CLINICAL RESEARCH

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2016; 22: 4736-4741 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.898193

Received: 20 Accepted: 20 Published: 20	016.03.29	Association Between Cytokines and Their Receptor Antagonist Gene Polymorphisms and Clinical Risk Factors and Acute Rejection Following Renal Transplantation			
Authors' Cont Study I Data Coll Statistical Ar Data Interpre Manuscript Prepa Literature 1 Funds Coll	Design A BEF 2 lection B ADF 2 halysis C ADF 2 ration D F Search F	Siqing Ding Jianfei Xie Qiquan Wan		 Nursing Department, The Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, P.R. China Department of Transplant Surgery, The Third Xiangya Hospital, Central Sou University, Changsha, Hunan, P.R. China 	
Co	rresponding Author: Source of support:	Qiquan Wan, e-mail: 13548685542@163.com This work was supported by grant 132012-041	from the Health	n Department of Hunan Province, China	
	Background: laterial/Methods: Results: Conclusions:	dence of the genetic association betwee tation. The objectives of this study were tor antagonist (ra) gene polymorphism, (PRA) levels, donor type, and HLA misma TNF β (+252A/G), IL-10 (-592A/C), IL-1 β mined in 195 renal allograft recipients w ical risk factors were investigated for co Patients with increased pre-transplant F velopment of AR. After adjusting for all tervals=1.738–11.727, <i>P</i> =0.002) and the (OR=2.437, 95% confidence intervals=1 multivariate logistic regression analysis. absence of acute rejection and the gene This study shows that the presence of i DCD donor other than cytokine gene pol To reduce the occurrence of AR, clinicia more attention to patients who received	en cytokine or to investigate as well as trace atches in AR for (-511C/T) an with and witho rrelation with PRA levels (<i>P</i> < variables of <i>H</i> e receipt of a .047–5.673, <i>F</i> No correlation e polymorphism ncreased pre- ymorphisms a ns should tak d a graft from	d IL-1ra (86 bp VNTR) gene polymorphisms were deter- ut AR, using PCR. Both these genotypic variants and clin- AR within the first year after renal transplantation. 0.001) and donor type (P =0.012) were prone to the de- 2<0.2, a PRA level >10% (OR=4.515, 95% confidence in- graft from a donation after cardiac death (DCD) donor =0.039) remained significantly associated with AR in a could be found between recipients with an episode and ms of these cytokines investigated in the present study. transplant levels of PRA and the receipt of a graft from re significant risk factors for AR in renal transplantation. e necessary measures to lower the PRA levels and pay a DCD donor.	
I	MeSH Keywords: Full-text PDF:	Graft Rejection • Kidney Transplantat	-	rphism, Genetic • Receptors, Cytokine 398193	
		🛃 1395 🏥 3 🍱	_ 88	ວັ 33	



MEDICAL SCIENCE

MONITOR

Background

Acute rejection (AR) after renal transplantation is still a major clinical problem affecting both patient and graft survival. There is growing evidence of the association between certain cytokines or their receptor antagonist gene polymorphisms and AR after renal transplantation. Some studies have revealed the genetic association of IL-1 β , IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), or TNF β with acute renal graft rejection. However, the polymorphism effect on AR after renal transplantation has not been well studied. [1–3] Panel-reactive antibodies (PRA) have been associated with acute kidney graft rejection, and the complement system has an essential role in PRA-related kidney rejection by the classical C1q-dependent pathway [4–6]. Pre-transplant PRA levels were only investigated in limited studies that explored the association of gene polymorphism with acute renal graft rejection [7–11]. Thus, in the present study we aimed to investigate whether cytokines or their receptor antagonist gene polymorphisms and clinical variables had impacts on the incidence of acute renal graft rejection.

Material and Methods

Study population, study design, and data collection

We enrolled 217 recipients who underwent kidney transplantation at the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (Changsha, China) from January 2008 through June 2010 with a follow-up time of 12 months. Twenty-two recipients were excluded because they underwent other procedures in addition to a kidney transplant, had simultaneous transplantations, or were lost to follow-up. Inclusion criteria for the remaining 195 were complement-dependent cytotoxicity lymphocyte cross-match and donor-specific antibody-negative prior to transplantation. Of the 195 available recipients, 49 suffered at least 1 rejection episode, and 28 were biopsyproven, with the remaining 21 clinically proven. Twenty of 28 patients having biopsy-proven AR had humoral rejection, and 13 of 21 patients with clinically proven AR had antithymocyte globulin-requiring AR. All subjects were classified into either the AR group (n=49) or the non-AR group (n=146). Donor information (age, sex, and donor type); demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of the recipients (age, sex, primary disease, pre-transplant PRA levels, AR episodes, initial immunosuppression (Cyclosporin/Tacrolimus); use of antilymphocytic agents prior to AR; and transplant characteristics (cold ischemia time and HLA no. of 0 mismatches) were analyzed. Informed consent was received from each of the patients and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our hospital. AR was diagnosed based on clinical or biopsy findings according to Banff criteria [12].

Complement-dependent cytotoxicity lymphocyte crossmatch and PRA screening and identification tests

Recipient serum and donor T or B lymphocytes were mixed in a Terasaki plate before addition of rabbit complement. If there are antibodies against donor lymphocytes, the sample turns red (positive); if there are no antibodies, the sample turns green (negative) [13]. PRA screening and identification tests were performed using ELISA method (LAT1240; One Lambda, Canoga Park, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. In all subjects, the PRA screening test was performed and then screening-positive samples were further tested for classifying these human leukocyte antigen (HLA) antibodies detected into class I and/or class II.

Genotyping of TNF β (+252A/G), IL-10 (-592A/C), IL-1 β (-511C/T), and IL-1ra (86 bp VNTR) polymorphism

The genomic DNA was purified from 200 μ l of peripheral blood samples. TNF β , IL-10, IL-1 β , and IL-1ra were genotyped by PCR using previously reported primers [14–16]. A volume of 25 μ l PCR reactions included around 100 ng genomic DNA, 12.5 μ l 2×HSTM Mix (Dongsheng Biological Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China), 10 μ M of each primer (Huada Gene Science and Technology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China), and double-distilled water. The parameters for thermocycling were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 30 s, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

Eight μ L of the amplified products containing TNF β , IL-10 gene, and IL-1 β gene were digested with 10 units of *Ncol*, *Rsal*, and *Aval* restriction enzyme (Fermentas), respectively, at 37°C for 3 h. Amplification of polymorphic regions of IL-1 ra gene containing variable numbers of a tandem repeat (VNTR) of 86 base pairs was also performed using PCR. The PCR fragments and restriction fragments were eventually analyzed by electrophoresis on 2% polyacrylamide gel.

Statistical analysis

The genotype and allele frequencies of TNF β , IL-10, IL-1 β , and IL-1 ra gene polymorphisms were calculated in the AR and non-AR groups. Continuous and categorical variables were analyzed using the *t* test, Fisher exact test, or chi-square test, respectively. For multivariate logistic regression models, all variables with P<0.20 in the univariate analysis were included to identify independent risk factors for AR. The level of significance for statistical testing was defined as *P*<0.05 (2-sided). Associations are given as odds ratios (ORs) with a confidence interval (CI) established at 95%. SPSS (version 17.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) was used to perform statistical analyses.

Table 1. Donor, recipient and transplant characteristics of all recipients with and without AR.

Variables	AR grou	AR groups (n=49)		Non-AR groups (n=146)	
nivariate analysis					
Donor characteristics					
Age (yr; mean ±SD)	35.3	35.33±6.52		36.18±7.29	
Gender no. (%)					0.904
Male	38	(77.6)	112	(76.7)	
Female	11	(22.4)	34	(23.3)	
Donor type no. (%)					0.012
Cadaveric	17	(34.7)	74	(50.7)	
Living relative	19	(38.8)	55	(37.7)	
DCD	13	(26.5)	17	(11.6)	
Recipient characteristics					
Age (yr; mean ±SD)	37.3	37.37±8.81		37.97±10.18	
Gender no. (%)					0.613
Male	35	(71.4)	98	(67.1)	
Female	14	(28.6)	48	(32.9)	
Primary kidney disease no. (%)					0.133
Chronic glomerulonephritis	38	(77.6)	130	(89.0)	
Adult polycystic disease	5	(10.2)	6	(4.1)	
Diabetes	3	(6.1)	3	(2.1)	
Other	3	(6.1)	7	(4.8)	
Pre-transplant PRA levels >10%	12	(24.5)	9	(6.2)	<0.001
Initial immunosuppression no. (%)					0.098
Cyclosporin	15	(30.6)	66	(45.2)	
Tacrolimus	34	(69.4)	80	(54.8)	
Use of antilymphocytic agents prior to AR	6	(12.2)	26	(17.8)	0.381
Transplant characteristics					
Cold ischemia time	4.12	±1.25	3.97	3.97±1.69	
HLA no. of 0 mismatches (%)	16	(32.7)	79	(54.1)	0.146

AR – acute rejection; SD – standard deviation; DCD – donation after cardiac death; PRA – panel-reactive antibodies; HLA – human leukocyte antigen.

Results

A total of 195 recipients, including 49 AR recipients and 146 non-AR recipients, were finally analyzed. The overall mean AR recipient age was 37.37±8.81 years (range, 23–61 years). Fourteen AR recipients were female. Ninety-one grafts were harvested from cadaveric donors and 74 patients underwent

living related kidney donor procedures. Seven subjects had PRA class I (+), 8 had class II (+), and 6 had class I plus class II (+).

The traditional risk factors for AR, including demographic and clinical characteristics of both donors and recipients, and transplant characteristics are shown in Table 1. Table 2 presents the genotype and allele frequencies of TNF β , IL-10, IL-1 β , and IL-1ra

Genotype	AR grou	AR groups (n=49)		Non-AR groups (n=146)	
TNFβ (+252A/G)					0.376
A/A	9	(18.4)	35	(24.0)	
A/G	25	(51.0)	73	(50.0)	
G/G	15	(30.6)	38	(26.0)	
IL-10 (–592A/C)					0.373
A/A	22	(44.9)	77	(52.7)	
A/C	21	(42.9)	53	(36.3)	
C/C	6	(12.2)	16	(11.0)	
IL-1β (–511C/T)					0.664
C/C	9	(18.4)	34	(23.3)	
C/T	29	(59.2)	79	(54.1)	
T/T	11	(22.4)	33	(22.6)	
IL-1ra (86 bp VNTR)					0.901
1/1	39	(79.6)	117	(80.1)	
1/2	7	(14.3)	27	(18.5)	
1/4	3	(6.1)	2	(1.6)	

AR – acute rejection; TNF – tumor necrosis factor; IL – interleukin; ra – receptor antagonist; AR – acute rejection; VNTR – variable numbers of a tandem repeat.

Table 3. Logistic regression of potential risk factors for AR.

	OR (Cl: 5–95)	Р
Initial immunosuppression (Cyclosporin vs. Tacrolimus)	0.800 (0.374–1.711)	0.566
Primary kidney disease (Glomerulonephritis vs. the others)	1.384 (0.922–2.077)	0.117
HLA mismatches (0 mismatches vs. the others)	1.436 (0.749–2.134)	0.186
Donor type (DCD donor vs. the others)	2.437 (1.047–5.673)	0.039
Pre-transplant PRA levels (>10% vs. ≤10%)	4.515 (1.738–11.727)	0.002

AR – acute rejection; OR – odds ratio; CI – confident interval; HLA – human leukocyte antigen; DCD – donation after cardiac death; PRA – panel-reactive antibodies.

variants in all recipients. Table 1 also showed that in univariate analysis, higher AR incidence was found to be significantly associated with PRA (P<0.001) and donor type (P=0.012).

Table 3 showed that after adjusting for all variables of P<0.2in the univariate analysis, a PRA level >10% (OR=4.515, 95% Cl=1.738–11.727, P=0.002) and the receipt of a graft from a donation after cardiac death (DCD) donor (OR=2.437, 95% Cl=1.047–5.673, P=0.039) remained significant risk factors in a multivariate logistic regression analysis. No significant difference was found between recipients with an episode of AR or absence of AR regarding TNF β , IL-10, IL-1 β , and IL-1ra gene polymorphisms, as well as the other clinical variables.

Discussion

AR is a major clinical complication affecting both patient and graft survival following renal transplantation. Many studies have investigated the association between cytokine gene

polymorphisms and acute renal graft rejection, with different studies reporting different results. [1,3,5,17–25] The variable clinical diagnostic processes, different PRA, HLA match status, immunosuppressive protocols, small sample size, and ethnicity may be the main reasons for the inconsistent results of different studies [26,27].

We evaluated the effect of genetic variants of TNF β , IL-1 β , and IL-10, and IL-1ra genes, which are 2 important pro-inflammatory cytokines and 2 important anti-inflammatory factors, respectively, on AR because cytokines and their receptor antagonists play pivotal roles in the immune response. Furthermore, few studies have associated both gene polymorphism of cytokines and pre-transplant PRA levels with AR following renal transplantation [7–11]. In view of that, we aimed to ascertain whether clinical variables such as PRA levels had significant effects on AR.

Our present results agree with other studies suggesting no association of cytokines and receptor antagonist gene polymorphisms with AR in renal transplantation [1,3,17,28–30]. However, the present study revealed that a PRA level >10% had significant influence on AR. The possible explanation was that our subjects mainly developed humoral rejection. Theoretically, cytokines or their receptor antagonists mainly lead to acute cellular rejection, while PRA facilitates acute humoral rejection. It is crucial for clinicians to take necessary measures to

References:

- 1. Seyhun Y, Mytilineos J, Turkmen A et al: Influence of cytokine gene polymorphisms on graft rejection in Turkish patients with renal transplants from living related donors. Transplant Proc, 2012; 44: 1670–78
- Manchanda PK, Mittal RD: Analysis of cytokine gene polymorphisms in recipient's matched with living donors on acute rejection after renal transplantation. Mol Cell Biochem, 2008; 311: 57–65
- 3. Marshall SE, McLaren AJ, Haldar NA et al: The impact of recipient cytokine genotype on acute rejection after renal transplantation. Transplantation, 2000; 70: 1485–91
- 4. Asgari E, Zhou W, Sacks S: Complement in organ transplantation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant, 2010; 15: 486–91
- Krichen H, Sfar I, Bardi R et al: CD86 +1057G>A polymorphism and susceptibility to acute kidney allograft rejection. Iran J Kidney Dis, 2011; 5: 187–93
- Poli F, Cardillo M, Scalamogna M: Clinical relevance of human leukocyte antigen antibodies in kidney transplantation from deceased donors: The North Italy Transplant program approach. Hum Immunol, 2009; 70: 631–35
- 7. Brabcova I, Petrasek J, Hribova P et al: Genetic variability of major inflammatory mediators has no impact on the outcome of kidney transplantation. Transplantation, 2007; 84: 1037–44
- 8. Alakulppi NS, Kyllönen LE, Jäntti VT et al: Cytokine gene palymorphisms and risks of acute rejection and delayed graft function after kidney transplantation. Transplantation, 2004; 78: 1422–28
- Hahn AB, Kasten-Jolly JC, Constantino DM et al: TNF-alpha, IL-6, IFN-gamma, and IL-10 gene expression polymorphisms and the IL-4 receptor alpha-chain variant Q576R: Effects on renal allograft outcome. Transplantation, 2001; 72: 660–65
- Poli F, Boschiero L, Giannoni F et al: Tumour necrosis factor-alpha gene polymorphism: implications in kidney transplantation. Cytokine, 2000; 12: 1778–83

overcome sensitization using novel immunosuppressive protocols, including therapeutic plasma exchange, immunoadsorption, intravenous immunoglobulin, rituximab, bortezomib, and basiliximab [13,31–33].

Our other finding is that the receipt of a graft from a DCD donor constitutes a risk factor for AR after renal transplantation. However, the precise mechanism by which the type of DCD donor influences AR remains unclear. Patients who received a graft from a DCD donor should be followed carefully.

Conclusions

We revealed the association between the elevated pre-transplant PRA levels and the receipt of a graft from a DCD donor and AR following renal transplantation. Our conclusion should be interpreted with caution due to the relatively small sample sizes. Further investigations with large sample sizes and better study designs are needed to evaluate the actual role of clinical risk factors and cytokines or their receptor antagonist gene polymorphisms in acute cellular and humoral rejection after renal transplantation.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

- Grinyo J, Vanrenterghem Y, Nashan B et al: Association of four DNA polymorphisms with acute rejection after kidney transplantation. Transpl Int, 2008; 21: 879–91
- 12. Racusen LC, Solez K, Colvin RB et al: The Banff 97 working classification of renal allograft pathology. Kidney Int, 1999; 55: 713–23
- 13. Keven K, Sengul S, Celebi ZK et al: Kidney transplantation in immunologically high-risk patients. Transplant Proc, 2013; 45: 919–22
- Kimball P, Reid F: Tumor necrosis factor beta gene polymorphisms associated with urinary tract infections after renal transplantation. Transplantation, 2002; 73: 1110–12
- Sainz J, Pérez E, Gómez-Lopera S et al: IL-1 gene cluster polymorphisms and its haplotypes may predict the risk to develop invasive pulmonary aspergillosis and modulate c-reactive protein level. J Clin Immunol, 2008; 28: 473–85
- 16. Jian Z, Wei-ning L, Hua L et al: Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin-10 gene promoter-592A/C site with hepatitis B virus infection. J Mod Lab Med, 2007; 22: 81–84
- 17. Mandegary A, Azmandian J, Soleymani S et al: Effect of donor tumor necrosis factor- α and interleukin-10 genotypes on delayed graft function and acute rejection in kidney transplantation. Iran J Kidney Dis, 2013; 7: 135–41
- Karimi MH, Daneshmandi S, Pourfathollah AA et al: A study of the impact of cytokine gene polymorphism in acute rejection of renal transplant recipients. Mol Biol Rep. 2012; 39: 509–15
- 19. Hu Q, Tian H, Wu Q et al: Interleukin-10-1082 G/a polymorphism and acute renal graft rejection: A meta-analysis. Ren Fail, 2016; 38: 57–64
- Hu Q, Tian H, Wu Q et al: Association between interleukin-2–330 T/G polymorphism and acute renal graft rejection: A meta-analysis. Transplant Proc, 2015; 47: 1746–53

- Wu X, Wan Q, Ye Q et al: Mannose-binding lectin-2 and ficolin-2 gene polymorphisms and clinical risk factors for acute rejection in kidney transplantation. Transpl Immunol, 2014; 30: 71–75
- 22. Damman J, Kok JL, Snieder H et al: Lectin complement pathway gene profile of the donor and recipient does not influence graft outcome after kidney transplantation. Mol Immunol, 2012; 50: 1–8
- 23. Ermini L, Weale ME, Brown KM et al: Systematic assessment of the influence of complement gene polymorphisms on kidney transplant outcome. Immunobiology, 2016; 221: 528–34
- 24. Karimi MH, Daneshmandi S, Pourfathollah AA et al: A study of the impact of cytokine gene polymorphism in acute rejection of renal transplant recipients. Mol Biol Rep, 2012; 39: 509–15
- Pawlus J, Sierocka A, Tejchman K et al: The impact of interleukin 12B (1188A>C), interleukin 16 (-295T>C), and interleukin 18 (607C>A, 137G>C) gene polymorphisms on long-term renal transplant function and recipient outcomes. Transplant Proc, 2014; 46: 2079–82
- 26. Lv R, Hu X, Bai Y et al: Association between IL-6 –174G/C polymorphism and acute rejection of renal allograft: evidence from a meta-analysis. Transpl Immunol, 2012; 26: 11–18
- Hu X, Bai Y, Li S et al: Donor or recipient TNF-α-308G/A polymorphism and acute rejection of renal allograft: A meta-analysis. Transpl Immunol, 2011; 25: 61-71

- 28. Azarpira N, Aghdai MH, Raisjalali GA et al: Influence of recipient and donor IL-10, TNF α and INF γ genotypes on the incidence of acute renal allograft rejection. Mol Biol Rep, 2009; 36: 1621–26
- 29. Azmandian J, Mandegary A, Saber A et al: Chemokine receptor 2-V64I and chemokine receptor 5-Delta32 polymorphisms and clinical risk factors of delayed graft function and acute rejection in kidney transplantation. Iran J Kidney Dis, 2012; 6: 56–62
- Mahoney RJ, Szatkowski MA, White BA et al: Tumor necrosis factor beta gene polymorphism and early primary kidney allograft loss. Hum Immunol, 1996; 50: 148–50
- 31. Gubensek J, Buturovic-Ponikvar J, Kandus A et al: Plasma exchange and intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of antibody-mediated rejection after kidney transplantation: A single-center historic cohort study. Transplant Proc, 2013; 45: 1524–27
- 32. Bohmih G, Regele H, Exner M et al: C4d-positive acute humoral renal allograft rejection: Effective treatment by immunoadsorption. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2001; 12: 2482–89
- 33. Jin MK, Cho JH, Kwon O et al: Successful kidney transplantation after desensitization using plasmapheresis, low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin, and Rituximab in highly sensitized patients: A single-center experience. Transplant Proc, 2012; 44: 200–3