

Invisible steps for a global enemy: molecular strategies adopted by *Clostridioides difficile*

Katia Fettucciari¹, Pierfrancesco Marconi, Andrea Marchegiani, Alessandro Fruganti, Andrea Spaterna and Gabrio Bassotti

Abstract: *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is on the rise worldwide and is associated with an increase in deaths and socio-health burden. *C. difficile* has become ubiquitous in anthropized environments because of the extreme resistance of its spores. Based on the epidemiological data and knowledge of molecular pathogenesis of *C. difficile*, it is possible to predict its progressive colonization of the human population for the following reasons: first, its global spread is unstoppable; second, the toxins (Tcds) produced by *C. difficile*, TcdA and TcdB, mainly cause cell death by apoptosis, but the surviving cells acquire a senescence state that favours persistence of *C. difficile* in the intestine; third, proinflammatory cytokines, tumour necrosis factor- α and interferon- γ , induced during CDI, enhance the cytotoxicity of Tcds and can increase the survival of senescent cells; fourth, Tcds block mobility and induce apoptosis in immune cells recruited at the infection site; and finally, after remission from primary infection or relapse, *C. difficile* causes functional abnormalities in the enteric glial cell (EGC) network that can result in irritable bowel syndrome, characterized by a latent inflammatory response that contributes to *C. difficile* survival and enhances the cytotoxic activity of low doses of TcdB, thus favouring further relapses. Since a 'global enemy' of *C. difficile* seems inevitable, it is necessary to develop an effective vaccine against Tcds for at-risk individuals, and to perform a prophylaxis/selective therapy with bacteriophages highly specific for *C. difficile*. We must be aware that CDI will become a global health problem in the forthcoming years, and we must be prepared to face this menace.

Keywords: apoptosis, *Clostridioides difficile*, colonization, enemy, molecular strategy, proinflammatory cytokine, senescence, toxins

Received: 7 March 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 26 June 2021.

Introduction

Over time, *Clostridioides difficile*, an anaerobic, Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium, has become widespread in industrialized countries and is now ubiquitous in the environment, commodities, food items, and domesticated and farm animals.^{1–3} *C. difficile* reportedly colonizes approximately 4–15% of healthy individuals,⁴ and approximately 18–90% healthy newborns and infants.⁵ *C. difficile* is transmitted person to person *via* the faecal–oral route,⁶ and hospitals and community healthcare settings may become an important source of infection after high-grade

environmental contamination due to the presence of *C. difficile*-infected patients,^{7,8} and high resistance of its spores to strong disinfectants^{9,10} and radiation.¹¹

Epidemiological data indicate a progressive increase in the incidence and severity of *C. difficile* infection (CDI)¹² with clinical outcomes ranging from asymptomatic carriage or mild self-limiting diarrhoea to fulminant pseudomembranous colitis, toxic megacolon, and even death.^{1,6–8,12} These manifestations are mostly associated with antibiotic therapies that, by altering the intestinal

Ther Adv Gastroenterol

2021, Vol. 14: 1–11

DOI: 10.1177/
17562848211032797

© The Author(s), 2021.
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Correspondence to:
Katia Fettucciari
Biosciences & Medical
Embryology Section,
Department of Medicine
and Surgery, University of
Perugia, Medical School
-Piazza Lucio Severi
1, Edificio B - IV piano;
Sant'Andrea delle Fratelle,
Perugia, 06132, Italy
katia.fettucciari@unipg.it

Pierfrancesco Marconi
Biosciences & Medical
Embryology Section,
Department of Medicine
and Surgery, University of
Perugia, Perugia, Italy

Andrea Marchegiani
Alessandro Fruganti
Andrea Spaterna
School of Biosciences
and Veterinary Medicine,
University of Camerino,
Macerata, Italy

Gabrio Bassotti
Gastroenterology,
Hepatology & Digestive
Endoscopy Section,
Department of Medicine
and Surgery, University of
Perugia, Perugia, Italy
Gastroenterology &
Hepatology Unit, Santa
Maria della Misericordia
Hospital, Perugia, Italy

microbiota (and causing dysmicrobism), can attenuate a series of factors that prevent *C. difficile* from germinating to its vegetative form^{13,14} and producing two *C. difficile* toxins (Tcds), TcdA and TcdB.¹⁵ These Tcds are mainly responsible for most clinical manifestations of CDI.¹⁶ Other factors,¹⁷ such as advanced age,^{17,18} obesity,¹⁹ renal disease,²⁰ hypoalbuminaemia,¹⁷ and impairment of the immune system²¹ also favours CDI.

Since its first microbiological identification, the continuous and progressive diffusion of *C. difficile* in anthropized environment^{2,3,7,8} and its ability to produce more virulent forms,²² may enable *C. difficile* in few years to colonize a greater part of the human population, thereby leading to unavoidable issues which can be summarized into the following points:

1. Spread of *C. difficile* is uncontrollable because of the extreme resistance of its spore to the external environment.^{9–11} Although the spore is an indispensable form for survival and spread of several microorganisms, *C. difficile* has a process of spore germination that is both complex and unique compared with that of two other well-studied organisms (e.g. *Bacillus anthracis* and *Clostridium perfringens*)²³ and for its peculiar interaction with the host by means of its Tcds and cellular microbial factors, which will favour its colonization and successively infection and recurrences.^{1,4,6–8,23–27} Mortality gradually increases with relapses and the epidemiological data reported over 500,000 deaths/year and a progressive colonization and induction of disease even in the absence of antibiotic therapies.^{1,4,6–8}
2. Once the large bowel has been colonized by *C. difficile*, the bacterium waits for appropriate conditions that favour its passage to the vegetative form.²⁷ These favourable conditions are more prevalent in 'developed countries' due to increasing antibiotic therapies⁷ across all ages and changes in microbiota due to heterogeneous external factors.²⁸ Therefore, a progressive increase of CDI and CDI-related deaths (at present in the range of 5–30% with primary infection)⁸ is predictable, and with a further progressive increase of death rates following CDI relapses.^{29,30} At present, the total number of CDI-related deaths in the USA

and Europe is approximately 500,000 per year,^{31,32} and this number may be more than 1 million worldwide.³³

3. Development of targeted antibiotics toward *C. difficile*, even if effective, increases dysmicrobism and inflammation, which in turn favours relapse.³⁴ In fact, persistent dysmicrobism facilitates the overgrowth of various intestinal pathogens, including *C. difficile*, but there are some peculiarities of *C. difficile* facilitating its growth in such an altered environment with a low-grade inflammation.^{7,24–26,28,34–38} The first episode of CDI is due to changes in gut microbial flora that favours overgrowth of *C. difficile*, also compared to other various intestinal pathogens (e.g. *C. perfringens*), and in turn the dysmicrobism depends primarily on the type of antibiotic therapy used but also on several other factors such as age, proton-pump inhibitors, types of foods, medication use, physical environment, the genetic and immune system of individuals and could be also linked to conditions such as obesity, autoimmune and allergic diseases, diabetes, and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).^{1,13–16,34–38} All these predisposing factors widen the range of individuals susceptible to colonization/infection by *C. difficile*.^{1,13–16,34–38} However, the role of gut flora in regulating *C. difficile* is more complex than previously supposed and changes both in terms of biomass (how many microorganisms are present) and composition (which taxonomic groups are present) rather than the simple reduction of some taxonomic groups are likely more important in preventing *C. difficile* colonization, disease, and recurrence, by preserving sufficient density of the correct type(s) of species to create an environment unfavourable to *C. difficile* expansion.^{1,13–16,34–38} Then, after initial alteration of the intestinal microbial population that leads to *C. difficile* colonization, *C. difficile* can also lead to a perturbation in the gut microflora that amplifies dysmicrobism and inflammation, favouring CDI and CDI relapse.^{1,13–16,34–38} Moreover, the first episode of *C. difficile* by altering the native gut microflora could predispose individuals to recurrent CDIs, and prolonged antimicrobial therapy for *C. difficile*, in a perturbed gut microbiome, can give rise to further and persistent dysbiosis and inflammation.

Additionally, although after the first CDI episode the bacterial community is restored with time, some bacterial taxa do not recover completely and gut microbiome keep a reduced resistance to colonization, which encourages the growth of pathogenic microbes such as *C. difficile*, changing the structure of the gut microbial flora in the individual. Periodic use of antibiotics and antibiotics toward *C. difficile* induces an increase in the reservoir of antibiotic-resistant genes in the gut microbiome, which are conditions that favour recurrent CDIs.^{1,13,14,34-40} Regarding the molecular mechanisms responsible for the colonization of *C. difficile* and then CDI/CDI relapse, it has been reported that the following can play a key role: an increase of primary bile acids and a decrease of secondary bile acids, an increase of succinate that *C. difficile* metabolizes into butyrate, an increase of disaccharide trehalose, and increased production of particular substances from taxonomic groups present in perturbed microbiome that could favour *C. difficile* overgrowth against other pathogens.^{23,24,38,41-45}

Further, the cytotoxic synergism (demonstrated by Fettucciari *et al.*⁴⁶) between the low doses of TcdB of *C. difficile* and the proinflammatory cytokines, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α and interferon (IFN)- γ ,⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ rapidly modify the cellular microenvironment of the colon with a toxic action.

Another important factor is the difficulty of eradicating *C. difficile* with antibiotic therapy, which also contributes to favour persistent low-grade inflammation.^{1,7,8,12,34}

4. The emergence of epidemics due to novel strains of *C. difficile* that are or epidemics or hypervirulent or multidrug-resistant strains (e.g. ribotypes 015, 027, 078 or 176), many of them also produce *C. difficile* transferase toxin (CTD), and the emergence of *C. difficile* strains producing Tcd variants is worrisome and has elevated the threat of *C. difficile* in the current general antimicrobial crisis outlined by the World Health Organization,^{22,37,45,49} because these may represent an additional tool for more selective host manipulation. In fact, the incidence of CDIs between hospital-acquired CDI (HA-CDI) in adults but also for community-acquired CDI (CA-CDI)

effectively increased due to the emergence of the hypervirulent ribotypes 027 and 078 strain of *C. difficile*,^{22,45,49-53} which showed high production/germination rate, expression of different Tcd variants and increased toxin production, leading to increased disease severity, recurrence, and a 15% increase in CDI-related mortality.^{22,24,36,39,45,49-53} Further, the hypervirulent ribotype 078 was significantly higher in patients over 65 years of age, and it is recurrently detected in swine, cattle and retail meat.^{24,36,39,45,52-55} Its increased detection in humans and ability to cause severe disease and mortality, suggest the possibility of animal contamination or transmission.^{45,52,54,55} Epidemiological data have revealed that CDI outbreaks around the world has been associated with ribotype 027.^{22,24,36,39,45,49-53} Overall, the prevalence of different ribotypes, in particular ribotypes 027 and 078, in different geographical areas demonstrates the genetic diversity of *C. difficile* and its recognition as a progressive threat to public health.

Moreover, the *C. difficile* strains that produces the Tcds variant may contribute to the expansion of CDIs because many of them are hypervirulent and produce the binary toxin CTD, which is associated with increased morbidity and mortality.^{45,49-51,56-60} It has been shown that CTD promotes the formation of long cellular filaments, which become anchor points for new *C. difficile* to epithelial cells and thus potentiate the infection.^{45,49-51,56-61} Further, the Tcd variants are highly diverse in terms of enzymatic activity, immunogenicity and in their receptor preference, with relevant implications on the colonic pathology.^{45,49-51,56-60,62}

5. The surface antigens of *C. difficile*, (i.e. SlpA, cell wall proteins, pili, flagella, fimbria, and biofilms), which have the properties of colonizing factors or are involved in innate immunity,^{36,56-60} can have an important impact on persistence of *C. difficile*, for the following reasons: first, they promote adhesion to mucosal epithelial cells and the penetration of *C. difficile* within the mucus layers;^{36,56-60} second, they antagonize some aspects of the natural immune response;^{36,56-60} and third, they contribute to an inflammatory state with cytokine induction

such as TNF- α and IFN- γ that enhance the cytotoxic action of low doses of TcdB.^{46–48}

6. Tcd-neutralising antibodies have had limited success and clinical application.^{63–67}
7. Immunization toward Tcds has yielded scarce results because of low immunogenicity and inability of immune responses to eliminate *C. difficile*.^{68,69}

Considering the above-mentioned aspects, it is crucial to understand the molecular mechanisms of the two Tcds, particularly TcdB, wherein TcdB is approximately 1,000 times more powerful than TcdA, and whether certain molecular aspects of Tcd action may favour the progressive diffusion of *C. difficile* to cause a “global endemy” with severe health and economic consequences.

Molecular characteristics of TcdA and TcdB

Tcds are single-chain proteins, and TcdA and TcdB are 308 kDa and 270 kDa, respectively. They share a 48% sequence identity and 66% sequence similarity, and their most diverse sequence is confined to the C-terminal binding domain.^{57–60} TcdA and TcdB have four domains: a glucosyltransferase N-terminal domain, an autoprotease domain, a pore-forming and translocation domain, and C-terminal binding repetitive oligopeptides (CROPs) domain,^{57–60} where each domain is characterized by specific biological and functional properties. The CROPs domain and other amino acids outside this domain allow the binding of Tcds to the cells for subsequent internalization.^{57–60,70} Although TcdA and TcdB CROPs display the solenoid fold, they present distinct spatial and sequential arrangements of their repeat units. This is in agreement with findings that suggest that both TcdA and TcdB bind to different receptors;⁵⁷ therefore, TcdA and TcdB do not follow the rule of one toxin, one receptor.^{57–60,70}

While two different receptors have been proposed for TcdA, rabbit sucrase isomaltase, and gp96,^{57–60} three receptors have been identified for TcdB,⁷⁰ chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan 4 (CSPG4), poliovirus receptor-like 3 (PVRL3), and Wnt receptor frizzled family (FZD). The binding of TcdB to CSPG4 receptor induces cell rounding and apoptosis in HeLa and HT29 cells at picomolar concentrations of TcdB, while binding of TcdB to CSPG4 receptor mediate necrotic effects at higher concentration of TcdB.^{70–72} The

binding of TcdB to PVRL3 receptor induces necrosis cell death at high concentrations (the nanomolar range) of TcdB.^{70,72,73} The FZD functions as an alternative receptor to CSPG4; indeed the binding of TcdB to FZD receptor induces cytopathic effects and apoptosis at picomolar concentrations of TcdB.^{70,72,74} Another important peculiarity of TcdB is that TcdB can bind to the membrane receptor with amino acid sequences that extend beyond the CROP sequences.^{70,72}

This picture underlines the heterogeneity of the receptors linked by TcdB and the diversity of the effects in relation to the receptor binding and concentrations of TcdB.^{62,70,72–74} Then, TcdB may utilize multiple receptors with different binding sites to broaden the selection of mammalian cells it can target.^{62,70,72–74} Moreover, TcdB variants are highly diverse for their receptor preference, with relevant implications on the colonic pathology.^{45,53,56,62,72}

Antibodies against the CROPs domains of both TcdA and TcdB can block internalization,^{57–60,70} and excess TcdA CROPs domain can compete with TcdA holotoxin for cell binding.^{57–60,70} However, TcdA and TcdB that lack the CROPs domains are still able to enter cells.^{57–60,70} Thus, the type of receptor on target cells is a very important consideration for some fundamental aspects of the pathogenic strategy of *C. difficile*. Receptors for *C. difficile* are not well-characterized molecular structures; however, they are likely to be constituted by a configuration of the polysaccharide chain recognized by the TcdA- or TcdB-binding domains that behave as a lectin-like structure⁷⁵ and probably possess some characteristics of intrinsically disordered proteins, and are able to modulate their conformation to adapt and more effectively bind with the target structure. The complex structural characteristics of the Tcd receptor allows the Tcds to bind to several and extremely different cell types,^{57–60} such as the surface epithelium of the human colon,⁷⁶ hepatic cells,⁷⁷ nerve cells,⁷⁸ EGCs,⁴⁶ cardiac cells,⁷⁹ and colonic cells.⁸⁰

However, it is noteworthy to consider why Tcds cause damage not only to cell types present at the primary site of infection the large bowel (epithelium of the human colon,⁷⁶ colonic cells,⁸⁰ EGCs⁴⁶) but also to cell types that are not present in the large bowel (hepatic cells,⁷⁷ nerve cells,⁷⁸ cardiac cells⁷⁹), as reported above).

It is hypothesized that when *C. difficile* spores convert into their vegetative forms and replicate, they are more sensitive to the activity of innate immune cells,⁸¹ such as macrophages, polymorphonucleates,^{51,82} and lymphocytes,⁸³ which induce and strengthen the inflammatory response that is characterized by secretion of several proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1,⁸⁴ IL-6,⁸⁵ IL-8,⁸⁶ IFN- γ ⁸⁷ and TNF- α .⁸⁸ To fight against these immune cells, the Tcds must be able to bind to receptors with a pattern of carbohydrates that have different configurations and this result is obtained by the three types of receptors recognized by TcdB.⁷⁰ This explains why TcdB recognized such a broad range of carbohydrate patterns. Therefore, because of incidental molecular homology, Tcds could be cytotoxic to other cell types that express one or more of the receptors recognized by Tcds such as endothelial, hepatic, nerve, EGCs, and cardiac cells that are unrelated to the infection site.^{46,57-60,77-79} This also explains why Tcds can cause toxic systemic effects once they reach the circulation in some patients with CDI.^{17,47,89,90}

In addition, the ability of Tcds to bind to colonocytes deepens the tissue damage within and beyond the submucosa and damaging the muscle and enteric nervous system cells creates conditions to expel (for instance, *via* diarrhoea with liquid faeces) the vegetative forms of *C. difficile* that rapidly become *C. difficile* spores and can colonize other hosts to start new infection cycles as soon as appropriate conditions for germination occur. Furthermore, if the Tcd receptor domain mutates, it is possible that the pathogenicity of *C. difficile* may become more systemic.

Intracellular effects of Tcds

After binding to the cell membrane, the Tcds stimulate their internalization by an endocytic vacuole in which pH decrease favours a conformational change of the Tcds itself. This allows the Tcds to insert the catalytic domain outside the membrane for cleavage, and thus activate the glycosylation process of the catalytic site of Rho-GTPase to inhibit its activity.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ This inhibition causes several biological consequences such as cytoskeleton disruption,^{46,57-60} cell cycle arrest,^{46,57-60} and cell death, which occurs after cycle arrest.^{46,57-60} These phenomena are strictly dependent on the dose of Tcds, wherein at high concentration, cell death occurs by necrosis.^{59,70-73,91}

It is essential to understand what the molecular strategy underlying cytoskeleton alterations and cell cycle arrest is. All immune cells that reach the replication area of the *C. difficile* vegetative form possess intrinsic motility; therefore, their immobilisation drastically decreases their functional effectiveness.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ Moreover, the intracellular action of Tcds in these immune cells modifies the cytokine secretion pattern, which is shifted toward a greater production of proinflammatory cytokines such as IFN- γ and TNF- α ,^{47,57-60} and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10.⁹² Cytoskeletal alterations are an early event (appearing in some cells after 30 min) that cause cell rounding in most cell types *in vitro*⁹³ with cell detachment; whereas, *in vivo* there is retraction of colonocytes and cells of the basal membrane, which allows the more in-depth penetration of *C. difficile* and contributes to the making of a highly inflammatory setting that stimulates expulsion in the external environment by diarrhoea.⁹⁴

Following cell cycle arrest, a part of the infected cell dies by apoptosis,⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ a phenomenon that highlights another interesting aspect of the molecular strategy of this bacterium. First, while caspase-dependent Tcd-induced apoptosis has been extensively investigated,^{46,57-60,95} there is evidence that TcdA can also induce caspase-independent apoptosis following cathepsin⁹⁶ and calpain activation (i.e. calcium-dependent).⁹⁶ These three apoptotic pathways are strongly interconnected at some points during their signalling and may display further converging points in the induction of reactive oxygen species and mitochondrial damage. This ability to activate three different pathways of cell death is a very important strategy adopted by *C. difficile*, compared with a stressful stimulus inducing cell death, because a cell may display resistance to a definite apoptosis pathway depending on the cell type. Therefore, Tcds, which can activate different pathways of apoptosis, have a higher likelihood of inducing apoptosis if the target cell possesses an intrinsic resistance to one, or two of the three pathways. In fact, Tcds are capable of inducing death of enterocytes,⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ colonocytes,⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ neuronal cells,^{57-60,78} EGCs,^{46,95} and different immune cells such as macrophages,^{57-60,97} lymphocytes,^{57-60,98} and eosinophils.^{57-60,98}

Second, other cells exposed to low doses of Tcds could return to their normal functionality after a short arrest of their cell cycle, as we have previously demonstrated for EGCs.^{46,99} Conversely,

EGCs that survive apoptotic concentrations of TcdB become senescent as a survival response to stressful stimulus mediated by TcdB.^{46,99} The capability of cells surviving the cytotoxic activity of Tcds to become senescent may be found on cell types that have a long-life span, such as EGCs, intestinal neurons and myocytes, that guarantee bowel motility.¹⁰⁰ The acquisition of a senescence state by these cell types could cause irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and IBD due to persistent inflammation, transfer of senescence status, and stimulation of pre-neoplastic cells.

Thus, we can hypothesize that, after recovery from an acute CDI, the number of EGCs decrease, their network is impaired, and their functionality is subsequently altered; further, EGCs and other cells with a long-life span, which survive toxicity, may become senescent. Following CDI, the structural and functional abnormalities induced by the Tcds might be long-lasting in a considerable percentage of patients with IBS, and cause low-grade inflammation and persistent dysmicrobism.¹⁰¹ Residual *C. difficile* bacteria that persist after remission of an acute infection may take advantage of this situation, and induce relapse that can appear even after months, without any apparent trigger.¹⁰² *C. difficile* modifies the large bowel environment to persist for a long time and induces easier relapses; this implies a continuous increase in *C. difficile* carriers in the large bowel environment characterized by an IBS-like status and a latent inflammatory condition.

Another aspect that highlights the sophisticated molecular strategy of *C. difficile* is that among the components of the inflammatory response, IFN- γ and TNF- α are of paramount importance as they potentiate *in vitro* cytotoxicity of TcdB.⁴⁶ Therefore, it is possible that IFN- γ and TNF- α act as drivers of infection by amplification, from the very beginning of infection, of apoptotic activity of low doses of Tcds, and pave the way for infection progression.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸

Thus, it is likely that antibiotic therapy, in addition to causing dysmicrobism, creates an inflamed environment within the large bowel due to release of bacterial components from the cells killed by bacteriolytic antibiotics. Moreover, an inflammatory environment in the absence of antibiotic therapy could favour CDI in some patient subtypes such as those with obesity, or various pathologies accompanied by an inflammatory state.¹⁰³

Conclusions

The key elements of the molecular strategy adopted by *C. difficile* can be summarized as follows: (1) progressive global diffusion due to strong resistance of *C. difficile* spores to the external environment that associated with the peculiar characteristics of the complex interaction of *C. difficile* with the host will favour its colonization. Further, it has the ability to spread after CDI and its relapses, and its episodes are significantly increasing on an annual basis due to the emergence of *C. difficile* strains of the hypervirulent ribotypes, producing Tcd variants, and/or producing binary toxin CTD, all of which are associated with increased colonization, morbidity and mortality rate; (2) progressive colonization of human hosts favoured by endogenous conditions (dysmicrobism and inflammation). In fact, dysmicrobism favour *C. difficile* overgrowth, creating an environment conducive to *C. difficile* expansion, while inflammation enhancing cytotoxic activity of low doses of Tcds could damage the cellular microenvironment of the colon increasing *C. difficile* colonization of human hosts; (3) production of two Tcds that are not only capable of causing *C. difficile* spread in external environments during infection, but can also modify the large bowel environment to ensure its persistence in a more inflamed milieu, which can harbour the bacterium more easily, with brief periods of replication and with mild symptoms, and without causing a full-blown disease. This strategy ensures that *C. difficile* as an opportunistic pathogen can become a progressive colonizer of human beings and animals, waiting for suitable growth conditions for its growth and can sometimes cause fatality. Thus, *C. difficile* may become a serious global health issue with enormous economic costs.

We must urgently adopt a strategy to counter *C. difficile*, keeping in mind that at present we lack truly efficacious counter strategies for the following reasons: (1) it is not possible to stop the spread or eradicate *C. difficile* from the external environment because it is not possible to eliminate it from hospitals or nursing homes, which represent some of the most contaminated environments, and which are the most important causes of diffusion;¹⁰⁴ (2) until now there has been no available antibiotic or eradication treatment that would prevent the simultaneous onset of developing favourable conditions for subsequent relapse;⁶⁶ (3) although very effective, faecal transplantation

is still a limited therapeutic option with several limitations in its widespread use;^{50,105} (4) immunotherapy with monoclonal antibodies directed toward TcdA and TcdB have yielded limited results;^{63–67} and (5) vaccination toward TcdA and TcdB has not produced significant results clinically and for eradicating *C. difficile*.^{68,69}

In conclusion, assuming that *C. difficile* diffusion and human colonization will be unstoppable, desirable interventions with wider applications could include: (1) development of an effective vaccination strategy against Tcds for high-risk categories; (2) the availability of a selective prophylaxis against *C. difficile* based on highly specific bacteriophages, to be used as a therapeutic tool. Today, more than ever, we are realising that the greatest enemies of humanity are pathogens, and thus combating pathogen-related diseases is increasingly becoming a priority.

Author contributions

Specific author contributions are as follows. Conceptualizing of the work: KF, PM, GB; design of the work: KF, PM, GB, AM, AF, and AS; drafting of the manuscript: KF, PM and GB; and critical review of the manuscript: KF, PM, AM, GB, AF, and AS. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Katia Fettucciari  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8074-8243>

References

1. Furuya-Kanamori L, Marquess J, Yakob L, *et al.* Asymptomatic *Clostridium difficile* colonization: epidemiology and clinical implications. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015; 15: 516.
2. Rodríguez Diaz C, Seyboldt C and Rupnik M. Non-human *C. difficile* reservoirs and sources: animals, food, environment. In: P. Mastrantonio and M. Rupnik (eds) *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. Cham: Springer, 2018, pp.227–243.
3. Kachrimanidou M, Tzika E and Filioussis G. *Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile* in food-producing animals, horses and household pets: a comprehensive review. *Microorganisms* 2019; 7: 667.
4. Kato H, Kita H, Karasawa T, *et al.* Colonisation and transmission of *Clostridium difficile* in healthy individuals examined by PCR ribotyping and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J Med Microbiol* 2001; 50: 720–727.
5. Rousseau C, Levenez F, Fouqueray C, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* colonization in early infancy is accompanied by changes in intestinal microbiota composition. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011; 49: 858–865.
6. Lo Vecchio A and Zacur GM. *Clostridium difficile* infection: an update on epidemiology, risk factors, and therapeutic options. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2012; 28: 1–9.
7. Daniels LM and Kufel WD. Clinical review of *Clostridium difficile* infection: an update on treatment and prevention. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2018; 19: 1759–1769.
8. Guh AY and Kutty PK. *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2018; 169: ITC49–ITC62.
9. Wilcox MH, Fawley WN, Wigglesworth N, *et al.* Comparison of the effect of detergent versus hypochlorite cleaning on environmental contamination and incidence of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2003; 54: 109–114.
10. Boyce JM, Havill NL, Otter JA, *et al.* Impact of hydrogen peroxide vapor room decontamination on *Clostridium difficile* environmental contamination and transmission in a healthcare setting. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008; 29: 723–729.
11. Nerandzic MM, Cadnum JL, Pultz MJ, *et al.* Evaluation of an automated ultraviolet radiation device for decontamination of *Clostridium difficile* and other healthcare-associated pathogens in hospital rooms. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010; 10: 197.
12. Depestel DD and Aronoff DM. Epidemiology of *Clostridium difficile* e infection. *J Pharm Pract* 2013; 26: 464–475.
13. Britton RA and Young VB. Interaction between the intestinal microbiota and host in *Clostridium*

- difficile* colonization resistance. *Trends Microbiol* 2012; 20: 313–319.
14. Loo VG, Bourgault A-M, Poirier L, *et al.* Host and pathogen factors for *Clostridium difficile* infection and colonization. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 1693–1703.
 15. Voth DE and Ballard JD. *Clostridium difficile* toxins: mechanism of action and role in disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; 18: 247–263.
 16. Kelly CP and LaMont JT. *Clostridium difficile* — more difficult than ever. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359: 1932–1940.
 17. Di Bella S, Ascenzi P, Siarakas S, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B: insights into pathogenic properties and extraintestinal effects. *Toxins* 2016; 8: 134.
 18. Garey KW, Dao-Tran TK, Jiang ZD, *et al.* A clinical risk index for *Clostridium difficile* infection in hospitalised patients receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics. *J Hosp Infect* 2008; 70: 142–147.
 19. Bishara J, Farah R, Mograbi J, *et al.* Obesity as a risk factor for *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 57: 489–493.
 20. Keddis MT, Khanna S, Noheria A, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* infection in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012; 87: 1046–1053.
 21. Revolinski SL and Muñoz-Price LS. *Clostridium difficile* in immunocompromised hosts: a review of epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and prevention. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 68: 2144–2153.
 22. Hunt JJ and Ballard JD. Variations in virulence and molecular biology among emerging strains of *Clostridium difficile*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2013; 77: 567–581.
 23. Kochan TJ, Foley MH, Shoshiev MS, *et al.* Updates to *Clostridium difficile* spore germination. *J Bacteriol* 2018; 200: 1–12.
 24. Edwards AN, Karim ST, Pascual RA, *et al.* Chemical and stress resistances of *Clostridium difficile* spores and vegetative cells. *Front Microbiol* 2016; 7: 1–13.
 25. Larcombe S, Hutton ML and Lyras D. Involvement of bacteria other than *Clostridium difficile* in antibiotic-associated diarrhoea. *Trends Microbiol* 2016; 24: 463–476.
 26. Uzal FA, Navarro MA, Li J, *et al.* Comparative pathogenesis of enteric clostridial infections in humans and animals. *Anaerobe* 2018; 53: 11–20.
 27. Paredes-Sabja D, Shen A and Sorg JA. *Clostridium difficile* spore biology: sporulation, germination, and spore structural proteins. *Trends Microbiol* 2014; 22: 406–416.
 28. Rodríguez C, Romero E, Garrido-Sánchez L, *et al.* Microbiota insights in *Clostridium difficile* infection and inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut Microbes*. Epub ahead of print 4 March 2020. DOI: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1725220.
 29. Madoff SE, Urquiaga M, Alonso CD, *et al.* Prevention of recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Anaerobe* 2020; 61: 102098.
 30. Olsen MA, Yan Y, Reske KA, *et al.* Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection is associated with increased mortality. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015; 21: 164–170.
 31. Mollard S, Lurienne L, Heimann SM, *et al.* Burden of *Clostridium (Clostridioides) difficile* infection during inpatient stays in the USA between 2012 and 2016. *J Hosp Infect* 2019; 102: 135–140.
 32. Kurti Z, Lovasz BD, Mandel MD, *et al.* Burden of *Clostridium difficile* infection between 2010 and 2013: trends and outcomes from an academic center in Eastern Europe. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21: 6728–6735.
 33. Balsells E, Shi T, Leese C, *et al.* Global burden of *Clostridium difficile* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Glob Health* 2019; 9: 010407.
 34. Shin JH and Warren CA. Prevention and treatment of recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2019; 32: 482–489.
 35. Bhattacharyya M, Debnath AK and Todi SK. *Clostridium difficile* and antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2020; 24: S162–S167.
 36. Anjuwon-Foster BR and Tamayo R. Phase variation of *Clostridium difficile* virulence factors. *Gut Microbes* 2017; 9: 1–8.
 37. Pomares Bascuñana RÁ, Veses V and Sheth CC. Effectiveness of fecal microbiota transplant for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile* diarrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. Epub ahead of print 16 April 2021. DOI: 10.1111/lam.13486.
 38. Sehgal K and Khanna S. Gut microbiome and *Clostridioides difficile* infection: a closer look at the microscopic interface. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2021; 14: 1–9.
 39. Muñoz M, Restrepo-Montoya D, Kumar N, *et al.* Integrated genomic epidemiology and phenotypic profiling of *Clostridium difficile* across

- intra-hospital and community populations in Colombia. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9: 1–14.
40. Fu Y, Luo Y and Grinspan AM. Epidemiology of community-acquired and recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2021; 14: 175628482110162.
 41. Sorg JA and Sonenshein AL. Bile salts and glycine as cogerminants for *Clostridium difficile* spores. *J Bacteriol* 2008; 190: 2505–2512.
 42. Eyre DW, Didelot X, Buckley AM, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* trehalose metabolism variants are common and not associated with adverse patient outcomes when variably present in the same lineage. *EBioMedicine* 2019; 43: 347–355.
 43. Winston JA and Theriot CM. Diversification of host bile acids by members of the gut microbiota. *Gut Microbes* 2020; 11: 158–171.
 44. Mullish BH and Allegretti JR. The contribution of bile acid metabolism to the pathogenesis of *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2021; 14: 1–12.
 45. Ofori E, Ramai D, Dhawan M, *et al.* Community-acquired *Clostridium difficile*: epidemiology, ribotype, risk factors, hospital and intensive care unit outcomes, and current and emerging therapies. *J Hosp Infect* 2018; 99: 436–442.
 46. Fettucciari K, Ponsini P, Gioè D, *et al.* Enteric glial cells are susceptible to *Clostridium difficile* toxin B. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2017; 74: 1527–1551.
 47. Fettucciari K, Fruganti A, Marchegiani A, *et al.* Proinflammatory cytokines: possible accomplices for the systemic effects of *Clostridioides difficile* toxin B. *J Inflamm Res* 2021; 14: 57–62.
 48. Bassotti G, Marchegiani A, Marconi P, *et al.* The cytotoxic synergy between *Clostridioides difficile* toxin B and proinflammatory cytokines: an unholy alliance favoring the onset of *Clostridioides difficile* infection and relapses. *Microbiologyopen*. Epub ahead of print 12 July 2020. DOI: 10.1002/mbo3.1061.
 49. Badilla-Lobo A and Rodríguez C. Microbiological features, epidemiology, and clinical presentation of *Clostridioides difficile* strains from MLST Clade 2: a narrative review. *Anaerobe*. Epub ahead of print 10 March 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2021.102355.
 50. Cheng Y-W and Fischer M. Treatment of severe and fulminant *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol* 2019; 17: 524–533.
 51. Buckley AM, Spencer J, Candlish D, *et al.* Infection of hamsters with the UK *Clostridium difficile* ribotype 027 outbreak strain R20291. *J Med Microbiol* 2011; 60: 1174–1180.
 52. Goorhuis A, Bakker D, Corver J, *et al.* Emergence of *Clostridium difficile* infection due to a new hypervirulent strain, polymerase chain reaction ribotype 078. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 1162–1170.
 53. Shen E, Zhu K, Li D, *et al.* Subtyping analysis reveals new variants and accelerated evolution of *Clostridioides difficile* toxin B. *Commun Biol* 2020; 3: 1–8.
 54. Fawley WN, Davies KA, Morris T, *et al.* Enhanced surveillance of *Clostridium difficile* infection occurring outside hospital, England, 2011 to 2013. *Eurosurveillance* 2016; 21: 1–10.
 55. Songer JG, Trinh HT, Killgore GE, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* in retail meat products, USA, 2007. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; 15: 819–821.
 56. Abeyawardhane DL, Godoy-Ruiz R, Adipietro KA, *et al.* The importance of therapeutically targeting the binary toxin from *Clostridioides difficile*. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021; 22: 1–12.
 57. Aktories K, Schwan C and Jank T. *Clostridium difficile* toxin biology. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2017; 71: 281–307.
 58. Sun X, Savidge T and Feng H. The enterotoxicity of *Clostridium difficile* toxins. *Toxins* 2010; 2: 1848–1880.
 59. Chandrasekaran R and Lacy DB. The role of toxins in *Clostridium difficile* infection. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2017; 41: 723–750.
 60. Pruitt RN and Lacy DB. Toward a structural understanding of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2012; 2: 28.
 61. Schwan C, Stecher B, Tzivelekidis T, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin CDT induces formation of microtubule-based protrusions and increases adherence of bacteria. *PLoS Pathog*. Epub ahead of print 16 October 2009. DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000626.
 62. Pan Z, Zhang Y, Luo J, *et al.* Functional analyses of epidemic *Clostridioides difficile* toxin B variants reveal their divergence in utilizing receptors and inducing pathology. *PLoS Pathog* 2021; 17: 1–21.
 63. Hryckowian AJ, Pruss KM and Sonnenburg JL. The emerging metabolic view of *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2017; 35: 42–47.
 64. Chahine EB, Cho JC and Worley MV. Bezlotoxumab for the prevention of *Clostridium difficile* recurrence. *Consult Pharm* 2018; 33: 89–97.

65. Posteraro B, Pea F, Masucci L, *et al.* Actoxumab + bezlotoxumab combination: what promise for *Clostridium difficile* treatment? *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2018; 18: 469–476.
66. Jarmo O, Veli-Jukka A and Eero M. Treatment of *Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile* infection. *Ann Med* 2020; 52: 12–20.
67. Péchiné S, Janoir C and Collignon A. Emerging monoclonal antibodies against *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2017; 17: 415–427.
68. Riley TV, Lyras D and Douce GR. Status of vaccine research and development for *Clostridium difficile*. *Vaccine* 2019; 37: 7300–7306.
69. Bruxelles JF, Péchiné S and Collignon A. Immunization strategies against *Clostridium difficile*. In: P. Mastrantonio and M. Rupnik (eds) *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. Cham: Springer, 2018, pp.197–225.
70. Orrell KE, Zhang Z, Sugiman-Marangos SN, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B: receptors, pores, and translocation into cells. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2017; 52: 461–473.
71. Yuan P, Zhang H, Cai C, *et al.* Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 4 functions as the cellular receptor for *Clostridium difficile* toxin B. *Cell Res* 2015; 25: 157–168.
72. Henkel D, Tatge H, Schöttelndreier D, *et al.* Receptor binding domains of TcdB from *Clostridioides difficile* for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan-4 and frizzled proteins are functionally independent and additive. *Toxins (Basel)* 2020; 12: 736.
73. LaFrance ME, Farrow MA, Chandrasekaran R, *et al.* Identification of an epithelial cell receptor responsible for *Clostridium difficile* TcdB-induced cytotoxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015; 112: 7073–7078.
74. Tao L, Zhang J, Meraner P, *et al.* Frizzled proteins are colonic epithelial receptors for *C. difficile* toxin B. *Nature* 2016; 538: 350–355.
75. Hartley-Tassell LE, Awad MM, Seib KL, *et al.* Lectin activity of the TcdA and TcdB toxins of *Clostridium difficile*. *Infect Immun* 2019; 87: e00676–18.
76. Terada N, Ohno N, Murata S, *et al.* Immunohistochemical study of NG2 chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan expression in the small and large intestines. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2006; 126: 483–490.
77. Grossmann EM, Longo WE, Kaminski DL, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin: cytoskeletal changes and lactate dehydrogenase release in hepatocytes. *J Surg Res* 2000; 88: 165–172.
78. Zhang P, Hong J, Yoon IN, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin a induces reactive oxygen species production and p38 MAPK activation to exert cellular toxicity in neuronal cells. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2017; 27: 1163–1170.
79. Krijnen PAJ, Sipkens JA, Molling JW, *et al.* Inhibition of Rho-ROCK signaling induces apoptotic and non-apoptotic PS exposure in cardiomyocytes via inhibition of flippase. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2010; 49: 781–790.
80. Kim H, Kokkotou E, Na X, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin A-induced colonocyte apoptosis involves p53-dependent p21(WAF1/CIP1) induction via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 129: 1875–1888.
81. Péchiné S and Collignon A. Immune responses induced by *Clostridium difficile*. *Anaerobe* 2016; 41: 68–78.
82. Paredes-Sabja D, Cofre-Araneda G, Brito-Silva C, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* spore-macrophage interactions: spore survival. *PLoS One*. Epub ahead of print 27 August 2012. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043635.
83. Vargas E, Apewokin S and Madan R. Role of the leukocyte response in normal and immunocompromised host after *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Anaerobe* 2017; 45: 101–105.
84. Flegel WA, Muller F, Daubener W, *et al.* Cytokine response by human monocytes to *Clostridium difficile* e toxin A and toxin B. *Infect Immun* 1991; 59: 3659–3666.
85. Foschetti DA, Braga-Neto MB, Bolick D, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxins or infection induce upregulation of adenosine receptors and il-6 with early pro-inflammatory and late anti-inflammatory pattern. *Brazilian J Med Biol Res* 2020; 53: 1–10.
86. Hansen A, Alston L, Tulk SE, *et al.* The P2Y6 receptor mediates *Clostridium difficile* toxin-induced CXCL8/IL-8 production and intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e81491.
87. McDermott AJ, Falkowski NR, McDonald RA, *et al.* Role of interferon- γ and inflammatory monocytes in driving colonic inflammation during acute *Clostridium difficile* infection in mice. *Immunology* 2017; 150: 468–477.
88. Wang Y, Wang S, Kelly CP, *et al.* TPL2 is a key regulator of intestinal inflammation in *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Infect Immun* 2018; 86: e00095-18.

89. Steele J, Chen K, Sun X, *et al.* Systemic dissemination of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B is associated with severe, fatal disease in animal models. *J Infect Dis* 2012; 205: 384–391.
90. Carter GP, Chakravorty A, Nguyen TAP, *et al.* Defining the roles of TcdA and TcdB in localized gastrointestinal disease, systemic organ damage, and the host response during *Clostridium difficile* infections. *MBio* 2015; 6: e00551.
91. Farrow MA, Chumblor NM, Lapierre LA, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin B-induced necrosis is mediated by the host epithelial cell NADPH oxidase complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013; 110: 18674–18679.
92. Kim MN, Koh SJ, Kim JM, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* infection aggravates colitis in interleukin 10-deficient mice. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20: 17084–17091.
93. Halabi-Cabezon I, Huelsenbeck J, May M, *et al.* Prevention of the cytopathic effect induced by *Clostridium difficile* Toxin B by active Rac1. *FEBS Lett* 2008; 582: 3751–3756.
94. Rupnik M, Wilcox MH and Gerding DN. *Clostridium difficile* infection: new developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2009; 7: 526–536.
95. Macchioni L, Davidescu M, Fettucciari K, *et al.* Enteric glial cells counteract *Clostridium difficile* toxin B through a NADPH oxidase/ROS/JNK/caspase-3 axis, without involving mitochondrial pathways. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 45569.
96. Nottrott S, Schoentaube J, Genth H, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin A-induced apoptosis is p53-independent but depends on glucosylation of Rho GTPases. *Apoptosis* 2007; 12: 1443–1453.
97. Solomon K, Webb J, Ali N, *et al.* Monocytes are highly sensitive to *Clostridium difficile* toxin A-induced apoptotic and nonapoptotic cell death. *Infect Immun* 2005; 73: 1625–1634.
98. Mahida YR, Galvin A, Makh S, *et al.* Effect of *Clostridium difficile* toxin A on human colonic lamina propria cells: early loss of macrophages followed by T-cell apoptosis. *Infect Immun* 1998; 66: 5462–5469.
99. Fettucciari K, Macchioni L, Davidescu M, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* toxin B induces senescence in enteric glial cells: a potential new mechanism of *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Res* 2018; 1865: 1945–1958.
100. Schneider S, Wright CM and Heuckeroth RO. Unexpected roles for the second brain: enteric nervous system as master regulator of bowel function. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2019; 81: 235–259.
101. Bassotti G, Macchioni L, Corazzi L, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile*-related postinfectious IBS: a case of enterogial microbiological stalking and/or the solution of a conundrum? *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2018; 75: 1145–1149.
102. Orenstein R, Patron RL and Teresa Seville M. Why does *Clostridium difficile* infection recur? *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 2019; 119: 322–326.
103. Arredondo-Hernandez R, Orduña-Estrada P, Lopez-Vidal Y, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* infection: an immunological conundrum. *Arch Med Res* 2018; 49: 359–364.
104. Jullian-Desayes I, Landelle C, Mallaret MR, *et al.* *Clostridium difficile* contamination of health care workers' hands and its potential contribution to the spread of infection: review of the literature. *Am J Infect Control* 2017; 45: 51–58.
105. Tan X and Johnson S. Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) for *C. difficile* infection, just say 'No'. *Anaerobe*. Epub ahead of print 28 August 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2019.102092.

Visit SAGE journals online
journals.sagepub.com/
home/tag

 SAGE journals