

REVIEW

Recent advances in cerebral oximetry. Assessment of cerebral autoregulation with near-infrared spectroscopy: myth or reality? [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Anneliese Moerman, Stefan De Hert 匝

Department of Anesthesiology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

V1 First published: 31 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1615 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11351.1)

Latest published: 31 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1615 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11351.1)

Abstract

In recent years, the feasibility of near-infrared spectroscopy to continuously assess cerebral autoregulation has gained increasing interest. By plotting cerebral oxygen saturation over blood pressure, clinicians can generate an index of autoregulation: the cerebral oximetry index (COx). Successful integration of this monitoring ability in daily critical care may allow clinicians to tailor blood pressure management to the individual patient's need and might prove to be a major step forward in terms of patient outcome.

Open Peer Review		
Referee Status: 🗸 🗸		
	Invited 1	Referees 2
version 1 published 31 Aug 2017	~	~

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 Amit M Mathur, Washington University School of Medicine, USA
- 2 Marek Czosnyka, University of Cambridge, UK

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Stefan De Hert (stefan.dehert@ugent.be)

Competing interests: AM has received lecture fees from Medtronic (INVOS) and Sorin (NIRO). SD declares that he has no competing interests.

How to cite this article: Moerman A and De Hert S. Recent advances in cerebral oximetry. Assessment of cerebral autoregulation with near-infrared spectroscopy: myth or reality? [version 1; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1615 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11351.1)

Copyright: © 2017 Moerman A and De Hert S. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

First published: 31 Aug 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1615 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11351.1)

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) has been adopted in clinical practice for over three decades now. Based on a similar principle as pulse oximetry, it allows continuous, non-invasive, real-time monitoring of cerebral oxygen saturation (S_cO_2) in a small sample of the frontal cortex. It was initially promoted as a brain monitor in cardiac surgery and neonatal intensive care, but its use has been extended to various non-cardiac surgeries and critical care settings. Some recent reviews provide excellent detail on the principles of NIRS and its current clinical practice patterns^{1–3}.

The present review focuses on an emerging aspect of monitoring abilities with NIRS, which, when combined with continuous blood pressure monitoring, might prove to be a major step forward in critical care management: cerebral autoregulation monitoring.

You cannot manage what you do not measure

Currently, blood pressure targets during perioperative and critical care management are mostly empirically chosen and rather fixed in any individual patient. This practice finds its origin in the concept of cerebral autoregulation, which refers to a modulating mechanism that controls cerebral blood flow (CBF) during changes in cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP). The classic curve of cerebral autoregulation represents the autoregulation plateau and the lower and upper limit of autoregulation (LLA and ULA, respectively) (Figure 1).

Using data from 11 human studies, derived from young patients with few comorbidities, Lassen described an autoregulatory plateau between mean arterial blood pressures (MAPs) of 50 and 150 mm Hg, over which CBF remained constant by active myogenic control of small cerebral arteries and arterioles⁴. At the extremes of blood pressure (that is, below the LLA and above the ULA), myogenic vasoactivity capacity is no longer able to accommodate these changes, and CBF becomes pressure-passive; CBF decreases when MAP moves below the LLA and increases when MAP is above the ULA.



Figure 1. Classic depiction of cerebral autoregulation, with autoregulation plateau, lower limit of autoregulation (LLA), and upper limit of autoregulation (ULA). CBF, cerebral blood flow; MAP, mean arterial blood pressure.

This description of cerebral autoregulation has been widely accepted and has been propagated in many textbooks, and, even today, most caregivers feel confident with a MAP within the presumed autoregulation range. However, already in 1997, the validity of this concept was criticized⁵. It is now accepted that CBF regulation is determined by many more factors than blood pressure alone. A variety of physiological and biochemical mechanisms may interact and lead to a complex entity of vascular reactivity in which the relative regulatory role of each component remains largely unknown^{6,7}. It has also been acknowledged that autoregulation may be altered in specific diseases (for example, prematurity, hypertension, diabetes, and stroke) and in specific conditions (for example, changes in carbon dioxide and pharmacologic interference)⁶⁻¹². Figure 2 gives an example from one of our own studies¹³. In this study, we managed hypertension with one of three different vasodilating drugs: sevoflurane, sodium nitroprusside (SNP), or nitroglycerin. Figure 2 clearly shows that, with sevoflurane and SNP, changes in MAP are highly correlated with changes in S₂O₂, suggesting impairment of cerebral autoregulation.

In response to all of these confounders affecting cerebral vascular reactivity, the limits of autoregulation and the shape of the autoregulation curve may vary enormously and unpredictably (Figure 3)^{14,15}. Therefore, the practice of applying a fixed blood pressure threshold in an individual patient is risky, and tighter pressure control is mandatory¹⁶.

Even with an impaired autoregulation curve, there might be an optimal blood pressure in the middle of the autoregulation curve at which the autoregulatory function is most robust (Figure 3, indicated with a square). Identifying the range of optimal cerebral autoregulation offers the potential for individualization of blood pressure targets, and integrating the 'optimal MAP' in daily critical care management might shift the current clinical paradigm of 'one size fits all' care to individualized, patient-specific, physiology-based blood pressure management.

Can near-infrared spectroscopy be used to assess cerebral autoregulation?

To assess cerebral autoregulation, changes in CBF are plotted over a wide range of blood pressures. From a practical point of view, this requires a continuous measurement of arterial blood pressure (ABP) and a real-time estimate of CBF. Although theoretically CPP should be plotted, this requires invasive monitoring of intracranial pressure (ICP) (CPP = MAP – ICP). Therefore, without access to ICP monitoring and without an increased ICP, ABP is considered a valid substitute for CPP.

For the assessment of CBF, no convenient means of measuring CBF currently exist, so surrogates of CBF are being used. Recently, the various indices of autoregulatory function were extensively examined in adults with traumatic brain injury (TBI)¹⁷.

NIRS-measured S_cO_2 is considered a reliable surrogate of CBF. When S_cO_2 is correlated with MAP, an index of autoregulatory vasoreactivity, the cerebral oximetry index (COx), is generated. Blood pressure in the autoregulation range is indicated by a COx that approaches zero; that is, there is no correlation between S_cO_2 and MAP. A COx approaching one indicates a strong correlation between the two signals and has to be interpreted either as impaired autoregulation or as MAP being beyond the limits of autoregulation (Figure 4). The COx has been validated and had good agreement with transcranial Doppler-derived measurements of pressure autoregulation in piglets¹⁸ and in adult patients^{19–21}.



Figure 2. Correlation of changes in mean arterial pressure (MAP) with changes in cerebral oxygen saturation (S_cO₂) with administration of three different vasodilating drugs. The drugs are sevoflurane (Sevo), sodium nitroprusside (SNP), and nitroglycerin (NTG). Own data, not published.



Figure 3. The autoregulation curve may vary from the classic depiction of autoregulation, with unknown limits of autoregulation (question marks), and an optimal mean arterial blood pressure (square). CBF, cerebral blood flow; MAP, mean arterial blood pressure.



Figure 4. Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)-derived oxygen saturation versus cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP), depicting the cerebral autoregulation curve. The correlations generate the cerebral oximetry index (COx). Adapted from Brady *et al.*¹⁸.

Current clinical applications of cerebral autoregulation monitoring with near-infrared spectroscopy

Studies which evaluate cerebral autoregulation with the use of NIRS have been expanding over the past few years. Owing to the high incidence of brain injury in these domains, research on this topic has been established mainly in the areas of neonatology, cardiac surgery, and neurocritical care.

NIRS was originally introduced in clinical practice in 1985 for the assessment of cerebral oxygenation in preterm infants²². Organ immaturity makes neonates vulnerable to physiological disturbances, and especially the brain may be at greater risk because of the incompletely developed cerebral autoregulation mechanism^{23,24}. The need for firm hemodynamic boundaries has challenged continuous research in this area. We refer the interested reader to some recent reviews on this topic^{9,25,26}.

In cardiac surgery patients, an ABP of 50–60 mmHg is widely considered to be an acceptable perfusion pressure during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). However, this practice was challenged by data showing a lower incidence of cardiac and neurologic complications when targeting a higher MAP (>80 mmHg) during CPB²⁷. Impaired cerebral autoregulation has been demonstrated in 35% of cardiac surgery patients¹², with a wide variability of the MAP at the LLA from 40 to 90 mmHg¹⁴. Consequently, in the case of empirically chosen MAP targets, patients may spend varying portions of time with MAP below the LLA during CPB.

In some recent studies, it has been demonstrated that MAP variations outside the autoregulatory range, not the absolute MAP values, were associated with adverse outcomes²⁸⁻³¹. The time and magnitude that MAP spent below the LLA were higher for patients who developed acute kidney injury compared with patients without acute kidney injury, although absolute blood pressure values were equal between the two groups²⁸. A significant association was also found between blood pressure excursions below the LLA and major morbidity (for example, renal failure, duration of mechanical lung ventilation, and low cardiac output syndrome)²⁹. A recent study demonstrated that a blood pressure below the optimal MAP based on COx monitoring was associated with an increase in postoperative plasma GFAP (glial fibrillary acidic protein), which is a brain-specific injury biomarker³⁰. However, on the other hand, simply raising blood pressure might result in a MAP above the ULA, which also predisposes to cerebral injury. Hori et al. demonstrated that the sum of the product of the magnitude and duration of MAP above the ULA was associated with increased risk for delirium in cardiac surgery patients³¹.

Patients with brain injuries constitute another population at high risk of impaired autoregulation. A consensus statement from the Neurocritical Care Society and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine suggested that continuous monitoring of cerebral autoregulation might help guide ABP and CPP targets to 'optimal' levels in patients with TBI and might contribute to prognostication³². Management at or close to the optimal CPP has been shown to be associated with better outcomes in patients with TBI^{3,3,4}. Since an in-depth discussion of cerebrovascular

autoregulation monitoring in neurocritical care is beyond the scope of this article, we refer the interested reader to some excellent reviews^{6,35-39}.

One methodological aspect of autoregulation monitoring with NIRS in the setting of neurosurgery and neurointensive care requires close attention. NIRS technology is based on sending near-infrared light through the tissues, where it is attenuated because of a combination of absorption and scattering. It is a prerequisite that the quantity of light scattering remains constant during the measurements and that changes in attenuation result solely from changes in absorption⁴⁰. However, in conditions of brain injury, tissue composition may vary substantially (contusions, hemorrhages, and brain swelling), resulting in changes in light absorption and scattering. Accurate quantification of S_cO_2 and data quality could be questioned in this setting⁴¹.

Barriers to assessing cerebral autoregulation with near-infrared spectroscopy

While at first view defining COx might seem simple and easy to perform at the bedside, some major barriers have to be faced.

Barrier 1: is cerebral oxygen saturation a reliable surrogate of cerebral blood flow?

The use of NIRS for quantifying cerebral autoregulation is based on some assumptions, and this continues to be a major point of discussion. S_cO_2 is determined by arterial blood oxygen content, cerebral oxygen consumption, oxygen-tissue diffusivity, and CBF. It has been assumed that, if all other parameters which influence S_cO_2 are kept constant, changes in S_cO_2 are due to changes in CBF and therefore that those two parameters can be interchanged. Although one might question this approach, the problem remains that to date there is no continuous real-time methodology to quantify CBF.

Barrier 2: autoregulation data analysis

In most studies, COx is determined by using ICM+[®] software (Cambridge Enterprise Ltd, Cambridge, UK, http://www.neurosurg.cam.ac.uk/icmplus). The S_cO₂ signal and the MAP signal are captured longitudinally (time-domain analysis). Filtering of both signals is performed to limit analysis to the frequency of slow waves (0.05–0.003 Hz), which are relevant to autoregulation and exclude confounding wave components such as respiratory and pulse frequencies. A sliding analysis window of a 300-second period updated every 10 seconds runs across the signals, and the S_cO₂ and MAP data within these windows are plotted against each other, incorporating 30 data points for each calculation. The linear regression line for these data is computed, and the Pearson correlation coefficient is obtained. The process repeats as the analysis window scans over the signals in incremental steps, resulting in a continuous COx measurement.

To calculate the optimal MAP, the COx values are binned in 5 mmHg blood pressure increments. The first and last MAP bins, as well as bins which contain less than 2% of data points, are discarded. ICM+[®] then fits a U-shaped curve through the COx values plotted versus MAP. The optimal MAP can be identified at the point with the lowest COx.

However, owing to the granular nature imposed on the binaggregated data, binning makes it difficult to produce a robust automated algorithm to assess autoregulation⁴². The binned data are generally noisy in a clinical environment, which may be device-specific (for example, arterial line flushing or poor NIRS signal) or physiological in nature (for example, caused by administration of drugs or positional changes). This becomes even more important when very few bins contain data points, such as early in a procedure when the complete picture of the COx plot has not yet built up, or when blood pressure is relatively stable. Montgomery *et al.* demonstrated that the data in their raw format (that is, unbinned) are feasible for monitoring cerebral autoregulation, and they hypothesized that their method might be particularly useful in noisy environments⁴².

The current methodology for analysis of cerebral autoregulation includes either a time-domain or a frequency-based approach. The most common method is to calculate the correlation coefficient between S O₂ and MAP (time-domain approach). However, it could be argued that cerebral autoregulation is a complex physiological system and that simple correlation analysis does not cope with the complex interplay and the time-varying aspects of the different physiological mechanisms⁴³. Coherence and transfer function analyses have also been used to quantify cerebral autoregulation^{44,45}. Caicedo et al. analyzed four different measurement models used for cerebral autoregulation assessment (correlation, coherence, modified coherence, and transfer function). Although Caicedo et al. proposed transfer function gain as the most robust method when used for cerebral autoregulation studies, correlation was also considered a robust method, despite some restrictions related to time delay⁴⁶.

Barrier 3: autoregulation data interpretation

Varying definitions to decide between intact and impaired autoregulation are found in the literature. Generally, a COx of less than 0.3 is considered consistent with intact autoregulation and the MAP with the lowest COx is considered the optimal MAP. However, noise on the data may impede the identification of intact and impaired regions. A further complication for the assessment of autoregulation is that, owing to interpatient variability, no specific threshold will apply to everyone. Moreover, it has been postulated that the flat part of the autoregulation curve is never really horizontal, but slightly tilted⁴⁷. So autoregulation is not an on–off phenomenon, in which correlations between CBF and MAP jump from zero (intact autoregulation) to one (impaired autoregulation), and this makes the interpretation of autoregulation data rather cumbersome.

Barrier 4: assessment of the autoregulation phenomenon

One approach to assess cerebral autoregulation is to monitor the S_cO_2 response to natural slow variations in blood pressure. However, the natural blood pressure variations may not be strong enough to challenge CBF. An alternative approach is to induce blood pressure variations (for example, by vasoactive drugs¹², change in body position⁴⁸, or release of a thigh cuff⁴⁹) and measure the concomitant response of S_cO_2 . However, it has been demonstrated that CBF dynamics are driven by the rate of change in blood pressure rather than absolute pressure per se; therefore, the precipitous change in pressure might elicit autoregulatory reactions that would not be seen with gradual changes in pressure^{12,50}.

Clinical relevance of cerebral autoregulation monitoring

Despite the emerging availability of bedside physiology monitors, blood pressure targets remain mostly empirically chosen during critical care management. Efforts at preventing cerebral injury have emphasized the importance of maintaining a 'normal blood pressure' to ensure adequate perfusion of the brain. Hence, often medications are given to increase blood pressure in order to restore cerebral perfusion. However, as discussed before, the position and the shape of the autoregulation curve may have shifted away, with LLAs and ULAs which vary greatly and unpredictably¹⁴ and an autoregulation plateau which may be very narrow¹⁵. The clinical implication is that CBF might fluctuate as blood pressure fluctuates, exposing the patient to the risk of decreased cerebral flow and potential ischemia when blood pressure is relatively too low and conversely to the risk of increased cerebral flow and increased ICP when blood pressure is relatively too high. Since the individual limits of autoregulation are unknown, it is likely that empiric blood pressure management will result in patients having a MAP outside the autoregulatory range for at least part of the surgery or stay in intensive care. If autoregulation monitoring can individualize blood pressure targets, it could provide a more effective means for preventing ischemia-reperfusion injury than the current standard of care.

Perspectives

With the sophistication of signal processing and online analysis software, the challenge lies in the successful integration of 'optimal MAP' and autoregulation monitoring into daily critical care management.The next steps will be to study the autoregulation in different clinical situations and to clarify whether incorporation into therapeutic management protocols leads to an improved cerebral outcome.

Conclusions

Progress in perioperative and critical care will depend on moving away from broad assumptions and 'one size fits all' physiological targets. In the near future, real-time cerebral autoregulation monitoring may provide clinicians with the opportunity to individualize blood pressure targets and might direct critical care management to the individual patient's need.

Competing interests

AM has received lecture fees from Medtronic (INVOS) and Sorin (NIRO). SD declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- E Green DW, Kunst G: Cerebral oximetry and its role in adult cardiac, 1. non-cardiac surgery and resuscitation from cardiac arrest. Anaesthesia. 2017; 72 Suppl 1: 48-57 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fickler P, Feiner J, Rollins M, et al.: Tissue Oximetry and Clinical Outcomes. Anesth Analg. 2017; 124(1): 72–82. 2.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation Moerman A, De Hert S: Cerebral oximetry: the standard monitor of the future? *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2015; 28(6): 703–9. З. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lassen NA: Cerebral blood flow and oxygen consumption in man. Physiol Rev. 4. 1959; 39(2): 183-238. PubMed Abstract
- Drummond JC: The lower limit of autoregulation: time to revise our thinking? 5 Anesthesiology. 1997; 86(6): 1431–3. PubMed Abstract
- F Rivera-Lara L, Zorrilla-Vaca A, Geocadin RG, et al.: Cerebral Autoregulation-6 oriented Therapy at the Bedside: A Comprehensive Review. Anesthesiology. 2017; 126(6): 1187-99. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Meng L, Hou W, Chui J, et al.: Cardiac Output and Cerebral Blood Flow: The Integrated Regulation of Brain Perfusion in Adult Humans. Anesthesiology. 2015; 123(5): 1198–208. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Soul JS, Hammer PE, Tsuji M, et al.: Fluctuating pressure-passivity is common in the cerebral circulation of sick premature infants. *Pediatr Res.* 2007; 61(4): 8 467-73
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Vesoulis ZA, Mathur AM: Cerebral Autoregulation, Brain Injury, and the 9. Transitioning Premature Infant. Front Pediatr. 2017; 5: 64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Meng L, Gelb AW: Regulation of cerebral autoregulation by carbon dioxide. 10. Anesthesiology. 2015; 122(1): 196–205. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Lucas SJ, Tzeng YC, Galvin SD, et al.: Influence of changes in blood pressure 11. on cerebral perfusion and oxygenation. Hypertension. 2010; 55(3): 698-705. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Moerman AT, Vanbiervliet VM, Van Wesemael A, et al.: Assessment of 12. Cerebral Autoregulation Patterns with Near-infrared Spectroscopy during Pharmacological-induced Pressure Changes. Anesthesiology. 2015; 123(2): 327-35
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Moerman A, Bové T, François K, et al.: Society of cardiovascular 13. anesthesiologists: the effect of blood pressure regulation during aortic coarctation repair on brain, kidney, and muscle oxygen saturation measured by near-infrared spectroscopy: a randomized, clinical trial. Anesth Analg. 2013; 116(4): 760-6 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Joshi B, Ono M, Brown C, et al.: Predicting the limits of cerebral autoregulation 14. during cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesth Analg. 2012; 114(3): 503–10. ed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Goettel N, Patet C, Rossi A, et al.: Monitoring of cerebral blood flow 15. autoregulation in adults undergoing sevoflurane anesthesia: a prospective cohort study of two age groups. J Clin Monit Comput. 2016; 30(3): 255-64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Brady K, Hogue CW: Intraoperative hypotension and patient outcome: does 16. "one size fit all?" Anesthesiology. 2013; 119(3): 495-7 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Zeiler FA, Donnelly J, Menon DK, et al.: Continuous Autoregulatory Indices 17. Derived from Multi-Modal Monitoring: Each One Is Not Like the Other. J Neurotrauma. 2017 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Brady KM, Lee JK, Kibler KK, et al.: Continuous time-domain analysis of 18. cerebrovascular autoregulation using near-infrared spectroscopy. Stroke. 2007; 38(10): 2818-25. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Steiner LA, Pfister D, Strebel SP, et al.: Near-infrared spectroscopy can monitor 19. dynamic cerebral autoregulation in adults. Neurocrit Care. 2009; 10(1): 122-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Brady K, Joshi B, Zweifel C, et al.: Real-time continuous monitoring of cerebral 20 blood flow autoregulation using near-infrared spectroscopy in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. Stroke. 2010; 41(9): 1951-6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 21. Zheng Y, Villamayor AJ, Merritt W, et al.: Continuous cerebral blood flow autoregulation monitoring in patients undergoing liver transplantation.

Neurocrit Care. 2012; 17(1): 77-84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Brazy JE, Lewis DV, Mitnick MH, et al.: Noninvasive monitoring of cerebral 22 oxygenation in preterm infants: preliminary observations. Pediatrics. 1985; 75(2): 217-25. PubMed Abstract
- Greisen G, Leung T, Wolf M, et al.: Has the time come to use near-infrared 23. spectroscopy as a routine clinical tool in preterm infants undergoing intensive care? Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2011; 369(1955): 4440–51. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Kenosi M, Naulaers G, Ryan CA, et al.: Current research suggests that the 24. future looks brighter for cerebral oxygenation monitoring in preterm infants. Acta Paediatr. 2015; **104**(3): 225–31. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommenda
- Williams M, Lee JK: Intraoperative blood pressure and cerebral perfusion: strategies to clarify hemodynamic goals. Paediatr Anaesth. 2014; 24(7): 657–67. 25 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Kooi EMW, Verhagen EA, Elting JWJ, et al.: Measuring cerebrovascular 26 autoregulation in preterm infants using near-infrared spectroscopy: an overview of the literature. Expert Rev Neurother. 2017; 17(8): 801–18 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Gold JP, Charlson ME, Williams-Russo P, et al.: Improvement of outcomes after 27. coronary artery bypass. A randomized trial comparing intraoperative high versus low mean arterial pressure. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1995; 110(5): 1302-11; discussion 1311-4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Ono M, Arnaoutakis GJ, Fine DM, et al.: Blood pressure excursions below 28 the cerebral autoregulation threshold during cardiac surgery are associated with acute kidney injury. Crit Care Med. 2013; 41(2): 464-71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Ono M, Brady K, Easley RB, et al.: Duration and magnitude of blood 29 pressure below cerebral autoregulation threshold during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with major morbidity and operative mortality. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014; 147(1): 483-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Hori D, Ono M, Rappold TE, et al.: Hypotension After Cardiac Operations 30 Based on Autoregulation Monitoring Leads to Brain Cellular Injury. Ann Thorac Surg. 2015; 100(2): 487-93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Hori D, Brown C, Ono M, et al.: Arterial pressure above the upper cerebral 31. autoregulation limit during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with postoperative delirium. Br J Anaesth. 2014; 113(6): 1009–17. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- E Le Roux P, Menon DK, Citerio G, et al.: Consensus summary statement of 32 the International Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference on Multimodality Monitoring in Neurocritical Care: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Neurocritical Care Society and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Neurocrit Care. 2014; 21 Suppl 2: S1–26. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Steiner LA, Czosnyka M, Piechnik SK, et al.: Continuous monitoring of 33. cerebrovascular pressure reactivity allows determination of optimal cerebral perfusion pressure in patients with traumatic brain injury. Crit Care Med. 2002; **30**(4): 733–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Aries MJ, Czosnyka M, Budohoski KP, et al.: Continuous determination of optimal cerebral perfusion pressure in traumatic brain injury. Crit Care Med. 34 2012; 40(8): 2456-63. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Rivera-Lara L, Zorrilla-Vaca A, Geocadin R, et al.: Predictors of Outcome 35. With Cerebral Autoregulation Monitoring: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Crit Care Med. 2017; 45(4): 695–704. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Weigl W, Milej D, Janusek D, et al.: Application of optical methods in the 36. monitoring of traumatic brain injury: A review. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2016; 36(11): 1825–43.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- 37. Lazaridis C, Smielewski P, Steiner LA, et al.: Optimal cerebral perfusion pressure: are we ready for it? Neurol Res. 2013; 35(2): 138–48. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Czosnyka M, Brady K, Reinhard M, et al.: Monitoring of cerebrovascular 38. autoregulation: facts, myths, and missing links. Neurocrit Care. 2009; 10(3): 373-86 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- E Davies DJ, Su Z, Clancy MT, et al.: Near-Infrared Spectroscopy in the 39. Monitoring of Adult Traumatic Brain Injury: A Review. J Neurotrauma. 2015;



32(13): 933-41.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation Moerman A, Wouters P: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) monitoring in

- Moerman A, Wouters P: Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) monitoring in contemporary anesthesia and critical care. Acta Anaesthesiol Belg. 2010; 61(4): 185–94.
 PubMed Abstract
- Calderon-Arnulphi M, Alaraj A, Slavin KV: Near infrared technology in neuroscience: past, present and future. Neurol Res. 2009; 31(6): 605–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Montgomery D, Addison PS, Borg U: Data clustering methods for the determination of cerebral autoregulation functionality. J Clin Monit Comput. 2016; 30(5): 661–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Panerai RB: Cerebral autoregulation: from models to clinical applications. *Cardiovasc Eng.* 2008; 8(1): 42–59.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
 Wong FY, Leung TS, Austin T, *et al.*: Impaired autoregulation in preterm infants
- identified by using spatially resolved spectroscopy. Pediatrics. 2008; 121(3): e604–11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 45. Caicedo A, De Smet D, Naulaers G, et al.: Cerebral tissue oxygenation and

regional oxygen saturation can be used to study cerebral autoregulation in prematurely born infants. *Pediatr Res.* 2011; 69(6): 548–53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Caicedo A, Naulaers G, Lemmers P, *et al.*: Detection of cerebral autoregulation by near-infrared spectroscopy in neonates: performance analysis of measurement methods. *J Biomed Opt.* 2012; 17(11): 117003. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Greisen G: To autoregulate or not to autoregulate--that is no longer the question. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 2009; 16(4): 207–15.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Carey BJ, Manktelow BN, Panerai RB, et al.: Cerebral autoregulatory responses to head-up tilt in normal subjects and patients with recurrent vasovagal syncope. Circulation. 2001; 104(8): 898–902.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mahony PJ, Panerai RB, Deverson ST, et al.: Assessment of the thigh cuff technique for measurement of dynamic cerebral autoregulation. *Stroke*. 2000; 31(2): 476–80.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Tzeng YC, MacRae BA, Ainslie PN, *et al.*: Fundamental relationships between blood pressure and cerebral blood flow in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2014; 117(9): 1037–48.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 Marek Czosnyka Brain Physics Lab, Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 1 Amit M Mathur Division of Newborn Medicine, Edward Mallinckrodt Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, USA Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.