

Research Article

Investigating the Antiproliferative and Antioxidant Properties of *Pancratium maritimum* L. (Amaryllidaceae) Stems, Flowers, Bulbs, and Fruits Extracts

Mariarosaria Leporini,¹ Giorgia Catinella,² Maurizio Bruno ,² Tiziana Falco,¹ Rosa Tundis,¹ and Monica R. Loizzo ¹

¹Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, 87036 Rende (CS), Italy

²Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biologiche Chimiche e Farmaceutiche (STEBICEF), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Maurizio Bruno; maurizio.bruno@unipa.it and Monica R. Loizzo; monica_rosa.loizzo@unical.it

Received 29 June 2018; Revised 22 August 2018; Accepted 19 September 2018; Published 30 September 2018

Academic Editor: Adolfo Andrade Cetto

Copyright © 2018 Mariarosaria Leporini et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pancratium maritimum stems, flowers, bulbs, and fruits extracts were investigated for their antiproliferative and antioxidant properties. Total phenols and total flavonoids were also determined. The *in vitro* antiproliferative activity was tested against seven cancer cell lines such as C32, HeLa, MDA-MB-231, PC3, A549, MCF-7, and LNCaP by using SRB assay. Interesting results were obtained with stems ethanol extract (ET1) against C32 cells (IC₅₀ of 27.1 µg/mL) and fruits aqueous extract (AQ) against MCF-7 cell line (IC₅₀ of 36.5 µg/mL). To define the antioxidant activity, four tests such as DPPH, ABTS FRAP, and β-carotene bleaching tests were used. The most promising ABTS scavenging capacity was observed with fruits ethanol extract (ET1) that showed an IC₅₀ value of 6.9 µg/mL. According to the correlation results, the phenols and flavonoids content could provide a fundamental contribution to the antioxidant and antiproliferative activity of *P. maritimum* extracts.

1. Introduction

The genus *Pancratium* (Amaryllidaceae family) comprises about 20 species. *P. maritimum* L. or marine narcissus, is a plant species typical of sandy coasts, widely disseminated from the Mediterranean to the Black Sea, including the part of the Atlantic coasts [1]. Although widely distributed, *P. maritimum* populations have declined significantly due to urbanization, tourism development, alteration and destruction of dune systems, and overharvesting [2]. *P. maritimum* is used in the traditional medicine of several Mediterranean countries for its antimicrobial, antimalarial, purgative, antiviral, immune-stimulant, antalgic, anticancer, antifungal, and antioxidant properties [3–8].

Several studies focused on alkaloids as the main bioactive constituents [9, 10] while few reports investigated the nonalkaloidal composition of *P. maritimum*.

Cancer and chemoprevention represent a major challenge for health professionals worldwide [11, 12]. The pharmacological strategy, although effective in some cases, causes numerous toxic effects due to the action that these drugs also have towards healthy tissue cells that possess a high fraction of proliferating cells, such as bone marrow cells and epithelial cells [13]. The research activity in the field of oncology is therefore increasingly directed towards the selection of new molecules with greater activity and less toxicity towards healthy tissues. Therefore, the development of a safe, nontoxic plant protection product is justified [14]. Oxidative stress is closely related to all aspects of cancer, from carcinogenesis to the tumor-bearing state, from treatment to prevention [15]. Reducing oxidative stress is related to the anticancer effect. Nowak et al. [16] report the importance of chemoprevention with natural compounds to reverse, suppress, or prevent the development of invasive cancer. Moreover,

natural antioxidants can eliminate free radicals such as singlet oxygen or peroxides by donating hydrogen and chelating metal ion. These activities decrease DNA damage, reduce lipid peroxidation, and inhibit cell proliferation that is closely related to cancer development [17, 18]. Hence, the studies on natural products characterized by both antioxidant and antiproliferative activities have gained increasingly greater importance. Following our previous studies, the aim of this work is to assess the *in vitro* antiproliferative activity against seven human cancer cell lines and antioxidant properties, in relation to the phenols and flavonoids content of *P. maritimum* flowers, bulbs, stems, and fruits.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. All chemicals and reagents used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd (Milan, Italy) and VWR International (Milan, Italy) and, unless specified otherwise, were analytical grade or higher.

2.2. Plant Materials. Flowers, stems, bulbs, and fruits of *Pancreatium maritimum* were collected in September 2016 in Lascari (Palermo, Italy) (38°01'48" N, 13°18'40" E, 16 m s/l) on a sandy soil. Voucher specimens (No. MB 385/16) were identified by Dr. E. Schimmenti and deposited in the Department STEBICEF, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy.

2.3. Extraction Procedure. Fresh flowers, stems, bulbs, and fruits of *P. maritimum* were blended and extracted with two different methodologies: (a) sequential extraction with petroleum ether (ETP), ethanol (ET1), and water (H₂O, 1% of H₂SO₄) (AQ) and (b) maceration with ethanol (ET2) (3 x 200 mL). Petroleum ether and ethanol were evaporated at low pressure, 40°C, using a Rotavapor Buchi R-200 (Buchi, Milan, Italy), whereas the water extracts were freeze-dried with Scanlaf Coolsafe I10-4.

2.4. Total Phenols Content. Total phenols content was evaluated by using the Folin-Ciocalteu method as previously reported [19]. A solution of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 15% sodium carbonate was mixed with sample. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 765$ nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. The total phenols content was expressed as mg chlorogenic acid equivalents/g of extract.

2.5. Total Flavonoids Content. Total flavonoids content was determined following the method previously described [19]. The extract was mixed with 2% aluminum chloride solution and left to incubate at room temperature for 15 min. The absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 510$ nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. The total flavonoids content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalents/g of extract.

2.6. Radical Scavenging Activity

2.6.1. DPPH Test. 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity was evaluated following the

method previously described [20]. Different concentrations of the extract were mixed with DPPH (0.25 mM) and left to incubate at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 517$ nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. The DPPH radicals scavenging activity was calculated as follows: DPPH scavenging activity = $[(A_0 - A_1)/A_0] \times 100$, where A₀ is the absorbance of the blank and A₁ is the absorbance in the presence of the extract. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control.

2.6.2. ABTS Assay. ABTS assay was done following the methodology previously described [21]. A solution of ABTS radical cation (ABTS⁺) and potassium persulphate was prepared. After 12 h the solution was diluted with ethanol until an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.03 measure at $\lambda = 734$ nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. The extract and diluted ABTS⁺ solution were mixed and after 6 min and the absorbance has been read again. The ABTS scavenging ability was calculated as follows: ABTS scavenging activity (%) = $[(A_0 - A)/A_0] \times 100$ where A₀ is the absorbance of the control reaction and A is the absorbance in the presence of extract. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control.

2.6.3. β -Carotene Bleaching Test. The β -carotene bleaching test was performed following the procedure previously described [22]. A solution of β -carotene, linoleic acid, and 100 % Tween was prepared. After evaporation of the solvent by using a rotary evaporator the 5 mL of water was added. The emulsion was transferred into different tubes containing 0.2 mL of extract at different concentrations. The absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 470$ nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. Propyl gallate was used as positive control.

2.6.4. Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index (RACI) Calculation. The statistical application RACI was used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of extracts [23]. The standard scores were obtained from data from different chemical methods without unrestricted units and no variance between the methods.

2.6.5. Global Antioxidant Score (GAS). The T-scores were used to calculate the value of Global Antioxidant Score (GAS). T-score is calculated by the following equation: T - score = $(X - \min)/(\max - \min)$, where min and max, respectively, represent the smallest and largest values of variable X among the investigated extract [24].

2.7. Antiproliferative Activity

2.7.1. Cell Culture. Seven cancer cell lines, namely, human Caucasian breast carcinoma (MCF-7, ECACC N°:86012803), Human cervix epitheloid carcinoma (HeLa, ECACC N°: 93021013), human Caucasian breast adenocarcinoma (MDA-MB-231, ECACC N°:92020424), amelanotic melanoma (C32, ATCC N°:CRL-1585), lung carcinoma A549 (ECACC No. 86012804), human Caucasian prostate carcinoma (LNCaP, ECACC N°:891102011), and human Caucasian prostate adenocarcinoma (PC3, ECACC N°: 90112714), were used in our experiments. All media, buffers, trypsin, and dyes were

filter-sterilized prior to use and warmed to 37°C. The MDA-MB-231, C32, and LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium, while MCF-7, HeLa, A549, and PC3 cells were cultured in DMEM. Both media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The cell lines were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere with 95% humidity. The cultures were passed once a week by trypsinization using a 1:30 dilution of standard Trypsin-EDTA solution. Cells counts and viability were performed using a standard trypan blue cell counting technique.

2.7.2. Sulforhodamine B Assay. The antiproliferative activity was performed by using the protein-staining sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay as previously described [25]. Cells were trypsinized, counted, and placed in 96-well plates at optimal plating density of each cell line determined over a range 5–15 × 10⁴ to ensure exponential growth throughout the experimental period and to ensure a linear relationship between absorbance at 490 nm and cell number analyzed by the SRB assay and incubated to allow for cell attachment. After 24 h the cells were treated with serial dilutions of the samples. Each sample was initially dissolved in DMSO and further diluted in medium to produce different concentrations. One hundred microliters/wells of each dilution were added to the plates in six replicates to obtain the final concentrations ranging from 5 to 200 µg/mL for the sample. The final mixture used for treating the cells contained not more than 0.5% of the solvent (DMSO), the same as in the solvent-control wells. After 48 h of exposure 100 µL of ice-cold 40% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to each well, left for 1 h at 4°C, and washed with distilled water. The TCA-fixed cells were stained for 30 min with 50 µL of 0.4% (w/v) SRB in 1% acetic acid. Plates were washed with 1% HOAc and air-dried overnight. For reading plate, the bound dye was solubilised with 100 µL of 10 mM tris base (tris[hydroxymethyl]aminomethane). The absorbance of each well was read on a Molecular Devices SpectraMax Plus Plate Reader (Molecular Devices, Celbio, Milan, Italy) at 490 nm. Cell survival was measured as the percentage absorbance compared to the untreated control. Vinblastine sulfate salt, doxorubicin, and taxol were used as positive control.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The concentration giving 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was calculated by nonlinear regression with the use of Prism GraphPad Prism version 7.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The concentration-response curve was obtained by plotting the percentage inhibition versus concentration. Differences within and between groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test compared with the positive control.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Extraction Yield, Total Phenols, and Flavonoids Content. *P. maritimum* flowers, fruits, stems, and bulbs were extracted

TABLE 1: Total phenols and total flavonoids content of *P. maritimum* extracts.

Sample	Total Phenols Content ^a	Total Flavonoids Content ^b
Flowers		
ETP	242.2 ± 2.8	39.8 ± 0.1
ET1	228.6 ± 2.4	45.7 ± 0.2
AQ	40.4 ± 1.1	26.9 ± 0.2
ET2	289.5 ± 2.6	41.1 ± 0.5
Fruits		
ETP	30.1 ± 1.7	21.7 ± 0.2
ET1	277.8 ± 2.9	52.7 ± 0.3
AQ	30.9 ± 0.8	10.3 ± 0.4
ET2	72.7 ± 1.5	30.3 ± 0.3
Stems		
ETP	246.7 ± 2.3	30.7 ± 0.1
ET1	260.0 ± 3.2	35.2 ± 0.1
AQ	246.7 ± 3.3	30.6 ± 0.9
ET2	35.1 ± 1.2	14.4 ± 0.2
Bulbs		
ETP	213.8 ± 3.5	30.6 ± 0.1
ET1	48.4 ± 1.5	24.4 ± 0.3
AQ	30.0 ± 0.9	17.1 ± 0.2
ET2	60.9 ± 1.3	24.6 ± 0.4

¹Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). ETP: petroleum ether extract; ET1: sequential extraction with ethanol; AQ: sequential extraction with water; ET2: maceration with ethanol; ^amg of chlorogenic acid equivalents/g of extract. ^bmg of quercetin equivalents/g of extract.

by using two methods. Firstly, plant materials were sequentially with petroleum ether (ETP), ethanol (ET1), and water (AQ). Extracts with the following yields (%) were obtained: flowers (ETP, 0.3%) (ET1, 3.6%) (AQ, 2.4%); stems (ETP, 0.1%) (ET1, 2.2%) (AQ, 0.9%); bulbs (ETP, 0.02%) (ET1, 6.5%) (AQ, 1.7%); fruits (ETP, 0.2%) (ET1, 2.5%) (AQ, 2.3%).

The second methodology consisted in the extraction of fresh and blended plant materials with ethanol (ET2) to give, after solvent evaporation, the following yields %: flowers (5.7%); stems (4.7%); bulbs (7.2%); fruits (7.0%). All samples were stored at 4°C for further investigations.

The importance to determine the content of phenols in plant extracts is related to the antioxidant capacity of these bioactive compounds that are able to act as reducing agents, free radical scavengers, metal chelators, or deactivators of singlet oxygen and/or display simultaneously more than one of these functions [26].

Table 1 showed the total phenols and total flavonoids content of different *P. maritimum* extracts. Flowers ethanol extract showed the highest total phenols content with value of 289.5 mg of chlorogenic acid equivalents/g of extract. Similar results are observed also with fruits and stems ethanol seq. extracts. Fruits ethanol seq. extract showed, also, the highest value of total flavonoids content with value of 52.71 mg of quercetin equivalents/g of extract. Recently, Johnson et al. [27] reported the total flavonoids content of *P. triflorum* extracts with values ranging from 386.66 to 4846.66 mg

TABLE 2: Antiproliferative capacity [IC_{50} ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)] of *P. maritimum* extracts.

<i>P. maritimum</i>	MCF-7	HeLa	MDA-MB-231	C32	A549	LNCaP	PC3
Flowers							
ETP	156.3 ± 6.1***	175.1 ± 5.4***	NA	89.5 ± 3.8***	100.2 ± 3.7***	98.4 ± 4.4***	171.5 ± 5.7***
ET1	78.7 ± 3.4***	80.8 ± 3.9***	134.6 ± 4.3***	67.2 ± 2.9***	81.3 ± 3.1***	75.8 ± 3.5***	119.6 ± 4.0***
AQ	141.7 ± 4.3***	134.7 ± 3.8***	NA	179.8 ± 5.4***	112.2 ± 3.8***	85.5 ± 3.5***	176.7 ± 3.9***
ET2	176.2 ± 4.3***	198.7 ± 3.5***	NA	172.1 ± 2.8***	100.1 ± 1.8***	85.5 ± 3.5***	176.7 ± 3.9***
Fruits							
ETP	171.6 ± 5.3***	132.9 ± 3.3***	NA	79.2 ± 3.4***	123.1 ± 3.7***	79.6 ± 3.2***	143.7 ± 3.8***
ET1	45.2 ± 3.1***	36.9 ± 3.4***	144.9 ± 4.4***	61.0 ± 3.9***	80.5 ± 3.9***	77.9 ± 3.7***	121.3 ± 4.4***
AQ	36.5 ± 3.7***	49.9 ± 3.2***	185.4 ± 2.9***	65.2 ± 3.7***	82.6 ± 4.0***	65.3 ± 3.8***	128.4 ± 4.6***
ET2	44.3 ± 3.9***	42.7 ± 3.8***	155.7 ± 3.9***	58.4 ± 3.8***	122.7 ± 4.6***	46.8 ± 4.2***	110.3 ± 2.7***
Stems							
ETP	122.8 ± 5.8***	135.2 ± 6.1***	NA	71.1 ± 3.0***	85.3 ± 3.5***	70.6 ± 3.4***	129.9 ± 4.8***
ET1	56.2 ± 3.4***	50.1 ± 3.2***	NA	27.1 ± 3.5***	59.4 ± 3.5***	70.1 ± 3.2***	178.2 ± 3.3***
AQ	132.1 ± 2.2***	NA	176.3 ± 5.6***	188.6 ± 3.4***	192.1 ± 4.9***	88.2 ± 3.1***	100.3 ± 2.6***
ET2	183.5 ± 5.4***	NA	NA	187.2 ± 3.5***	123.4 ± 5.9***	178.9 ± 4.3***	173.9 ± 5.1***
Bulbs							
ETP	45.9 ± 3.2***	40.8 ± 4.9***	111.7 ± 4.1***	34.7 ± 3.9***	76.3 ± 3.6***	66.1 ± 3.2***	80.2 ± 4.0***
ET1	NA	186.5 ± 5.48***	NA	125.7 ± 4.8***	187.1 ± 4.7***	167.2 ± 5.4***	179.1 ± 5.5***
AQ	182.5 ± 5.4***	178.9 ± 4.3***	NA	182.9 ± 3.9***	182.5 ± 5.4***	178.9 ± 4.3***	176.3 ± 5.6***
ET2	138.6 ± 2.2***	NA	144.2 ± 2.8***	181.2 ± 3.6***	190.3 ± 4.1***	75.3 ± 3.3***	102.3 ± 2.8***
Positive control							
Vinblastine				45.5 ± 1.9	67.3 ± 2.0	29.3 ± 0.9	
Doxorubicin	4.04 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.3	14.9 ± 1.4				1.5 ± 0.1
Taxol	0.08 ± 0.006	0.011 ± 0.008	8.62 ± 0.6				2.3 ± 0.4

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (n= 3). ETP: petroleum ether extract; ET1: sequential extraction with ethanol; AQ: sequential extraction with water; ET2: maceration with ethanol; MCF-7: human Caucasian breast carcinoma HeLa: Human cervix epithelioid carcinoma; MDA-MB-231: human Caucasian breast adenocarcinoma; C32: amelanotic melanoma; A549: lung carcinoma; LNCaP: human Caucasian prostate carcinoma; PC3: human Caucasian prostate adenocarcinoma. MCF-7, HeLa, and MDA-MB-231: one-way ANOVA *** p < 0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test: *** p < 0.01 compared with doxorubicin. C32, A549, and LNCaP: one-way ANOVA *** p < 0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test: *** p < 0.01 compared with vinblastine.

of GAE/g of extract for chloroform and methanol extracts, respectively.

Previously, Taie et al. [4] evaluated the total phenol and flavonoid content of *P. maritimum* root, bulb, leaves, flowers, and seeds and found the highest value in leaves (5.36 mg gallic/g extract and 1.17 mg quercetin/g extract, respectively).

3.2. Antiproliferative Activity. *P. maritimum* extracts were tested to evaluate their antiproliferative activity on different cancer cell lines including human Caucasian breast carcinoma (MCF-7), human cervix epithelioid carcinoma (HeLa), human Caucasian breast adenocarcinoma (MDA-MB-231), amelanotic melanoma (C32), lung carcinoma (A549), human Caucasian prostate carcinoma (LNCaP), and human Caucasian prostate adenocarcinoma (PC3). Data are reported in Table 2. All extracts showed antiproliferative effects in a concentration-dependent manner. The stems ethanol extract (ET1) was the most active against C32 cells with an IC_{50} value of 27.1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, followed by the petroleum ether extract (ETP) of bulbs (IC_{50} value of 34.7 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Both these results are of interest if compared to the positive control vinblastine with an IC_{50} value of 45.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The other IC_{50} values are in the range 58.4-188.6 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The stems ethanol extract

(ET1) showed an activity higher than that of vinblastine (IC_{50} value 59.4 vs 67.3 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of positive control) also against lung carcinoma cells.

Except for the ETP extract, the most promising results against MCF-7 cell line were obtained with fruits extracts with IC_{50} values in the range 36.5-45.2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Promising values were obtained with bulbs petroleum ether extract and aqueous extract that inhibited HeLa and LNCaP cells growth with IC_{50} values of 40.8 and 46.8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. Recently, Tayoub et al. [28] evaluated the effects of Iranian *P. maritimum* bulbs, leaves, flowers, and roots on human breast cancer cells MDA-MB-321. For this purpose plant material was extracted by maceration with ethanol. The antiproliferative activity was assessed using BD biosciences cell viability kit with exposure time of 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours of exposure. As in our experiments all extract inhibited cancer cell in a dose-dependent manner however a more pronounced cell growth inhibitory activity was observed also in dependence of the time. Generally, bulbs showed more antiproliferative activities than leaf extract. Bulbs ethanol extract showed the most promising activity after 48 h of exposure with IC_{50} value of 0.039 mg/mL. The cytotoxic activity is mediated by cell cycle cell arrest at S and G2/M

TABLE 3: Antioxidant activity of *P. maritimum* extracts.

<i>P. maritimum</i>	DPPH test (IC ₅₀ µg/mL)	ABTS test (IC ₅₀ µg/mL)	β-carotene bleaching	β-carotene bleaching	FRAP test µM Fe (II)/g	RACI	GAS
			test (IC ₅₀ µg/mL)	test (IC ₅₀ µg/mL)			
			30 min	60 min			
Flowers							
ETP	32.2 ± 3.2***	16.7 ± 1.6***	88.7 ± 8.1***	72.5 ± 7.1***	3.8 ± 0.4***	0.05	1.93
ET1	81.3 ± 8.1***	10.4 ± 1.2***	9.2 ± 0.9***	19.4 ± 2.0***	15.6 ± 1.2***	-0.22	1.18
AQ	49.7 ± 4.7***	14.4 ± 1.4***	8.2 ± 8.1***	7.2 ± 1.5***	NA	-0.31	0.24
ET2	95.3 ± 9.1***	11.6 ± 1.1***	63.6 ± 6.3***	64.1 ± 6.2***	10.1 ± 1.0***	0.15	2.26
Fruits							
ETP	35%	13.3 ± 1.3***	48.2%	37.7%	NA	-0.38	0.02
ET1	846.1 ± 44.2***	6.9 ± 0.6***	63.3 ± 6.1***	77.5 ± 7.1***	11.8 ± 1.1***	0.56	2.96
AQ	40.4%	98.2 ± 9.6***	11.2 ± 1.3***	51.1 ± 5.1***	2.4 ± 0.3***	0.04	1.95
ET2	746.8 ± 48.2***	10.0 ± 1.0***	94.9 ± 9.2***	80.9 ± 8.1***	1.8 ± 0.1***	-0.16	0.92
Stems							
ETP	711.5 ± 23.5***	23.4 ± 2.4***	57.8 ± 5.9***	89.1 ± 8.7***	5.1 ± 0.5***	0.54	2.60
ET1	84.1 ± 8.4***	8.1 ± 0.8***	81.6 ± 8.2***	98.0 ± 9.4***	19.7 ± 1.4***	0.23	2.94
AQ	38.5%	110.9 ± 15.3***	11.4 ± 1.2***	40.5 ± 4.0***	NA	-0.09	1.10
ET2	105.7 ± 15.2***	16.4 ± 1.4***	95.1 ± 9.1***	81.6 ± 8.2***	5.6 ± 0.6***	-0.33	0.19
Bulbs							
ETP	923.0 ± 50.6***	272.4 ± 16.5***	84.2 ± 8.1***	13.2 ± 1.1***	NA	0.24	3.01
ET1	46%	8.9 ± 0.9***	50.2 ± 48.2***	9.9 ± 0.9***	NA	-0.32	0.63
AQ	149.3 ± 14.2***	231.8 ± 22.6***	21.5 ± 2.3***	23.9 ± 2.4***	4.2 ± 0.3***	-0.15	1.69
ET2	42.2%	12.0 ± 1.1***	9.4 ± 8.7***	8.0 ± 7.1***	NA	0.52	3.50
Positive control							
Ascorbic acid	5.0 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.06					
Propyl gallate			0.09 ± 0.004	0.09 ± 0.004			
BHT					63.2 ± 4.3		

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (n= 3). ETP: petroleum ether extract; ET1: sequential extraction with ethanol; AQ: sequential extraction with water; ET2: maceration with ethanol; RACI: Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index; GAS: Global Antioxidant Score. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity Assay: one-way ANOVA ***p <0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test: ***p <0.01 compared with ascorbic acid. ABTS test: one-way ANOVA ***p <0.0001 followed by a multicomparison Dunnett's test: ***p <0.01 compared with ascorbic acid. β-carotene bleaching test at 30 minutes of incubation: one-way ANOVA ***p <0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test: ***p <0.01 compared with propyl gallate. β-carotene bleaching test at 60 minutes of incubation: one-way ANOVA ***p <0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test: ***p <0.01 compared with propyl gallate. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP): one-way ANOVA ***p <0.0001 followed by multicomparison Dunnett's test **p <0.01 compared with BHT.

phases. The expression of cyclin B1, Bcl-2, and Ki67 was also affected by plant extracts.

Based on the indications of the National Cancer Institute, plant extracts with an IC₅₀ value less of 30 µg/ml are to be considered as promising anticancer agents that needed further investigation [29]. In this preliminary study, the focus of our interest was on *P. maritimum* crude extracts. Further studies will be done in order to identify phytochemicals responsible of the activity and their mechanism of action.

3.3. Antioxidant Activity. Despite the presence of the several antioxidant defence systems to neutralize oxidative stress,

oxidative damage may occur to cell structure and may induce somatic mutations and neoplastic transformation. Indeed, cancer initiation and progression has been linked to oxidative stress by inducing DNA damage, increasing DNA mutations, and cell proliferation [30]. Counteracting oxidative stress with potent antioxidant agents is a very active field of research. Herein, the antioxidant activity of *P. maritimum* extracts was examined using different *in vitro* methods. All samples showed concentration-dependent antioxidants effects. Data are reported in Table 3.

The most promising scavenging capacity was observed with fruits ethanol extract (ET1) that inhibited ABTS

radicals with an IC₅₀ value of 6.9 µg/mL, followed by flowers petroleum ether extract that showed an IC₅₀ value of 32.2 µg/mL in DPPH assay. A significant protection of lipid peroxidation was observed with flowers aqueous extract that showed IC₅₀ values of 8.2 and 7.2 µg/mL, after 30 and 60 minutes of incubation, respectively. A moderate ferric reducing activity for all tested samples was observed.

Previously, Nikolova et al. [31] found an IC₅₀ value greater than 200 µg/mL for the *P. maritimum* methanol bulbs extract. A promising DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activity was observed also with Egyptian *P. maritimum* flowers and leaves methanol extracts [4]. In particular flowers and leaves extracts recorded the highest DPPH radical scavenging potential with percentage of 85.2 and 81.3%, respectively. Moreover, flowers significantly inhibited ABTS⁺ with percentage of 72.3%. The leaves antioxidant potential was confirmed, also in Tunisian *P. maritimum* [32]. Leaves extract showed stronger ORAC and DPPH inhibition compared to bulbs extract. The comparison of diethyl ether and ethyl acetate fractions of the aqueous extract of *P. foetidum* leaves confirmed that the DPPH radical scavenging potential is related to the total phenols content [33]. The key role of phenols content in antioxidant capacity, with particular reference to the free radical scavenging activity, was previously evidenced by Elmastas et al. [34].

In our study, a positive correlation was found with total phenols content and DPPH, β-carotene after 30 and 60 minutes of incubation, and FRAP test. In addition, a positive correlation between total flavonoids content and DPPH and FRAP test was observed. The Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index (RACI) and the Global Antioxidant Score (GAS) are calculated and values are comprised in the range 0.56-38 and 0.02-3.50, respectively.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the total phenols and flavonoids content of *P. maritimum* stems, flowers, bulbs, and fruits extracts and their antiproliferative and antioxidant properties. The antiproliferative effect of the ethanol extract of stems against C32 and A-549 cells may be related to their antioxidant activity. Moreover, the ethanol extract of fruits, with the higher content of flavonoids, presents the highest radical scavenging activity in ABTS test. In conclusion, the results revealed that *P. maritimum* extracts can provide a good source of antioxidant compounds and showed significant antiproliferative effects.

Abbreviations

ABTS: 2,2'-Azinobis
(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)
diammonium salt
DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide
DPPH: 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
FRAP: Ferric Reducing Ability Power
GAS: Global Antioxidant Score
IC₅₀: Concentration giving 50% inhibition
RACI: Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index

ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species
SD: Standard deviation
SRB: Sulforhodamine B
TCA: Trichloroacetic acid.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Maurizio Bruno and Rosa Tundis conceived and designed the experiments; Mariarosaria Leporini and Tiziana Falco performed the experiments; Giorgia Catinella analyzed the data; Mariarosaria Leporini wrote the paper; Monica R. Loizzo supervised the project.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grant from MIUR-ITALY PRIN2015 "Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approach in the Development of New Bioactive Chemical Entities Inspired on Natural Products Scaffolds" (Project no. 2015MSCKCE_003).

References

- [1] O. De Castro, S. Brullo, P. Colombo, S. Jury, P. De Luca, and A. Di Maio, "Phylogenetic and biogeographical inferences for *Pancratium* (Amaryllidaceae), with an emphasis on the Mediterranean species based on plastid sequence data," *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 12–28, 2012.
- [2] R. Perrone, C. Salmeri, S. Brullo, P. Colombo, and O. De Castro, "What do leaf anatomy and micro-morphology tell us about the psammophilous *Pancratium maritimum* L. (Amaryllidaceae) in response to sand dune conditions?" *Flora*, vol. 213, pp. 20–31, 2015.
- [3] D. Nikopoulos and A. A. Alexopoulos, "In vitro propagation of an endangered medicina plant: *Pancratium maritimum* L.," *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, vol. 6, pp. 393–398, 2008.
- [4] H. Taie, M. A. T. Esawy, and M. A. E. M. Mohamed, "Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of different parts of *Pancratium maritimum*," *Planta Medica*, vol. 81, pp. 166–167, 2015.
- [5] T. Baytop, *Therapy with Medicinal Plants in Turkey past and Present*, Istanbul University Press, Istanbul, Turkey, 1984.
- [6] D. Youssef, "Bioactive principles of the flowers of *Pancratium maritimum*," *Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Sciences-Assiut University*, vol. 26, pp. 171–178, 2003.
- [7] V. Georgiev, I. Ivanov, S. Berkov, and A. Pavlov, "Alkaloids biosynthesis by *Pancratium maritimum* L. shoots in liquid culture," *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 927–933, 2011.
- [8] B. Şener, I. Orhan, and J. Satayavivad, "Antimalarial activity screening of some alkaloids and the plant extracts from amaryllidaceae," *Phytotherapy Research*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1220–1223, 2003.

- [9] S. Berkov, L. Evstatieva, and S. Popov, "Alkaloids in Bulgarian *Pancreatium maritimum* L.," *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*, vol. 59, no. 1-2, pp. 65–69, 2004.
- [10] S. R. Ibrahim, G. A. Mohamed, L. A. Shaala, and D. T. Youssef, "Non-alkaloidal compounds from the bulbs of the Egyptian plant *Pancreatium maritimum*," *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*, vol. 69, no. 3-4, pp. 92–98, 2014.
- [11] C. J. Rogers, L. H. Colbert, J. W. Greiner, S. N. Perkins, and S. D. Hursting, "Physical activity and cancer prevention: Pathways and targets for intervention," *Sports Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 271–296, 2008.
- [12] J. E. Trosko, "Dietary modulation of the multistage, multimechanisms of human carcinogenesis: Effects on initiated stem cells and cell-cell communication," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 102–110, 2006.
- [13] V. T. De Vita, T. S. Lawrence, S. A. Rosenberg, R. A. De Pinho, and R. A. Weinberg, *Cancer: Principle and Practice of Oncology*, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Penn, USA, 2011.
- [14] D. Bagchi, A. Swaroop, H. G. Preuss, and M. Bagchi, "Free radical scavenging, antioxidant and cancer chemoprevention by grape seed proanthocyanidin: An overview," *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, vol. 768, pp. 69–73, 2014.
- [15] N. Noda and H. Wakasugi, "Cancer and oxidative stress," *Japan Medicine Association Journal*, vol. 44, pp. 535–539, 2001.
- [16] R. Nowak, M. Olech, and N. Nowacka, "Plant polyphenols as Chemopreventive agents," *Polyphenols in Human Health and Disease*, vol. 2, pp. 1289–1307, 2013.
- [17] R. Erenler, O. Sen, H. Aksit et al., "Isolation and identification of chemical constituents from *Origanum majorana* and investigation of antiproliferative and antioxidant activities," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 822–836, 2016.
- [18] M. Elmastas, L. Ozturk, I. Gokce, R. Erenler, and H. Y. Aboul-Enein, "Determination of antioxidant activity of marshmallow flower (*Althaea officinalis* L.)," *Analytical Letters*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 1859–1869, 2004.
- [19] M. R. Loizzo, M. Bonesi, F. Menichini, M. C. Tenuta, M. Leporini, and R. Tundis, "Antioxidant and carbohydrate-hydrolysing enzymes potential of *Sechium edule* (Jacq.) Swartz (Cucurbitaceae) peel, leaves and pulp fresh and processed," *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 381–387, 2016.
- [20] M. R. Loizzo, M. Ben Jemia, F. Senatore, M. Bruno, F. Menichini, and R. Tundis, "Chemistry and functional properties in prevention of neurodegenerative disorders of five *Cistus* species essential oils," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 59, pp. 586–594, 2013.
- [21] R. Tundis, M. Bonesi, V. Sicari et al., "*Poncirus trifoliata* (L.) Raf.: Chemical composition, antioxidant properties and hypoglycaemic activity via the inhibition of α -amylase and α -glucosidase enzymes," *Journal of Functional Foods*, vol. 25, pp. 477–485, 2016.
- [22] M. R. Loizzo, D. Pacetti, P. Lucci et al., "*Prunus persica* var. *platycarpa* (Tabacchiera Peach): bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of pulp, peel and seed ethanolic extracts," *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 331–337, 2015.
- [23] T. Sun and S. A. Tanumihardjo, "An integrated approach to evaluate food antioxidant capacity," *Journal of Food Science*, vol. 72, no. 9, pp. R159–R165, 2007.
- [24] R. Van Leeuw, C. Kevers, J. Pincemail, J. O. Defraigne, and J. Dommes, "Antioxidant capacity and phenolic composition of red wines from various grape varieties: Specificity of Pinot Noir," *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, vol. 36, no. 1-2, pp. 40–50, 2014.
- [25] M. R. Loizzo, M. Abouali, P. Salehi et al., "In vitro antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of nine *Salvia* species," *Natural Product Research (Formerly Natural Product Letters)*, vol. 28, no. 24, pp. 2278–2285, 2014.
- [26] A. Gramza, S. Khokhar, M. Hes, and A. Jedrusek-Golinska, "Tea extracts influence on catalytical properties of Fe²⁺ in lipids," *Polish Journal Environmental Study*, vol. 13, pp. 143–146, 2004.
- [27] M. Johnson, P. Maharaja, N. Janakiraman et al., "*Pancreatium triflorum* Roxb. (Amaryllidaceae) and *Molinieria tri-chocarpa* (Wight) N.P. Balakr (Hypoxidaceae): Cytotoxic and antioxidant activities," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 119, pp. 290–295, 2018.
- [28] G. Tayoub, M. Al-Odat, A. Amer, A. Aljapawe, and E. Adnan, "Antiproliferative effects of *Pancreatium maritimum* extracts on normal and cancerous cells," *Iran Journal Medicinal Science*, vol. 43, pp. 52–64, 2018.
- [29] M. Suffness and J. M. Pezzuto, "Assays related to cancer drug discovery," in *Methods in Plant Biochemistry: Assays for Bioactivity*, K. Hostettmann, Ed., vol. 6, pp. 71–133, Academic Press, London, UK, 1999.
- [30] S. Reuter, S. C. Gupta, M. M. Chaturvedi, and B. B. Aggarwal, "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: how are they linked?" *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 1603–1616, 2010.
- [31] M. Nikolova, L. Evstatieva, and T. D. Nguyen, "Screening of plant extracts for antioxidant properties," *Botanica Serbica*, vol. 35, pp. 43–48, 2011.
- [32] N. Rokbeni, Y. M'rabet, S. Cluzet et al., "Determination of phenolic composition and antioxidant activities of *Pancreatium maritimum* L. from Tunisia," *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 94, pp. 505–513, 2016.
- [33] H. Bendaif, A. Melhaoui, A. Bouyanzer, B. Hammouti, and Y. El Ouadi, "The study of the aqueous extract of leaves of *Pancreatium Foetidum* Pom as: Characterization of polyphenols, flavonoids, antioxidant activities and ecofriendly corrosion inhibitor," *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 4475–4486, 2017.
- [34] M. Elmastas, O. Isildak, I. Turkecul, and N. Temur, "Determination of antioxidant activity and antioxidant compounds in wild edible mushrooms," *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 337–345, 2007.