REVIEW White and Cianciotto, *Microbial Genomics* 2019;5 DOI 10.1099/mgen.0.000273



Assessing the impact, genomics and evolution of type II secretion across a large, medically important genus: the *Legionella* type II secretion paradigm

Richard C. White[†] and Nicholas P. Cianciotto*

Abstract

The type II secretion system (T2SS) plays a major role in promoting bacterial survival in the environment and in human hosts. One of the best characterized T2SS is that of *Legionella pneumophila*, the agent of Legionnaires' disease. Secreting at least 25 proteins, including degradative enzymes, eukaryotic-like proteins and novel effectors, this T2SS contributes to the ability of *L. pneumophila* to grow at low temperatures, infect amoebal and macrophage hosts, damage lung tissue, evade the immune system, and undergo sliding motility. The genes encoding the T2SS are conserved across the genus *Legionella*, which includes 62 species and >30 pathogens in addition to *L. pneumophila*. The vast majority of effectors associated with *L. pneumophila* are shared by a large number of *Legionella* species, hinting at a critical role for them in the ecology of *Legionella* as a whole. However, no other species has the same repertoire as *L. pneumophila*, with, as a general rule, phylogenetically more closely related species sharing similar sets of effectors. T2SS effectors that are involved in infection of a eukaryotic host(s) are more prevalent throughout *Legionella* (another parasite of amoebae), and a significant number of *L. pneumophila* effectors have their closest homologues in *Aquicella*. Thus, the T2SS of *L. pneumophila* probably originated within the order *Legionellales*, with some of its effectors having arisen within that *Aquicella*-like progenitor, while other effectors derived from the amoebal host, mimiviruses, fungi and less closely related bacteria.

LEGIONELLA TAXONOMY AND PATHOGENESIS

The genus *Legionella* was first recognized in the late 1970s, with the characterization of *Legionella pneumophila* as the aetiological agent of a form of pneumonia now known as Legionnaires' disease [1, 2]. Within the *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Legionella* is the sole genus contained within the family *Legionellaceae* [3]. Members of this genus are Gram-negative bacteria found ubiquitously in the environment in both freshwater systems such as lakes and rivers, as well as manmade aquatic systems [4–7]. There are at least 63 confirmed species of *Legionella* [8–14]. Additionally, there are a plethora of uncultured *Legionella*-like organisms in freshwater systems

that may represent novel species [15–18]. Of the confirmed *Legionella* species, which fall into three major phylogenetic clades, 32 are disease-causing, based on cultures obtained from symptomatic individuals or seroconversion. However, approximately 90 % of cases of Legionnaires' disease in the USA and Europe are caused by *L. pneumophila* [19]. Within aquatic systems, *L. pneumophila* and other legionellae primarily parasitize free-living protozoa. The host range of *Legionella* species is exceptionally broad, as co-isolation and co-culture experiments implicate permissive hosts within seven of the eight phyla within the protozoan kingdom, 12 of 41 classes within those phyla, and 21 of 82 known orders [20]. Some of the most abundant protozoa in nature, including

*Correspondence: Nicholas P. Cianciotto, n-cianciotto@northwestern.edu

Received 25 March 2019; Accepted 08 May 2019; Published 05 June 2019

Author affiliations: 1Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

Keywords: Legionella; type II secretion; T2SS; Legionnaires' disease; Legionella pneumophila; Aquicella.

Abbreviations: AP, assembly platform; BYE, buffered yeast extract; DUF, domain of unknown function; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; HGT, horizontal gene transfer; HMM, hidden Markov model; IM, inner membrane; LCV, *Legionella*-containing vacuole; ncRNA, non-coding RNA; OM, outer membrane; OMV, outer membrane vesicle; PPIase, peptidyl-proline *cis/trans*-isomerase; RefSeq, NCBI Reference Sequence Database; Sec, general secretory pathway; SG, serogroup; Tat, twin-arginine translocation pathway; T4P, type IV pilli; T2S, type II secretion; T2SS, type II secretion system; T4SS, type IV secretion system.

⁺Present address: Department of Genomic Medicine, J. Craig Venter Institute 9605 Medical Center Dr., Rockville, MD 20850, USA.

Data statement: Three supplementary tables are available with the online version of this article.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

species of Acanthamoeba, Naegleria and Vermamoeba, permit L. pneumophila replication and have been isolated from Legionella-containing waters [21-25]. Based on the results of assays done in the laboratory, L. pneumophila replicates and/or survives within at least 11 other genera of protozoa, including Balamuthia, Ciliophrya, Dictyostelium, Echinamoeba, Hartmannella, Oxytricha, Paramecium, Stylonychia, Tetrahymena, Tetramitus (formerly Vahlkampfia) and Willaertia [14, 20]. During human infection, L. pneumophila primarily grows within resident alveolar macrophages in the infected lung [26]; however, intracellular infection of type I and II alveolar epithelial cells may also contribute to the pathogenesis of Legionnaires' disease [27, 28]. Within phagocytes, whether amoebae or macrophages, L. pneumophila evades fusion with lysosomes and instead modulates endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-to-Golgi vesicular trafficking to remodel the nascent phagosome into an ER-derived compartment known as the Legionella-containing vacuole [29]. For its intracellular lifestyle, L. pneumophila employs a type IV secretion system (T4SS), the Dot/Icm type IVB system, to deliver >300 proteins (effectors) into the cytosol of infected cells and directly target host processes including autophagy, death pathways, protein translation and turnover, as well as innate immunity [30]. L. pneumophila encodes a second T4SS, the Lvh type IVA system, which is similar to the Vir T4SS of Agrobacterium tumefaciens identified [31]. Although the VirD4 coupling protein within the Lvh apparatus has been implicated in bacterial entry into host cells and the subsequent evasion of phagosome acidification, no secreted effectors have yet been identified [32]. L. pneumophila also has a functional type I secretion system; however, this system is not required for intracellular growth, although it does enhance bacterial entry into host cells via its secretion of an RtxA-like toxin [33]. The bacterium also secretes a siderophore (rhizoferrin) and a melanin-like pigment, both of which promote iron acquisition and, in the case of rhizoferrin L. pneumophila growth in the lungs [34–37]. However, another major facet of the natural history and pathogenesis of L. pneumophila is the Lsp type II secretion system (T2SS) [38-42]. Combining experimental data obtained from studies done on L. pneumophila with the recent explosion in the genomic database, this review provides an up-to-date assessment of the impact of T2SSs across the genus Legionella, with added attention given to the variations in output of the Lsp system as well as thoughts on the evolution of this important secretion system. Since the L. pneumophila system represents one of the most well-characterized T2SSs [43-45], the topics and concepts covered in this review may be helpful for the evaluation of T2SS in other bacterial genera.

GENERAL OVERVIEW OF THE BACTERIAL T2SS

Mechanism of protein secretion by the T2SS

First described in *Klebsiella oxytoca* [46, 47], type II secretion (T2S) is a two-step process for secreting proteins into the extracellular space. During T2S, unfolded protein substrates

Impact Statement

In Gram-negative bacteria, the type II secretion system is notable for its wide-reaching impact on bacterial physiology, ecology and pathogenesis. This is especially true for *Legionella pneumophila*, the agent of Legionnaires' disease. While giving an update on all aspects of type II secretion, this review provides a genomic assessment of the secretion system across the genus *Legionella* as well as hypotheses on how its evolution has been driven by bacterial interactions with amoebal host cells and other environmental microbes.

containing a signal sequence are first translocated across the bacterial inner membrane via the Sec pathway (Fig. 1a) [48, 49]. In the periplasm, the proteins are folded into their tertiary conformation, and are destined for translocation across the outer membrane via a multiprotein apparatus, the T2SS [50, 51]. In some instances, nascent proteins that fold within the cytoplasm and are moved across the inner membrane via the twin-arginine translocon (Tat) can be recognized by and secreted via the T2SS apparatus [49]. In L. pneumophila and a variety of other Gram-negative bacteria [43, 52], the T2SS is composed of 12 'core' components that are required for biogenesis of the apparatus and secretion of substrates (Fig. 1a). Four inner membrane proteins (T2S C, T2S F, T2S L, T2S M) form an assembly platform (AP) to which a cytoplasmic ATPase (T2S E) binds [52-57]. After being processed by an inner membrane peptidase (T2S O), a major pseudopilin (T2S G) and four minor pseudopilins (T2S H, T2S I, T2S J, T2S K) assemble into an envelope-spanning pilus-like structure [58-61]. The T2S G protein interacts with T2S L, and this interaction is thought to promote pseudopilus biogenesis [62]. Powered by the T2S E ATPase, the pseudopilus appears to act as piston or an Archimedes screw to push folded substrates through a homomultimeric secretin pore (T2S D) in the outer membrane and thereby complete the secretion of the substrates into the extracellular milieu [48, 50, 52, 63] (Fig. 1a). The T2S C protein links the AP and outer membrane components [44, 64, 65] and appears to have a substantial role in substrate recognition [44, 66–70]. Compatible with secretion occurring in a species-specific manner, T2S C is among the least conserved proteins amongst the various T2SSs; for example, in Vibrio and Dickeya species, the protein possesses a PDZ domain, whereas in Pseudomonas spcies, it has a coiled-coil domain, and in L. pneumophila, a shorter T2S C has no known domain at its C terminus [44, 67, 69, 71, 72]. Biochemical and structural studies further suggest that T2SS effectors may also directly interact with T2S L and T2S M in the AP, as well as with the minor pseudopilins and the secretin T2S D [70]. The signal contained within the substrates themselves that is recognized by the T2SS remains poorly defined, although proteins secreted by T2S are often rich in β -strands [64].



Fig. 1. Overview of *L. pneumophila* T2SS. (a) Proteins containing a secretion signal peptide are first translocated across the inner membrane (IM) by the general secretory pathway (Sec) or the twin-arginine translocation pathway (Tat) (not shown). In the periplasm, the signal peptide is cleaved off, and the protein is folded into its tertiary form, and finally secreted into the extracellular milieu by the T2SS apparatus. The T2SS apparatus consists of inner transmembrane proteins (T2S F, L, M), which provide a platform for T2S E to bind. T2S E is a cytoplasmic ATPase which generates energy required to push proteins through the outer membrane (OM) secretin pore (T2S D). T2S O processes the major (T2S G) and minor (T2S H, I, J, K) pseudopilins before they are integrated into the T2SS apparatus, forming a pilus-like structure. T2S C links the inner and outer membrane components and facilitates substrate recognition in the periplasm. (b) Schematic of the five genomic loci encoding Lsp proteins. The distinct loci are separated by double slashes. The individual T2SS genes are indicated by the unique letter associated with the corresponding protein in (a). Promoters are indicated by the black L-shaped arrows. Non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) are indicated by small hatched arrows with the lppnc designation corresponding to the ncRNA found in *L. pneumophila* strain Paris [73]. Linked genes that do not encode components of the T2SS appear in light grey. All gene arrows are drawn to scale. (c) Overview of gene names and ORF designations for the various T2SS components of *L. pneumophila*. 130b: *L. pneumophila* strain Philadelphia-1.

In some Gram-negative bacteria, a lipidated protein called PulS/OutS or the 'pilotin' is required for the proper transport and targeting of the T2S D secretin to the outer membrane [74]. However, a canonical pilotin has not been found to be encoded within the L. pneumophila genome, based upon the use of a hidden Markov model (HMM) [75] to search for homologues of PFAM ID PF09691 [44, 76]. An alternative pilotin, AspS, has been described for Vibrio-type T2SSs [77], although an HMM search using PFAM ID PF16549 also failed to return any significant hits within the L. pneumophila genome. The apparent absence of a pilotin could suggest that the Legionella T2S D secretin (also known as LspD, see below) is capable of directing itself to the outer membrane, as has been proposed for the secretins of *Pseudomonas* and Xanthomonas T2SSs [78]. While the Pseudomonas aeruginosa HxcQ and Xanthomonas campestris XpsD possess both a Type II/lipoprotein signal peptide and an N-terminal lipobox motif [78], the DOLOP server [79] suggests that the Legionella secretin lacks these lipoprotein features; thus, transport of the Legionella secretin to the membrane may be accomplished via a novel mechanism. The Legionella secretin does, however, possess a predicted peptidoglycan-binding SPOR domain at the N terminus, which may facilitate its localization to the cell membrane, as does the peptidoglycan-binding PilQ secretin of P. aeruginosa [80, 81]. L. pneumophila also does not possess equivalents of T2S N (sometimes generally referred to as GspN), T2S A (GspA) or T2S B (GspB), proteins that are variably present across the T2SSs and are generally dispensable for secretion function [82-84]. Overall, the bacterial T2SS is evolutionarily related to type IV pili (T4P) [85], and the T2S O protein is required for both T2S and T4P biogenesis in *L. pneumophila* and others [40].

Genome organization of the Legionella T2SS

Initially based on the sequencing of the clinical isolates Philadelphia-1, Paris, Lens, Corby, Alcoy and 130b (also known as strain Wadsworth or AA100) [86–90], the genes encoding the L. pneumophila T2SS are present within five distinct chromosomal loci (Fig. 1b, c). This is in contrast to the T2SS of most other organisms that possess T2SS genes encoded within a single operon [91-95]. Promoter analysis and transcriptional-start-site mapping in L. pneumophila strain Paris [73] confirmed that *lspF* is monocistronic, whereas the other *lsp* genes are co-transcribed with other genes (Fig. 1b). The *lspC* gene is the first gene in a two-gene operon, with the second gene encoding a Sel-1 repeat-containing protein that possesses a secretion signal peptide. The *lspO* (*pilD*) gene is co-transcribed with the T4P-associated genes pilB and pilC, as in Aeromonas hydrophila and others [96]. Strand-specific total RNA sequencing of strain Paris also revealed cis-encoded, anti-sense RNAs within the *lspFGHIJK* gene cluster [73].

T2SS in other Gram-negative bacteria

Many T2SSs have now been characterized, mostly within numerous (but not all) genera in the *Alpha-*, *Beta-*, *Gamma*and *Deltaproteobacteria*, although they have also been detected outside of the *Proteobacteria* [43, 50] (Fig. 2). Genome analysis of various Epsilonproteobacteria spanning 15 genera suggests the T2SS is absent from this class of Proteobacteria (Table S1, available in the online version of this article). Some phyla may possess T2SSs that deviate from the canonical T2SS found among Proteobacteria; for example, secretion has been linked to T2SS-like genes in Chlamydia trachomatis and Cytophaga hutchisonii yet their genomes lack a complete set of T2SS genes [43, 97, 98]. Some bacteria, including strains of Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and Yersinia enterocolitica, possess two or more distinct T2SSs [43, 99]. The number of proteins secreted via T2S varies among the different bacteria, ranging from one in K. oxytoca to >60 in *L. pneumophila* and *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* [43] (see below). The substrates of T2S are generally delivered into the extracellular milieu; however, in a minority of cases, they can associate with the bacterial cell surface [45]. T2SSs often tend to secrete degradative enzymes such as proteases and peptidases, lipases, and carbohydrate-degrading enzymes that presumably aid in nutrition acquisition, among other things [43]. In addition to promoting the survival of numerous environmental bacteria, T2SSs can enhance the virulence attributes of animal pathogens (e.g. Acinetobacter baumannii, Aeromonas hydrophila, Burkholderia pseudomallei, Chlamydia trachomatis, E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, L. pneumophila, Photobacterium damselae, P. aeruginosa, S. maltophilia, V. cholerae, V. vulnificus and Y. enterocolitica) and plant pathogens (e.g. species of Dickeya, Erwinia, Pectobacterium, Ralstonia, Xanthomonas and Xylella) [43, 50, 100-109].

ROLE OF THE *L. PNEUMOPHILA* T2SS IN THE ENVIRONMENT AND IN DISEASE

Many studies have assessed the role of T2S in L. pneumophila fitness and growth in both the environment and within eukaryotic host cells [43]. Most of these studies were conducted by comparing the phenotype of the clinical isolate strain 130b to that of a mutant specifically lacking a component of the T2SS, such as the T2S D secretin (LspD), T2S E ATPase (LspE) or the T2S F inner membrane platform protein (LspF) [42]. Importantly, all 130b mutant phenotypes were reversed when an intact copy of the T2S protein gene was re-introduced into the mutant, thereby confirming the role of the T2SS. While the T2SS mutants grow and survive similarly to wild type when inoculated onto solid media [e.g. bufferedyeast-extract (BYE) agar] or into liquid bacteriological media (e.g. BYE broth) at 30 and 37 °C, they are substantially impaired for growth in the amoebal hosts Acanthamoeba castellanii, Vermamoeba vermiformis, Naegleria lovaniensis and Willaertia magna at 35-37 °C [25, 38-40, 42, 112, 113]. The importance of T2S for infection of acanthamoebae has also been documented through the analysis of an *lsp* mutant of strain Philadelphia-1 [38]. The T2S mutant growth defect in the amoebal hosts is several orders of magnitude, and the numbers of mutant bacteria only increase ~1 log after 72 h of co-culture compared to the numbers of wild-type bacteria that increase 3-4 log over the same period. This intracellular growth defect becomes even more pronounced when



Fig. 2. Distribution of T2SS genes among the *Proteobacteria* and beyond. An unrooted maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Proteobacteria* and other bacteria encoding a complete or near-complete T2SS was constructed using aligned 16S rRNA gene sequences [110] in RaxML (100 bootstrap replicates, GTR+ Γ model) [111]. Bootstrap support values >50 are presented directly on the branches as grey circles, with larger circles corresponding to higher support values. Bar, 0.1 nucleotide substitutions per site. Clades are colour-coded by class of *Proteobacteria*, identified by the respective Greek symbols. Bacterial genera that encode a functional T2SS are coloured in green. Genera that are predicted to encode a T2SS but without demonstrated functionality are coloured in black.

the bacterial–amoebal co-cultures are incubated at 22–25 °C [114]. *L. pneumophila* T2S mutants display difficulty either growing on an agar medium at 25 °C and below or surviving planktonically in tap water at low temperature [114, 115]. Thus, T2S promotes the environmental persistence of *L. pneumophila* within intracellular niches and in the planktonic phase over a range of ambient temperatures. T2S may also support environmental persistence by contributing to long-lasting colonization of biofilms; for example, a mutant lacking the T2S-dependent substrate Lcl (see below) is impaired in biofilm formation on glass or polystyrene surfaces within static cultures [116, 117]. In support of this, a mutant lacking the T2S O pre-pilin peptidase is unable to persist within biofilms formed in a dynamic flow-cell system

[118]. *L. pneumophila* T2S also promotes, albeit indirectly, the production of surfactant and thereby facilitates sliding motility on semi-solid agar [119–121]. It is quite likely that this function of T2S further facilitates the spread and survival of *L. pneumophila* within the environment.

Turning our attention to aspects of disease, *L. pneumophila* mutants lacking the T2SS display reduced replication (~10-fold) during intracellular infection of human macrophages, including the U937 and THP-1 cell lines and mononuclear cells obtained from human volunteers [42, 122, 123]. Similar results were obtained when the infection assays used a murine alveolar macrophage cell line (MH-S) or bone-marrow-derived macrophages obtained from A/J mice [124]. *L. pneumophila* mutants lacking T2S also exhibit reduced growth

within human A549 type II epithelial cells and WI-26 VA4 type I epithelial cells as well as in the murine alveolar epithelial cell line TC-1 [122, 124]. Within both human and murine macrophages, T2S, although not needed for entry or evasion of the lysosome, is required for optimal Rab1B association with the Legionella-containing vacuole (LCV) and subsequent intravacuolar growth between 8 and 12 h post-infection [125]. Relatively early in the intracellular infection cycle, at least some of the T2S substrates translocate out of the LCV and reside nearby in the macrophage cytoplasm [126]. In the A/J mouse model of pneumonia, L. pneumophila T2S mutants are severely impaired in the ability to cause disease and showed no evidence of replication within the lungs [42]. Since the T2S mutant is only modestly impaired during in vitro infection of macrophages and epithelial cells, this observation suggests that the L. pneumophila T2SS promotes processes in addition to intracellular infection [124]. Compatible with such a scenario, the T2SS is also required for a dampening of the innate immune response of macrophages that is induced via the MyD88 and Toll-Like Receptor 2 signalling pathways [123, 124]. In support of these data concerning the various defects exhibited by T2SS mutants as compared to the parental strain, qRT-PCR analysis and other gene expression and transcriptome analyses have confirmed that the T2SS apparatus genes are significantly expressed by wild-type L. pneumophila during growth in bacteriological media and upon intracellular infection of both macrophages and multiple types of amoebae [25, 76, 113, 115, 125, 127-130].

SECRETED SUBSTRATES (EFFECTORS) OF THE *L. PNEUMOPHILA* T2SS

Bioinformatic analysis of the genome of strain Philadelphia-1 revealed at least 60 putative substrates of the L. pneumophila T2SS, i.e. proteins that contain a signal sequence and are predicted to have an extracellular localization [131]. Based on both a proteomic comparison of culture supernatants obtained from wild-type L. pneumophila strain 130b versus an *lspF* mutant and assessments of enzyme activities in wild-type versus mutant supernatants, 25 secreted proteins/ activities of strain 130b were confirmed as being dependent upon T2SS (Table 1). In most cases, the proteins were later also detected in culture supernatants of other strains of L. pneumophila [132-134]. The vast majority of these confirmed T2SS substrates contain a typical signal sequence, indicating that they are moved across the inner membrane by the Sec translocon prior to incorporation into the T2SS [122]. The only exceptions are the phospholipase C PlcA and the putative peptidyl-proline cis/trans-isomerase (PPIase) LirB, which contain a twin-arginine motif and a twin-lysine motif, respectively, in their signal peptides and are translocated across the inner membrane via Tat rather than Sec [134, 135]. Interestingly, the secretion (or activation) of another phospholipase C activity, which is probably due to the PlcArelated PlcB [124], is dependent upon a surface-associated PPIase known as Mip [136]. In addition to being detected 'free' within culture supernatants, a number of the validated

T2SS substrates are present within outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) (Table 1). As has been reported for other bacterial T2SSs, such a locale is a result of the substrates existing within the periplasm prior to completion of the secretion process as well as occurring, in some cases, on the bacterial cell surface [137–139]. An expanded proteomic analysis of supernatants obtained from cultures of *L. pneumophila* strain Philadel-phia-1 and its derivative strain JR32 [132–134] has determined that another 47 putative substrates containing signal peptides are in fact secreted proteins (Table S2). Thus, the number of T2SS substrates produced by *L. pneumophila* is likely to be at least 72.

Although additional work is needed to confirm the T2SSdependency of the 47 candidate effectors, the studies that were mainly done using strain 130b have characterized 25 *bona fide* substrates of *L. pneumophila* T2S (Table 1). The location of the genes encoding these known T2SS substrates is shown in Fig. 3. It is apparent that the T2SS effector genes are scattered around the strain 130b chromosome as opposed to being localized to one or a few loci or a genomic island. A similar conclusion can be made from analysing the genomes of strains Philadelphila-1, Paris and Lens.

Degradative enzymes and enzyme activities

Early studies of *L. pneumophila* revealed an abundance of extracellular enzyme activities, including chymotrypsin-like activity [165], caseinase and gelatinase [166, 167], serum protein degrading protease [168], aminopeptidases [169], phosphatase, lipase, deoxyribonuclease, ribonuclease, cellulase as well as starch hydrolysis [170]. It was later appreciated that many of these activities are secreted via the T2SS in *L. pneumophila* strain 130b, based on the reductions in activity that were observed in both *lsp* mutant and effector mutant supernatants [38–40, 76, 143, 171, 172] (Table 1). More limited mutant analysis done with strains Corby and JR32 confirmed the T2S-dependency of some of these enzymes across *L. pneumophila* strains [38, 142, 173].

When mutants lacking individual exoenzymes were analysed in infection assays, the ProA protease, SrnA ribonuclease, PlaC acyltransferase and LapA aminopeptidase proved to be required for optimal infection of amoebae, and interestingly the relative importance of each of these effectors varied depending upon the type of amoeba infected (Table 1). It is surmised that the degradation of amoebal proteins, peptides, RNA and lipids by these T2SS effectors promote nutrient (e.g. amino acids, nucleotides, phosphate, fatty acids) acquisition for intracellular growth, although other scenarios, such as enzyme-mediated modifications to the LCV, are also possible [76, 158]. ProA is also notable for being required for the cleavage and activation of the T2SS effectors LapA, LapB, PlaA and PlaC [76, 174, 175]. Indeed, the defect that the proA mutant exhibits in V. vermiformis is linked to the role ProA has in PlaC activation [25]. However, the proA mutant's defect in N. lovaniensis is independent of the protease's activation of LapA, LapB, PlaA or PlaC, suggesting that ProA may

T2SS substrate	Strain 130b ORF	Strain Phil- 1 ORF	Protein activity or sequence novelty	Location(s) †	Role in infection ‡	Crystal structure	Prevalence within Legionella	Closest non- <i>Legionella</i> homologue	References
AmiA	lpw03521	lpg0264	putative amidase	Sup't, OMV	 may promote growth in A549, Ac, U937 and Vv 		84 %	[Bacteroidetes] Flagellimonas aquimarina (56 % I, E=5×10 ⁻⁷⁰)	[131, 133, 140]
CelA	lpw19571	lpg1918	endoglucanase	Sup't, OMV	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 		39 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Methylococcus spp. (24 % I, E=1×10 ⁻¹⁰)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 132, 141]
ChiA	lpw11641	lpg1116	chitinase	Sup't, OMV	 promotes growth in murine lung not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv and Wm 		53 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Aquicella lusitana (55 % I, E=1×10 ⁻¹⁴⁵)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 132]
GamA	lpw05041	lpg0422	eukaryotic-like glucoamylase	Supt	 not required for growth in Ac, NI, U937, Vv and Wm 		74 %	[Fungi] Spizellomyces punctatus (42 % I, E=8×10 ⁻⁸⁰)	[25, 113, 142]
LapA	lpw30701	lpg2814	eukaryotic-like leu/tyr/phe/val/ ile/met/asp aminopeptidase	Sup't, OMV	 promotes growth in Ac not required for growth in A549, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 	PDB: 6ESL	95 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Aquicella lusitana (43 % I, E=9×10 ⁻¹⁰⁹)	[76, 113, 124, 131–133, 143]
LapB	lpw00321	lpg0032	eukaryotic-like lys/arg aminopeptidase	Supt	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 	PDB: 5GNE	16%	[Gammaproteobacteria] Aquicella lusitana (40 % I, E=1×10 ⁻⁸⁰)	[25, 76, 113, 124, 131, 143, 144]
Lcl	lpw28961	lpg2644	eukaryotic-like collagen-like protein	Sup't, OMV, Surface	 promotes attachment to A549, NCI-H292 and U937 promotes attachment and invasion of Ac and Vv not required for growth in Ac 		11 %	[Alphaproteobacteria] Sphingorhabdus flavimaris (66 % I, E=3×10 ⁻²²)	[116, 131, 132, 145, 146]
LegP	lpw32851	lpg2999	eukaryotic-like putative protease	Sup't, OMV	 not required for growth in Ac, NI, U937, Vv and Wm 		47 %	[Alphaproteobacteria] Poseidonocella sedimentorum (49 % I, E=3×10 ⁻⁷⁴)	[113, 131, 132]
LipA	lpw05481	lpg0468	monoacylglycerol lipase	Supt	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 		89 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Berkiella cookevillensis (40 % I, E=2×10 ⁻⁶⁶)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 147]
LipB	lpw12111	lpg1157	triacylglycerol lipase	Sup't	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 		54 %	[Lentisphaerae] Victivallis vadensis (36 % I, E=2×10 ⁻⁴⁰)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 147]
LirB	lpw20131	lpg1962	putative peptidyl proline <i>cis-</i> <i>trans-</i> isomerase	Sup't, OMV (Tat substrate)	 not required for growth in Ac and HL-60 		67 %	[Nitrospirae] Leptospirillum ferriphilum (67 % I, E=2×10 ⁻⁷⁴)	[133, 134, 148, 149]
									Continued

T2SS substrate	Strain 130b ORF	Strain Phil- 1 ORF	Protein activity or sequence novelty	Location(s) †	Role in infection ‡	Crystal structure	Prevalence within Legionella	Closest non- <i>Legionella</i> homologue	References
Map	lpw11671	lpg1119	eukaryotic-like tartrate-sensitive acid phosphatase	Sup't, OMV	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 	PDB: 5CDH	49 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Francisella spp. (39 % I, E=1×10 ⁻⁸¹)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 132, 150, 151]
NttA	lpw13951	lpg1385	novel	Supt	 promotes growth in Ac and Wm not required for growth in NI, U937 and Vv 		77 %	None	[25, 113, 131]
NttB	lpw28721	lpg2622	Novel C1 family peptidase	Supt	 not required for growth in Ac, NI, U937, Vv and Wm 	PDB: 6A0N	75 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Piscirickettsia salmonis (35 % I, E=2×10 ⁻⁴⁸)	[25, 113, 131, 152]
NttC	lpw18401	lpg1809	novel	Sup't	 promotes growth in Vv and Wm may promote growth in Ac not required for growth in Nl 		86 %	None	[113, 131]
NttD	lpw10421	lpg0956	novel, DUF4785-containing protein	Sup't	 promotes growth in Ac not required for growth in Nl, U937 and Vv	PDB: 4KH9	84 %	[Gamnaproteobacteria] Dyella japonica (25 % I, E=2×10 ⁻²²)	[76, 131]
NttE	lpw02811	lpg0189	novel	Sup't	 may promote growth in Ac, Nl, U937 and Vv 		65 %	None	[131, 153]
NttF	lpw09571	lpg0873	novel	Sup't, OMV	• may promote growth in Ac		91 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Piscirickettsia litoralis (37 % I, E=5×10 ⁻¹¹)	[131, 132, 153]
NttG	lpw18641	lpg1832	novel, VirK-like	Sup't	 not determined 	PDB: 5XTA	58%	[Gammaproteobacteria] Francisella halioticida (32 % I, E=6×10 ⁻¹⁹)	[131, 154]
PlaA	lpw25361	<i>bg2343</i>	lysophospholipase A	Sup't	 promotes destabilization of the LCV not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 		100 %	[Cyanobacteria] Nostoc punctiforme (34 % I, E=3×10 ^{-v})	[25, 113, 124, 131, 155, 156]
PlaC	lpw30971	lpg2837	glycerophospholipid: cholesterol transferase (GCAT), phospholipase A	Sup't, OMV	 promotes growth in Ac, NI, Vv and Wm not required for growth in A549 and U937 		77 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Parendozoicomonas haliclonae (27 % I, E=7×10 ⁻³³)	[25, 76, 113, 124, 132, 157]
PlcA	lpw05821	lpg0502	eukaryotic-like phospholipase C	Sup't, OMV (Tat substrate)	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv, Wm and murine lung 		16 %	[Gamnaproteobacteria] Aquicella lusitana (44 % I, E=3×10 ⁻¹³¹)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 132, 134, 147]
									Continued

Table 1. Continued

Table 1. Con	tinued								
T2SS substrate	Strain 130b ORF	Strain Phil- 1 ORF	Protein activity or sequence novelty	Location(s) †	Role in infection ‡	Crystal structure	Prevalence within Legionella	Closest non- <i>Legionella</i> homologue	References
PlcB	lpw14741	lpg1455	eukaryotic-like phospholipase C	Sup't, OMV	 not required for growth in A549, Ac, NI, U937, Vv and Wm 		33 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Pseudomonas fluorescens (38 % I, E=2×10 ⁻⁶³)	[25, 113, 124, 132]
ProA	lpw05471	lpg0467	metalloprotease	Sup't, OMV	 promotes tissue destruction in lung promotes growth in Nl and Vv may promote growth in Ac not required for growth in A549, HL-60, U937, Wm, explanted guinea pig macrophages, and murine lung 		100 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Aquicella lusitana (43 % 1, E=2×10 ⁻¹³⁸)	[25, 113, 124, 131–133, 158–164]
SrnA	1111Ewd1	lpg2848	T2 ribonuclease	Supt	 promotes growth in Nl and Vv not required for growth in A549, Ac, U937, Wm and murine lung 		95 %	[Gammaproteobacteria] Francisella philomiragia (40 % I, E=1×10 ⁻⁸²)	[25, 113, 124, 131, 158]
*Based on th of the predic †Sup't, prote rather than ‡Based on th was impaire attempted o	le presence of ted protein. in is present in Sec, substrates he behaviour of d relative to wi r achieved. Ac.,	the indicated broth culture are indicatec the correspo the type, and th Acanthamoeb.	protein in wild-type culture sup s supernatant; OMV, also presen i in parentheses. nding mutant(s) in the indicated hat defect was reversed by gen a castellanii; Ap, Acanthamoeba	ernatants and a it in outer memb d infection assay etic complemen <i>polyphaga</i> : NI, <i>N</i>	bsence in T2SS mutant culture sup rane vesicles; Surface, also presen (s): 'not required', when the mutant tation; 'may promote', when the mu aegleria lovaniensis; Vv, Vermamoebi	ernatants, plu t on the bacte was not diffe tant was impi <i>a vermiformis</i>	s the presenc rial cell surfac rent from wild aired but gene ; Wm, <i>Willaerti</i>	e of a secretion signal at the ce. Proteins that are predicte -type: 'promotes', when the r tic complementation has not a magna; Dd, Dictyostelium di	N terminus d to be Tat, nutant yet been scoideum



Fig. 3. Chromosomal organization of T2SS genes in *L. pneumophila* strain 130b. The entire chromosome is depicted as a circular map. The tick marks indicate the nucleotide position from 0 to ~3 500 000 bp along the circular chromosome, with 0 indicating the origin of replication. From outside in, the two bands (in aqua) depict the predicted coding sequences transcribed clockwise and anticlockwise, respectively. The next band inward indicates the genomic positions of known individual T2S effectors (blue lines, with gene names nearby), putative T2S effectors (grey) and T2SS apparatus genes (red lines, with gene names nearby). Further inside is the GC content, with gold indicating above average and purple indicating below average GC content relative to the genomic average (38.2 mol%). The inner-most band represents the GC skew, indicative of the preference for G (grey) or C (orange) base pairs.

activate additional T2SS effectors or in some cases directly target the host to promote bacterial replication. In the case of LapA, the crystal structure of the T2SS effector has been recently determined, providing insight into the broad specificity of this aminopeptidase, which is active against \geq 10 substrates [76] (Table 1). Incidentally, other known T2S-dependent exoenzymes that have had their structures resolved include the LapB aminopeptidase [76, 144] and Map acid phosphatase [150, 151] (Table 1).

Thus far, no known T2SS substrate, whether an exoenzyme or not, has been documented as being required in *L*. *pneumophila* growth in macrophages, suggesting functional redundancy among the effectors and/or the existence of other T2SS substrates that are more critical for infection of mammalian cells [124, 176–178]. A limited analysis has also failed to uncover a T2SS substrate that is required for intracellular growth in epithelial cells [124]. Intriguingly, the ChiA chitinase is needed for full bacterial growth in the lungs of infected A/J mice [131]. Since a *chiA* mutant appears to be normal for growth in macrophages and epithelial cells, it is not clear how the chitinase promotes intrapulmonary growth. However, given that mammals do not possess chitin,

the T2SS effector must be degrading a 'chitin-like' molecule in the lungs and/or encoding another type of activity. Although ChiA is the only T2SS effector that has been shown to be required for bacterial survival in the lungs, ProA probably also contributes to disease by mediating the destruction of lung tissue [159, 161–164, 179]. Additionally, ProA may aid in both iron assimilation by degrading host transferrin and immune evasion by degrading cytokines [124, 180].

For three reasons, we suggest that the L. pneumophila T2SS elaborates multiple other secreted enzymes. First, while many secreted activities are completely abolished upon mutation of the corresponding substrate gene, there is residual chitinase activity in a *chiA* mutant and residual aminopeptidase activity in a lapA lapB double mutant, suggesting the existence of additional secreted enzymes with overlapping functions [131, 143]. Compatible with these observations, L. pneumophila encodes two more putative aminopeptidases [Lpw05621 (Lpg0482) and Lpw12101 (Lpg1156)] and one additional putative chitinase [Lpw24031 (Lpg2217)] (Table S2). Second, there are enzyme activities present in wild-type, but not *lsp* mutant, supernatants that have not yet been linked to a known T2S substrate. These activities include tartrateresistant acid phosphatase, diacylglycerol lipase, peptidoglycan hydrolase, xylanase and DNase [140, 147, 150, 170, 171]. In line with these results, L. pneumophila secretes another putative lipase [Lpw10431 (Lpg0957)] as well as a putative xylanase [Lpw07891 (Lpg0712)] (Table S2). The lsp mutants of L. pneumophila strain 130b are also impaired for surfactant production, sliding motility and poly-3-hydroxybutyrate metabolism, suggesting the existence of yet additional T2SS effectors that may have enzymatic activity [120]. Third, based upon proteomic analysis of strain 130b, there are documented T2SS substrates that have strong sequence similarity to known enzymes in other bacteria (Table 1). These include both the LirB protein, which is a putative PPIase that is highly expressed at low temperatures [149], and the AmiA protein, which is likely to be an amidase [131]. For AmiA, Phyre2 analysis [181] identified, with 100 % confidence, the AmpD amidase from Citrobacter freundii [182] as the top template to model the tertiary structure of ~82 % of the AmiA protein (31 % identity over 168 residues, from amino acids 33 to 200). In a similar vein, functional annotation of the secretome of strain Philadelphia-1 suggests that 20 % of the T2SS effectors are peptidases [183], which is compatible with L. pneumophila having a tendency to use amino acids as its primary food [184-186].

For 15 of the T2SS-dependent exoenzymes, including ChiA, PlaC, ProA and SrnA, the protein has its greatest homology to proteins/enzymes encoded by various genera of *Gammaproteobacteria* (Table 1), which is not unexpected given the position of *Legionella* within the *Gammaproteobacteria* (Fig. 2). Interestingly, however, PlaA is most similar to proteins encoded within the cyanobacteria, and AmiA, Lcl, LegP, LipB and LirB, have close bacterial homologues in the *Alphaproteobacteria* or elsewhere (Table 1). Arguably, most interestingly, some T2SS substrates are either most closely related to a eukaryotic enzyme(s), as in the case of GamA, or

seemingly restricted to the genus *Legionella* as in the case of NttA, NttC and NttE (Table 1).

Eukaryotic-like domains within T2SS substrates

Since the completion of the L. pneumophila genome, eukaryotic-like domains have been a hallmark of the effectors of the Dot/Icm T4SS, and it has been hypothesized that eukaryotic-like T4SS effectors were acquired via interkingdom horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [86, 187-190]. It is important to emphasize that eukaryotic-like domains also often exist among the known T2SS effectors. As reported in 2001, the first such-characterized substrate is the histidine acid phosphatase Map (150). Although Map is most closely related to a histidine-type phosphatase of *Francisella* spp. (Table 1), phylogenetic analysis reveals that the Legionella (and Francisella) protein is most closely related to eukaryotic acid phosphatases such as those found among genera of red algae, including Gracilariopsis, Chondrus and Galdieria (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, Francisella species, the only other bacteria possessing a protein that is highly similar to Map, are, like Legionella species, capable of replication within macrophages and protozoa [191-194]. Thus, the HGT of Map from a eukaryotic host(s) may have facilitated the acquisition of some aspect of the intracellular lifecycle of these bacteria, although no infection phenotype has been described thus far for a *map* mutant [25, 113, 150].

Originally identified as a eukaryotic-like protein based on the presence of an amylase domain [86, 187], GamA is involved in the breakdown of the eukaryotic storage molecule glycogen [142]. Although GamA-like proteins with signal sequences are found among *Gamma*-and *Deltaproteobacteria*, BLASTP analysis reveals that GamA is most closely related to a protein of the fungus *Spizellomyces punctatus* (Table 1). Phylogenetic analysis of the most closely related GamA homologues spanning 20 genera confirms that GamA is most closely related to eukaryotic proteins (Fig. 4b). Despite the relatedness of GamA to eukaryotic proteins, *gamA* mutants are not impaired for intracellular infection [25, 142], suggesting that the protein has a dispensable role in *L. pneumophila* growth within host cells.

BLASTP analysis reveals that PlcA is most closely related to a putative phospholipase in Aquicella lusitana, whereas PlcB is most akin to a putative phospholipase in Pseudomonas fluorescens (Table 1). PlcA- and PlcB-like proteins are also present in other intra-amoebal parasites (or endosymbionts) such as other Aquicella and Pseudomonas species as well as Burkholderia and Caedimonas species [195-197], . Interestingly, however, the phospholipase C proteins PlcA and PlcB, which are 36 % identical and 56 % similar to each other, belong within the phosphatidylcholine-hydrolysing group of eukaryotic phospholipases C that spans from the yeast Saitoella to the marine corals Acropora, Orbicella and Stylophora [197]. Indeed, phylogenetic analysis supports the view that both PlcA and PlcB are eukaryotic-like (Fig. 4c), although neither protein has been found thus far to be required for intracellular infection (Table 1). Interestingly, a triple mutant lacking PlcA,

d







XP 005713049.1 Chondrus XP 005705550 1 Galdieria WP 071628392 1 Francisella Legionella Map XP 967434 1 Tribolium XP 017786736 1 Nicrophorus XP 011549290 1 Plutella XP 023715754.1 Cryptotermes XP 021935195.1 Zootermopsis XP_003383413.2 Amphimedon XP_014844223.1 Poecilia XP 025949793.1 Dromaius XP_007505161.1 Monodelphis XP_023850979.1 Salvelinus XP_024274327.1 Oncorhynchus XP 026157583.1 Mastacembelus XP_003966527.1 Takifugu AWO95921.1 Scophthalmus XP_010788240.1 Notothenia

XP_002850817.1 Microsporum OAL69329.1 Trichophyton XP 003176969.1 Nannizzia XP 024405960 1 Trichoderma OQE04648.1 Penicillium PHH72735.1 Ophiocordvceps XP 024550829.1 Botrytis XP 003032278 1 Schizophyllum KEP48958 1 Rhizoctonia AUX42480.1 Sorangium AOG22592 1 Acidovorax XP_019025635.1 Saitoella XP_015762863.1 Acropora XP 028403496.1 Dendronephthya XP_020621398.1 Orbicella XP_022810569.1 Stylophora Legionella PlcB WP 100551489.1 Cae Legionella PlcA WP 114834021.1 Aquicella WP_096820134.1 Pseudomonas WP_059472538.1 Burkholderia





Tree scale: 0.1

f



-CCX34771.1 Pvronema OAJ15739.1 Tilletia CDR99705.1 Sporisorium PLW12558.1 Puccinia KDE04336.1 Microbotryum Legionella GamA XP_006680620.1 Batrachochytrium XP_016611217.1 Spizellomyces PIP94898.1 Bdellovibrio AUX42353.1 Sorangium PIK15711.1 Halobacteriovorax RKP14521.1 Piptocephalis RKP38709.1 Dimargaris RKP10852.1 Thamnocephalis WP 074307950.1 Singulisphaera WP 070127625.1 Alteromonas WP 054961062.1 Vibrio WP 063358422 1 Pseudoalteromonas WP 016956059.1 Catenovulum

XP_015116453.1 Diachasma AGM32350.1 Coptotermes XP_025411391.1 Sipha XP_015364087.1 Diuraphis XP_022186593.1 Nilaparvata XP 023716569.1 Cryptotermes XP 020901634 1 Exaiptasia XP 027045648.1 Pocillopora XP 006814176.1 Saccoglossus XP 001622294 1 Nematostella WP 084785014.1 Meiothermus WP 018461224 1 Thermus Legionella LegP WP_013765350.1 Haliscomenobacter WP 088920102.1 Granulosicoccus WP_052830179.1 Gynuella

WP_029198096.1 Paenibacillus WP_084611238.1 Zooshikella Legionella ChiA (chitinase domain) AUL79865.1 Tupanvirus RHY38034.1 Aphanomyces XP_012199939.1 Saprolegnia AIG55573.1 Thraustotheca OQR84740.1 Achlya WP_007465509.1 Photobacterium WP 084092294.1 Andreprevotia SHI69443.1 Clostridium AFI72779.1 Kurthia WP 089808355 1 Chitinophaga WP 087349255.1 Brevibacillus WP 120529145.1 Corallococcus WP 094044771 1 Cohnella

Fig. 4. Phylogenetic analysis of select eukaryotic-like T2SS effectors of L. pneumophila. Homologues of Legionella T2SS effectors were identified by BLASTP using a minimum query coverage of 60 %, and amino acid identity and E-value cutoffs of 30 and 1×10⁻³⁰, respectively, for panels a-e, and amino acid identity and E-value cutoffs of 25 and 1×10⁻¹⁵, respectively, for panel f. Maximum-likelihood trees were generated from full-length amino acid alignments of the T2SS effectors and the most closely related homologues encompassing at least 20 genera per effector group using RaxML (100 bootstrap replicates, GTR+ Γ model) [111]. The trees of related sequences are given for the acid phosphatase Map (a), glucoamylase GamA (b), phospholipases PlcA and PlcB (c), putative astacin protease LegP (d), aminopeptidases LapA and LapB (e), and chitinase domain of ChiA (f). Bootstrap support values >50 are presented at the respective nodes. Bars, 0.1 amino acid substitutions per site. Monophyletic clades of bacterial homologues have been collapsed in panels d (N=70 genera) and f (N=16 genera) for space. Eukaryotes are indicated by red branches and bacteria by blue branches. GenBank accession numbers of the analysed protein sequences are listed before the respective genus designations.

PlcB and a Dot/Icm T4SS-dependent PLC (PlcC) is impaired in a *Galleria mellonella* infection model [173].

Another known T2SS effector of *L. pneumophila* that can be considered eukaryotic-like is LegP (Table 1). LegP contains an astacin-like protease domain [131, 187] and phylogenetically LegP-like proteins, although found in many bacterial Gram-positive and Gram-negative genera, are highly similar to putative proteases from marine eukaryotes including the cnidarians Nematostella, Pocillopora and Exaiptasia, as well as the ocean-dwelling worm Saccoglossus (Fig. 4d). LegP is also unusual for being translocated out of the LCV in a T4SS-dependent manner, while being secreted into the bacterial culture supernatants via the T2SS [131, 198]. Such a dual-secretion phenomenon may also apply to several of the putative substrates (Table S2). The molecular basis for this differential secretion is unknown. However, it was recently documented that Vibrio parahaemolyticus can secrete the TDH exotoxin into the extracellular milieu via both T2SS and a type III secretion system [199], lending support to the existence of dual secretion mechanisms.

LapA and LapB, which are 45 % identical and 63 % similar, are aminopeptidases that provide critical nutrients to L. pneumophila during intracellular infection of protozoa [76, 143]. LapA and LapB share high sequence homology with a secreted aminopeptidase of A. castellanii, which, as noted above, is one of the major hosts for L. pneumophila [76]. Phylogenetic analysis affirmed that LapA may have been acquired from a protozoan host, with other amoebal-parasites such as Aquicella, Burkholderia and Duganella species also possessing LapA-like proteins (Fig. 4e). On the other hand, LapB represents a more recent gene duplication, with LapB undergoing faster adaptation and possessing enzymatic activities distinct from LapA [76]. That protozoa were probably the direct source of genetic material for Legionella has been previously proposed for many T4SS substrates as well as some non-secreted proteins [200, 201].

Yet another eukaryotic-like effector is ChiA. Although most closely related to a hypothetical protein in the gammaproteobacterium A. lusitana (Table 1), ChiA possesses a family-18 chitinase domain that is most akin to glycosyl hydrolase domains encoded by mimiviruses that infect the amoebae A. castellanii and V. vermiformis [202]. Amino acid residues 445-782 of ChiA have high relatedness (56 % identity, 73 % similarity, E-value= 3×10^{-146}) to a mimivirus isolated from the ocean depths, whereas residues 443-782 share high aminoacid sequence homology (53 % identity, 70 % similarity, E-value 3×10^{-137}) with another mimivirus isolated from a high-alkalinity/high-salinity lake. Given that mimiviruses and L. pneumophila have co-evolved with the protozoan host, it is not surprising that mimiviruses have been proposed as a source for HGT in Legionella species [189, 203, 204]. From phylogenetic analysis, the chitinase domain of ChiA also appears related to putative chitinases found in water moulds (Fig. 4f). Since water moulds were previously implicated in HGT with mimiviruses [205], we posit that mimiviruses may have been a conduit for L. pneumophila acquisition of the chitinase domain from water moulds. Thus, like LapA, ChiA may be an example of a T2SS effector that evolved as a result of *L. pneumophila* growth within amoebae.

As just described, 8/25 (32 %) of the known T2SS effectors are eukaryotic-like. Although the known T2SS substrate Lcl has the Gly-aaX-aaY collagen helix motif found originally in eukaryotes [116, 117, 131, 145], it and other bacterial collagen-like proteins primarily share similarities with eukaryotic proteins at the structural level [206]. Furthermore, the amino acids at positions X and Y within the collagen helix of Lcl are rather distinct from those found in eukaryotes [206]. Consequently, we would not consider Lcl to be a ninth eukaryotic-like T2SS effector. However, if one examines the other 47 putative substrates of the T2SS (Table S2), there are four additional eukaryotic-like effectors [i.e. Lpw03931 (Lpg0301), Lpw10571 (Lpg0971), Lpw24081 (Lpg2222) and Lpw28361 (Lpg2588)]. This suggests that at least 17 % (i.e. 12/72) of the L. pneumophila T2SS substrates are eukaryotic-like in nature. Thus, eukaryotic-like effectors of L. pneumophila are not restricted to the T4SS. It is posited that bacterial effectors have been acquired by both HGT and convergent evolution [207]. We favour the hypothesis that eukaryotic-like T2SS effectors were acquired via HGT, as HGT is detectable at the primary sequence level, whereas convergent evolution is more commonly detected at the gross structural level [203]. Given that only a few annotated genomes of protozoa exist yet amoebae are probably major contributors to HGT, our understanding of the origins of these eukaryotic-like Legionella proteins is only just beginning. Furthermore, we suspect that the numbers of eukaryotic/protozoan-like T2SS substrates will rise substantially as more amoebal genomes are sequenced.

Novel effectors

Interestingly, 27 of T2SS effectors encoded by L. pneumophila do not share significant structural or sequence similarity to any known enzyme(s). Seven of these novel effectors (i.e. NttA, NttB, NttC, NttD, NttE, NttF and NttG) have been validated as T2S substrates, i.e. they are present in wild-type supernatants but not *lsp* mutant supernatants (Table 1). The other 20 (i.e. Lpg0042, Lpg0165, Lpg0198, Lpg0301, Lpg0374, Lpg798, Lpg0957, Lpg1030, Lpg1233, LvrE, Lpg1318, Lpg1431, Lpg1645, Lpg1647, WipC, Lpg2220, Lpg2246, Lpg2275, Lpg2320 and Lpg2443) have been detected in wildtype strain Philadelphia-1 supernatants but have not yet been examined for their lack of secretion by the corresponding lsp mutant (Table S2). In many cases, members of this class of T2SS substrates share, to varying degrees, sequence similarity to hypothetical proteins in other bacteria. For example, NttD, possessing the conserved domain of unknown function (DUF) 4785, has homologues that are found primarily in phylogenetically related Gammaproteobacteria. On the other hand, NttB has putative homologues only among aquatic Piscirickettsia species and Silvanigrella aquatica. Recent structural and biochemical analysis revealed that NttB is a C1 family peptidase that diverged from common papain-like cysteine proteases and forms a distinct phylogenetic lineage

from eukaryotic cathepsins [152]. NttF has only a single homologue found in the genome of Piscirickettsia litoralis. Finally, NttG has only a single homologue, and that related protein is encoded by aquatic Francisella halioticida, a member of a genus that, like Legionella, is pathogenic for both amoebae and humans [208, 209]. Structural analysis suggests that NttG is a VirK-like protein, yet its activity and role of infection remain undefined [154]. Arguably most interestingly, some members of this general class of T2S substrates do not have any putative homologues (E-value $<1\times10^{-10}$) outside of the genus Legionella, further suggesting that many of the T2SS-dependent proteins may be highly specialized for the intra-amoebal lifestyle of Legionella species [25, 113]. These include the documented T2SS substrates NttA, NttC and NttE (Table 1) as well as the putative substrates Lpg0042, Lpg0374, Lpg0798, Lpg1233 and Lpg2443 (Table S2). Importantly, both of the effectors in this category that have been assessed, using mutant analysis, for their role in intracellular infection were found to be required for optimal growth within amoebae. Whereas NttA is necessary for infection of A. castellanii and W. magna, NttC is required for infection of V. vermiformis and W. magna [25, 113]. Given the novelty of NttA and NttC, it is difficult predict how these T2S substrates promote intracellular infection; however, further phenotypic analysis of the *nttA* and *nttC* mutants as well as biochemical and structural analysis of the NttA and NttC proteins may represent fruitful lines of inquiry. Although not peculiar to the genus Legionella because of related hypothetical proteins occurring mainly in Gammaproteobacteria, the novel effector NttD is also required for optimal infection of A. castellanii [76]. The structure of NttD has been recently obtained; but, unfortunately, this information has not yet provided a strong clue as to the activity of NttD [76]. Given that three of four novel effectors examined (i.e. NttA, NttC and NttD; but not NttB) promote infection of at least one amoebal host, it is likely that the emergence of novel T2SS substrates plays a significant role in both the ecology and the pathogenesis of L. pneumophila.

Transcriptional analysis and regulation of T2SS effectors

Recently, qRT-PCR analysis was used to assess the relative expression of 19 of the 25 known effector genes during multiple stages of L. pneumophila growth in bacteriological media as well as during intracellular replication in three amoebae and human macrophages [76]. The T2SS substrate genes showed a range of expression patterns as opposed to displaying similar responses to the various growth environments; for example, eight of the 19 genes were up-regulated upon intracellular infection, and eight others were down-regulated [76] (Table S3). Together, these data imply that the amounts of proteins that are elaborated by the T2SS are dictated, at least primarily, at the level of the individual effector-gene or of subsets of effector-gene transcription as opposed to being controlled at the level of T2SS apparatus gene transcription or by a single global regulator that acts upon the many effector genes [76]. In further support of this conclusion, earlier

studies had found that *celA*, *chiA*, *legP*, *map* and *nttA* are modulated by the regulators PmrA and PmrB [210], whereas *lipA* and *lipB* are influenced by LetA and RpoS [129], and *gamA* is affected by CsrA [211]. The CpxRA two-component system, which controls expression of the Dot/Icm system and effectors, was also shown to positively regulate expression of 13/25 T2SS effectors [212], including at least six factors (LapA, NttA, NttD, PlaC, ProA and SrnA) that promote intracellular replication in protozoa (Table 1). A comprehensive summary of the various regulatory aspects of the T2SS effector genes is presented in Table S3.

Although proteomics and ensuing mutant analysis has been the principal means by which T2SS-dependent proteins that promote infection have been identified, transcriptional profiling has recently been shown to be a valid alternative. Indeed, the importance of LapA and PlaC for infection of A. castellanii was revealed through a novel combination of transcriptional and mutational analyses [76]; that is, (i) the two genes were first found to be among the most up-regulated effector genes during wild-type infection of the amoebae, (ii) transcript profiling of a *lapA* mutant then showed even higher levels of plaC mRNA, and conversely a plaC mutant exhibited elevated levels of *lapA* transcription, and (iii) a newly made, double mutant lacking both *lapA* and *plaC* exhibited a loss of infectivity, uncovering redundant yet important roles for LapA and PlaC in nutrient acquisition and intracellular bacterial growth.

THE T2SS AND ITS EFFECTORS BELONG TO THE CORE GENOME OF *L. PNEUMOPHILA*

Although the vast majority of studies on the T2SS have utilized serogroup (SG)-1 strain 130b and to a lesser extent SG-1 strains Philadelphila-1 and Corby, we and others previously reported the presence of T2SS apparatus proteins encoded in a variety of clinical and environmental L. pneumophila isolates [42, 76, 213-215]. Extending this analysis to all of the 90 annotated L. pneumophila complete genome assemblies currently in the NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq) Database [216], encompassing eight of the 15 SGs, we found that all of the T2SS apparatus genes are intact in all of the strains, except for frame-shift mutations in *lspK* in SG1 strain Flint 2 (D-7477), lspL in SG1 strain FFI103 and pilD in SG1 strain L10/23. The minimum amino-acid identity of the Lsp homologues compared to the L. pneumophila 130b Lsp proteins was 93.5 % for LspC, 96.5 % for LspD, 97.0 % for LspE, 96.5 % for LspF, 98.6 % for LspG, 90.2 % for LspH, 92.8 % for LspI, 93.7 % for LspJ, 91.9 % for LspK, 88.7 % for LspL, 94.2 % for LspM and 89.2 % for PilD/LspO, in agreement with our previous findings from analysing a panel of 17 strains [76]. Some apparatus proteins such as LspJ may undergo diversifying selection within L. pneumophila [217], which may help to explain the varying degrees of secreted activity of environmental isolates despite encoding an intact T2SS [218]. Turning attention to the prevalence of the secreted substrates, it is clear that the genes for all 25 confirmed T2SS effectors (Table 1) are present and intact within the 90 annotated

L. pneumophila genomes, with the sole exception being a frameshift mutation in *gamA* in SG1 strain Albuquerque 1 (D-7474). Overall, these findings suggest that the T2SS along with many effectors belongs to the core genome of *L. pneumophila*. While the T4SS also belongs to the core genome of *L. pneumophila*, it has been reported that up to 30 % of T4S effectors belong to the accessory genome and undergo increased rates of pseudogenization [89].

CONSERVATION OF THE T2SS APPARATUS GENES WITHIN *LEGIONELLA*

Description of T2SS genes in other *Legionella* species

As mentioned in the introductory section, 63 species of Legionella have thus far been characterized, with 32 of them already being linked to human disease (Fig. 5a). Moreover, whenever examined, the non-pneumophila species have also proven to be intracellular parasites of amoebae [11, 219-224]. Nonetheless, our understanding of these Legionella species, including L. longbeachae, which is the most prevalent disease-causing species in Australia, has lagged very far behind that of L. pneumophila [14, 20, 224, 225]. The presence of T2SS genes in non-L. pneumophila species of Legionella was first detected in L. cherrii, L. feeleii, L. gormanii, L. longbeachae, L. micdadei, L. parisiensis and L. spiritensis by Southern blot analysis [42], prior to the sequencing of any of the non-pneumophila species [224, 226]. With the elucidation of many Legionella species genomes, we previously confirmed the presence of the T2SS apparatus genes among all 41 Legionella species examined [76]. Extending this analysis to include all 57 of the currently sequenced Legionella genomes, coding sequences corresponding to all core T2SS genes are present across the genus (Fig. 5b). While all *lsp* genes were detected in all of the 57 species analysed, there were two notable differences in the gene arrangements. First, there were intergenic insertions between *lspF* and *lspG* in the *lspF*-GHIJK locus in L. drozanskii, L. maceachernii, L. micdadei and L. nautarum (Fig. 5b). All species in this clade had inserted a gene that encodes TesA, a signal sequencecontaining, multi-functional periplasmic protein with thioesterase 1/protease 1/lysophospholipase L1 activity [227]. Since L. drozanskii, L. maceachernii, L. micdadei and L. nautarum are monophyletic (Fig. 5a), the insertion event probably occurred once and has persisted since. Based on BLASTP analysis of TesA, the source of tesA was likely aquatic bacteria including Polynucleobacter or Vibrio spp., and no TesA homolog was found to occur within the L. pneumophila genome. L. maceachernii also had a gene encoding a hypothetical protein inserted between *lspF* and tesA (Fig. 5b). This putative protein lacks a secretion signal and is found strictly within L. maceachernii based on the absence of any homologues in the BLAST protein database. As *lspG* transcription is not linked to *lspF* (Fig. 1b), insertion of genes between *lspF* and *lspG* is unlikely to impact transcription from the pseudopilin gene operon

(*lspGHIJK*). The second notable difference regarding the *lsp* genes among the *Legionella* genus is the apparent pseudogenization of the *lspH* gene of *L. norrlandica* resulting in a truncated LspH protein. Although most closely related to *L. pneumophila* (Fig. 5a), *L. norrlandica* is avirulent in a protozoan infection model and is unable to establish large replication vacuoles [11]. Inactivation of the *lspGHIJK* pseudopilin gene cluster in *L. pneumophila* results in loss of T2S activities in culture supernatants and inability to grow within protozoa [39]. Thus, we hypothesize that the attenuation of *L. norrlandica*, which incidentally possesses an intact T4SS [11], is attributable to loss of the T2SS via the *lspH* mutation.

Conservation and context of T2SS genes among *Legionella* species

The degree of conservation of Lsp protein sequences is more variable among the *Legionella* species than it is amongst *L. pneumophila* strains. The major pseudopilin LspG displays the highest degree of conservation, with a minimum amino-acid identity of 78.8 % among species relative to LspG in *L. pneumophila*. The minimum amino-acid identity for other Lsp proteins is 77.3 % for LspE, 70.6 % for LspF, 63.1 % for LspD, 54.4 % for LspI, 51.5 % for LspJ, 48.2 % for PilD/LspO, 41.8 % for LspH, 40.3 % for LspC, 40.0 % for LspK, 37.8 % for LspM and 34.6 % for LspL. Despite the higher divergence among sequences, only LspD has reportedly undergone diversifying selection within certain clades of the *Legionella* evolutionary tree [217], which may play a role in diversification of T2SS function, and consequently defining the ecological niche of *Legionella* species.

The chromosomal organization of *lsp* gene clusters varies across the genus. Currently, at least 12 different arrangements of the *lsp* gene clusters are evident (Fig. 6). Each arrangement is most similar among phylogenetically close species, such as L. waltersii and L. pneumophila. Although the five lsp gene clusters are found intact across all Legionella species, the genetic context of each cluster varies. In some cases, *lsp* genes are flanked by conserved orthologous genes; for example, T2S O (pilD) was always found as the last gene in the *pilBCD* operon, and T2S C (*lspC*) was always immediately upstream of a Sel1 repeat-containing protein. In other cases, the flanking genes were highly variable. The genes flanking the *lspDE* cluster were notable for being highly diverse among the analysed Legionella species. In L. spiritensis, L. hackeliae and L. clemsonensis, there was a methionine tRNA immediately upstream of T2S D. In L. oakridgensis, an ISL3 family insertion sequence has transposed between the tRNA and T2S D. It is well established that tRNAs serve as integration sites in various prokaryotes [229]. In *Legionella* species, the type IVA secretion system is encoded on a plasmid-like element that integrates at the 3' end of various tRNAs in both L. pneumophila and L. longbeachae [226]. Thus, the close proximity of the lspDE gene cluster to a tRNA gene may explain the high diversity of flanking genes observed. Given the various arrangements of the five *lsp* gene clusters on each chromosome, it is clear



Fig. 5. Phylogenetic analysis and distribution of T2SS genes in *Legionellales*. (a) A list of all currently named *Legionella* species, their phylogenetic relationships based upon data from whole-genome sequencing, and their association with human disease. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed in RaxML (LG+ Γ +F model) [111] from the concatenated amino acid sequences derived from 78 near-universal single-copy genes [228]. Support values >50 (from 100 bootstrap replicates) are given at the corresponding nodes. Bar, 0.1 amino acid substitutions per site. *Legionella* species coloured in red have been associated with human disease, and those in black have not (yet) been linked to disease. Appearing at the top of the list are non-*Legionella* species (blue) that belong to other genera within the *Legionellales*. (b) A depiction of the distribution of the 12 core *lsp* T2SS genes (represented by coloured arrows as in Fig. 1) throughout the order *Legionellales*. Distinct genetic loci are separated by double slashes. White arrows indicate genes unrelated to the Lsp T2SS. Arrows filled with hatch marks indicate pseudogenes. Gene arrows are drawn to scale.



Fig. 6. Chromosomal organization of the T2SS genes in different *Legionella* species. The genetic context of the five *lsp* gene clusters within 12 fully sequenced *Legionella* species was determined using SimpleSynteny [230]. Dark grey arrows depict *lsp* genes. Other coloured arrows represent genes flanking the *lsp* gene clusters. Orthologous genes are joined by vertical lines. The genomic coordinates are given beneath each genome segment.

that extensive chromosomal rearrangements have occurred throughout the evolution of *Legionella* species, as has been previously described [217, 224].

DISTRIBUTION OF T2SS SUBSTRATES ACROSS THE GENUS *LEGIONELLA*

General patterns and distributions for specific substrates

The 25 T2SS substrates that have been confirmed for *L. pneumophila* strain 130b exhibit a range of distributions across the genus *Legionella* (Table 1), reinforcing an earlier conclusion that was based on the prevalence of LapA, NttD, PlaC and ProA within *Legionella* [75]. Extending this analysis to include both the 25 validated and the 47 putative T2SS substrates, it appears that the vast majority of T2SS effectors associated with *L. pneumophila* are found in 32 or more of the 57 *Legionella* species analysed (Fig. 7a). This stands in marked contrast to the situation for the Dot/Icm T4SS, where the majority of a subset of T4SS effectors analysed

(*N*=255) are found in only nine or fewer of the *Legionella* species (Fig. 7a) [196, 229]. Moreover, seven out of the 72 T2SS (documented+putative) effectors (9.7%) are conserved in all 57 species and thereby represent 'core' effectors. Once again, this level of conservation is rather different from the T4SS where there are only eight core effectors out of 255 T4SS effectors examined (3.1%) [196, 229]. There appears to be only one *L. pneumophila*-specific T2SS effector, namely the putative effector Lpg0165 (Table S2). This presents yet another distinction from the Dot/Icm system, where at least 20 T4SS effectors are *L. pneumophila*-specific [229]. In summary, a majority of the T2SS effectors appear to be shared by a large subset of *Legionella* species, hinting at a critical role for them in the ecology of *Legionella* owing to their long evolutionary history across the genus.

Turning attention specifically to the distribution of the 25 known T2SS effectors (Table 1), the metalloprotease ProA and the phospholipase A/lysophospholipase A PlaA are notable for being found in all 57 species analysed, and thus constitute the



Fig. 7. The genus-wide prevalence of *L. pneumophila* effectors. (a) The distribution of documented Lsp T2SS effectors (N=25), putative T2SS effectors (N=47), and a subset of documented Dot/Icm T4SS effectors (N=25) among the 57 analysed *Legionella* species was determined. The relative frequency of effector groups (y-axis) was determined by the number of species genomes in which individual effectors were present (x-axis), with *L. pneumophila*-specific effectors at the far left (i.e. x=1) and core effectors at the far right (i.e. x=57). (b) The role of validated T2SS effectors in protozoan infection versus the genus-wide prevalence was determined for N=24 experimentally characterized T2SS effectors based on mutant analysis in a protozoan infection model. Open symbols represent those effectors for which genetic complementation has not yet been achieved. A Student's *t*-test was performed between the two sample distributions. The dashed line represents the median genus-wide prevalence for the analysed effectors.

first examples of 'core' effectors of the Legionella T2SS (Fig. 8). While only ProA and PlaA are found within all genomes, the acylglycerol lipase LipA, aminopeptidase LapA, novel effector NttF and ribonuclease SrnA were found in 89-95 % of the Legionella species (Fig. 8). Interestingly, LipA and ProA are found immediately adjacent to one another within the L. pneumophila chromosome (Fig. 3), and the rate of co-occurrence and synteny in the genome was 89 % among the 57 Legionella species analysed. Since effector genes encoded within close proximity in the L. pneumophila genome may coordinate their functions or regulate one another [231, 232], ProA and LipA might function in a coordinated fashion. Six other effectors, i.e. phospholipase A PlaC, putative amidase AmiA, and the novel effectors NttA, NttB, NttC and NttD, were found in 75-86 % of species (Fig. 8). Of the 12 effectors with >75 % conservation, seven (i.e. LapA, NttA, NttC, NttD, PlaC, ProA and SrnA) clearly promote infection of at least one protozoan host [25, 76, 113, 158]. Additionally, preliminary studies suggest that AmiA and novel effector NttF may also promote infection of protozoa [140, 153], potentially bringing the total to nine out of 12. Although *plaA* mutants have thus far not been shown to be impaired in infection, PlaA appears to influence the integrity of the LCV membrane, with a mutant lacking the T4SS effector SdhA producing a highly unstable LCV, and this loss of LCV membrane integrity was reversed upon subsequent mutation of PlaA [156]. Thus, the vast majority of the known T2SS effectors that are highly prevalent within the genus are implicated in L. pneumophila infection of amoebae. In contrast, nine T2SS effectors (i.e. CelA, ChiA, GamA, LegP, LipB, Map, NttE, NttG, PlcB) have a prevalence of 33-74 % within the Legionella species (Fig. 8), and none of them are yet clearly implicated in infection (Table 1). Finally, four effectors, i.e. LapB, Lcl, LirB and PlcA, were found in fewer than 20 % of Legionella species (Fig. 8). LapB and PlcA are dispensable for infection of protozoa [25, 143], as noted above, whereas Lcl promotes attachment and invasion in the

protozoa *V. vermiformis* and *A. castellanii* but not intracellular growth per se [116]. Overall, this analysis indicates that the T2SS effectors known to be involved in intracellular infection of at least one eukaryotic host are significantly more prevalent throughout *Legionella* as compared to those effectors that are not required for intracellular infection of natural host cells (Fig. 7b). Thus, we hypothesize that T2SS effectors that are more prevalent within the genus *Legionella* are under stronger selective pressure due to their role in infection of the natural host.

Groupings amongst the *Legionella* species based on their T2SS substrates

Although most of the documented T2SS effectors are widely distributed across the genus Legionella, no other Legionella species analysed possessed the same effector repertoire that was found in L. pneumophila (Fig. 8). L. quateirensis, L. fallonii and L. waltersii were most similar to L. pneumophila in this regard with each having 21 or 22 of the effectors (Fig. 8). L. longbeachae, the second most common cause of Legionnaires' disease, has 18 of the effectors (Fig. 8). L. geestiana, L. fairfieldensis, L. londiniensis, L. adelaidensis, L. impletisoli and L. yabuuchiae, the species most distantly related to L. pneumophila, possessed the lowest number of shared effectors at 7-10 (Fig. 8). Some of the species examined had shared subsets of the T2SS substrates, and there appeared to be six distinct groupings of species based upon these shared effectors. The first group included L. wadsworthii, L. steigerwaltii, L. anisa, L. bozemanii, L. parisiensis and L. steelei, which lack LapB, Lcl, LegP, LirB, PlcA and PlcB (green cells in Fig. 8). While this effector repertoire is found among phylogenetically related Legionella species, other effector repertoires are interspersed within this clade. Thus, perhaps the repertoire shapes the environmental niche, and is not simply defined by the evolution of the genus. The second group included



Fig. 8. Distribution of T2SS substrates across the genus *Legionella* and beyond. The presence/absence of the 25 Lsp T2SS substrates in all sequenced members of the order *Legionellales* was determined using BLASTP as previously described [76]. Black cells indicate the presence of all 25 substrates in the *L. pneumophila* genome. Rows of the same colour (with the exception of dark blue) indicate effector repertoires shared by more than one *Legionella* species. Selected clades undergoing effector gain/loss are highlighted in grey and numbered. Bar, 0.1 amino acid substitutions per site.

L. cherrii, *L. dumoffii* and *L. gormanii*, which lack LapB, Lcl, LegP, LirB, Lpw18641, PlcA and PlcB (purple cells in Fig. 8). Like the first repertoire, *L. cherrii* and *L. dumoffii* are paraphyletic, while *L. gormanii* belongs to a different phyletic group;

thus, these species may also occupy another environmental niche. The third group included *L. gratiana*, *L. cincinnatiensis*, *L. santicrucis* and *L. longbeachae*, which lack ChiA, LapB, Lcl, LegP, LirB, NttB and PlcA (gold cells in Fig. 8). All four of these



Fig. 9. Phylogenetic analysis of Lsp T2SS proteins of *Legionella*. Homologes of *Legionella* T2SS apparatus proteins were identified by BLASTP using a minimum query coverage of 60 % for T2S DEFGHJKLMO or 30 % for T2S C, and amino acid identity and E-value cutoffs of 30 and 1×10^{-10} , respectively, for T2S DEFGIO, and amino acid identity and E-value cutoffs of 20 and 1×10^{-5} , respectively, for T2S DEFGIO, and amino acid identity and E-value cutoffs of 20 and 1×10^{-5} , respectively, for T2S CHJKLM. Maximum-likelihood trees were generated from full-length amino acid alignments of all 12 individual T2SS apparatus proteins (i.e. T2S CDEFGHJKLMO) and the most closely related homologues (as determined by BLASTP) encompassing 20 unique genera using RaxML (100 bootstrap replicates, GTR + Γ model) [111]. Bootstrap support values >50 are presented at the respective nodes. Bar, 0.1 amino acid substitutions per site. Labels representing *Legionella* Lsp proteins are in bold green, and labels representing *Aquicella* proteins are in bold purple. Monophyletic clades containing only *Legionella* and *Aquicella* T2SS orthologues are shaded in blue.

species are paraphyletic, and thus this repertoire probably arose from divergent evolution (i.e. gene gain and loss) within a single Legionella clade. The fourth group was the monophyletic group of L. rubrilucens, L. erythra and L. tauriniensis, which lack NttA, LipB, Map, CelA, PlcA, LapB, LirB and Lcl (light blue cells in Fig. 8). The fifth was the monophyletic group of L. jamestowniensis and L. clemsonensis, which lacked Lpw_02811 (Lpg0189), LipB, Map, CelA, PlcA, PlcB, LapB, LirB and Lcl (beige cells in Fig. 8). The final group was the monophyletic group of L. nautarum and L. drozanskii, which lacked NttC, PlaC, GamA, NttE, NttG, ChiA, Map, LegP, CelA, PlcA, PlcB, LirB, LapB and Lcl (grey cells in Fig. 8). All other Legionella species possess 'unique' repertoires, which, overall, range in size from 25 in L. pneumophila (black cells) to seven in L. yabuuchiae. Overall, this comparison of the T2SS effector repertoires across the 57 examined Legionella species suggests that phylogenetically more closely related species share similar sets of effectors. However, this L. pneumophilacentric view of T2SS effectors may underestimate the true number and distributions of Legionella T2SS effectors, as there are T2SS-compatible extracellular activities that are not dependent on the 25 known L. pneumophila effectors, as noted above. Furthermore, it is entirely possible that there are T2SS effectors expressed by non-pneumophila species that are absent from L. pneumophila. In this vein, it is worth noting that there are >600 orthologous T4SS effectors across the genus Legionella, approximately half of which are absent from L. pneumophila [228].

At least 15 examined species of Legionella beyond L. pneumophila are known to possess secreted activities compatible with T2S. Given that homologues of ProA are found among all sequenced Legionella genomes, it is not surprising that L. anisa, L. cincinnatiensis, L. dumoffii, L. erythra, L. feeleii, L. gormanii, L. jordanis, L. longbeachae, L. moravica, L. parisiensis, L. steigerwaltii and L. wadsworthii all secrete protease activity [41, 233, 234]. In a similar way, it is logical that a phospholipase A activity, robably due to PlaA, has been detected in supernatants from L. anisa, L. dumoffii, L. gormanii, L. jordanis, L. longbeachae, L. oakridgensis, L. parisiensis and L. steigerwaltii [233, 235]. Moreover, L. dumoffii, L. gormanii and L. steigerwaltii supernatants are positive for acid phosphatase activity, compatible with their genomes' encoding homologues of Map (Fig. 8) [233]. L. longbeachae, L. bozemanii, L. dumoffii, L. gormanii, L. jordanis and L. micdadei all possess secreted phospholipase C activity [236]. However, only L. longbeachae encodes a homologue of either PlcA or PlcB, and although L. erythra secretes an endoglucanase activity [141], it lacks a homologue of CelA (Fig. 8). These latter observations lend support to the view that there are additional T2SS effectors within the genus Legionella that are not present within L. pneumophila.

Examples of how T2SS substrates are gained and lost within the genus

Based on the distribution patterns summarized above, a recent study proposed possible scenarios by which select T2SS substrates were gained and lost within the genus *Legionella*

[76]. The first substrate, PlaC, appears to be an 'ancestral' T2SS effector that has undergone two loss events over time, once in clade 'I' and once in clade 'II' (Fig. 8, grey shaded regions). The NttD substrate is another ancestral T2SS effector, but one that seems to have undergone three loss events: once in clade I (Fig. 8, grey shaded region), once in L. fairfieldensis and once in L. drozanskii. LapA appears to be a second ancestral T2SS effector that has undergone three loss events: once in L. nagasakiensis, once in L. londiniensis and once in L. maceachernii. On the other hand, LapB probably arose from a recent gene duplication of LapA, having occurred twice: once in clade 'III' (and subsequently lost in L. norrlandica) and once in clade 'IV' (Fig. 8, grey shaded regions). This second gene copy probably underwent positive selection and emerged with a new substrate specificity that is non-redundant with the closely related LapA [76, 143].

ON THE ORIGINS OF THE *LEGIONELLA* LSP T2SS

Legionella species (the sole members of the family Legionellaceae) are most closely related to members of the family Coxiellaceae which contains Coxiella, Rickettsiella, Aquicella, Berkiella, Occultobacter and Nucleophilum among others, which together with Legionellaceae make up the order Legionellales [3]. It was previously reported that Coxiella burnettii, although possessing a T4SS, lacks a core set of T2SS genes [50, 237]. Therefore, it had remained unclear when the Legionella T2SS emerged within the Legionellales, if at all within the closely related Coxiellaceae. When the additionally available Coxiellaceae genomes encompassing three species of Rickettsiella [RefSeq assembly accessions GCF_001881485.1 (Rickettsiella grylli), GCF_000168295.1 (R. grylli), GCF_003966755.1 (Rickettsiella viridis) and GCF_001881495.1 (Rickettsiella isopodorum)], one species of Diplorickettsia (RefSeq assembly accession GCF_000257395.1 (Diplorickettsia massiliensis)], and two of Berkiella [RefSeq assembly accessions GCF 001431295.1 (Berkiella aquae) and GCF_001431315.1 (Berkiella cookevillensis)] were examined, there was also no evidence of T2SS apparatus genes, other than *pilD/lspO*, which was linked, as is often the case, to other T4P genes. However, further analysis revealed a complete set of T2SS components within the genome of the very recently sequenced A. lusitana (RefSeq assembly accession GCF_003350455.1), an aquatic bacterium within the Coxiellaceae [196]. Moreover, five of 12 core Lsp proteins from L. pneumophila (i.e. LspD, LspF, LspI, LspK and LspL) shared their highest amino-acid identity with the orthologous T2SS components of A. lusitana, with identity ranging from 24 % for LspL to 49 % for LspF (Fig. 9). All of the other seven Lsp proteins also showed strong sequence relatedness to their Aquicella counterparts, although their closest homologues existed in various other types of Gammaproteobacteria. Phylogenetic analysis provided further evidence that the majority of Lsp proteins (10 of 12) are most closely related to proteins in A. lusitana. (Fig. 9). In the case of LspC, due to the high sequence divergence among related T2S C proteins,

the evolutionary history could not be reliably inferred, with very few branches containing bootstrap values >50. In the case of PilD, the branch was adjacent to that of A. lusitana T2S O; however, it was not monophyletic but intermediate between a clade containing Aquicella and a clade containing Spongiibacter, which has also been isolated from protozoa [238]. Given the dual role of T2S O in both protein secretion and T4P biogenesis, and that T4P are present in all members of the order Legionellales, the evolutionary trajectory of T2S O is not as clear. Therefore, it appears that the T2SS apparatus of Legionella is closer to that of Aquicella than to any other bacterial genus. Whereas the environmental reservoirs of the obligate intracellular bacteria belonging to Coxiella and Rickettsiella/Diplorickettsia are thought to be primarily mammals and arthropods, respectively [239-241], Aquicella like Legionella can be routinely cultured in the laboratory and replicates intracellularly within aquatic protozoa [196, 242]. Interestingly, Berkiella species are the closest relative to Legionella yet are obligate intracellular parasites of amoebae and replicate inside the host cell nucleus [243]. Thus, we posit two scenarios for the emergence of the Legionella Lsp T2SS: the Lsp-like T2SS apparatus emerged within the Legionellales in a common ancestor shared between Rickettsiella-Diplorickettsia-Aquicella-Berkiella-Legionella, and was lost twice, once in the Rickettsiella-Diplorickettsia clade and once in the Berkiella clade; alternatively, the Lsp-like T2SS apparatus emerged within A. lusitana, and was subsequently acquired in a Legionella progenitor via HGT within protozoa. While it is unknown whether the T2SS contributes to the ability of Aquicella to replicate within the protozoa (in the cytosol, or at minimum not intranuclear) and outside of the host, we posit that this is the case based upon the compelling importance that T2SS has in the intracellular parasitism and extracellular survival and persistence of L. pneumophila. Intriguingly, the A. lusitana genome possesses homologues of six of the 25 known L. pneumophila T2SS effectors, including ProA (E=1×10⁻¹⁴³), PlaA (E=2.16×10⁻⁴⁵), GamA $(E=5.3\times10^{-65})$, LapA $(E=1.0\times10^{-113})$, PlcA $(E=3.20\times10^{-136})$ and ChiA ($E=1.12x10^{-150}$), a pattern that is not too dissimilar from that of L. londiniensis and L. adelaidensis, both of which have nine out of the 25 (Fig. 8). The fact that at least ProA, PlaA and LapA have a role in intracellular infection by L. pneumophila also further implies an importance for T2SS in the intracellular parasitism and ecology of Aquicella. Interestingly, five of the L. pneumophila effectors had their closest homologue occurring in A. lusitana, more than for any other genus (Table 1). In contrast, current BLASTP analysis found no orthologues to any of the known T2SS effectors of Legionella in C. burnetii, D. massiliensis and R. viridis, and only a couple in species of other Rickettsiella or Berkiella genomes (Fig. 8). Given the complete lack of T2SS genes in C. burnetii, we hypothesize that the T2SS emerged after the divergence of Coxiella species from other Coxiellaceae (and Legionellaceae) members. In summary, based upon the latest updates in the genome database, we suggest that the T2SS of L. pneumophila originated from within the order Legionellales and that many of the effectors may have also arisen within that progenitor.

CONCLUDING THOUGHTS

That the L. pneumophila T2SS, with its 25 validated substrates (Table 1), has a major role in the ecology and pathogenesis of the Legionnaires' disease agent is now well known, as summarized in the initial sections of this review. Looking to the future, it will be instructive to confirm whether the many putative substrates of the L. pneumophila T2SS (Table S2) are bona fide substrates. Such a finding would clearly document that the output of a T2SS can be quite large and varied, perhaps encompassing a wealth of novel enzymes. In the meantime, it will be important to more precisely define the enzymatic activities and molecular modes of action of the known T2SS substrates, particularly those that are required for the ability of L. pneumophila to infect host cells, evade immune defences or mediate damage to tissue. Happily, substrates of the Legionella T2SS have recently garnered the attention of structural biologists leading to the reporting of nine crystal structures (Tables 1 and S2). Further expansion in this dataset will probably enhance both our understanding of substrate activities and the mechanism of the secretion process itself, including elucidating how the 3-D structures of the substrates are recognized by the secretion apparatus. Another fruitful area for future investigation is delving more deeply into the regulatory networks that control the expression of the T2SS apparatus and/or its many different effectors. The available data (Table S3) already indicate that the regulation of T2SS function is complex and multifactorial; nonetheless, deciphering how the activity of the T2SS is coordinated with that of the Dot/Icm T4SS and other systems is critical for understanding the overall virulence strategy of the Legionella pathogen.

The rapidly expanding number of genome sequences available for the genus Legionella and beyond has greatly facilitated our understanding of the distribution and diverse origins of the T2SS and its arsenal of effectors, as presented in the latter part of this review. It is now clear that the genes encoding the T2SS apparatus are absolutely conserved across the genus Legionella, which includes 62 species and more than 30 pathogens in addition to L. pneumophila. Moreover, the vast majority of the T2SS effectors associated with L. pneumophila are shared by a large number of other Legionella species, signalling at a key role for them in the ecology of Legionella as a whole. However, no other species has the same effector repertoire as does L. pneumophila, with, as a general rule, phylogenetically more closely related Legionella species sharing similar sets of effectors. Interestingly, the T2SS effectors that are involved in intracellular infection of a eukaryotic host(s) are significantly more prevalent throughout Legionella, indicating that they are under stronger selective pressure.

Based on these genomic data, we can also posit a scenario by which the *L. pneumophila* T2SS evolved (Fig. 10). To begin, it is hypothesized that the T2SS emerged within a common ancestor of *Aquicella*, *Berkiella* and *Legionella*, helping to promote an intra-amoebal lifestyle. The T2SS was lost within *Berkiella* species, and perhaps this event had some connection to the *Berkiellae* becoming obligate intracellular parasites,



Fig. 10. Model for evolution of T2SS and its effectors within the genus *Legionella*. The acquisition of T2SS effectors over (evolutionary) time, with the last common ancestor among *Legionella* and *Aquicella* at the left, and *L. pneumophila* at the lower right. Genes are indicated by coloured rectangles, and HGT is indicated by the curved arrows. The divergence of *Aquicella* and the non-*pneumophila Legionella* species is indicated with vertical, dashed arrows. *L. yabuuchiae* is an example of a species that shares 7–10 of 25 effectors with *L. pneumophila; L. longbeachae* shares 18/25 effectors; and *L. fallonii* is representative of species sharing 21 or 22 of 25 effectors. Within the infected amoebae hosts in the centre of the figure, the black circles represent the nucleus of the protozoan hosts, whereas the white circles represent contractile vacuoles.

targeting the host cell nucleus for survival. The acquisition of core effector genes (e.g. proA) probably helped to shape the early evolution of the Aquicella-Legionella ancestor (Fig. 10, step 1). With time, Aquicella and Legionella diverged from each other (Fig. 10, step a), although both retained their T2SS and remained as facultative intracellular parasites of amoebae. The ancestral Legionella appears to have acquired additional effectors via inter-kingdom HGT, owing to its natural competence and ability to incorporate environmental DNA. As one example of HGT, Legionella probably acquired genetic material from its protozoan host, giving rise to eukaryotic-like T2SS substrates such as LapA (Fig. 10, step 2). We predict that a number of the T2SS substrates that are currently described as 'novel' will be re-classified as eukaryotic-like as more protozoan genomes are sequenced. While growing within its amoebal hosts, Legionella probably encountered giant viruses (mimiviruses) that also parasitize protozoa. This co-habitation may have provided another conduit for the HGT of effectors, including the T2SS substrate ChiA (Fig. 10, step 3). When Legionella emerges from its spent protozoan hosts, it encounters a wide variety of other organisms in its aquatic environment, such as cyanobacteria, water moulds and red algae. This undoubtedly provided yet additional opportunities for gene acquisition, accounting for the T2SS substrates GamA, Lcl and LirB, among others (Fig. 10, step 4). It is reasonable to think that *Legionella's* host range grew as its T2SS effector repertoire expanded. Consequently, Legionella may have shared ecological niches with other intracellular bacterial pathogens, such as Francisella species, and thereby acquired further effectors, such as Map, NttG and SrnA, via inter-bacterial HGT (Fig. 10, step 5). Ultimately,

the acquisition of even more T2SS effectors, along with other events, such as the evolution of the Dot/Icm T4SS, led to the emergence of the *L. pneumophila* species (Fig. 10, step 6). Based upon the differences in the known-effector repertoire amongst the *Legionella* species (Fig. 8), we posit that each of the different *Legionella* species/clades travelled along their own path of T2SS evolution, which probably includes the acquisition of T2SS substrates that do not have homologues in *L. pneumophila* (Fig. 10, steps b, c and d).

In the coming years, we anticipate the discovery of additional *L. pneumophila*-like T2SSs and new genome sequences that will provide further insight into the diverse origins of the many effectors in the expansive genus *Legionella*. Finally, the genomic analysis of the *L. pneumophila* T2SS that has been reviewed here can serve as a model for the investigation of other bacterial T2SSs, especially those that are present in aquatic and/or intracellular parasites of protozoa.

Funding information

This work was funded by NIH grant AI043987 awarded to N. P. C.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Cianciotto lab past and present for helpful advice and assistance with some preliminary data.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

 Fraser DW, Tsai TR, Orenstein W, Parkin WE, Beecham HJ et al. Legionnaires' disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia. N Engl J Med 1977;297:1189–1197.

- McDade JE, Shepard CC, Fraser DW, Tsai TR, Redus MA et al. Legionnaires' disease: isolation of a bacterium and demonstration of its role in other respiratory disease. N Engl J Med 1977;297:1197–1203.
- Duron O, Doublet P, Vavre F, Bouchon D. The importance of revisiting Legionellales diversity. *Trends Parasitol* 2018;34:1027–1037.
- Alary M, Joly JR. Risk factors for contamination of domestic hot water systems by legionellae. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1991;57:2360–2367.
- Fliermans CB, Cherry WB, Orrison LH, Smith SJ, Tison DL et al. Ecological distribution of Legionella pneumophila. Appl Environ Microbiol 1981;41:9–16.
- Mouchtouri V, Velonakis E, Tsakalof A, Kapoula C, Goutziana G et al. Risk factors for contamination of hotel water distribution systems by Legionella species. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:1489–1492.
- Ashbolt NJ. Environmental (Saprozoic) pathogens of engineered water systems: understanding their ecology for risk assessment and management. *Pathogens* 2015;4:390–405.
- Palmer A, Painter J, Hassler H, Richards VP, Bruce T et al. Legionella clemsonensis sp. nov.: a green fluorescing Legionella strain from a patient with pneumonia. *Microbiol Immunol* 2016;60:694–701.
- Relich RF, Schmitt BH, Raposo H, Barker L, Blosser SJ et al. Legionella indianapolisensis sp. nov., isolated from a patient with pulmonary abscess. Int J Infect Dis 2018;69:26–28.
- Campocasso A, Boughalmi M, Fournous G, Raoult D, La Scola B. Legionella tunisiensis sp. nov. and Legionella massiliensis sp. nov., isolated from environmental water samples. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012;62:3003–3006.
- Rizzardi K, Winiecka-Krusnell J, Ramliden M, Alm E, Andersson S et al. Legionella norrlandica sp. nov., isolated from the biopurification systems of wood processing plants. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2015;65:598–603.
- Bajrai LH, Azhar EI, Yasir M, Jardot P, Barrassi L et al. Legionella saoudiensis sp. nov., isolated from a sewage water sample. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2016;66:4367–4371.
- Ishizaki N, Sogawa K, Inoue H, Agata K, Edagawa A et al. Legionella thermalis sp. nov., isolated from hot spring water in Tokyo, Japan. Microbiol Immunol 2016;60:203–208.
- Cianciotto NP, Hilbi H, Buchrieser C. Legionnaires' Disease. *The Prokaryotes - Human Microbiology*, 4th edition. New York, NY: Springer; 2013. pp. 147–217.
- Abu Kwaik Y, Gao LY, Stone BJ, Venkataraman C, Harb OS. Invasion of protozoa by *Legionella pneumophila* and its role in bacterial ecology and pathogenesis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1998;64:3127–3133.
- Adeleke A, Pruckler J, Benson R, Rowbotham T, Halablab M et al. Legionella-like amebal pathogens-phylogenetic status and possible role in respiratory disease. Emerg Infect Dis 1996;2:225–230.
- Wullings BA, van der Kooij D. Occurrence and genetic diversity of uncultured *Legionella* spp. in drinking water treated at temperatures below 15 degrees C. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006;72:157–166.
- Gomaa F, Gersh M, Cavanaugh CM. Diverse Legionella-Like bacteria associated with testate amoebae of the genus Arcella (Arcellinida: Amoebozoa). J Eukaryot Microbiol 2018;65:661–668.
- 19. Diederen BMW. *Legionella* spp. and Legionnaires' disease. *J Infect* 2008;56:1–12.
- Boamah DK, Zhou G, Ensminger AW, O'Connor TJ, Hosts FM. From many hosts, one accidental pathogen: the diverse protozoan hosts of *Legionella*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:477.
- Anand CM, Skinner AR, Malic A, Kurtz JB. Interaction of L. pneumophilia and a free living amoeba (Acanthamoeba palestinensis). J Hyg 1983;91:167–178.

- Rowbotham TJ. Current views on the relationships between amoebae, legionellae and man. *Isr J Med Sci* 1986;22:678–689.
- Declerck P, Behets J, Delaedt Y, Margineanu A, Lammertyn E et al. Impact of non-Legionella bacteria on the uptake and intracellular replication of Legionella pneumophila in Acanthamoeba castellanii and Naegleria lovaniensis. Microb Ecol 2005;50:536–549.
- Thomas V, Herrera-Rimann K, Blanc DS, Greub G. Biodiversity of amoebae and amoeba-resisting bacteria in a hospital water network. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006;72:2428–2438.
- 25. Tyson JY, Pearce MM, Vargas P, Bagchi S, Mulhern BJ et al. Multiple Legionella pneumophila type II secretion substrates, including a novel protein, contribute to differential infection of the amoebae Acanthamoeba castellanii, Hartmannella vermiformis, and Naegleria lovaniensis. Infect Immun 2013;81:1399–1410.
- Winn WC, Jr. Legionnaires disease: historical perspective. Clin Microbiol Rev 1988;1:60–81.
- Gao LY, Stone BJ, Brieland JK, Abu Kwaik Y. Different fates of Legionella pneumophila PMI and mil mutants within macrophages and alveolar epithelial cells. *Microb Pathog* 1998;25:291–306.
- Maruta K, Miyamoto H, Hamada T, Ogawa M, Taniguchi H et al. Entry and intracellular growth of *Legionella dumoffii* in alveolar epithelial cells. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:1967–1974.
- Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ, Heidtman M. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nat Rev Microbiol 2009;7:13–24.
- Finsel I, Hilbi H. Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to Legionella pneumophila: how to kill one bird with many stones. Cell Microbiol 2015;17:935–950.
- Bandyopadhyay P, Liu S, Gabbai CB, Venitelli Z, Steinman HM. Environmental mimics and the Lvh type IVA secretion system contribute to virulence-related phenotypes of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Infect Immun* 2007;75:723–735.
- Bandyopadhyay P, Lang EAS, Rasaputra KS, Steinman HM. Implication of the VirD4 coupling protein of the Lvh type 4 secretion system in virulence phenotypes of *Legionella pneumophila*. J Bacteriol 2013;195:3468–3475.
- Fuche F, Vianney A, Andrea C, Doublet P, Gilbert C. Functional type 1 secretion system involved in *Legionella pneumophila* virulence. J. Bacteriol. 2015;197:563–571.
- Allard KA, Dao J, Sanjeevaiah P, McCoy-Simandle K, Chatfield CH et al. Purification of Legiobactin and Importance of This Siderophore in Lung Infection by Legionella pneumophila. Infection and Immunity 2009;77:2887–2895.
- Burnside DM, Wu Y, Shafaie S, Cianciotto NP. The Legionella pneumophila siderophore legiobactin is a polycarboxylate that is identical in structure to rhizoferrin. Infect Immun 2015;83:3937–3945.
- Chatfield CH, Cianciotto NP. The secreted pyomelanin pigment of Legionella pneumophila confers ferric reductase activity. Infect Immun 2007;75:4062–4070.
- Zheng H, Chatfield CH, Liles MR, Cianciotto NP. Secreted pyomelanin of *Legionella pneumophila* promotes bacterial iron uptake and growth under iron-limiting conditions. *Infect Immun* 2013;81:4182–4191.
- Hales LM, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila contains a type II general secretion pathway required for growth in amoebae as well as for secretion of the Msp protease. Infect Immun 1999;67:3662–3666.
- Rossier O, Cianciotto NP. Type II protein secretion is a subset of the PilD-dependent processes that facilitate intracellular infection by Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 2001;69:2092–2098.
- Liles MR, Edelstein PH, Cianciotto NP. The prepilin peptidase is required for protein secretion by and the virulence of the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. *Mol Microbiol* 1999;31:959–970.
- 41. Söderberg MA, Dao J, Starkenburg SR, Cianciotto NP. Importance of type II secretion for survival of *Legionella pneumophila*

in tap water and in amoebae at low temperatures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:5583–5588.

- Rossier O, Starkenburg SR, Cianciotto NP. Legionella pneumophila type II protein secretion promotes virulence in the A/J mouse model of Legionnaires' disease pneumonia. Infect Immun 2004;72:310–321.
- Cianciotto NP, White RC. Expanding role of type II secretion in bacterial pathogenesis and beyond. *Infect Immun* 2017;85.
- Gu S, Shevchik VE, Shaw R, Pickersgill RW, Garnett JA. The role of intrinsic disorder and dynamics in the assembly and function of the type II secretion system. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1865;2017:1255–1266.
- 45. Rondelet A, Condemine G. Type II secretion: the substrates that won't go away. *Res Microbiol* 2013;164:556–561.
- d'Enfert C, Reyss I, Wandersman C, Pugsley AP. Protein secretion by gram-negative bacteria. Characterization of two membrane proteins required for pullulanase secretion by *Escherichia coli* K-12. J Biol Chem 1989;264:17462–17468.
- d'Enfert C, Ryter A, Pugsley AP. Cloning and expression in Escherichia coli of the Klebsiella pneumoniae genes for production, surface localization and secretion of the lipoprotein pullulanase. Embo J 1987;6:3531–3538.
- 48. Filloux A. The underlying mechanisms of type II protein secretion. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004;1694:163–179.
- Voulhoux R, Ball G, Ize B, Vasil ML, Lazdunski A et al. Involvement of the twin-arginine translocation system in protein secretion via the type II pathway. EMBO J 2001;20:6735–6741.
- Cianciotto NP. Type II secretion: a protein secretion system for all seasons. *Trends Microbiol* 2005;13:581–588.
- Cianciotto NP. Many substrates and functions of type II secretion: lessons learned from *Legionella pneumophila*. *Future Microbiol* 2009;4:797–805.
- Korotkov KV, Sandkvist M. Architecture, function, and substrates of the type II secretion system. *EcoSal Plus* 2019;8.
- Abendroth J, Rice AE, McLuskey K, Bagdasarian M, Hol WGJ. The crystal structure of the periplasmic domain of the type II secretion system protein EpsM from *Vibrio cholerae*: the simplest version of the ferredoxin fold. *J Mol Biol* 2004;338:585–596.
- 54. Abendroth J, Kreger AC, Hol WGJ. The dimer formed by the periplasmic domain of EpsL from the Type 2 secretion system of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus. J Struct Biol* 2009;168:313–322.
- Chen YL, Hu NT. Function-related positioning of the type II secretion ATPase of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e59123.
- Lu C, Korotkov KV, Hol WGJ. Crystal structure of the full-length ATPase GspE from the Vibrio vulnificus type II secretion system in complex with the cytoplasmic domain of GspL. J Struct Biol 2014;187:223–235.
- 57. Camberg JL, Johnson TL, Patrick M, Abendroth J, Hol WGJ *et al.* Synergistic stimulation of EpsE ATP hydrolysis by EpsL and acidic phospholipids. *EMBO J* 2007;26:19–27.
- Hay ID, Belousoff MJ, Lithgow T. Structural basis of type 2 secretion system engagement between the inner and outer bacterial membranes. *MBio* 2017;8:e01344–17.
- Yan Z, Yin M, Xu D, Zhu Y, Li X. Structural insights into the secretin translocation channel in the type II secretion system. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2017;24:177–183.
- Cisneros DA, Bond PJ, Pugsley AP, Campos M, Francetic O. Minor pseudopilin self-assembly primes type II secretion pseudopilus elongation. *EMBO J* 2012;31:1041–1053.
- Douzi B, Durand E, Bernard C, Alphonse S, Cambillau C et al. The XcpV/Gspl pseudopilin has a central role in the assembly of a quaternary complex within the T2SS pseudopilus. J Biol Chem 2009;284:34580–34589.
- Gray MD, Bagdasarian M, Hol WGJ, Sandkvist M. In vivo cross-linking of EpsG to EpsL suggests a role for EpsL as an

ATPase-pseudopilin coupling protein in the Type II secretion system of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Mol Microbiol* 2011;79:786–798.

- Peabody CR, Chung YJ, Yen MR, Vidal-Ingigliardi D, Pugsley AP et al. Type II protein secretion and its relationship to bacterial type IV pili and archaeal flagella. *Microbiology* 2003;149:3051–3072.
- 64. Korotkov KV, Sandkvist M, Hol WGJ. The type II secretion system: biogenesis, molecular architecture and mechanism. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2012;10:336–351.
- 65. Wang X, Pineau C, Gu S, Guschinskaya N, Pickersgill RW *et al.* Cysteine scanning mutagenesis and disulfide mapping analysis of arrangement of GspC and GspD protomers within the type 2 secretion system. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:19082–19093.
- Gérard-Vincent M, Robert V, Ball G, Bleves S, Michel GPF et al. Identification of XcpP domains that confer functionality and specificity to the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type II secretion apparatus. *Mol Microbiol* 2002;44:1651–1665.
- 67. Pineau C, Guschinskaya N, Robert X, Gouet P, Ballut L *et al.* Substrate recognition by the bacterial type II secretion system: more than a simple interaction. *Mol Microbiol* 2014;94:126–140.
- Douzi B, Ball G, Cambillau C, Tegoni M, Voulhoux R. Deciphering the Xcp *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type II secretion machinery through multiple interactions with substrates. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:40792–40801.
- Bouley J, Condemine G, Shevchik VE. The PDZ domain of OutC and the N-terminal region of OutD determine the secretion specificity of the type II out pathway of *Erwinia* chrysanthemi. *J Mol Biol* 2001;308:205–219.
- Michel-Souzy S, Douzi B, Cadoret F, Raynaud C, Quinton L et al. Direct interactions between the secreted effector and the T2SS components GspL and GspM reveal a new effector-sensing step during type 2 secretion. J Biol Chem 2018;293:19441–19450.
- Korotkov KV, Krumm B, Bagdasarian M, Hol WGJ. Structural and functional studies of EpsC, a crucial component of the type 2 secretion system from *Vibrio cholerae*. J Mol Biol 2006;363:311–321.
- Bleves S, Gérard-Vincent M, Lazdunski A, Filloux A. Structurefunction analysis of XcpP, a component involved in general secretory pathway-dependent protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol* 1999;181:4012–4019.
- Sahr T, Rusniok C, Dervins-Ravault D, Sismeiro O, Coppee J-Y et al. Deep sequencing defines the transcriptional map of L. pneumophila and identifies growth phase-dependent regulated ncRNAs implicated in virulence. RNA Biol 2012;9:503–519.
- Guilvout I, Chami M, Engel A, Pugsley AP, Bayan N. Bacterial outer membrane secretin PulD assembles and inserts into the inner membrane in the absence of its pilotin. *EMBO J* 2006;25:5241–5249.
- 75. Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011;39:W29–W37.
- White RC, Gunderson FF, Tyson JY, Richardson KH, Portlock TJ et al. Type II secretion-dependent Aminopeptidase LapA and acyltransferase PlaC Are redundant for nutrient acquisition during Legionella pneumophila intracellular infection of amoebas. MBio 2018;9:e00528-18.
- 77. Dunstan RA, Heinz E, Wijeyewickrema LC, Pike RN, Purcell AW *et al.* Assembly of the type II secretion system such as found in *Vibrio cholerae* depends on the novel Pilotin AspS. *PLoS Pathog* 2013;9:e1003117.
- Viarre V, Cascales E, Ball G, Michel GPF, Filloux A et al. HxcQ liposecretin is self-piloted to the outer membrane by its N-terminal lipid anchor. J Biol Chem 2009;284:33815–33823.
- 79. Madan Babu M, Sankaran K. DOLOP-database of bacterial lipoproteins. *Bioinformatics* 2002;18:641–643.
- Carter T, Buensuceso RNC, Tammam S, Lamers RP, Harvey H et al. The type IVA pilus machinery is recruited to sites of future cell division. *MBio* 2017;8.

- 81. Yahashiri A, Jorgenson MA, Weiss DS. The SPOR domain, a widely conserved peptidoglycan binding domain that targets proteins to the site of cell division. *J Bacteriol* 2017;199.
- Douzi B, Filloux A, Voulhoux R. On the path to uncover the bacterial type II secretion system. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2012;367:1059–1072.
- Possot OM, Vignon G, Bomchil N, Ebel F, Pugsley AP. Multiple interactions between pullulanase secreton components involved in stabilization and cytoplasmic membrane association of PulE. J Bacteriol 2000;182:2142–2152.
- Schoenhofen IC, Stratilo C, Howard SP. An ExeAB complex in the type II secretion pathway of *Aeromonas hydrophila*: effect of ATPbinding cassette mutations on complex formation and function. *Mol Microbiol* 1998;29:1237–1247.
- Ayers M, Howell PL, Burrows LL. Architecture of the type II secretion and type IV pilus machineries. *Future Microbiol* 2010;5:1203–1218.
- Cazalet C, Rusniok C, Brüggemann H, Zidane N, Magnier A et al. Evidence in the Legionella pneumophila genome for exploitation of host cell functions and high genome plasticity. Nat Genet 2004;36:1165–1173.
- Chien M, Morozova I, Shi S, Sheng H, Chen J et al. The genomic sequence of the accidental pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. *Science* 2004;305:1966–1968.
- Glöckner G, Albert-Weissenberger C, Weinmann E, Jacobi S, Schunder E et al. Identification and characterization of a new conjugation/type IVA secretion system (trb/tra) of Legionella pneumophila Corby localized on two mobile genomic islands. Int J Med Microbiol 2008;298:411–428.
- Schroeder GN, Petty NK, Mousnier A, Harding CR, Vogrin AJ et al. Legionella pneumophila strain 130b possesses a unique combination of type IV secretion systems and novel Dot/Icm secretion system effector proteins. J Bacteriol 2010;192:6001–6016.
- D'Auria G, Jiménez-Hernández N, Peris-Bondia F, Moya A, Latorre A. Legionella pneumophila pangenome reveals strainspecific virulence factors. *BMC Genomics* 2010;11:181.
- Sandkvist M. Type II secretion and pathogenesis. Infect Immun 2001;69:3523–3535.
- Karlyshev AV, MacIntyre S. Cloning and study of the genetic organization of the exe gene cluster of *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Gene* 1995;158:77–82.
- Pugsley AP. The complete general secretory pathway in gramnegative bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* 1993;57:50–108.
- Francetic O, Pugsley AP. The cryptic general secretory pathway (gsp) operon of *Escherichia coli* K-12 encodes functional proteins. *J Bacteriol* 1996;178:3544–3549.
- Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Néron B, Touchon M et al. Identification of protein secretion systems in bacterial genomes. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:23080.
- Nivaskumar M, Francetic O. Type II secretion system: a magic beanstalk or a protein escalator. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1843;2014:1568–1577.
- Snavely EA, Kokes M, Dunn JD, Saka HA, Nguyen BD et al. Reassessing the role of the secreted protease CPAF in *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection through genetic approaches. *Pathog Dis* 2014;71:336–351.
- Wang X, Han Q, Chen G, Zhang W, Liu W. A putative Type II secretion system is involved in cellulose utilization in *Cytophaga hutch*isonii. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1482.
- Karaba SM, White RC, Cianciotto NP. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia encodes a type II protein secretion system that promotes detrimental effects on lung epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* 2013;81:3210–3219.
- 100. Corbett M, Virtue S, Bell K, Birch P, Burr T *et al.* Identification of a new quorum-sensing-controlled virulence factor in *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* secreted via the type II targeting pathway. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 2005;18:334–342.

- DeShazer D, Brett PJ, Burtnick MN, Woods DE. Molecular characterization of genetic loci required for secretion of exoproducts in Burkholderia pseudomallei. J Bacteriol 1999;181:4661–4664.
- 102. Iwobi A, Heesemann J, Garcia E, Igwe E, Noelting C *et al.* Novel virulence-associated type II secretion system unique to high-pathogenicity *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Infect Immun* 2003;71:1872–1879.
- Lee HM, Chen JR, Lee HL, Leu WM, Chen LY et al. Functional dissection of the XpsN (GspC) protein of the Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris type II secretion machinery. J Bacteriol 2004;186:2946–2955.
- do Vale A, Pereira C, Osorio RC, dos Santos NMS. The Apoptogenic Toxin AIP56 Is Secreted by the Type II Secretion System of *Photobacterium damselae* subsp. piscicida. *Toxins* 2017;9:368.
- 105. Waack U, Warnock M, Yee A, Huttinger Z, Smith S et al. CpaA Is a Glycan-Specific Adamalysin-like Protease Secreted by Acinetobacter baumannii That Inactivates Coagulation Factor XII. MBio 2018;9:e01606-18.
- Carda-Diéguez M, Silva-Hernández FX, Hubbard TP, Chao MC, Waldor MK et al. Comprehensive identification of Vibrio vulnificus genes required for growth in human serum. Virulence 2018;9:981–993.
- 107. Elhosseiny NM, Elhezawy NB, Attia AS. Comparative proteomics analyses of *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains ATCC 17978 and AB5075 reveal the differential role of type II secretion system secretomes in lung colonization and ciprofloxacin resistance. *Microb Pathog* 2019;128:20–27.
- 108. Saint-Criq V, Villeret B, Bastaert F, Kheir S, Hatton A et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa LasB protease impairs innate immunity in mice and humans by targeting a lung epithelial cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator-IL-6-antimicrobial-repair pathway. *Thorax* 2018;73:49–61.
- 109. Jang KK, Lee ZW, Kim B, Jung YH, Han HJ *et al.* Identification and characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* plpA encoding a phospholipase A2 essential for pathogenesis. *J Biol Chem* 2017;292:17129–17143.
- Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM et al. Ribosomal database project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D633–D642.
- 111. **Stamatakis A**. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30:1312–1313.
- Polesky AH, Ross JT, Falkow S, Tompkins LS. Identification of *Legionella pneumophila* genes important for infection of amoebas by signature-tagged mutagenesis. *Infect Immun* 2001;69:977–987.
- 113. **Tyson JY**, **Vargas P**, **Cianciotto NP**. The novel *Legionella pneumophila* type II secretion substrate NttC contributes to infection of amoebae *Hartmannella vermiformis* and *Willaertia magna*. *Microbiology* 2014;160:2732–2744.
- 114. Söderberg MA, Dao J, Starkenburg SR, Cianciotto NP. Importance of type II secretion for *survival of Legionella pneumophila* in tap water and in amoebae at low temperatures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:5583–5588.
- 115. Söderberg MA, Rossier O, Cianciotto NP. The type II protein secretion system of *Legionella pneumophila* promotes growth at low temperatures. *J Bacteriol* 2004;186:3712–3720.
- 116. Duncan C, Prashar A, So J, Tang P, Low DE *et al*. Lcl of *Legionella pneumophila* is an immunogenic GAG binding adhesin that promotes interactions with lung epithelial cells and plays a crucial role in biofilm formation. *Infect Immun* 2011;79:2168–2181.
- 117. Mallegol J, Duncan C, Prashar A, So J, Low DE *et al.* Essential roles and regulation of the *Legionella pneumophila* collagen-like adhesin during biofilm formation. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e46462.
- 118. Lucas CE, Brown E, Fields BS. Type IV pili and type II secretion play a limited role in *Legionella pneumophila* biofilm colonization and retention. *Microbiology* 2006;152:3569–3573.

- 119. **Stewart CR, Rossier O, Cianciotto NP**. Surface translocation by *Legionella pneumophila*: a form of sliding motility that is dependent upon type II protein secretion. *J Bacteriol* 2009;191:1537–1546.
- 120. Stewart CR, Burnside DM, Cianciotto NP. The surfactant of *Legionella pneumophila* is secreted in a TolC-dependent manner and is antagonistic toward other *Legionella* species. *J Bacteriol* 2011;193:5971–5984.
- 121. Johnston CW, Plumb J, Li X, Grinstein S, Magarvey NA. Informatic analysis reveals *Legionella* as a source of novel natural products. *Synth Syst Biotechnol* 2016;1:130–136.
- Cianciotto NP. Type II secretion and Legionella virulence. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2013;376:81–102.
- Mallama CA, McCoy-Simandle K, Cianciotto NP. The Type II Secretion System of *Legionella pneumophila* Dampens the MyD88 and Toll-Like Receptor 2 Signaling Pathway in Infected Human Macrophages. *Infect Immun* 2017;85.
- 124. McCoy-Simandle K, Stewart CR, Dao J, DebRoy S, Rossier O et al. Legionella pneumophila type II secretion dampens the cytokine response of infected macrophages and epithelia. Infect Immun 2011;79:1984–1997.
- 125. White RC, Cianciotto NP. Type II secretion is necessary for optimal association of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole with macrophage Rab1B but enhances intracellular replication mainly by Rab1B-Independent mechanisms. *Infect Immun* 2016;84:3313–3327.
- 126. Truchan HK, Christman HD, White RC, Rutledge NS, Cianciotto NP. Type II Secretion Substrates of Legionella pneumophila Translocate Out of the Pathogen-Occupied Vacuole via a Semipermeable Membrane. MBio 2017;8:e00870-17.
- 127. Faucher SP, Mueller CA, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila transcriptome during intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Front Microbiol 2011;2:60.
- Brüggemann H, Hagman A, Jules M, Sismeiro O, Dillies M-A et al. Virulence strategies for infecting phagocytes deduced from the in vivo transcriptional program of Legionella pneumophila. Cell Microbiol 2006;8:1228–1240.
- Broich M, Rydzewski K, McNealy TL, Marre R, Flieger A. The global regulatory proteins LetA and RpoS control phospholipase A, lysophospholipase A, acyltransferase, and other hydrolytic activities of *Legionella pneumophila* JR32. J Bacteriol 2006;188:1218–1226.
- Jules M, Buchrieser C. Legionella pneumophila adaptation to intracellular life and the host response: clues from genomics and transcriptomics. FEBS Lett 2007;581:2829–2838.
- DebRoy S, Dao J, Söderberg M, Rossier O, Cianciotto NP. Legionella pneumophila type II secretome reveals unique exoproteins and a chitinase that promotes bacterial persistence in the lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:19146–19151.
- Galka F, Wai SN, Kusch H, Engelmann S, Hecker M et al. Proteomic characterization of the whole secretome of *Legionella* pneumophila and functional analysis of outer membrane vesicles. *Infect Immun* 2008;76:1825–1836.
- Aurass P, Gerlach T, Becher D, Voigt B, Karste S et al. Life stagespecific proteomes of *Legionella pneumophila* reveal a highly differential abundance of virulence-associated Dot/Icm effectors. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2016;15:177–200.
- De Buck E, Höper D, Lammertyn E, Hecker M, Anné J. Differential 2-D protein gel electrophoresis analysis of *Legionella pneumophila* wild type and Tat secretion mutants. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2008;298:449–461.
- Rossier O, Cianciotto NP. The Legionella pneumophila tatB gene facilitates secretion of phospholipase C, growth under ironlimiting conditions, and intracellular infection. Infect Immun 2005;73:2020–2032.
- Debroy S, Aragon V, Kurtz S, Cianciotto NP. Legionella pneumophila MIP, a surface-exposed peptidylproline *cis-trans*-isomerase, promotes the presence of phospholipase C-like activity in culture supernatants. *Infect Immun* 2006;74:5152–5160.

- Kulp A, Kuehn MJ. Biological functions and biogenesis of secreted bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2010;64:163–184.
- 138. Jan AT. Outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) of gram-negative bacteria: a perspective update. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:1053.
- Schwechheimer C, Kuehn MJ. Outer-membrane vesicles from gram-negative bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;13:605–619.
- 140. **Pearce MM**. The Identification and Characterization of a Novel Clinically Relevent Legionella Species, Legionella Cardiaca, and the Role of Three Type Ii Secreted Effectors in Legionella Pneumophila Metabolism, Physiology and Pathogenesis. Evanston, IL.: Northwestern; 2011.
- 141. **Pearce MM**, **Cianciotto NP**. *Legionella pneumophila* secretes an endoglucanase that belongs to the family-5 of glycosyl hydrolases and is dependent upon type II secretion. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2009;300:256–264.
- 142. Herrmann V, Eidner A, Rydzewski K, Blädel I, Jules M et al. GamA is a eukaryotic-like glucoamylase responsible for glycogen- and starch-degrading activity of *Legionella pneumophila*. Int J Med Microbiol 2011;301:133–139.
- 143. **Rossier O**, **Dao J**, **Cianciotto NP**. The type II secretion system of *Legionella pneumophila* elaborates two aminopeptidases, as well as a metalloprotease that contributes to differential infection among protozoan hosts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:753–761.
- 144. Zhang N, Yin S, Zhang W, Gong X, Zhang N *et al.* Crystal structure and biochemical characterization of an aminopeptidase LapB from *Legionella pneumophila*. J Agric Food Chem 2017;65:7569–7578.
- 145. Vandersmissen L, De Buck E, Saels V, Coil DA, Anné J. A *Legionella* pneumophila collagen-like protein encoded by a gene with a variable number of tandem repeats is involved in the adherence and invasion of host cells. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2010;306:168–176.
- 146. Abdel-Nour M, Duncan C, Prashar A, Rao C, Ginevra C *et al*. The *Legionella pneumophila* collagen-like protein mediates sedimentation, autoaggregation, and pathogen-phagocyte interactions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014;80:1441–1454.
- Aragon V, Rossier O, Cianciotto NP. Legionella pneumophila genes that encode lipase and phospholipase C activities. *Microbiology* 2002;148:2223–2231.
- 148. Zusman T, Degtyar E, Segal G. Identification of a hypervariable region containing new *Legionella pneumophila* Icm/Dot translocated substrates by using the conserved *icmQ* regulatory signature. *Infect Immun* 2008;76:4581–4591.
- 149. Söderberg MA, Cianciotto NP. A *Legionella pneumophila* peptidylprolyl cis-trans isomerase present in culture supernatants is necessary for optimal growth at low temperatures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:1634–1638.
- 150. Aragon V, Kurtz S, Cianciotto NP. Legionella pneumophila major acid phosphatase and its role in intracellular infection. Infect Immun 2001;69:177–185.
- 151. Dhatwalia R, Singh H, Reilly TJ, Tanner JJ. Crystal structure and tartrate inhibition of *Legionella pneumophila* histidine acid phosphatase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2015;585:32–38.
- 152. Gong X, Zhao X, Zhang W, Wang J, Chen X *et al.* Structural characterization of the hypothetical protein Lpg2622, a new member of the C1 family peptidases from *Legionella pneumophila. FEBS Lett* 2018;592:2798–2810.
- 153. **Tyson JY**. Novel Type II Secretion Substrates of Legionella pneumophila Contribute to Infection of Multiple Amoebal Hosts. Evanston, IL: Northwestern; 2014.
- 154. Zhang N, Yin S, Liu S, Sun A, Zhou M *et al.* Crystal structure of lpg1832, a VirK family protein from *Legionella pneumophila*, reveals a novel fold for bacterial VirK proteins. *FEBS Lett* 2017;591:2929–2935.
- 155. Flieger A, Neumeister B, Cianciotto NP. Characterization of the gene encoding the major secreted lysophospholipase A of

Legionella pneumophila and its role in detoxification of lysophosphatidylcholine. Infect Immun 2002;70:6094–6106.

- Creasey EA, Isberg RR. The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:3481–3486.
- 157. Banerji S, Bewersdorff M, Hermes B, Cianciotto NP, Flieger A. Characterization of the major secreted zinc metalloproteasedependent glycerophospholipid:cholesterol acyltransferase, PlaC, of Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 2005;73:2899–2909.
- Rossier O, Dao J, Cianciotto NP. A type II secreted RNase of Legionella pneumophila facilitates optimal intracellular infection of hartmannella vermiformis. Microbiology 2009;155:882–890.
- Moffat JF, Edelstein PH, Regula DP, Cirillo JD, Tompkins LS. Effects of an isogenic Zn-metalloprotease-deficient mutant of Legionella pneumophila in a guinea-pig pneumonia model. Mol Microbiol 1994;12:693–705.
- Szeto L, Shuman HA. The *Legionella pneumophila* major secretory protein, a protease, is not required for intracellular growth or cell killing. *Infect Immun* 1990;58:2585–2592.
- Conlan JW, Williams A, Ashworth LAE. In vivo production of a tissue-destructive protease by Legionella pneumophila in the lungs of experimentally infected guinea-pigs. Microbiology 1988;134:143–149.
- 162. Williams A, Baskerville A, Dowsett AB, Conlan JW. Immunocytochemical demonstration of the association between Legionella pneumophila, its tissue-destructive protease, and pulmonary lesions in experimental Legionnaires' disease. J Pathol 1987;153:257–264.
- Baskerville A, Conlan JW, Ashworth LA, Dowsett AB. Pulmonary damage caused by a protease from *Legionella pneumophila*. Br J Exp Pathol 1986;67:527–536.
- 164. Conlan JW, Baskerville A, Ashworth LAE. Separation of Legionella pneumophila proteases and purification of a protease which produces lesions like those of legionnaires" disease in guinea pig lung. *Microbiology* 1986;132:1565–1574.
- Berdal BP, Olsvik O, Myhre S, Omland T. Demonstration of extracellular chymotrypsin-like activity from various *Legionella* species. J Clin Microbiol 1982;16:452–457.
- Thompson MR, Miller RD, Iglewski BH. In vitro production of an extracellular protease by Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 1981;34:299–302.
- 167. Berdal BP, Fossum K. Occurrence and immunogenicity of proteinases from Legionella species. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1982;1:7–11.
- Muller HE. Proteolytic action of *Legionella pneumophila* on human serum proteins. *Infect Immun* 1980;27:51–53.
- Müller HE. Enzymatic profile of Legionella pneumophilia. J Clin Microbiol 1981;13:423–426.
- Thorpe TC, Miller RD. Extracellular enzymes of Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 1981;33:632–635.
- 171. Aragon V, Kurtz S, Flieger A, Neumeister B, Cianciotto NP. Secreted enzymatic activities of wild-type and pilD-deficient Legionella pneumophila. Infect Immun 2000;68:1855–1863.
- 172. Flieger A, Gong S, Faigle M, Stevanovic S, Cianciotto NP et al. Novel lysophospholipase A secreted by Legionella pneumophila. Journal of Bacteriology 2001;183:2121–2124.
- 173. Aurass P, Schlegel M, Metwally O, Harding CR, Schroeder GN et al. The Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm-secreted effector PlcC/ CegC1 together with PlcA and PlcB promotes virulence and belongs to a novel zinc metallophospholipase C family present in bacteria and fungi. J Biol Chem 2013;288:11080–11092.
- Lang C, Hiller M, Flieger A. Disulfide loop cleavage of Legionella pneumophila PlaA boosts lysophospholipase A activity. Sci Rep 2017;7:16313.
- 175. Lang C, Rastew E, Hermes B, Siegbrecht E, Ahrends R et al. Zinc metalloproteinase ProA directly activates *Legionella pneumophila* PlaC glycerophospholipid:cholesterol acyltransferase. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:23464–23478.

- O'Connor TJ, Boyd D, Dorer MS, Isberg RR. Aggravating genetic interactions allow a solution to redundancy in a bacterial pathogen. *Science* 2012;338:1440–1444.
- Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. Beyond paralogs: the multiple layers of redundancy in bacterial pathogenesis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2017;7:467.
- Schroeder GN. The toolbox for uncovering the functions of Legionella Dot/Icm Type IVb secretion system effectors: current state and future directions. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:528.
- 179. Blander SJ, Szeto L, Shuman HA, Horwitz MA. An immunoprotective molecule, the major secretory protein of *Legionella pneumophila*, is not a virulence factor in a guinea pig model of Legionnaires' disease. *J Clin Invest* 1990;86:817–824.
- 180. James BW, Mauchline WS, Dennis PJ, Keevil CW. A study of iron acquisition mechanisms of *Legionella pneumophila* grown in chemostat culture. *Curr Microbiol* 1997;34:238–243.
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10:845–858.
- Liepinsh E, Généreux C, Dehareng D, Joris B, Otting G. NMR structure of *Citrobacter freundii* AMPD, comparison with bacteriophage T7 lysozyme and homology with PGRP domains. *J Mol Biol* 2003;327:833–842.
- Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M et al. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics 2005;21:3674–3676.
- Tesh MJ, Morse SA, Miller RD. Intermediary metabolism in Legionella pneumophila: utilization of amino acids and other compounds as energy sources. J Bacteriol 1983;154:1104–1109.
- 185. Schunder E, Gillmaier N, Kutzner E, Eisenreich W, Herrmann V et al. Amino acid uptake and metabolism of Legionella pneumophila hosted by Acanthamoeba castellanii. J Biol Chem 2014;289:21040–21054.
- Manske C, Hilbi H. Metabolism of the vacuolar pathogen Legionella and implications for virulence. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2014;4:125.
- de Felipe KS, Pampou S, Jovanovic OS, Pericone CD, Ye SF et al. Evidence for acquisition of *Legionella* type IV secretion substrates via interdomain horizontal gene transfer. J Bacteriol 2005;187:7716–7726.
- Nora T, Lomma M, Gomez-Valero L, Buchrieser C. Molecular mimicry: an important virulence strategy employed by *Legionella* pneumophila to subvert host functions. *Future Microbiol* 2009;4:691–701.
- 189. Lurie-Weinberger MN, Gomez-Valero L, Merault N, Glöckner G, Buchrieser C et al. The origins of eukaryotic-like proteins in Legionella pneumophila. Int J Med Microbiol 2010;300:470–481.
- 190. **Gomez-Valero L**, **Rusniok C**, **Cazalet C**, **Buchrieser C**. Comparative and functional genomics of *Legionella* identified eukaryotic like proteins as key players in host?pathogen interactions. *Front Microbiol* 2011;2:Artn 208.
- 191. **Horwitz MA**. Phagocytosis of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) occurs by a novel mechanism: engulfment within a pseudopod coil. *Cell* 1984;36:27–33.
- 192. Clemens DL, Lee BY, Horwitz MA. *Francisella tularensis* enters macrophages via a novel process involving pseudopod loops. *Infect Immun* 2005;73:5892–5902.
- 193. Celli J, Zahrt TC. Mechanisms of *Francisella tularensis* intracellular pathogenesis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2013;3:a010314.
- 194. Abd H, Johansson T, Golovliov I, Sandström G, Forsman M. Survival and growth of *Francisella tularensis* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003;69:600–606.
- 195. Schrallhammer M, Castelli M, Petroni G. Phylogenetic relationships among endosymbiotic R-body producer: bacteria providing their host the killer trait. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2018;41:213–220.

- 196. Santos P, Pinhal I, Rainey FA, Empadinhas N, Costa J et al. Gamma-Proteobacteria Aquicella lusitana gen. nov., sp. nov., and Aquicella siphonis sp. nov. infect protozoa and require activated charcoal for growth in laboratory media. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:6533–6540.
- 197. Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Carson D, Mondino S, Pérez-Cobas AE et al. More than 18,000 effectors in the *Legionella* genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019;116:2265–2273.
- de Felipe KS, Glover RT, Charpentier X, Anderson OR, Reyes M et al. Legionella eukaryotic-like type IV substrates interfere with organelle trafficking. PLoS Pathog 2008;4:e1000117.
- Matsuda S, Okada R, Tandhavanant S, Hiyoshi H, Gotoh K et al. Export of a Vibrio parahaemolyticus toxin by the Sec and type III secretion machineries in tandem. Nat Microbiol 2019;4:781–788.
- Degtyar E, Zusman T, Ehrlich M, Segal G. A Legionella effector acquired from protozoa is involved in sphingolipids metabolism and is targeted to the host cell mitochondria. *Cell Microbiol* 2009;11:1219–1235.
- Kubiak X, Dervins-Ravault D, Pluvinage B, Chaffotte AF, Gomez-Valero L et al. Characterization of an acetyltransferase that detoxifies aromatic chemicals in Legionella pneumophila. Biochem J 2012;445:219–228.
- Abrahão J, Silva L, Silva LS, Khalil JYB, Rodrigues R et al. Tailed giant Tupanvirus possesses the most complete translational apparatus of the known virosphere. Nat Commun 2018;9:749.
- Gomez-Valero L, Buchrieser C. Genome dynamics in Legionella: the basis of versatility and adaptation to intracellular replication. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2013;3:a009993.
- Moliner C, Raoult D, Fournier PE. Evidence that the intra-amoebal Legionella drancourtii acquired a sterol reductase gene from eukaryotes. BMC Res Notes 2009;2:51.
- Hingamp P, Grimsley N, Acinas SG, Clerissi C, Subirana L et al. Exploring nucleo-cytoplasmic large DNA viruses in tara Oceans microbial metagenomes. *Isme J* 2013;7:1678–1695.
- Yu Z, An B, Ramshaw JAM, Brodsky B. Bacterial collagenlike proteins that form triple-helical structures. J Struct Biol 2014;186:451-461.
- 207. Stebbins CE, Galán JE. Structural mimicry in bacterial virulence. *Nature* 2001;412:701–705.
- Ozanic M, Gobin I, Brezovec M, Marecic V, Trobonjaca Z et al. F. novicida-Infected A. castellanii Does Not Enhance Bacterial Virulence in Mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2016;6:56.
- Ozanic M, Marecic V, Abu Kwaik Y, Santic M. The divergent intracellular lifestyle of *Francisella tularensis* in evolutionarily distinct host cells. *PLoS Pathog* 2015;11:e1005208.
- Al-Khodor S, Kalachikov S, Morozova I, Price CT, Abu Kwaik Y. The PmrA/PmrB two-component system of *Legionella pneumophila* is a global regulator required for intracellular replication within macrophages and protozoa. *Infect Immun* 2009;77:374–386.
- Sahr T, Rusniok C, Impens F, Oliva G, Sismeiro O et al. The Legionella pneumophila genome evolved to accommodate multiple regulatory mechanisms controlled by the CsrA-system. PLoS Genet 2017;13:e1006629.
- Tanner JR, Li L, Faucher SP, Brassinga AKC. The CpxRA twocomponent system contributes to *Legionella pneumophila* virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 2016;100:1017–1038.
- Costa J, d'Avó AF, da Costa MS, Veríssimo A. Molecular evolution of key genes for type II secretion in *Legionella pneumophila*. *Environ Microbiol* 2012;14:2017–2033.
- Qin T, Zhou H, Ren H, Liu W. Distribution of secretion systems in the genus *Legionella* and Its correlation with pathogenicity. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:388.
- Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Rolando M, Neou M, Dervins-Ravault D et al. Comparative analyses of *Legionella* species identifies genetic features of strains causing Legionnaires' disease. *Genome Biol* 2014;15:505.

- Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V et al. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. Nucleic Acids Res 2018;46:D851–D860.
- 217. Joseph SJ, Cox D, Wolff B, Morrison SS, Kozak-Muiznieks NA et al. Dynamics of genome change among *Legionella* species. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:33442.
- 218. Arslan-Aydoğdu EO, Kimiran A. An investigation of virulence factors of *Legionella pneumophila* environmental isolates. *Braz J Microbiol* 2018;49:189–199.
- 219. Fields BS, Barbaree JM, Sanden GN, Morrill WE. Virulence of a *Legionella anisa* strain associated with Pontiac fever: an evaluation using protozoan, cell culture, and guinea pig models. *Infect Immun* 1990;58:3139–3142.
- 220. Wadowsky RM, Wilson TM, Kapp NJ, West AJ, Kuchta JM et al. Multiplication of *Legionella* spp. in tap water containing *Hartmannella vermiformis. Appl Environ Microbiol* 1991;57:1950–1955.
- 221. Neumeister B, Schöniger S, Faigle M, Eichner M, Dietz K. Multiplication of different *Legionella* species in Mono Mac 6 cells and in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997;63:1219–1224.
- 222. Edelstein PH, Edelstein MA, Shephard LJ, Ward KW, Ratcliff RM. Legionella steelei sp. nov., isolated from human respiratory specimens in California, USA, and South Australia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012;62:1766–1771.
- 223. Adeleke AA, Fields BS, Benson RF, Daneshvar MI, Pruckler JM et al. Legionella drozanskii sp. nov., Legionella rowbothamii sp. nov. and Legionella fallonii sp. nov.: three unusual new Legionella species. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2001;51:1151–1160.
- 224. Cazalet C, Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Lomma M, Dervins-Ravault D *et al.* Analysis of the *Legionella longbeachae* genome and transcriptome uncovers unique strategies to cause Legionnaires' disease. *PLoS Genet* 2010;6:e1000851.
- 225. Bacigalupe R, Lindsay D, Edwards G, Fitzgerald JR. Population genomics of *Legionella longbeachae* and hidden complexities of infection source attribution. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2017;23:750–757.
- Kozak NA, Buss M, Lucas CE, Frace M, Govil D et al. Virulence factors encoded by *Legionella longbeachae* identified on the basis of the genome sequence analysis of clinical isolate D-4968. J Bacteriol 2010;192:1030–1044.
- 227. **Cho H, Cronan JE**. *Escherichia coli* thioesterase I, molecular cloning and sequencing of the structural gene and identification as a periplasmic enzyme. *J Biol Chem* 1993;268:9238–9245.
- 228. Burstein D, Amaro F, Zusman T, Lifshitz Z, Cohen O *et al.* Genomic analysis of 38 *Legionella* species identifies large and diverse effector repertoires. *Nat Genet* 2016;48:167–175.
- 229. Williams KP. Integration sites for genetic elements in prokaryotic tRNA and tmRNA genes: sublocation preference of integrase subfamilies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;30:866–875.
- Veltri D, Wight MM, Crouch JA. SimpleSynteny: a web-based tool for visualization of microsynteny across multiple species. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44:W41–W45.
- 231. Tan Y, Luo Z-Q. *Legionella pneumophila* SidD is a deAMPylase that modifies Rab1. *Nature* 2011;475:506–509.
- 232. Tan Y, Arnold RJ, Luo ZQ. *Legionella pneumophila* regulates the small GTPase Rab1 activity by reversible phosphorylcholination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:21212–21217.
- Flieger A, Gong S, Faigle M, Northoff H, Neumeister B. In vitro secretion kinetics of proteins from Legionella pneumophila in comparison to proteins from non-pneumophila species. Microbiology 2001;147:3127–3134.
- McIntyre M, Quinn FD, Fields PI, Berdal BP. Rapid identification of Legionella pneumophila zinc metalloprotease using chromogenic detection. APMIS 1991;99:316–320.
- 235. Flieger A, Gong S, Faigle M, Deeg M, Bartmann P et al. Novel phospholipase A activity secreted by *Legionella* species. *J Bacteriol* 2000;182:1321–1327.

- 236. Baine WB. Cytolytic and phospholipase C activity in *Legionella* species. *J Gen Microbiol* 1985;131:1383–1391.
- 237. Nagai H, Kubori T. Type IVB secretion systems of *Legionella* and other gram-negative bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 2011;2:136.
- Gong J, Qing Y, Zou S, Fu R, Su L et al. Protist-Bacteria associations: gammaproteobacteria and alphaproteobacteria are prevalent as digestion-resistant bacteria in ciliated protozoa. Front Microbiol 2016;7:498.
- Cordaux R, Paces-Fessy M, Raimond M, Michel-Salzat A, Zimmer M et al. Molecular characterization and evolution of arthropod-pathogenic *Rickettsiella* bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007;73:5045–5047.
- 240. van Schaik EJ, Chen C, Mertens K, Weber MM, Samuel JE. Molecular pathogenesis of the obligate intracellular bacterium *Coxiella burnetii*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2013;11:561–573.
- 241. Mediannikov O, Sekeyová Z, Birg ML, Raoult D. A novel obligate intracellular gamma-proteobacterium associated with ixodid ticks, *Diplorickettsia massiliensis*, Gen. Nov., Sp. Nov. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e11478.
- 242. Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE, Legionella BRE. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2002;15:506–526.
- 243. Mehari YT, Jason Hayes B, Redding KS, Mariappan PVG, Gunderson JH et al. Description of 'Candidatus Berkiella aquae' and 'Candidatus Berkiella cookevillensis', two intranuclear bacteria of freshwater amoebae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2016;66:536–541.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.