

Article



Detection of *TEM* and *CTX-M* Genes in *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Clinical Specimens at Tertiary Care Heart Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal

Ram Shankar Prasad Sah¹, Binod Dhungel¹, Binod Kumar Yadav², Nabaraj Adhikari¹, Upendra Thapa Shrestha¹, Binod Lekhak¹, Megha Raj Banjara¹, Bipin Adhikari³, Prakash Ghimire¹, and Komal Raj Rijal^{1,*}

- ¹ Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu 44618, Nepal; shankarram224@gmail.com (R.S.P.S.); bwith.binod@gmail.com (B.D.); adhikarinaba13@gmail.com (N.A.); upendrats@gmail.com (U.T.S.); binodlekhak9@gmail.com (B.L.); banjaramr@gmail.com (M.R.B.); prakashghimire@gmail.com (P.G.)
- ² Shahid Gangalal National Heart Centre, Bansbari, Kathmandu 44618, Nepal; yadavbinod4u@gmail.com
- ³ Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand; biopion@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: rijalkomal@gmail.com or komal.rijal@cdmi.tu.edu.np

Abstract: Background: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) among Gram-negative pathogens, predominantly ESBL-producing clinical isolates, are increasing worldwide. The main aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of ESBL-producing clinical isolates, their antibiogram, and the frequency of ESBL genes (*bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M}) in the clinical samples from patients. Methods: A total of 1065 clinical specimens from patients suspected of heart infections were collected between February and August 2019. Bacterial isolates were identified on colony morphology and biochemical properties. Thus, obtained clinical isolates were screened for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) using modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method, while ESBL producers were identified by using a combination disk diffusion method. ESBL positive isolates were further assessed using conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect the ESBL genes bla_{TEM} and bla_{CTX-M}. Results: Out of 1065 clinical specimens, 17.8% (190/1065) showed bacterial growth. Among 190 bacterial isolates, 57.4% (109/190) were Gram-negative bacteria. Among 109 Gram-negative bacteria, 40.3% (44/109) were E. coli, and 30.2% (33/109) were K. pneumoniae. In AST, 57.7% (n = 63) Gram-negative bacterial isolates were resistant to ampicillin and 47.7% (n = 52) were resistant to nalidixic acid. Over half of the isolates (51.3%; 56/109) were multidrug resistant (MDR). Of 44 E. coli, 27.3% (12/44) were ESBL producers. Among ESBL producer E. coli isolates, 58.4% (7/12) tested positive for the bla_{CTX-M} gene and 41.6% (5/12) tested positive for the bla_{TEM} gene. Conclusion: Half of the Gram-negative bacteria in our study were MDR. Routine identification of an infectious agent followed by AST is critical to optimize the treatment and prevent antimicrobial resistance.

Keywords: uropathogenic *E. coli;* ESBL; antimicrobial resistance; Temoneira (TEM); Cefotaximase; *bla*_{CTX-M}; *bla*_{TEM}; Nepal

CC () BY

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Background

Escherichia coli and *Klebsiella* species comprise the largest portion of the Gram-negative pathogens in several nosocomial and community-acquired infections, such as intra-abdominal infection, bloodstream infection (BSI), meningitis, and pyogenic liver abscess (PLA) [1]. *E. coli* is a commensal of the mammalian gastrointestinal tract, but can also be found in water, soil, and vegetation [2]. Virtually all pathogenic strains of Gram-negative bacteria are responsible for several infections, including gastroenteritis, urinary tract infection (UTI), septicemia, nosocomial infections, pneumonia, brain and abdominal abscess, and neonatal meningitis [3].



Citation: Sah, R.S.P.; Dhungel, B.; Yadav, B.K.; Adhikari, N.; Thapa Shrestha, U.; Lekhak, B.; Banjara, M.R.; Adhikari, B.; Ghimire, P.; Rijal, K.R. Detection of *TEM* and *CTX-M* Genes in *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Clinical Specimens at Tertiary Care Heart Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. *Diseases* 2021, *9*, 15. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/diseases9010015

Received: 16 December 2020 Accepted: 4 February 2021 Published: 7 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Antimicrobials are the most effective choice of drugs against infectious bacteria. Fluroquinolones, cephalosporins, β -lactams, and β -lactamases inhibitors alone or in combination are the frequently prescribed drugs in response to infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria [4]. Nonetheless, overuse of such drugs in humans, animals, and the environment is deemed responsible for the emergence of antibiotic resistance [5]. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the condition in which pathogenic strains of the bacteria develop resistance against the specific drug prescribed in response to that microorganism(s) [6,7]. For instance, Gram-negative bacteria have developed resistance to one of the most effective drugs (β -lactams) by producing enzymes that can hydrolyze bonds of β -lactam rings. These enzymes are named as extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs), which possess the ability to inactivate extended-spectrum β -lactamases that have different genotypic variants, such as *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}, and *bla*_{CTX-M} [8]. In addition to this, ESBL producers exhibit co-expression of many other genes. Other less frequently recovered variants are bla KPC, VEB, PER, BEL-1, BES-1, SFO-1, TLA, and bla BIC [8].

The emergence and spread of these drug-resistant genes can limit therapeutic options, increase morbidities and mortalities, prolong hospital stays, and cost massive economic loss [9]. Most of the low and middle income countries (LMICs), including Nepal, have poor infection control strategies, and lack of diagnostic facilities, routine monitoring, and surveillance systems for AMR [10–12]. In addition to this, wide availability and use of over-the-counter (OTC) antimicrobials are further aggravating the AMR in LMIC settings, including Nepal [13].

The prevalence of ESBL-producing pathogens varies widely, even in closely related regions. Higher rates of ESBLs have been reported from Southeast Asia, including Nepal [14]. Various studies have reported the circulation of ESBL-producing bacterial strains in Nepal [3,6,15–18]. Laboratory facilities for culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing in different peripheral/district hospitals are still not available in Nepal. Inadequate laboratory facilities can result in the improper diagnosis of the disease, and thus lead to the use of inappropriate antibiotics [19]. Clinical suspicion of the ß-lactamases in the absence of proper diagnostic methods may have increased the rate of ESBLs in Nepal. It is critical to understand the dynamics of antimicrobial resistance within specific contexts for choosing effectiveness of life-saving antibiotics and to control ESBLs-producing pathogens [3,20].

Drug resistance is often difficult to recognize by using conventional antibiotic sensitivity test methods alone [5]. Failure to identify ESBL-producing organisms contributes to their uncontrolled spread [12]. Identification of the resistant phenotype is crucial in preventing the AMR, more so in developing countries, where there is excessive use of antibiotics and a lack of adequate antimicrobial resistance surveillance [11,17]. The main objective of this study was to explore the prevalence of ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria, their antibiogram, and molecular detection of plasmid-mediated ESBL genes *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M} in *E. coli* among patients suspected of heart infections at Gangalal Heart Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Design, Study Site, and Sample Population

This hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted at the microbiology laboratory of Shahid Gangalal National Heart Centre, Bansbari, Kathmandu and the Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal between February and August 2019. This study population was inclusive of all genders and age groups (from newborn to 90 years) attending the hospital (inpatient and outpatient department) with suspicion of heart infections. All of the study subjects provided written informed consent prior to enrolment in the study.

2.2. Sample Size and Sample Type

A total of 1065 non-duplicated clinical specimens were collected from the patients attending Shahid Gangalal National Heart Center. Among 1065 clinical specimens analyzed, 246 were blood, 300 were urine, 280 were sputum, 151 were pus or wounds, 59 were tips (catheter tips, central venous pressure tips, endotracheal tube, and secretion and suction tips), and 25 were fluids (pericardial fluids, peritoneal fluids, pleural fluids, and other body fluids). Demographic information was collected using a structured questionnaire.

2.3. Sample Collection and Transportation

All of the samples were collected in a clean, leak-proof container by the trained medical personnel. After aseptic collection of the samples, they were well-labeled and immediately sent to the microbiology department for further assay. In case of delay in delivery, samples were either refrigerated or preserved at 4 °C with appropriate preservatives. Samples were collected and processed by following standard microbiological methods [21,22].

2.4. Laboratory Processing of the Specimens

2.4.1. For Blood Samples

Five ml of blood were mixed with 45 mL of brain heart infusion (BHI) broth for adults and 1 mL of blood with 9 mL of BHI broth for children. The bottle was incubated at 37 °C for seven days. After sufficient incubation, bottles showing turbidity were further sub-cultured aerobically in MacConkey agar (MA) and blood agar (BA) at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. If growth occurred, then the isolated colony was identified by colony morphology, Gram staining, and a biochemical test [23].

2.4.2. For Urine Samples

The urine samples were cultured into cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient (CLED) agar by semi-quantitative culture techniques using a standard calibrated loop (4 mm). A loop full of urine was streaked on the plate and then incubated at 37 °C in aerobic conditions for 18–24 h. Colony count was performed, in which a positive result was considered for plates having more than or equal to 10⁵ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL of urine based on Kass, Marple, and Sanford criteria [21,24].

2.4.3. For Sputum, Wound Swab, Pus, Pericardial Fluids, Other Body Fluids, and Valve Tissues

These specimens were inoculated in BA and MA plates. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37 °C overnight. If growth occurred, then the isolated colony was identified by colony morphology, Gram staining, and a biochemical test [21,24].

2.5. Identification of the Isolates

After incubation, the culture plates were examined for the growth of organisms. Presumptive identification of the isolates was made solely on the basis of colony morphology and Gram staining. Isolates were then subjected to other confirmatory biochemical tests (catalase, oxidase, sulphur indole motility, methyl red/voges-proskauer, triple sugar iron, citrate, urease, and oxidative fermentative test) [24].

2.6. Antibiotic Susceptibility Test of Isolated Organisms

An antibiotic susceptibility test (AST) of isolated organisms was performed according to the CLSI guidelines [25]. The antibiotics used were ampicillin (10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), cotrimoxazole (25 µg), cephalosporin (5µg), nitrofurantoin (300 µg), nalidixic acid (10 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), gentamicin (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), meropenem (10 µg), piperacillin (100 µg), and piperacillintazobactam (100/10 µg). The susceptibility test was performed in vitro by the modified Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method. In this method, the broth culture of the test organism (comparable to McFarland tube no. 0.5; inoculum density 1.5×10^8 organisms/mL) was

uniformly carpeted on the surface of Mueller Hinton agar (MHA). Appropriate antibiotic disks were placed onto the medium and then incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. After incubation, the inhibition zone was measured with the help of a measuring scale in mm and interpreted as susceptible, intermediate, or resistant by comparing the zones with standard interpretive criteria following CLSI 2019 guidelines [25].

2.7. Screening of Multidrug Resistant (MDR) and Potential ESBL Producers

Isolates showing resistance to at least one agent of three or more classes of antimicrobial agents were classified as multi-drug resistant (MDR) [26]. If the zone of inhibition was \leq 23 mm ceftriaxone, \leq 21 mm ceftazidime, and \leq 26 mm cefotaxime, the isolates were considered as a potential ESBL producer [25], and thus further subjected to the confirmatory tests.

2.8. Phenotypic Confirmation of ESBL Production

A combination disk test (CDT), as recommended by the CLSI (2018), was performed as the confirmation test for ESBL producers [27]. In this test, ceftazidime (30 µg) and cefotaxime (30 µg) disks alone and in combination with clavulanic acid (ceftazidime plus clavulanic acid, $30/10 \mu$ g; cefotaxime plus clavulanic acid, $30/10 \mu$ g) disks were applied onto an MHA plate (with an already inoculated test strain), and then incubated at 16–18 h for 35 ± 2 °C. Isolates showing an increase of ≥ 5 mm in the zone of inhibition of the combination disks in comparison to that of the ceftazidime/cefotaxime disk alone were confirmed as ESBL producers [27].

2.9. Preservation of the Isolates

An axenic culture of ESBL producer *E. coli* isolates were preserved in 20% glycerol containing tryptic soya broth and kept at -70 °C until further processing for molecular assay [28,29].

2.10. Plasmid DNA Extraction and Amplification

All of the phenotypically confirmed ESBL producers *E. coli* were analyzed for detection of β -lactamase genes (*bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M}). The test organisms were inoculated in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and incubated overnight at 37 °C with aeration by using a water bath shaker. After incubation, the plasmid DNA was extracted by using the alkaline lysis method [30]. After extraction of the DNA, the DNA was suspended in 50 µL of TE buffer and stored at deep freeze (4 °C) or at -20 °C [30].

2.11. DNA Amplification and Detection

Conventional PCR was used to detect the plasmid genes. PCR amplification reactions were carried out in a 21 µL volume, in which a master mix containing 200 µM of dNTP_s (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP), 120 nM of each primer (forward and reverse), 0.5 U/µL of *Taq polymerase* in 1× PCR buffer, 25 mM of MgCl₂, and 3 µL of DNA template was added. Amplification reactions were performed in a DNA thermal cycler under the following thermal and cycling conditions for the *bla*_{TEM} (F.P:5'-GAGACAATAACCCTGGTAAAT-3'R.P:5'-AGAAGTAAGTTGGCAGCAGTG-3') [16] and *bla*_{CTX-M} (Forward Primer: 5'-TTTGCGATGTGCAGTACCAGTAA-3', Reverse Primer: 5'-CGATATCGTTGGTGGTGCCATA-3') [31] genes: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min of 35 cycles, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min of 35 cycles for *bla*_{CTX-M} and 56 °C for 45 s of 35 cycles for *bla*_{TEM}, extension at 72 °C for 1 min of 35 cycles, and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min [16,31].

After PCR amplification, 15 μ L of each reaction were separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel for 60 min at 100 V in 0.5× TBE buffer. Ten μ L of DNA was stained with ethidium bromide (5 μ g/mL). Thus, amplified DNA bands were visualized by using a UV transilluminator [28,29]. The amplicon size for *bla*_{TEM} was 459 bp [16] and *bla*_{CTX-M} was 544 bp [31].

2.12. Quality Control

For the standardization of the drug susceptibility test, control strains of *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 700603) and *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) were used. For, PCR control sterile water (negative) and the known positive DNA and negative controls from the previous extraction (positive) were processed to ensure the correctness of PCR process.

2.13. Statistical Analysis

Collected data were entered into SPSS version 24.0 software and analyzed. The chisquared test was used to explore the association between categorical variables. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered to be significant in determining the relationship between the variables.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution of Culture-Positive Bacterial Isolates

Out of the total samples, 17.8% (n = 190/1065) showed bacterial growth. Among 190 bacterial isolates, 57.4% (109/190) were Gram-negative bacteria, and 42.6% (81/190) were Gram-positive bacteria. Among 109 Gram-negative bacteria, 40.3% (44/109) were *E. coli*, 30.2% (33/109) were *K. pneumoniae*, and 11.0% (12/109) were *A. baumannii*.

Among 190 patients, 46.3% (88/190) were male. Among the age-wise distribution of patients, 42.6% (81/190) were from the age group >46 years, followed by the age group 15–45 years (41.1%; 78/190) (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and the distribution of culture-positive bacterial isolates (*n* = 190).

	NT 1	Culture		
Character/Bacterial Isolates	Number —	Number	Percentage	<i>p</i> -value
Gender				
Male	480	88	46.3	0.7
Female	585	102	53.7	
Age groups (in years)				
0-15	180	31	16.3	0.3
15-45	490	78	41.1	
>46	395	81	42.6	
Type of specimens				
Blood	246	13	6.8	1.8
Urine	304	92	48.4	
Sputum	280	29	15.3	
Pus/wound swab	151	40	21.1	
Catheter tips	59	10	5.3	
Body fluids	25	6	3.1	
Type of bacteria				
Gram-negative bacter	ria	109	57.4	
Ē. coli		44	40.4	
Klebsiella pneumoniae		33	30.3	
Acinetobacter baumannii		12	11.1	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		9	8.3	
Serratia marcescens		7	6.3	
Citrobacter spp.		2	1.8	
Proteus mirabilis		2	1.8	
Gram-positive bacteria		81	42.6	
Staphylococcus aureu	5	39	48.2	
CONS		19	23.5	
Enterococcus spp.		15	18.5	
Streptococcus spp.		8	9.8	

3.2. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Isolated Gram-Negative Bacteria

In this study, carbapenem drugs, like meropenem and imipenem, were found to be more effective than other antibiotics. Among 44 isolates of *E. coli*, 91.0% (40/44) were sensitive to meropenem, followed by imipenem (86.4%; 38/44), gentamicin (72.8%; 38/44), and amikacin (66%; 29/44), whereas the majority (75.0%; 33/44) of isolates were resistant to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole (63.6%; 28/44), norfloxacin (63.6%; 28/44), and nalidixic acid (61.3%; 27/44). The antibiotic susceptibility profile of other Gram-negative isolates is presented in Table 2.

	E. coli	(n = 44)	K. pneumor	<i>iiae (n</i> = 33)	A. bauman	nii (n = 12)	P. aerugin	osa (n = 9)	S. marces	cens ($n = 7$)	C. freund	lii (n = 2)	P. mirabi	lis(n=2)
Antibiotics	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Resistant
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Ampicillin (10 μg)	11 (25.0)	33 (75.0)	10 (30.4)	23 (69.6)					3 (42.9)	4 (57.1)	0	2 (100)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)
Amikacin (30 µg)	29 (66.0)	15 (34.0)	15 (45.5)	18 (54.5)	6 (50)	6 (50)	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)	6 (85.8)	1 (14.2)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2 (100%)	0
Cotrimoxazole $(25 \mu g)$	16 (36.4)	28 (63.6)	13 (39.4)	20 (60.6)					5 (71.5)	2 (28.5)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2 (100%)	0
Nitrofurantoin (300 µg)	18 (41.0)	26 (59.0)	12 (36.4)	21 (63.6)					-	-	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2 (100%)	0
Nalidixic acid $(30 \mu g)$	17 (38.7)	27 (61.3)	11 (33.4)	22 (66.6)					-	-	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	0	2 (100)
Norfloxacin $(5 \mu g)$	16 (36.4)	28 (63.6)	-	-					-	-	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	0	2 (100)
Gentamicin (30 μ g)	32 (72.8)	12 (27.2)	17 (51.6)	16 (48.4)	6 (50)	6 (50)	7 (77.8)	2 (22.2)	7 (100)	0	2 (100)	0	2 (100)	0
Ceftazidime (30 µg)	22 (50.0)	22 (50.0)	21 (63.7)	12 (36.3)	7 (58.4)	5 (41.6)	7 (77.8)	2 (22.2)	7 (100)	0	0	2 (100)	2 (100%)	0
Cefotaxime (30 μ g)	20 (45.5)	24 (54.5)	22 (66.7)	11 (33.3)					7 (100)	0	0	2 (100)	2 (100)	0
Cefepime (30 µg)	24 (54.6)	20 (45.4)	23 (69.7	10 (30.3)	7 (58.4)	5 (41.6)	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)	7 (100)	0	0	2 (100)	2 (100%)	0
Imipenem (10 µg)	38 (86.4)	6 (13.6)	29 (87.9)	4 (12.1)	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	9 (100)	0 (0)	-	-	2 (100)	0	-	-
Meropenem (10 µg)	40 (91.0)	4 (9.0)	30 (91.0)	3 (9.0)	9 (75.0)	3 (25.0)	9 (100)	0 (0)	-	-	2 (100)	0	-	-
Piperacillin (100 µg)					4 (33.4)	8 (66.6)	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)						
Piperacillin-tazobactam (100 μ g/10 μ g)					5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	8 (89.0)	1 (11.0)						
Ciprofloxacin (5 µg)							4 (44.5)	5 (55.5)						

Table 2. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative bacterial isolates (*n* = 109).

3.3. Multidrug Resistance (MDR) among Gram-Negative Organisms

Among 109 Gram-negative bacterial isolates, more than half (51.3%; 56/109) were MDR. Among 56 MDR cases, the highest MDR was detected in the age group >45 years (50.9%; 27/56), followed by 16–45 years (35.8%; 19/56). MDR cases were found more in males (58.5%; 31/56) compared to females (41.5%; 22/56) (p = 0.05). In the specimen-wise distribution of MDR cases, the highest MDR cases were detected in urine specimens (45.3%; 24/56), followed by pus/wound swab (28.3%; 15/56) and sputum (20.7%; 11/56). There was a significant association between the clinical specimens and MDR bacteria (p = 0.02) (Table 3).

Table 3. Prevalence of MDR in	Gram-negative bacteria acco	ording to demograph	ic characteristics (n = 109).
		a		

	MD	MDR		MDR	u Value
Character –	Number	%	Number	%	<i>p-value</i>
Age Group (in years)					
0-15	9	16.1	7	13.2	0.5
16-45	24	42.9	19	35.8	
>45	23	41.1	27	50.9	
Gender					
Male	22	39.3	31	58.5	0.05
Female	34	60.7	22	41.5	
Types of specimens					
Blood	7	12.5	1	1.9	0.02
Urine	38	67.8	24	45.3	
Sputum	3	5.4	11	20.7	
Pus/wound swab	5	8.9	15	28.3	
Catheter tips	3	5.4	2	3.8	
Type of bacteria					
E. coli	28	50	16	30.3	0.01
Klebsiella pneumoniae	18	32.1	15	28.3	
A. baumannii	7	12.4	5	9.4	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2	3.6	7	13.2	
Citrobacter spp.	1	1.9	1	1.8	
Serratia marcescens	0	0	7	13.2	
Proteus mirabilis	0	0	2	3.8	

The highest percentage of MDR was seen in *Escherichia coli* (63.6%; 28/44), followed by *Acinetobacter baumannii* (58.3%; 7/12), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (54.5%; 18/33), *Citrobacter freundii* (50.0%; 1/2), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (22.2%; 2/9). *Proteus mirabilis* and *Serratia marcescens* were not found as MDR (Figure 1).



Figure 1. MDR in Gram-negative bacteria.

8 of 14

3.4. Distribution of ESBL Producers ESBL-Producing E. coli

Among 44 *E. coli* isolates, 22 isolates were screened positive for ESBL production using ceftazidime, whereas 24 isolates were screened positive for ESBL production using cefotaxime. In the confirmatory assay, 27.3% (12/44) were confirmed as ESBL-producing *E. coli*. Among 12 ESBL producers, the majority (66.7%; 8/12) were from females. All of the ESBL producers (n = 12) were detected in urine samples. Statistically, there was no significant association between the type of specimen and ESBL producer (p = 0.54) (Table 4).

Table 4. Trevalence of ESDE producers, but $T_{2.M}$, and but T_{EM} genes in E. tou according to age, sex, and types of specified	Table 4. Prevalence o	of ESBL producers	s, bla _{CTX-M} , and bla _{TEN}	genes in E. coli accordin	g to age, sex, an	d types of specimens
--	-----------------------	-------------------	--	---------------------------	-------------------	----------------------

	ESBL 1	Produce	er (n = 12)	bla _{CT}	_{X-M} Ger	ne (<i>n</i> = 7)	bla _T	_{EM} Gene (1	ı = 5)
Character	Number	%	<i>p</i> -Value	Number	%	<i>p</i> -Value	Number	%	<i>p</i> -Value
Age group (in years)									
0-15	1	8.3	0.33	1	14.3	0.65	0		0.65
16-45	7	58.3		4	57.1		3	60	
>45	4	33.3		2	28.6		2	40	
Gender									
Male	4	33.3	0.53	4	57.1	0.08	1	20	0.57
Female	8	66.7		3	42.9		4	80	
Types of specimens									
Blood	0	0	0.54						
Urine	12	100		7	100		5	100	
Sputum	0	0							
Pus/wound swab	0	0							
Catheter tips	0	0							

3.5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of ESBL-Producing Escherichia coli

All 12 ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates were found to be 100% resistant against ampicillin, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime. Most of the ESBL producers were found to be susceptible to meropenem (91.6%; 11/12), imipenem (83.4%; 10/12), gentamicin (n = 7; 58.3%; 7/12), and amikacin (n = 6; 50%; 6/12) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Antibiotic resistance profile of ESBL producers E. coli.

3.6. Molecular Detection of ESBL Producer Genes

Among 12 ESBL-producing *E. coli*, 58.4% (n = 7), 41.6% (n = 5), and 25.0% (n = 3) isolates were tested positive for the *bla*_{CTX-M} gene, *bla*_{TEM} gene, and both *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM} genes, respectively (Figure 3). The *bla*_{CTX-M} gene and *bla*_{TEM} gene on 1.5% agarose gel under UV light was detected with a band of product size of 544 bp and 499 bp, respectively (Figure 4).



Figure 3. Distribution of *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM} genes in *E. coli*.



Figure 4. (**A**) *bla*_{TEM} gene (459 bp) (Lane L1, marker DNA (100–1000 bp)), L2, L3, L4, L6 positive band, *bla*_{TEM} gene (459-bp), L7: negative control, and L8: positive control. (**B**): *bla*_{CTX-M} gene (544 bp) (Lane L1, marker DNA (100–1000 bp)), L2: positive control, L3: negative control, L4, L5, L6, L7, and L8: *bla*_{CTX-M} gene (544 bp).

4. Discussion

4.1. Overall Findings

Infections mediated by Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) constitute the major burden in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) due to acquisition of various resistant genotypes. In particular, *E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. cloacae*, and non-fermentative bacteria, such as *P. vulgaris, A. baumannii*, and *Salmonella* species, represent the major members of GNB that are associated with frequent and more severe forms of clinical manifestations, including urinary tract infection, bacteremia, and pneumonia [10,32]. More specifically, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* represent the most predominant pathogens isolated from such infections [15,33]. Antibiotics are the choice of therapeutic options for the management of these infectious diseases. However, recent findings from Nepal on the AMR suggest the emerging resistance to virtually all classes of frontline drugs in use [5]. Acquisition and horizontal transfer of resistant genes from multiple sources of pathogenic microbes, the environment, and animals are suggested as the principal drivers of the uncontrolled spread of resistance [5,34]. Urinary tract infection (UTI) was the major clinical complaint among patients in this study. Overall, more than half of the isolates showed multidrug resistance (MDR) to the common antibiotics in use. Similarly, half of the *E. coli* isolates were ESBL producers, of which almost one-third of the isolates had ESBL genes *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM}. A high prevalence of MDR and acquisition of resistant genotypes is attributed to the poor infection control in the country, and warrants urgent efforts to tackle the burgeoning AMR.

In this study, urine constituted the highest frequency (28.2%) among all of the clinical samples. Our samples echo previous studies conducted in the International Friendship Hospital, Kathmandu [3], Universal College of Medical Sciences Bhairahawa [10], Human Organ Transplant Center [33], and Nobel Medical College, Biratnagar [35]. This may be due to the high association of Gram-negative bacteria with UTI, which is the most common among patients attending a hospital in Nepal [16]. Similarly, *E. coli* was the most common organism, followed by *Klebsiella* spp., *A. baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas* spp. *E. coli* alone constituted almost one-fifth of the total isolates and more than one-third of the GNB alone. Our findings are consistent with the previous studies from Everest Hospital, Kathmandu [20], Alka Hospital, Lalitpur [28], International Friendship Hospital, Kathmandu [3], Padma Nursing Hospital, Pokhara [36], National Public Health Laboratory, Kathmandu [37], B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan [38], and Alka Hospital, Lalitpur [39].

4.2. Antibiotic Resistance and Multidrug Resistance

In this study, most of the GNB showed resistance to the commonly prescribed broadspectrum antibiotics. In addition to this, almost all of them were sensitive to the carbapenem drugs. More specifically, *E. coli* and *K. pnemoniae* were highly sensitive towards carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem) and amikacin. *E. coli* showed high sensitivity (>80%) towards meropenem and imipenem in our study. These findings are in line with the study reported from Manmohan Memorial Medical College and Teaching Hospital (MMCTH) [18], Alka Hospital, Lalitpur, Kathmandu [28]. The antibiogram of this study suggests the utility of carbapenems as a secondary therapeutic option for infections caused by MDR Gramnegative organisms. However, recent studies have shown the growing resistance to the last resort antimicrobials, carbapenems [40].

Overall, more than half (51.3%) of the GNB isolates were reported as MDR in our study. A high prevalence of MDR is reported from other studies from the International Friendship Hospital [3], Everest Hospital [20], Alka Hospital [28], Human Transplant Center, Kathmandu [33], Manmohan Memorial Medical College, and Teaching Hospital (MMCTH), Kathmandu [18]. The difference in the prevalence of MDR in Gram-negative bacteria in this study and previous studies is attributable to the differences in the source and types of samples, sample size, growth of organisms, and drug resistance pattern [10].

4.3. ESBL Producers and Acquisition of Resistant Genotypes

In this study, more than one-fourth (27.3%) of the total *E. coli* isolates were confirmed as ESBL producers. This finding is consistent with the previous studies reported from Model Hospital, Bagbazar, Kathmandu [15], Everest Hospital, Baneshwor, Kathmandu [20], and International Friendship Hospital, Maharajgunj, Kathmandu [3], and lower than a study reported from Manmohan Memorial Medical College and Teaching Hospital (MMCTH) [16,18] and Om Hospital and Research Centre, Kathmandu [41]. The higher rate of ESBL in this organism compared to the previous studies may indicate the temporal impact of increasing infections and AMR over the years. For instance, together with the growing population, the trend in self-medication, distribution of suboptimal quality of antibiotics, poor infection control, sanitation, and hygiene practices (in the personal

and community level) are the driving mechanisms for the unabated spread of resistant pathogens [11,42].

In our study, all of the ESBL-producing *E. coli* (27.3%) harbored at least one of the tested genes (bla_{CTX-M} (58.4%) and bla_{TEM} (41.6%)), while one-fourth of them showed the co-expression of both genotypes. This result indicates a slight decrease in prevalence of bla_{TEM} and bla_{CTX-M} genes than a previous study reported from Annapurna Neurological Institute and Allied Sciences, Kathmandu (33.2% ESBL producers, 83.8% bla_{TEM} , and 30.8% bla_{CTX-M}) [31]. Another study reported 40.3% ESBL producers, 83.8% bla_{TEM} and 66.1% bla_{CTX-M} from MMCTH [16]. The dominance of the bla_{CTX-M} gene has been reported from previous studies from Nepal and overseas [43–46]. The difference in the prevalence rate of *TEM* and *CTX-M* genes between the present study and other studies might be due to the difference in the sample population and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli*, the type of study design, and sample size. Similarly, co-expression (or multiple occurrences) of the genes were common in other studies too. These genes are often present in large plasmids, and are capable of conferring resistance to the organisms [47].

All of the ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates were resistant towards ampicillin, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime, while the entire isolates were also susceptible to the carbapenems, such as meropenems and imipenems. Our findings are consistent with some previous findings reported from Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara [48], International Friendship Hospital, Maharajgunj, Kathmandu [3], Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur [49], International Friendship Children Hospital, Kathmandu [50], Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital, Sinamangal, Kathmandu [14], and Shahid Gangalal National Heart Centre, Bansbari, Kathmandu [51,52]. Higher resistance to penicillin and third generation cephalosporin in this study can be solely attributable to their ability to produce ESBL.

A higher fraction (respectively, two-thirds and slightly less than two-thirds) of ESBL producers and MDR were isolated from the female patients. The slight preponderance in females is explained by the higher prevalence of UTIs among females. Similarly, women of the age group below adulthood and in the post-menopausal stage are infected due to hormonal changes and poor sanitation practices that are often prevalent in LMICs [36,37]. GNB are the potential agents for nosocomial infections, and the outcome of such infections are associated with prolonged hospital stays, increased ICU admissions, and unwanted morbidities and mortalities [3,53].

5. Strengths and Limitations

The prevalence of GNB, their antibiogram, and the status of MDR among clinical samples of the study hospital can serve as an important reference tool for future studies, clinicians, and policy makers. Exploration of the prevalence of resistant genotypes of ESBL-producing *E. coli* in this study highlights the importance and need of molecular diagnostic facilities for a more precise detection of the infectious diseases. However, our study also suffers from a number of limitations. Our study design was limited to a single hospital, and had small clinical samples, which cannot generalize the prevalence of AMR elsewhere. Moreover, due to the limitation of the laboratory resources and funding, we could not characterize the resistant genotypes of other Gram-negative organisms. In addition to this, we could not characterize the other members of the ESBL genes, including SHV within the same family, and the genotypes of β -lactamases of other Ambler classes. The study is limited by the inability to explore the origin of the resistant genotypes and their potential transferability. Therefore, future studies are recommended to include the larger populations and provide an extensive characterization of the resistant genotypes to yield an accurate burden of AMR.

6. Conclusions

More than half of the Gram-negative isolates were detected as the multidrug resistant strains in our study. Half of the pathogenic strains of *E. coli* were potential ESBL producers, of which half of them harbored the ESBL genes under question. The findings of this study

imply an urgent need for early suspicion, identification, and AST to optimize treatment and limit the spread of AMR.

Author Contributions: Conceiving and study design: R.S.P.S., B.D., P.G., and K.R.R.; Lab work and data collection: R.S.P.S.; Supervision: B.K.Y., B.L., N.A., U.T.S., K.R.R., and P.G.; Data curation: R.S.P.S., M.R.B., and K.R.R.; Data analysis: B.D., K.R.R., and M.R.B.; Drafting the manuscript: B.D. and K.R.R.; Review, editing, and finalizing the manuscript: B.A. and K.R.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the University Grants Commission (UGC-Nepal), through a faculty research grant under Nabaraj Adhikari (UGC Grant No. FRG-74/75-HS-13).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of the Institute of Science and Technology (IOST), Tribhuvan University, Nepal (Reg. No. 6/2019).

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from each patient for their voluntary participation in the study.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the staff and faculty members of the Sahid Gangalal National Heart Center, Kathmandu, Nepal, and Central Department of Microbiology, Kirtipur, Kathmandu for their support and coordination to accomplish the study. We express our sincere gratitude to all the patients for their involvement in the study. We would like to thank UGC (University Grants Commission) for providing financial support for thesis preparation through a faculty research grant under Nabaraj Adhikari (UGC Grant No.FRG-74/75-HS-13).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

AMR	Antimicrobial resistance
AST	Antimicrobial susceptibility test
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
BA	Blood Agar
AZ	Ceftazidime
CAC	Ceftazidime plus Clavulanic Acid
CDC	Center for Disease Control
CEC	Cefotaxime plus Clavulanic Acid
CLSI	Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute
CTX-M	Cefotaxime, Munich
ESBL	Extended Spectrum β-lactamase
kDa	Kilo-Dalton
MA	MacConkey Agar
MDR	Multidrug resistant
MHA	Muller Hinton Agar
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
MR/VP	Methyl Red/Voges Proskauer
OXA	Oxacillin hydrolyzing
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PER	Pseudomonas Extended Resistant
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SHV	Sulfhydryl Variable
SIM	Sulphide, Indole, Motility (Medium)
TSI	Triple Sugar Iron
TEM	Temocillin hydrolyzing
VEB	Vietnamese Extended Spectrum β-lactamases
WHO	World Health Organization

References

- 1. Podschun, R.; Ullmann, U. Klebsiella spp. as Nosocomial Pathogens: Epidemiology, Taxonomy, Typing Methods, and Pathogenicity Factors. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1998**, *11*, 589–603. [CrossRef]
- Kibret, M.; Abera, B. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of E. coli from clinical sources in northeast Ethiopia. *Afr. Health Sci.* 2011, 11, S40–S45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kayastha, K.; Dhungel, B.; Karki, S.; Adhikari, B.; Banjara, M.R.; Rijal, K.R.; Ghimire, P. Extended-Spectrum be-ta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* species in Pediatric Patients Visiting International Friendship Children's Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. *Infect. Dis. (Auckl.)* 2020, 13, 1178633720909798. [PubMed]
- 4. Gajdács, M. The Concept of an Ideal Antibiotic: Implications for Drug Design. Molecules 2019, 24, 892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Pokharel, S.; Shrestha, P.; Adhikari, B. Antimicrobial use in food animals and human health: Time to implement 'One Health' approach. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* **2020**, *9*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 6. Raut, S.; Adhikari, B. ESBL and their identification in peripheral laboratories of Nepal. Nepal. Nepal. Net. Coll. J. 2015, 17, 176–181.
- 7. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance. Available online: http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/drugresist/en/EGlobal_Strat.pdf (accessed on 14 August 2020).
- 8. Bush, K.; Bradford, P.A. Epidemiology of β-Lactamase-Producing Pathogens. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2020, 33. [CrossRef]
- 9. Gajdács, M.; Albericio, F. Antibiotic Resistance: From the Bench to Patients. Antibiotics 2019, 8, 129. [CrossRef]
- Raut, S.; Rijal, K.R.; Khatiwada, S.; Karna, S.; Khanal, R.; Adhikari, J.; Adhikari, B. Trend and Characteristics of *Acinetobacter baumannii* Infections in Patients Attending Universal College of Medical Sciences, Bhairahawa, Western Nepal: A Longitudinal Study of 2018. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, 13, 1631–1641. [CrossRef]
- 11. Pokharel, S.; Raut, S.; Adhikari, B. Tackling antimicrobial resistance in low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Glob. Health* **2019**, *4*, e002104. [CrossRef]
- 12. Raut, S.; Adhikari, B. Global leadership against antimicrobial resistance ought to include developing countries. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 775. [CrossRef]
- 13. Pokharel, S.; Adhikari, B. Antimicrobial resistance and over the counter use of drugs in Nepal. *J. Glob. Health* **2020**, *10*, 010360. [CrossRef]
- 14. Chander, A.; Shrestha, C.D. Prevalence of extended spectrum beta lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* urinary isolates in a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu, Nepal. *BMC Res. Notes* **2013**, *6*, 487. [CrossRef]
- Shrestha, U.T.; Shrestha, S.; Adhikari, N.; Rijal, K.R.; Shrestha, B.; Adhikari, B.; Banjara, M.R.; Ghimire, P. Plasmid Profiling and Occurrence of beta-Lactamase Enzymes in Multidrug-Resistant Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in Kathmandu, Nepal. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, *13*, 1905–1917. [CrossRef]
- Pandit, R.; Awal, B.; Shrestha, S.S.; Joshi, G.; Rijal, B.; Parajuli, N.P. Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) Genotypes among Multidrug-Resistant Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Clinical Isolates from a Teaching Hospital of Nepal. *Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 2020, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Raya, G.B.; Dhoubhadel, B.G.; Shrestha, D.; Raya, S.; Laghu, U.; Shah, A.; Raya, B.B.; Kafle, R.; Parry, C.M.; Ariyoshi, K. Multi-drug-resistant and extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing uropathogens in children in Bhaktapur, Nepal. *Trop. Med. Health* **2020**, *48*, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parajuli, N.P.; Maharjan, P.; Parajuli, H.; Joshi, G.; Paudel, D.; Sayami, S.; Khanal, P.R. High rates of multidrug resistance among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in children and analyses of ESBL producers from Nepal. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* 2017, 6, 9.
 [CrossRef]
- 19. Acharya, K.P.; Wilson, R.T. Antimicrobial Resistance in Nepal. Front. Med. 2019, 6, 105. [CrossRef]
- 20. Guragain, N.; Pradhan, A.; Dhungel, B.; Banjara, M.R.; Rijal, K.R.; Ghimire, P. Extended spectrum β-lactamase producing Gram negative bacterial isolates from urine of patients visiting Everest Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. *TUJM* **2019**, *6*, 26–31. [CrossRef]
- 21. Forbes, B.A.; Daniel, S.F.; Weissfelt, S.A. *Bailey and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology*; Mosby Publication: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007.
- 22. Chesbrough, M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Part II, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- 23. American Society for Microbiology. Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 2nd ed.; ASM Press: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2016.
- 24. Collee, J.G.; Mackie, T.J.; McCartney, J.E. *Mackie and McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology*, 14th ed.; Churchill Livingstone: New York, NY, USA, 1996; pp. 131–149.
- 25. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *M 100 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, 27th ed.; An Informational Supplement: Wayne, PA, USA, 2017.
- 26. Magiorakos, A.-P.; Srinivasan, A.; Carey, R.B.; Carmeli, Y.; Falagas, M.E.; Giske, C.G.; Harbarth, S.; Hindler, J.F.; Kahlmeter, G.; Olsson-Liljequist, B.; et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2012**, *18*, 268–281. [CrossRef]
- 27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance of Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*; M100; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Annapolis Junction, MD, USA, 2018.
- Gurung, S.; Kafle, S.; Dhungel, B.; Adhikari, N.; Shrestha, U.T.; Adhikari, B.; Banjara, M.R.; Rijal, K.R.; Ghimire, P. Detection of OXA-48 Gene in Carbapenem-Resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from Urine Samples. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, 13, 2311–2321. [CrossRef]

- 29. Muktan, B.; Thapa Shrestha, U.; Dhungel, B.; Mishra, B.C.; Shrestha, N.; Adhikari, N.; Banjara, M.R.; Adhikari, B.; Rijal, K.R.; Ghimire, P. Plasmid mediated colistin resistant *mcr-1* and co-existence of *OXA-48* among *Escherichia coli* from clinical and poultry isolates: First report from Nepal. *Gut Pathog.* **2020**, *12*, 44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Sambrook, J.; Russell, D. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd ed.; Cold Spring Harbor Lab Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- Edelstein, M.V.; Pimkin, M.; Palagin, I.; Stratchounski, L.S. Prevalence and Molecular Epidemiology of CTX-M Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Russian Hospitals. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2003, 47, 3724–3732. [CrossRef]
- 32. Hyun, H.S.; Kim, J.H.; Cho, M.H.; Park, E.; Ha, I.S.; Cheong, H.I.; Kang, H.G. Low relapse rate of urinary tract infections from extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing bacteria in young children. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* **2019**, *34*, 2399–2407. [CrossRef]
- Dhungana, K.; Awal, B.K.; Dhungel, B.; Sharma, S.; Banjara, M.R.; Rijal, K.R. Detection of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) and metallo β-lactamase (MBL) producing Gram negative bacteria isolated from different clinical samples in a Transplant Center, Kathmandu, Nepal. ASMI 2019, 2, 60–69. [CrossRef]
- 34. Woolhouse, M.E.; Ward, M.J. Microbiology. Sources of antimicrobial resistance. Science 2013, 341, 1460–1461. [CrossRef]
- 35. Thakur, P.; Ghimire, P.; Rijal, K.R.; Singh, G.K. Antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Escherichia coli* isolated from urine samples in patients visiting tertiary health care centre in eastern Nepal. *Sunsari Tech. Coll. J.* **2012**, *1*, 22–26. [CrossRef]
- 36. Tamang, K.; Shrestha, P.; Koirala, A.; Khadka, J.; Gautam, N.; Rijal, K.R. Prevalence of bacterial uropathogens among diabetic patients attending Padma Nursing Hospital of Western Nepal. *HiJOST* **2017**, *1*, 15–19. [CrossRef]
- Upadhyaya, G.; Bhattarai, A.; Rijal, K.R.; Ghimire, P.; Upadhyaya, B. Urinary tract infections in Kidney transplant patients of Kathmandu Valley. Int. J. Microbiol. Res. Rev. 2013, 3, 1–6.
- Shrestha, L.B.; Baral, R.; Poudel, P.; Khanal, B. Clinical, etiological and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of pediatric urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital of Nepal. *BMC Pediatr.* 2019, 19, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shah, R.K.; Rijal, K.R.; Neupane, B.; Lekhak, B.; Kumar, V. Bacterial profile and drug susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection. *IJBPR* 2014, 5, 812–819.
- van Duin, D.; Cober, E.; Richter, S.S.; Perez, F.; Kalayjian, R.C.; Salata, R.A.; Evans, S.; Fowler, V.G.; Jr Kaye, K.S.; Bonomo, R.A. Impact of therapy and strain type on outcomes in urinary tract infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2015, 70, 1203–1211. [CrossRef]
- 41. Nepal, K.; Pant, N.D.; Neupane, B.; Belbase, A.; Baidhya, R.; Shrestha, R.K.; Lekhak, B.; Bhatta, D.R.; Jha, B. Extended spectrum β-lactamase and metallo β-lactamase production among *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from different clinical samples in a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu, Nepal. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2017, 16, 62. [CrossRef]
- 42. Walson, J.L.; Marshall, B.; Pokhrel, B.M.; Kafle, K.K.; Levy, S.B. Carriage of Antibiotic-Resistant Fecal Bacteria in Nepal Reflects Proximity to Kathmandu. J. Infect. Dis. 2001, 184, 1163–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pokhrel, R.H.; Thapa, B.; Kafle, R.; Shah, P.K.; Tribuddharat, C. Co-existence of βlactamases in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* from Kathmandu, Nepal. *BMC Res. Notes* 2014, 7, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Kiratisin, P.; Apisarnthanarak, A.; Laesripa, C.; Saifon, P. Molecular characterization and epidemiology of extended-spectrum-βlactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates causing health care-associated infection in Thailand, where the CTX-M family is endemic. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2008, 52, 2818–2824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sharma, M.; Pathak, S.; Srivastava, P. Prevalence and antibiogram of Extended Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and further molecular characterization of ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. *J Clin. Diagn. Res.* 2013, 7, 2173–2177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Parajuli, N.P.; Maharjan, P.; Joshi, G.; Khanal, P.R. Emerging perils of extended spectrum β-Lactamase producing enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates in a teaching hospital of Nepal. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2016**, 2016, 1782835. [CrossRef]
- 47. Lee, S.Y.; Park, Y.J.; Yu, J.K.; Jung, S.; Kim, Y.; Jeong, S.H.; Arakawa, Y. Prevalence of acquired fosfomycin resistance among extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates in Korea and IS26-composite transposon surrounding fosA3. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2012**, *67*, 2843–2847. [CrossRef]
- 48. Raut, S.; Gokhale, S.; Adhikari, B. Prevalence of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases among *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp isolates in Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal. J. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **2015**, *5*, 69–75. [CrossRef]
- Ansari, S.; Nepal, H.P.; Gautam, R.; Shrestha, S.; Neopane, P.; Gurung, G.; Chapagain, M.L. Community acquired multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* in a tertiary care center of Nepal. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* 2015, 4, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rimal, U.; Thapa, S.; Maharjan, R. Prevalence of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella species from Urinary Specimens of Children attending Friendship International Children's Hospital. Nepal J. Biotechnol. 2017, 5, 32–38. [CrossRef]
- 51. Ghimire, A.; Acharya, B.; Tuladhar, R. Extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) producing MDR Gram negative bacteria from various clinical specimens of patients visiting a tertiary care hospital. *TUJM* **2017**, *4*, 1–8.
- 52. Pathak, P.J.N.; Yadav, B.K.; Shah, P.K. Prevalence of Extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) and Metallo beta lactamases (MBL) mediated resistance in Gram negative bacterial pathogen. *TUJM* **2017**, *4*, 49–54. [CrossRef]
- 53. Jacoby, G.A. AmpC beta-lactamases. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2009, 22, 161–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]