OD_{420} is the absorbance derived from ONPG, t is the duration of the reaction (in minutes), v is the volume of the supernatant used in the assay (in milliliters), OD₆₀₀ is the cell density at the beginning of the reaction, and OD₅₅₀ is the light scattering from cell debris. The assays were performed in triplicate, with at least three independent experiments for each tested strain.

RNA preparation and reverse transcription (RT) real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR)

The biofilm cells were scraped from the microplates and washed with ddH_2O . The cell pellets were collected via centrifugation and stored at -80°C until use. Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed as described previously [27]. The *PMA1* transcripts were used as an internal control for the RNA input [29].

Real-time qPCR was performed using the StepOne Plus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). The primers used are listed in Table B in <u>S1 File</u>. Briefly, each 20 µl reaction mixture contained 80 ng of cDNA, 300 nM of each forward and reverse primer, and 10 µl of the Power SYBR green PCR master mixture (Applied Biosystems). The reactions were performed with 1 cycle at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 repeated cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The *PMA1* transcripts were used as an endogenous control for qPCR [29]. All experiments were repeated independently at least two times, and the average C_T values were determined. The relative fold change in the expression of each gene was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [30].

Cell susceptibility to rapamycin and hygromycin B

Cells from overnight cultures were harvested via centrifugation, washed and diluted to 3×10^7 cells per ml in sterile ddH₂O. Five microliters of 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto YPD agar plates containing 25 ng/ml of rapamycin (catalog no. 553210; Merck KGaK, Darmstadt, Germany). The rapamycin stock solution (100 µg/ml) was prepared in methanol. In addition, cells were grown on YPD plates that contained the same volume of methanol (without rapamycin) and used as controls. For the assay of cell susceptibility to hygromycin B, YPD agar plates with or without 400 µg/ml of hygromycin B (catalog no. H7772-250MG; SIGMA) were used. Cell viability was recorded after incubation at 30°C for 5 days. The cell susceptibility assay was performed independently 3 times.

Measurement of cell adhesion and biofilm formation

The WT, *SFP1*-deleted and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains of *C. albicans* were grown overnight in YPD broth at 30°C. The overnight culture was subcultured into fresh SC medium and grown for 5 h. For the cell adhesion assay, 10^7 cells were suspended in 0.25 ml of SC medium/per well of a 24-well flat polystyrene microplate (Cat number 4430300, Orange Scientific, Braine-l'Alleud, Belgium) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The plate was washed twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Adhered cells were evaluated by assessing the reduction of 2,3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT) and by measuring the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). For the XTT reduction assay, XTT (1 mg/ml) and menadione (14.28 μ M) were added to each well and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. The reaction was measured at 490 nm using a microplate reader [31]. For OD₆₀₀ measurement, adherent cells were scraped from each well and resuspended in PBS and the OD₆₀₀ was measured [32].

For the assay of biofilm formation, 3×10^5 cells were suspended in 1 ml of SC medium and incubated in each well of a 24-well polystyrene microplate at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After 24 h, the wells were washed twice with sterile PBS. The degree of biofilm formation was determined using the XTT assay, as described above, except 0.125 mg/ml of XTT was used, and no menadione was added.

Biofilm evaluation using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM)

The examination of *C. albicans* biofilm structure using SEM was performed as described previously [20]. Briefly, 3×10^5 cells were grown on polystyrene coverslips (Thermanox plastic coverslip 174950, Thermo Scientific), which were placed in each well of a 24-well microplate containing 1 ml of SC medium. After biofilm formation, the coverslip was washed twice with PBS and fixed with 3.75% formaldehyde (in PBS) for 40 minutes. The post-fixed coverslip was treated with 1% osmium tetroxide for 5 minutes. After fixation, the samples were dehydrated with serial ethanol solutions (35% for 5 min; 50% for 5 min; 70% for 5 min; 80% for 5 min; 95% for 5 min; and 100% for 10 min twice), followed by overnight treatment with hexamethyl-disilazane. The samples were then dried in a 60°C oven. Finally, the biofilms were examined and micrographs were collected using a SEM (Hitachi, S-4700, Type II).

To further examine the morphology and architecture of the biofilms, CSLM was used. The cells were grown on a polystyrene coverslip (Thermanox plastic coverslip 174950) in a 24-well microplate. After biofilm formation, the coverslip was washed with PBS and the cells were stained with 0.2 mg/ml of calcofluor (Sigma F-3543) for 1 h. The coverslip with the biofilm cells was placed on a regular microscope slide and covered with a regular microscope cover glass. A reinforcing ring was glued between the coverslip and the regular microscope cover glass to prevent the biofilm from becoming flattened. The morphology and architecture of the biofilms were examined using a Carl Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope with a 405 nm diode excitation laser.

Results

C. albicans orf19.5953 is a homolog of S. cerevisiae Sfp1

In our previous study, *C. albicans* orf19.5953 was identified as a promising transcription factor that may function in biofilm formation [21]. In the Candida Genome Database (<u>http://www.candidagenome.org</u>), *C. albicans* orf19.5953 is annotated as the homolog of *S. cerevisiae* Sfp1 and the functions of orf19.5953 are mostly uncharacterized.





Fig 1. C. albicans Sfp1 functions as a transcriptional activator, as demonstrated by a one-hybrid analysis. Sfp1 was fused to LexA and regulated by the ACT1 promoter. LexA-Sfp1 binds to the LexA operator ("OP") upstream of the *lacZ* reporter gene. LacZ activity was measured using the X-Gal overlay assay (A) and the liquid β -galactosidase assay (B). A strain expressing only the LexA protein and a strain without the LexA operator ("basal") upstream of the *lacZ* reporter gene were used as controls. The known activator Gcn4 and the repressor Nrg1 fused with LexA were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

In this work, to study the functions of Orf19.5953, we first aligned the amino acid sequences of *C. albicans* orf19.5953 and *S. cerevisiae* Sfp1 using Clustal W2 (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>). The sequences of these two proteins share ~40% similarity and ~31% identity. In addition, both of these proteins contain two C2H2-type zinc finger domains in their C-terminal regions, which may be responsible for DNA binding (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>).

S. cerevisiae Sfp1 acts as an activator to regulate ribosome protein gene expression [33]. To investigate the role of *C. albicans* Sfp1 in transcriptional regulation, the one-hybrid assay [28] was performed. In the one-hybrid assay, both the X-gal overlay and the liquid β -galactosidase assay were performed. Strains that express LexA-Gcn4 and LexA-Nrg1 were used as controls for the activator and repressor, respectively. As shown in Fig.1, LexA-Sfp1 can strongly activate the expression of the *lacZ* reporter to the same extent as LexA-Gcn4. Moreover, in the liquid β -gal assay, LexA-Sfp1 activated the *lacZ* reporter gene even more strongly than LexA-Gcn4 (Fig.1). These results indicate that *C. albicans* Sfp1 is a transcriptional activator. Therefore, based on the sequence comparison and one-hybrid analysis, we refer to orf19.5953 as *C. albicans* Sfp1.

C. albicans Sfp1 is involved in the regulation of ribosomal gene expression and is related to the TOR signaling pathway

To further reveal the functions of *C. albicans* Sfp1, we generated the *sfp1*-deleted and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>). The successful construction of these strains was verified by Southern blot analysis and real-time PCR (Fig A in <u>S1 File</u>). The growth rates of the WT, *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains were then determined. As shown in Fig B in <u>S1 File</u>, *C. albicans sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutants exhibited slower growth than the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains, in both YPD and SC broth. A similar delay in cell growth was also observed after *SFP1* deletion in *S. cerevisiae* [<u>34</u>].

In addition, *S. cerevisiae* Sfp1p functions downstream of the target of rapamycin (TOR) kinase to regulate ribosomal protein (RP) and ribosome biogenesis (Ribi) gene transcription [33–36]. Moreover, the *S. cerevisiae sfp1*-deleted mutant is sensitive to hygromycin B, which is a drug that inhibits translation [37]. We hypothesize that *C. albicans* Sfp1 functions in a similar





Fig 2. C. albicans Sfp1 regulates ribosomal gene expression and is related to the TOR signaling pathway. (A) Deletion of *SFP1* increases susceptibility to rapamycin and hygromycin B. The cells were ten-fold serially diluted and spotted onto YPD agar plates with or without rapamycin (25 ng/ml) and hygromycin B (400 μ g/ml). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 5 days. (B) Deletion of *SFP1* affects ribosomal gene expression. The cells were grown in YPD medium overnight at 30°C, subcultured into fresh YPD medium and incubated until log phase (OD₆₀₀ = 2). RNAs were isolated, cDNAs were generated and quantitative real-time PCR was performed. The expression levels of each gene are calculated as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for three independent experiments. For each gene, the relative fold changes were displayed as the expression levels of an individual strain normalized to the WT strain (as 1). *, p < 0.05.

manner. To test this hypothesis, the WT, $sfp1\Delta/SFP1$, $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ and SFP1-reintegrated strains were spotted onto agar plates with or without rapamycin. This drug is an inhibitor of the Tor1 kinase, which is the key enzyme of the *C. albicans* TOR signaling pathway. In Fig 2A, the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutants were more sensitive to rapamycin than the WT, $sfp1\Delta/SFP1$ and SFP1-reintegrated strains, suggesting that *C. albicans* Sfp1 is related to the TOR signaling pathway. Moreover, the WT, $sfp1\Delta/SFP1$, $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ and SFP1-reintegrated strains were spotted onto agar plates with or without hygromycin B. Similar to the findings obtained for *S. cerevisiae*, our results demonstrated that the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strains were much more sensitive to hygromycin B than the WT, $sfp1\Delta/SFP1$ and SFP1-reintegrated strains (Fig 2A).

To determine the role of *C. albicans* Sfp1 in the regulation of the RP and Ribi genes, RNAs were isolated from exponential phase cells and used for RT real-time qPCR. The expression of the RP (*RPL11*) and Ribi (*NOP1* and *NSR1*) genes was detected. As shown in Fig 2B, the expression of *NOP1*, *NSR1* and *RPL11* decreased in the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutants compared to the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains.



Fig 3. Deletion of *SFP1* **enhances** *C. albicans* **adhesion.** Cell adhesion was assessed in a 24-well polystyrene microplate in SC medium at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 1 h. The adherent cells were washed twice with PBS buffer. (A) Cell adhesion was determined using the XTT reduction assay. The results are presented as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 for *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ vs. WT cells. (B) Cell adhesion was evaluated by measuring the density of the adherent cells. After washing, the adherent cells were scraped from the well, collected, and quantified by measuring the cell density (OD₆₀₀). The results are presented as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

Sfp1 affects cell adhesion and biofilm formation

Using a computational approach, we hypothesized that Sfp1 is involved in biofilm formation [21]. Cell adhesion is the first step of biofilm formation. Therefore, the ability of WT, *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *SFP1*-reintegrated cells to adhere to a 24-well polystyrene microplate was compared using the XTT reduction assay. In metabolically active cells, the yellow tetrazolium salt XTT was reduced to a water-soluble orange-colored product whose absorbance can be measured at 490 nm [20]. In Fig 3A, the adhesion ability of the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strains was much higher than that of the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains after 1 h incubation in SC medium. To further verify this finding, the adherent cells were scraped from the polystyrene surface and the optical density was measured at 600 nm. The results indicated that density of the adherent cells of the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strain was higher than that of the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains indicated that density of the adherent cells of the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strain was higher than that of the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains indicated that density of the adherent cells of the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strain was higher than that of the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains (Fig 3B). Similar results were also obtained when cell adhesion was tested in YPD medium (Fig C in S1 File).

To further determine whether $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strains can affect biofilm formation, cells were grown in SC medium in a 24-well polystyrene microplate for 24 h, and the mature biofilms in the plate were photographed and assayed using the XTT reduction method. The $sfp1\Delta/$ $sfp1\Delta$ strain exhibited much stronger biofilm formation than the WT and SFP1-reintegrated strains (Fig <u>4A</u> and <u>4B</u>). To further examine the structure of the formed biofilms, SEM was also used. The WT and SFP1-reintegrated strains exhibited a similar biofilm structure; the cells formed only a single layer of predominantly blastopore cells, which lacked a complex three-dimensional structure (Fig <u>4C</u>). However, the structure of the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutants differed considerably; the cells formed complex multilayered filamentous networks and internally embedded blastopore cells (Fig <u>4C</u>). *C. albicans* biofilm formation was related to the growth medium used in a previous study [<u>38</u>]. Our finding that the deletion of *SFP1* can lead to increased biofilm formation was also observed in YPD medium (Fig C in <u>S1 File</u>). Collectively, our results indicate that Sfp1 negatively affects cell adhesion and biofilm in *C. albicans*.



Fig 4. Deletion of *SFP1* **enhances** *C. albicans* **biofilm formation.** (A) Biofilms were formed on the surface of a 24-well polystyrene microplate in SC medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. (B) Biofilm formation was assessed using the XTT reduction assay. The results are presented as the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 for *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ vs. WT cells. (C) Biofilm structure was examined using scanning electron microscopy with a 1,000x magnification. Biofilm formation was carried out as described above, except the cells were grown on polystyrene coverslips for 24 h.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129903.g004





Fig 5. Deletion of *SFP1* **enhances adhesin gene expression.** The expression of adhesin genes was compared between the WT and $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strains during biofilm formation in SC medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Real-time qPCR was used to detect the expression of adhesin genes. *C. albicans PMA1* transcripts were used as an endogenous control. The results are presented as the mean ± SD from at least three independent experiments. For *HWP1* gene expression, the fold change was represented on a log2 scale.

Sfp1 controls adhesin gene expression downstream of the Rhb1-TOR signaling pathway

The cell wall adhesin proteins Als1, Als3 and Hwp1 play critical roles in promoting *C. albicans* biofilm development [11,39]. The Tor1 kinase is involved in the regulation of adhesin gene expression [40]. Because the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutants enhanced cell adhesion (Fig 3), we suspected that Sfp1 is involved in the regulation of the *ALS1*, *ALS3* and *HWP1* adhesin genes. RT real-time qPCR was performed, and dynamic patterns of gene expression were observed. For the *ALS1* gene, very low levels of expression were detected in both the WT and *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ strains at time 0, when the cells are planktonic and nonadherent (Fig 5A). During the cell adherence stage (approximately 0.5 to 1 h), the *ALS1* gene began to be induced in the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutant compared to the WT strain (Fig 5A). Moreover, the expression of the *ALS1* gene was enhanced in the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutant during the stages of biofilm development and maturation (approximately 2 to 24 h). A similar enhancement of *ALS3* and *HWP1* gene expression was also detected in the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutants compared to the WT strain biofilms (Fig 5B) and 5C).

The association of Sfp1 with the TOR signaling pathway was proposed based on Fig_2. Interestingly, our previous studies linked the small GTPase Rhb1 to the Tor1 kinase in the control of filamentation and the secreted aspartyl protease 2 (Sap2), which are both important virulence factors of *C. albicans* [41,42]. Therefore, this finding suggests a possible connection between Sfp1 and Rhb1. To test this hypothesis, biofilm formation was compared among the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ, *rhb1*Δ/*rhb1*Δ and *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*/Δ*rhb1*Δ/*rhb1*Δ strains. Fig D in <u>S1 File</u> showed that all the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ, *rhb1*Δ/*rhb1*Δ and *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*/Δ*rhb1*Δ/*rhb1*Δ formed a robust biofilm in SC medium compared to the controls (WT, *SFP1*-reintegrated and *RHB1*-reintegrated strains). Therefore, these results were not helpful to detect epistatic relationship between *SFP1* and *RHB1* genes. Alternatively, we compared the strains with *SFP1* overexpression in the *sfp1*Δ/ *sfp1*Δ or *rhb1*Δ/*rhb1*Δ background. In the presence of the tetracycline derivative doxycycline a gene can be overexpressed under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter [26]. As shown in Fig <u>6A</u> and <u>6B</u>, the biofilm formation ability of the WT strain was not affected in the



Fig 6. Rhb1 is involved in Sfp1-mediated regulation of biofilm formation. Biofilm formation was compared between the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strain containing TETp-*SFP1* and the *rhb1\Delta/rhb1*\Delta strain containing TETp-*SFP1*. Biofilms were formed for 24 h in SC medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂, with or without 50 µg/ml of doxycycline (DOX). Doxycycline induces the overexpression of the *SFP1* gene, which is under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter (TETp). (A) Biofilms were formed on the surface of a 24-well polystyrene microplate in SC medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Biofilm cells are shown before washing (top) and after washing (bottom). Representative images from three independent experiments with similar results are shown. (B) Biofilm formation was measured using the XTT reduction assay. The results are presented as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 for cells without DOX treatment vs. DOX-treated cells. (C) The expression of adhesin genes was compared in the presence and absence of *SFP1* overexpression in the *SFP1*- or *RHB1*-deleted background. Real-time qPCR was performed, and *C. albicans PMA1* transcripts were used as an endogenous control. The results are presented as the mean ± SD for cells without DOX treatment vs. cells with DOX treatment.

presence or absence of doxycycline. The $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant exhibited enhanced biofilm formation, whereas the overexpression of SFP1 in the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ background significantly decreased biofilm formation. Similar to the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant, enhanced biofilm formation was observed for the $rhb1\Delta/rhb1\Delta$ strain without doxycycline, but doxycycline-induced SFP1 overexpression in the $rhb1\Delta/rhb1\Delta$ background significantly suppressed biofilm formation. Finally, reduced expression of adhesin genes was also detected after SFP1 overexpression in the $sfp1\Delta/$ $sfp1\Delta$ and $rhb1\Delta/rhb1\Delta$ strains (Fig 6C). Based on the results of this study and other studies [40], Sfp1 appears to function downstream of the Rhb1-Tor1 signaling pathway.

Sfp1 may regulate adhesin genes and biofilm formation through Bcr1 and Efg1

In addition to Tor1, the transcription factors Efg1 and Bcr1 are also known to control the *ALS1*, *ALS3* and *HWP1* genes and play a role in the activation of *C. albicans* biofilm formation



Fig 7. Sfp1 regulates biofilm formation through Bcr1 and Efg1. (A) Biofilm formation was assessed using the XTT reduction assay. The results are presented as the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 for *sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta vs. WT, *bcr1*\Delta/*bcr1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta or *efg1*\Delta/*efg1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta or *efg1*\Delta/*efg1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta or *efg1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*sfp1*\Delta/*s*

[19,43–45]. Because Sfp1 can regulate the expression of the *ALS1*, *ALS3* and *HWP1* genes (Fig 5), the relationship between Sfp1, Efg1 and Bcr1 in adhesin gene regulation and biofilm formation is of interest. To address this question, we constructed *bcr1* Δ /*bcr1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *efg1* Δ /*efg1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ double mutants. Biofilm formation determined by the XTT reduction method showed that the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutant significantly enhanced biofilm formation compared to the controls (WT, *bcr1* Δ /*bcr1* Δ and *efg1* Δ /*efg1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *efg1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutant significantly enhanced biofilm formation compared to the controls (WT, *bcr1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *efg1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strains). Interestingly, both the *bcr1* Δ /*bcr1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ and *efg1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutant of the controls (Fig 7A). Moreover, SEM examination demonstrated that the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutants formed only a single layer of cells similar to that of

WT (Fig 7B). However, the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ and $efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double deletion mutants also formed a single layer of structure containing predominantly blastopore cells (Fig 7B). The biofilm structures were also examined using CSLM, and the biofilm of the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant exhibited a complex multilayered structure with a thickness of ~80 µm. However, the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ and $efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double mutants exhibited reduced biofilm formation and only formed a very thin layer (~10 µm) of cell structure (Fig 7C). Because both Bcr1 and Efg1 are known to promote biofilm formation [44,46], our results suggest that Sfp1 suppresses biofilm formation via the negative regulation of Bcr1 and Efg1.

To further reveal the relationships among Bcr1, Efg1 and Sfp1, the expression of adhesin genes was also examined using RT real-time qPCR. The *ALS1*, *ALS3* and *HWP1* genes were highly expressed in the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutant, in agreement with the real-time qPCR analysis in Fig.5. However, the deletion of *BCR1* or *EFG1* in the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ background significantly reduced the expression levels of all three adhesin genes (Fig.7D). Based on the biofilm structure and adhesin gene expression, Bcr1 and Efg1 appear to function as downstream effectors of Sfp1.

Discussion

The use of indwelling medical devices has become routine in the clinical setting. C. albicans easily adheres to and forms a biofilm on indwelling medical devices. Such biofilms require subsequent surgical removal and replacement of the infective devices. C. albicans cells can also adhere to and form a biofilm on mucosal surfaces, leading to resistance to antifungal agents and the initiation of infections. Therefore, C. albicans biofilms are a serious public health problem. Many recent studies focused on the regulation of biofilm formation. One study that was performed by Nobile et al. identified a transcriptional network that controls biofilm development [18]. This study combined "classical" genetics, genome-wide approaches, and RNA deep sequencing technology to comprehensively map the transcriptional circuitry that controls biofilm formation in C. albicans. In addition, this study also described a master circuit of six transcription regulators, including Bcr1, Tec1, Efg1, Ndt80, Rob1, and Brg1, that controls approximately 1,000 target genes and biofilm formation in vitro and in two animal models [18]. This master circuit led to many new predictions about the genes involved in biofilm formation, and some of those predicted genes have been confirmed to play roles in biofilm development. Another study that was performed by Wang et al. computationally screened for potential transcription factors that could regulate C. albicans biofilm [21]. In that study, gene expression profiles and ChIP-chip were used to establish biofilm and planktonic gene regulatory networks. The two networks were subsequently compared, and the relevance value was calculated to identify potential transcription factors related to biofilm formation. Among the identified candidates, the relevance value of Sfp1 (Orf19.5943) was the highest and that gene was considered the most relevant for biofilm formation. The aim of this study was to examine the functions of Sfp1, particularly the role of Sfp1 in biofilm formation.

Previous studies demonstrated that the growth medium may affect *C. albicans* biofilm formation under laboratory conditions [32,38,47,48]. In this study, we tested several growth media for biofilm formation, including Lee's, Spider, SC and YPD media (Fig 4, Fig C in S1 File and Fig F in S1 File). Among the tested media, although the cells showed a growth delay (Fig B in S1 File), both the WT and *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ strains formed biofilms in the Lee's and Spider media (Fig F in S1 File). However, the WT and *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ strains exhibited significant differences in biofilm formation in SC and YPD media (Fig 4 and Fig C in S1 File). Particularly, the WT and *SFP1*-reintegrated strains produced only a rudimentary biofilm in the SC medium, but the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutant could form a robust biofilm with abundant filamentous cells (Fig 4). Mutant cells that are fixed in either the hyphal or yeast form can only develop into a rudimentary biofilm that is not as stable as a normal mature biofilm that contains both cell morphologies [49]. The $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutants exhibited highly enhanced biofilm formation compared to the WT strain, suggesting that Sfp1 negatively regulates biofilm formation under these experimental conditions. To reveal the mechanisms via which Sfp1 affects biofilm formation, we thus used the SC medium for most of our experiments.

Adhesion is the first key step for biofilm formation. Cell adhesion may be mediated by nonspecific factors, including hydrophobicity and electrostatic forces of the cell surface, or by specific adhesins on the surface of *C. albicans*. Fig 3 demonstrated that the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutants exhibit significantly enhanced cell adhesion in polystyrene microplates, indicating that Sfp1 suppresses cell adhesion. The *SFP1* deletion may somehow alter the *C. albicans* cell wall architecture and composition, leading to changes in the non-specific properties of the cell surface. Coincidentally, the *sfp1*Δ/*sfp1*Δ mutants were resistant to the cell wall disrupting agents Congo red and calcofluor white and hyper-resistant to zymolyase, which has strong lytic activity against cell wall β-1,3-glucan (data not shown). These results implied that the *SFP1* gene deletion alters cell wall structure and composition, leading to changes in adhesion-related cell surface properties.

However, other evidence suggests that Sfp1 can also regulate cell adhesion by regulating the expression of cell wall adhesin genes. The deletion of SFP1 increased ALS1 and HWP1 adhesin gene expression 0.5 h and 1 h after the cells adhered to the substrate (Fig 5). The easy detection of the ALS1 gene during the early adhesion stage of C. albicans yeast cells was reported previously [50]. Hwp1 is also required for covalent attachment to host epithelial cells and virulence [23]. Strains that lack either ALS1 or HWP1 can lose their abilities to attach to an abiotic surface and form a biofilm [18,51]. Moreover, ALS3 and HWP1 are expressed primarily in hyphal cells [50,52]. In Fig 5, the expression of ALS3 and HWP1 in the sfp1 Δ /sfp1 Δ strain began to be induced after cell attachment for 2 h, which is when the cells began to form germ tubes (Fig E in <u>S1 File</u>). Moreover, the Als1, Als3 and Hwp1 adhesins also play a complementary role in biofilm formation. A previous study demonstrated that both the $hwp1\Delta/hwp1\Delta$ mutant and the $als1\Delta/als1\Delta/als3\Delta/als3\Delta$ double mutant strain are defective in biofilm formation; however, a mixture of these two strains can form robust biofilms both in vitro and in vivo [39]. In addition, the $hwp1\Delta/hwp1\Delta$ mutant produced a biofilm with significantly less biomass than the WT strain [39]. C. albicans mutants that lack Als3 produce scarce, defective biofilms on catheter material in vitro [46]. Although the functions of Als1 and Als3 in biofilm formation are somewhat overlapping, Als1 may not play as pivotal a role as Als3 [39]. An *als1\Delta/als1\Delta* mutant had only a partial defect in biofilm formation [18], whereas the als3 mutant displayed a severe defect in biofilm formation [46]. Following the early adhesion stage, the expression of all three adhesin genes increased gradually in the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ strain (Fig 5). Together, these results suggest that SFP1 deletion can enhance biofilm development, possibly through the derepression of adhesin gene expression.

In addition to Sfp1, the transcription factors Bcr1 and Efg1 can also regulate adhesin gene expression [19,43,46,53,54] in a manner dependent on the Tor1 kinase [40]. Moreover, the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta$ mutant failed to produce a mature biofilm and instead formed only a rudimentary biofilm composed of yeast and hyphal cells [19]. The $efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta$ mutant also fails to form biofilms and instead generates a sparse monolayer of yeast cells without hyphae [44,55]. Efg1 was also demonstrated to be involved in both normoxic and hypoxic biofilm formation [45]. Interestingly, we found that the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strain was hypersensitive to rapamycin (Fig 2A) and rapamycin enhanced biofilm formation in the WT strain, leading to the formation of biofilms that were similar to those of the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant without rapamycin treatment (Fig G in S1 File). Taken together, these results and the fact that Sfp1 also regulates adhesin genes and affects cell adhesion and biofilm formation (Figs 3–5) suggest the existence of relationships



Fig 8. A simple model of the role of Sfp1 in the regulation of ribosomal gene expression and biofilm formation. Sfp1 is related to the Tor1 signaling pathway and plays a role in the induction of RP and Ribi gene expression. Moreover, during the regulation of adhesin gene expression and biofilm formation, the small GTPase Rhb1 coordinates with Tor1 to function upstream of Sfp1, while the transcription factors Bcr1 and Efg1 function downstream of Sfp1.

among Sfp1, Efg1 and Bcr1. The $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double mutant exhibited dramatically reduced adhesin gene expression in comparison to the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant (Fig 7D). More importantly, the biofilm of the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double mutant contained fewer adherent cells after a 24 h incubation than the biofilm of the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant, which contained a large number of attached cells (Fig 7A–7C). In addition, the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant had nearly no filamentous cells in the biofilm, in contrast to the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ mutant (Fig 7B). Although Bcr1 is not required for hyphal growth, this transcription factor is required for the activation of several hyphal-specific genes, including ALS3 and HWP1. Our result is consistent with a previous biofilm assay, in which the WT strain formed a biofilm that consisted of abundant hyphal cells but the $bcr1\Delta/bcr1\Delta$ strain generated a thin rudimentary biofilm that was comprised largely of yeast form cells [46]. Thus, our results suggested that Bcr1 appeared to induce adhesin expression in the absence of the SFP1 gene during biofilm formation in SC medium. In addition, the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta$ exhibited reduced biofilm formation and was defective in filamentous growth compared with the $sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ strain (Fig 7B). Moreover, the $efg1\Delta/efg1\Delta/sfp1\Delta/sfp1\Delta$ double mutant also exhibited dramatically reduced adhesin gene expression in comparison to the *sfp1* Δ /*sfp1* Δ mutant (Fig 7D).

Taken together, these findings suggest a simple model for the functions of *C. albicans* Sfp1, as presented in Fig.8. Similar to *S. cerevisiae* Sfp1, *C. albicans* Sfp1 functions as an activator to regulate ribosomal gene expression. However, we further expand the function of Sfp1 to include a role in *C. albicans* biofilm formation, downstream of the Rhb1-Tor1 signaling pathway. Moreover, the functions of Sfp1 appear to be mediated by the negative regulation of the transcription factors Bcr1 and Efg1, which activate adhesin genes and promote biofilm formation (Fig.8). Although this study provides some new insights into the regulation of *C. albicans* biofilm formation remain unclear. Moreover, most of the transcription factors that have been identified to date are related to the activation of biofilm formation. In this study, we demonstrate that Sfp1 plays a negative role in biofilm formation. The

identification of additional transcriptional repressors of biofilm formation is important. These studies will allow us to understand the complex interplay of negative and positive regulation in the context of biofilm formation.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Supplementary Tables and Figures. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to A. J. P. Brown (University of Aberdeen, UK) and Joachim Morschhäuser (Universität Würzburg, Germany) for generously providing strains and plasmids.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HFC CYL. Performed the experiments: HFC. Analyzed the data: HFC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HFC CYL. Wrote the paper: HFC CYL.

References

- 1. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2007; 20: 133–163. PMID: <u>17223626</u>
- 2. Douglas LJ. *Candida* biofilms and their role in infection. Trends Microbiol. 2003; 11: 30–36. PMID: 12526852
- Nobile CJ, Mitchell AP. Genetics and genomics of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. Cell Microbiol. 2006; 8: 1382–1391. PMID: <u>16848788</u>
- Ramage G, Saville SP, Thomas DP, Lopez-Ribot JL. Candida biofilms: an update. Eukaryot Cell 2005; 4: 633–638. PMID: <u>15821123</u>
- Kojic EM, Darouiche RO. Candida infections of medical devices. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004; 17: 255– 267. PMID: <u>15084500</u>
- Douglas LJ. Medical importance of biofilms in Candida infections. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2002; 19: 139– 143. PMID: <u>12825991</u>
- Hajjeh RA, Sofair AN, Harrison LH, Lyon GM, Arthington-Skaggs BA, Mirza SA, et al. Incidence of bloodstream infections due to *Candida* species and in vitro susceptibilities of isolates collected from 1998 to 2000 in a population-based active surveillance program. J Clin Microbiol. 2004; 42: 1519– 1527. PMID: <u>15070998</u>
- Crump JA, Collignon PJ. Intravascular catheter-associated infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000; 19: 1–8. PMID: <u>10706172</u>
- Viudes A, Peman J, Canton E, Ubeda P, Lopez-Ribot JL, Gobernado M. Candidemia at a tertiary-care hospital: epidemiology, treatment, clinical outcome and risk factors for death. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002; 21: 767–774. PMID: <u>12461585</u>
- 10. Ramage G, Mowat E, Jones B, Williams C, Lopez-Ribot J. Our current understanding of fungal biofilms. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2009; 35: 340–355. doi: 10.3109/10408410903241436 PMID: 19863383
- Finkel JS, Mitchell AP. Genetic control of *Candida albicans* biofilm development. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011; 9:109–118. doi: <u>10.1038/nrmicro2475</u> PMID: <u>21189476</u>
- Blankenship JR, Mitchell AP. How to build a biofilm: a fungal perspective. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2006; 9: 588–594. PMID: <u>17055772</u>
- Nett J, Andes D. Candida albicans biofilm development, modeling a host-pathogen interaction. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2006; 9: 340–345. PMID: <u>16815078</u>
- Nett J, Lincoln L, Marchillo K, Massey R, Holoyda K, Hoff B, et al. Putative role of beta-1,3 glucans in Candida albicans biofilm resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007; 51: 510–520. PMID: <u>17130296</u>
- Al-Fattani MA, Douglas LJ. Biofilm matrix of Candida albicans and Candida tropicalis: chemical composition and role in drug resistance. J Med Microbiol. 2006; 55: 999–1008. PMID: <u>16849719</u>

- Chandra J, Kuhn DM, Mukherjee PK, Hoyer LL, McCormick T, Ghannoum MA. Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development, architecture, and drug resistance. J Bacteriol. 2001; 183: 5385–5394. PMID: <u>11514524</u>
- Andes D, Nett J, Oschel P, Albrecht R, Marchillo K, Pitula A. Development and characterization of an in vivo central venous catheter *Candida albicans* biofilm model. Infect Immun. 2004; 72: 6023–6031. PMID: 15385506
- Nobile CJ, Fox EP, Nett JE, Sorrells TR, Mitrovich QM, Hernday AD, et al. A recently evolved transcriptional network controls biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. Cell 2012; 148: 126–138. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.cell.2011.10.048</u> PMID: <u>22265407</u>
- Nobile CJ, Mitchell AP. Regulation of cell-surface genes and biofilm formation by the C. albicans transcription factor Bcr1p. Curr Biol. 2005; 15: 1150–1155. PMID: <u>15964282</u>
- Tsai PW, Chen YT, Yang CY, Chen HF, Tan TS, Lin TW, et al. The role of Mss11 in Candida albicans biofilm formation. Mol Genet Genomics 2014; 289: 807–819. doi: <u>10.1007/s00438-014-0846-0</u> PMID: <u>24752399</u>
- Wang YC, Lan CY, Hsieh WP, Murillo LA, Agabian N, Chen BS. Global screening of potential Candida albicans biofilm-related transcription factors via network comparison. BMC Bioinformatics 2010; 11: 53–63 doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-53 PMID: 20102611
- Loza L, Fu Y, Ibrahim AS, Sheppard DC, Filler SG, Edwards JE Jr. Functional analysis of the Candida albicans ALS1 gene product. Yeast 2004; 21: 473–482. PMID: <u>15116430</u>
- Staab JF, Bradway SD, Fidel PL, Sundstrom P. Adhesive and mammalian transglutaminase substrate properties of *Candida albicans* Hwp1. Science 1999; 283: 1535–1538. PMID: <u>10066176</u>
- Zhao X, Daniels KJ, Oh SH, Green CB, Yeater KM, Soll DR, et al. Candida albicans Als3p is required for wild-type biofilm formation on silicone elastomer surfaces. Microbiology 2006; 152: 2287–2299. PMID: 16849795
- 25. Reuss O, Vik A, Kolter R, Morschhauser J. The SAT1 flipper, an optimized tool for gene disruption in *Candida albicans*. Gene 2004; 341: 119–127. PMID: <u>15474295</u>
- Park YN, Morschhauser J. Tetracycline-inducible gene expression and gene deletion in Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell 2005; 4: 1328–1342. PMID: <u>16087738</u>
- Hsu PC, Yang CY, Lan CY. Candida albicans Hap43 is a repressor induced under low-iron conditions and is essential for iron-responsive transcriptional regulation and virulence. Eukaryot Cell 2011; 10: 207–225. doi: 10.1128/EC.00158-10 PMID: 21131439
- Russell CL, Brown AJ. Expression of one-hybrid fusions with Staphylococcus aureus lexA in Candida albicans confirms that Nrg1 is a transcriptional repressor and that Gcn4 is a transcriptional activator. Fungal Genet Biol. 2005; 42: 676–683. PMID: 15946869
- Nailis H, Coenye T, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Nelis HJ. Development and evaluation of different normalization strategies for gene expression studies in *Candida albicans* biofilms by real-time PCR. BMC Mol Biol. 2006; 7: 25–33. PMID: 16889665
- Hsu PC, Chao CC, Yang CY, Ye YL, Liu FC, Chuang YJ, et al. Diverse Hap43-independent functions of the Candida albicans CCAAT-binding complex. Eukaryot Cell 2013; 12: 804–815. doi: <u>10.1128/EC.</u> 00014-13 PMID: 23543673
- Ramage G, Vandewalle K, Wickes BL, Lopez-Ribot JL. Characteristics of biofilm formation by Candida albicans. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2001; 18: 163–170. PMID: <u>15496122</u>
- Lin CH, Kabrawala S, Fox EP, Nobile CJ, Johnson AD, Bennett R J. Genetic Control of Conventional and Pheromone-Stimulated Biofilm Formation in *Candida albicans*. PLoS Pathog. 2013; 9: e1003305. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.ppat.1003305</u> PMID: <u>23637598</u>
- Marion RM, Regev A, Segal E, Barash Y, Koller D, Friedman N, et al. Sfp1 is a stress- and nutrient-sensitive regulator of ribosomal protein gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 14315– 14322. PMID: 15353587
- Jorgensen P, Nishikawa JL, Breitkreutz BJ, Tyers M. Systematic identification of pathways that couple cell growth and division in yeast. Science 2002; 297: 395–400. PMID: <u>12089449</u>
- Jorgensen P, Rupes I, Sharom JR, Schneper L, Broach JR, Tyers M. A dynamic transcriptional network communicates growth potential to ribosome synthesis and critical cell size. Genes Dev. 2004; 18: 2491–2505. PMID: <u>15466158</u>
- Lempiainen H, Uotila A, Urban J, Dohnal I, Ammerer G, Loewith R, et al. Sfp1 interaction with TORC1 and Mrs6 reveals feedback regulation on TOR signaling. Mol Cell 2009; 33: 704–716. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> molcel.2009.01.034 PMID: 19328065
- Fingerman I, Nagaraj V, Norris D, Vershon AK. Sfp1 plays a key role in yeast ribosome biogenesis. Eukaryot Cell 2003; 2: 1061–1068. PMID: <u>14555489</u>

- Kucharikova S, Tournu H, Lagrou K, Van Dijck P, Bujdakova H. Detailed comparison of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* biofilms under different conditions and their susceptibility to caspofungin and anidulafungin. J Med Microbiol. 2011; 60: 1261–1269. doi: <u>10.1099/jmm.0.032037-0</u> PMID: <u>21566087</u>
- Nobile CJ, Schneider HA, Nett JE, Sheppard DC, Filler SG, Andes DR, et al. Complementary adhesin function in *C. albicans* biofilm formation. Curr Biol. 2008; 18: 1017–1024. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cub.2008.06.</u> <u>034</u> PMID: <u>18635358</u>
- Bastidas RJ, Heitman J, Cardenas ME. The protein kinase Tor1 regulates adhesin gene expression in Candida albicans. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5: e1000294. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.ppat.1000294</u> PMID: <u>19197361</u>
- Tsao CC, Chen YT, Lan CY. A small G protein Rhb1 and a GTPase-activating protein Tsc2 involved in nitrogen starvation-induced morphogenesis and cell wall integrity of *Candida albicans*. Fungal Genet Biol. 2009; 46: 126–136. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2008.11.008 PMID: 19095072
- **42.** Chen YT, Lin CY, Tsai PW, Yang CY, Hsieh WP, Lan CY. Rhb1 regulates the expression of secreted aspartic protease 2 through the TOR signaling pathway in *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot Cell 2012; 11: 168–182. doi: 10.1128/EC.05200-11 PMID: 22194462
- Argimon S, Wishart JA, Leng R, Macaskill S, Mavor A, Alexandris T, et al. Developmental regulation of an adhesin gene during cellular morphogenesis in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot Cell 2007; 6: 682–692. PMID: <u>17277173</u>
- 44. Ramage G, VandeWalle K, Lopez-Ribot JL, Wickes BL. The filamentation pathway controlled by the Efg1 regulator protein is required for normal biofilm formation and development in *Candida albicans*. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2002; 214: 95–100. PMID: <u>12204378</u>
- Stichternoth C, Ernst JF. Hypoxic adaptation by Efg1 regulates biofilm formation by Candida albicans. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75: 3663–3672. doi: <u>10.1128/AEM.00098-09</u> PMID: <u>19346360</u>
- 46. Nobile CJ, Andes DR, Nett JE, Smith FJ, Yue F, Phan QT, et al. Critical role of Bcr1-dependent adhesins in C. albicans biofilm formation in vitro and in vivo. PLoS Pathog. 2006; 2: e63. PMID: <u>16839200</u>
- Tumbarello M, Posteraro B, Trecarichi EM, Fiori B, Rossi M, Porta R, et al. Biofilm production by Candida species and inadequate antifungal therapy as predictors of mortality for patients with candidemia. J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45: 1843–1850. PMID: 17460052
- Daniels KJ, Park YN, Srikantha T, Pujol C, Soll DR. Impact of environmental conditions on the form and function of *Candida albicans* biofilms. Eukaryot Cell 2013; 12: 1389–1402. doi: <u>10.1128/EC.00127-13</u> PMID: <u>23954841</u>
- Baillie GS, Douglas LJ. Role of dimorphism in the development of *Candida albicans* biofilms. J Med Microbiol. 1999; 48: 671–679. PMID: <u>10403418</u>
- Green CB, Zhao X, Hoyer LL. Use of green fluorescent protein and reverse transcription-PCR to monitor *Candida albicans* agglutinin-like sequence gene expression in a murine model of disseminated candidiasis. Infect Immun. 2005; 73: 1852–1855. PMID: 15731087
- Nobile CJ, Nett JE, Andes DR, Mitchell AP. Function of Candida albicans adhesin Hwp1 in biofilm formation. Eukaryot Cell 2006; 5: 1604–1610. PMID: <u>17030992</u>
- Staab JF, Ferrer CA, Sundstrom P. Developmental expression of a tandemly repeated, proline-and glutamine-rich amino acid motif on hyphal surfaces on *Candida albicans*. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271: 6298– 6305. PMID: 8626424
- Fan Y, He H, Dong Y, Pan H. Hyphae-specific genes HGC1, ALS3, HWP1, and ECE1 and relevant signaling pathways in *Candida albicans*. Mycopathologia 2013; 176: 329–335. doi: <u>10.1007/s11046-013-9684-6</u> PMID: <u>24002103</u>
- Fu Y, Ibrahim AS, Sheppard DC, Chen YC, French SW, Cutler JE, et al. Candida albicans Als1p: an adhesin that is a downstream effector of the EFG1 filamentation pathway. Mol Microbiol. 2002; 44: 61– 72. PMID: <u>11967069</u>
- Stoldt VR, Sonneborn A, Leuker CE, Ernst JF. Efg1p, an essential regulator of morphogenesis of the human pathogen *Candida albicans*, is a member of a conserved class of bHLH proteins regulating morphogenetic processes in fungi. EMBO J. 1997; 16: 1982–1991. PMID: <u>9155024</u>