

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Associated factors for a false negative cardiovascular magnetic resonance perfusion study: a CE-MARC substudy

Sven Plein¹, Ananth Kidambi^{1*}, Steven Sourbron², Neil Maredia¹, Akhlaque Uddin¹, Manish Motwani¹, David P Ripley¹, Bernhard A Herzog¹, Julia Brown³, Jane Nixon³, Colin Everett³, John P Greenwood¹

From 16th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions
San Francisco, CA, USA. 31 January - 3 February 2013

Background

Diagnosis of coronary ischemia by perfusion CMR has high sensitivity and specificity when using X-ray coronary angiography as the reference standard. A variety of possible reasons have been given for false negative perfusion CMR studies, such as suboptimal image quality, technical reasons, or the potential discrepancy between angiographic stenosis and detectable myocardial hypoperfusion. The rates at which these factors occur have not been specifically studied to date. The CE-MARC study prospectively enrolled 752 patients with suspected coronary artery disease, scheduled to undergo CMR, SPECT and X-ray coronary angiography [1]. We assessed potential reasons for the false negative CMR perfusion studies within CE-MARC.

Methods

All patients with significant coronary stenosis ($\geq 70\%$ stenosis of a first order coronary artery $\geq 2\text{mm}$ diameter, or left main stem stenosis $\geq 50\%$ as measured by quantitative coronary angiography (QCA)), who had a normal or probably normal CMR perfusion analysis from the original, blinded read were selected from the CE-MARC population. Patient and imaging characteristics were analyzed. Myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR) was calculated offline (PMI $v0.4$) from CMR stress and rest perfusion images using the Fermi model, with arterial input defined in the LV blood pool, and the whole mid-LV short axis myocardial slice used as tissue response.

Results

36 patients with a false-negative CMR result were identified (Table 1). 1 patient had perfusion image quality recorded as “unusable,” and was excluded from further analysis. 4 (11%) patients’ images were graded as “poor quality.” 10 patients (29%) had inadequate hemodynamic response to adenosine (SBP decrease $<10\text{mmHg}$ or heart rate increase $<10\text{beats/min}$). 1 patient (3%) had angiographic 3-vessel disease, supporting balanced ischemia. A further 6 patients (17%) had an adequate hemodynamic response but MPR <1.5 , suggesting possible inadequate vasodilatation (in the absence of triple vessel disease). Of the remaining 14 patients, mean QCA diameter of culprit stenoses was $74\% \pm 12\%$, close to the angiographic cut-off of $\geq 70\%$ for significant disease (Figure 1).

Table 1 Patient characteristics for false negative perfusion CMR in CE-MARC.

	False negative patients	CE-MARC whole population
N	35	752
Age (years)	61 ± 7	60 ± 10
Male	29 (83%)	471 (63%)
Body-mass index (kg/m ²)	28.3 ± 4.0	29.2 ± 4.4
Resting BP (mmHg)	$125/71 \pm 20/10$	$138 / 79 \pm 21/11$
LAD disease	19 (54%)	183 (25%)
Circumflex disease	16 (46%)	133 (18%)
RCA disease	8 (23%)	110 (15%)
Left main disease	2 (6%)	23 (3%)

Data as n (%) or mean \pm SD.

¹Department of Cardiology, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre & Leeds Institute of Genetics, Health and Therapeutics, Leeds, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article




Figure 1 Factors associated with a false negative CMR perfusion scan. One factor is shown per patient (n=35).

Conclusions

Of the multiple potential factors contributing to false negative CMR perfusion studies, over one third of false negative studies may have been related to lack of efficacy of pharmacological stress at the standard adenosine dose of 140 mcg/kg/min. A substantial proportion of patients had coronary stenosis severity close to the angiographic cut-off of 70%, which may represent discordance between anatomical and functional assessment. Non-diagnostic image quality and 3-vessel disease made a relatively small contribution to false-negative CMR studies.

Funding

CE-MARC was funded by the British Heart Foundation (BHF). JPG and SP receive an educational research grant from Philips Healthcare. SP is funded by a BHF fellowship (FS/1062/28409).

Author details

¹Department of Cardiology, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre & Leeds Institute of Genetics, Health and Therapeutics, Leeds, UK. ²Division of Medical Physics, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. ³Clinical Trials Research Unit, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

Published: 30 January 2013

Reference

1. Greenwood JP, *et al*: CE-MARC: a prospective trial. *Lancet* 2012, **379**:453-460.

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-15-S1-P214

Cite this article as: Plein *et al*: Associated factors for a false negative cardiovascular magnetic resonance perfusion study: a CE-MARC substudy. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2013 **15**(Suppl 1):P214.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

