ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Fibrinogen-to-Neutrophil Ratio as a New Predictor of Central Lymph Node Metastasis in Patients with Papillary Thyroid Cancer and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Danni Zheng¹, Jiawen Yang², Jiali Qian², Lingli Jin¹, Guanli Huang^{3,4}

¹Department of Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China; ²Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Breast and Thyroid Surgery Department, The Quzhou Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Quzhou People's Hospital, Quzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Thyroid Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Lingli Jin, Department of Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Shangcai Village, Nanbaixiang Street, Ouhai District, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 577 5557 8527, Email jll0992021@163.com; Guanli Huang, Department of Breast and Thyroid Surgery Department, The Quzhou Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University Quzhou People's Hospital, Kecheng District, Minjiang Avenue No. 100, Quzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 570 8895 120, Email huangguanli66@163.com

Background: Many patients have a higher risk of thyroid cancer if they have both papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) and Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Meanwhile, the primary reason for local PTC recurrence is cervical lymph node metastasis. Therefore, the prognosis of patients affects how cervical lymph nodes are managed during surgery. Due to surgical complications such as laryngeal nerve palsy and hypocalcemia, it is still debatable whether to prevent central lymph node dissection (CLND). Predicting central lymph node metastasis (CLNM) is crucial to direct CLND. It is unclear how important the fibrinogen-to-neutrophil ratio (FNR) is in thyroid cancer, so we looked into how it might help patients with PTC and T2DM predict CLNM.

Patients and methods: Wenzhou Medical University's First Affiliated Hospital provided us with 413 patients with PTC and T2DM, randomly divided into a training set (N = 292) and a validation set (N = 121). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to identify independent risk factors. After constructing a nomogram, the validity of the model was evaluated.

Results: The maximum tumor diameter, high-density lipoprotein, thyroxine, triglyceride, lymphocyte, and FNR were all identified as independent risk factors by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The C index of the training set was 0.775, and the validation set was 0.654.

Conclusion: In patients with PTC and T2DM, preoperative FNR was an independent risk factor for CLNM. **Keywords:** FNR, PTC, nomogram, CLNM, predictor

Introduction

Thyroid cancer is a common endocrine malignancy.¹ According to cancer statistics, thyroid cancer is now the ninth most common cancer globally, with 586,000 new cases reported yearly. Women are three times more likely than men to experience the condition globally.² The high incidence of thyroid cancer may be primarily attributed to improved papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) detection and diagnosis.^{3,4} Worldwide, diabetes mellitus (DM) incidence and mortality are rising.⁵ Both thyroid cancer and diabetes affect a large number of patients. Therefore, we cannot disregard the substantial number of such patients. This justifies the target population of this study is patients with PTC and T2DM.

PTC is the most important type of thyroid cancer.^{1,6} Cervical lymph node metastasis occurs in 40–90% of the cases.⁷ Although PTC lymph node metastasis most commonly occurs in the central region, it is also possible to skip metastasis.⁸ LNM is an important indicator of the prognosis, scope, and surgical method of PTC, as well as an important risk factor for both a high recurrence rate and a low patient survival rate.⁹ However, prophylactic central lymph node dissection

^{© 2022} Theng et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 42 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

(CLND) is still debatable because it can lead to surgical complications such as laryngeal nerve palsy and hypocalcemia caused by resection of CLND.¹⁰ Therefore, it is crucial to accurately predict the likelihood of preoperative central lymph node metastasis (CLNM) so that some patients with PTC can avoid preventive CLND.

Cancer occurrence, development, and metastasis are closely linked to inflammation.^{11,12} Numerous inflammatory indicators, such as neutrophil,¹³ lymphocyte,¹⁴ C-reactive protein,¹⁵ fibrinogen,¹⁶ the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio,¹⁷ the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio,¹⁸ etc., are linked to cancer. Fibrinogen, a glycoprotein, is an essential clotting factor in the inflammatory response.¹⁹ Increased fibrinogen has recently been associated with the progression and poor prognosis of some malignancies, such as gastric cancer,²⁰ gallbladder cancer,²¹ and bladder cancer.²²

The fibrinogen to the neutrophil ratio (FNR), a new predictive marker, was identified in our study, and its predictive value for CLNM in patients with PTC and T2DM was evaluated.

Methods

Patients

We enrolled 413 patients with PTC and T2DM who underwent thyroid lobectomy and lymph node dissection at the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University from 2018 to 2021. The following were our exclusion criteria:

- 1. Insufficient clinical data.
- 2. Non-thyroid papillary carcinoma was suggested by intraoperative rapid freezing and postoperative paraffin specimens or pathologically confirmed by PTC without CLND.
- 3. History of hyperthyroidism, thyroid radiation, and thyroid surgery.
- 4. History of other malignant tumors.
- 5. Patients with hemoglobin A1c >7% or who had poor preoperative blood glucose control were not eligible for surgery.
- 6. Preoperative imaging tests revealed evidence of enlargement of central or lateral lymph nodes or distant tumor metastasis.

Information Collection

All enrolled patients received complete information. Basic information including name, hospitalization number, age, sex, history of diabetes, medication use, etc. Prior to surgery, all patients underwent a thorough evaluation that included a preoperative thyroid ultrasound, computed tomography (CT) scan, blood routine, blood biochemistry, blood glucose monitoring, and thyroid function examination. Additionally, surgical records, intraoperative rapid freezing, and post-operative paraffin pathology were collected.

Data Analysis

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, which converts continuous variables into dichotomous variables for straightforward analysis, helps us determine the optimal cut-off values. By entering a random seed number, the 413 cases were randomly divided into a training set (N = 292) and a validation set (N = 121). The two cohorts were then compared using the chi-square test to determine whether they were comparable. To further assess the independent risk factors for CLNM in the training set, factors with P < 0.1 were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis based on the binary logistic regression analysis results. Then, to create nomogram plots, factors with P < 0.05 in the multiple regression analysis were selected. The prediction performance of the training and validation sets was measured using the area under the curve (AUC) under the ROC curve. We used a calibration plot to show the consistency between predictions and results. The calibration plot's p-value was also evaluated using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test (a score of p > 0.05 indicated a good model fit). The clinical result of the model was assessed using decision curve analysis (DCA). To determine whether the new model's predictive ability had increased, we compared it to the original model and used the integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) and net reclassification index (NRI) measures; if IDI >0, the new model's predictive power was considered to have increased compared to the original model. If IDI <0, it had decreased predictive

power; if IDI = 0, there had been no improvement. NRI and IDI had similar significance. R 4.0.2 and statistical package for social sciences 25.0 were used.

Result

Clinical Characteristics of Patients

The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University from 2018 to 2021 included 413 patients with PTC and T2DM. In the R package, 413 cases were randomly divided into a training set (N = 292) and a validation set (N = 121) in a 7:3 ratio. The data were processed if the ROC curve identified the ideal cut-off value for a continuous variable. Table 1 shows the chi-square analysis of the two data groups; the results suggested that the two data groups were consistent and comparable.

Variables	Training Dataset N=292	Validation Dataset N=121	P-value
Age			
>55Y	165	66	0.715
≤55Y	127	55	
Gender			
Female	200	72	0.08
Male	92	49	
Medicine for diabetes			
Metformin (-)	149	64	0.73
Metformin (+)	143	57	
BMI (kg/m ²)			
>29.7	28	9	0.486
≤29.7	264	112	
Maximum diameter of mass			
>9.5 mm	106	34	0.109
≤ 9.5 mm	186	87	
Multifocality			
Solitary	206	83	0.694
Multiple	86	38	
CLNM			
CLNM (-)	161	70	0.613
CLNM (+)	131	51	
Laterality			
Unilateral	245	103	0.757
Bilateral	47	18	
Hashimoto's thyroiditis			
Absent	259	106	0.752
Present	33	15	
TC (mmol/L)			
>5.585	75	26	0.366
≤5.585	217	95	
TG (mmol/L)			
>2.325	101	41	0.891
≤2.325	191	80	
HDL (mmol/L)			
>1.245	76	30	0.794
≤1.245	216	91	

(Continued)

Variables	Training Dataset Validation Dataset		P-value
	N=292	N=121	
LDL (mmol/L)			
>2.755	117	42	0.308
≤2.755	175	79	
Glucose (mmol/L)			
>6.1	231	98	0.665
≤6.1	61	23	
Albumin (g/L)			
>38.95	260	110	0.572
≤38.95	32	11	
Globulin (g/L)			
>32.15	92	37	0.853
≤32.15	200	84	
AGR			
>1.45	137	54	0.671
≤1.45	155	67	
Neutrophil (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>4.395	96	42	0.719
≤4.395	196	79	
Mononuclear (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>0.355	187	79	0.809
≤0.355	105	42	
Lymphocyte (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>1.695	206	85	0.951
≤1.695	86	36	
Lymphocyte (%)			
>28.1	194	83	0.671
≤28.1	98	38	
Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L)			
>255	105	41	0.688
≤255	187	80	
TSH (mIU/L)			
>0.86	231	96	0.958
≤0.86	61	25	
T4 (pmol/L)			
>11.94	82	32	0.735
≤11.94	210	89	
T3 (pmol/L)			
>4.775	176	72	0.884
≤4.775	116	49	
FNR			
>0.774	181	78	0.636
≤0.774	111	43	

Table I (Continued).

Abbreviations: CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; AGR, albumin globulin ratio; FNR, fibrinogen-to-neutrophil ratio.

Correlation of FNR with Clinicopathological Features in the Two Cohorts

Using the ideal FNR cut-off value, data were divided into high FNR group (N = 259) and low FNR group (N = 154). Age (P = 0.007), maximum tumor diameter (P = 0.048), neutrophils (P < 0.001), monocytes (P < 0.001), percentage of lymphocytes (P < 0.001), T3 (P = 0.005), and fibrinogen (P < 0.001) were all significantly correlated with FNR (Table 2).

N=154 N=259 Age	Variables	FNR≤0.774	FNR>0.774	P-value
Age Image Image Image Image >S5Y 73 158 0.007 S5Y 81 101 1 S5Y 81 101 1 S5Y 81 101 1 S5Y 81 101 1 Separation 95 177 0.168 Male 59 177 0.168 Meformin (-) 70 143 0.055 Metformin (+) 84 116 1 29.7 136 240 134 29.7 136 240 1 Mukimum diameter of mass 95 9 90 0.055 9.5 mm 111 162 1 1 Mukifocality 10 1 1 1 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.233 1 CLNM (+) 73 109 1 1 Unitateral 131 217 0.73 <tr< th=""><th></th><th>N=154</th><th>N=259</th><th></th></tr<>		N=154	N=259	
>SSY731580.007SSY81101GenderFemale951770.168Male5982Metformi (-)701430.055Metformi (-)84116BMI (kg/m²)29.718190.13429.718190.04829.718190.04829.718190.04829.71810Maximum diameter of mass29.5111162Solitary991900.052MultifocalitySolitary991900.052Multifol311500.293CLNM (-)811500.293CLNM (+)73109LateralityUnilateral1312170.73Bilateral1312170.73Bilateral1312170.73SisS104198TC (mool/L)>2.25560820.1312.23514193Clumol/L)2.12591163Glucose (mmol/L)2.15142030.4982.755911632.15514202.1514	Age			
SSY81101Gender90Female95177Redicine for diabetes9Metdermin (-)70143Metformin (-)70143Metformin (-)70143Metformin (-)84116Servin (-)84116Servin (-)84116Servin (-)8419>29.7181929.71819Servin (-)8497Servin (-)8497Servin (-)8497Servin (-)9990Solitary99190Solitary99190Multiple5569CLNM (-)81150Laterality101Laterality101Hashimoro's thyroiditis101Absent140225Servin (-)101Sitary94Sitary94Sitary1312170.73Bilateral231Sitary141Present141Sitary103Sitary104Sitary103Sitary103Sitary103Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary114Sitary11	>55Y	73	158	0.007
Gender Image Second Se	≤55Y	81	101	
Fenale 95 177 0.168 Male 59 82 Medicine for diabetes	Gender			
Male 59 82 Medicine for diabetes	Female	95	177	0.168
Medicine for diabetes Image: Constraint of the sector of the	Male	59	82	
Metformin (+) 70 143 0.055 Metformin (+) 84 116 BMI (kg/m ²) 1 9 >29.7 136 240 Maximum diameter of mass 29.7 0.048 >9.5 mm 111 162 Mutifocality 99 190 0.052 Mutifocality 70 73 199 Laterality 71 73 109 Laterality 71 73 199 Unilateral 131 217 73 Bilateral 140 225 0.216 Present 144 34 74 2.325 94 1	Medicine for diabetes			
Metformin (+) B4 116 BMI (kg/m ²)	Metformin (-)	70	143	0.055
BMI (kg/m ²) 8 19 0.134 >29.7 136 240 Maximum diameter of mass 9 9.5 mm 43 97 0.048 ≤ 9.5 mm 111 162 162 Multifocality 99 190 0.052 Multifocality 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69 20 CLNM 81 150 0.293 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (+) 73 109 101 Laterality 7 0.43 20 Masimoto's thyroiditis 7 7.73 81 Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 144 34 34 TC (mmol/L) 7 7 7.31 ≥ 5.85 114 198 14 ≤ 5.85 114 198 14 ≤ 2.325 60 82 0.131 ≤ 2.325 61 82 60 ≤ 1.245 114	Metformin (+)	84	116	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	BMI (kg/m ²)			
≤ 29.7 136 240 Maximum diameter of mass $>95 \text{ mm}$ 43 97 0.048 $\geq 95 \text{ mm}$ 111 162 Multifocality Soltary 99 190 0.052 Multifocality Soltary 99 190 0.052 Multifocality CLNM CLNM (·) Unilateral 131 Multifocality Unilateral 131 Soltary Multificality Unilateral 131 Soltary Absent 140 225 Soltary Soltary <td>>29.7</td> <td>18</td> <td>19</td> <td>0.134</td>	>29.7	18	19	0.134
Maximum diameter of mass 43 97 0.048 >9.5 mm 111 162 Solitary 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69 - CLNM 55 69 - CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (+) 73 109 - Laterality - - - Unilateral 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 23 42 - Hashimoto's thyroiditis - - - Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 14 34 - TG (mmol/L) - - - >2.325 60 82 0.131 \leq 2.325 94 177 - >1.245 114 193 - >2.2755 63 96 0.438 2.755	≤29.7	136	240	
>9.5 mm 43 97 0.048 ≤9.5 mm 111 162 Multifocality 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69 6 CLNM 100 100 100 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (+) 73 109 100 Laterality 131 2.17 0.73 Bilateral 2.3 42 100 Hashimoto's thyroiditis 14 215 0.216 Present 140 225 0.216 TC (mmol/L) 14 198 114 >2.325 60 82 0.131 ≤2.325 94 177 113 2.325 94 177 114 PlD (mmol/L) 14 193 114 2.1245 114 193 114 2.1255 91 163 114 Glucose (mmol/L) 16 213 0.091 ≤6.1	Maximum diameter of mass			
\$9.5 mm 111 162 Multifocality 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69 69 CLNM 73 109 60 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (+) 73 109 60 Laterality 73 109 73 Bilateral 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 133 217 0.73 Bilateral 140 225 0.216 Present 140 32 32 C (mmol/L) 7 7 7 >2.325 60 82 0.131 \$1.245 114 193 7 LDL (mmol/L) 7 7 7 >1.245 63 96 0.438 \$2.755 91 1	> 9. 5 mm	43	97	0.048
Multifocality 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69	≤ 9.5 mm	111	162	
Solitary 99 190 0.052 Multiple 55 69	Multifocality			
Multiple 55 69 CLNM 81 150 0.293 CLNM (-) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (+) 73 109 109 Laterality 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 23 42 111 Hashimoto's thyroiditis 140 225 0.216 Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 14 34 114 177 S5.585 114 198 111 198	Solitary	99	190	0.052
CLNM Image: Clnower of the second	Multiple	55	69	
CLNM (·) 81 150 0.293 CLNM (·) 73 109	CLNM			
CLNM (+) 73 109 Laterality 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 23 42 42 Hashimoto's thyroiditis 4 34 5 Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 14 34 7 TC (mmol/L)	CLNM (-)	81	150	0.293
Laterality 131 217 0.73 Unilateral 131 217 0.73 Bilateral 23 42 44 Hashimoto's thyroiditis 40 225 0.216 Present 14 34 44 44 TC (mmol/L) $>$ 5.585 40 61 0.58 \leq 5.585 114 198 76 77 TG (mmol/L) $>$ 2.325 60 82 0.131 \leq 2.325 94 177 77 70 HDL (mmol/L) $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ >1.245 40 66 0.912 $>$ $>$ $<$ 1.245 114 193 $>$ $>$ LDL (mmol/L) $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $<$ 2.755 63 96 0.438 \leq 2.755 91 163 $<$ $>$ Glucose (mmol/L) $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ >38.95 140 230 0.498 $>$	CLNM (+)	73	109	
LinkerIIIIIUnilateralI312170.73Bilateral2342Hashimoto's thyroiditisI2250.216AbsentI402250.216PresentI434ITC (mmol/L)II>5.58540610.58 ≤ 5.585 114198ITG (mmol/L)II>2.32560820.131 ≤ 2.325 94177IHDL (mmol/L)III>1.24540660.912 ≤ 1.245 114193ILDL (mmol/L)III>2.75563960.438 ≤ 2.755 91163IGlucose (mmol/L)III>38.951402300.498 ≤ 38.95 1402300.498 ≤ 38.95 1402300.498 ≤ 38.95 1402300.498 ≤ 38.95 142870.18 ≤ 32.15 112172I	Laterality			
Bilateral 23 42 Hashimoto's thyroiditis 140 225 0.216 Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 14 34 14 TC (mmol/L) - - - >5.585 40 61 0.58 5585 114 198 - TG (mmol/L) - - - >2.325 60 82 0.131 ≤2.325 94 177 - HDL (mmol/L) - - - >1.245 40 66 0.912 ≤1.245 114 193 - LDL (mmol/L) - - - >2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤2.755 91 163 - Glucose (mmol/L) - - - >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 - Albumin (g/L) - - - >38.95 140 230 0.498	Unilateral	131	217	0.73
Label and the set of the se	Bilateral	23	42	00
Absent 140 225 0.216 Present 14 34 34 TC (mmol/L) 14 34 34 >5.585 40 61 0.58 ≤5.585 114 198 36 TG (mmol/L) 2.325 60 82 0.131 >2.325 60 82 0.131 32.325 94 177 114 198 31 2.325 94 177 36 37 31 2.325 94 177 36 37 31 2.325 94 177 37 37 37 37 HDL (mmol/L) 31 39 39 39 39 39 31 31 2.755 63 96 0.438 32 32.15 34 36 36 Globulin (g/L) 32.15 42 87 0.18 32.15	Hashimoto's thyroiditis			
Instruct Instruct Instruct Instruct Instruct Present 14 34	Absent	140	225	0.216
TC (mmol/L)TT>5.58540610.58 \leq 5.585114198TG (mmol/L)2.32560820.131 \leq 2.325941771HDL (mmol/L)1141931>1.24540660.912 \leq 1.2451141931LDL (mmol/L)1141931 \geq 2.755911631Glucose (mmol/L)0.916.10.091 \leq 6.11162130.091 \leq 6.11162300.498 \leq 38.951402300.498 \leq 38.95144291 \leq 10bulin (g/L)14291 \leq 2.1542870.18	Present	14	34	0.2.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TC (mmol/L)		•	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>5.585	40	61	0.58
TG (mmol/L) 111 112 >2.325 60 82 0.131 ≤ 2.325 94 177 HDL (mmol/L) 114 193 >1.245 40 66 0.912 ≤ 1.245 114 193 LDL (mmol/L) 2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤ 2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤ 2.755 91 163 0.091 Glucose (mmol/L) - - - >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤ 6.1 38 46 - Albumin (g/L) - - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤ 38.95 14 29 - Globulin (g/L) - - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	<5 585	114	198	0.00
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TG (mmol/L)			
2.325 94 177 HDL (mmol/L) 40 66 0.912 >1.245 40 66 0.912 ≤1.245 114 193 1 LDL (mmol/L) 52.755 63 96 0.438 >2.755 91 163 163 Glucose (mmol/L) 56.1 116 213 0.091 >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 40 Albumin (g/L) 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 144 29 70 Globulin (g/L) 142 87 0.18 ≤32.15 412 172 172	>2 325	60	82	0 131
HDL (mmol/L) 111 113 >1.245 40 66 0.912 ≤1.245 114 193 LDL (mmol/L) - - >2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤2.755 91 163 Glucose (mmol/L) - - >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 144 29 - Globulin (g/L) - - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	<2 325	94	177	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } >1.245 & 40 & 66 & 0.912 \\ \leq 1.245 & 114 & 193 & \\ LDL (mmol/L) & & \\ >2.755 & 63 & 96 & 0.438 \\ \leq 2.755 & 91 & 163 & \\ Glucose (mmol/L) & & \\ >6.1 & 116 & 213 & 0.091 \\ \leq 6.1 & 38 & 46 & \\ Albumin (g/L) & & \\ >38.95 & 140 & 230 & 0.498 \\ \leq 38.95 & 14 & 29 & \\ Globulin (g/L) & & \\ >32.15 & 42 & 87 & 0.18 \\ \leq 32.15 & 112 & 172 & \\ \end{array} $	HDL (mmol/L)		,	
≤1.245 114 193 LDL (mmol/L) - - >2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤2.755 91 163 Glucose (mmol/L) - - >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 144 29 - Globulin (g/L) - - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	>1 245	40	66	0.912
LDL (mmol/L) 111 175 >2.755 63 96 0.438 ≤2.755 91 163 Glucose (mmol/L) - - >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 144 29 - Globulin (g/L) - - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	<1 245	114	193	0.712
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D (mmol/l)			
≤2.755 91 163 Glucose (mmol/L) >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 14 29 Globulin (g/L) >32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	>2 755	63	96	0 438
Glucose (mmol/L) >6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 14 29 Globulin (g/L) - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	<2.755	91	163	0.150
>6.1 116 213 0.091 ≤6.1 38 46 Albumin (g/L) - - >38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 14 29 Globulin (g/L) - - >32.15 42 87 0.18	Glucose (mmol/L)		105	
 ≤6.1 Albumin (g/L) >38.95 I40 230 0.498 ≤38.95 I44 29 Globulin (g/L) >32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172 	>6	116	213	0.091
Albumin (g/L) 38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 14 29 Globulin (g/L) 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	<61	38	46	0.071
>38.95 140 230 0.498 ≤38.95 14 29 Globulin (g/L) - - >32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	Albumin (g/L)	50	10	
≤38.95 I4 29 Globulin (g/L) >32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	>38.95	140	230	0 498
Globulin (g/L) >32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	≤38.95	14	230	0.175
>32.15 42 87 0.18 ≤32.15 112 172	Globulin (ø/l.)	17	27	
≤32.15	>32.15	47	Q7	018
	≤32.15	12	172	0.10

Table 2 Correlations Between FNR and Clinical Characteristics in All Cohorts

(Continued)

Variables	FNR≤0.774	FNR>0.774	P-value
	N=154	N=259	
AGR			
>1.45	73	118	0.716
≤1.45	81	141	
Neutrophil (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>4.395	105	33	<0.001
≤4.395	49	226	
Mononuclear (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>0.355	117	149	<0.001
≤0.355	37	110	
Lymphocyte (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>1.695	113	178	0.316
≤1.695	41	81	
Lymphocyte (%)			
>28.1	71	206	<0.001
≤28.I	83	53	
Platelet (x10 ⁹ /L)			
>255	61	85	0.163
≤255	93	174	
TSH (mIU/L)			
>0.86	115	212	0.082
≤0.86	39	47	
T4 (pmol/L)			
>11.94	42	72	0.908
≤11.94	112	187	
T3 (pmol/L)			
>4.775	106	142	0.005
≤4.775	48	117	
Fibrinogen (g/L)			
>3.145	65	183	<0.001
≤3.145	89	76	

Table 2 (Continued).

Abbreviations: CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; AGR, albumin globulin ratio.

Univariate and Multivariate Logistic Regression Analyses of Preoperative CLNM

Metformin (P = 0.087), maximum tumor diameter (P < 0.001), triglyceride (TG) (P = 0.001), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) (P = 0.009), lymphocyte (P = 0.032), thyroxine (T4) (P = 0.099), and FNR (P = 0.028) were all associated with CLNM in univariate regression analysis. Multivariate regression analysis was used to identify further the independent risk factors associated with CLNM. The larger the tumor, the lower the TG, HDL, lymphocytes, T4, and FNR, and the more likely CLNM occurred (Table 3).

Build the Predicted Nomogram

A nomogram was created to predict the risk of CLNM using the independent factors screened by multivariate analysis that was included in the analysis (Figure 1). We plotted the scores of each independent predictor and continuously added them to obtain the total score to confirm the likelihood of preoperative CLNM.

Evaluate the Effectiveness of the Nomogram

ROC curves were created using R packages to evaluate the ability of our nomogram to predict CLNM. The AUC of the training set (Figure 2A) and validation set (Figure 2B) were 0.775 and 0.654, respectively, as shown in the figure. It was

Variables	Univariate Analysis of CLNM		Multivariate Analysis of CLNM			
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Age	0.871	0.479–1.585	0.651			
>55Y						
≤55Y						
Gender	0.943	0.483–1.841	0.862			
Female						
Male						
Medicine for diabetes	0.606	0.341–1.076	0.087	0.594	0.347-1.015	0.057
Metformin (-)						
Metformin (+)						
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.705	0.617-4.715	0.304			
>29.7						
≤29.7						
Maximum diameter of mass	6.919	3.637-13.160	<0.001	6.055	3.391-10.811	<0.001
>9.5 mm						
≤9.5 mm						
Multifocality	0.731	0.378–1.411	0.35			
Solitary						
Multiple						
Laterality	1.041	0.461–2.349	0.923			
Unilateral						
Bilateral						
Hashimoto's thyroiditis	1.25	0.514-3.043	0.622			
Absent						
Present						
TC (mmol/L)	1.216	0.519–2.852	0.653			
>5.585						
≤5.585	0.00	0.124.0.570	0.001	0.240	0.100.07.47	
IG (mmol/L)	0.28	0.136-0.579	0.001	0.349	0.189-0.647	0.001
>2.325						
≤2.325	0 277	0 102 0 702	0.000	0.217	0144 0412	0.001
HDL (mmoi/L)	0.377	0.182-0.783	0.009	0.316	0.164-0.612	0.001
>1.245						
≤1.245	0.72	0.254 1.462	0.262			
	0.72	0.334-1.463	0.363			
<2.755						
	1 359	0 646 2 852	0.42			
	1.550	0.040-2.032	0.72			
<61						
Δ	0.653	0 252-1 696	0 382			
>38.95	0.055	0.232-1.070	0.502			
<38.95						
Globulin (g/l.)	0.56	0.262-1 199	0 136			
>32 15	0.50	5.202-1.177	0.130			
≤32.15						
AGR	0.661	0.318-1.374	0 267			
>1.45	0.001	0.010 1.071	0.207			
≤1.45						
						1

Table 3 Univariate and	Multivariate Anal	lysis of Logistic	Regression in the	Training Data Set

(Continued)

Variables	Univariate Analysis of CLNM		Multivariate Analysis of CLNM			
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value
Mononuclear (x10 ⁹ /L)	1.577	0.802-3.103	0.187			
>0.355						
≤0.355						
Lymphocyte (x10 ⁹ /L)	0.45	0.216-0.935	0.032	0.539	0.297–0.978	0.042
>1.695						
≤1.695						
Lymphocyte (%)	1.396	0.671-2.906	0.372			
>28.1						
≤28.1						
Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L)	0.765	0.403-1.454	0.414			
>255						
≤255						
TSH (mIU/L)	1.344	0.648–2.789	0.427			
>0.86						
≤0.86						
T4 (pmol/L)	0.573	0.296-1.110	0.099	0.539	0.292–0.996	0.049
>11.94						
≤11.94						
T3 (pmol/L)	0.715	0.389-1.314	0.279			
>4.775						
≤4.775						
FNR	0.47	0.239–0.923	0.028	0.494	0.282–0.868	0.014
>3.145						
≤3.145						

Table 3	3 (Contir	nued).
---------	-----------	--------

Abbreviations: CLNM, central lymph node metastasis; FNR, fibrinogen-to-neutrophil ratio.

demonstrated that the method accurately predicts CLNM. Additionally, according to the Hosmer-Lemeshow test, the calibration diagrams of our recently developed model (Figure 3A and B) were well-fit between the actual and estimated probabilities of CLNM (internal training set, p = 0.677826 > 0.05 and external validation set p = 0.6933441 > 0.05). We



Figure I Nomogram for predicting CLNM in patients with T2DM PTC. Abbreviation: FNR, fibrinogen-to-neutrophil ratio.



Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. (A) Training dataset. (B) External validation dataset.



Figure 3 Calibration curve of the nomogram. (A) Training dataset. (B) External validation dataset.

used DCA to assess whether the benefits of using our predictive model in the clinic outweigh the risks (Figure 4A and B). The ordinate is threshold probability, and the ordinate is a net return. All patients did not receive net benefits from CLND, as indicated by the gray line. The gray dotted line represents the patient's net clinical benefit. The threshold probability range for this rosette was approximately 10–90% in the training set and 30–70% in the validation set, with a higher net clinical benefit when compared with all or none of the patients receiving CLND treatment.

Comparison with the Original Model

We compared our new model with the original one to determine whether it had better test performance. The model constructed by Thompson et al included age, gender, maximum tumor diameter, and multifocality.²³ Inputting our data yielded an AUC of 0.696, which was lower than the new one's AUC of 0.775 (Figure 5A). It demonstrated the new model's improved accuracy. The problem of comparing the diagnostic efficacy of the two models can be resolved by applying NRI and IDI, which quantifies the degree of improvement in the diagnostic efficacy of one index over another. Figure 5B shows NRI = 0.1283 and IDI = 0.0929. Both NRI and IDI showed that the new model's testing efficiency is higher than the original model.



Figure 4 Decision curve analysis (DCA) of nomogram. (A) Training dataset. (B) External validation dataset.



Figure 5 Comparison with original model. (A) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. (B) Net reclassification index (NRI).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to focus on the relationship between preoperative FNR and CLNM in patients with PTC and T2DM, as well as the relationship between preoperative FNR and cancer FNR and cancer. FNR-related research has not yet been published. Our research remains significant and valuable.

Currently, surgeons typically perform preventive lymph node dissection in patients with PTC's central region of the neck. Still, this practice can raise the danger of postoperative hypocalcemia, which is controversial in CLND research.¹⁰ Ultrasound is still the primary imaging technique for detecting CLNM in PTC among the commonly used clinical diagnosis and treatment approaches. When assessing CLNM of PTC, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and other imaging techniques are not more effective than ultrasound.^{24,25} However, there are high false negatives and poor diagnostic accuracy with ultrasound diagnosis of CLNM in PTC. An important factor in surgical selection is the ability to accurately identify patients who do not require preventive dissection of lymph nodes in the central region of the neck prior to surgery. This issue has become an urgent clinical problem that needs to be resolved.

There is growing evidence that inflammation is a crucial factor inextricably linked to cancer, with neutrophils, monocytes, and other inflammatory cells promoting tumor cell proliferation, survival, and migration.²⁶ Numerous studies have linked elevated fibrinogen to some malignant tumors' progression and poor prognosis.^{20–22} However, studies on the role of FNR in cancer are lacking. According to two large studies, thyroid cancer may be influenced by a history of diabetes.^{27,28} Thyroid cancer is more common in people with diabetes than those without,²⁹ with a 20% increase in incidence compared to those without DM.³⁰ What's more, according to a study by Xu et al, who used data from a cohort of 36,379 patients with Type 2 DM (T2DM), the crude incidence of thyroid cancer and T2DM in men was 5.01 per 105 person-years. The crude incidence of cancer subtypes for women was 34.97 per 105 person-years, placing women in the top 10.³¹ Therefore, we boldly hypothesized that in patients with PTC and T2DM, preoperative FNR was significantly associated with CLNM.

Statistics were used to screen out the independent risk factors for CLNM in 413 patients with PTC and T2DM. These risk factors included maximum tumor diameter >9.5mm, low TG, low lymphocyte, low HDL, low T4, and low FNR. A low FNR value indicated low fibrinogen or high neutrophils. Fibrinogen and neutrophils were known to be associated with poor prognosis of tumors. In our previous data analysis, it was found that there was no significant correlation between CLNM of PTC and T2DM and fibrinogen alone, and the AUC value of the ROC curve for the analysis results of neutrophil number alone was lower than that of FNR. These results indicated that in patients with PTC and T2DM, FNR was a novel and more significant indicator for predicting preoperative CLNM. Tumor size is an independent risk factor for CLNM, supporting earlier studies. Liu et al concluded that the tumor measured 1.0 cm in diameter.³² The ideal tumor truncation measured was 1.1 cm by Wu et al.³³ In the study by Zhou et al, the ideal truncation value of the tumor was 0.7 cm.³⁴ In our study, the ideal truncation value was 0.95 cm. TG had various effects on various cancers.^{35–39} Studies conducted in Austria showed a positive correlation between TG and thyroid cancer.³⁷ In our study, patients with PTC and T2DM may have increased CLNM metastasis due to low TG levels. Further studies are needed to verify the mechanism of TG in tumor development, most likely because the Austrian study was prospective, and ours was a case-control study with some selection bias. The lymphocyte's primary component of anti-tumor immunity can activate the release of cytokines such as interferon and tumor necrosis factor- α to play a protective role.^{26,40} Low lymphocyte count, which has been regarded as a poor prognostic biomarker,^{14,41} was associated with CLNM in this study. Low lymphocyte count may also be associated with activating reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis pathways.⁴² Recent studies have found a negative correlation between HDL and cancer. HDL may exert anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties by regulating cytokine production.⁴³ Further research must be done to determine the specific mechanism of action. In our study, low HDL levels in patients with PTC and T2DM may be associated with CLNM. Li et al found that low T4 levels were only related to capsule infiltration, and routine preoperative serum T4 determination helped identify which patients should undergo surgery.⁴⁴ Lower T4 levels were found to be correlated with an increased risk of thyroid cancer.⁴⁵

We constructed relevant programs further to explore the clinical value of this new indicator after it was established that low FNR was an independent risk factor for CLNM in patients with PTC and T2DM. Our nomogram exhibits good discrimination and calibration. Additionally, the ROC curve shows that the rotors are more accurate predictors than the original model.²³ Both NRI and IDI suggest that our model's predictive ability has increased compared to the original model. Because routine tests like blood indicators, blood glucose, and B-ultrasound are performed before each patient is admitted to the hospital for surgery, our model is a simple to use the clinical tool. The model is universal and can facilitate patient consultation and personalized treatment.

This study has some limitations. First, this analysis uses a small sample size. To confirm this, multicenter studies are needed. Second, since this is a retrospective study, data biases such as selection bias are unavoidable. We anticipate developing more accurate indicators and tools to predict CLNM in the future.

In conclusion, FNR is a new predictor and an independent risk factor for CLNM in patients with PTC and T2DM.

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

The approval of the clinical ethics committee was obtained from the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. This study design was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All individual participants were aware and signed the informed consent for participation in this study.

Funding

Science and Technology Plan Project of Wenzhou (Y20210258); Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY20H160011).

Disclosure

All authors declare no potential conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Wiltshire J, Drake T, Uttley L, Balasubramanian SJ. Systematic review of trends in the incidence rates of thyroid cancer. *Thyroid*. 2016;26 (11):1541–1552. doi:10.1089/thy.2016.0100
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel R, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660
- Li N, Du X, Reitzel L, Xu L, Sturgis EM. Impact of enhanced detection on the increase in thyroid cancer incidence in the United States: review of incidence trends by socioeconomic status within the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results registry, 1980–2008. *Thyroid*. 2013;23(1):103–110. doi:10.1089/thy.2012.0392
- 4. Hajeer M, Awad H, Abdullah N, Almuhaisen G, Abudalu LE. The rising trend in papillary thyroid carcinoma. True increase or over diagnosis? *Saudi Med J.* 2018;39(2):147–153. doi:10.15537/smj.2018.2.21211
- 5. Cho N, Shaw J, Karuranga S, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2018;138:271–281. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2018.02.023
- 6. Al-Brahim N, Asa S. Papillary thyroid carcinoma: an overview. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2006;130(7):1057-1062. doi:10.5858/2006-130-1057-PTCAO
- 7. Lundgren C, Hall P, Dickman P, Zedenius J. Clinically significant prognostic factors for differentiated thyroid carcinoma: a population-based, nested case-control study. *Cancer.* 2006;106(3):524–531. doi:10.1002/cncr.21653
- Lim Y, Koo BS. Predictive factors of skip metastases to lateral neck compartment leaping central neck compartment in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2012;48(3):262–265. doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2011.10.006
- Zhao Q, Ming J, Liu C, Shi L, Xu X, Nie X. Multifocality and total tumor diameter predict central neck lymph node metastases in papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2013;20(3):746–752. doi:10.1245/s10434-012-2654-2
- 10. Calò P, Medas F, Conzo G, et al. Intraoperative neuromonitoring in thyroid surgery: is the two-staged thyroidectomy justified? Int J Surg. 2017;41 (Suppl 1):S13–S20. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.02.001
- 11. Greten F, Grivennikov S. Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. *Immunity*. 2019;51(1):27-41. doi:10.1016/j. immuni.2019.06.025
- 12. McMillan DC. The systemic inflammation-based Glasgow prognostic score: a decade of experience in patients with cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2013;39(5):534–540. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.08.003
- 13. Brostjan C, Oehler R. The role of neutrophil death in chronic inflammation and cancer. Cell Death Discov. 2020;6:26. doi:10.1038/s41420-020-0255-6
- 14. Feng F, Zheng G, Wang Q, et al. Low lymphocyte count and high monocyte count predicts poor prognosis of gastric cancer. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2018;18(1):148. doi:10.1186/s12876-018-0877-9
- Hart P, Rajab I, Alebraheem M, Potempa LA. C-reactive protein and cancer-diagnostic and therapeutic insights. Front Immunol. 2020;11:595835. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.595835
- 16. Li X, Shu K, Zhou J, et al. Preoperative plasma fibrinogen and D-dimer as prognostic biomarkers for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2020;18(1):11–19.e11. doi:10.1016/j.clgc.2019.10.025
- 17. Hasegawa T, Iga T, Takeda D, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio associated with poor prognosis in oral cancer: a retrospective study. *BMC Cancer*. 2020;20(1):568. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-07063-1
- Zhang X, Zhao W, Yu Y, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) in gastric cancer: an updated meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol. 2020;18(1):191. doi:10.1186/s12957-020-01952-2
- 19. Luyendyk J, Schoenecker J, Flick M. The multifaceted role of fibrinogen in tissue injury and inflammation. *Blood.* 2019;133(6):511-520. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-07-818211
- Cheng F, Zeng C, Zeng L, Chen YJM. Clinicopathological and prognostic value of preoperative plasma fibrinogen in gastric cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2019;98(40):e17310. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000017310
- 21. Xu W, Zhang H, Yang X, et al. Prognostic significance of combined preoperative fibrinogen and CA199 in gallbladder cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2018;24(13):1451–1463. doi:10.3748/wjg.v24.i13.1451
- 22. Yang S, Guan H, Wang S, Wu H, Sun W, Chen Z. Plasma fibrinogen predicts the prognosis of bladder cancer patients after radical cystectomy. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2020;12:9303–9314. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S269244
- 23. Thompson A, Turner R, Hayen A, et al. A preoperative nomogram for the prediction of ipsilateral central compartment lymph node metastases in papillary thyroid cancer. *Thyroid.* 2014;24(4):675–682. doi:10.1089/thy.2013.0224
- 24. Suh C, Baek J, Choi Y, Lee JH. Performance of CT in the preoperative diagnosis of cervical lymph node metastasis in patients with papillary thyroid cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Neuroradiol.* 2017;38(1):154–161. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4967
- 25. Chen Q, Raghavan P, Mukherjee S, et al. Accuracy of MRI for the diagnosis of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy in patients with thyroid cancer. *Radiol Med.* 2015;120(10):959–966. doi:10.1007/s11547-014-0474-0
- 26. Coussens L, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature. 2002;420(6917):860-867. doi:10.1038/nature01322
- 27. Aschebrook-Kilfoy B, Sabra M, Brenner A, et al. Diabetes and thyroid cancer risk in the national institutes of Health-AARP diet and health study. *Thyroid.* 2011;21(9):957–963. doi:10.1089/thy.2010.0396

- Meinhold C, Ron E, Schonfeld S, Alexander B, Freedman D, Linet M. Nonradiation risk factors for thyroid cancer in the US radiologic technologists study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010;171(2):242–252. doi:10.1093/aje/kwp354
- Schmid D, Behrens G, Jochem C, Keimling M, Leitzmann M. Physical activity, diabetes, and risk of thyroid cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2013;28(12):945–958. doi:10.1007/s10654-013-9865-0
- 30. Li H, Qian J. Association of diabetes mellitus with thyroid cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Medicine*. 2017;96(47):e8230. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000008230
- 31. Xu H, Fang H, Xu W, et al. Cancer incidence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a population-based cohort study in Shanghai. *BMC Cancer*. 2015;15:852. doi:10.1186/s12885-015-1887-4
- 32. Liu C, Xiao C, Chen J, Li X, Feng Z, Gao Q. Risk factor analysis for predicting cervical lymph node metastasis in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a study of 966 patients. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19(1):622. doi:10.1186/s12885-019-5835-6
- 33. Wu Y, Rao K, Liu J, et al. Machine learning algorithms for the prediction of central lymph node metastasis in patients with papillary thyroid cancer. *Front Endocrinol.* 2020;11:577537. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.577537
- 34. Zhou Y, Gao E, Zhang W, Yang H, Guo G, Zhang X. Factors predictive of papillary thyroid micro-carcinoma with bilateral involvement and central lymph node metastasis: a retrospective study. World J Surg Oncol. 2012;10(1):67. doi:10.1186/1477-7819-10-67
- Wulaningsih W, Garmo H, Holmberg L, Hammar N, Jungner I, Walldius G. Serum Lipids and the risk of gastrointestinal malignancies in the Swedish AMORIS study. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2012;2012:792034. doi:10.1155/2012/792034
- 36. Vatten L, Foss OP. Total serum cholesterol and triglycerides and risk of breast cancer: a prospective study of 24,329 Norwegian women. *Cancer Res.* 1990;50(8):2341–2346.
- 37. Ulmer H, Borena W, Rapp K, et al. Serum triglyceride concentrations and cancer risk in a large cohort study in Austria. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;101 (7):1202–1206. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605264
- 38. Wuermli L, Joerger M, Henz S, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia as a possible risk factor for prostate cancer. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2005;8 (4):316–320. doi:10.1038/sj.pcan.4500834
- Martin R, Vatten L, Gunnell D, Romundstad P, Nilsen T. Components of the metabolic syndrome and risk of prostate cancer: the HUNT 2 cohort, Norway. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2009;20(7):1181–1192.
- 40. Grivennikov S, Greten F, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell. 2010;140(6):883–899. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025
- Quigley D, Kristensen V. Predicting prognosis and therapeutic response from interactions between lymphocytes and tumor cells. *Mol Oncol.* 2015;9 (10):2054–2062. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2015.10.003
- 42. Kim B, Chung H. Hypoxia/reoxygenation induces apoptosis through a ROS-mediated caspase-8/Bid/Bax pathway in human lymphocytes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2007;363(3):745–750. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.09.024
- Barter P, Nicholls S, Rye K, Anantharamaiah G, Navab M, Fogelman AM. Antiinflammatory properties of HDL. Circ Res. 2004;95(8):764–772. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000146094.59640.13
- 44. Li C, Yu W, Fan J, et al. Thyroid functional parameters and correlative autoantibodies as prognostic factors for differentiated thyroid cancers. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(31):49930–49938. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.10236
- 45. Gul K, Ozdemir D, Dirikoc A, et al. Are endogenously lower serum thyroid hormones new predictors for thyroid malignancy in addition to higher serum thyrotropin? *Endocrine*. 2010;37(2):253–260. doi:10.1007/s12020-010-9316-6

Cancer Management and Research

Dovepress

DovePress

3505

f 🔰

in 🖪

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal