Sinus Disease Grading on Computed Tomography Before and After Modulating Therapy in Adult Patients with Cystic Fib<u>rosis</u>



### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

CORRADO TAGLIATI STEFANO PANTANO GIUSEPPE LANNI DAVIDE BATTISTA MATTEO MARCUCCI MARCO FOGANTE GIULIO ARGALIA ENRICO PACI GABRIELLA LUCIDI PRESSANTI MINGLIANG YING PIETRO RIPANI

# ]U[ubiquity press

\*Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) modulator therapy effects on respiratory function, pulmonary exacerbations and quality of life have been well documented. However, CFTR modulator therapy effects on sinus disease have not been so well reported. A previous study reported that ivacaftor improves appearance of sinus disease on Computed Tomography (CT) in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients with G551D mutation. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of CFTR modulator therapy in sinus disease using CT scores in a wider CF patient population.

**Materials and Methods:** Forty-eight adult patients with CF underwent at least one CT sinus examination before CFTR modulator therapy (ivacaftor, lumacaftor, ivacaftor/ lumacaftor or elexcaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor) and one CT sinus examination one year after CFTR modulator therapy initiation. Two radiologists assessed the images in consensus. The Lund-Mackay score (LM score) and the Sheikh-Lind CT sinus disease severity scoring system (SL score) were used. The 22-item SinoNasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22) questionnaire was evaluated before CFTR modulator therapy and one year after CFTR modulator therapy initiation.

**Results:** CT sinus examination after CFTR modulator therapy showed statistically significant lower mean LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores than CT sinus examination before CFTR modulator therapy (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** Evolution of imaging findings on CT during follow-up closely correlate with improved SNOT-22 score one year after CFTR modulator therapy initiation, indicating that CT may be a useful adjunct during follow-up of CF patients under this treatment as an objective measure of sinonasal disease improvement.

#### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

**Corrado Tagliati** ASL Teramo, IT corrado.tagliati@gmail.com

#### **KEYWORDS:**

sinus disease; computed tomography; modulator therapy; CFTR

#### TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Tagliati C, Pantano S, Lanni G, Battista D, Marcucci M, Fogante M, Argalia G, Paci E, Lucidi Pressanti G, Ying M, Ripani P. Sinus Disease Grading on Computed Tomography Before and After Modulating Therapy in Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. *Journal of the Belgian Society of Radiology*. 2022; 106(1): 57, 1–6. DOI: https://doi. org/10.5334/jbsr.2812

# **INTRODUCTION**

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a common autosomal recessive disorder, caused by cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene mutations, with highest prevalence in Europe, North America and Australia, affecting about 1 in 2000–3000 Caucasian population newborns [1, 2]. CF morbidity and mortality is mainly attributed to lung disease progression and respiratory exacerbations [3]. CFTR protein is not just a chloride channel and its disfunction is at the base of a multisystem disease [4, 5]. Among the CF extrapulmonary diseases, chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) cause significant persistent rhinorrhea, postnasal drip, and thick nasal discharge. Moreover, CRS contribute to sleep disorders which are related to fatigue, reduced concentration and sadness [6, 7].

CFTR modulator therapy effects on respiratory function, pulmonary exacerbations, and quality of life have been well documented [8]. However, CFTR modulator therapy effects on sinus disease have not been so well reported. A previous study showed significant improvement with respect to rhinological symptoms and psychological symptoms, using the 20-item Sino-Nasal Outcome Test (SNOT-20) questionnaire [9].

Another previous study reported that ivacaftor improves appearance of sinus disease on Computed Tomography (CT) in CF patients with G551D mutation [10].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of CFTR modulator therapy in improving sinus disease using CT scores and a 'quality of life' score in a wider CF patient population.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### STUDY POPULATION

From March 2017 to February 2022, 148 patients with cystic fibrosis underwent at least one CT sinus examination and were retrospectively collected. Inclusion criteria consisted in a CT sinus examination performed at our hospital before CFTR modulator therapy and a CT sinus examination performed in our institution one year after CFTR modulator therapy (ivacaftor, lumacaftor, ivacaftor/lumacaftor or elexcaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor). Pediatric patients (<18-years-old) were excluded. According to these criteria, the study cohort consisted in 48 patients (21 females; 17 males; F:M 1.2:1; mean age  $30,2 \pm 10.2$  years; range 18–67). The study was approved by the institutional review board and ethics committee of our institution. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY EXAMINATION

All CT examinations were performed using a 64 slices CT scanner (Lightspeed VCT 64, GE, Wisconsin, United States) with patients in supine position and head towards the gantry. The scan parameters were as follows: scan range from the hard palate to above the end of the frontal sinuses,  $64 \times 0.625$  mm beam collimation, 100 kVp, 40 mA tube current, 1.0 mm slice thickness reconstructions, coronal and sagittal images multiplanar reconstructions, using both bone and soft tissue reconstruction kernels.

### COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY IMAGES EVALUATION

Two radiologists with at least 10 years' experience in head CT assessed the images in consensus. CT sinus scoring systems used were the not CF specific modified Lund-Mackay score (LM score) and the more recent CF specific Sheikh-Lind CT sinus disease severity scoring system (SL score) (Tables 1, 2) [11, 12]. Both scores need to be evaluated for each side (left and right), and the total score is the sum of the two sides scores. As regard both scores, a higher total score value corresponded to greater severity of the disease. As regard the Lund-Mackay score, only pneumatized sinuses were scored. The raw Lund score was computed for each patient based on the total number of pneumatized sinuses. In addition, to enable the comparison of results, a scaled Lund score was determined by multiplying the raw score by the correction factor 24/(maximum potential Lund score based on number of sinuses pneumatized), thus obtaining a score scaled from 0 to 24 [13].

PARANASAL SINUSES	0	1	2
Maxillary	Without abnormalities	Partial opacification	Total opacification
Anterior Ethmoid	Without abnormalities	Partial opacification	Total opacification
Posterior Ethmoid	Without abnormalities	Partial opacification	Total opacification
Sphenoid	Without abnormalities	Partial opacification	Total opacification
Frontal	Without abnormalities	Partial opacification	Total opacification
Ostiomeatal Complex	No obstruction		Obstructed

Table 1 Lund-Mackay CT score.

FEATURE	0	1	2	3
Maxillary opacification	None	Mild (<33%)	Moderate (33–66%)	Severe (>66%)
Nasal cavity obstruction	None	Mild (<33%)	Moderate (33–66%)	Severe (>66%)
Displacement of the lateral nasal wall in the middle meatus	None	Yes		
Uncinate process absence/ demineralization	None	Yes		
Expansion of any sinuses (mucocele)	None	Yes		

Table 2 Sheikh-Lind CT Sinus Disease Severity Scoring System.



Figure 1 Axial sinus computed tomography images from a cystic fibrosis patient with chronic rhinosinusitis before (a) and after (b) one year of modulating therapy.



Figure 2 Coronal sinus computed tomography images from a cystic fibrosis patient with chronic rhinosinusitis before (a) and after (b) one year of modulating therapy.

### SINONASAL QUALITY OF LIFE

The 22-item SinoNasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22) questionnaire evaluated sinonasal quality of life impairment (range: 0–110) [14].

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Total scores (LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores) differences before and one year after CFTR modulator therapy were evaluated using Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The statistical



Figure 3 Axial sinus computed tomography images from a cystic fibrosis patient with chronic rhinosinusitis before (a) and after (b) one year of modulating therapy.

significance level was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc Software v. 15.8 (Ostend, BEL).

### RESULTS

Mean LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores before CFTR modulator therapy were respectively 11.2  $\pm$  3.3, 8.7  $\pm$  2.4 and 28.6  $\pm$  11.9. Mean LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores one year after CFTR modulator therapy were respectively 8.0  $\pm$  2.7, 6.5  $\pm$  1.8 and 16.2  $\pm$  6.5.

CT sinus examination after CFTR modulator therapy showed statistically significant lower mean LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores than CT sinus examination before CFTR modulator therapy (p < 0.001) (Figures 1, 2 and 3).

## DISCUSSION

Chronic rhinosinusitis is a persistent disease that determines a significant quality of life worsening in CF patients. Therefore, it would be useful to objectively evaluate CFTR modulator therapy effects on sinus disease.

The changes in sinonasal disease severity as visualized on CT and objectified by means of the CT grading scores showed a significant correlation with the improved SNOT-22 score one year after CFTR modulator therapy of adult CF patients in this study.

Improvement in chloride channel function can improve rhinosinusal secretions, change mucus viscosity, reduce inflammation and chronic sinus disease.

A previous study reported that patients with CF and G551D mutation one year after starting ivacaftor therapy showed a significant improvement in sinus disease evaluated by CT [10]. However, in this study the patient population was smaller. Moreover, LM, SL and SNOT-22 scores were not used. Another previous study evaluated eight patients with cystic fibrosis with an S1251N mutation, treated with the potentiator ivacaftor [14]. In this study, CT showed a statistically significant reduction of paranasal sinuses opacification after one year of treatment with ivacaftor. However, in this study the patient population was smaller. Moreover, only LM CT score was used. A recent study about 25 patients with CF showed triple therapy (elexacaftor, tezacaftor and ivacaftor) efficacy on sinus disease. However, in this study with a smaller patient population, the SL score was not assessed. Moreover, a shorter follow-up (six months) after treatment initiation was evaluated [15].

As regards clinical implication of our findings, this study suggests a possible CFTR modulator therapy efficacy in order to reduce rhinosinusal involvement in CF patients. Moreover, as reported by previous studies, CFTR modulator therapy could reduce sinonasal symptoms too, improving quality of life [15, 16, 17].

The present study has some limitations. First of all, it is a retrospective analysis, with a limited number of patients. Furthermore, patients' CFTR mutations heterogeneity and the use of different CFTR modulator therapies cannot underline the efficacy of a specific treatment in a specific CFTR mutation. Moreover, the improvement of sinonasal disease one year after CFTR modulator therapy does not prove that the treatment works, as potentially confounding factors that could have contributed to a decrease in sinonasal inflammation in this patient cohort were not actively investigated.

However, to our knowledge, this is the study with the largest CF patient population with sinus disease assessed using two CT scores and SNOT-22 score before and one year after CFTR modulator therapy. Probably, future larger prospective studies that can objectively confirm this suggestion are warranted.

# CONCLUSION

Evolution of imaging findings on CT during follow-up closely correlate with improved clinical scores (like SNOT-22) during treatment, indicating that CT may be a useful adjunct during follow-up of CF patients under this treatment as an objective measure of sinonasal disease improvement.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

# **AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS**

**Corrado Tagliati** orcid.org/0000-0002-4630-1090 ASL Teramo, IT

**Stefano Pantano** ASL Teramo. IT

Giuseppe Lanni

ASL Teramo, IT

**Davide Battista** ASL Teramo, IT

Matteo Marcucci corcid.org/0000-0003-1767-3108 Area Vasta 3, IT

Marco Fogante D orcid.org/0000-0003-2993-5718 Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti, IT

#### **Giulio Argalia** Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti, IT

**Enrico Paci** I.N.R.C.A. - IRCCS - POR Ancona - Osimo – Fermo, IT

**Gabriella Lucidi Pressanti** ASL Teramo, IT

#### Mingliang Ying

Department of Radiology, Municipal Central Hospital, Jinhua, Zhejiang, CN

**Pietro Ripani** ASL Teramo, IT

## REFERENCES

- Hanssens LS, Duchateau J, Casimir GJ. CFTR Protein: Not just a chloride channel? *Cells*. 2021; 10(11): 2844. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells10112844
- Elborn JS. Cystic fibrosis. Lancet. 2016; 388(10059): 2519–2531. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00576-6
- Loukou I, Moustaki M, Deligianni A, Sardeli O, Douros K. Forced oscillation technique for monitoring the respiratory status of children with cystic fibrosis: A systematic review. *Children (Basel)*. 2021; 8(10): 857. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/children8100 857

- Hanssens LS, Duchateau J, Casimir GJ. CFTR protein: Not just a chloride channel? *Cells*. 2021; 10(11): 2844. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10112844
- Sergeev V, Chou FY, Lam GY, Hamilton CM, Wilcox PG, Quon BS. The extrapulmonary effects of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator modulators in cystic fibrosis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2020; 17(2): 147–154. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1513/ AnnalsATS.201909-671CME
- McCormick J, Cho DY, Lampkin B, et al. Ivacaftor improves rhinologic, psychologic, and sleep-related quality of life in G551D cystic fibrosis patients. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2019; 9(3): 292–297. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ alr.22251
- Liou TG. The clinical biology of cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator protein: Its role and function in extrapulmonary disease. *Chest*. 2019; 155(3): 605–616. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2018.10.006
- Habib AR, Kajbafzadeh M, Desai S, Yang CL, Skolnik K, Quon BS. A systematic review of the clinical efficacy and safety of CFTR modulators in cystic fibrosis. *Sci Rep.* 2019; 9(1): 7234. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43652-2
- McCormick J, Cho DY, Lampkin B, et al. Ivacaftor improves rhinologic, psychologic, and sleep-related quality of life in G551D cystic fibrosis patients. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2019; 9(3): 292–297. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ alr.22251
- Sheikh SI, Long FR, McCoy KS, Johnson T, Ryan-Wenger NA, Hayes D Jr. Ivacaftor improves appearance of sinus disease on computerised tomography in cystic fibrosis patients with G551D mutation. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2015; 40(1): 16–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/coa.12310
- Lund VJ, Mackay IS. Staging in rhinosinusitus. *Rhinology*. 1993; 31(4): 183–4.
- 12. Sheikh SI, Handly B, Ryan-Wenger NA, et al. Novel computed tomography scoring system for sinus disease in adults with cystic fibrosis. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2016; 125(10): 838–43. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1177/0003489416656645
- 13. Hill M, Bhattacharyya N, Hall TR, Lufkin R, Shapiro NL. Incidental paranasal sinus imaging abnormalities and the normal Lund score in children. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2004; 130(2): 171–5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. otohns.2003.11.006
- Hopkins C, Gillett S, Slack R, Lund VJ, Browne JP. Psychometric validity of the 22-item Sinonasal Outcome Test. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2009; 34(5): 447–54. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4486.2009.01995.x
- Gostelie R, Stegeman I, Berkers G, et al. The impact of ivacaftor on sinonasal pathology in S1251N-mediated cystic fibrosis patients. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15(7): e0235638. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235638
- 16. **Beswick DM, Humphries SM, Balkissoon CD,** et al. Impact of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator

therapy on chronic rhinosinusitis and health status: Deep learning CT analysis and patient-reported outcomes. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2022; 19(1): 12–19. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.202101-0570C  Krajewska J, Zub K, Słowikowski A, Zatoński T. Chronic rhinosinusitis in cystic fibrosis: A review of therapeutic options. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2022; 279(1): 1–24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-021-06875-6

#### TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Tagliati C, Pantano S, Lanni G, Battista D, Marcucci M, Fogante M, Argalia G, Paci E, Lucidi Pressanti G, Ying M, Ripani P. Sinus Disease Grading on Computed Tomography Before and After Modulating Therapy in Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. *Journal of the Belgian Society of Radiology*. 2022; 106(1): 57, 1–6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5334/jbsr.2812

Submitted: 20 March 2022 Accepted: 27 May 2022 Published: 14 June 2022

#### **COPYRIGHT:**

© 2022 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Journal of the Belgian Society of Radiology is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.