Indian J Med Res 138, November 2013, pp 663-681

Genomic architecture of HIV-1 infection: Current status & challenges

Gurvinder Kaur¹, Gaurav Sharma¹, Neeraj Kumar¹, Mrinali H. Kaul¹, Rhea A. Bansal^{1*}, Madhu Vajpayee², Naveet Wig³, Surender K. Sharma³ & Narinder K. Mehra¹

Departments of ¹Transplant Immunology & Immunogenetics, ²Microbiology & ³Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Received August 2, 2013

Studies on host genomics have revealed the existence of identifiable HIV-1 specific protective factors among infected individuals who remain naturally resistant viraemia controllers with little or no evidence of virus replication. These factors are broadly grouped into those that are immune associated (MHC, chemokines, cytokines, CTLs and others), linked to viral entry (chemokine co-receptors and ligands), act as post-entry restriction elements (TRIM5a, APOBEC3) and those associated with viral replication (cytokines and others). These features have been identified through multiple experimental approaches ranging from candidate gene approaches, genome wide association studies (GWAS), expression analysis in conjunction with functional assays in humans to primate based models. Several studies have highlighted the individual and population level gross differences both in the viral clade sequences as well as host determined genetic associations. This review collates current information on studies involving major histocompatibility complex (MHC) as well as non MHC genes in the context of HIV-1 infection and AIDS involving varied ethnic groups. Special focus of the review is on the genetic studies carried out on the Indian population. Further challenges with regard to therapeutic interventions based on current knowledge have been discussed along with discussion on documented cases of stem cell therapy and very early highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) interventions.

Key words AIDS - chemokine - elite controllers - genes - HIV-1 - HLA - restriction - viraemia

Introduction

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) infection induces a wide range of immune responses in humans and depending on the level of immune resistance elicited, the host may or may not develop acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Only

a few can resist virus spontaneously and contain its replication to undetectable levels without any therapy and these are classified as 'elite controllers'. However, most individuals tend to progress at either slow or fast rates (classified as 'slow' or 'fast progressors', respectively), if not treated with antiretroviral therapy (ART) to AIDS.

^{*}Visiting student to the Department of Transplant Immunology & Immunogenetics from University of London, UK.

The arena of host genetics has progressed immensely owing to the recent advancements in analytical approaches, development of high throughput next generation sequencing platforms, genome and proteome wide microarrays, expression profiling screens, highly sensitive and specific immunological assays and other tools for gene function readouts. Several international consortia studies have utilized multiline approaches based on candidate genes in case-controls studies involving large cohorts. Further, genome wide association studies (GWAS), siRNA/miRNA (small interfering/micro RNA) screenings, and their metaanalyses have been performed in different populations to understand the gross variability observed in genetic propensity towards HIV-1^{1,2}. However, the genetic prototype of natural viraemia controllers that empowers antiviral resistance remains largely enigmatic. A more comprehensive analysis involving different ethnic groups could provide a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying host pathogen interaction.

Genomic architecture of HIV-1 infection relates to a complex network of genes and their cumulative

influence on predilection (or resistance on the contrary) to HIV infection and its progression to AIDS. Multiple host genetic factors regulate individual variations in acquisition of HIV-1 infection and disease progression². Investigations into the host determinant factors in individuals who can resist viral infection or delay the rate of disease progression could help identify putative 'antiviral resistance factors' which would eventually lead to more efficient anti-HIV therapeutic approaches.

Despite several achievements in research, therapy and management against HIV/AIDS during the last more than three decades (Fig. 1), attempts to generate an effective preventive or therapeutic vaccine have not been successful so far.

This review collates the essence of several studies on the role of host determined factors in the progression and transmission of HIV-1 infection, with a view to ascertain the genetic architecture of the disease based on studies on specific functional genes. These include *(i)* virus entry gatekeepers (CCR2, CCR5), *(ii)* their



Fig. 1. 'HIV clock' showing major landmarks in research and therapy relating HIV/AIDS pandemic since its inception apparently in early 1980s'. ART, antiretroviral therapy; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; HPV, human papilloma virus.

ligands (SDF-1, RANTES, MIP1a), *(iii)* post-entry restriction factors (TRIM5a, APOBEC3), and *(iv)* immune response genes of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), cytokines and others that synchronize an effective immune response. A later section describes evolutional medicine lessons from primate studies and concludes with an overview of the genomic portrait of HIV-1 infected individuals from different geographical regions of India.

Variability of host responses

All individuals are not equally susceptible to HIV infection and they exhibit gross variability in terms of their viral load set-points and course of chronic progression to AIDS²⁻⁴. Depending upon the variability in their CD4 T cell counts following seroconversion, levels of viraemia and symptoms manifested, HIV-1 infected individuals can be categorized into different progression phenotypes^{3,4} as summarized in Table I.

Most individuals when infected with the virus, if untreated, tend to progress towards fatal AIDS and are referred to as 'progressors' or 'viraemia non-controllers'. However, the rates of progression could either be rapid (development of AIDS within 2-3 years) or slow (takes more than 3 years to develop AIDS) and this is reflective of host determined factors. Most of the latter group of individuals turn aviraemic on treatment with antiretroviral therapy (ART) and can suppress their viraemia levels to <75 copies/ml^{3,4}.

A privileged small subset of naturally resistant individuals is known to exist who possess effective immune surveillance against HIV-1. They can remain aviraemic for periods beyond 10 years without the aid of antiretroviral therapy and are referred to as long term non progressors (LTNPs). A minor group of LTNPs (~1%) can retain viral loads to below detectable levels (<50 copies/ml) and these are referred to as 'elite controllers'. Similarly, individuals who are

| | Group | Symptoms | ART | CD4 counts/ml | Plasma VL RNA copies/ml | Viraemia episodes RNA copies/ml |
|-------------------------|---|---|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ntial NP | Viraemia Controllers | Asymptomatic for >10 years after sero-conversion | None | >400 | < 2000 | Rare, non consecutive, > 2000 |
| Poter LTN | Elite Controllers | Asymptomatic for >10 years after sero-conversion | None | > 400 | Undetectable (<50) for > 1 yr | Rare, non consecutive, up to 1000 |
| lon- rs | Chronic progressors | Symptomatic chronic phase leading to AIDS | Yes | <350 | > 2000 in > 50% samples | Common > 2000 |
| Viraemia N controlle | Rapid progressors | Symptomatic ; development of AIDS within 3 yr (<i>e.g.</i> , Women married < 5 yr; Men with sero-conversion <5 yr) | Within < 3 yr | < 350 at least twice | >2000 | Frequent > 2000 |
| EPS | Exposed Uninfected (EUs) Discordant couples | Asymptomatic for >1 yr (Donor partner is symptomatic with CD4 < 350 copies/ml) | None | > 400 | < 2000 | Less common |
| Η | Exposed Uninfected (EUs) CSWs/IDUs | Asymptomatic for >1 yr despite being in profession for > 3 yr | None | > 400 | < 2000 | Less common |

HEPS, highly exposed persistently seronegative; ART, antiretroviral therapy; LTNPs, long term non progressors; CSWs, commercial sex workers; IDUs, intravenous drug users; VL, viral load *Source*: Refs 3, 4

'intermediate viraemia controllers' can maintain viral loads up to <2000 copies/ml despite no ART^{3,4}.

Another group of naturally resistant individuals are those who encounter virus repeatedly but do not develop AIDS despite lack of any therapeutic intervention for several years and remain naturally resistant. Such 'highly exposed persistently seronegative (HEPS)' or 'exposed uninfected' (EUs) individuals include discordant couples, commercial sex workers and intravenous drug users and these have been widely studied in different populations^{1.4}.

An in depth understanding of the immunoprotective mechanisms among resistant individuals who are genetically programmed to be 'viraemia controllers' offers a prudent approach to identify and grade genes that could serve as 'guardians' against the virus. To that extent, an International Viremia Controllers Consortium has recently been established with the primary aim of determining the immunogenetic mechanisms that underlie natural resistance to HIV-1 infection ⁵. Indeed a better understanding of the various host genes that confer protection against HIV infection is of immense translational value in disease management, control of viraemia and finally towards a more robust vaccine design. Role of important gene families representing viral entry mediators or restriction factors, or those involved in innate/acquired antiviral immune responses are dealt with in details in the following sections.

Immune response genes of the MHC

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) represents a highly polymorphic, gene dense component of the human genome, located on chromosome 6 (6p21.3) whose major function is antigen presentation. By presenting specific viral epitopes, the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I genes instruct cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) mediated immune pressure against the virus and thus has an important impact on the consequences of viral adaptive evolution. Conversely, the virus tends to evolve in an attempt to escape HLA peptide binding signatures of the CTLs, although it may or may not be conducive to viral fitness. Studies focused on comparative evolution have suggested that the non human primates like chimpanzees experienced a selective sweep of certain MHC class I repertoire to survive through the historic SIV/HIV-1 (Simian/human immunodeficiency virus) like retroviral epidemic in the past^{6,7}.

A number of HLA class I and class II alleles and haplotype associations have been reported in relation to

genetic susceptibility to HIV-1 infection, transmission and disease progression in different population groups⁸⁻¹⁰. Among those, at least three HLA-B alleles viz. HLA-B*27, B*57 and B*35 have consistently been shown to exert a dominant effect on HIV-1 viral escape and disease outcome in various human populations¹¹. For example, HLA-B27 that shows a strong association with ankylosing spondylitis and other spondyloarthropathies, has been illustrated to be protective against HIV infection (Fig. 2). Similarly, HLA-B*57 which is responsible for abacavir associated hypersensitivity (B*57:01), also confers protection and is associated with delayed disease progression alongwith gradual decline in viraemia.

The role of HLA-B*35 has been extensively studied in several populations and it may either be protective or predisposing depending upon the sequence variations of its peptide binding motifs¹³. Moreover, it has been shown that the HLA associated outcome also depends on the viral epitopes being targeted¹⁴. For example, gag NY10 epitope at position 253-262, has been shown to be targeted by CTLs more efficiently in clade C than in clade B infected individuals carrying HLA-B*35:01. The difference in immunogenicity of these clade specific epitopes has been attributed to a single residue substitution of Asp 260 Glu. The latter leads to steric hindrance and affects relative binding affinity between HLA-B*35:01 and the peptide resulting in loss of CTL response¹⁴.

Vaccine genomic approaches have screened host genetic determinants of T cell responses in the MRKAd5 HIV-1 gag/pol/nef Step trial and found that the polymorphism in MHC (particularly HLA-B) showed the strongest association among all the genetic factors evaluated with response to the HIV-1 gag protein¹⁵.

Role of HLA-C

The functional importance of HLA-C in HIV infection has recently been revisited¹⁶. Earlier studies failed to establish a consistent association of HLA-C alleles with HIV-1 infection, largely due to variation in linkage disequilibrium of HLA-C with HLA-B in different populations. For example, HLA-B*08:01 is linked strongly with HLA-C*07:01 in the Caucasian population but with C*07:02 in the Indian population¹⁷.

Unlike HLA-A and HLA-B molecules, expression of HLA-C is not inhibited by viral Nef¹⁸ and is, therefore, particularly important in priming of CTLs in



Trinity of HLA-B alleles in HIV-1 infection

Fig. 2. Association of three HLA-B alleles *viz*. HLA-B27, B57 and B35 with susceptibility to HIV-1/AIDS. The alleles B27 and B57 are protective while B35Px alleles are disease predisposing. It is possible that the protective nature of B27 and B57 may decline in time since the virus is continually trying to evolve escape mutants and change its immune landscape depending upon the HLA signatures of the host. The HLA system which is the human MHC, contains polymorphic class I (HLA-A, B, C), class II (HLA-DR, DQ and DP) and a set of central genes that include complement, tumour necrosis factor (TNF), MHC class 1 polypeptide-related sequence A (MICA) and other genes (For details of the MHC gene products in man please see Ref. 12).

HIV-1 infection. HLA-C is also important because it has a longer half-life than other class I counterparts. It functions as a ligand for the inhibitory killer cell immunoglobulin like receptor (KIRs) and its continued expression protects antigen presenting cells (APCs) from natural killer (NK) cell lysis. High expression of HLA-C promotes effective CTL recognition and maturation.

The level of expression of HLA-C is regulated by multiple polymorphisms in HLA-C sequence. It has been demonstrated that an insertion of a single nucleotide G at 263SNP (ins263 or rs67384697-G) in the 3'UTR region of HLA-C results in creation of a binding site for miRNA (miR-148a) that leads to a rapid degradation of HLA-C. Loss of expression of HLA-C along with HLA-A and B therefore may result in a decrease in CTL recognition and lysis of HIV uninfected cells¹⁹⁻²¹.

Another important SNP is at -35 kb (rs9264942) with C/T alleles. The -35kb C allele is a high expressor allele as compared to T. The HLA-C alleles C*08:01/02/04, *12:02/03, *01:02, *02:02, *06:02, *05:01 and *14:02 carry the C allele at this SNP and are high expressor alleles conferring lower odds

ratio (OR). On the contrary, other HLA-C alleles that include *03:03/04, *04:01, *07:01/02, *15:02/05/06, *16:01/02/04 and *17:01 are low expressor C alleles that contribute relatively higher OR towards the development of full blown AIDS 20,22. It may be mentioned that the -35kb C allele is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the 3'UTR deletion while -35 kb T allele shows linkage with the insertion of G in the latter in several populations. The -35T/ins haplotype creates miRNA binding site and downregulation of HLA-C expression. On the other hand, HLA-C alleles, particularly those carrying aromatic amino acids (Phe or Tyr) at position 67 buried deep in α 1 helix (also in a few HLA-B alleles) can associate with gp120 of the virus as evident through L31 mab binding ²³. Recently, it has been shown that specific HLA-C alleles like C*03/04/07/12 are able to bind the virus efficiently leading to enhanced HIV-1 infectivity²².

In recent years, the relative importance of HLA-C in HIV-1/AIDS has been further demonstrated through a set of independent GWAS²⁴. These studies have shown that the SNP (rs 9264942) that lies in close proximity to HLA-C regulates its expression and controls viraemia efficiently²⁴. Fig. 3 provides an overview of the human

MHC along with the location of important single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with HIV/AIDS as defined through GWAS studies.

It has been suggested that specific HLA alleles that provide antiviral protection when present together in an individual could cooperate and confer even greater protective effects by targeting a greater breadth of viral proteome that results in lower viraemia and higher CD4 T counts²⁵.

Studies on MHC in viraemia controllers

Collaborative efforts of the International HIV controllers studies^{5,26} have presented evidence to suggest that the observed variable immune responses against the virus are a consequence of the binding ability of crucial HLA amino acid residues directly involved in peptide presentation. Studies conducted in Caucasian populations have revealed the importance of amino acid substitutions in the HLA-B peptide binding groove, particularly residues at positions 67, 70 that line the 'pocket B' and position 97 in 'pocket C' of the peptide binding groove. Such substitutions have been shown to be associated with an overriding effect on virus loads among progressors and controllers.

The selective advantage contributed by the protective HLA alleles ultimately depends upon their overall population frequencies. For example, the most frequent HLA alleles may act as 'common restriction elements' for the virus. It is conceivable that circulating viral strains gradually tend to break the protective barrier conferred by common HLA alleles by undergoing specific escape mutations. However, since the virus does not encounter rare HLA alleles often, it may lose its fitness against the latter more easily. Hence, the rare HLA alleles may turn out to be more advantageous as compared to those that are more common HLA in a population⁸. This points towards the need of escape and fitness guided vaccination approaches.

The International HIV Controllers Study Group has also performed genome wide association analyses among 974 controllers and 2648 progressors among European populations²⁶. The results of the study indicated that the only SNPs that reached statistically significant levels of association in the two cohorts were those in the extended MHC and the CCR5-CCR2 locus. Together, these two loci contributed 23 per cent of the observed variance of host control.



Fig. 3. Gene map of the human MHC on chromosome 6p21.3 showing various single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified in the HLA class I region that are associated with HIV-1/AIDS through genome wide association studies (GWAS) studies. Multiple gene loci are implicated in HIV-1 susceptibility and viraemia control.

Another important role of MHC in HIV-1/AIDS is in the context of drug induced hypersensitivity reactions²⁷. Pharmacogenomic studies have shown that atleast two drugs commonly used as a part of the HAART therapy are associated with drug induced hypersensitivity (DIH). These include the use of abacavir that induces DIH in patients carrying the HLA-B*57:01 allele²⁸. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has made HLA testing mandatory before its use and those carrying this allele are prohibited from using it. Similarly, nevirapine induced drug reactions have been shown to have a strong association with HLA-DRB1*01:01 (and B*35:05, Cw*04)²⁹. While these are well established genetic associations that must be prescreened before administering these antiretroviral drugs to HIV infected patients, there could be others in untested populations.

Genes that code entry gatekeepers

Molecular events involved in the process of viral entry into host cells are highly intricate. Briefly, HIV-1 engages its envelope proteins (gp120, gp41) sequentially and exploits host cell surface co-receptors CCR5 or CXCR4 along with CD4 to gain entry into the cell. Cell surface density of vacant chemokine co-receptors CCR5/ CXCR4 may act as gatekeepers to the virus. On the contrary, their saturation with the corresponding ligands (MIP1a/b, Regulated upon activation normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) for CCR5 and SDF-1 for CXCR4, respectively) could obstruct viral entry and retard its subsequent transmission^{1-4,8,10}.

(i) Chemokine co-receptors

CCR5: Genetic variability in the CCR5 co-receptor has been of considerable interest and it is so far the only genetic locus illustrated with translational value against HIV-1. A natural knockout deletion of 32 nucleotide bases (Δ 32) renders this receptor non functional and blocks the virus from gaining entry. However, this naturally protective polymorphism is not so frequent and its prevalence shows a declining trend on transition from the North Europe (10 to 16%), southeast towards Mediterranean region (~10 to 4%) and gradually disappears among African and East Asian populations³⁰. Incidentally, the protective CCR5 Δ 32 allele is almost absent in the Indian population³¹.

The CCR5 promoter region embraces multiple SNPs that regulate its cell surface expression and hence influence viral entry. Several studies have shown that the CCR5 haplogroup HHE favours HIV-1 infection and development of AIDS in multiple populations including Caucasians, Thais and the North Indians³². Similarly, the haplogroup HHD is associated with fast progression among the African populations. Because of the population specific variations, the genetic influence on virus transmission and disease progression also vary in a race specific manner.

CCR2: The *CCR2* gene is located in the vicinity of *CCR5* on chromosome 3 and both the loci show strong linkage disequilibrium. A particular SNP (G190A or V64I) has been reported to be associated with slower progression to AIDS. The protective A allele at this SNP is found at a frequency of ~12 per cent in north Indians³³ and 3-17 per cent in south Indians³⁴. These studies and those by others have suggested that although CCR2 is an important genetic marker, the influence of CCR2 V64I polymorphism on susceptibility to HIV may not be direct. It is now clear that it might affect the pace of progression in part or entirely through its linkage with other variants, particularly in the CCR5 promoter³².

(ii) Chemokine ligands

MCP1: The monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) is a potent chemokine that mediates macrophage activation and recruitment. It is a ligand for CCR2 and has been reported to be associated with encephalitis and dementia among HIV infected individuals. The MCP-1 -2518 G allele in the promoter region has been reported to be associated with higher MCP-1 expression and with reduced risk of HIV-1 acquisition³⁵. On the other hand, the same genotype was found associated with faster disease progression and development of AIDS associated dementia in HIV infected European, African and Hispanic Americans³⁶, thus highlighting the race specificity of the associations.

It has been shown that the 'G' allele occurs with a frequency of 23-25 per cent among Caucasians (25.8% in Germans, 25% in Italians, 23.9% in Hungarians and 23.8% in Czechs)³⁷. On the other hand, it occurs with a considerably higher frequency of 50-65 per cent among Asian populations (65% in Koreans, 63.8% in Japanese and 51% in Chinese population)³⁸.

Studies carried out by our group (unpublished data) on chemokine ligands among north Indians are summarized in Fig. 4. The 'G' allele of *MCP-1* occurs at a frequency of 28 per cent among north Indians. The allelic and genotypic frequencies of -2518MCP-1 A/G were found to be comparable between HIV +ve subjects and healthy controls. These findings are consistent with a previous study from south India, in which the



Fig. 4. A comparison of frequencies of genetic polymorphisms in CCR5 chemokine ligands (RANTES, MIP1a), CCR2 ligand (MCP1) and CXCR4 ligand (SDF-1) among the healthy north Indian population and HIV-1 infected individuals. The three significant findings in MIP1a associations and their odds ratios respectively are shown inside an insert on right lower side panel of the figure (*Source*: unpublished data). *P<0.05; Healthy vs HIV-1 seropositive individuals.

frequency of 'G' allele was reported to be 34 per cent, and showed no association with HIV susceptibility and development of tuberculosis³⁹. Further, a cumulative analysis of *MCP-1* and its ligand CCR2 genetic variants together did not reveal association of this receptorligand genetic axis with susceptibility towards HIV infection in north Indians.

SDF1 (CXCL12): The stromal cell-derived factor 1 is the only chemokine ligand known for the HIV-1 co-receptor CXCR4. Transition from G to A at position +801 in the 3' untranslated region of the CXCL12 β gene transcript has been associated with delayed progression to AIDS⁴⁰ and with HIV-1 resistance in seronegative high-risk individuals⁴¹. This might be due to the overproduction of SDF1 in certain tissue compartments thereby deregulating the CCR5-CXCR4 switch. In contrast, other studies have reported an association of A allele to poor survival of AIDS patients⁴² or no effect on HIV disease progression⁴³.

In our studies, the SDF-1 allelic and genotypic frequencies were found to be similar in HIV +ve subjects as compared to healthy controls, and among

concordant versus discordant couples, suggesting that the variant might not have any role in HIV susceptibility/ resistance or transmission (unpublished data). The observed SDF1-3'A frequency (27.5%) and its lack of association with HIV susceptibility, viral acquisition and transmission in our results are in conformity with a previous report from north India⁴⁴. The SDF1-3'A frequency ranges from 17-35 per cent in south Indians, Thais and 17-22 per cent among many other populations worldwide⁴⁵. We observed a relatively lower frequency of 'A' allele in rapid progressors (8.8%) as compared to the total HIV +ve cohort (24.3%) or LTNPs (25%) or EUs (25.9%). These results indicate that this allele might confer resistance to disease progression. Our results are in conformity with the earlier reports suggesting an association of this allele with delayed onset of AIDS^{40,45}.

CCL5/RANTES: This chemokine is a ligand for CCR5 and therefore may inhibit viral entry by competitive binding and CCR5 down-modulation. The promoter genotype -403GA-28CC has been shown to be associated not only with HIV-1 susceptibility but also

delayed onset of AIDS in European Americans (EA)⁴⁶. Similarly, RANTES haplotypes comprising -403A and -28G were found to be associated with lower susceptibility to infection in a Chinese cohort^{47,48} and slower disease progression in the Japanese and Thai cohorts⁴⁹. In our studies, the allelic and genotypic frequencies of RANTES -403 G and A alleles were found to be comparable between HIV +ve subjects and healthy controls and among concordant and discordant couples, suggesting that these variants might not confer HIV susceptibility/resistance or influence transmission among north Indians (unpublished data). These results are in conformity with a previous report from north India⁴⁴. The 'A' allele was, however, found to be relatively lower in the LTNP cohort (16.7%) as compared to the rapid progressors (RPs) (26.5%), EUs (29.6%), total HIV +ve subjects (27%) and healthy controls (28%). These trends suggest a lack of protection conferred by the 'A' allele towards disease progression. This is in accordance with reports in African Americans which also suggest no effect of these variants on HIV-1 infection and AIDS progression⁵⁰.

MIP-1- α : The macrophage inflammatory protein-1-alpha is produced by stimulated T lymphocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and monocytes. This chemokine contributes to acute cellular immune responses via recruitment and activation of macrophages and T cells inducing the production of inflammatory cytokines. A biallelic dinucleotide (TA) repeat exists within the promoter region at -906 of the MIP-1A gene⁵¹. In the North Indian population, we observed a statistically significant increase in the frequency of $(TA)_6$ in HIV infected patients (75.1%) as compared to the healthy controls (67%). Conversely, the frequency of the other variant of this repeat motif, namely (TA)₄ occurred with a significantly lower frequency in HIV +ve subjects in our study (unpublished data). Similarly, a relatively lower frequency of (TA)₄ was observed in the LTNP cohort (8.3%) as compared to RPs (26.5%), EUs (24.1%), total HIV +ve (24.9%) and healthy subjects (33%). These results suggest a direct role of the *MIP1* α variants in HIV susceptibility/ resistance. Alternatively, the observations might reflect on linkage disequilibrium of this polymorphism with another as yet unknown genetic marker. Incidentally, our observations made in the north Indian population are in conformity with those reported among the Japanese⁵².

We also evaluated the role of genetic polymorphism of *MIP-1a*+459C/T in HIV infection. The homozygous TT genotype was found with a significantly lower

frequency in HIV +ve subjects (5.45%) as compared to healthy controls (11.6%), suggesting its possible role in protection or linkage with some other genetic marker. The +459 T frequency was also found to be lower in the LTNP cohort (8.3%) as compared to RPs (32.2%), EUs (29.6%), total HIV +ve (26.85%) and healthy subjects (32.6%) (unpublished data). These results are in accordance with a recent study conducted in African Americans in which a haplotype TT (comprising MIP-1 α +459 C/T linked with MIP-1 α +113 C/T) was found to be associated with a significantly lower risk of HIV-1 acquisition as compared to the ancestral haplotype CC (unpublished data). Further, the allelic and genotypic frequencies observed here are similar with those reported in European, African and Hispanic Americans.

Comparative genomics

Zoonotic infection studies of SIV among non human primate models particularly chimpanzees, Sooty mangabeys and African green monkeys have provided important information on the co-evolution of SIV and potential host genes that led to development of resistance to progression to AIDS. It is known that the chimpanzees can be infected with HIV-1/ SIVcpz virus but do not progress to AIDS like disease. It is believed that they experienced a selective sweep resulting in marked reduction in their MHC class I allelic and haplotypic repertoire in the past caused by an HIV-1/SIV like retrovirus pandemic⁶. Similarly, there is evidence for unique patterns of natural selection among non MHC genes in chimpanzees that include relative conservation in CCR5 promoter, CXCR4 and CX3CR1 genes, high CNVs in CCL3L1 and long term persistence of advantageous alleles, e.g., in T cell transmembrane immunoglobulin and mucin 1 (TIM1). A strong positive selection has been suggested among genes for post entry restriction factors like APOBEC family, TRIM5a and others^{1,2}. Our studies have shown that the CCL3L1 copy numbers in north Indians $(2.34)^{53}$ are relatively lower than those observed in the Japanese⁶ and other populations⁵³. Incidentally, these copies occur with a far greater number in the chimpanzees (>10) and could, therefore, be considered as one of their immuneprotective mechanisms against the virus.

Lessons learnt from primate studies could help identify analogous genes that can interfere with cross species transmissions and allow nonpathogenic outcomes. Another advantage of such studies could be in the context of Paleovirology where the genome data gathered could be utilized to reconstruct extinct viruses and ancestral states of present day virus and then extrapolate how ancestral viruses were evaded by the host specific restriction factor(s).

Post-entry restriction factors

Infection by HIV-1 depends on a number of host cell factors, some of which can act as viral restriction elements with species specific variations. The TRIM and APOBEC3 families are explicit examples of such factors and a clear understanding of their interactions with HIV-1 could have important implications for designing effective therapeutics. In this context, several attempts are being made to develop additional antiretroviral drugs and other mechanisms of enhancing their anti HIV-1 restriction activity against the virus.

TRIMs (Tripartite - motif containing super family) represents antiviral restriction factors that act as stringent post-entry replication blocks in a species specific manner. For example, member TRIM5a from rhesus monkeys can restrict HIV-1 production after viral entry. The human TRIM5a, however, can restrict HIV-1 only weakly but can restrict N topic murine leukemia virus (MLV) more potently. Sequence variants in this molecule have been extensively studied with respect to their anti HIV activity. Functional polymorphisms in exon 2, particularly 43Tyr carrying haplotypes have been linked with reduced susceptibility to HIV-1 in French, Japanese and Indian populations⁵⁴, although its antiviral activity is relatively lower and may be attributed in part to differences in the viral clades. A further study on variants in the linker region of TRIM5a has revealed that a SNP rs11038628 (249D) is associated with HIV-1 susceptibility and attenuated activity in the Indian population⁵⁵.



Fig. 5. A correlation of prevalence of TIM1 haplotypes and CD4 T cell counts in the north Indian population. The panel (a) shows % frequencies of TIM1 haplotypes among healthy and HIV-1 seropositive individuals. Panel (b) shows higher CD4T counts among individuals carrying D3A haplotypes among patients. Panel (c) shows two possible outcomes of lower levels of TIM1 expression among individuals carrying D3A, one favouring HIV-1 replication and the other favouring slower progression.

| | Ref. | | | 61 | 4 | | | 33 | | 62 | | 39 | | | 34 | | 61 | 63 | 32 | | 64 | | | | 34 | 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | and d |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------|----------|--------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| | Key . | | | | No | association | | No | association | No | association | No | association | | | | Rare | Rare | Absent | | Rare | | | | Rare | CCR5*59402A | associated with | susceptibility, | (0.9-2.4) | Homozygosity | of haplotype | ACCAC linked | with disease | severity | | | | | | | Ċ |
| | | g_ 3 (%) | AA | 0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2 | | 1.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 1 | $\Delta 32/\Delta 32$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | AA | 12.6 | 22.8 | CC | 12.6 | 22.8 | \mathbf{TT} | 0.8 | 1.1 | 66 | 16 | 13.3 | TT | 0 | 0 |
| ed population | frequency (%) | g_ 2 (%) | GA | 18.2 | 22.6 | 28.5 | 24 | 19.9 | 17.7 | 47 | | 14.6 | 15.2 | 18 | 16.4 | wt/∆32 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | | GA | 52.1 | 41.7 | TC | 52.1 | 41.7 | CT | 2.5 | 1.7 | AG | 53.8 | 40.6 | CT | 0 | 2.2 |
| studies on HIV-1 infecte | ele/Haplotype/Genotype | g_ 1 (%) | 99 | 81.8 | 74.6 | 68.5 | 72 | 78.2 | 80 | 51 | | 84 | 84.8 | 81.1 | 82.7 | wt/wt | 97 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 97.7 | 100 | 100 | 99.2 | 98.9 | aplotypes | GG | 35.3 | 35.6 | TT | 35.3 | 35.6 | CC | 96.7 | 97.2 | AA | 30.2 | 46.1 | CC | 100 | 97.8 |
| India-wide genetic | Alle | a_2 (%) | A | 9.1 | 14 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 25.9 | | 8.7 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 9.1 | $\Delta 32$ | 1.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | moter Variants & h | V | 38.7 | 43.6 | С | 38.7 | 43.6 | T | 2.1 | 1.9 | U | 42.9 | 33.6 | Т | 0 | 1.1 |
| le II. Summary of | | a_1 (%) | უ | 90.9 | 86 | 82.9 | 85.7 | 88.2 | 88.8 | 74.1 | | 91.3 | 92.4 | 90.1 | 90.9 | wt | 98.5 | 66 | 100 | 100 | 98.8 | 100 | 100 | 9.66 | 99.4 | CCR5 Pro | IJ | 61.3 | 56.4 | Τ | 61.3 | 56.4 | С | 97.9 | 98.1 | V | 57.1 | 66.4 | С | 100 | 98.9 |
| Tab | Sample size | (Cohort) | | 500 (HC) | 75(HC) | 35 (EU) | 50 (HIV) | 221 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | 711 (IVDUs) | | 206 (HC) | 151 (HIV+TB-) | 112 (HIV+TB+) | 525 (HC) | | 500 (HC) | 100 (HC) | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | 779 (HC) | 147 (HC) | 111 (HC) | 845 (HC) | 525 (HC) | | | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) | | 119 (HC) | 180 (HIV) |
| | Indian | Population | | North | | | | | | North East | | South | | | | | North | | | | | West | East | South | South | | North | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Genetic variant | (a1/a2) | CCR2 190G/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | CCR5 A32 | | | | | | | | | | | CCR5- | 59029G/A | | CCR5-59353T/C | | | CCR5-59356C/T | | | CCR5- | 59402A/G | | CCR5-59653C/T | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | б | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

KAUR et al: GENOMIC ARCHITECTURE OF HIV-1 INFECTION

| Ref. | | | 61 | 65 | 99 | | | 44 | | | 62 | 39 | | | 34 | | 67 | 39 | | | | 44 | | | | 44 | | ntd |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|---|----------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------|-------------|----------|------------|--------|-------------|----------|
| Key | observation | | | | A allele | associated with | resistance, OR=0.2 (0.1- 0.4) | No association | with susceptibility | | No association | GG genotype | may be associated | with PTB coinfection, OR=1.57 (1-2.47) | | | | No | association | | | No | association | | | No | association | Cc |
| | g_ 3 (%) | AA | 2.4 | | 4 | 18 | L | 4 | 5.7 | 4 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 9 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 99 | 11.9 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 10.7 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | AA | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4 |
| frequency (%) | g_ 2 (%) | GA | 36 | | 33 | 23 | Э | 21.3 | 31.4 | 26 | 20.8 | 39.5 | 34.4 | 30.4 | 38.5 | AG | 42 | 39.9 | 43 | 41.1 | CG | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0 | GA | 18.6 | 31.4 | 22 |
| sle/Haplotype/Genotype | g_ 1 (%) | GG | 61.6 | | 63 | 59 | 06 | 74.6 | 65.7 | 70 | 76.9 | 55.1 | 59.6 | 67.8 | 55.4 | AA | 46 | 45.8 | 41.1 | 48.2 | CC | 97.3 | 97.1 | 100 | 99 | 78.6 | 65.7 | 72 |
| Allé | a_2 (%) | A | 20.4 | 40 | 20.5 | 29.3 | 8.5 | 14.7 | 21.4 | 17 | 12.7 | 25.1 | 23.2 | 17 | 25.3 | U | 32.9 | 34.2 | 37.4 | 31.3 | 9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0 | А | 12 | 18.6 | 15.3 |
| | a_1 (%) | IJ | 79.6 | 60 | 79.5 | 70.7 | 91.5 | 85.3 | 78.6 | 83 | 87.3 | 74.9 | 76.8 | 83 | 74.7 | Α | 67.1 | 65.8 | 62.6 | 68.7 | С | 98.7 | 98.6 | 100 | IJ | 88 | 81.4 | 84.7 |
| Sample size | (Cohort) | | 500 (HC) | 100 (HC) | 100 (HC) | 150 (HRSTD) | 100 (HIV) | 75(HC) | 35 (EU) | 50 (HIV) | 711 (IVDUs) | 206 (HC) | 151 (HIV+TB-) | 112 (HIV+TB+) | 525 (HC) | | 126 (HC) | 206 (HC) | 151 (HIV+TB-) | 112 (HIV+TB+) | | 75(HC) | 35 (EU) | 50 (HIV) | | 75(HC) | 35 (EU) | 50 (HIV) |
| Indian | Population | | North | | | | | | | | North East | South | | | South | | South | | | | | North | | | | North | | |
| Genetic variant | (a1/a2) | SDF G/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | MCP-12518 A/G | | | | | RANTES-28C/G | | | | RANTES-403 | G/A | | |
| | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | 9 | | | | 7 | | | |

| Ref. | | | 57 | | | 68 | | | | | 68 | | | | | 69 | | | 70 | | | | 53 | | 66 | | | 71 | | | | | | ntd |
|---------|-------------|-------|--------|-------------|------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------|------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----|
| Key | observation | | No | association | | GG associated | with TB co-infection | OR=3.03 (1.04- | 8.91) | | GA associated | with TB co-infection | OR=2.32 (1.31- | 4.08) | | GG associated | with HIV infection, OR=1.47 (1.12-1.92)) | | GG, AG & AA | associated with | 1D co-IIIIcculoII (OR=4.63), HIV | (OR=2.99) & TB resn (OR=2.14) | No | association | No association | | | 5/5 genotype | was associated with a | significantly | of HIV-1 | in exposed seronegative | individuals. | Cc |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6/6 | 0.76 | 0 | 0 | Þ | | | |
| | 3 (%) | l/del | 3.6 | 3.0 | ÐG | 8.8 | 9.9 | 0.9 | 5.7 | g | 6.9 | 6.9 | 2 | 7 | S | 9.6 | 1.6 | ğ | 7 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | | | | | L/6 | 9.16 | 0.11 | 6 38 | 00.0 | | | |
| | ao | de | | | Ŭ | | | 1 | | Ŭ | | | | | Ŭ | | 7 | Ŭ | | | | | | | | | 9/6 | 0.76 | 0 | 0 | > | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9/5 | 0 | 2.38 | 0 | > | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | /bes | | 8/8 | | | | | | | |
| (%) | 2 (%) | /del | 4.3 | 8.2 | ŊG | 4.3 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 41 | g | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 34 | ç | 5.4 | 0.2 | ŋ | 2.2 | 8.5 | 4.9 | 24 | | | genoty | | 8/7 | 0 | 1.19 | 0 1 C | 71.7 | | | |
| uency | ao | W | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | ω | 4 | | 4 | ξ | ξ | 5 | | Ŭ | 9 | 9 | ł | 4 | 9 | 3 | | - | | phism | | 8/5 | 0 | 1.19 | 0 | > | | | |
| e frequ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | umber | umber | lymor | | 7/4 | 0.76 | 1.19 | , 1 C | 717 | | | |
| enotyp | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | copy n | copy n | 100 peat pc | lotypes | L/L | 41.2 | 47.6 | 167 | 7.00 | | | |
| ype/G | 1 (%) | 't/wt | 72.1 | 58.8 | AA | 51.9 | 50.5 | 18.2 | 53.3 | AA | 59.8 | 55.7 | 16.6 | 59 | Ü | 27 | \$5.2 | AA | 55.8 | 9.8 | 9.6.6 | 73.1 | (avg. | (avg. | 7/7 rej | Gen | 9//2 | 5.11 | 3.57 | 361 | (7.1 | | | |
| Haplot | ao | н | (* | U | , | 41 | U | 7 | 41 | | 4) | V | 7 | | • | | (1) | | 4, | (1 | 4. | | 2.34 | 2.13 | ts had | | 15 | 6 | ~ | T T | t | | | |
| Allele/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | subjec | | 9 | 2 | 23 | 6 | ŋ | | | |
| | (%) | el | 2.7 | Γ. | 75 | 9 | Ľ. | £. | 2 | 75 | 3 | 9. | 9. | 4 | 7.) | Γ. | 5 | 75 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6. | | | All the | | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | a_2 | ġ | 15 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 31 | 26 | U | 7 | 18 | 27 | 0 | Ŭ | 34 | 40 | Ŭ | 0 | ŝ | 25 | 14 | | | 7 | | 6/5 | 3.05 | 3.57 | , 1 C | 71.7 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5/5 | 8.39 | 3.57 | 10 7 | 17.7 | | | |
| | (%) | vt | 4.3 | 2.9 | Ā | 4 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 3.8 | • | L | 1.4 | 2.4 | 9, | ניז | 5.3 | 9.8 | Ā | Ľ | 4 | -+ | 5.1 | | | | | 4/4 | 0.76 | 0 | 0 | > | | | |
| | a_ 1 | ~ | 8 | × | - | (- | 78 | 66 | 7 | 7 | (- | 8 | 7 | (- | Ū | 6 | 5 | 7 | (- | U | ⁷ L | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | | | 3/3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | ~ | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| e size | ort) | | (VII | HC) | | HC) | /+TB- | '+TB+ | /-TB+ | | HC) | /+TB- | /+TB+ | /-TB+ | | HC) | (VII | | HC) | /+TB- | /+TB+ | /-TB+ | HC) | AIIV | HC) tSTD) HIV) | | | HC) | (VII | (IIc) | (en | | | |
| Sample | (Coh | | 251 (I | 192 (| | 183 (| (HIV | 0 (HIV | 5 (HIV | | 102 (| 12 (HIV | 5 (HIV | VIH) 00 | | 500 (| 500 (I | | 102 (| THIN I | é (HIV | 14 (HIV | 100 (| 101 | 100 (50 (HF 100 (F | | | 262 (| 168 (I | 47 (F | + + | | | |
| | | | | | | | 13 | 11 | 10 | | | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | 12 | 10 | 10 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| dian | ulation | | orth | | | outh | | | | | outh | | | | | orth | | | outh | | | | orth | | orth | orth | | | | | | | | |
| In | Popı | | Ż | | | Ň | | | | | Š | | | | | Ż | | | Š | | | | Ż | | Ż | Ż | | | | | | | | |
| riant | ~ | 3B | ICI) | | ć | (C) | | | | | jly. | | | | G/C | | | 2A/G | | | | | Copy | r | exon tt | V-R peat | | | | | | | | |
| etic va | (a1/a2 | OBEC | DAUC. | | TAP1 | 331 (A | | | | TAP1 | sp6370 (A/G) | | | | 8-137 | | | 0-1082 | | | | | 3L1 (| numbe | SIGN 4 repe | C-SIGN on 4 rep | | | | | | | | |
| Gen | | AP | 7 | | | V3 | | | | | A. | | | | IL-1 | | | IL-1 | | | | | CCI | | , DC- | DC | | | | | | | | |
| | | ~ | | | 6 | | | | | 10 | | | | | 11 | | | 12 | | | | | 13 | | 14 | 15 | | | | | | | | |

KAUR et al: GENOMIC ARCHITECTURE OF HIV-1 INFECTION

| $g_{-1} = 1$ (0) $g_{-1} = 1$ (0) N_0 (0) 7 47 1 6 1 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 0.6 33 3800 (dition 33 3800 (dition 38 330 380 330 380 3800 380 | 9 $E_{-2.1(0)}$ $E_{-7.0}$ No 72 47 1 6 1 association 73 47 1 6 1 association 73 22.7 19.2 17.7 haplotyce 53 30.6 0.6 22.7 19.2 17.7 haplotyce 73 50.31 0.93 22.7 19.8 17.3 association 58 59 0.51 73 13.4 19.8 17.3 association 58 59 0.51 0.59 0.51 73 73 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.53 0.51 73 0.51 73 73 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.54 0.55 0.54 73 73 $\chi^T=6.6 \chi^T=6.6 \chi^T=6.7 \chi^T=6.6 $ | $g_{-2} = 1/0$ N_0 N_0 47 1 6 1 N_0 N_0 47 1 6 1 N_0 N_0 N_0 47 1 6 1 N_0 N_0 S_0 | ulation (Cohort) <u>1.000</u> 2.000 Allele/Haplotype/i | Allele/Haplotype/(| Allele/Haplotype/ | %/Haplotype/(| Genotype fre | quency (%) | ~ 2 (0/) | Key observation |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccc} & 25 & 34 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 & ascriation ascriation acceleration acc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ulation (Cohort) $a_1 (\%) a_2 (\%)$ | a_1 (%) a_2 (%) | a_2 (% | (0) | g_ 1 (%) | g_ 2 (%) | g_ 3 (%) | observatio |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 06 23 46 4.6 3.3 0.6 0.6 34 47 1 6 1 Arg136Cin 43Tyralee 53 76del His43Tyr Val112Phe Arg136Cin 43Tyralee 53 0 22.7 19.2 17.7 and linkee 53 3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No ascoriation with resistance. 089) 5.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 associated with resistance. 089) 5.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with higher CD4 0.00 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 sasociated with resistance. 0.015.4 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with higher CD4 0.00 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 sasociated with resistance. 0.017.4 7.5 21.9 21.3 5.7 sasociated with higher CD4 0.00 6.5 21.4 5.1 W-A No associated with higher CD4 0.00 | 0.6 2.31 4.6 4.2.6 3.3 0.6 0.6 3.4 4.7 1 6 1 Arg136Gin 437y allete 53 0 2.2.7 19.2 17.7 matholyse 0.89) 0.89) 0.13.4 19.2 17.3 associated with resistance 0.89) 0.89) 0.3.4 D3-C D4 W:A No associated with resistance 0.89) 47.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 sassociated with resistance 0.89) 46.5 2.4 21.8 5.1 sassociated with resistance 0.89) 47.5 21.9 21.4 5.1 sassociated with resistance 0.89) 46.5 2.4 21.8 5.1 sassociated with resistance 0.89) 46.5 2.4 21.8 5.1 sassociated with resistance 0.89) 47.5 21.4 21.8 5.1 sassociated with resistance 0.810 47.5 b-A-T-Fe/6 b-A-T-Fe/6 sassociated | 17 | 17 | | | 25 54 | 4 | | No association |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 34 47 1 6 1 7ddel His43Tyr Val112Phe Arg136Gh 43Tyrallee 53 0 22.77 19.2 17.7 haplotype 3800 and linkd 0 13.4 19.8 17.3 associated with resistance. 0.89) 3.5 24 21.4 5.7 wassociated with resistance. 0.89) 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 wassociated with resistance. 0.89) 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 wassociated with resistance. 0.89) 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 wassociated with resistance. 0.89) 6.5 2.4 21.8 5.1 sussociated with resistance. 0.89) 9.010type 5.1 21.4 5.7 sussociated with higher for transcendent may by the outsistance. 0.89 9.011111 b.A.TFe66 b.A.TFe66 b.A.TFe66 b.A.TT 73 9.01211 B/B=1.3 b.A.TFe66 b.A.TFe66 b.A.TT 0.0104 | 34 47 1 6 1 176del His43Tyr Val112Phe Arg136Gin 43Tyralee 53 0 22.71 19.2 17.71 and linked 53 0 13.4 19.8 17.71 and linked 53 0 13.4 19.8 17.3 associated with resistance. 0890 0.45 21.9 21.14 5.7 with resistance. 0890 45.5 21.9 21.14 5.7 with resistance. 0890 45.5 21.9 21.18 5.1 sascriated with resistance. 0890 45.5 24 21.8 5.7 sascriated with resistance. 0890 45.5 24 21.8 5.1 sascriated with resistance. 0890 45.5 24 21.8 5.7 sascriated with resistance. 0816 6.11 B.6 6.1 sascriated with resistance. 0816 6.1 10.1 18.18=1.3 6.4 1.6 </td <td>150 (HRSTD) 0.6 0.6 19.3</td> <td>0.6 0.6 19.3</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>25.3 4.6 42.6</td> <td>3.3 0.6</td> <td>0.6</td> <td></td> | 150 (HRSTD) 0.6 0.6 19.3 | 0.6 0.6 19.3 | 3 | 0.6 | 25.3 4.6 42.6 | 3.3 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Total Hisd3Tyr Val112Phe Ag136Gln d3Tyr allels 53 0 22.7 19.2 17.7 haplotyce resistance, organol, with 53 33 35 33 35 33 35 33 35 33 35 33 35 35 33 35 35 33 35 < | Todel Hisd3Tyr Val112Phe Arg136Gln d3Tyr allele 53 0 22.7 19.2 17.7 mplotye 0.85) associated with 55 30.31.0 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 30.31.0 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 30.31.0 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 0.80) 33.4 33.6 33.4 33.6 33.4 33.6 33.4 33.6 33.4 33.6 33.6 34.4 33.6 33.6 34.4 33.6 34.4 33.6 34.4 34.6 | 76dcl HisdTyr Vall12Phe Arg136Gln dTyr allele 53 0 22.7 19.2 17.7 maj byrge 0 13.4 19.8 17.7 maj byrge 35.4 D3-C D4 W.A No association 365 24 21.4 5.7 with 46.5 21.9 21.4 5.1 suscointon 37.5 21.9 21.4 5.1 suscointon 46.5 24 21.8 5.1 suscointon 46.1 D3-A 19.8 5.1 suscointon 47 S 21.9 21.4 5.1 suscointon 47 S 21.9 21.4 5.1 suscointon 47 S 21.4 5.1 suscointon 5.1 46.01 D-A-T=6.6 D-A-T=6.6 suscointon 7.1 1.1 47 B/B=1.3 B/B=1.3 B/B=1.3 B/B 1.1/1/1 1.1/1/1 <tr< td=""><td>100 (HIV) 11</td><td>11</td><td></td><td></td><td>34 47</td><td>1 6 1</td><td></td><td></td></tr<> | 100 (HIV) 11 | 11 | | | 34 47 | 1 6 1 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 22.1 19.2 11.1 mplotype 7.5 21.9 19.8 17.3 associated with 7.5 21.9 21.4 0.8) 0.8) 7.5 21.9 21.4 0.8 0.8) 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 9.4 7.1 5.7 sasociated with 6.5 2.4 21.8 5.1 0.80 9.4 21.8 5.1 sasociated with 0.80 9.4 7.1 7.3 sasociated with 0.80 9.4 5.1 2.8 5.1 0.141/21 9.4 1.9 1.9 1.1 1.1 9.8 5.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 | 0 22.1 9.2 17.3 instance isstance $0.13.4$ 19.8 17.3 isstance 0.89 3.4 0.3 21.9 21.4 8.7 0.89 7.5 21.9 21.4 8.7 0.89 0.9 7.5 21.9 21.4 8.7 0.89 0.9 7.5 21.9 21.4 8.7 0.89 0.9 8.6 21.4 8.7 0.8 0.9 0.9 8.6 21.4 8.7 0.8 0.9 0.9 8.4 21.8 5.1 8.6 0.9 0.1 8.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 8.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 8.6 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 8.6 0.1 0.1 0.1 | ariants and haplotypes Gly110Arg G1 | Gly110Arg G1 | GI | 76del | His43Tyr | Val112Phe | Arg136Gln | 43Tyr allele and linked |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $ | 0 1.34 1.30 1.30 1.33 associated with restance. 7.5 21.9 21.4 XA No association 58 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 0.80 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 0.80 0.80 $plotype b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T 73 associated with associated bed.11 B.B=1.3 B.A=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=1.3 B.B=2.3 A.A=1.5 $ | 0 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.35 associated with creations associated with creations associated with creations 7.5 21.9 21.4 $X.A$ No association 58 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89) 0.80) 7.5 21.9 21.8 5.1 no association 58 9 $A.T = 0.5$ $2.1.4$ 5.7 with inplore CD4 0.80) $b-A.T = 6.6$ $b-A.T = 6.6$ $associated withinplore CD4 with b-A.T 73 b-A.T = 6.6 b-A.T = 6.6 associated with with with b-A.T 73 associated with b-A.T = 6.6 associated with with b-A.T 74 b-A.T = 6.6 b-A.T = 6.6 associated with with b-A.T 74 associated with b-A.T = 6.6 associated with with b-A.T 74 associated with b-A.T = 6.6 associated with with b-A.T b-A.T$ | | 0 0 | | | 1.27 | 19.2 | 1./1 | haplotype |
| 3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No association 58 (R=0.27 (0.31-0.8)) 5.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with higher CD4 0.8) 5.1 0.8) 5.1 0.8) 5.1 0.8) 5.1 0.8) 5.1 0.8) 5.1 0.80 5.2 0.90 5.2 0.90 5.2 0.90 5.2 0.90 5.2 0.90 5.3 0.90 5.4 0.90 5.4 0.90 5.4 0.90 5.4 0.90 5.5 0.90 5.5 0.90 5.5 0.90 5.5 0.90 5.5 0.90 5.5 0.171 5.5 0.171<!--</td--><td>3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No association 0.89) 58 5.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89 6.5 24 21.1.8 5.1 suscription 58 plotype 5.1 suscription 58 suscription 58 photype 5.1 suscription 58 suscription 58 photype b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 74 exon 1 B/B=1.3 B-A-T b-A-T b-A-T 74 74 exon 1 B/B=1.3 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T 74 exon 1 B/B=0.11 B/B=0.11 b-B/B-2 b-B/B-2 b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=0.11 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=2 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=2 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b</td><td>3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No association S8 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89) 0.81) 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89) 0.81) 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.1 association 58 plotype b-A-T=13.3 secontated with bifler CD4 contact of with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 associated with with a 4 x b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 associated with with a 4 x econt B/B=1.3 b-A.T Low 74 b-B=6.11 B/B=1.3 b-A.T Low P.A.T associated with b-A.T C D.A.T P.A.T associated b-A.T D.A.T D.A.T D.A.T D.A.T associated b-A.T B/B-6.11<td>22 (LLC) U</td><td>D</td><td></td><td>></td><td>t.01</td><td>17.0</td><td>C:/1</td><td>associated with</td></td> | 3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No association 0.89) 58 5.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89 6.5 24 21.1.8 5.1 suscription 58 plotype 5.1 suscription 58 suscription 58 photype 5.1 suscription 58 suscription 58 photype b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 74 exon 1 B/B=1.3 B-A-T b-A-T b-A-T 74 74 exon 1 B/B=1.3 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T 74 exon 1 B/B=0.11 B/B=0.11 b-B/B-2 b-B/B-2 b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=0.11 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=2 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T B/B=0.11 B/B=2 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T b | 3.4 D3-C D4 W-A No association S8 7.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89) 0.81) 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with 0.89) 0.81) 6.5 21.9 21.4 5.1 association 58 plotype b-A-T=13.3 secontated with bifler CD4 contact of with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 b-A-T 73 associated with b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 associated with with a 4 x b-A.T=6.6 b-A.T=6.6 associated with with a 4 x econt B/B=1.3 b-A.T Low 74 b-B=6.11 B/B=1.3 b-A.T Low P.A.T associated with b-A.T C D.A.T P.A.T associated b-A.T D.A.T D.A.T D.A.T D.A.T associated b-A.T B/B-6.11 <td>22 (LLC) U</td> <td>D</td> <td></td> <td>></td> <td>t.01</td> <td>17.0</td> <td>C:/1</td> <td>associated with</td> | 22 (LLC) U | D | | > | t.01 | 17.0 | C:/1 | associated with |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -1 D3-C D4 W-A No association 58 5 21.9 21.4 5.7 sesociation 58 5.5 24 21.8 5.1 sesociation 58 5.6 24 21.8 5.1 sesociation 58 plotype b-A-T=13.3 sesociated with higher CD4 sociated with | -4 D3-C D4 W-A No association 58 5 219 2114 5.7 with 0.89) 5 219 2114 5.7 suscription 53 90type 5.1 31,4 5,1 suscription 53 90type 5.1 5.1 suscription 53 5.1 $5.$ | | | | | | | | OR=0 57 (0 31- |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | -4 D3-C D4 W-A No association 53 5 21.9 21.4 5.1 suscription 53 5 24 21.8 5.1 suscription 53 5 24 21.8 5.1 suscription 73 blotype b-A-T=13.3 suscription 73 suscription 73 blotype b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 suscription 74 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T 74 74 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T b-A-T 14 | -A D3-C D4 W-A No association 58 5 219 2114 5.7 with 33, with 35, 1 with 35, 1 with 35, 1 | | | | | | | | 0.89) |
| 5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with susceptibility. 5 24 21.8 5.1 susceptibility. $D_{3}A_{1}$ $D_{3}A_{1}$ $D_{3}A_{1}$ $D_{3}A_{1}$ bbA-T=13.3 $b_{1}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=0.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=0.6$ $a_{2}a_{2}a_{2}a_{1}b_{2}$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=0.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=0.6$ $a_{2}a_{2}a_{2}b_{2}b_{2}$ 74 $b_{2}A_{1}T=6.6$ $b_{2}A_{1}T=0.6$ $a_{2}a_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b_{2}b$ | 5 21.9 21.4 5.1 suth succentibility. D3.A 5 24 21.8 5.1 succentibility. D3.A 5 24 21.8 5.1 succentibility. D3.A 60type b-A-T=13.3 associated with higher CD4 br.A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated with with br.A-T 74 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated with with potection b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated associated b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated with b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T associated associated B/B=0.11 B/B=6. | 5 21.9 21.4 5.7 with bigher CD4 5 24 21.8 5.1 susceptibility. D3.4 5 24 21.8 5.1 susceptibility. D3.4 biotype b-A-T=13.3 associated with higher CD4 counts b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated with protection b-A-T b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 associated with protection 74 sconstant b-A-T=6.6 associated with protection 74 sconstant B18=6.11 Low 74 B18=6.11 B18=6.11 Low 74 b/B=1.3 B18=6.11 Low C b/B=2 b/B=2 Ather tak Ather tak b/B=2 Ather tak Ather tak Ather tak <tr< td=""><td>D1 D1 D3 D3</td><td>D1 D3</td><td>D3</td><td>-A</td><td>D3-C</td><td>D4</td><td>M-A</td><td>No association</td></tr<> | D1 D1 D3 D3 | D1 D3 | D3 | -A | D3-C | D4 | M-A | No association |
| .5 24 21.8 5.1 susceptibility. blotype $D-A-T=13.3$ associated with higher CD4 counts b-A-T=6.6 $D-A-T=16.6$ $D-A-T$ 73 b-A-T=6.6 $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=16.6$ $D-A-T=16.6$ b-A-T=6.6 $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ b-A-T=7 $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ b-A-T=7.6 $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ b-A-T=7.7 $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-A-T=6.6$ $D-T=6.6$ c | .52421.85.1susceptibility. D3.Aslotypeb-A-T=13.3associated with higher CD4associated with higher CD4associated with higher CD473slotypeb-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated with protectionb-A-T73b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated with protection74b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated with protection74b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated with protection74b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated agnist HIV-174b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated agnist HIV-174b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6associated agnist HIV-174b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.677b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.69b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.677b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6condition adnina9b-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.6condition adnina74b-A-Tb-A-T=6.6b-A-T=6.60.08=4.89b-A-Tb-A-Tb-A-Tb-A-T75b-A-Tb-A-Tb-A-Tb-A-T1000000000000000000000000000000000000 | .5 24 21.8 5.1 susceptibility. D3-A D3-A D3-A b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T b-A-T b-B=1.3 B/B =0.11 gainst H/V-1 b-B=2.1 B/B =0.11 b-A-T b-B=2.1 B/B =0.11 b-A-T b-B B/B =0.11 b-A-T | 288 (HC) 2.1 47 | 2.1 47 | 47 | 5 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 5.7 | with |
| by the point of t | Jobsyne Jobsyne Jobsyne Jobsyne b-A-T=13.3 associated with ingher CD4 counts b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be m | belaype by belaype by by b | 227 (HIV) 2.2 46 | 2.2 46 | 46 | 5. | 24 | 21.8 | 5.1 | susceptibility. |
| hotype b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be associated with protection against HIV-1 Low 74 B/B=6.11 Low 74 expressor, 8/B=6.11 Low 74 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 p-0.0 R=4.80 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; 0.0 R=4.80 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; 0. | Jobype -A-T=13.3 b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be may b | hotype h-A-T 73 hotype h-A-T=13.3 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 h-A-T=6.6 haplotype may be associated with protection against HIV-1 Low 74 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=2 B/B=6.11 B/B=2 B/B=6.11 B/B=2 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B B/B B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B | | | | | | | | D3-A |
| plotype b-A-T 13 b-A-T 73 b-A-T 73 b-A-T 73 b-A-T 73 b-A-T 75 b-A-T 73 b-A-T 75 b-A-T 75 b-A-T 75 b-A-T 73 b-A | plotype -A-T-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be with protection against HIV-1 74 exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=1.3 potype 74 exon 1 B/B=2 potype 74 alleles B/B=2 prontection against HIV-1 10.00 alleles A A 114 C D X A/YA 75 alleles A Pronter alleles YA/YA 75 1 5.3 22.2 77.8 profisions 3.1 5.3 20.3 70.2 profisions 3.1 5.3 20.3 70.3 (in HIV+ 4.7 8.8 20.3 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | plotype b-A-T 73 b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T 73 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be m | | | | | | | | associated with higher CD4 |
| plotype b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be associated with protection against HIV-1 Low 74 Low 74 B/B genotype against HIV-1 Low 74 R/B genotype B/B genotype against HIV-1 B/B genotype B/B genoty | plotype b-A-T 7 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 may be b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 protection b-B=1.3 protection against HIV-1 exon 1 B/B=1.3 protection B/B=2 b/B=2 b/B/B genotype alleles protection against HIV-1 c D X diplotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk alleles YA/YA c D X diplotype and YA/YA 3.1 5.3 20.2 3.2 4.6 YA/YA 3.1 5.3 77.8 diplotype and 17.1 infffv+ 4.7 8.8 20.3 <td< td=""><td>plotype b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 breake against HIV-1 breaketion against HIV-1 breaketion breaket</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>counts</td></td<> | plotype b-A-T=13.3 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 b-A-T=6.6 breake against HIV-1 breaketion against HIV-1 breaketion breaket | | | | | | | | counts |
| $ \begin{array}{ccccccc} b-A-T=13.3 \\ b-A-T=6.6 \\ may be associated with easociated with protection against HIV-1 \\ B/B=1.3 \\ B/B=2 \\ B/B=1.3 \\$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | b-A-T=6.6haplotype b-A-T=6.6haplotype may be associated with protection against HIV-1exon 1 $b-A-T=6.6$ $b-A-T=6.6$ may be associated may be protection against HIV-1exon 1 $B/B=1.3$ $B/B=1.3$ P exon 1 $B/B=1.3$ $B/B=1.3$ P exon 1 $B/B=1.3$ $B/B=2$ P $B/B=2$ $B/B=2$ P P $B/B=2$ $B/B=2$ P P $B/B=2$ $B/B=2$ P P $B/B=2$ P P P P/P | VDR 3'UTR Ha | VDR 3'UTR Hai | DR 3'UTR Hai | plotype | | | | b-A-T |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccc} b-A-T=6.6 & may be associated with protection against HIV-1 \\ b-A-T=6.6 & may be associated with protection against HIV-1 \\ Low 74 & cxpressor, B/B=6.11 & Low 74 & cxpressor, B/B=6.11 & B/B=2 & cxpressor, B/B=6.11 & Cwrst and a contract and a contr$ | bA-T=6.6 may be associated with protection against HIV-1 bA-T=6.6 bA-T=6.6 bA-T=6.6 associated with protection against HIV-1 associated with against HIV-1 barbection against HIV-1 associated with a two stressor, and two stressor, and a two stressor, and two stressor, and two stressor, and a two stressor, and two stres | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 144 (HC) | | T | 1 | h-A-T=13 3 | | | haplotype |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c cccccc} & \begin{tabular}{c} & tabular$ | | | | | 2 7 L V 1 | | | may be |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | 0.0 - 1 - 0.0 | | | associated |
| exon 1 B/B=1.3 Low 74 Equination against HIV-1 Low 74 B/B=6.11 Low 74 Expressor, B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) alleles $1.3 \times X \times Y$ higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) 2.29.8 70.2 predispose and 2 2.22 77.8 to TB co-100 MBL for the second of th | exon 1 exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 OR=4.80 OR | exon 1 exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring H/V-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) alleles C D X Y Y 4.8 2.3 $2.9.870.21.54-15.62)H/V-1;OR=4.89(1.54-15.62)H/V-1;OR=4.89(1.54-15.62)H/V-1;OR=4.89(1.54-15.62)H/V+1;OR=4.80(1.54-15.62)H/V+1;OR=4.80(1.54-15.62)H/V+1;OR=4.80(1.54-15.62)($ | 105 (HIV+TB+) | | | | b-A-T=6.6 | | | with |
| | exon 1 B/B=-1.3 B/B=-6.11 B/B=-6.11 B/B=-6.11 B/B=-6.11 B/B=-6.11 B/B=-6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=-4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; OR=-6.82 (1.54-15.62) HIV+1; | exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=2 B/B=2 B/B=2 B/B=6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) B/BL C D X Y Y high MBL (1.54-15.62) B/BL C D X Y Y high MBL (1.54-15.62) B/BL C D X Y Y high MBL C D X Y Y high MBL C D X Y Y high MBL (1.54-15.62) (1.54-15.62) (1.54-15.62) C D X Y Y high MBL C D X Y Y high MBL (1.54-15.62) (1.54-15.6 | | | | | | | | protection |
| exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=2 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) 1.54- | exon 1 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (0, R=4.89 (1, 54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1, 54-15.62) (1, 54-15 | exon 1 B/B=1.3 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=7 B/B=6.11 B/B genotype is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) A/AYA 75 diplotype and 48 3.8 3.2 3.2 4.6 2.22 4.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2 | | | | | | | | against HIV-1 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | B/B=1.3 expressor, B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 is associated is associated with a 4 x B/B=7 B/B=6.11 is associated with a 4 x B/B=6.11 B/B=6.11 is associated with a 4 x B/B=6.11 B/B=7 is associated with a 4 x B/B=6.11 B/B=7 Nigher risk of acquiring HIV-1; alleles Promoter alleles YAYA C D X YAYA 3.1 5.3 29.8 YAYA 4.7 8.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 fin HIV+ DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | MBL Codon 54 | MBL Codon 54 | IBL Codon 54 | exon 1 | | | | Low |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 305 (HC) | | | | B/B=1.3 | | | expressor, |
| $ \begin{array}{ccccc} B/B=2 & \mbox{in a 4 x} & \mbox{in a 1 V-1;} & \mbox{of acquiring} & \mbox{HIV-1;} & \mbox{of acquiring} & \mbox{of acquiring} & \mbox{HIV-1;} & \mbox{of acquiring} &$ | B/B=2 is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 alleles Promoter alleles 75 C D X YAYA C D X Promoter alleles 3.1 5.3 29.8 70.2 3.2 4.6 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 DL intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | B/B=2 is associated with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 alleles Promoter alleles YAYA C D X Y 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.1 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 180 (HIV) | | | | B/B=6.11 | | | B/B genotype |
| alleles with a 4 x higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; $OR=4.89$ of acquiring HIV-1; $OR=4.89$ (1.54-15.62) $OR=4.89$ (1.54-15.62) (1.54-1 | $\label{eq:constraint} Jacobia Matrix for a constraint of a c$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 50 (ETI) | | | | B/B-2 | | | is associated |
| alleles and acquiring higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) OR=4.99 (1.54-15.62) OR=6.99 (1.54-15.6 | Nigher risk higher risk alleles IIIV-1; Alleles Of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (I.54-15.62) OR=4.89 3.1 5.3 29.8 3.1 5.3 29.8 3.1 5.3 70.2 3.2 4.6 77.8 3.1 5.3 70.2 4.7 8.8 20.3 77.1 Infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 77.1 Infection 10.1 intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | alleles higher risk of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) alleles $YAYA$ 75 (1.54-15.62) (1. | JU (EU) | | | | D/D-7 | | | with a 4 x |
| alleles of acquiring HIV-1; HIV-1; ORE-4.89 (1.54-15.62) C D X Y Y 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 diplotype and diplotype and 3.1 5.3 22.9 77.1 levels may 9.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | alleles of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) alleles Promoter alleles YAYA 75 C D X Y 75 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 profish MBL 5.3 22.9 77.2 profish MBL 16 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 profish MBL 17.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 177.8 to TB co- 3.1 5.3 20.3 79.3 79.3 to TB co- 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 tinffection 0.1 infractons drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | alleles of acquiring HIV-1; OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) $OR=4.89$ (1.54-15.62) $OR=4.99$ (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) $OR=4.99$ (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) (1.54-15.52) | | | | | | | | higher risk |
| alleles Promoter alleles OR=4.89 (1.54-15.62) C D X Y/YA 75 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 patients) | alleles Promoter alleles YA/YA 75 C D X YA/YA 75 C D X YA/YA 75 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | alleles Promoter alleles $Promoter alleles$ $O.R=4.89$ (1.54-15.62) (| | | | | | | | of acquiring HTV-1 |
| alleles Promoter alleles (1.54-15.62) C D X Y Y Homoter alleles YA/YA 75 C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.29 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | alleles Tromoter alleles (1.54-15.62) alleles Tromoter alleles (1.54-15.62) C D X Y Y A 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 high MBL diplotype and diplotype and high MBL high MBL infection 4.7 8.8 70.3 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 77.1 infection 1. Intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | alleles Promoter alleles (1.54-15.62) C D X YAYA 75 C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.3 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 infection DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A. | | | | | | | | OR=4.89 |
| alleles Promoter alleles YA/YA 75 C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 patients) | alleles Promoter alleles YA/YA 75 C D X Promoter alleles YA/YA 75 C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 predispose DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | allelesPromoter allelesYA/YA75CDXYhigh MBL483.829.870.2high MBL483.829.870.2predispose3.15.322.277.8predispose3.24.622.977.1infection4.78.820.379.3(in HIV+9.1intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | | | | | | | | (1.54-15.62) |
| C D X Y diplotype and 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 high MBL 3.1 5.3 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.2 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | C D X Y diplotype and high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.2 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | C D X Y diplotype and high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 high MBL 3.1 5.3 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 0U : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative 0.0.1 0.0.1 | 7, structural alleles: A, D, B, | Structural | Structural | alleles | | Promoter | alleles | YA/YA |
| C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 29.8 70.2 predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 to TB co- 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | C D X Y high MBL 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.1 levels may 3.2 4.6 22.2 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 9.0 : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative 0.0.1 | - alleles: X and Y | | | | | | | diplotype and |
| 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may levels may predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 4.8 3.8 29.8 70.2 levels may predispose 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.1 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.2 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 9.0 : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative 0.1 10.1 | A B | A B | В | С | D | Х | Υ | high MBL |
| 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 0U : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 3.1 5.3 22.2 77.8 predispose 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 9.1 intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 146 (HC) 77.1 14.7 | 77.1 14.7 | 14.7 | 8 | 3.8 | 29.8 | 70.2 | levels may |
| 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ | 3.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) 0.1 intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative 00 10 | 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) | 151 (HIV+TB-) 73 5 17 9 | 73.5 17.9 | 17.9 | | 5 | 22.2 | 77 8 | predispose |
| 5.2 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 4.7 8.8 20.3 patients | 5.2 4.6 22.9 //.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ 4.7 8.8 20.3 patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 4.7 4.6 22.9 77.1 infection 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) 20.3 T0.1 intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | | | | |) | | | 10 1B CO- |
| 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) | 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 4.7 8.8 20.3 79.3 (in HIV+ patients) 4.7 8.8 20.3 T9.3 (in HIV+ patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 109 (HIV+IB+) 78 14.2 | 7/8 14.2 | 14.2 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 22.9 | 1.77 | infection |
| patients) | patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | patients) DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | 148 (HIV-TB+) 69.9 17.2 | 69.9 17.2 | 17.2 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 20.3 | 79.3 | (in HIV+ |
| | DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | DU : intravenous drug users, PTB : pulmonary tuberculosis, HRSTD : High risk HIV seronegative | | | | | | | | patients) |

APOBEC3 (Apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing *enzyme*, *catalytic polypeptide-like3*) is an endogenous restriction factor for HIV-1 and HBV and has seven family members. All APOBEC3 family members have one (3A, 3C, 3H) or two (3B, 3D, 3E, 3F, 3G) cytidine deaminase motifs that possess antiviral activity. The APOBEC3G deaminates dC to dU resulting in lethal G to A hypermutations in the virus; but can be counteracted by the viral vif dependent proteasomal degradation. Variations in APOBEC3 genes might enhance resistance to vif and influence antiviral activity. A recent study has shown that an African variant of APOBEC3G H186R is associated with high viral load and progression to AIDS^{56,57}. Similarly, a deletion of 29.5 kb from the 5th exon to 8th exon of *APOBEC3B* leads to complete loss of APOBEC3B and this has been reported to be associated with increased risk of HIV-1 infection and disease progression⁵⁶.

Studies carried out by our group have revealed that this deletion is present in the north Indian population at a relatively higher frequency (~15%) as compared to those observed in European Americans (7.5%) or the African Americans (3.9%)⁵⁷. However, both in our studies as well as those carried out by the Japanese, this deletion polymorphism is not associated with an increased risk to HIV-1 infection.

TIM (T cell transmembrane immunoglobulin and mucin) family of molecules regulate Th1/ Th2

responses and are crucial in regulating both host immune responsiveness as well as viral replication. TIMs have been under strong selective pressure and their genes exhibit high non synonymous: synonymous substitution ratios. The TIM family has been one of the targets of selective sweep caused by SIV in chimpanzees as evident by the loss of polymorphism in this species. The TIM1 receptor is expressed preferentially on Th2 cells and is a coactivator of Th2 immune responses. Its mucin domain has undergone extensive overdominant selection and a particular haplotype D3-A has been associated with relatively higher CD4 T cell counts among HIV-1 infected individuals in several populations including Indians (Fig. 5a and 5b), Japanese and the Thais⁵⁸. As explained in Fig. 5c, this haplotype is associated with low level of expression of TIM1 which indicates lower Th2 promotion; or enhanced Th1 responses in converse. The latter could favour CD4 T cell proliferation and thus support HIV -1 replication on one hand and augment CTL responses on the other albeit depends upon additional viral and host factors.

It has been reported that an upregulation of TIM3 in HIV-1 progressive patients leads to apoptosis of CD8 T cells⁵⁹. However, individuals carrying HLA-B27 or B57 protective alleles show no such upregulation of

Points to remember

- 1. The observed differential responses in patients with HIV-1 infection strongly suggest a genetic basis of susceptibility to infection and progression to AIDS.
- 2. Viraemia controllers are able to resist HIV-1 infection due to their specific genetic architecture and presence of unique prototypes.
- 3. Non human primates have experienced HIV-1/SIV like viral endemic in the past and evolved as a virtue of specific non synonymous substitutions in immune associated genes.
- 4. Resistance factors among viraemia controllers and primates like chimpanzees hold the key for identifying crucial genetic markers that are protective in humans.
- Some genetic factors may be protective against HIV, but may predispose to other pathological conditions. The best example is that of HLA-B*57 which confers protection to HIV infection but is associated with drug induced hypersensitivity following abacavir therapy.
- 6. The resistance empowered by protective factors, *e.g.*, HLA may eventually be evaded by the virus because keeps evolving into escape mutant forms.
- 7. Multiline consortia studies are needed to delineate such protective markers among HLA and non HLA genes like *TIM1*, *TRIM5a*, *APOBEC3*, chemokines, *etc.* Such studies would be helpful in improving our basic understanding about the mechanisms involved so that these biomarkers could eventually be translated into clinical practice.
- 8. Stem cell therapy offers a bright possibility of curing HIV-1 infection. However, further studies with stringent follow ups are necessary to reach a consensus.

TIM3 and, therefore, these cells are able to evade Treg cell suppression^{59,60}.

Genomic portrait of HIV-1 studies in the Indian population

Numerous reports are now available on the Indian population regarding the influence of genetic factors with reference to HIV-1 infection and AIDS. A compendium of these studies is summarized in Table II.

Is a 'cure' from HIV-1 infection possible?

The first documented case of a 'cure' from HIV infection came from the Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany, in February 2007^{76,77}. The 40 year old Caucasian male had a 10 year history of HIV infection and a more recent diagnosis of acute myeloid leukaemia (AML). He had been taking HAART for the previous four years which included efavirenz 600 mg, emtricitabine 200 mg and tenofavir 300mg. At the time of AML diagnosis, his CD4+ count was 415 cells/ ul and HIV-1 RNA was undetectable. He was offered allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), seven months after diagnosis. The HSCT donor was HLA compatible for A, B, C, DR and DQ alleles and also homozygous for CCR5 Δ 32 allele. The HAART was interrupted soon following the HSCT. On a follow up of five years, the patient showed no detectable plasma HIV levels. There was a progressive improvement in his circulating and gut mucosal CD4 T cell counts over the ensuing five years. Interestingly, the patient exhibited a high frequency of activated memory CD4+ cells. These were shown in ex vivo experiments to be the favoured targets for infection by any CXCR4tropic HIV-1 strains. This report demonstrates that although the recovered T cell population is resistant to CCR5-mediated HIV cell entry, these are not resistant to CXCR4-mediated cell entry by X4 tropic HIV. While this case study indicates the scope of gene therapy as a possible cure for HIV, it also raises issues of enhancing sensitivity of currently employed viral assays, risks from long lived non haematopoietic cell reservoirs, and restraints of X4 viruses. These are critical issues and hopefully answers to some of these would become available on long term follow ups.

The second report was recently relayed from Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA, about two HIV patients who later developed Hodgkins lymphoma and underwent allogeneic HSCT from HLA matched donors⁷⁸. The main difference in these patients from the Berlin patient was that the donors in Boston were

not carrying CCR5 \triangle 32 deletion. Although, it is too early to comment, the good news is that the patients appear to be free of the HIV disease so far and are not dependent on HAART therapy.

The third recent report is from the University of Mississipi Medical Center, Jackson, USA, about an infant and a similar report of a group of 14 adult individuals, who were all given an early treatment and were able to resist infection⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. These reports highlight the importance of identifying potential HIV patients at very early in their infection stage so that they could benefit from directed HAART interventions.

Acknowledgment

The financial assistance from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Department of Science and Technology (DST) and Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) Government of India is gratefully acknowledged. The authors acknowledge all the collaborators from the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS) New Delhi, National AIDS Research Institute (NARI) Pune, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER). Chandigarh. National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (NIRT), Chennai, Y.R. Gaitonde Centre for AIDS Research and Education (YRG CARE) Chennai, Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS) Kashmir, New York University (NYU) School of Medicine, New York and Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan, for providing inputs and efforts. The Fogarty AITRP Fellowship for AIDS international training research program provided to the first author (GK) for training at the New York University is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. An P, Winkler CA. Host genes associated with HIV/AIDS: advances in gene discovery. *Trends Genet* 2010; 26 : 119-31.
- Kaur G, Mehra N. Genetic determinants of HIV-1 infection and progression to AIDS: susceptibility to HIV infection. *Tissue Antigens* 2009; 73: 289-301.
- 3. Walker BD. Elite control of HIV infection: implications for vaccines and treatment. *Top HIV Med* 2007; *15* : 134-6.
- Casado C, Colombo S, Rauch A, Martinez R, Gunthard HF, Garcia S, *et al.* Host and viral genetic correlates of clinical definitions of HIV-1 disease progression. *PLoS One* 2010; 5 : e11079.
- 5. Ragon Institute. HIV Controllers study. Available from: *http://ragoninstitute.org/hivcontrollers/*, accessed on Dec 2013.
- deGroot NG, Bontrop RE. The HIV-1 pandemic: does the selective sweep in chimpanzees mirror humankind's future? *Retrovirology* 2013; 10: 1-15.
- 7. Sharp PM, Hahn BH. Origins of HIV and the AIDS Pandemic. *Cold Spring Harb Prospect Med* 2011; *1* : a006841.
- Kaur G, Mehra N. Genetic determinants of HIV-1 infection and progression to AIDS: immune response genes. *Tissue Antigens* 2009; 74: 373-85.
- 9. Goulder PJR, Walker BD. HIV and HLA class I: An evolving relationship. *Immunity* 2012; *37* : 426-40.

- 10. Stephens HAF. Immunogenetic surveillance of HIV/AIDS. Infect Genet Evol 2012; 12 : 1481-91.
- Gao X, O'Brien TR, Welzel TM, Marti D, Qi Y, Goedert JJ, et al. HLA-B alleles associate consistently with HIV heterosexual transmission, viral load, and progression to AIDS, but not susceptibility to infection. AIDS 2010; 24 : 1835-40.
- The HLA Complex in Biology and Medicine: A Resource Book. Mehra N, Kaur G, McCluskey J, Christianssen FT, Class FHJ, editors. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers; 2010.
- Willberg CB, Garrison KE, Jones RB, Meiklejohn DJ, Spotts G, Liegler TJ, *et al.* Rapid progressing allele HLA-B35Px restricted anti-HIV-1 CD8+ T cells recognize vestigial CTL epitopes. *PLoS One* 2010; 5 : e10249.
- Matthews PC, Koyanagi M, Kløverpris HN, Harndahl M, Stryhn A, Akahoshi T, *et al.* Differential clade-specific HLA-B*3501 association with HIV-1 disease outcome is linked to immunogenicity of a single Gag epitope. *J Virol* 2012; *86*: 12643-54
- Fellay J. Host genetic determinants of T cell responses to the MRKAd5 HIV-1gag/ pol/ nef vaccine-Strep trial. *J Infect Dis* 2011: 203 : 773-9.
- Corrah TW, Goonetilleke N, Kopycinski J, Deeks SG, Cohen MS, Borrow P, *et al.* Reappraisal of the relationship between the HIV-1 protective SNP 35 kB upstream of the HLA-C gene and surface HLA-C expression. *J Virol* 2011; 85 : 3367-74.
- Kumar N, Kaur G, Tandon N, Kanga U, Mehra NK. Genomic evaluation of HLA-DR3+haplotypes associated with type 1 diabetes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013; *1283* : 91-6.
- Kulpa DA, Del Cid N, Peterson KA, Collins KL. Adaptor protein 1 promotes cross-presentation through the same tyrosine signal in major histocompatibility complex class i as that targeted by HIV-1. *J Virol* 2013; 87: 8085-98.
- Thomas R, Apps R, Qi Y, Gao X, Male V, O'hUigin C, et al. HLA-C cell surface expression and control of HIV/AIDS correlate with a variant upstream of HLA-C. *Nat Genet* 2009; 41 : 1290-4.
- Kulpa DA, Collins KL. The emerging role of HLA-C in HIV-1 infection. *Immunology* 2011; 134 : 116-22.
- 21. Apps R, Qi Y, Carlson JM, Chen H, Gaox, Thomas R, *et al* Influence of HLA-C expression level on HIV control. *Science* 2013; *340* : 87-91.
- 22. Zipeto D, Beretta A. HLA-C and HIV-1: friends or foes? *Retrovirology* 2012; 9: 1-9.
- Baroni M, Matucci A, Scarlatti G, Soprana E, Rossolillo P, Lopalco L, *et al.* HLA-C is necessary for optimal human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection of human peripheral blood CD4 lymphocytes. *J Gen Virol* 2010; *91* : 235-41.
- 24. Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Weale M, *et al.* A whole-genome association study of major determinants for host control of HIV-1. *Science* 2007; *317* : 944-7.
- Matthews PC, Listgarten J, Carlson JM, Payne R, Huang KH, Frater J, *et al.* Co-operative additive effects between HLA alleles in control of HIV-1. *PLoS One* 2012; 7 : e47799.
- 26. International HIV Controllers Study, Pereyra F, Jia X, McLaren PJ, Telenti A, de Bakker PI, Walker BD, *et al.* The

major genetic determinants of HIV-1 control affect HLA class I peptide presentation. *Science* 2010; *330* : 1551-7.

- 27. Haas DW, Kuritzkes DR, Ritchie MD, Amur S, Gage BF, Maartens G, et al. Workshop Pharmacogenomics-A Path Towards Personalized HIV Care. Pharmacogenomics of HIV therapy: summary of a workshop sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. *HIV Clin Trials* 2011; 12: 277-85.
- Chessman D, Kostenko L, Lethborg T, Purcell AW, Williamson NA, Chen Z, et al. Human leukocyte antigen class I-restricted activation of CD8+ T cells provides the immunogenetic basis of a systemic drug hypersensitivity. *Immunity* 2008; 28: 822-32.
- Martin AM, Nolan D, James I, Cameron P, Keller J, Moore C, et al. Predisposition to nevirapine hypersensitivity associated with HLA-DRB1*0101 and abrogated by low CD4 T-cell counts. AIDS 2005; 19: 97-9.
- Stephens JC, Reich DE, Goldstein DB, Shin HD, Smith MW, Carrington M, *et al.* Dating the origin of the CCR5-Delta32 AIDS-resistance allele by the coalescence of haplotypes. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998; *62* : 1507-15.
- Sharma G, Kaur G, Mehra N. Genetic correlates influencing immunopathogenesis of HIV infection. *Indian J Med Res* 2011; 134: 749-68.
- Kaur G, Singh P, Rapthap CC, Kumar N, Vajpayee M, Sharma SK, et al. Polymorphism in the CCR5 promoter and HIV-1 infection in North Indians. *Hum Immunol* 2007; 68: 454-61.
- Kaur G, Singh P, Kumar N, Rapthap CC, Sharma G, Vajpayee M, et al. Distribution of CCR2 polymorphism in HIV-1 infected and healthy subjects in North India. Int J Immunogen 2007; 34: 153-6.
- Ramana GV, Vasanthi A, Khaja M, Su B, Govindaiah V, Jin L, et al. Distribution of HIV-1 resistance-conferring polymorphic alleles SDF-1-3'A, CCR2-64I and CCR5-Delta32 in diverse populations of Andhra Pradesh, South India. J Genet 2001; 80 : 137-40.
- Rovin B, Lu L, Saxena R. A novel polymorphism in the MCP-1 gene regulatory region that influences MCP-1 expression. Biophy Biochem Res Commun 1999; 259 : 344-8.
- 36. Gonzalez E, Rovin BH, Sen L, Cooke G, Dhandha R, Mummidi S, et al. HIV-1 infection and AIDS dementia are influenced by a mutant MCP-1 allele linked to increased monocyte infiltration of tissues and MCP-1 levels. Proc Nat Acad Sc USA 2002; 99 : 13795-800.
- 37. Cam FS, Sekuri C, Segcan A, Ercan E, Tengiz I, Alioglu E, et al. Effect of Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (*MCP-1*) gene polymorphism in Turkish patients with premature coronary artery disease. *Scand J Immunogenet* 2008; 68 : 801-5.
- Hwang SY, Cho ML, Park B, Kim JY, Kim YH, Min DJ, et al. Allelic frequency of the monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1) promoter -2518 polymorphism in the Korean population and in Korean patients with rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus and adult onset Still's disease. *Eur J Immunogen* 2002; 29 : 413-6.
- 39. Alagarasu K, Selvaraj P, Swaminathan S, Raghavan S, Narendran G, Narayanan PR. CCR2, MCP-1, SDF-1 alpha and DC-SIGN gene polymorphisms in HIV-1 infected patients with and without TB. Indian J Med Res 2009; 130: 444-50.

- Winkler C, Modi W, Smith MW, Nelson GW, Wu X, Carrington M, et al. Genetic restriction of AIDS pathogenesis by an SDF-1 chemokine gene variant. ALIVE Study, Hemophilia Growth and Development Study (HGDS), Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study (MACS), Multicenter Hemophilia Cohort Study (MHCS), San Francisco City Cohort (SFCC). Science 1998; 279: 389-93.
- 41. Tiensiwakul P. Stromal cell derived factor (SDF)1-3'A polymorphism may play a role in resistance to HIV-1 infection in seronegative high risk Thais. *Intervirology* 2004; *47* : 87-92.
- 42. Brambilla A, Villa C, Rizzardi G, Veglia F, Ghezzi S, Lazzarin A, et al. Shorter survival of SDF1-3'A/3'A homozygotes linked to CD4+ T cell decrease in advanced human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. J Infect Dis 2000; 182 : 311-5.
- 43. Vidal F, Peraire J, Domingo P, Broch M, Knobel H, Pedrol E, et al. Chemokines and Long-Term Nonprogressor Study Group. Lack of association of SDF-1 3A variant allele with long-term nonprogressive HIV-1 infection is extended beyond 16 years. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005; 40 : 276-9.
- 44. Suresh P, Wanchu A, Sachdeva RK, Bhatnagar A. Gene polymorphisms in CCR5, CCR2, CX3CR1, SDF-1 and RANTES in exposed but uninfected partners of HIV-1 infected individuals in North India. *J Clin Immunol* 2006; 26: 476-84.
- 45. Su B, Sun G, Lu D, Xiao J, Hu F, Chakraborty R, *et al.* Distribution of three HIV-1 resistance conferring polymorphisms (SDF1-3'A, CCR2-64I and CCR5-delta32) in global populations. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2000; 8 : 975-9
- 46. Hendel H, Henon N, Lebuanec H, Lachgar A, Poncelet H, Caillat-Zucman S, *et al.* Distinctive effects of CCR5, CCR2, and SDF1 genetic polymorphisms in AIDS progression. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1998; 19: 381-6.
- 47. McDermott DH, Beecroft MJ, Kleeberger CA, Al-Sharif FM, Ollier WE, Zimmerman PA, *et al.* Chemokine RANTES promoter polymorphism affects risk of both HIV infection and disease progression in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. *AIDS* 2000; 14 : 2671-8.
- 48. Zhao XY, Lee SS, Wong KH, Chan KC, Ma S, Yam WC, et al. Effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the RANTES promoter region in healthy and HIV-infected indigenous Chinese. Eur J Immunogenet 2004; 31 : 179-83.
- 49. Wichukchinda N, Nakayama EE, Rojanawiwat A, Pathipvanich P, Auwanit W, Vongsheree S, *et al.* Protective effects of IL4-589T and RANTES-28G on HIV-1 disease progression in infected Thai females. *AIDS* 2006; 20 : 189-96.
- 50. Gonzalez E, Dhanda R, Bamshad M, Mummidi S, Geevarghese R, Catano G, *et al.* Global survey of genetic variability in CCR5, RANTES and MIP-1 alpha: Impact on the epidemiology of the HIV-1 pandemic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; *98* : 5199-204.
- 51. Al-Sharif FM, Makki RF, Oilier WE, Hajeer AH. A new microsatellite marker within the promoter region of the *MIP*-*1A* gene. *Immunogenetics* 1999; *49* : 740-1.
- 52. Takada T, Suzuki E, Morohashi K, Omori K, Gejyo F. MCP-1 and MIP-1A gene polymorphisms in Japanese patients with sarcoidosis. *Internal Med* 2002; *41* : 813-8.
- 53. Nakajima T, Kaur G, Mehra N, Kimura A. HIV-1/AIDS susceptibility and copy number variation in CCL3L1, a

gene encoding a natural ligand for HIV-1 co-receptor CCR5. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2008; *123* : 156-60.

- 54. Nakajima T, Nakayama EE, Kaur G, Terunuma H, Mimaya JI, Ohtani H, *et al.* Impact of novel TRIM5alpha variants, Gly110Arg and G176del, on the anti-HIV-1 activity and the susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. *AIDS* 2009; 23 : 2091-100.
- 55. Nakayama EE, Nakajima T, Kaur G, Mimaya J, Terunuma H, Mehra N, *et al.* A naturally occurring single amino acid substitution in human TRIM5a linker region affects its anti-HIV type 1 activity and susceptibility to HIV type 1 infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2013; 29 : 919-24.
- Kidd JM, Newman TL, Tuzun E, Kaul R, Eichler EE. Population stratification of a common *APOBEC* gene deletion polymorphism. *PLoS Genet* 2007; 3: e63.
- 57. Itaya S, Nakajima T, Kaur G, Terunuma H, Ohtani H, Mehra N, et al. No evidence of an association between the APOBEC3B deletion polymorphism and susceptibility to HIV infection and AIDS in Japanese and Indian populations. J Infect Dis 2010; 202 : 815-6.
- Sharma G, Ohtani H, Kaur G, Naruse TK, Sharma SK, Vajpayee M, *et al.* Status of TIM-1 exon 4 haplotypes and CD4+T cell counts in HIV-1 seroprevalent North Indians. *Hum Immunol* 2013; 74 : 163-5.
- Hafler DA, Kuchroo V. TIMs: central regulators of immune responses. J Exp Med 2008; 205 : 2699-701.
- Techakriengkrai N, Tansiri Y, Hansasuta P. Poor HIV control in HLA-B*27 and B*57/58 noncontrollers is associated with limited number of polyfunctional Gag p24-specific CD8+ T cells. *AIDS* 2013; 27: 17-27.
- 61. Verma R, Gupta RB, Singh K, Bhasin R, Anand Shukla A, Chauhan SS, *et al.* Distribution of CCR5delta32, CCR2-64I and SDF1-3'A and plasma levels of SDF-1 in HIV-1 seronegative North Indians. *J Clin Virol* 2007; *38* : 198-203.
- Sarkar K, Das SS, Pal R, Bal B, Madhusudan P, Chakraborti S. HIV infection and host genetic mutation among injecting drug-users of northeastern states of India. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2010; 28 : 130-6.
- Husain S, Goila R, Shahi S, Banerjea A. First report of a healthy Indian heterozygous for delta 32 mutant of HIV-1 coreceptor-CCR5 gene. *Gene* 1998; 207: 141-7.
- Bhatnagar I, Singh M, Mishra N, Saxena R, Thangaraj K, Singh L, *et al.* The latitude wise prevalence of the CCR5-Δ32-HIV resistance allele in India. *Balkan J Med Genet* 2009; *12* : 17-27.
- 65. Ramamoorti N, Kumarvelu J, Shanmugasundaram GK, Rani K, Banerjea AC. High frequency of G to A transition mutation in the stromal cell derived factor-1 gene in India, a chemokine that blocks HIV-1 (X4) infection: multiple proteins bind to 3'-untranslated region of SDF-1 RNA. *Genes Immun* 2001; 2 : 408-10.
- 66. Chaudhary O, Rajsekar K, Ahmed I, Verma R, Bala M, Bhasin R, *et al.* Polymorphic variants in DC-SIGN, DC-SIGNR and SDF-1 in high risk seronegative and HIV-1 patients in Northern Asian Indians. *J Clin Virol* 2008; *43* : 196-201.
- 67. Kaur S, Panicker SR, James T, Sarma PS, Thankappan KR, Kartha CC. Association of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 -2518 polymorphism with metabolic syndrome in a South Indian cohort. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2009; 7: 193-8.

- Sunder SR, Hanumanth SR, Gaddam S, Jonnalagada S, Valluri VL. Association of TAP 1 and 2 gene polymorphisms with human immunodeficiency virus-tuberculosis co-infection. *Hum Immunol* 2011; 72 : 908-11.
- 69. Sobti RC, Sharma VL, Abitew AM, Berhane N, Mahdi SA, Askari M, *et al.* The -137G/C polymorphism of interleukin 18 promoter and risk of HIV-1 infection and its progression to AIDS. *Acta Virol* 2011; *55* : 353-6.
- Ramaseri Sunder S, Hanumanth SR, Nagaraju RT, Venkata SK, Suryadevara NC, Pydi SS, *et al.* IL-10 high producing genotype predisposes HIV infected individuals to TB infection. *Hum Immunol* 2012; 73 : 605-11.
- Rathore A, Chatterjee A, Sivarama P, Yamamoto N, Dhole TN. Role of homozygous DC-SIGNR 5/5 tandem repeat polymorphism in HIV-1 exposed seronegative North Indian individuals. *J Clin Immunol* 2008; 28: 50-7.
- Chaudhary O, Bhasin R, Luthra K. DC-SIGN and DC-SIGNR polymorphic variants in Northern Asian Indians. *Int J Immunogenet* 2008; 35 : 475-9.
- Alagarasu K, Selvaraj P, Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Narayanan PR. 5' regulatory and 3' untranslated region polymorphisms of vitamin D receptor gene in south Indian HIV and HIV-TB patients. *J Clin Immunol* 2009; 29 : 196-204.
- Chatterjee A, Rathore A, Yamamoto N, Dhole TN. Mannosebinding lectin (+54) exon-1 gene polymorphism influence human immunodeficiency virus-1 susceptibility in North Indians. *Tissue Antigens* 2011; 77: 18-22.

- Alagarasu K, Selvaraj P, Swaminathan S, Raghavan S, Narendran G, Narayanan PR.Mannose binding lectin gene variants and susceptibility to tuberculosis in HIV-1 infected patients of South India. *Tuberculosis* (Edinb). 2007; 87: 535-43.
- Hütter G, Nowak D, Mossner M, Ganepola S, Müssig A, Allers K, et al. Long-term control of HIV by CCR5 delta32/delta32 stem-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med 2009; 360 : 692-8.
- Coghlan A. Bone-marrow transplants 'cure' men with HIV. Webpage of New Scientist. Available from: http://www. newscientist.com/article/dn23788-bonemarrow-transplantscure-men-with-hiv.html#.Ug32bdI3BDU, accessed on July 1, 2013.
- Hayden EC Stem-cell transplants may urge HIV. Nature news 2013 December webpage. Available from: http://www. nature.com/news/stem-cell-transplants-may-purge-hiv-1.13297, accessed on July 1, 2013.
- Hayden EC. Bid to cure HIV ramps us. Nature news Vol 498 Issue 7455 webpage. Available from: http://www.nature.com/ news/bid-to-cure-hiv-ramps-up-1.13268, accessed on June 1, 2013.
- Willyard C. Dormant HIV gets rude awakening. Nature news 2013 December. Webpage Available from: http://www. nature.com/news/dormant-hiv-gets-rude-awakening-1.11077, accessed on July 1, 2012.
- SG and Barre-Sinoussi F. Nature Volume 487 Issue 7401; 293-294. Webpage. Available from: http://www.nature.com/ nature/journal/v487/n7407/full/487293a.html, accessed on July 1, 2012.

Reprint requests: Dr Gurvinder Kaur, Senior Research Scientist, Department of Transplant Immunology & Immunogenetics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110 029, India e-mail: gurvinder@hotmail.com