

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Cosmetic

Eyelid Crease Height Affects the Evaluation of Age-related Changes in the Eyelids

Shinsuke Akita, MD, PhD* Satoshi Maki, MD, PhD+ Yuki Shiko, MS Yohei Kawasaki, PhD Yoshihisa Yamaji, MD, PhD Hideki Tokumoto, MD, PhD¶ Kentaro Kosaka, MD, PhD* Hideyuki Ogata, MD* Yoshitaka Kubota, MD, PhD* Nobuyuki Mitsukawa, MD, PhD*

Background: Although the functional and anatomical differences between the left and right eyelids are important in the evaluation of age-related changes in the eyelids, they have not been described clearly as indications for surgical treatments. This study aimed to investigate how laterality of the eyelids affects evaluation of age-related changes.

Methods: Photographs of either one or both eyelids of 100 people were evaluated in four stages by 10 plastic surgeons. To investigate the consistency of the results between evaluations, surgeons evaluated the single-eyelid photographs (group U) or two-eyelid photographs (group B). It was investigated whether the difference in margin reflex distance 1, height of the upper eyelid crease, height of eyebrow, and levator contractile function were associated with mismatched evaluations.

Results: The weighted kappa coefficient for groups B and U was 0.77 (substantial agreement). One-point difference in scores was observed in 23 cases. In the multiple logistic regression analysis, only the laterality the height of the eyelid crease was significantly different between patients whose evaluations were matched and those whose evaluations were mismatched (0.9 ± 0.1 mm versus 1.7 ± 0.2 mm; OR = 1.06, 95%CI: 1.01-1.10; P = 0.01).

Conclusions: Besides the structure and function of each eyelid, the laterality of the height of the eyelid crease was important in the evaluation of the age-related changes in the eyelids. This factor may be important in evaluating the aesthetic and visual impressions of age-related changes in the eyelids. (*Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2021;9:e3909; doi: 10.1097/GOX.000000000003909; Published online 4 November 2021.*)

INTRODUCTION

Age-related changes in the eyelids include aponeurotic blepharoptosis and dermatochalasis. Blepharoptosis is diagnosed by decreased levator function, but dermatochalasis simultaneously presents in many cases, and a comprehensive evaluation is needed to improve the cosmetic aspect of age-related eyelid changes. In addition,

From the *Department of Plastic, Reconstructive, and Aesthetic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan; †Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan; ‡Biostatistics Section, Clinical Research Center, Chiba University Hospital, Chiba, Japan; §Department of Plastic, Reconstructive, and Aesthetic Surgery, Japan Red Cross Maebashi Hospital, Maebashi, Japan; and ¶Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Chiba Cancer Center Hospital, Chiba, Japan.

Received for publication July 6, 2021; accepted September 10, 2021. Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The American Society of Plastic Surgeons. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal. DOI: 10.1097/GOX.00000000003909 the difference between the left and right side is also an important factor in cosmetic evaluation.

Cahill et al divided the indications for surgical treatment of blepharoptosis into objective symptoms and subjective symptoms and, on the basis of a systematic review, cited margin reflex distance 1 (MRD1) in frontal vision and visual field disturbances in upward and downward vision as objective symptoms.^{1–4} Averbuch-Heller et al described surgical indications on the basis of levator contractile function, in which the distance of the eyelid margin was measured from the bottom to the top of vision.⁵ All of these indicators are based on the function of one eyelid.

In many patients, the appearance of the eyelids varies from side to side.^{6–8} As is known from Hering's law, the direction of eyelid opening to the left and right levator palpebrae is controlled bilaterally.^{9–12} When laterality is present, the eyelid on the side with no or mild ptosis appears hyper-opened by bilateral signals. In daily practice, both eyelids are observed at the same time, and morphological differences between the left and right eyelids seem to be important information with which to determine the surgical indications.

Disclosure: The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

We hypothesized that the evaluation of age-related changes in the evelids might be aided by the integration of information about laterality with information about the functional and aesthetical indicators of each eyelid. Although various factors may be involved, we focused on four: the laterality difference in MRD1, the height of the upper eyelid crease, the height of eyebrow, and levator contractile function.

The primary endpoint of this study was how laterality in appearance of the eyelids affected the evaluation of the age-related changes in the eyelids. We investigated the consistency of the evaluation of severity of the age-related changes in the eyelids by experienced plastic surgeons between photographs of one and both eyelids. When the evaluation results were inconsistent, we verified which factors accounted for the inconsistency. Additionally, we compared the results of evaluations by plastic surgeons with limited clinical experience with those by experienced plastic surgeons.

We believe that the results of this study will elucidate how well-experienced plastic surgeons recognize the agerelated changes of the eyelid and will contribute to the development of theory and standardization of evaluation of the cosmetic aspect of the age-related changes of the eyelid.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the Chiba University Hospital, Chiba, Japan, with approval from the relevant institutional review board and permission from the hospital ethics committee (No. 2910). This study is an observational study without intervention. Written informed consent to participate in this study was obtained from all selected study participants before their enrollment in the study.

Photographs of upward, frontal, and downward views of the eyelids were taken in 25 healthy volunteers and

Takeaways

Question: How does laterality of the eyelids affect evaluation of age-related changes in the eyelids?

Findings: In evaluating age-related changes in the eyelids scoring on a four-point scale, plastic surgeons with extensive experience showed fairly good consistency for photographs of both eyelids and of single eyelids, but one-point deviation was observed for 13% of the eyelids. Laterality in the height of eyelid crease was an important factor in the evaluation of the age-related changes in the eyelids.

Meaning: The laterality of the height of the eyelid crease may be important in evaluating the aesthetic and visual impressions of age-related changes in the eyelids.

75 patients with untreated aponeurotic blepharoptosis. Patients with myasthenia gravis, Horner syndrome, or neurologic disease were excluded. For each subject, photographs were taken of the two eyes together in the three views, including the eyebrows and the upper and lower eyelids (Fig. 1).

The photographs were taken by a digital camera with a flash, with a reference 10 mm² marker (CASMATCH; Bear Medic Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), which was used as an index to measure the absolute value of the length. We used Photoshop CS (version 5) software (Adobe Inc., San Jose, Calif.) to measure MRD 1, the height of eyelid crease, eyebrow height, and levator contractile function. The vertical distance from the imaginary line connecting the inner canthus on both sides to the upper edge of the eyebrow on the line through the center of the pupil was defined as the eyebrow height (Fig. 2). Height of the eyelid crease was also measured on the same line. These distances used in this study were the apparent heights in the standing frontal view, and they differed from the length

A



В



Fig. 1. Plastic surgeons evaluated ptosis by looking at patient photographs (A–C). Each plastic surgeon evaluated both sides of the eyelid simultaneously in photographs of both eyelids of 50 patients (A), or each side of the left and right eyelid separately in photographs of a single eyelid of another 50 patients (B and C).



Fig. 2. Measurement sites on the eyelid. A, The blue line indicates the eyebrow height, which was defined as the vertical distance from the imaginary line connecting the inner canthus on both sides to the upper edge of the eyebrow on the line through the center of the pupil. The green line indicates margin reflex distance 1, and the red line indicates the height of the upper eyelid crease. These lengths were measured on the same line. B, The distance along the upper eyelid margin from the upward to downward visual images was used as an index of levator contractile function.

in the supine position with eyelids closed. The distance along the upper eyelid margin from the upward to downward visual images was used as an index of levator contractile function.⁵

Validation 1: Concordance between Evaluations of Ptosis in Two- and Single-eyelid Photographs by Plastic Surgeons with Extensive Clinical Experience

A diagram of the study outline is presented in Figure 3. Ten plastic surgeons who obtained specialist qualifications from the Japanese Society of Plastic Surgeons and had treated more than 100 cases of blepharoptosis were asked to evaluate ptosis in eyelid photographs of 100 patients.

Each plastic surgeon scored each eyelid on a four-point scale: 0 (no surgical indication for age-related change), 1 (mild age-related change as an indication for surgery), 2 (moderate age-related change), and 3 (severe age-related change) from the photographs without any patient information, including eye or age dominance. Each plastic surgeon evaluated both sides of the eyelid simultaneously in photographs of both eyelids of 50 patients (Fig. 1A), or



Fig. 3. Diagram of the study outline of validation 1. Each plastic surgeon evaluated 50 case photographs of both eyelids (group B) and 50 case photographs of a single eyelid (group U). Different questions were assigned to each plastic surgeon to eliminate bias regarding which of the 100 patients were evaluated with photographs of both eyelids and which were evaluated with photographs of single eyelids.

each side of the left and right eyelid separately in photographs of a single eyelid of another 50 patients (Fig. 1B, C). The single-eyelid photographs of the same patient were evaluated not consecutively but at intervals to ensure that the judgments about one side did not affect those about the other. The surgeons were assigned to evaluate two- and single-eyelid photographs of different patients to reduce bias. Thus, for all 100 patients (200 eyelids), five judgments were obtained for each photograph. Results of evaluations of photographs of both eyelids were categorized as the "bilateral photograph evaluation group" (group B), and results of evaluations of photographs of one eyelid were categorized as the "unilateral photograph evaluation group" (group U). Within each group, the degree of agreement between the raters was determined.

To determine the final evaluation results of each group, the mode score of each group was adopted. If there were two mode scores, the higher score was used because it meant that a majority of five plastic surgeons judged that the eyelid was an indication for surgical treatment. The correlation between final evaluation score and MRD1, the height of the upper eyelid crease, the height of eyebrow, and levator contractile function were investigated in both groups B and U. The degree of agreement between the scores of groups B and U was then determined.

The cases with inconsistent scores between groups B and U were compared with those with consistent scores regarding lateral differences in MRD1, height of the upper eyelid crease, height of eyebrow, and levator contractile function (Fig. 3).

Validation 2: Comparison between Plastic Surgeons with Extensive Clinical Experience and Those with Limited Clinical Experience

With the same set of photographs as in validation 1, evaluations were conducted by 10 plastic surgeons who were certified by the Japan Society of Plastic Surgeons but had experience with fewer than 100 cases of blepharoptosis. The results of these evaluations were compared with those by plastic surgeons with extensive clinical experience. The degree of consistency between the final evaluation scores of groups U and B was compared between plastic surgeons with extensive experience and those with limited experience.

Statistical Analysis

JMP Pro (version 13.0.0) and SAS (version 9.4) (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.) were used to conduct all statistical analyses. Weighted kappa coefficients were used to calculate the degree of agreement among the groups. To determine the rate of evaluation agreement within each group, weighted GLMM-based ordinal measure kappa coefficients with a confidence interval (CI) of 95% was calculated. The values of kappa coefficient were interpreted according to the criteria defined by Landis and Koch: -1.00, total disagreement; 0.00, no agreement; 0.01–0.20, slight agreement; 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61–0.80, substantial agreement; 0.81–0.99, almost perfect agreement;

and 1.00, perfect agreement.^{13,14}Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to determine the strength of the correlation among the four variables between patients with consistent scores in groups B and U and those with inconsistent scores in groups B and U. A multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the variables associated with inconsistent scores, calculating the odds ratio (OR) with 95%CI and *P* values. The assessed variables were as follows: MRD1, height of eyelid crease, eyebrow height, and levator contractile function. After the univariate logistic regression analysis, statistically significant variables were substituted for the multiple logistic regression analysis.

A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data are presented as means \pm SDs.

RESULTS

Basic characteristics of the 100 patients evaluated in this study are listed in Table 1. All the participants in this study were active clinicians working as plastic surgeons in Japan. The average number of cases that had been handled by the plastic surgeons with extensive clinical experience was 475.0 ± 519.3 , whereas that handled by those with limited clinical experience was 55.5 ± 24.1 .

Validation 1

The mean weighted GLMM-based ordinal measure kappa coefficients of the five evaluations by plastic surgeons with extensive clinical experience were 0.58 in group B and 0.59 in group U. The numbers of eyelids with final evaluation scores of 0, 1, 2, and 3 were 58, 71, 58, and 13, respectively, in group B and 59, 74, 50, and 17, respectively, in group U. The weighted kappa coefficient of the final score for groups B and U was 0.77, suggesting that the results of the evaluation based on bilateral and unilateral eyelid photographs were consistent, to some extent, when evaluated by plastic surgeons with extensive experience (Table 2). Nevertheless, a one-point deviation was observed in 26 (13%) of the

Table 1. Basic Characteristics of the Patients

Parameter	Results
Total no. patients	100
Mean age (y)	52.3 ± 18.0
Gender	
Men	27
Women	73
Subjective symptom	
Ŭnilateral ptosis	29
Bilateral prosis	46
No ptosis	25
Margin reflex distance 1	
Mean (mm)	1.9 ± 1.3
Lateral difference (mm)	0.9 ± 0.9
Height of evelid crease	
Mean (mm)	2.2 ± 1.9
Lateral difference (mm)	1.2 ± 1.2
Evebrow height	
Mean (mm)	29.7 ± 4.7
Lateral difference (mm)	1.5 ± 1.7
Levator contractile function	
Mean (mm)	9.4 ± 2.6
Lateral difference (mm)	1.4 ± 1.8

Table 2. Weighted Kappa Coefficient within each Group and between Groups Evaluated by Plastic Surgeons with Extensive Experience

Parameter	Right Side (95% CI)	Left Side (95% CI)	Mean
Coefficient within group B* Coefficient within group U†	$0.57 (0.51 - 0.62) \\ 0.56 (0.51 - 0.62)$	$0.59 (0.54 - 0.64) \\ 0.62 (0.58 - 0.67)$	$0.58 \\ 0.59$
Coefficient between groups	0.77 (0.67–0.87)	0.76 (0.66–0.86)	0.77

*Photographs of two eyelids.

†Photographs of single eyelids.

Table 3. Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficients between the Factors and the Score Evaluated by Plastic Surgeons with Extensive Experience

Factor	Group B*	Group U†
Margin reflex distance 1	-0.73 (<i>P</i> < 0.0001)	-0.77 (P < 0.0001)
Height of eyelid crease	0.24 (P = 0.0005)	0.23 (P = 0.0009)
Eyebrow height	$0.47 \ (P < 0.0001)$	$0.50 \ (P < 0.0001)$
Levator contractile function	$-0.62 \ (P < 0.0001)$	-0.56 (P < 0.0001)

*Photographs of two eyelids.

†Photographs of single eyelids.

200 eyelids. The results of Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between the final evaluation score and various measurement distances are shown in Table 3. Final evaluation score and MRD1 were strongly negatively correlated in group B (-0.73) and group U (-0.77). In both groups B and U, although final evaluation score was significantly correlated with height of eyelid crease, the correlation coefficients were weak (0.24 and 0.23, respectively).

In the evaluation of group B, evaluation scores did not differ between the right and left sides in 54 patients but did differ in the other 46 patients. Between patients with left–right differences in evaluation score and those without left–right differences, there were significant differences in MRD1 (1.4 ± 1.0 mm versus 0.8 ± 0.9 mm, respectively; P = 0.005), height of eyelid crease (1.5 ± 1.4 mm versus 1.0 ± 1.3 mm, respectively; P = 0.009), eyebrow height (2.2 ± 2.0 mm versus 0.5 ± 0.5 mm, respectively; P < 0.0001), and levator contractile function (2.4 ± 2.1 mm versus 0.6 ± 0.7 mm, respectively; P < 0.0001).

Between groups B and U, scores for 26 eyelids of 23 cases were inconsistent. Of these, 15 eyelids were scored one point higher in group B, and the remaining 11 eyelids were scored one point higher in group U. We compared the degree of laterality in various measurements between 77 cases with consistent scores between groups B and U

and 23 cases with inconsistent scores between groups B and U. The result of comparisons between cases with consistent scores and those with inconsistent scores is shown in Table 4. Between cases with consistent scores and those with inconsistent scores, univariate logistic regression revealed significant differences in the laterality of height of eyelid crease $(0.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm versus } 1.9 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm; OR} =$ 1.06, 95%CI: 1.02–1.11; P = 0.003) and in laterality of eyebrow height $(1.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ mm versus } 2.3 \pm 2.2 \text{ mm; OR} = 1.03,$ 95%CI: 1.00–1.05; P = 0.03), and no significant difference was observed in the laterality of MRD1 ($0.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm}$ versus 1.1 ± 0.7 mm; OR = 1.02, 95%CI: 0.97–1.07; P = 0.40) or the laterality of levator contractile function (1.1 \pm $0.9 \,\mathrm{mm}$ versus $1.5 \pm 2.0 \,\mathrm{mm}$; OR = 0.99, 95%CI: 0.95–1.02; P = 0.33). Multivariate logistic regression revealed a significant difference only in width of eyelid crease (OR = 1.06, 95%CI: 1.01–1.099; P = 0.01).

Validation 2

In the results of evaluations by plastic surgeons with limited clinical experience, the mean weighted kappa coefficients were 0.62 for group B and 0.59 for group U. The numbers of eyelids with final evaluation scores of 0, 1, 2, and 3 were 78, 60, 42, and 20, respectively, in group B and 81, 58, 46, and 15, respectively, in group U. The weighted kappa coefficient for groups B and U was 0.51 (Table 5). In 63 eyelids of 52 cases, there were discrepancies between the results of groups B and U. The consistency of the results between groups B and U was higher among the plastic surgeons with extensive experience than among the plastic surgeons with limited experience (P < 0.0001, OR = 0.28, 95% CI: 0.15-0.51; Fig. 4). The weighted kappa coefficient for the final evaluation scores of group B by plastic surgeons with limited experience and those with extensive experience was 0.59.

DISCUSSION

Although the age-related changes of the eyelids can be evaluated based on structure and function of single eyelids, the actual control of eyelid opening is bilateral, and plastic surgeons evaluate the severity by observing both eyelids of each patient in daily clinical practice.^{1–5,9–12,15} In this study, we investigated the degree to which plastic surgeons evaluated age-related changes in the eyelids in this manner in single eyelids, and we examined what information about the contralateral eyelid was used to make a final evaluation.

In this study, even when evaluated by an extensively-experienced plastic surgeon, 13% of patients had differences in

Table 4. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis between the Patients with Consistent Scores (n = 23) and Those with Inconsistent Scores (n = 77)

Variables	Univariate Logistic Regression (n = 100)		Multivariate Logistic Regression (n = 100)	
	OR (95%CI) 1.02 (0.97–1.07)	P 0.40	OR (95%CI)	Р
Laterality in height of eyelid crease Laterality in eyebrow height Laterality in levator contractile function	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 & (1.02-1.11) \\ 1.03 & (1.00-1.05) \\ 0.99 & (0.95-1.02) \end{array}$	0.003 0.03 0.33	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 \ (1.01 - 1.10) \\ 1.02 \ (0.99 - 1.05) \end{array}$	$0.01 \\ 0.23$

Table 5. Weighted Kappa Coefficient within and between Groups Evaluated by Plastic Surgeons with Limited Experience

Parameter	Right Side (95% CI)	Left Side (95% CI)	Mean
Coefficient within group B* Coefficient within group U† Coefficient between groups	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 & (0.55 0.65) \\ 0.55 & (0.50 0.60) \\ 0.49 & (0.36 0.63) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \ (0.59 - 0.69) \\ 0.63 \ (0.57 - 0.68) \\ 0.53 \ (0.40 - 0.66) \end{array}$	$0.62 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.51$
*Photographs of two evelids			

†Photographs of single eyelids.

evaluation based on bilateral and unilateral eyelid photographs. Although laterality in MRD1 and levator contractile function were strongly correlated with evaluation scores in both groups B and U, they were not related to whether the evaluations were consistent or inconsistent. Conversely, although the correlation coefficient with the score was not very high for the height of eyelid crease itself, the leftright difference of it was independently and significantly correlated with the consistency of scores between groups B and U. These results suggest that the evaluation of ptosis by experienced plastic surgeons is largely based on the structure and function of one eyelid along with information about laterality, such as the height of the eyelid crease.

As there is no criteria for correct evaluation of the severity of age-related changes in the eyelids, there was a similar variation among the subjects evaluated by both plastic surgeons with extended experience and plastic surgeons with limited experience. However, the evaluations of plastic surgeons with extensive experience demonstrated a higher agreement rate between groups B and U than the plastic surgeons with limited experience. These results suggest that experienced plastic surgeons are less affected by the shape of the contralateral eyelid during evaluation.

MRD1 and levator contractile function have been proposed as indicators of visual field dysfunction in the treatment of blepharoptosis.^{1–5, 15} Although the height of the eyelid crease also changes, setting criteria for surgical treatment at absolute value is difficult because this width varies greatly among people. A comparison of both eyelids reveals more clearly whether they are normal or abnormal. It has been widely recognized and reported that asymmetry in eyebrow position and height of eyelid crease reflects compensation for blepharoptosis and that correction of both is important in increasing patients' satisfaction with treatment.^{16–18} In conjunction with the results of this study, recording changes before and after surgery is necessary because the laterality of the eyelid crease height is a factor that emphasizes age-related changes in the eyelids.

Is it possible to standardize the objective aspects of agerelated changes in the eyelids for evaluation? It is difficult to conclude only from the results of this study. In clinical practice, evaluation has to be done on a patient and analysis of photographs cannot replace clinical evaluation. However, by analyzing the results of evaluation by experienced plastic surgeons, investigators in future studies may devise a highly reproducible algorithm for objective evaluation. Determining the morphological and functional abnormalities of individual eyelids and accounting for the left-right difference of height of eyelid crease may be important steps.

One of the limitations of this study is that the movement of the eyebrows is not restricted in measuring the levator contraction function. Thus, limiting the function of the frontal muscle to accurately measure the levator muscle function in the evaluation of aponeurotic ptosis



Fig. 4. The rate of discrepancies in evaluations of groups B (photographs of both eyelids) and U (photographs of single eyelids) was significantly lower in evaluations by extensive experienced plastic surgeons than in those of plastic surgeons with limited experience (P < 0.0001, OR = 0.28, 95%CI: 0.15–0.51).

is necessary. This study evaluated the overall age-related changes in the eyelid and measured the distance of the movement of the eyelid margin, including the elevation of the eyebrow by the frontal muscle. However, the measured value was different from the accurate levator muscle function. Another limitation of this study is the classification of evaluators by the number of experienced cases. There was a large difference between the two groups, and as a result there was a difference between the two groups. However, it should be noted that the number of experienced cases does not always reflect diagnostic ability.

CONCLUSIONS

In evaluating age-related changes in the eyelids, scoring on a four-point scale, plastic surgeons with extensive experience showed fairly good consistency for photographs of both eyelids and of single eyelids, but one-point deviation was observed for 13% of the eyelids. Laterality in the height of eyelid crease was an important factor in the integration of left- and right-sided information. Height of eyelid crease may thus need to be included in an algorithm for evaluating age-related changes in the eyelids to include aesthetic aspects and visual impressions.

Shinsuke Akita, MD, PhD

Department of Plastic, Reconstructive, and Aesthetic Surgery Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba City Chiba 260-8670 Japan E-mail: shinsukeakitaprs@gmail.com

PATIENT CONSENT

The patient provided written consent for the use of her image.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review.

REFERENCES

1. Cahill KV, Bradley EA, Meyer DR, et al. Functional indications for upper eyelid ptosis and blepharoplasty surgery: A report

by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118:2510–2517.

- Putterman AM, Urist MJ. Müller muscle-conjunctiva resection. Technique for treatment of blepharoptosis. Arch Ophthalmol. 1975;93:619–623.
- Small RG, Meyer DR. Eyelid metrics. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2004;20:266–267.
- Cahill KV, Burns JA, Weber PA. The effect of blepharoptosis on the field of vision. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1987;3:121–125.
- 5. Averbuch-Heller L, Leigh RJ, Mermelstein V, et al. Ptosis in patients with hemispheric strokes. *Neurology*. 2002;58:620–624.
- Macdonald KI, Mendez AI, Hart RD, et al. Eyelid and brow asymmetry in patients evaluated for upper lid blepharoplasty. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;43:36.
- Song WC, Kim SJ, Kim SH, et al. Asymmetry of the palpebral fissure and upper eyelid crease in Koreans. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet* Surg. 2007;60:251–255.
- Zhou Q, Zhang L, Wang PJ, et al. Preoperative asymmetry of upper eyelid thickness in young Chinese women undergoing double eyelid blepharoplasty. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2012;65:1175–1180.
- 9. Parsa FD, Wolff DR, Parsa NN, et al. Upper eyelid ptosis repair after cataract extraction and the importance of Hering's test. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2001;108:1527–36; discussion 1537.
- Erb MH, Kersten RC, Yip CC, et al. Effect of unilateral blepharoptosis repair on contralateral eyelid position. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2004;20:418–422.
- Bodian M. Lip droop following contralateral ptosis repair. Arch Ophthalmol. 1982;100:1122–1124.
- 12. 1 Nemet AY. The effect of Hering's law on different ptosis repair methods. *Aesthet Surg J.* 2015;35:774–81.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*. 1977;33:159–174.
- Ide K, Yamada H, Kitagawa M, et al. Methods for estimating causal relationships of adverse events with dietary supplements. *BMJ Open.* 2015;5:e009038.
- Japan Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Plastic Surgery. Vol. 6: Blepharoptosis (in Japanese). Tokyo, Japan: Kanehara & Co., Ltd; 2015.
- Shome D, Mittal ST, Kapoor R. Effect of eyelid crease formation on aesthetic outcomes post frontalis suspension for unilateral ptosis. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2019;7:e2039.
- Karlin JN, Rootman DB. Brow height asymmetry before and after eyelid ptosis surgery. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2020;73:357–362.
- Zhou X, Zhu M, Lv L, et al. Treatment strategy for severe blepharoptosis. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2020;73:149–155.