

LETTER TO THE EDITOR



## Letter to the editor regarding 'perspective: diagnostic laboratories should urgently develop T cell assays for SARS-CoV-2 infection'

Sudeb C. Dalai<sup>a,b</sup> and Lance Baldo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Medical Affairs and Clinical Development, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>b</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

**KEYWORDS** SARS-CoV-2; coronavirus; COVID-19; T-cell assay; T-cell receptor; next-generation sequencing

In 'Perspective: Diagnostic laboratories should urgently develop T-cell assays for SARS-CoV-2 infection,' Ameratunga and colleagues present the case for urgent development of T-cell assays for diagnosis of past severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection [1]. We agree with the authors' assessment that there is a need for rigorously validated T-cell-based assays and that these assays have clinical utility for identifying past SARS-CoV-2 infection, particularly for patients with borderline or undetectable results using RT-PCR or serology tests. T-cell assays may have value for identifying prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in the following groups: convalescent patients with waning antibody titers; individuals with a history of asymptomatic or mild infection who may have a poor or diminished antibody response; patients with unexplained myocarditis, pulmonary fibrosis, thrombotic events, or psychiatric morbidity long after initial infection; individuals who may mount a T-cell response without an antibody response; and patients receiving donor blood products that may contain SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies [1–6].

Although the authors correctly noted a lack of commercially available assays at the time of submission [1], we would like to report the development and validation of a highly sensitive and standardized T-cell-based assay (T-Detect™ COVID, available from Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle, Washington, USA) that received Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on 5 March 2021 for identification of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection from blood samples [7]. T-Detect COVID relies on next-generation sequencing of the T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire to identify sequences associated with clonal expansion of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cells [8]. The assay is run on standard whole blood specimens, supporting its utility as a high-throughput diagnostic that can be performed at scale.

Clinical validation studies of T-Detect COVID revealed that the assay exhibits ≥95% positive agreement and ~100% negative agreement in identifying prior exposure/infection with SARS-CoV-2 [8]. Determination of recent or past SARS-CoV-2 infection is based on application of a statistical classifier

developed from 4,470 SARS-CoV-2-associated public TCR sequences ascertained by comparing TCR repertoires from more than 1,500 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)-positive patients and 3,500 controls [8,9]. The classifier considers both clonal breadth, a reflection of the number of distinct T-cell clonal lineages in a repertoire that are SARS-CoV-2 specific, and clonal depth, defined as the relative frequency of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell clones in the repertoire [9]. The classifier is robust to confounders (e.g., age, sex) and demonstrated a lack of cross-reactivity with other viruses and respiratory pathogens [8]. Analysis of clinical samples in real-world studies revealed that the depth and breadth of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell responses correlate with neutralizing antibody (nAb) titers, supporting a role for TCR repertoire sequencing as a surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 protective immunity. Further correlational analyses demonstrate that class II-associated TCRs targeting the spike and nucleocapsid viral proteins are the primary drivers of the association with nAb titers, highlighting the importance of CD4 + T-cell responses in the development of functional humoral immunity [10]. These findings also suggest that the results of TCR repertoire sequencing reflect both humoral and T-cell compartments and can provide a potential means to distinguish between patients who have contracted COVID-19 and those with protective immunity due to vaccines that selectively target the spike protein.

Despite its utility for identifying past SARS-CoV-2 infection and exposure, potential limitations of NGS-based T-cell testing may include lack of universal access, delivery of dichotomous (positive/negative) results, and provision of limited information on mechanistic aspects of the T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2. Due to the sophisticated equipment required for high-throughput NGS, access to NGS-based T-cell testing may be limited to commercial laboratories and expert academic centers. Currently, T-Detect COVID is the only available NGS-based T-cell assay for evaluating past SARS-CoV-2 infection and is offered only in the United States. Similar to the ELISpot and interferon-γ release assays described by Ameratunga and colleagues [1], the

T-Detect COVID assay is designed to provide a binary response indicating evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, unlike functional T-cell assays, supporting quantitative results are not provided with the T-Detect COVID report, and in the absence of this additional information (e.g. a score) a negative result provides no additional interpretation and may be less informative for research purposes. While TCR repertoire sequencing can provide evidence of a proliferative T-cell response specific to SARS-CoV-2 antigens, this approach alone cannot provide other mechanistic insights into T-cell function, such as cytokine profiles and enumeration of specific T-cell subsets. However, data from nondiagnostic, research-based applications of the TCR $\beta$  repertoire profiling platform, in combination with other data sources, have enabled additional insights into the T-cell response to specific antigens, such as identification of specific TCR/antigen pairings and assignment of class I/II HLA restriction [11]. These data are available for a subset of TCR sequences through Adaptive's research-focused NGS platform, immunoSEQ T-MAP COVID (<https://www.immunoseq.com/tmap-covid/>), which allows researchers to access sequence, patient, and population-level data found in the freely available ImmuneCODE™ database (<https://immunerace.adaptivebiotech.com/data/>).

Despite these limitations, evaluation of clinical samples using TCR repertoire sequencing supports the clinical utility of T-cell-based assays in many of the settings outlined by Ameratunga and colleagues. TCR sequencing was shown to have equivalent or greater sensitivity for detecting past SARS-CoV-2 infection compared with commercial antibody serology testing [8,10,12], which was most apparent among patients with milder symptoms and those with samples collected >150 days after diagnosis [10]. TCR repertoire sequencing successfully diagnosed prior infection in 68% of SARS-CoV-2-positive samples testing negative for nAb titers and in 37% of SARS-CoV-2-positive samples categorized as negative by nAb as well as 2 different serological assays; most of these samples were from non-hospitalized individuals [10]. In addition, the depth and breadth of the T-cell response were associated with clinical correlates of more severe disease, including older age, male sex, hospitalization, difficulty breathing, and fever [10,12]. These data are consistent with reports showing elevated T-cell responses in symptomatic individuals that can persist months after SARS-CoV-2 infection [13]. Also, the data align with the proposed clinical value of T-cell testing in patients who may have reduced antibody levels, such as convalescent patients long after infection and individuals with asymptomatic or mild infections [1,10], as well as those who experience long-term complications or inflammatory sequelae, such as multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) and in adults (MIS-A) [5,14,15]. These observations, as well as other rapidly accumulating data, support the role of T-cell repertoire testing in providing critical insights on the SARS-CoV-2 immune response, which is relevant for evaluating natural as well as vaccine-induced immunity.

## Acknowledgments

Editorial support was provided by Leslie Mitchell, PhD, and Melanie Styers, PhD, of Blueprint Oncology Concepts, LLC.

## Declaration of Interests

S Dalai discloses employment and equity interest in Adaptive Biotechnologies. L Baldo discloses leadership, employment, and equity interest in Adaptive Biotechnologies. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

## Editor disclosures

The editor that approved this manuscript for publication has no relevant financial relationships or otherwise to disclose.

## Funding

This letter is funded by Adaptive Biotechnologies.

## References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (\*) or of considerable interest (\*\*\*) to readers.

- Ameratunga R, Woon S-T, Jordan A, et al. Perspective: diagnostic laboratories should urgently develop T cell assays for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2021;17(5):421–430.
- Oja AE, Saris A, Ghandour Ca, et al. Divergent SARS-CoV-2-specific T- and B-cell responses in severe but not mild COVID-19 patients. *Eur J Immunol.* 2020;50(12):1998–2012.
- Sekine T, Perez-Potti A, Rivera-Ballesteros O, et al. Robust T cell immunity in convalescent individuals with asymptomatic or mild COVID-19. *Cell.* 2020;183(1):158–168.e14.
- This study reports the phenotypic and functional characterization of the T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2.**
- Gallais F, Velay A, Nnazon C, et al. Intrafamilial exposure to SARS-CoV-2 associated with cellular immune response without seroconversion, France. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2021;27(1):113–121.
- Siripanthong B, Nazarian S, Muser D, et al. Recognizing COVID-19-related myocarditis: the possible pathophysiology and proposed guideline for diagnosis and management. *Heart Rhythm.* 2020;17(9):1463–1471.
- Boonyaratanakornkit J, Morishima C, Selke S, et al. Clinical, laboratory, and temporal predictors of neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 among COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor candidates. *J Clin Invest.* 2021;131(3):e144930.
- US Food and Drug Administration. Emergency use authorization (EUA) summary for T-Detect COVID Test [letter of authorization]. Silver Spring (MD): US Food and Drug Administration; 2021 Mar 5. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/media/146478/download>
- Dalai SC, Dines JN, Snyder TM, et al. Clinical validation of a novel T-cell receptor sequencing assay for identification of recent or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. *medRxiv* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 8;1–32. DOI:10.1101/2021.01.06.21249345
- This study describes the clinical validation of the T Detect COVID assay.**
- Snyder MT, Gittelman MR, Klinger M, et al. Magnitude and dynamics of the T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2 infection at both individual and population levels. *medRxiv* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 17;1–33. DOI:10.1101/2020.07.31.20165647

10. Elyanow R, Snyder TM, Dalai SC, et al. T-cell receptor sequencing identifies prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and correlates with neutralizing antibody titers and disease severity. medRxiv. [Internet]. 2021 Mar 22. DOI:[10.1101/2021.03.19.21251426](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.19.21251426)
11. Klinger M, Pepin F, Wilkins J, et al. Multiplex identification of antigen-specific T cell receptors using a combination of immune assays and immune receptor sequencing. Plos One. 2015;10(10): e0141561.
12. Gittelman RM, Lavezzo E, Snyder TM, et al. Diagnosis and tracking of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a large study of Vo', Italy through T-cell receptor sequencing. medRxiv. [Internet]. 2021 Feb 10. DOI:[10.1101/2020.11.09.20228023](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.09.20228023)
13. Zuo J, Dowell AC, Pearce H, et al. Robust SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell immunity is maintained at 6 months following primary infection. Nat Immunol. 2021;22(5):620–626.
  - **This study demonstrates the persistence of a robust T-cell response at least 6 months after SARS-CoV-2 infection.**
14. Levin M. Childhood multisystem inflammatory syndrome—a new challenge in the pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(4):393–395.
15. Consiglio CR, Cotugno N, Sardh F, et al. The immunology of multi-system inflammatory syndrome in children with COVID-19. Cell. 2020;183(4):968–981.e7.