





Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 10 (2018) 130-142

Diagnostic Assessment & Prognosis

The Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention: A review of findings and current directions

Sterling C. Johnson^{a,b,c,*}, Rebecca L. Koscik^a, Erin M. Jonaitis^a, Lindsay R. Clark^{a,b,c}, Kimberly D. Mueller^a, Sara E. Berman^b, Barbara B. Bendlin^{a,b}, Corinne D. Engelman^{a,b}, Ozioma C. Okonkwo^{a,b}, Kirk J. Hogan^a, Sanjay Asthana^{b,c}, Cynthia M. Carlsson^{a,b,c}, Bruce P. Hermann^a, Mark A. Sager^a

^aWisconsin Alzheimer's Institute, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA ^bWisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA ^cGeriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, Wm. S. Middleton Veterans Hospital, Madison WI, USA

Abstract The Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention is a longitudinal observational cohort study enriched with persons with a parental history (PH) of probable Alzheimer's disease (AD) dementia. Since late 2001, Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention has enrolled 1561 people at a mean baseline age of 54 years. Participants return for a second visit 4 years after baseline, and subsequent visits occur every 2 years. Eighty-one percent (1270) of participants remain active in the study at a current mean age of 64 and 9 years of follow-up. Serially assessed cognition, self-reported medical and lifestyle histories (e.g., diet, physical and cognitive activity, sleep, and mood), laboratory tests, genetics, and linked studies comprising molecular imaging, structural imaging, and cerebrospinal fluid data have yielded many important findings. In this cohort, PH of probable AD is associated with 46% apolipoprotein E (APOE) £4 positivity, more than twice the rate of 22% among persons without PH. Subclinical or worse cognitive decline relative to internal normative data has been observed in 17.6% of the cohort. Twentyeight percent exhibit amyloid and/or tau positivity. Biomarker elevations, but not APOE or PH status, are associated with cognitive decline. Salutary health and lifestyle factors are associated with better cognition and brain structure and lower AD pathophysiologic burden. Of paramount importance is establishing the amyloid and tau AD endophenotypes to which cognitive outcomes can be linked. Such data will provide new knowledge on the early temporal course of AD pathophysiology and inform the design of secondary prevention clinical trials. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Preclinical Alzheimer's disease; Biomarkers; Risk factors

1. Introduction

Although it is widely recognized that Alzheimer's disease (AD) has an extended preclinical stage, the cognitive and neuropathobiological course of changes in late-middleaged people who may later develop AD dementia are relatively unknown [1]. Such knowledge is crucial if AD is to be identified in its inchoate form, its pathogenesis illuminated, and the tempo and predictors of its progression characterized as a predicate to successful prevention trials.

The Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP), established in 2001 [2], is a longitudinal observational cohort of participants who enrolled at midlife (mean age 54), and that is enriched with risk for late-onset AD due to parental history (PH) of AD dementia. The cohort also serves as a registry for linked studies. The overarching goals of the study shown in Table 1 are to identify early cognitive decline and to characterize midlife factors associated with

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2017.11.007

2352-8729/Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: 608-262-9549; Fax: 608-265-3091. E-mail address: scj@medicine.wisc.edu

Table 1

The major goals of the WRAP study

- Determine whether AD-related cognitive trajectories can be detected in midlife and distinguished from normal aging using sensitive cognitive assessments.
- 2 Determine the effect of genetic vulnerability on AD-related cognitive trajectories and biomarkers.
- 3 Determine the biomarker patterns associated with cognitive trajectories and the development of symptomatic cognitive dysfunction.
- 4 Examine the influence of health behaviors on risk and resilience to brain pathology and cognitive decline due to AD.

Abbreviations: WRAP, Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention; AD, Alzheimer's disease.

such decline and the contributing underlying biomarkers of AD and related pathology. The present contribution updates the initial description of the cohort, study design, and protocol [2] and provides new data on the effects of family history, apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype, and AD biomarkers on longitudinal cognitive decline over time. Key study findings are summarized, and future directions are presented.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

To the present, 1561 participants have enrolled over a continuing enrollment window. Recruitment sources included memory clinics in which a parent was diagnosed or treated, limited radio and newspaper advertisements, and word of mouth. Participants generally meet the following inclusion criteria at study entry: age 40–65 years; fluent English speaker; visual and auditory acuity adequate for neuropsychological testing; good health with no diseases expected to interfere with study participation over time. Participants are excluded from enrollment if they have a prior diagnosis of dementia or evidence of dementia at baseline testing (one was excluded due to baseline dementia). The baseline mean age is 54 years, 73% have a parent with AD dementia, and 40% of the total sample are *APOE* ε 4 carriers (46% of the PH+ participants and 22% of the PH- participants).

2.2. Determination of PH of AD

The characteristic of PH of AD (PH+) is defined as having at least one biological parent diagnosed with dementia due to probable AD based on the NINDS-ADRDA criteria [3]. Three general methods were used to determine PH. First, direct diagnosis of the parent from study physicians or affiliated faculty, or where medical records for the affected parent were available, a panel of study investigators reviewed the parent's clinical evaluation for dementia to determine whether evidence was sufficient to diagnose probable AD. Second was the neuropathological confirmation of AD in the affected parent. Third, in the absence of sufficient prior information, a Dementia Questionnaire (DQ; [4]) was conducted with the adult child regarding the parent's dementia history and course. The DQ asks about the type of dementia symptoms, the course of progression, and the presence or absence of comorbid conditions that could explain or contribute to the symptoms. Diagnostic classifications based on the DQ show very high sensitivity (100%) and specificity (90%) compared to clinical diagnosis [5]. Eight percent of PH subjects were initially qualified for study entry by a parental autopsy; 83% by medical record review or expert physician diagnosis; and 9% by DQ. Two participants (<1%) were qualified based on self report of PH of AD (but without full DQ or medical record review).

2.3. A comparison group without PH of AD

To understand the role of PH, recruitment of additional participants without PH of probable AD dementia began in 2004. This group now consists of 421 persons who by self-report did not have a parent with dementia due to AD or related cause and who in general have a mother who survived to at least age 75 years and a father to at least age 70 years without dementia.

Because parental status changes over time, it is reassessed at each visit and updated as necessary (e.g., in the case that a previously nondemented parent later developed dementia or, rarely, a parent whose dementia was presumed due to AD was later found by autopsy to be another pathology).

2.4. Study visit procedures

Participants are followed at regular intervals with detailed in-person assessments, questionnaires, and blood collection occurring at each study visit. The first follow-up is approximately 4 years after baseline, and further follow-up visits are approximately every 2 years. Persons will remain in the study until age 85 years, unless they withdraw, convert to dementia, or develop another illness precluding participation or accurate assessment of cognition. Each visit requires approximately 5 hours and comprises the assessments shown in Table 2, that is, cognitive measurement, anthropometric measures, laboratory tests, and questionnaire ratings completed by the participant and an informant including the Quick Dementia Rating System or Clinical Dementia Rating [33]. Reliability and consistency of cognitive testing is established through regular review of aspects of testing procedures at team meetings, biannual individual observations of test administration, through adherence to a standardized manual of procedures, and through blinded rescoring by a separate rater (20% annually for each psychometrist).

2.5. Consent for brain donation

Neuropathologic confirmation is critical for linking cognitive trajectories to disease-related end points. Accordingly, participants are encouraged to enroll in the Wisconsin Brain Donation Program which is administered by the Neuropathology Core of the Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ADRC). Brain bank enrollment has not been an entry criterion. However, since 2015, brain donation Table 2

List of procedures and tests in the current protocol

Cognitive:
Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [6]
Wide Range Achievement Test—3rd Edition Reading subtest [7]
Rev Auditory Verbal Learning Test [8]
Boston Naming Test—2nd Edition [9]
Clock Drawing Test [10]
Controlled Oral Word Association Test [11]
Wechsler Adult Scale of Intelligence-III: Digit Span, Letter-Number Sequencing subtests [12]
Trail Making Test [13]
Stroop Neuropsychological Screening Test [14]
Brief Visuospatial Memory Test—Revised [15]
Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Revised Digit Symbol subtest [16]
Wechsler Memory Scale—Revised Logical Memory subtest [17]
Mini–Mental State Examination [18]
Animal Fluency [19]
Speech samples: open-ended interview questions and picture description [20]
COGSTATE: Groton Maze, One Card Learning, Paired Associates, One Two-Back [21]
Anthropometric and vitals:
BMI, resting heart rate
Waist-hip
Blood pressure
Temperature
Laboratories:
Cholesterol, homocysteine
Vitamin B12, glucose, insulin, IL-6, hs-CRP
Vitamin D: $25(OH)D_2$, $25(OH)D_3$, total
Lipid panel
Biomarkers:
Offer enrollment in brain donor program
CSF substudy
MRI substudy
Amyloid PET imaging substudy
Tau PET imaging substudy
Genetics:
MEGA ²¹ genome-wide array
Polygenic AD risk score
Participant questionnaires:
Personal, family, and medical history
Current meds
Women's health history
CES-D [22] Maditarrangen DASIL diet [22]
Community Healthy Activities Model (CHAMPS) [24]
Strace life events
Social and caraciving activities
Social stressors
Rand MOS Sleen Scale [25]
Enworth Sleen Scale [26]
Insomnia Severity Index [27]
C-Pap/devices
Cognitive Activities Scale
Florida Cognitive Activities Scale [28]
Informant questionnaires:
Quick Dementia Rating Scale [29]
Clinical Dementia Rating [30]
Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly—Short Form [31]
Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale-modified version [32]

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PET, positron emission tomography; MEGA^{EX}, Expanded Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array; AD, Alzheimer's disease.

has been systematically discussed with participants at each visit, and educational material on the value of brain donation is regularly offered at WRAP's statewide series of information sessions and in semiannual newsletters.

2.6. Identifying subtle, preclinical impairment

A critical issue for the field is development and validation of optimal methodology for identifying early cognitive decline and impairment [34]. Simple single-test thresholds are insufficient [35], and available published norms used to define "impaired" and "normal" performances on neuropsychological tests in persons age $\sim 55+$ years may be confounded by unintended inclusion of individuals with incipient disease in the normative samples of those tests [36–38], thereby reducing sensitivity to subtle dysfunction [37]. Moreover, thresholds and norms may have been validated by others in populations of uncertain relevance to the cohort under investigation. To avoid these potential confounders and to enhance sensitivity to preclinical decline, we developed a "robust" norms approach in which internal normative distributions for cognitive factor scores [39] and individual test scores [40] are generated, where "robust" indicates that the normative group is nondeclining over time. In Koscik et al. [39], deficits on multiple visits via algorithmic criteria were required as evidence of "psychometric mild cognitive impairment (MCI)," whereas in Clark et al. [40], deficits on multiple tests within a specific domain were required to identify persons with psychometric MCI. In practice, and to ensure that these approaches are not falsely overidentifying people with abnormal cognition, we incorporate these algorithms into our consensus review process as described in Section 2.7.

2.7. Classification of cognitive status

If cognitive abnormalities are detected by algorithm on neuropsychological tests, data from participant visits are brought to a consensus review committee consisting of dementia-specialist physicians, neuropsychologists, and nurse practitioners for in-depth review. Thresholds for committee review include performance greater than 1.5 SD below robust internal norms adjusting for age, gender, and literacy level [39,40], self-report or informant report of cognitive or functional decline on the Clinical Dementia Rating, the Quick Dementia Rating Scale, the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly, or Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; or threshold-specific absolute scores on key tests (e.g., Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Logical Memory-II ≤17; Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test Delayed Recall ≤5; or Mini–Mental State Examination <26). The consensus committee assesses cognitive performance at all prior visits to detect intraindividual changes over time and analyzes pertinent findings from the neurological and physical examinations; medical and social histories; and self-survey and informant survey of mood, cognition, and functional status. The diagnosis of "MCI" is based on National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer's Association criteria [34] and requires (1) patient or informant concern regarding change in cognition; (2) unambiguous impairment in one or more cognitive domains; (3) not meeting criteria for dementia. The experimental category of "early MCI" is assigned if there is lower-than-expected objective performance (typically >1.5 SD below internal robust norms), but few or no subjective cognitive complaints or clinically significant deficits (for further discussion, see [41]). This category broadly corresponds to clinical stage 2 in the 2018 diagnostic framework [42].

2.8. Biomarker and genetics procedures

A diversity of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), molecular positron emission tomography (PET), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers has been acquired from subsets of participants as funding permits (see Supplementary Table 1 for sample sizes of each to date). Serial MRIs and CSF samples will be obtained from approximately 60% of WRAP participants over the next 5 years, and serial amyloid and tau imaging will be obtained from approximately 30% with current and projected funding.

2.9. MRI protocol

In 2009, a standardized MRI protocol was implemented across the Wisconsin ADRC to include all WRAP-linked studies. The standard protocol comprises an inversion recovery-prepared T1-weighted 3D volume structural scan, a T2-FLAIR 3D volume to assess white-matter hyperintensities, pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling of cerebral blood flow, multishell diffusion-weighted imaging to assess whitematter integrity and structural connectivity, and 4D-flow imaging to assess intracranial blood flow and vessel stiffness.

2.10. CSF collection and analyses

CSF samples are collected in the core WRAP study and in linked studies. A center-wide standard preanalytic protocol is used to collect approximately 22 mL of CSF that is subsequently gently mixed to remove collection gradients, partitioned into 0.5-mL aliquots in 1.0-mL polypropylene tubes, and stored at -80° C. Assayed analytes include total tau, hyperphosphorylated tau₁₈₁, amyloid β 1–42 (A β 42), amyloid β 1–40, YKL40, neurofilament light-chain protein, and neurogranin (see, for example, [43–50]).

2.11. Molecular amyloid and tau imaging

Amyloid imaging is conducted with [C-11] Pittsburgh Compound-B (PiB) PET using a dynamic 70-minute protocol. For a full description of the PiB protocol, refer to the study by Johnson et al. [51]. All participants who undergo amyloid imaging are invited to undergo tau PET imaging with [F-18]MK6240 from \sim 70- to 110-minute postinjection. Derived maps of AD pathology burden are analyzed for longitudinal change.

2.12. Genetics

APOE $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$, 20 common genetic variants from the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project consortium [52], and low frequency variants in *TREM2* [53,54] and *PLD3* [55] were genotyped using competitive allelespecific polymerase chain reaction-based genotyping assays (LGC Genomics, Beverly, MA). Duplicate quality control samples had 99.9% concordance. Cross-validation of *APOE* genotypes with prior assays was 99.7% concordant. Various polygenic risk scores (PRSs) are derived in which the contribution of each single nucleotide polymorphism to the score is weighted by its risk odds ratio [56].

More recently, genome-wide genotyping was performed using the Illumina Infinium Expanded Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array (MEGA^{EX}) containing approximately 1.7 million genetic markers.

3. Review of select study findings and new results

3.1. Accrual

Fig. 1 depicts participant accrual from November 2001 through May 2017. Accrual is shown by visit number together with mean age at each visit. The rolling recruitment window means that individual participants have a different number of follow-up visits to date depending on how long they have been in the study. Sixth visits began in late 2016. Over 5600 study visits have occurred since inception, and over 3000 visits are projected over the next 5 years. Retention is 81% over the 16-year study period.

3.2. Descriptive information

Baseline characteristics of the WRAP sample, including demographics, medical history, and cognition, are described

in Table 3. In keeping with the original study design, Table 3 is stratified by parental family history and *APOE* ε 4 carrier status. Histograms showing the sample ages at baseline and at last visit are provided in Fig. 2.

3.3. Results and discussion related to goal 1

Goal 1: Determine whether AD dementia–related cognitive trajectories can be detected in midlife and distinguished from normal aging using sensitive cognitive assessments.

3.3.1. Previously published findings

As noted in Section 2, we developed and evaluated two cross-sectional algorithms for identifying performance that is below robust internal norms using factor scores [39,57] and using individual test scores [40]. Compared to the use of published norms in the same cohort, both psychometric approaches demonstrate improved sensitivity to small but consequential decrements of cognitive function. With the factor score approach [39], we observed greater longitudinal cognitive decline among the 13% of the cohort who were classified as psychometric MCI compared to those who were cognitively normal. Using this approach, we also showed that psychometric MCI is associated with greater dysfunction in connected language [58] and verbal fluency [59]. Using the cognitive test approach [40], the falsepositive rate was reduced by requiring a pattern of lowerthan-expected performance on multiple tests within or across domains to be affected in order for the participant to be designated as having MCI (similar to [60,61]). With use of this "multitest, single-visit" approach, 18% of participants were classified as having psychometric MCI. These algorithmic approaches may serve in their own right as intermediate outcomes and are used to inform research visit-by-visit diagnoses made by the diagnostic consensus committee. In analyses of consensus committee-classified cognitive status, higher intraindividual cognitive variability



Fig. 1. Accrual by study visit. Lines representing each visit are annotated with the median participant age (interquartile range) at that visit.

Table 3 Baseline demographic and health characteristics grouped by parental history and APOE ɛ4 status

Variable	PH+/e4+	PH+/ε4-	PH-/ε4+	PH-/ε4-	P value
N	515	597	91	330	
Age	53 (6.4)	54 (6.7)	57 (5.7)	56 (6.1)	<.001
Sex (female)	72.2%	71.9%	72.5%	64.8%	.095
Literacy (WRAT) [†]	105 (66-120)	107 (45-119)	107 (68-119)	109 (58-120)	<.001
High school degree	99.4%	99.2%	100%	99.7%	.827
Bachelors college degree	54.4%	55.6%	63.7%	66.4%	.002
BMI*	29 (7)	29 (6.3)	28 (5.8)	28 (5.7)	.131
Hypertension	18.1%	20.3%	22%	21.2%	.608
Hypercholesterolemia	37.1%	30.2%	33%	31.8%	.102
Diabetes	4.08%	5.36%	5.49%	5.45%	.743
Race (white)	88.2%	88.1%	90.1%	90.9%	.563
AVLT total trials 1-5*	50 (8.1)	51 (8.4)	50 (7.6)	51 (8.2)	.549
AVLT delay*	10 (2.8)	10 (3)	10 (2.7)	10 (2.9)	.87
Trails A time(s)*	27 (9)	27 (8.8)	27 (8.4)	28 (9.3)	.358
Trails B time(s)*	65 (31)	65 (33)	64 (25)	66 (30)	.909
COWAT*	42 (11)	43 (11)	43 (11)	43 (12)	.216
Digit span (forward raw score)*	10 (2.2)	10 (2.2)	10 (2.2)	10 (2.2)	.671
Digit span (backward raw score)*	6.9 (2.3)	7 (2.2)	7 (2.2)	7 (2.2)	.884

Abbreviations: PH, parental history; BMI, body mass index; WRAT, Wide Range Achievement Test-III Reading Recognition subtest; AVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; COWAT, Controlled Oral Word Association Test.

NOTE. Except where otherwise indicated, reported values are percentages, and comparisons were performed using a Fisher's exact test.

*Mean (SD); P-values obtained via ANOVA.

[†]Median (range); *P*-values obtained via a nonparametric (Kruskal-Wallis) test.

at baseline predicted impaired cognitive status 8–10 years later [41].

3.3.2. New results

Here, 15.2% of the cohort met early MCI criteria at their last study visit, whereas 2.3% met criteria for MCI and 0.1% met criteria for dementia due to AD. Prevalence for early MCI at the most recent visit was associated with age, ranging from approximately 6% for the youngest participants to 20% for those over 70. Prevalence of early MCI, MCI, and dementia by last visit age is shown in Fig. 3.

To determine whether demographic and risk features differ by the clinical status and age groups shown in Fig. 3, we conducted Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel tests for independence for the variables APOE E4 status, PH of AD status, sex, and presence of a college degree on the outcome categorical variable of cognitive status (cognitively normal, early MCI, and MCI/dementia) (combined because there are so few dementia cases), after adjusting for age category. No significant associations between cognitive status and APOE, PH of AD, or education level ($\langle BA vs. \geq BA \rangle$) were evident after adjusting for age grouping. Sex and cognitive status were not independent (Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel general association test P = .0018) such that men (which comprised 28.6% of the whole sample) were more likely to have early MCI (n = 90 men; 38.3%) or MCI/dementia (n = 16 men; 43.2%). The cohort is relatively young and these associations may change over time.

The designations of "early MCI" and "psychometric MCI" have been used here and in other recent studies to describe cognitive decline that is not sufficiently severe to warrant a diagnosis of MCI. This intermediate stage may

have predictive value for identifying persons at risk of progression to MCI or dementia. Specifically, of those who were early MCI at visit 1, 11.4% progressed to a clinical status at their last study visit compared with 2.0% of those who were cognitively normal [$\chi^2(1) = 35.4$, P < .0001]. Whether persons with emerging impairment also have greater biomarker signs of AD or vascular pathology is a topic of ongoing investigation. In the parlance of the 2018 research diagnostic framework, these intermediate categories largely overlap with clinical stage 2 which connotes cognitively unimpaired with decline from a prior baseline [42]. Future work will implement the new research criteria in WRAP's diagnostic processes.

3.4. Results and discussion related to goal 2

Goal 2: Characterize the effect of PH and genetic vulnerability on AD-related cognitive trajectories.

3.4.1. Previously published findings

In addition to identifying specific individuals with cognitive impairment, the study seeks to understand how PH of AD and APOE ε 4 status (both major risk factors) affect cognitive trajectories from midlife. Earlier cross-sectional analyses of WRAP cognitive data suggested modest relationships between cognitive performance and genetic and/or PH risk factors. For example, at the first visit, PH+ and PH- participants had similarly high scores on a list-learning task, but PH+ participants relied more heavily on recent list items, suggesting greater difficulty with consolidation [62].

As expected, WRAP PH+ participants are more than twice as likely to be *APOE* ε 4 positive (see Table 2). They



Fig. 2. Histograms displaying the WRAP sample age distribution at baseline (upper panel) and most recent visit (lower panel). In the data for the lower panel, 15.9% of the sample have completed only one visit, so the reported numbers reflect age at visit 1; for 7.78%, age at visit 2; 16.4% for visit 3; 25.2% for visit 4; 33.2% for visit 5; and 1.41% for visit 6, respectively. Abbreviation: WRAP, Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention.

are also more likely to carry the *TREM2* T risk allele [63]. As well, genetic risk features for AD may interact with one another. Two variant alleles of the *ABCA7* gene are associated with worse memory and executive function scores in participants with no *APOE* ε 4 alleles but with better scores in those with 1 or 2 *APOE* ε 4 alleles [64]. To the present, longitudinal comparisons have not detected effects of these genetic markers on cognitive trajectories [63,64]. Boots et al. [65] examined the influence of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (*BDNF*) Val66Met polymorphism on cognitive trajectories and PET amyloid load. Compared with Val



Fig. 3. Cognitive statuses at last study visit, by 5-year age increments. The denominators for each age range were 108 (<50), 194 (50–54), 310 (55–59), 341 (60–64), 378 (65–69), 214 (\geq 70). Abbreviation: MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

carriers, *BDNF* Met carriers exhibit greater decline over time on the Verbal Learning and Memory factor score. In the subset with amyloid imaging, amyloid burden modified the relationship such that those with high amyloid burden who were also *BDNF* Met carriers exhibited steepest cognitive decline. The aggregate effects of 21 genetic risk alleles on cognition via a PRS identified modest negative effects on working memory performance but not other domains [56]. Darst et al. [56] also examined PRSs specific to causal pathways implicated in AD. Gene clusters affecting A β clearance and cholesterol metabolism were strongly predictive of CSF A β_{42} , CSF A β_{42} /A β_{40} , and PiB amyloid burden, as was *APOE* when considered as an independent predictor on its own (see also [50]).

3.4.2. New results

To explore the effects of PH and APOE on longitudinal cognitive trajectories, we modeled the trajectories with a linear mixed effects model [66,67] using random intercepts at the family and participant levels and a random age slope at the participant level. Fifty-nine participants reporting neurological diagnoses at baseline were excluded from these analyses. Baseline characteristics of this subset were virtually identical to those in Table 3. Covariates included age (linear and quadratic age terms were tested), sex, race, education level, and baseline literacy (WRAT-III Reading), and the number of prior exposures to the neuropsychological test battery [68]. The effects of interest were as follows: (1) the main effects of APOE and PH; (2) their interaction with age (longitudinal effects); and (3) their interaction with prior test exposure, that accounts for the differential benefit from practice. Table 4 lists estimates for

Table 4 Results of mixed effects model of cognitive decline by APOE ɛ4 and PH status

Predictor	PH/APOE status	Estimate	SE	DF	F	P value
Intercept		0.148	0.0635			
Practice		0.0911	0.0259	1; 3089.8	40.9	<.001
Age, linear (centered)		-0.0246	0.00756	1; 1996.5	41.7	<.001
Age, quadratic		-0.000718	0.000167	1; 1461.4	18.4	<.001
Sex (male)		-0.672	0.0467	1; 1436.9	207	<.001
Education (BA or greater)		0.208	0.0504	1; 1452.9	17	<.001
Baseline WRAT (centered)		0.0233	0.00253	1; 1501.5	85.3	<.001
Race (nonwhite)		-0.324	0.0794	1; 1333.8	16.6	<.001
PH/APOE e4 Status	$PH+/\epsilon 4+$	-0.0332	0.0668	3; 1644	0.405	.749
	$PH+/\epsilon 4-$	-0.00163	0.0647			
	$PH-/\epsilon 4+$	-0.108	0.115			
	PH-/e4-	_	-			
PH/APOE ε 4 × age	$PH+/\epsilon 4+$	-0.00748	0.00948	3; 1698.7	0.552	.647
	PH+/ε4-	-0.0116	0.00906			
	$PH-\epsilon 4+$	-0.00593	0.0168			
	PH-/e4-	_	-			
PH/APOE ε 4 × practice	$PH+/\epsilon 4+$	-0.0123	0.0328	3; 3153.2	0.846	.468
	$PH+/\epsilon 4-$	0.0268	0.0313			
	$PH-\epsilon 4+$	0.0389	0.0584			
	PH-/ε4-	-	_			

Abbreviation: PH, parental history; WRAT = Wide Range Achievement Test-III Reading Recognition subtest.

NOTE. Coefficients for a linear mixed model of Verbal Learning and Memory performance created using SAS PROC MIXED. Random intercepts were modeled for families and subjects nested within families; age was also included as a subject-level random effect (allowing change with age to vary by individual). F-statistics for fixed effects were calculated using the Kenward-Roger approximation for denominator degrees of freedom.

each of these terms for Verbal Learning and Memory, an important composite outcome for early cognitive change [57]. Predicted trajectories with age are plotted by *APOE* and PH status in Fig. 4.

Although small decrements in performance occur with increasing age, little evidence is observed in these data for effects of APOE or PH on cognitive performance, either in the main analysis or in secondary analyses that (1) excluded participants whose parents developed AD after age 75 years and (2) modeled cognition as a function of APOE genetic risk score rather than an APOE ε 4 binary status variable [56]. The lack of APOE or PH risk effects on cognition is unlikely to be due to lack of power. Prospective power calculations using Monte Carlo simulations (k = 1000) indicated power of 0.95 or greater to detect small main effects (mean performance approx. 0.2 SD lower for the highest risk group) and age interactions (age-related slope approx. 20% steeper for the highest risk group). Because the cohort is still relatively young (current mean age 64) and will continue to be followed to determine if an effect unfolds with older age, we caution that the current reported absence of an effect in these data should not be accepted as definitive for the WRAP cohort.

For the subsample who underwent amyloid imaging or CSF collection and on whom Aβ42 assay results were available (N = 211), we classified each participant as amyloid positive (n = 62; 29%) or amyloid negative (n = 149; 71%) using cutoffs described elsewhere [44,69]. In this subset, amyloid positivity was significantly related to PH and *APOE* (Table 5; $\chi^2 = 14.22, P = .003$). However, follow-up tests indicated the association was largely explained by *APOE* ε 4 car-

rier status ($\chi^2 = 12.82$, P < .001) rather than an independent effect of PH specifically ($\chi^2 = 0.88$, P = .35). The significant relationship between *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ status and amyloid status holds even after controlling for age at the date of biomarker assessment (logistic regression: $\hat{\beta}_{APOE} = 1.263$, P < .001). In a smaller subsample for whom longitudinal amyloid (PiB) data were available (N = 142), conversion from amyloid negative to amyloid positive was associated with carriage of at least one *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ allele ($\chi^2 = 4.24$, P = .04) but not with PH, baseline age, gender, or consensus conference diagnosis (all P > .10). Together with the null cognitive findings, these results are consistent with a prevailing biomarker model in which AD pathophysiology precedes cognitive change [1,70].

3.5. Results and discussion related to goal 3

Goal 3: Determine the biomarker profiles associated with cognitive decline and the development of symptomatic cognitive dysfunction.

The WRAP study spans a period of scientific development in which risk factors like *APOE* status and PH have given way to more direct biomarkers of AD pathology for characterizing the preclinical stages of AD [1,70–73], and less specific brain markers of function and health such as functional and structural MRI have become gauges of the effect of AD pathophysiology on brain function and neurodegeneration. Early structural and functional MRI studies in WRAP (uninformed at the time by AD pathology biomarkers of amyloid and tau) found differences in cerebral activity during memory tasks [74–76], diffusion



Fig. 4. Verbal memory decline by PH of dementia due to probable AD and $APOE \varepsilon 4$ binary status (presence/absence of PH and of $APOE \varepsilon 4$). All four groups declined with age, but no significant differences between groups are evident. Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; PH, parental history.

tensor imaging [77], and hippocampal morphology [78] as a function of PH or *APOE* ε 4 status. These were interpreted as potentially related to differential AD pathophysiological processes in the PH group, although there was nothing specific to AD pathology about the imaging at the time or about the risk features by which the participants were stratified.

More recently, the effects of CSF AB42 and tau and amyloid PET imaging using [C-11]PiB have been examined as indices of the presence or absence of AD pathology. In n = 201 WRAP participants with [C-11]PiB amyloid PET data at a mean age of 61 years, there was no significant relationship with concurrent cognition [51]. This finding was not surprising as amyloid load is expected to increase before cognitive loss. Subsequently, Clark et al. [79] tested cognitive changes over time with mixed effects models and found that greater overall amyloid burden via PiB PET was associated with a greater decline on composite test performance in episodic memory and executive function. Similar results have been found with CSF-derived estimates of amyloid and tau burden [69] suggesting that cognitive consequences of AD pathology may already be present reflecting the gradual accumulation of disease. Moreover, other data suggest that AD pathology in the late-midlife preclinical time frame may coexist with atrophy and/or vascular and other diseases that have secondary effects on neural tissue. Racine et al. [44] used hierarchical clustering analysis of amyloid burden, tau burden, white-matter hyperintensities, and hippocampal atrophy to categorize WRAP and comparable Wisconsin ADRC participants with imaging and CSF data. Four clusters emerged including (1) participants with preclinical AD pathology who were predominantly positive for tau and amyloid; (2) participants with mixed vascular and AD pathology who exhibited white-matter hyperintensities as well as variable AD pathology; (3) participants with suspected non-AD pathology who exhibited atrophy but not A β or tau pathology; and (4) participants with healthy aging who exhibited normal imaging and CSF biomarkers. The greatest decline on memory tests over time was observed in the preclinical AD cluster. Taken together, these findings indicate that biomarkers of AD pathology are sensitive to cognitive decline in late middle-aged WRAP participants. As the cohort ages and individuals develop age-related diseases, biomarker profiles will likely become more heterogeneous. Thus, models examining change in biomarkers and cognition over time must include more precise markers of other pathologies as they become available.

3.6. Results and discussion related to goal 4

Goal 4: Characterize the influence of health behaviors on risk and resilience to brain pathology and cognitive decline due to underlying AD.

3.6.1. Previous findings

The effects of modifiable risk factors on cross-sectional cognition have been the target of multiple WRAP investigations. Cognitive activity throughout the lifespan measured by education level [49], job complexity [80], and selfreported current participation in stimulating activities such as games [81,82] is associated with better performance in several cognitive domains. Participants with greater numbers of stressful life events perform worse on measures of cognitive speed and flexibility, and conversely, in participants with greater social support, performance is better [83]. Of note, the protective effect of social support is diminished by presence of APOE $\varepsilon 4$ [84]. Sleep adequacy in WRAP participants is associated with amyloid burden assessed with amyloid imaging [85] and CSF Aβ42 and tau levels [46]. Cardiovascular fitness and metabolic fitness also appear to have a protective effect on gray

Table 5

Risk (PH and APOE status) ve	ersus amyloid positivity
------------------------------	--------------------------

Amyloid status	PH+/ε4+ (%)	PH+/ε4- (%)	PH-/ε4+ (%)	PH-/ε4- (%)	Total (%)
Amyloid –	36 (54)	70 (80.5)	9 (69)	34 (77)	149 (71)
Amyloid +	31 (46)	17 (19.5)	4 (31)	10 (23)	62 (29)
Total	67 (100)	87 (100)	13 (100)	44 (100)	211 (100)

Abbreviation: PH, parental history.

NOTE. A greater proportion of individuals in the $\varepsilon 4 + /PH -$ and $\varepsilon 4 + /PH +$ groups were amyloid positive (31–46%) compared to the $\varepsilon 4 - /PH -$ and $\varepsilon 4 - /PH +$ groups (19.5–23%; $\chi^2(3) = 14.22$, P = .003).

matter, cerebral blood flow, and episodic memory performance. Insulin resistance in particular is linked with cerebral atrophy, amyloid burden, CSF biomarkers of AD pathology, and lower cerebral glucose uptake [86–91].

3.6.1.1. Physical activity and brain health

Physical activity (PA) and related variables including cardiorespiratory fitness are well-studied protective factors for AD dementia, with a recent evidence review identifying PA as the modifiable factor with the highest impact on reducing the national prevalence of this disease ([92], but see also [93]). Cross-sectional WRAP publications elucidate the relationship between PA, cognition, brain structure, and neuropathological markers of AD among normal adults. In n = 315 WRAP participants, Boots et al. [94] found that engagement in PA was associated with preserved volume in diverse brain regions including the medial and lateral temporal lobe and medial parietal lobe, together with reduced whitematter ischemic lesions and with fewer memory complaints. Dougherty et al. [95] assessed PA via accelerometer in 91 WRAP participants and found that those meeting recommended PA levels had greater temporal lobe regional volumes including the hippocampus compared to those who did not meet recommended activity levels. In addition to effects on brain structure and cognition, PA moderated the effect of age [96] and genetic risk factors [50] on AD pathophysiological biomarkers in WRAP participants. Specifically, Okonkwo et al. [96] report that a history of PA was associated with an attenuation of age-related alterations in A^β burden, cerebral glucose metabolism, and hippocampal volume. Similarly, Schultz et al. [50] found that cardiorespiratory fitness attenuated the adverse influence of cholesterol metabolism polygenetic risk on CSF biomarkers. Of note, the beneficial effect of PA on the brain substrates of cognitive health may depend on the level of exercise intensity [97].

In aggregate, these associational studies in WRAP participants suggest that modifiable factors such as physical and cognitive activity, glucose and metabolic regulation, stress, and sleep may be avenues for interventions that enhance brain health and reduce the likelihood and severity of AD pathology.

3.7. Future directions

WRAP and its linked studies are charting the preclinical time course of AD. Ongoing WRAP investigations assess lifestyle, genetic risk, and resilience factors along with longitudinal cognitive and clinical assessments to establish whether AD biomarker trajectories and cognitive trajectories can be identified in midlife. The associational findings from WRAP which have been partially summarized here now require further study to determine whether improving these health behaviors can result in measurable effect on AD biomarkers and brain and cognition health in late-midlife.

Whereas WRAP's organizing theme in 2001 was risk enrichment due to PH of dementia due to probable AD, focus has broadened in the ensuing years to AD biomarkers. In the present phase of WRAP, CSF and PET biomarkers of AD pathology are sought from all willing participants, funding permitting, as visits at 2-year intervals continue. Biannual (and now annual) information sessions with participants to share back what we are learning serve to educate participants on the overarching importance of biomarker enrollment and brain donation and are also an effective retention component. Although PH as an enrichment factor has been supplanted in part by the capability to directly measure AD pathology in vivo, the experience of having a parent with AD dementia motivates many of our participants to remain in WRAP and take part in linked studies at a high level of volunteerism. A caveat is that the participant characteristics are biased toward and most generalizable to persons who have a parent with dementia due to probable AD by design. The cohort is also biased in other ways. Because WRAP is a self-selected sample of convenience, the majority of the cohort are Caucasian (88%), women (71%), and highly educated (mean 16 years). Increasing the ethnic diversity of WRAP participants and assuring that WRAP's findings are generalizable to African Americans in particular is a current priority.

Data from the core WRAP protocol and from a subset of WRAP's linked studies are accessible to qualified researchers via an online request form and data use agreement which can be linked from the Global Alzheimer's Association Interactive Network web site (www.gaain.org). Recent examples of data-sharing collaborations include a study that found consistency in patterns of cognitive aging progression scores across the Baltimore Longitudinal Study on Aging and WRAP [98], a meta-analysis of *APOE* and sex on dementia incidence [99], and a study involving predictive algorithms for MCI in a consortium of five preclinical AD or adult children cohorts [100].

The WRAP observational longitudinal cohort is AD risk enriched and has been followed with detailed measurements since midlife. This is a time frame that is less well studied than older ages but is nevertheless a critical epoch, as this is when AD pathology likely begins and when its trajectory may be modifiable through pharmacologic and/or lifestyle approaches.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health awards (R01 AG027161, R01 AG021155, R01 AG054047, R01 AG037639, P50 AG033514, UL1 TR000427, K23 AG045957, F30AG054115, T32 GM007507, and T32 GM008692) and grants from the Alzheimer's Association, Extendicare Foundation, and Northwestern Mutual Life Foundation to the University of Wisconsin–Madison, and by donor funds including the Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute Lou Holland Fund and contributions from anonymous donors. Portions of this research were supported by resources at the Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute, the Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, and the Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center of the William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital, Madison, WI. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NIH or the Veterans Administration. The authors gratefully acknowledge the WRAP study team who have carefully acquired the longitudinal data and the WRAP participants who make this research possible.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2017.11.007.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- Systematic review: The Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention is a natural history observational cohort enriched for Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk. This article describes the study protocol and reviews study findings and interim new results.
- 2. Interpretation: The study is determining new ways by which subtle cognitive decline can be identified. The published and new results from this relatively young cohort (current mean age 64) suggest that cognitive trajectories are steeper in people who exhibit elevations in AD biomarkers but not yet by parental history or by apolipoprotein E status. Numerous Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention studies suggest that health and lifestyle features are associated with cognitive decline and with biomarkers of brain health and AD pathophysiology.
- 3. Future directions: Future directions include broadening collection of AD biomarkers to which cognitive outcomes can be linked. Such data will provide new knowledge on the early temporal course of AD pathophysiology and inform the design of secondary prevention clinical trials.

References

- Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC, Weiner MW, Aisen PS, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. Lancet Neurol 2013;12:207–16.
- [2] Sager MA, Hermann B, La Rue A. Middle-aged children of persons with Alzheimer's disease: APOE genotypes and cognitive function in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 2005;18:245–9.

- [3] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1984;34:939–44.
- [4] Ellis RJ, Jan K, Kawas C, Koller WC, Lyons KE, Jeste DV, et al. Diagnostic validity of the dementia questionnaire for Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 1998;55:360–5.
- [5] Kawas C, Segal J, Stewart WF, Corrada M, Thal LJ. A validation study of the Dementia Questionnaire. Arch Neurol 1994;51:901–6.
- [6] Wechsler D. WASI: Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1999.
- [7] Wilkinson GS. The Wide Range Achievement Test: Manual. 3rd ed. Wilmington, DE: Jastak Association; 1993.
- [8] Schmidt M. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test: A Handbook. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services; 1996.
- [9] Kaplan E, Goodglass H, Weintraub S. Boston Naming Test Second Edition. Austin, TX: PRO-ED, Inc; 2001.
- [10] Rouleau I, Salmon DP, Butters N, Kennedy C, McGuire K. Quantitative and qualitative analyses of clock drawings in Alzheimer's and Huntington's disease. Brain Cogn 1992;18:70–87.
- [11] Benton AL, Hamsher KS, Sivan AB. Multilingual Aphasia Examination. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1994.
- [12] Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–III. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1997.
- [13] Reitan RM. Validity of the Trail Making Test as an indicator of organic brain damage. Perceptual Mot Skills 1958;8:271–6.
- [14] Trenerry MR, Crosson B, DeBoe J, Leber WR. The Stroop Neuropsychological Screening Test. Odessa, FL: Pychological Assessment Resources, Inc; 1989.
- [15] Benedict RH. Brief Visuospatial Memory Test–Revised. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc; 1997.
- [16] Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–Revised. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Brace & Co. for The Psychological Corporation; 1981.
- [17] Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale–Revised. New York, NY: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc. for the Psychological Corporation; 1987.
- [18] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12:189–98.
- [19] Rosen WG. Verbal fluency in aging and dementia. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1980;2:135–46.
- [20] Goodglass H, Kaplan E. Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination-3rd Edition. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1983.
- [21] Cogstate. Cogstate Research Manual, Version 6. Melbourne, Australia: Cogstate; 2011.
- [22] Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale. Appl Psychol Meas 1977;1:385–401.
- [23] Morris MC, Tangney CC, Wang Y, Sacks FM, Barnes LL, Bennett DA, et al. MIND diet slows cognitive decline with aging. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11:1015–22.
- [24] Stewart AL, Mills KM, King AC, Haskell WL, Gillis D, Ritter PL. CHAMPS physical activity questionnaire for older adults: outcomes for interventions. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001;33:1126–41.
- [25] Hays RD, Stewart AL. Sleep measures. In: Stewart AL, Ware JE, eds. Measuring function and well-being. Durham: Duke University Press; 1992.
- [26] Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. sleep 1991;14:540–5.
- [27] Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. Sleep Med 2001;2:297–307.
- [28] Schinka JA, Mcbride A, Vanderploeg RD, Tennyson K, Borenstein AR, Mortimer JA. Florida cognitive activities scale: initial development and validation. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2005;11:108–16.
- [29] Galvin JE. The Quick Dementia Rating System (Qdrs): a rapid dementia staging tool. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2015;1:249–59.

- [30] Morris JC. Clinical dementia rating: a reliable and valid diagnostic and staging measure for dementia of the Alzheimer type. Int psychogeriatrics 1997;9:173–6.
- [31] Jorm A, Jacomb P. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): socio-demographic correlates, reliability, validity and some norms. Psychol Med 1989;19: 1015–22.
- [32] Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: selfmaintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Nurse Res 1970;19:278.
- [33] Berman SE, Koscik RL, Clark LR, Mueller KD, Bluder L, Galvin JE, et al. Use of the Quick Dementia Rating System (QDRS) as an initial screening measure in a longitudinal cohort at risk for Alzheimer's disease. JAD Rep 2017;1:9–13.
- [34] Albert MS, Dekosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7:270–9.
- [35] Edmonds EC, Delano-Wood L, Clark LR, Jak AJ, Nation DA, McDonald CR, et al. Susceptibility of the conventional criteria for mild cognitive impairment to false-positive diagnostic errors. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11:415–24.
- [36] Pedraza O, Lucas JA, Smith GE, Petersen RC, Graff-Radford NR, Ivnik RJ. Robust and expanded norms for the Dementia Rating Scale. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2010;25:347–58.
- [37] Sliwinski M, Lipton RB, Buschke H, Stewart W. The effects of preclinical dementia on estimates of normal cognitive functioning in aging. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 1996;51:217–25.
- [38] De Santi S, Pirraglia E, Barr W, Babb J, Williams S, Rogers K, et al. Robust and conventional neuropsychological norms: diagnosis and prediction of age-related cognitive decline. Neuropsychology 2008; 22:469–84.
- [39] Koscik RL, La Rue A, Jonaitis EM, Okonkwo OC, Johnson SC, Bendlin BB, et al. Emergence of mild cognitive impairment in late middle-aged adults in the wisconsin registry for Alzheimer's prevention. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2014;38:16–30.
- [40] Clark LR, Koscik RL, Nicholas CR, Okonkwo OC, Engelman CD, Bratzke LC, et al. Mild cognitive impairment in late middle age in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention Study: prevalence and characteristics using robust and standard neuropsychological normative data. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2016;31:675–88.
- [41] Koscik RL, Berman SE, Clark LR, Mueller KD, Okonkwo OC, Gleason CE, et al. Intraindividual cognitive variability in middle age predicts cognitive impairment 8-10 years later: results from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2016;22:1016–25.
- [42] Jack CRJ, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Dunn B, Elliott C, et al. NIA-AA research framework: towards a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Available at: https://www.alz.org/aaic/nia-aa. asp. Accessed December 22, 2017.
- [43] Bendlin BB, Carlsson CM, Johnson SC, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Willette AA, et al. CSF T-Tau/Abeta42 predicts white matter microstructure in healthy adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 2012;7:e37720.
- [44] Racine AM, Koscik RL, Berman SE, Nicholas CR, Clark LR, Okonkwo OC, et al. Biomarker clusters are differentially associated with longitudinal cognitive decline in late midlife. Brain 2016; 139:2261–74.
- [45] Racine AM, Koscik RL, Nicholas CR, Clark LR, Okonkwo OC, Oh JM, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid ratios with Abeta42 predict preclinical brain beta-amyloid accumulation. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2016;2:27–38.
- [46] Sprecher KE, Koscik RL, Carlsson CM, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Okonkwo OC, et al. Poor sleep is associated with CSF biomarkers of amyloid pathology in cognitively normal adults. Neurology 2017;89:445–53.

- [47] Dean DC 3rd, Hurley SA, Kecskemeti SR, O'Grady JP, Canda C, Davenport-Sis NJ, et al. Association of amyloid pathology with myelin alteration in preclinical Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol 2017;74:41–9.
- [48] Hoy AR, Ly M, Carlsson CM, Okonkwo OC, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Microstructural white matter alterations in preclinical Alzheimer's disease detected using free water elimination diffusion tensor imaging. PLoS One 2017;12:e0173982.
- [49] Almeida RP, Schultz SA, Austin BP, Boots EA, Dowling NM, Gleason CE, et al. Effect of cognitive reserve on age-related changes in cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol 2015;72:699–706.
- [50] Schultz SA, Boots EA, Darst BF, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Edwards DF, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness alters the influence of a polygenic risk score on biomarkers of AD. Neurology 2017; 88:1650–8.
- [51] Johnson SC, Christian BT, Okonkwo OC, Oh JM, Harding S, Xu G, et al. Amyloid burden and neural function in people at risk for Alzheimer's Disease. Neurobiol Aging 2014;35:576–84.
- [52] Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, Naj AC, Sims R, Bellenguez C, et al. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2013; 45:1452–8.
- [53] Guerreiro R, Wojtas A, Bras J, Carrasquillo M, Rogaeva E, Majounie E, et al. TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2013;368:117–27.
- [54] Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Steinberg S, Jonsdottir I, Jonsson PV, Snaedal J, et al. Variant of TREM2 associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2013;368:107–16.
- [55] Cruchaga C, Karch CM, Jin SC, Benitez BA, Cai Y, Guerreiro R, et al. Rare coding variants in the phospholipase D3 gene confer risk for Alzheimer's disease. Nature 2014;505:550–4.
- [56] Darst BF, Koscik RL, Racine AM, Oh JM, Krause RA, Carlsson CM, et al. Pathway-specific polygenic risk scores as predictors of amyloid-beta deposition and cognitive function in a sample at increased risk for Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017; 55:473–84.
- [57] Dowling NM, Hermann B, La Rue A, Sager MA. Latent structure and factorial invariance of a neuropsychological test battery for the study of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychology 2010; 24:742–56.
- [58] Mueller KD, Koscik RL, Turkstra LS, Riedeman SK, LaRue A, Clark LR, et al. Connected language in late middle-aged adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2016;54:1539–50.
- [59] Mueller KD, Koscik RL, LaRue A, Clark LR, Hermann B, Johnson SC, et al. Verbal fluency and early memory decline: results from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2015;30:448–57.
- [60] Bondi MW, Edmonds EC, Jak AJ, Clark LR, Delano-Wood L, McDonald CR, et al. Neuropsychological criteria for mild cognitive impairment improves diagnostic precision, biomarker associations, and progression rates. J Alzheimers Dis 2014;42:275–89.
- [61] Clark LR, Delano-Wood L, Libon DJ, McDonald CR, Nation DA, Bangen KJ, et al. Are empirically-derived subtypes of mild cognitive impairment consistent with conventional subtypes? J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2013;19:635–45.
- [62] La Rue A, Hermann B, Jones JE, Johnson S, Asthana S, Sager MA. Effect of parental family history of Alzheimer's disease on serial position profiles. Alzheimers Dement 2008;4:285–90.
- [63] Engelman CD, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Hermann BP, La Rue A, Sager MA. Investigation of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 variant in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. Neurobiol Aging 2014;35:1252–4.
- [64] Engelman CD, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Okonkwo OC, Hermann BP, La Rue A, et al. Interaction between two cholesterol metabolism genes influences memory: findings from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. J Alzheimers Dis 2013;36:749–57.

- [65] Boots EA, Schultz SA, Clark LR, Racine AM, Darst BF, Koscik RL, et al. BDNF Val66Met predicts cognitive decline in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. Neurology 2017;88:2098–106.
- [66] Laird NM, Ware JH. Random-effects models for longitudinal data. Biometrics 1982;38:963–74.
- [67] Singer JD. Using SAS PROC MIXED to fit multilevel models, hierarchical models and individual growth models. J Educ Behav Stat 1998;24:323–55.
- [68] Jonaitis EM, Koscik RL, La Rue A, Johnson SC, Hermann BP, Sager MA. Aging, practice effects, and genetic risk in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. Clin Neuropsychol 2015; 29:426–41.
- [69] Clark LR, Berman SE, Norton D, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Blennow K, et al. Age-accelerated cognitive decline in asymptomatic adults with CSF β-amyloid. bioRxiv 2017; https://doi.org/10.1101/ 220756. [E-pub ahead of print].
- [70] Jack CR Jr, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Feldman HH, Frisoni GB, et al. A/T/N: an unbiased descriptive classification scheme for Alzheimer disease biomarkers. Neurology 2016; 87:539–47.
- [71] Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Bennett DA, Craft S, Fagan AM, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011;7:280–92.
- [72] Klunk WE, Engler H, Nordberg A, Wang Y, Blomqvist G, Holt DP, et al. Imaging brain amyloid in Alzheimer's disease with Pittsburgh Compound-B. Ann Neurol 2004;55:306–19.
- [73] Blennow K, Dubois B, Fagan AM, Lewczuk P, de Leon MJ, Hampel H. Clinical utility of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in the diagnosis of early Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2015; 11:58–69.
- [74] Johnson SC, Ries ML, Hess TM, Carlsson CM, Gleason CE, Alexander AL, et al. Effect of Alzheimer disease risk on brain function during self-appraisal in healthy middle-aged adults. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2007;64:1163–71.
- [75] Johnson SC, Schmitz TW, Trivedi MA, Ries ML, Torgerson BM, Carlsson CM, et al. The influence of Alzheimer disease family history and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 on mesial temporal lobe activation. J Neurosci 2006;26:6069–76.
- [76] Xu G, McLaren DG, Ries ML, Fitzgerald ME, Bendlin BB, Rowley HA, et al. The influence of parental history of Alzheimer's disease and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 on the BOLD signal during recognition memory. Brain 2009;132:383–91.
- [77] Bendlin BB, Ries ML, Canu E, Sodhi A, Lazar M, Alexander AL, et al. White matter is altered with parental family history of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2010;6:394–403.
- [78] Okonkwo OC, Xu G, Dowling NM, Bendlin BB, Larue A, Hermann BP, et al. Family history of Alzheimer disease predicts hippocampal atrophy in healthy middle-aged adults. Neurology 2012; 78:1769–76.
- [79] Clark LR, Racine AM, Koscik RL, Okonkwo OC, Engelman CD, Carlsson CM, et al. Beta-amyloid and cognitive decline in late middle age: findings from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention study. Alzheimers Dement 2016;12:805–14.
- [80] Boots EA, Schultz SA, Almeida RP, Oh JM, Koscik RL, Dowling MN, et al. Occupational complexity and cognitive reserve in a middle-aged cohort at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2015;30:634–42.
- [81] Schultz SA, Larson J, Oh J, Koscik R, Dowling MN, Gallagher CL, et al. Participation in cognitively-stimulating activities is associated with brain structure and cognitive function in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Brain Imaging Behav 2015;9:729–36.
- [82] Jonaitis EM, La Rue A, Mueller KD, Koscik RL, Hermann B, Sager MA. Cognitive activities and cognitive performance in middle-aged adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Psychol Aging 2013;28:1004–14.

- [83] Zuelsdorff ML, Koscik RL, Okonkwo OC, Peppard PE, Hermann BP, Sager MA, et al. Reliability of a Novel Social Activity Questionnaire. J Aging Health 2016; https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264316674812. [Epub ahead of print].
- [84] Zuelsdorff ML, Engelman CD, Friedman EM, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Rue AL, et al. Stressful events, social support, and cognitive function in middle-aged adults with a family history of Alzheimer's disease. J Aging Health 2013;25:944–59.
- [85] Sprecher KE, Bendlin BB, Racine AM, Okonkwo OC, Christian BT, Koscik RL, et al. Amyloid burden is associated with self-reported sleep in nondemented late middle-aged adults. Neurobiol Aging 2015;36:2568–76.
- [86] Ward MA, Bendlin BB, McLaren DG, Hess TM, Gallagher CL, Kastman EK, et al. Low HDL cholesterol is associated with lower gray matter volume in cognitively healthy adults. Front Aging Neurosci 2010;2: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2010.00029.
- [87] Willette AA, Xu G, Johnson SC, Birdsill AC, Jonaitis EM, Sager MA, et al. Insulin resistance, brain atrophy, and cognitive performance in late middle-aged adults. Diabetes care 2013;36:443–9.
- [88] Birdsill AC, Carlsson CM, Willette AA, Okonkwo OC, Johnson SC, Xu G, et al. Low cerebral blood flow is associated with lower memory function in metabolic syndrome. Obesity 2013;21:1313–20.
- [89] Starks EJ, Patrick O'Grady J, Hoscheidt SM, Racine AM, Carlsson CM, Zetterberg H, et al. Insulin resistance is associated with higher cerebrospinal fluid tau levels in asymptomatic APOEvarepsilon4 carriers. J Alzheimers Dis 2015;46:525–33.
- [90] Willette AA, Bendlin BB, Starks EJ, Birdsill AC, Johnson SC, Christian BT, et al. Association of insulin resistance with cerebral glucose uptake in late middle-aged adults at risk for Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol 2015;72:1013–20.
- [91] Willette AA, Johnson SC, Birdsill AC, Sager MA, Christian B, Baker LD, et al. Insulin resistance predicts brain amyloid deposition in late middle-aged adults. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11: 504–5101.
- [92] Barnes DE, Yaffe K. The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. Lancet Neurol 2011;10:819–28.
- [93] Sabia S, Dugravot A, Dartigues JF, Abell J, Elbaz A, Kivimaki M, et al. Physical activity, cognitive decline, and risk of dementia: 28 year follow-up of Whitehall II cohort study. BMJ 2017;357:j2709.
- [94] Boots EA, Schultz SA, Oh JM, Larson J, Edwards D, Cook D, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with brain structure, cognition, and mood in a middle-aged cohort at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Brain Imaging Behav 2015;9:639–49.
- [95] Dougherty RJ, Ellingson LD, Schultz SA, Boots EA, Meyer JD, Lindheimer JB, et al. Meeting physical activity recommendations may be protective against temporal lobe atrophy in older adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2016; 4:14–7.
- [96] Okonkwo OC, Schultz SA, Oh JM, Larson J, Edwards D, Cook D, et al. Physical activity attenuates age-related biomarker alterations in preclinical AD. Neurology 2014;83:1753–60.
- [97] Dougherty RJ, Schultz SA, Kirby TK, Boots EA, Oh JM, Edwards D, et al. Moderate physical activity is associated with cerebral glucose metabolism in adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017;58:1089–97.
- [98] Bilgel M, Koscik RL, An Y, Prince JL, Resnick SM, Johnson SC, et al. Temporal order of Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive marker changes in BLSA and WRAP longitudinal studies. J Alzheimers Dis 2017;59:1335–47.
- [99] Neu SC, Pa J, Kukull W, Beekly D, Kuzma A, Gangadharan P, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype and sex risk factors for Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis. JAMA Neurol 2017;74:1178–89.
- [100] Gross AL, Hassenstab JJ, Johnson SC, Clark LR, Resnick SM, Kitner-Triolo M, et al. A classification algorithm for predicting progression from normal cognition to mild cognitive impairment across five cohorts: the preclinical AD consortium. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2017;8:147–55.