Sarcopenia as a prognostic predictor of liver cirrhosis: a multicentre study in China

Xin Zeng¹, Zhi-Wen Shi², Jia-Jun Yu², Li-Fen Wang³, Yuan-Yuan Luo¹, Si-Min Jin^{1,4}, Li-Yuan Zhang², Wei Tan², Pei-Mei Shi², Hong Yu^{5*}, Chun-Qing Zhang^{3*} & Wei-Fen Xie^{2*}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Shanghai East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China; ³Department of Gastroenterology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China; ⁴Department of Nuclear Radiation Injury Protection and Treatment, Naval Medical Center, Shanghai, China; ⁵Department of Radiology, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Abstract

Background Diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia have not been established in Chinese. This study established criteria based on the L3-skeletal muscle index (L3-SMI) and assessed its value for outcomes predicting in cirrhotic Chinese patients.

Methods Totally 911 subjects who underwent a CT scan at two centres were enrolled in Cohort 1 (394 male and 417 female subjects, aged 20–80 years). The data of those subjects younger than 60 years (365 male and 296 female subjects) were used to determine the reference intervals of the L3-SMI and its influencing factors. Cohort 2 consisted of 480 patients (286 male and 184 female patients) from three centres, and their data were used to investigate the prevalence of sarcopenia and evaluate the value of L3-SMI for predicting the prognosis and complications of cirrhosis.

Results Age and sex had the greatest effects on the L3-SMI (P < 0.001). The L3-SMI scores were clearly higher in male patients than in female patients (52.94 \pm 8.41 vs. 38.91 \pm 5.65 cm²/m², P < 0.001) and sharply declined in subjects aged \geq 60 years. Based on the mean $-1.28 \times$ SD among adults aged < 60 years, the L3-SMI cut-off value for sarcopenia was 44.77 cm^2/m^2 in male patients and 32.50 cm^2/m^2 in female patients. Using these values, 22.5% of the cirrhotic patients (28.7% of male patients and 11.9% of female patients) were diagnosed with sarcopenia. Compared with non-sarcopenia individuals, sarcopenia patients had lower body mass index (21.28 \pm 3.01 vs. 24.09 \pm 3.39 kg/m², P < 0.001) and serum albumin levels (31.54 \pm 5.93 vs. 32.93 \pm 5.95 g/L, P = 0.032), longer prothrombin times $(16.39 \pm 3.05 \text{ vs. } 15.71 \pm 3.20 \text{ s}, P = 0.049)$, higher total bilirubin concentrations $(41.33 \pm 57.38 \text{ vs.})$ $32.52 \pm 31.48 \ \mu mol/L, P = 0.039$), worse liver function (Child–Pugh score, 8.05 ± 2.11 vs. 7.32 ± 2.05 , P = 0.001), higher prevalence of cirrhosis-related complications (81.82% vs. 62.24%, P < 0.001) and mortality (30.68% vs. 11.22%, P < 0.001). Overall survival was significantly lower in the sarcopenia group [risk ratio (RR) = 2.643, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.646–4.244, P < 0.001], accompanied with an increased cumulative incidence of ascites (RR = 1.827, 95% CI 1.259-2.651, P = 0.002), spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (RR = 3.331, 95% CI 1.404–7.903, P = 0.006), hepatic encephalopathy (RR = 1.962, 95% CI 1.070–3.600, P = 0.029), and upper gastrointestinal varices (RR = 2.138, 95% CI 1.319–3.466, P = 0.002). Subgroup analysis showed sarcopenia shortened the survival of the patients with Model For End-Stage Liver Disease score > 14 (RR = 4.310, 95% CI 2.091–8.882, P < 0.001) or Child–Pugh C (RR = 3.081, 95% CI 1.516–6.260, P = 0.002).

Conclusions Sarcopenia is a common comorbidity of cirrhosis and can be used to predict cirrhosis-related complications and the prognosis.

Keywords Sarcopenia; L3 skeletal muscle index; Malnutrition; Nutritional screening; Nutritional assessment; Diagnostic criteria; Liver function; Cirrhosis-related complications; Survival; Prognosis prediction

^{© 2021} The Authors. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Society on Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Received: 7 January 2021; Revised: 25 July 2021; Accepted: 23 August 2021

*Correspondence to: Hong Yu, Department of Radiology, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 241 West Huaihai Road, Shanghai 200030, China. Email: yuhongphd@163.com

Chun-Qing Zhang, Department of Gastroenterology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, 324 Jingwu Weiqi Road, Jinan 250021, Shandong Province, China. Email: 13583188661@163.com

Wei-Fen Xie, Department of Gastroenterology, Changzheng Hospital, 415 Fengyang Road, Shanghai 200003, China. Tel: +86 21 81885348, Fax: +86 21 81885194. Email: weifenxie@medmail.com.cn

Xin Zeng, Zhi-Wen Shi, Jia-Jun Yu, and Li-Fen Wang contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Liver cirrhosis, the end stage for most chronic liver diseases, is the 14th leading cause of death worldwide, resulting in approximately 1.3 million deaths annually.^{1,2} Based on large populations of hepatitis B virus (HBV)-infected and hepatitis C virus (HCV)-infected people and increasing numbers of patients with alcoholic liver disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, the morbidity and mortality due to cirrhosis are still increasing in China, which impose a substantial public health burden.^{2,3} The prognosis in cirrhotic patients varies widely, with the reported 1 year mortality rate ranging from 1% to 57%, depending on the occurrence of clinical decompensating events.¹ Therefore, it is important to establish an accurate assessment system for the early prediction of decompensating events and prognosis.

Malnutrition is a common comorbidity in patients with liver cirrhosis.⁴ Because of the different tools used for nutritional screening and assessment, the reported prevalence of malnutrition in cirrhotic patients varies substantially from 10% to 100%.^{4,5} Sarcopenia, a complex syndrome characterized by progressive decreases in skeletal muscle mass, strength, and function, has now been integrated into the definition of malnutrition and used to determine protein-energy malnutrition in cirrhotic patients.⁵ It has been well documented that there is a close correlation between single cross-sectional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) data and body composition. Thus, the data obtained from single cross-sectional CT/MRI images are commonly used to evaluate the body muscle and fat content.⁶ Considering the convenience of acquiring CT and MRI imaging data at the level of lumbar vertebrae 3 (L3), the L3 skeletal muscle index (L3-SMI), defined as the muscle area at the level of L3 (cm^2) normalized for height in meters squared (m²), which reflects the muscle mass, has been recommended for the assessment of sarcopenia.^{6–8} Due to the critical impact of race on skeletal muscle mass and strength, the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People and the International Working Group on Sarcopenia suggested that the diagnosis of sarcopenia should be based on local population norms.^{8,9} Because the aetiology of cirrhosis and characteristics of cirrhotic patients are markedly different in China than in Western populations, it is inappropriate to apply the criteria established in Western populations to Chinese subjects.

Some recent studies documented that a low L3-SMI value might be a relatively strong predictor of a poor prognosis

and was associated with a high risk of cirrhosis-related complications, such as hepatic encephalopathy (HE), ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), and hepatorenal syndrome (HRS).^{9–11} Because of the close correlation between sarcopenia and the prognosis of liver disease, Japanese scholars constructed the first global assessment criteria for sarcopenia specializing liver disease in 2016.¹¹ However, the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia have not been established in Chinese subjects, and there is still a lack of research on sarcopenia in the Chinese population with cirrhosis to date. In this study, we aimed to establish the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia in the Chinese population based on the L3-SMI and explore the value of malnutrition for the prediction of complications and outcomes in Chinese patients with cirrhosis.

Materials and methods

Study population

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Shanghai Changzheng Hospital (2016SL018). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Cohort 1

To determine the reference intervals of the L3-SMI and other indexes and investigate the factors influencing these nutrition-related imaging parameters, 1236 subjects who underwent a CT scan in the outpatient or physical examination department of two centres from March 2018 to May 2018 were recruited. Eligibility criteria included (i) age 20–80 years; (ii) normal limb function; and (iii) available abdominal CT or chest CT scans from which an adequate image at the L3 level could be obtained. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) age < 20 or > 80 years; (ii) confirmed or strongly suspected diagnosis of malignant tumours; (iii) liver cirrhosis or liver dysfunction; (iv) chronic renal and/or

respiratory insufficiency or severe heart disease; (v) paralysis, long-term bedridden status or other bodily dysfunction; (vi) severe burns or trauma; (vii) hyperthyroidism, hypothyroidism, tuberculosis and any other diseases that can affect the basic metabolism; (viii) diseases or conditions that cause intestinal nutrient absorption disorders, such as inflammatory bowel diseases, or gastrointestinal surgery; (ix) treatment with glucocorticoids or immunosuppressive agents; (x) pregnancy or lactation; and (xi) other diseases affecting nutritional status or influencing anthropometry and grip strength tests. Considering the deterministic effect of age on sarcopenia, only individuals aged <60 years were included to determine the cut-off values for the imaging parameters; the data of all the enrolled respondents were used to identify the factors influencing the above parameters.

Cohort 2

To investigate the prevalence of sarcopenia in Chinese patients with cirrhosis and evaluate the value of sarcopenia for the prediction of prognosis and complications, 480 inpatients with liver cirrhosis were enrolled at three centres from January 2013 to December 2017. Eligibility criteria were age ranging from 18 to 80 years, with a clinical diagnosis of liver cirrhosis on the basis of typical clinical manifestations, laboratory tests, imaging characteristics, and/or representative pathology results on liver biopsy. Decompensation of the disease was defined as a Child–Pugh score greater than 7 lasting for at least 1 month or at least one episode of severe complications. including ascites, SBP, oesophageal and gastric variceal bleeding, and HE. All patients underwent an abdominal CT examination during hospitalization, and CT images at the L3 level were obtained. The exclusion criteria included the following: (i) liver transplantation; (ii) confirmed or strongly suspected diagnosis of malignant tumours; (iii) chronic renal and/or respiratory insufficiency or severe heart disease; (iv) diseases or conditions that cause intestinal nutrient absorption disorders, such as inflammatory bowel diseases, or gastrointestinal surgery; and (v) pregnancy or lactation.

CT scan and assessment of L3-SMI, L3-SMD, and other indexes

Computed tomography scans were performed with a multislice spiral CT scanner (Brilliance 256-slice spiral CT scanner, Philips Medical Systems, the Netherlands or uCT780 256-slice spiral CT scanner, United Imaging Medical Systems, Shanghai, China) with a collimating reconstruction thickness of 1 mm and an interval of 1 mm according to the standard operating procedures. All subjects were placed in the supine position and told to hold their breath to reduce breathing and movement artefacts during scanning.

Two independent radiologists analysed the CT images with SliceOmatic V5.0 software (Rev-8, Tomovision, Montreal, Quebec, Canada). In accordance with a previous study, muscle tissues were identified on CT images based on Hounsfield unit (HU) thresholds ranging from -29 to +150, and subcutaneous and intermuscular adipose tissue was identified with HU thresholds ranging from -190 to -30. Additionally, tissue with HU thresholds ranging from -150 to -50 were considered visceral adipose tissue. The skeletal muscle at the L3 level contains the psoas major, erector spinalis, guadratus psoas, external abdominal oblique and internal abdominal oblique on the right and left sides, and the transverse abdominis. The sum of the relevant tissue area and the average density at the L3 level cross-section was automatically calculated by the software, including the skeletal muscle area, visceral adipose tissue area, subcutaneous adipose tissue area, skeletal muscle density, visceral adipose tissue density, subcutaneous adipose tissue density, and intermuscular adipose tissue density. The L3-SMI was calculated as follows: the skeletal muscle area at the L3 level divided by the square of the height (cm^2/m^2) .

Anthropometric measurements and clinical assessments

All participants in Cohort 1 underwent an assessment, including a detailed record of their medical history and a physical examination. Age, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were collected. Anthropometric variables were measured according to the standard operating procedures, including waist circumference, biceps circumference, triceps skinfold thickness, abdominal skinfold thickness, subscapular skinfold thickness, dominant hand grip strength, and non-dominant hand grip strength.

The basic characteristics of patients with cirrhosis in Cohort 2 were obtained from a standardized case collection system. All patients were followed up for 2 years or until death. The investigated complications were HE, ascites, SBP, oesophageal and gastric variceal bleeding, HRS, hepatocellular carcinoma, and so on. The laboratory parameters, including total bilirubin (TBil), direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, albumin, prothrombin time (PT) and platelet count, were recorded. The Child–Pugh score and classification and the Model For End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score were determined at the first admission and the end of follow-up.

Data collection

Data were collected by investigators at each centre and monitored by two independent inspectors and analysed by statistical experts at the Second Military Medical University of China. All authors vouch for the completeness and veracity of the data as well as data analyses.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL). Continuous variables are shown as the means ± standard deviations (SDs), whereas categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Normally distributed continuous parameters were compared with Student's t-tests, and non-normally distributed continuous parameters were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test. The comparison of categorical parameters was determined by two-tailed χ^2 tests or Fisher's exact tests. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression were performed to analyse the factors influencing the L3-SMI. The relationship between two variables was evaluated with the Pearson correlation coefficient. Normative reference data of the L3-SMI were calculated using the formula: 90% lower = mean $-1.28 \times SD$. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated to verify the value of the L3-SMI for the diagnosis of sarcopenia. Cox proportional hazards models were used to compare the time with death or a breakthrough episode of complications between two groups with a two-sided test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to analyse survival in Cohort 2 and to estimate the proportions of patients experiencing complications at successive time points during the follow-up. The time-dependent ROC curves of L3-SMI, MELD, and Child-Pugh scores for prognosis prediction were revealed in patients with liver cirrhosis and area under the ROC for in each time point (3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18 and 21, & 24 months) was carried out to compare the value of above parameters. A P value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Factors influencing the L3-SMI in general Chinese adults

A total of 1236 subjects were recruited, and 911 subjects who met the inclusion criteria and did not meet the exclusion criteria were enrolled in the analysis of the factors affecting L3-SMI in the general Chinese population. This cohort included 394 male and 417 female participants aged from 20 to 80 years (*Table 1*). Univariate analysis showed that age, sex, height, weight, waist circumference, biceps circumference, triceps skinfold thickness, subscapular skinfold thickness, abdominal skinfold thickness, dominant hand grip strength, and non-dominant hand grip strength influenced the L3-SMI. Multiple linear regression analysis showed that

age, sex, height, weight, biceps circumference, and triceps skinfold thickness were strongly associated with the L3-SMI (*Table S1*). Among all the factors, age and sex had the strongest effect on the L3-SMI. The L3-SMI scores were clearly higher in males than in females and sharply declined in subjects aged \geq 60 years (*Tables 1* and *S2*).

Determination of the cut-off value of the L3-SMI for the diagnosis of sarcopenia in general Chinese adults

According to previous studies, age is the most important factor affecting sarcopenia in the general population. Our study also found that the L3-SMI scores sharply declined in subjects aged ≥ 60 years. Thus, subjects younger than 60 years, including 365 male and 296 female subjects, were enrolled to determine the reference intervals for the L3-SMI (*Table S3*). Based on the mean $-1.28 \times$ SD among adults aged < 60 years, the cut-off value for the L3-SMI for the diagnosis of sarcopenia was 44.77 cm²/m² in male patients and 32.50 cm²/m² in female patients. Based on this cut-off value, among the individuals over 60 years old, 37.9% (49/129) of the male patients and 17.3% (21/121) of the female patients were diagnosed with sarcopenia.

Prevalence of skeletal sarcopenia in Chinese patients with cirrhosis

In total, 480 patients (286 male and 184 female patients) with complete clinical data were enrolled in Cohort 2 to investigate the prevalence of skeletal muscle malnutrition in Chinese patients with liver cirrhosis and clarify the relationship between skeletal muscle malnutrition and cirrhosis-related complications. The basic characteristics were listed in *Table 2*. Based on the cut-off values determined in this study, the overall prevalence of skeletal sarcopenia in Chinese patients with cirrhosis was 29.1% (86/296) in male patients and 12.0% (22/184) in female patients. Altogether, 22.5% (108/480) of the patients with cirrhosis had skeletal sarcopenia. These data suggest that sarcopenia is a common comorbidity in cirrhotic patients, and male patients are more likely to suffer from sarcopenia than female patients (P < 0.001).

Sarcopenia is related to worse liver function and higher incidences of ascites, SBP, and HRS

Univariate analysis showed that the L3-SMI was positively correlated with BMI (P < 0.001), the serum albumin level (P = 0.009) and the ALT level (P = 0.023) and was negatively correlated with the presence of ascites and a history of SBP (P = 0.003). Further multivariate analysis confirmed the

Table 1 Ch	haracteristics of	the general	Chinese p	opulation	in Cohort 1
------------	-------------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-------------

Group	Male ($n = 494$)	Female ($n = 417$)	P value
Age (years) (mean ± SD)	47.00 ± 15.54	48.62 ± 14.65	0.108
Height (m) (mean \pm SD	1.72 ± 0.06	1.60 ± 0.05	< 0.001
Weight (kg) (mean \pm SD)	72.21 ± 11.18	57.57 ± 8.12	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.36 ± 3.27	22.42 ± 3.02	< 0.001
Anthropometry			
Waist circumference (cm) (mean ± SD)	87.61 ± 9.48	78.66 ± 8.70	< 0.001
Biceps circumference (cm) (mean ± SD)	27.92 ± 2.49	25.85 ± 2.38	< 0.001
Triceps skinfold thickness (mm) (mean ± SD)	12.43 ± 5.77	18.36 ± 5.74	< 0.001
Abdominal skinfold thickness (mm) (mean \pm SD)	24.43 ± 7.63	23.38 ± 5.94	0.032
Subscapular skinfold thickness (mm) (mean \pm SD)	20.18 ± 6.90	21.12 ± 6.68	0.040
Dominant hand grip strength (kg) (mean \pm SD)	41.77 ± 8.59	25.69 ± 4.60	< 0.001
Non-dominant hand grip strength (kg) (mean \pm SD)	38.81 ± 8.22	23.73 ± 4.70	< 0.001
Image indexes			
Area			
L3-SMA (cm ²)	153.26 ± 24.20	102.25 ± 13.76	< 0.001
L3-IMATA (cm ²)	10.82 ± 7.16	10.38 ± 7.05	0.354
L3-VATA (cm ²)	129.23 ± 73.95	76.71 ± 49.27	< 0.001
L3-SATA (cm ²)	123.88 ± 60.01	138.85 ± 54.01	< 0.001
Indexes			
L3-SMI $(\text{cm}^2/\text{m}^2)_{\perp}$	52.94 ± 8.41	38.91 ± 5.65	< 0.001
L3-IMATI (cm²/m²)	3.77 ± 2.63	3.98 ± 2.78	0.232
L3-VATI (cm²/m²)	44.69 ± 25.61	29.35 ± 19.05	< 0.001
L3-SATI (cm²/m²)	42.78 ± 20.47	53.04 ± 21.70	< 0.001
Density			
L3-SMD (Hu)	42.79 ± 6.07	37.26 ± 6.77	< 0.001
L3-IMATD (Hu)	-64.90 ± 5.21	-63.79 ± 4.44	0.001
L3-VATD (Hu)	-96.41 ± 7.65	-94.06 ± 7.52	< 0.001
L3-SATD (Hu)	-100.53 ± 7.71	-103.74 ± 5.27	< 0.001
Ratio			
L3-IMATA/L3-SMA	0.07 ± .062	0.11 ± 0.08	< 0.001
L3-VATA/L3-SMA	0.84 ± 0.49	0.75 ± 0.48	< 0.001
L3-SATA/L3-SMA	0.80 ± 0.36	1.36 ± 0.50	< 0.001
L3-VATA/L3-SATA	1.06 ± 0.52	0.55 ± 0.34	< 0.001

IMATA, intermuscular adipose tissue area; IMATD, intermuscular adipose tissue density; IMATI, intermuscular adipose tissue index; SATA, subcutaneous adipose tissue area; SATD, subcutaneous adipose tissue density; SATI: subcutaneous adipose tissue index; SMA: skeletal muscle area; SMD: skeletal muscle density; SMI: skeletal muscle index; VATA: visceral adipose tissue area; VATD: visceral adipose tissue index.

strong correlations between the L3-SMI and BMI, ascites, SBP, and the ALT level (Supporting Information, Table S4). Based on the cut-off values of the L3-SMI obtained in Cohort 1, all patients with cirrhosis were divided into the sarcopenia group and the non-sarcopenia group. As shown in Table 3, compared with those without sarcopenia, patients with sarcopenia had lower BMI values (21.28 ± 3.01 vs. 24.09 ± 3.39 kg/m², P < 0.001), red blood cell counts $(3.30 \pm 0.79 \text{ vs.} 3.54 \pm 0.76 \times 10^{12}/\text{L}, P = 0.004)$, haematocrit levels ([29.37 ± 7.40]% vs. [31.11 ± 7.38]%, P = 0.032), albumin levels (31.54 ± 5.93 vs. 32.93 ± 5.95 g/L, P = 0.032), longer PT (16.39 ± 3.05 vs. 15.71 ± 3.20 s, P = 0.049) and higher TBil concentrations (41.33 ± 57.38 vs. 32.52 ± 31.48 µmol/L, P = 0.039) and international normalized ratios (1.38 ± 0.28 vs. 1.32 \pm 0.31, P = 0.049). The average Child–Pugh score was significantly higher in the sarcopenia group than in the non-sarcopenia group (8.05 ± 2.11 vs. 7.32 ± 2.05, P = 0.001).

To avoid the interference of the aetiology distribution deviations on the results, we divided the patients in the Cohort 2 into HBV and non-HBV group and reanalysed the difference between sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia subjects in the both group (Tables S5-S6). The data showed that the sarcopenia patients in HBV group had lower BMI (21.40 ± 2.70 vs. 23.98 \pm 3.01 kg/m², P < 0.001), red blood cell counts $(3.27 \pm 0.77 \text{ vs. } 3.61 \pm 0.85 \times 10^{12}/\text{L}, P = 0.24)$, haematocrit levels ([28.27 ± 7.02]% vs. [31.66 ± 8.14]%, P = 0.18), serum levels of haemoglobin (92.97 ± 26.58 vs. 105.66 ± 30.30 g/L, P = 0.18) and albumin (31.00 ± 4.77 vs. 33.48 ± 5.62 g/L, P = 0.14), higher Child–Pugh scores (8.08 ± 2.71 vs. 7.28 ± 2.00, P = 0.029), serum levels of TBil (46.19 ± 84.53 vs. $31.49 \pm 20.80 \ \mu mol/L$, P = 0.045), direct bilirubin (25.96 ± 63.91 vs. 11.28 ± 12.15 µmol/L, P = 0.006), PT (17.22 ± 2.88 vs. 15.68 ± 2.54 s, P = 0.001) and international normalized ratio (1.46 \pm 0.29 vs. 1.31 \pm 0.22, P = 0.001) compared with those non-sarcopenia individuals. Additionally, there were poorer Child-Pugh scores (8.04 ± 2.13 vs. 7.35 \pm 2.03, P = 0.016), lower serum albumin concentrations (31.79 ± 1.46 vs. 32.48 ± 3.20 g/L, P = 0.044), more morbidity of ascites (85.71% vs. 56.93%, P < 0.001), and SBP (85.71% vs. 56.93%, P < 0.001) in the sarcopenia patients than that in the non-sarcopenia patients in the non-HBV group (Tables S7-S8).

Idule Z Daseline characteristics of cirribulic datients in cono	Table 2
---	---------

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Group	Male(n = 296)	Female ($n = 184$)	P value
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age (years) (mean ± SD)	51.93 ± 11.27	58.45 ± 12.08	< 0.001
Weight (kg) (mean \pm SD) 69.32 \pm 10.41 59.15 \pm 10.39 <0.001 Body mass index (kg/m²) 23.68 \pm 3.27 23.08 \pm 3.86 0.089 Actiology 149 (50.34) 59 (32.07) 10.54) Alcohol (%) 60 (20.27) 1 (0.54) 34 (20.65) Autoimmune (%) 1 (0.34) 38 (20.65) 5 Budd-Chiar (%) 6 (1.23) 6 (3.26) 4 Combined (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) 5 Schistosomiasis (%) 5 (1.69) 7 (38.0) 5 Others (%) 24 (16.55) 71 (38.59) 5 Serum indexes 7 80 (10 ⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ⁷ /L) 10.421 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.016 0.235 PLT (10 ⁷ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 124.95 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 29.71 ± 6.42 0.018 Bil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 2.905 0.685 Albuin (g/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 2.905 0.685 <td>Height (m) (mean \pm SD</td> <td>171.01 ± 4.95</td> <td>160.02 ± 5.05</td> <td>< 0.001</td>	Height (m) (mean \pm SD	171.01 ± 4.95	160.02 ± 5.05	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²) 23.68 ± 3.27 23.08 ± 3.86 0.089 Actiology 149 (50.34) 59 (32.07) 0.031 HBV (%) 149 (50.34) 38 (20.65) 0.031 Atcohol (%) 6 (20.27) 1 (0.54) 38 (20.65) Budd-Chiari (%) 6 (2.03) 6 (3.26) 1 Schistosomiasis (%) 5 (1.69) 7 (3.80) 0 Others (%) 49 (16.55) 71 (38.59) 0 Combined (%a) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) 0.516 Serum indexes 8 0.059 0 RBC (10 ¹⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ¹⁷ /L) 4.44 ± 2.89 4.76 ± 7.63 0.516 Haemoglobin (g/L) 104.21 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.013 Neutrophi (10 ⁷ /L) 29.71 ± 6.42 0.018 0.31 TBil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 29.05 0.685 Albumi (g/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.22 ± 5.75 0.379 Albumi (g/L) 32.64 ± 10.65 29.91 ± 6.42	Weight (kg) (mean \pm SD)	69.32 ± 10.41	59.15 ± 10.39	< 0.001
Actiology 0.031 HBV (%) 149 (50.34) 59 (20.7) Alcohol (%) 60 (20.27) 1 (0.54) Autoimmune (%) 1 (0.34) 38 (20.65) Budd-Chiari (%) 6 (2.03) 6 (3.26) HCV (%) 4 (1.35) 1 (0.54) Schistosomiasis (%) 5 (1.69) 7 (3.80) Others (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) Serum indexes 8 0.059 WBC (10 ⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.252 PUT (10 ⁷ /L) 10.9.04 ± 138.34 12.495 ± 153.93 0.241 Harcoglobin (g/L) 12.74 ± 2.34 3.64 ± 12.66 0.235 PLT (10 ⁷ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 12.495 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 2.9.71 ± 6.42 0.018 Bil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.71 ± 29.05 0.685 Albumin (g/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 <td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td> <td>23.68 ± 3.27</td> <td>23.08 ± 3.86</td> <td>0.089</td>	Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.68 ± 3.27	23.08 ± 3.86	0.089
HeV (%) 149 (50.34) 59 (32.07) Alcohol (%) 60 (20.27) 1 (0.54) Autoimmune (%) 1 (0.34) 38 (20.65) Budd-Chiari (%) 6 (2.03) 6 (3.26) KCV (%) 4 (1.35) 1 (0.54) Schistosomiasis (%) 5 (1.69) 7 (3.80) Others (%) 49 (16.55) 71 (38.59) Combined (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) Serum indeges 8 0.059 WBC (10 ¹⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ¹⁷ /L) 104.21 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.013 Neutrophi (10 ¹ /L) 2.74 ± 2.34 3.64 ± 12.66 0.235 PUT (10 ⁷ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 124.95 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 29.71 ± 6.42 0.018 Bil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 29.05 0.685 Altr (U/L) 32.97 ± 46.53 42.84 0.724 DBil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 29.05 0.685 Altr (U/L) 45.60 ± 110.05 29.10 ± 22.39 0.045 AST (U/L) 52.	Aetiology			0.031
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	HBV (%)	149 (50.34)	59 (32.07)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Alcohol (%)	60 (20.27)	1 (0.54)	
Budd-Chiari (%) 6 (2.03) 6 (3.26) HCV (%) 4 (1.35) 1 (0.54) Schistosomiasis (%) 5 (1.69) 7 (3.80) Others (%) 49 (16.55) 71 (38.59) Combined (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) Serum indexes 8 0.059 RBC (10 ⁷ /1) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ⁹ /1) 4.44 ± 2.89 4.76 ± 7.63 0.516 Haemoglobin (g/L) 104.21 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.013 Neutrophil (10 ⁷ /L) 2.74 ± 2.34 3.64 ± 12.66 0.235 PLT (10 ⁹ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 124.95 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 2.971 ± 6.42 0.018 TBil (µmol/L) 15.24 ± 27.20 14.17 ± 29.05 0.685 Albumin (g/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 0.379 ALT (U/L) 45.66 ± 110.05 2.9.10 ± 22.39 0.045 AST (U/L) 52.05 ± 0.23 56.92 ± 25.31 <-0.001	Autoimmune (%)	1 (0.34)	38 (20.65)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Budd–Chiari (%)	6 (2.03)	6 (3.26)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HCV (%)	4 (1.35)	1 (0.54)	
Others (%) 49 (16.55) 71 (38.59) Combined (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) Serum indexes	Schistosomiasis (%)	5 (1.69)	7 (3.80)	
Combined (%) 22 (7.43) 1 (0.54) Serum indexes 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 WBC (10 ³ /L) 4.44 ± 2.89 4.76 ± 7.63 0.516 Haemoglobin (g/L) 104.21 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.013 Neutrophil (10 ⁵ /L) 2.74 ± 2.34 3.64 ± 12.66 0.235 PLT (10 ⁷ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 124.95 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 2.9.71 ± 6.42 0.018 TBil (µmol/L) 3.49 ± 36.28 33.70 ± 42.84 0.724 DBil (µmol/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 0.379 ALT (U/L) 45.60 ± 110.05 29.10 ± 22.39 0.045 AST (U/L) 52.97 ± 96.53 44.96 ± 34.35 0.280 GGT (U/L) 52.66 ± 144.63 59.93 ± 74.00 0.049 Ser (µmol/L) 73.83 ± 50.23 56.92 ± 25.31 <0.001	Others (%)	49 (16.55)	71 (38.59)	
Serum indexesRBC (10 ⁻⁷ /L) 3.54 ± 0.82 3.41 ± 0.68 0.059 RBC (10 ⁻⁷ /L) 4.44 ± 2.89 4.76 ± 7.63 0.516 Haemoglobin (g/L) 104.21 ± 29.86 97.80 ± 22.76 0.013 Neutrophil (10 ⁷ /L) 2.74 ± 2.34 3.64 ± 12.66 0.235 PLT (10 ⁹ /L) 109.04 ± 138.34 124.95 ± 153.93 0.241 HCT (%) 31.35 ± 7.91 29.71 ± 6.42 0.018 TBil (µmol/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 0.379 Albumin (g/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 0.379 Altr (U/L) 45.60 ± 110.05 29.10 ± 22.39 0.045 AST (U/L) 52.97 ± 96.53 44.96 ± 34.35 0.280 GGT (U/L) 82.66 ± 144.63 59.93 ± 74.00 0.049 Scr (µmol/L) 73.83 ± 50.23 56.92 ± 25.31 <0.001 PT (s) 16.08 ± 3.31 15.52 ± 2.92 0.061 INR 1.36 ± 0.34 1.31 ± 0.25 0.093 K ⁺ (mmol/L) 136.52 ± 20.07 138.26 ± 15.11 0.310 Cl ⁻ (mmol/L) 103.26 ± 15.54 104.29 ± 11.83 0.442 Child-Pugh (%) $122(37.84)$ $70(38.04)$ 8 B $129(43.58)$ $26(14.13)$ 0.452 Complications $12.67.83$ $20(10.87)$ 0.451 Child-Pugh (%) $122(4.54)$ $9(4.89)$ 0.664 UG (%) $122(4.54)$ $9(4.89)$ 0.664 UG (%) $170(57.43)$ $102(55.43)$ 0.668 Compl	Combined (%)	22 (7.43)	1 (0.54)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Serum indexes			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	RBC $(10^{12}/L)$	3.54 ± 0.82	3.41 ± 0.68	0.059
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	4.44 ± 2.89	4.76 ± 7.63	0.516
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Haemoglobin (g/L)	104.21 ± 29.86	97.80 ± 22.76	0.013
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Neutrophil (10 ⁹ /L)	2.74 ± 2.34	3.64 ± 12.66	0.235
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	109.04 ± 138.34	124.95 ± 153.93	0.241
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HCT (%)	31.35 ± 7.91	29.71 ± 6.42	0.018
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TBil (μmol/L)	34.99 ± 36.28	33.70 ± 42.84	0.724
Albumin (g/L) 32.81 ± 6.11 32.32 ± 5.75 0.379 ALT (U/L) 45.60 ± 110.05 29.10 ± 22.39 0.045 AST (U/L) 52.97 ± 96.53 44.96 ± 34.35 0.280 GGT (U/L) 82.66 ± 144.63 59.93 ± 74.00 0.049 Scr (µmol/L) 73.83 ± 50.23 56.92 ± 25.31 < 0.001 PT (s) 16.08 ± 3.31 15.52 ± 2.92 0.061 INR 1.36 ± 0.34 1.31 ± 0.25 0.993 Na ⁺ (nmol/L) 3.90 ± 0.72 3.92 ± 2.31 0.822 Na ⁺ (nmol/L) 136.52 ± 20.07 138.26 ± 15.11 0.310 Cl (mmol/L) 103.26 ± 15.54 104.29 ± 11.83 0.442 Child-Pugh score 7.50 ± 2.14 7.45 ± 2.0 0.789 Child-Pugh (%) $112 (37.84)$ $70 (38.04)$ 8 A $129 (43.58)$ $88 (47.83)$ 0.410 A $129 (43.58)$ $26 (14.13)$ 0.451 MELD score 11.75 ± 4.36 11.82 ± 3.60 0.851 Complications $26 (8.78)$ $20 (10.87)$ 0.451 SBP (%) $12 (4.54)$ $9 (4.89)$ 0.664 AKI/HRS (%) $6 (2.03)$ $2 (1.09)$ 0.435 PVT (%) $84 (28.38)$ $48 (26.09)$ 0.586	DBil (µmol/L)	15.24 ± 27.20	14.17 ± 29.05	0.685
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Albumin (g/L)	32.81 ± 6.11	32.32 ± 5.75	0.379
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ALT (U/L)	45.60 ± 110.05	29.10 ± 22.39	0.045
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AST (U/L)	52.97 ± 96.53	44.96 ± 34.35	0.280
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	GGT (U/L)	82.66 ± 144.63	59.93 ± 74.00	0.049
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Scr (µmol/L)	73.83 ± 50.23	56.92 ± 25.31	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PT (s)	16.08 ± 3.31	15.52 ± 2.92	0.061
K' (mmol/L) 3.90 ± 0.72 3.92 ± 2.31 0.892 Na ⁺ (mmol/L) 136.52 ± 20.07 138.26 ± 15.11 0.310 Cl ⁻ (mmol/L) 103.26 ± 15.54 104.29 ± 11.83 0.442 Child-Pugh score 7.50 ± 2.14 7.45 ± 2.0 0.789 Child-Pugh (%) 0.410 0.410 0.410 A $112 (37.84)$ $70 (38.04)$ 0.410 B $129 (43.58)$ $88 (47.83)$ 0.410 C $55 (18.58)$ $26 (14.13)$ 0.451 Complications 11.75 ± 4.36 11.82 ± 3.60 0.851 Complications $12 (4.54)$ $9 (4.89)$ 0.664 UGIB (%) $170 (57.43)$ $102 (55.43)$ 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) $6 (2.03)$ $2 (1.09)$ 0.435 PVT (%) $84 (28.38)$ $48 (26.09)$ 0.586	INR	1.36 ± 0.34	1.31 ± 0.25	0.093
Na' (mmol/L)136.52 \pm 20.07138.26 \pm 15.110.310Cl (mmol/L)103.26 \pm 15.54104.29 \pm 11.830.442Child-Pugh score7.50 \pm 2.147.45 \pm 2.00.789Child-Pugh (%)00.4100.410A112 (37.84)70 (38.04)B129 (43.58)88 (47.83)C55 (18.58)26 (14.13)MELD score11.75 \pm 4.3611.82 \pm 3.600.851Complications26 (8.78)20 (10.87)0.451SBP (%)12 (4.54)9 (4.89)0.664UGIB (%)170 (57.43)102 (55.43)0.668AKI/HRS (%)6 (2.03)2 (1.09)0.435PVT (%)84 (28.38)48 (26.09)0.586	K' (mmol/L)	3.90 ± 0.72	3.92 ± 2.31	0.892
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Na' (mmol/L)	136.52 ± 20.07	138.26 ± 15.11	0.310
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CI (mmol/L)	103.26 ± 15.54	104.29 ± 11.83	0.442
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Child–Pugh score	7.50 ± 2.14	7.45 ± 2.0	0.789
A 112 (37.84) 70 (38.04) B 129 (43.58) 88 (47.83) C 55 (18.58) 26 (14.13) MELD score 11.75 \pm 4.36 11.82 \pm 3.60 0.851 Complications 11.82 \pm 3.60 0.851 Ascites (%) 189 (63.85) 120 (65.22) 0.755 HE (%) 26 (8.78) 20 (10.87) 0.451 SBP (%) 12 (4.54) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AK/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586	Child–Pugh (%)		70 (20.04)	0.410
B 129 (43.58) 88 (47.83) C 55 (18.58) 26 (14.13) MELD score 11.75 ± 4.36 11.82 ± 3.60 0.851 Complications	A	112 (37.84)	70 (38.04)	
C 55 (18.58) 26 (14.13) MELD score 11.75 ± 4.36 11.82 ± 3.60 0.851 Complications	В	129 (43.58)	88 (47.83)	
MELD score 11.75 ± 4.36 11.82 ± 3.60 0.851 Complications 120 (65.22) 0.755 HE (%) 26 (8.78) 20 (10.87) 0.451 SBP (%) 12 (4.54) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586		55 (18.58)	26 (14.13)	0.054
Ascites (%) 189 (63.85) 120 (65.22) 0.755 HE (%) 26 (8.78) 20 (10.87) 0.451 SBP (%) 12 (4.54) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586	MELD score	11.75 ± 4.36	11.82 ± 3.60	0.851
Ascres (%) 189 (63.85) 120 (65.22) 0.755 HE (%) 26 (8.78) 20 (10.87) 0.451 SBP (%) 12 (4.54) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586		100 (C2 05)	120 (CE 22)	0.755
HE (%) 26 (8.78) 20 (10.87) 0.451 SBP (%) 12 (4.54) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586	Ascites (%)	189 (63.85)	120 (65.22)	0.755
SbP (%) 12 (4.34) 9 (4.89) 0.664 UGIB (%) 170 (57.43) 102 (55.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586	HE (%)	26 (8.78)	20 (10.87)	0.451
OGB (76) 170 (37.43) 102 (35.43) 0.668 AKI/HRS (%) 6 (2.03) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586		12 (4.34 <i>)</i> 170 (57 43)	9 (4.89) 102 (FE 42)	0.664
ARVIND (70) 0 (2.05) 2 (1.09) 0.435 PVT (%) 84 (28.38) 48 (26.09) 0.586		I/U (57.45) 6 (2.03)	102 (33.43)	0.008
I VI (/0) 04 (20.30) 40 (20.03) 0.300	A(1) = (70) D(T (%)	0 (2.05) 84 (28.38)	2 (1.09) 48 (26.09)	0.435
	I VI (70)	04 (20.30)	+0 (20.03)	0.00

AKI, acute kidney injury; ALT, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; AST, glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase; DBil, direct bilirubin; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HCT, hematocrit; HE, hepatic encephalopathy; HRS, hepatorenal syndrome; TBil: total bilirubin; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; PLT, blood platelet; PT, prothrombin time; PVT, portal venous thrombosis; RBC, red blood cell; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding; WBC, white blood cell.

Sarcopenia is an indicator of the occurrence of complications and prognosis in cirrhotic patients

All 480 cirrhotic patients in Cohort 2 were followed until death or for at least 2 years after enrolment. In total, 30.68% (27/88) of the patients in the sarcopenia group and 11.22% (44/392) of the individuals in the non-sarcopenia group died within the 2 year follow-up period (P < 0.001). Sarcopenia significantly elevated the mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis [risk ratio (RR) = 2.643, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.646–4.244, P < 0.001]. As shown in *Figure 1*, log-rank analysis

showed that overall survival was significantly lower in the sarcopenia group than in the non-sarcopenia group (P < 0.001). Additionally, 81.82% (72/88) of the patients in the sarcopenia group experienced episodes of the breakthrough of complications during the 2 year follow-up period, while only 62.24% (244/392) of the patients in the non-sarcopenia group experienced complications (P < 0.001). Cox proportional hazards models analysis showed that sarcopenia markedly increased the cumulative incidence of ascites (RR = 1.827, 95% CI 1.259–2.651, P = 0.002), SBP (RR = 3.331, 95% CI 1.404–7.903, P = 0.006), HE (RR = 1.962, 95% CI 1.070–3.600, P = 0.029), and upper gastrointestinal

	Design of the second se				
Table 3	Baseline characteristics	is compared between	sarconenia group and	non-sarconenia g	roun in Cohort /
rubic b	buschine entiracteristics	is compared between	Surcoperna Broup una	non surcoperna g	Joup in conorc z

	Non-sarcopenia ($n = 372$)	Sarcopenia (n = 108)	P value
Age, years (mean ± SD)	53.88 ± 11.95	56.31 ± 12.07	0.063
Gender (male/female)	210/162	86/22	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.09 ± 3.39	21.28 ± 3.01	< 0.001
Child–Pugh score	7.32 ± 2.05	8.05 ± 2.11	0.001
MELD score	11.40 ± 3.85	12.14 ± 4.50	0.094
Serum index			
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	3.54 ± 0.76	3.30 ± 0.79	0.004
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	111.67 ± 120.10	127.10 ± 208.23	0.032
HCT (%)	31.11 ± 7.38	29.37 ± 7.40	0.032
Haemoglobin (g/L)	102.98 ± 27.46	97.52 ± 27.40	0.069
TBil (μmol/L)	32.52 ± 31.48	41.33 ± 57.38	0.039
DBil (µmol/L)	13.08 ± 21.83	20.93 ± 42.43	0.010
Albumin (g/L)	32.93 ± 5.95	31.54 ± 5.93	0.033
Scr (μmol/Ĺ)	65.83 ± 44.51	72.25 ± 37.73	0.176
PT (s)	15.71 ± 3.20	16.39 ± 3.05	0.049
INR	1.32 ± 0.31	1.38 ± 0.28	0.039
Complications			
Ascites (%)	212/372 (56.66)	87/108 (80.56)	< 0.001
Grade			< 0.001
0	160	21	
1	116	41	
2	30	9	
3	66	37	
HE (%)	33/372 (8.87)	13/108 (12.04)	0.326
SBP (%)	11/372 (2.96)	10/108 (9.26)	0.005
UGIB (%)	213/372 (57.26)	59/108 (54.63)	0.628
AKI/HRS (%)	3/372 (0.81)	5/108 (4.63)	0.006
PVT (%)	102/372 (27.42)	30/108 (27.78)	0.942
L3 body composition parameters index			
L3-IMATI (cm^2/m^2)	3.47 ± 2.90	2.54 ± 1.90	0.002
L3-VATI (cm ² /m ²)	31.95 ± 22.43	22.59 ± 19.05	< 0.001
L3-SATI (cm²/m²)	41.35 ± 23.59	24.66 ± 18.83	< 0.001
L3-SMD (HU)	40.13 ± 8.69	37.71 ± 8.62	0.011
Myosteatosis%	94/372 (25.3)	53/108 (49.1)	< 0.001

AKI, acute kidney injury; ALT, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; AST, glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase; DBil, direct bilirubin; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HCT, haematocrit; HE, hepatic encephalopathy; HRS, hepatorenal syndrome; IMATI, intermuscular adipose tissue index; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; PLT, blood platelet; PT, prothrombin time; PVT, portal venous thrombosis; RBC, red blood cell; SATI, subcutaneous adipose tissue index; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; SMD, skeletal muscle index; TBil, total bilirubin; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding; VATI, visceral adipose tissue index; WBC, white blood cell.

varices (RR = 2.138, 95% CI 1.319-3.466, P = 0.002). We also analysis the value of the widely used prognostic scores systems, MELD, and Child-Pugh scores, for the cirrhosis-related prognosis prediction. Log-rank analysis showed that higher MELD score and worse Child-Pugh class suggested poorer overall survival (both P < 0.001, Figure S1). Cirrhotic patients with MELD score > 14 had higher mortality within the 2 year follow-up period compared with those with MELD score \leq 14 (RR = 3.436, 95% CI 2.145–5.506, P < 0.001). Moreover, the mortality increased along with the deterioration of Child-Pugh class (RR = 3.497, 95% Cl 2.467-4.959, P < 0.001). Although time-dependent ROC analyses showed the area under the ROC for L3-SMI was lower than those for MELD and Child–Pugh scores at each time point (Figure S2), the 2 year expected survival rate of the patients with sarcopenia was significantly shortened in those individuals with MELD score > 14(RR = 4.310, 95% CI 2.091–8.882, P < 0.001) or Child–Pugh C (RR = 3.081, 95% CI 1.516–6.260, P = 0.002, Figure 2).

Discussion

Considering the possible correlation between malnutrition and poor prognosis in cirrhotic patients, it is crucial to establish an appropriate nutritional assessment to determine the impact of nutritional status on cirrhosis.^{12,13} L3-SMI, an indicator of sarcopenia, has been established to quantitatively assess muscle mass reduction and is recognized as an objective and quantifiable parameter that is useful for the evaluation of nutritional status. In this study, we determined the factors influencing the L3-SMI, established the cut-off value for the L3-SMI in general Chinese adults younger than 60 years and defined sarcopenia as an L3-SMI score $< 44.77 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ in male patients and $<32.50 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ in female patients. Moreover, our current study confirmed that sarcopenia was not only related to poor liver function in cirrhotic patients but also could be used to predict a poor prognosis and episodes of cirrhosis-related complications.



Figure 1 Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in the sarcopenia group compared with that in the non-sarcopenia group, according to Cohort 2.



Figure 2 Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in subgroups. (A) Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in the sarcopenia group compared with that in the non-sarcopenia group, according to subgroups discriminated with MELD score. (B) Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in the sarcopenia group compared with that in the non-sarcopenia group, according to subgroups discriminated with Child–Pugh class.

Malnourishment has been shown to be a strong predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients with advanced decompensated chronic liver diseases. However, even among studies performed in the same population, there has been substantial variability and poor consistency among the nutrition assessment results obtained with different nutritional assessment tools.¹² Therefore, it is clear that the accuracy of the evaluation of malnutrition depends on the appropriateness of the nutritional assessment tools. Sarcopenia has been considered the primary nutritional consequence of liver cirrhosis.^{12,13} Compared with other evaluation tools, including anthropometry, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, bioelectrical impedance analysis, biochemical tests, and the subjective global assessment, the L3-SMI has the advantages of being objective, quantifiable, accurate, and non-invasive, and it has been shown to be a relatively better protein-calorie malnourishment and sarcopenia indicator. In addition, as routine follow-up assessments for patients with cirrhosis, CT and MRI scans have become common. Thus, L3-SMI has good accessibility and repeatability and is suitable for follow-up monitoring. Moreover, the images at the L3 level based on CT or MRI scan can be used to assess the amount of intramuscular fat, which accumulating evidence suggests is important for the assessment of the quality of muscle and the associations with clinical outcomes. Therefore, L3-SMI is a valid and useful tool for the assessment of malnutrition in cirrhosis-related clinical practice. Because CT scans are more common and less expensive than MRI scans in China, we selected L3-SMI based on CT scans to determine muscle mass and function in this study.

The guidelines suggest that the reference values for nutritional indicators might vary based on ethnicity.¹⁴ To date, Chinese diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia based on the L3-SMI have not been established. In the current study, we analysed the distributions of the L3-SMI values in 911 subjects and investigated its influencing factors. To our knowledge, this is by far the largest investigation of sarcopenia among the general Chinese population. The cut-off values for L3-SMI established in this study were 44.77 cm²/m² in male patients and 32.50 cm²/m² in female patients. These values were significantly lower than those in Western populations but were close to those in the Japanese and Korean populations, which further verifies the deterministic influence of ethnicity on muscle characteristics and provides a reference for subsequent research in China.^{12–17}

It is generally believed that age and sex are the most important factors influencing L3-SMI in the general population. In the current study, the L3-SMI value in female patients was significantly higher than that in male patients and sharply declined in subjects aged \geq 60 years, which were consistent with the previous reports.^{12–17} In addition, our data confirmed the correlation between the L3-SMI and anatomical indicators such as height, weight, biceps circumference, and triceps skinfold thickness. Grip strength is believed to reflect muscle strength and skeletal muscle function. However, it is worth noting that a reduction in muscle mass was only moderately related to a decline in grip strength in this study. Our study showed that 75 subjects with reduced L3-SMI scores had normal grip strength, and 21 subjects with decreased grip strength had normal L3-SMI scores. This finding highlights the inconsistent changes in muscle mass and function, and future research should be carried out to explore the impact of such inconsistencies on the outcomes of chronic liver diseases.

Sarcopenia is a common complication of liver cirrhosis.¹⁰ Numerous factors induce cirrhosis-related sarcopenia, including reduced nutrient intake and synthesis, abnormal protein metabolism, inadequate physical activity, abnormal myostatin and insulin-like growth factor 1 levels, and altered intestinal flora.^{10,18,19} Although it has been reported that sarcopenia is related to the severity of liver disease as estimated by the Child-Pugh score, the diagnosis of sarcopenia was based on dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry or biochemical tests in the majority of early studies.²⁰ A recent study in patients with HCV infections showed that the L3-SMI was not significantly reduced in patients without cirrhosis but was negatively correlated with the Child-Pugh score in male patients with HCV-related cirrhosis.²¹ In our study, the L3-SMI was negatively correlated with the Child-Pugh and MELD scores. The prevalence of sarcopenia identified by a low L3-SMI score was 14.29% (26/182) in patients with Child-Pugh class A, 26.27% (57/217) in those with Child-Pugh B, and 30.86% (25/81) in those with Child–Pugh C. This observation further confirms that sarcopenia is common in patients with cirrhosis and worsens with the progression of liver disease.

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that sarcopenia is accompanied by relatively poor clinical outcomes and higher mortality in patients with cirrhosis, especially in those awaiting or undergoing liver transplantation.^{22–24} Compared with those without sarcopenia, cirrhotic patients with sarcopenia had a higher incidence of HE, an increased risk of serious infections, prolonged hospitalizations, worse quality of life, and worse survival after liver transplantation. In fact, some investigators suggested that the impact of sarcopenia on the prognosis of cirrhotic patients is independent of the MELD score and the presence of portal hypertension.^{25,26} Interestingly, the modification of the MELD score by inclusion of sarcopenia (MELD-Sarcopenia) improved the prediction of mortality in patients with cirrhosis.²⁷ Moreover, some other studies showed that compared with the Child-Pugh score, the SMI has a higher area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for predicting the prognosis in male cirrhotic patients, and the ALBI-SMM grading system, a scoring system combining albumin, bilirubin, and SMI, was a better prognostic predictor than albumin, bilirubin, or SMI alone.^{28,29} Consistent with these previous studies, the current study confirmed that sarcopenia can be used to predict the occurrence of complications and prognosis in patients with cirrhosis. Patients with sarcopenia

have increased risks of ascites, SBP, HE, and upper gastrointestinal varices, and sarcopenia shortened the survival of the patients with Child–Pugh class C or MELD score > 14. Therefore, incorporating sarcopenia into the widely used predictive strategies (e.g. MELD or Child–Pugh scores) might help to better identify the patients with poor prognosis.

There were some limitations in this study. The aetiology distributions in Cohort 2 were not perfectly consistent with that in the whole population with liver cirrhosis, which might lead to some skewing. To avoid the interference of these distribution deviations on the results, the difference between sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia individuals were reanalysed in the HBV and non-HBV patients respectively. The results were similar to those presented in whole population of Cohort 2.

In summary, we determined the cut-off value for the L3-SMI for the diagnosis of sarcopenia in Chinese adults, which can serve as a reference for subsequent research in China. Using a cut-off of 44.77 cm²/m² in male patients and 32.50 cm²/m² in female patients for L3-SMI, 22.5% of cirrhotic Chinese patients were diagnosed with skeletal sarcopenia. Patients with sarcopenia had poor liver function and a high prevalence of cirrhosis-related complications. This evidence suggests that sarcopenia can be used to predict poor clinical outcomes and survival in patients with cirrhosis.

Funding

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Guidance Plan of Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (19411970500), the Outstanding Youth Medical Talents Project of Shanghai "Rising Stars of Medical Talent" Youth Development Program (SHWJRS2021-99), the Pilot Talent Plan of Shanghai East Hospital (2019lhrcjh), the Top-Level Clinical Discipline Project of Shanghai Pudong (PWYgf2018-04), the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation Committee of China (81770600, 82070616) and the Grant from Shanghai Municipal Health Commission (201840233).

Author contributions

Z.X., X.W.F., and Y.H. designed the study. Z.C.Q., S.Z.W., W.L. F., Z.L.Y., J.S.M., and S.P.M. collected the data. Y.J.J., L.Y.Y., and T.W. analysed the data. Z.X. wrote the paper. Z.C.Q. and X.W.F. revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients and their families for their contribution to this study.

Online supplementary material

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression analysis of the impact factors of L3-SMI based on the general Chinese population in Cohort 1.

Table S2. Distribution of L3-SMI according to age.

Table S3. Characteristics of population younger than 60 years in Cohort 1.

Table S4. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression analysis of the impact factors of L3-SMI based on cirrhotic patients in Cohort 2.

Table S5. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression analysis of the impact factors of L3-SMI based on cirrhotic patients with HBV in Cohort 2.

Table S6. Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression analysis of the impact factors of L3-SMI based on non-HBV cirrhotic patients in Cohort 2.

Table S7. Baseline characteristics is compared between sarcopenia group and non-sarcopenia group in the cirrhotic patients with HBV.

Table S8. Baseline characteristics is compared between sarcopenia group and non-sarcopenia group in the Non-HBV cirrhotic patients.

Figure S1. Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival, according to Cohort 2.

A. Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in patients with MELD score \leq 14 compared with that in subjects with MELD score>14. B. Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival in patients with distinct Child-Pugh class.

Figure S2. The time-dependent ROC curves of L3-SMI, MELD and Child-Pugh scores for prognosis prediction in patients with liver cirrhosis, according to Cohort 2.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest regarding this study.

References

1. Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet* 2014;**383**:1749–1761.

2. Li M, Wang ZQ, Zhang L, Zheng H, Liu DW, Zhou MG. Burden of cirrhosis and other chronic liver diseases caused by specific etiologies in China, 1990-2016: findings

from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Biomed Environ Sci* 2020;**33**:1–10.

- Zhang L, Fan ZF, Liu DW, Zhou MG, Wang ZQ, Li M. Trend analysis on the disease burden related to cirrhosis and other chronic liver diseases caused by hepatitis B, in China, from 1990 to 2016. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2020;41: 173–177.
- Lautz HU, Selberg O, Körber J, Bürger M, Müller MJ. Protein-calorie malnutrition in liver cirrhosis. *Clin Investig* 1992;**70**: 478–486.
- Tandon P, Ney M, Irwin I, Ma MM, Gramlich L, Bain VG, et al. Severe muscle depletion in patients on the liver transplant wait list: its prevalence and independent prognostic value. *Liver Transpl* 2012;**18**:1209–1216.
- Beaudart C, McCloskey E, Bruyère O, Cesari M, Rolland Y, Rizzoli R, et al. Sarcopenia in daily practice: assessment and management. *BMC Geriatr* 2016;**16**:170.
- Schweitzer L, Geisler C, Pourhassan M, Braun W, Glüer CC, Bosy-Westphal A, et al. What is the Clin best reference site for a single MRI slice to assess whole-body skeletal muscle and adipose tissue volumes in healthy adults? Am J Clin Nutr 2015;102:58–65.
- Yoo T, Lo WD, Evans DC. Computed tomography measured psoas density predicts outcomes in trauma. *Surgery* 2017;162: 377–384.
- Ghufran A. Nutrition in chronic liver disease: a point-of-care review. Nutr Clin Pract 2020;35:211–217.
- Bunchorntavakul C, Reddy KR. Review article: malnutrition/sarcopenia and frailty in patients with cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020;**51**:64–77.
- Nishikawa H, Shiraki M, Hiramatsu A, Moriya K, Hino K, Nishiguchi S. Japan Society of Hepatology guidelines for sarcopenia in liver disease (1st edition): recommendation from the working group for creation of sarcopenia assessment criteria. *Hepatol Res* 2016;46:951–963.

- Ferreira LG, Anastácio LR, Lima AS, Correia MI. Assessment of nutritional status of patients waiting for liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2011;25:248–254.
- Periyalwar P, Dasarathy S. Malnutrition in cirrhosis: contribution and consequences of sarcopenia on metabolic and clinical responses. *Clin Liver Dis* 2012;16:95–131.
- Rush EC, Freitas I, Plank LD. Body size, body composition and fat distribution: comparative analysis of European, Maori, Pacific Island and Asian Indian adults. Br J Nutr 2009;102:632–641.
- Prado CMM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Sawyer MB, Martin L, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:629–635.
- Van Rijssen LB, van Huijgevoort NC, Coelen RJ, Tol JA, Haverkort EB, Nio CY, et al. Skeletal muscle quality is associated with worse survival after pancreatoduodenectomy for periampullary, nonpancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:272–280.
- Park H, Oh DY, Kim TY, Lee KH, Han SW, Im SA, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion to predict survival of patients with advanced biliary tract cancer undergoing palliative chemotherapy. *Oncotarget* 2017;8: 79441–79452.
- Vasques J, Guerreiro CS, Sousa J, Pinto M, Cortez-Pinto H. Nutritional support in cirrhotic patients with sarcopenia. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2019;33:12–17.
- Ebadi M, Bhanji RA, Mazurak VC, Montano-Loza AJ. Sarcopenia in cirrhosis: from pathogenesis to interventions. J Gastroenterol 2019;54:845–859.
- Roongpisuthipong C, Sobhonslidsuk A, Nantiruj K, Songchitsomboon S. Nutritional assessment in various stages of liver cirrhosis. Nutrition 2001;17:761–765.
- Fukui A, Kawabe N, Hashimoto S, Kamei H, Yoshioka K. Skeletal muscle mass depletion in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;**31**:59–66.

- 22. van Vugt JL, Levolger S, de Bruin RW, van Rosmalen J, Metselaar HJ, IJzermans JNM. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of computed tomographyassessed skeletal muscle mass on outcome in patients awaiting or undergoing liver transplantation. Am J Transplant 2016;16: 2277–2292.
- Dasarathy S, Merli M. Sarcopenia from mechanism to diagnosis and treatment in liver disease. J Hepatol 2016;65: 1232–1244.
- Tandon P, Raman M, Mourtzakis M, Merli M. A practical approach to nutritional screening and assessment in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2017;65:1044–1057.
- Kalafateli M, Mantzoukis K, Choi Yau Y, Mohammad AO, Arora S, Rodrigues S, et al. Malnutrition and sarcopenia predict post-liver transplantation outcomes independently of the model for end-stage liver disease score. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2017;8:113–121.
- Kang SH, Jeong WK, Baik SK, Cha SH, Kim MY. Impact of sarcopenia on prognostic value of cirrhosis: going beyond the hepatic venous pressure gradient and MELD score. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2018;9:860–870.
- 27. van Vugt JLA, Alferink LJM, Buettner S, Gaspersz MP, Bot D, Darwish Murad S, et al. A model including sarcopenia surpasses the MELD score in predicting waiting list mortality in cirrhotic liver transplant candidates: a competing risk analysis in a national cohort. J Hepatol 2018;68: 707–714.
- Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Ishii A, Iwata Y, Miyamoto Y, Ishii N, et al. Comparison of prognostic impact between the Child-Pugh score and skeletal muscle mass for patients with liver cirrhosis. *Nutrients* 2017;9:595.
- Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Yoh K, Iwata Y, Sakai Y, Kishino K, et al. Combined albumin-bilirubin grade and skeletal muscle mass as a predictor in liver cirrhosis. J Clin Med 2019;8:782.