

### 

**Citation:** Zhang Y, Huang B (2018) Identification of multiple genes encoding SnRK1 subunits in potato tuber. PLoS ONE 13(7): e0200321. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1371/journal.pone.0200321

**Editor:** Peng Zhang, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, CHINA

Received: April 25, 2018

Accepted: June 23, 2018

Published: July 6, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Zhang, Huang. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant No. 31601320 to Y. Z.].

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## Identification of multiple genes encoding SnRK1 subunits in potato tuber

#### Yongzhong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Binquan Huang<sup>2</sup>\*

1 College of Agronomic sciences, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, China, 2 University of Lille, CNRS, UMR, Lille, France

\* huangbinquan@126.com

## Abstract

#### Background

Many studies have proven the importance of SnRK1 in the regulation of carbohydrate metabolism and plant development. Compared to Arabidopsis, much less is known about SnRK1 complexes in crop plants, and therefore, more work needs to be done to identify SnRK1 genes and to investigate their function in crop plants.

#### Methods

In this study we identified five SnRK1-related genes in potato by analyzing the potato genome through BLAST, which encode one  $\alpha$ -subunit isoform (stKIN), two  $\beta$ -subunit isoforms (stKIN $\beta$ 1 and stKIN $\beta$ 2) and two  $\gamma$ -subunit isoforms (stKIN $\gamma$  and stKIN $\beta\gamma$ ). To investigate the functions of SnRK1 in the tuber development of potato, we further made overexpression and RNAi transgenic plants of these five genes. Based on these overexpression transgenic plants, the Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) were employed to purify SnRK1 complexes, which were tracked by western-blot.

#### Results

Experiments *in vivo* and *in vitro* showed that these five proteins in potato are functional SNF1/AMPK/SnRK1-related proteins. The SnRK1 activity decreased by 60% in the RNAi transgenic lines of *stKIN*; the starch content increased by 25% in the overexpression transgenic lines of *stKIN*, compared to that in the wild-type lines, whereas there is no significant difference in SnRK1 activity and starch content in the RNAi transgenic or overexpression lines of *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  and *stKIN* $\beta\gamma$ . In addition, we found that a few different SnRK1 complexes are present in potato by partially purifying SnRK1 complexes from these overexpression transgenic plants.

#### Conclusions

Five functional SnRK1-related genes were identified in potato, including three novel genes, which encode one  $\alpha$ -subunit isoform (stKIN), two  $\beta$ -subunit isoforms (stKIN $\beta$ 1 and stKIN $\beta$ 2) and two  $\gamma$ -subunit isoforms (stKIN $\gamma$  and stKIN $\beta\gamma$ ). We found that a few SnRK1 related genes are present in potato tuber, which form several different SnRK1 isoenzymes. We

found that stKIN is the primary  $\alpha$  subunit of SnRK1 in potato tuber and plays important roles in the development of potato tubers.

#### Introduction

Sucrose non-fermenting-1-related protein kinase (SnRK1) is a member of the SNF1 protein kinase family in higher plants, which is considered a central regulator of plant growth and development [1–3]. Similar to the SNF1 and AMPK, plant SnRK1 is proposed to form an active heterotrimeric complex with  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  regulatory subunits. In this complex, the  $\alpha$  subunit is responsible for catalytic activity; the  $\beta$  subunit has both kinase interaction sequence (KIS) and association with SNF1 complex (ASC) domains and is therefore generally considered a scaffold, which is responsible for regulatory activity. However, the SnRK1 complex shows some structural diversity and has evolved unique regulatory subunits, such as a truncated  $\beta$  subunit that lacks the KIS domain and an atypical  $\gamma$  subunit with a KIS domain sequence specific to the  $\beta$  subunit fused to its N-terminus, which is designated a  $\beta\gamma$  subunit [4–6]. These plant-specific regulatory subunits might have arisen to perform plant-specific functions.

Each subunit of AMPK and SnRK1 is encoded by distinct genes. AMPK has two  $\alpha$ -type subunits ( $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ), two  $\beta$ -type subunits ( $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) and three  $\gamma$ -type subunits ( $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma_3$ ). In plants, most research has focused on Arabidopsis, which has two  $\alpha$ -type SnRK1 subunits ( $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ), three  $\beta$ -type subunits ( $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ ) and two  $\gamma$ -type subunits ( $\gamma_1$ ,  $\beta\gamma_1$ ). A previous study has shown that these subunits can form different SnRK1 isoenzymes [6]. Compared with those in Arabidopsis, much less is known about SnRK1 complexes in crop plants. A few studies have shown that SnRK1 plays important roles in the regulation of metabolism in crop storage organ and its development, which indicated the value of SnRK1 in the improvement of crop yield and quality. In potato tuber, overexpression (OX) of SnRK1 caused the activity of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) to increase, leading to a significant increase in starch content [7]. In barley, SnRK1 is thought to regulate storage product accumulation during grain filling [8]. In wheat, SnRK1 regulates seed filling and development via Trehalose 6-phosphate (T6P) [9], and in maize, two SnRK1 genes that encode  $\beta\gamma$  proteins were shown to have an important role in maize kernel development [10]. Overall, compared to Arabidopsis, more work needs to be done to identify SnRK1 genes and to investigate their function in crop plants.

Hence, in this study, we identified five SnRK1-complex-related genes in potato tuber: stKIN,  $stKIN\beta1$ ,  $stKIN\beta2$ ,  $stKIN\gamma$  and  $stKIN\beta\gamma$ , and further made the OX and RNAi knockdown (KD) transgenic plants to examine the function of these SnRK1-related genes. The stKIN-related transformants showed strong phenotypes with significantly altered SnRK1 activity and starch content, while no significant phenotypes were found in the OX or KD transformants of  $stKIN\beta1$ ,  $stKIN\beta2$ ,  $stKIN\gamma$  or  $stKIN\beta\gamma$ . Furthermore, we partially purified SnRK1 complexes from these overexpression transgenic plants and found that a few different SnRK1 complexes are present in potato tuber.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant material and transformation

Nodal segments of potato cv. Désirée were grown in 50 ml tubes with standard medium MS30 (MS 4.405 g, Sucrose 30 g, agar 8 g, pH 5.7). The transgenic potato plants were generated by using agrobacteria-mediated transformation [11]. The transgenic potato plantlets were transferred into pots and grown in greenhouse at 20°C under a16-h light: 8-h dark regime.

#### Development of overexpression and knockdown transgenic plants

To investigate the functions of these five SnRK1-related genes during the tuber filling in vivo, we made both OX and KD transgenic plants for *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  and *stKIN* $\beta$  $\gamma$ . For OX lines, the full-length cDNAs encoding potato stKIN, stKIN $\beta$ 1, stKIN $\beta$ 2, stKIN $\gamma$  and stKIN $\beta$  $\gamma$  were cloned into the Gateway entry vector pDONR201, and then were further introduced into the pMDC32 vector. The following primers were used for full length amplification:

stKIN-F 5' -AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGAGTTCCAGAGGTGGTGGAATTG-3' stKIN-R 5' -AGAAAGCTGGGTTTGCACTGAGAAATAATGAATG-3' stKIN $\beta$ 2-F 5' -AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGGGGAATGTGAGTGGG-3' stKIN $\beta$ 2-R 5' -AGAAAGCTGGGTTCTTTTTTAAGTTTTTCAA-3' stKIN $\beta$ 1-F 5' -AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGGGAAATGCGAACGCCAG-3' stKIN $\beta$ 1-R 5' -AGAAAGCTGGGTTCCTCTTCAGTGGCTTGTA-3' stKIN $\gamma$ -F 5' -AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGGTGATGGAAGAAGGATG stKIN $\gamma$ -R 5' -AGAAAGCTGGGTTAACCCT $\alpha$ CTATTTGCTGG stKIN $\beta\gamma$ -F 5' -AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGTTTGGGTCTGGTAGTG-3' stKIN $\beta\gamma$ -R 5' -AGAAAGCTGGGTTGCAGCCGAGCAAGAACC-3'

The *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  and *stKIN* $\beta\gamma$  sequences were fused with a HA tag sequence at the C terminus so that the transformants would express proteins fused with the HA tag. For KD lines, a specific fragment of approximately 250 bp from each gene was cloned into pDONR201 for RNAi vector construction, and the fragment of each gene was integrated into the pHellsgate12 RNAi vector. The following primers were used for specific fragments amplification:

 $stKIN-F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCTAGGCAACATCTTAAAAAG-3', stKIN-R 5' - AGAAAGCTGGGTTTGCACTGAGAAATAATGA-3'; stKIN\beta1-F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGGGAAATGCGAACGCCAGAG-3'; stKIN\beta2-F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCATGGGGAATGTGAGTGGGAAGAAG-3'; stKIN\beta2-R 5' - AGAAAGCTGGGTTCTTCCAGCCATCCCATGATCC-3'; stKIN\gamma-F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGTATGGATCCTCCATCAGTCGG-3'; stKIN\gamma -R 5' - AGAAAGCTGGGTTCACGGGAATACTCTTCATCTTGTA-3'; stKIN\beta\gamma -F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCAGGGAGTCAGAGCTCTTCAAGTCGA-3'; stKINβγ -F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCAGGGAGTCAGATGCTATCCTGAACTG-3'; stKINβγ -F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCAGGGAGTCAGATGCTATTCCTGAACTG-3'; stKINβγ -F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCAGGGAGTCAGATGCTATTCCTGAACTG-3'; stKINβγ -F 5' - AAAAAGCAGGCTCCAGGGAGTCAGATGCTATAGAGTG-3'.$ 

These constructions were transformed into Agrobacteria AGL1 strain, which was then infected potato callus. Transformations with the empty vector were used as negative controls. Transgenic potato lines were identified by gene-specific and vector-specific primers, and the positive lines were transferred to soil. After genotyping, total RNA was extracted from the tubers of positive transformants to determine the transcript level. The three transformants for each gene with the largest transcript level changes were used for analysis.

#### Protein extraction and purification

All the following procedures were carried out at 4°C, except where otherwise stated. Fresh potato tubers at 48, 52, 56 and 60 days after planting were collected from the greenhouse, cleaned and sliced into prechilled protein extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.1 mM PMSF, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (P9599, sigma)) and homogenized with a homogenizer (Polytron homogenizer PT 10–35). The homogenate was then centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C; the supernatant was further filtered by flowing through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter and then transferred into new tubes for use or stored at -80°C until use. Each filtered sample was loaded on an anion-exchange column

equilibrated with buffer A (50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT) at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The AEC column connected with an AKTA FPLC system and was washed by buffer A, followed by a gradient of 0% to 60% buffer B (50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 0.5 M NaCl) over 40 minutes. Each fraction was 0.5 ml. These fractions were monitored by SnRK1 assays. We pooled all active AEC fractions and concentrated them into 500  $\mu$ l. The concentrated samples were applied to a Superdex 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare, catalogue no. 17-5175-01) connected with an AKTA FPLC system. The column was equilibrated and run in buffer A containing 150 mM NaCl. The flow rate was 0.5 ml/min, and the fraction size was 0.5 ml. A molecular weight marker (Bio-Rad, catalogue no. 151–1901) was loaded onto the gel permeation chromatography (GPC) column, and the standard proteins were separated using the same conditions.

#### **Co-immunoprecipitation**

Developing tubers from the OX lines of *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  and *stKIN* $\beta\gamma$  were harvested from the greenhouse, cleaned and sliced into the prechilled immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton-X-100, and 1% protease inhibitor, and the mixture was homogenized with a homogenizer. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatant was further filtered by flowing through a 0.45 mm filter. The protein extract was then diluted to a concentration of 1–2 µg/µl in IP buffer. The over-expressed stKIN, stKIN $\beta$ 1, stKIN $\beta$ 2, stKIN $\gamma$  and stKIN $\beta\gamma$  were purified by using Thermo Scientific <sup>TM</sup> Pierce <sup>TM</sup> HA-Tag IP/Co-IP Kit (Catalogue No. PI26180).

#### SnRK1 assays

The SnRK1 assay followed Zhang et al. (2009) [12]. SnRK1 was assayed in 25  $\mu$ L in duplicate in microtiter plate wells at 30°C. Assay medium was 40 mm HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 5 mm MgCl2, 200  $\mu$ m ATP containing 12.5 kBq [ $\gamma$ -33P]ATP (GE Healthcare), 200  $\mu$ m AMARA peptide (Ala-Met-Ala-Arg-Ala-Ala-Ser-Ala-Ala-Leu-Ala-Arg-Arg-Arg), 5 mm dithiothreitol, 1  $\mu$ m okadaic acid, 1  $\mu$ m pepstatin A, 10  $\mu$ m E64, and 7  $\mu$ m chymostatin. The detailed procedures of the SnRK1 assay exactly followed Hastie et al. (2006) [13]

#### Quantitative analysis of starch and soluble carbohydrate content of tubers

Tubers were harvested at 60 days after growing and the cleaned tubers were sliced by scissors and then homogenized in buffer (50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1X protease inhibitor cocktail) with a homogenizer. Subsequently, three duplicate 1 ml samples were collected from the tuber homogenate; two of them were used for determining starch content, and the third sample of the crude homogenate was dried at 80°C to determine the dry weight of the tissue analyzed. The starch pellet in each duplicate sample was washed twice by 80% (v/v) ethanol, and then the starch pellet were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. The amount of hydrolyzed starch was qualified by using amyloglucosidase (Megazyme; catalogue no. 9032-08-0) and GOPOD (Megazyme; catalogue no. K-GLUC) to perform a colorimetric assay.

#### Result

#### Identification of novel SnRK1 related proteins in potato

To identify potato SnRK1-related genes, we blasted the published sequences of SNF1/AMPK/ SnRK1-related genes (SNF1, NM\_001180785.3; AMPK, NM\_019142.2; and SnRK1,

M74113.1, AT3G01090, AT3G29160 AJ132315, AJ132316 and NM\_100773.4, AT2G28060) against the potato genome, and then used the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD) to perform domain analyses of the identified protein sequences. Finally, we identified five proteins containing conserved SNF1/AMPK/SnRK1-related domains with high confidence, including one  $\alpha$ -subunit isoform, two  $\beta$ -subunit isoforms and two  $\gamma$ -subunit isoforms. We named the identified sequences according to the nomenclature of SnRK1 complex: the sequence PGSC0003DMG400024561 encodes a protein with a conserved kinase domain and was designated *stKIN*; PGSC0003DMG400015241 encodes a protein with CBS domain and was designed *stKIN* $\gamma$ ; PGSC0003DMG402024742 encodes a protein with both  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunits-related domains, and were designated *stKIN* $\beta\gamma$ . Of these five genes, the *stKIN* and stKIN $\beta1$  were investigated before, showing that they are components of SnRK1 complex in potato [14–17].

# StKIN, stKIN $\beta$ 1, stKIN $\beta$ 2, stKIN $\gamma$ and stKIN $\beta\gamma$ are functional SnRK1-related proteins

To investigate the functions of *stKIN*, *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* and *stKINβγ*, we developed OX transgenic lines of *stKIN*, *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* and *stKINβγ* fused to a HA tag sequence at the C-terminus of each gene, which expressed HA tagged stKIN, stKINβ1, stKINβ2, stKINγ and stKINβγ. We collected tubers from these OX transgenic lines and extracted the total protein. Then the protein samples were purified by Co-IP kit using a Co-IP kit to immuno-precipitate the stKIN, stKINβ1, stKINβ2, stKINγ and stKINβγ proteins. The purified protein samples were used for a SnRK1 activity assay, which showed highSnRK1 activity in all of the pellets of stKIN, stKINβ1, stKINβ2, stKINγ and stKINβγ (Fig 1), suggesting that all of these identified proteins are functional SnRK1-related proteins in potato tuber.

#### Several different SnRK1 complexes are present in potato tuber

In potato, we identified one  $\alpha$ -subunit isoforms, along with two  $\beta$ - and two  $\gamma$ - isoforms, which may form four different possible SnRK1 isoenzymes. To collect additional evidence for possible isoforms of SnRK1 complex *in vivo*, we used gel permeation chromatography (GPC) to separate these isoforms into different fractions, as the sizes of different complexes might be different. The total protein from developing potato tubers of each of the OX transgenic lines of *stKIN*, *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ and stKINβγ* were extracted separately in native buffer at 4°C. We then performed a partial purification of the SnRK1 complex by using anion-exchange chromatography (AEC) and GPC based on fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC). The fractions were monitored by a kinase activity assay. We pooled all active fractions from the AEC and loaded the pooled sample on the GPC column. The first 40 fractions from the GPC were subjected to the kinase activity assay. We observed same enzyme activity distribution patterns among the 5 OX transgenic lines of stKIN,  $stKIN\beta1$ ,  $stKIN\beta2$ ,  $stKIN\gamma$ ,  $stKIN\beta\gamma$  and wild type with high activity from fraction B4 to C11 that peaked at fractions C4 to C7. This observation suggested that overexpression would not affect the enzyme activity distribution. Compared with the standard curve, the active fractions were distributed between 670 kDa and 100 kDa, and the peak was located at approximately 120 kDa, while the fractions between 670 kDa and 120 kDa had high activity. The fractions from B4 to C11 for each of OX transgenic lines of stKIN, stKIN\beta1, stKIN\beta2, stKIN\gamma and stKIN\betay were used for western-blot to detect related protein by anti-HA antibody. These five subunits showed obviously different distribution profiles (Fig 2A and 2B). The stKIN protein was located primarily in C4 to C8, which was consistent





**Fig 1. SnRK1 activity of purified protein from the overexpression transgenic plants of** *StKIN***, stKINβ1**, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* **or** *stKINβγ*. The total protein samples are from tubers of transgenic plants of *StKIN*, *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* **or** *stKINβγ*. During enzyme activity assay, boiled enzymes were used as negative control, the values reported on the graph are background-subtracted. Means of three independent samples are presented.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200321.g001

with the activity peak. Both stKIN $\beta$ 1 and stKIN $\beta$ 2 were distributed in almost all active fractions; however, they showed different distribution patterns. The stKIN $\beta$ 1 protein was detected in fractions from C1 to C11 and was mainly located in fractions from C2 to C7 (580 kDa to 120 kDa). While stKIN $\beta$ 2 was detected in fraction from C2 to C11, and primarily located in fractions C2 to C5 (approximately or > 158 kDa). This suggested that they occurred in different SnRK1 complexes. The distribution pattern of stKIN $\gamma$  was primarily in fractions C4 to C6, similar to that of stKIN, while stKIN $\beta\gamma$  had a broader distribution from fractions from C1 to C10. However, in the higher activity fractions C1 to C3 and C8 to C10, we detected little stKIN $\gamma$  or stKIN $\beta\gamma$  (Fig 2A and 2B). Together, these data suggest that several different SnRK1 complexes exist in potato tuber during the tuber filling stage.

# Starch biosynthesis in overexpression and knockdown transgenic potato lines

To investigate tuber starch contents in the transgenic lines with altered SnRK1-related protein level, the tubers of transgenic lines were collected at 60 days after planting. In this case, we chose lines from three independent transformation events with the largest changes of transcript level for both OX and KD (transcript level decreases of >60% in the KD lines, and more than 2-fold transcript level increases in the OX lines). For each line, we randomly pooled three tubers to produce a homogenate, and the prepared samples were divided into 1 ml aliquots, which were used for enzyme assays and carbohydrate analyses. We measured SnRK1 activity in the KD and OX lines of *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  and *stKIN* $\beta\gamma$ . Among the OX lines,



**Fig 2. GPC analyses of transgenic tuber protein extracts.** A) Activity distribution of SnRK1 from overexpression lines of *stKIN* of GPC fractions from B4 to C11. B) Proteins present in the indicated fractions from the GPC column were separated by SDS-PAGE and then probed with HA antibody in immunoblot analyses. The peak elution volumes of the molecular mass standards analyzed in the same GPC protocol are indicated at the top. The size of each fraction is 0.5ml, and the corresponding elution volume of B4 and C11 is 0.5 ml and 17.5, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200321.g002

PLOS ONE

only *stKIN* OX showed approximately a 40% increase in SnRK1 activity; we did not observe any changes in SnRK1 activity in the OX lines of *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* or *stKINβγ* (Fig 3A). Moreover, we observed approximately a 60% decrease in SnRK1 activity in all *stKIN* KD lines. However, we did not observe this effect in the KD lines of *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ* and *stKINβγ* (Fig 3B). These data suggested that *stKIN* is the primary SnRK1 enzyme. We further determined the starch content in the tubers of the transgenic lines of SnRK1-related genes. We observed significantly changes in the starch content in both the OX and KD lines of *stKIN*, including approximate 25% starch content increase in the OX transgenic lines and no change in starch content in the tubers of a previous study, which showed a starch content increase under *stKIN* OX [7]. In addition, we found no significant changes in starch content in either the KD or OX lines of *stKINβ1*, *stKINβ2*, *stKINγ or stKINβγ* (Fig 4A and 4B).



**Fig 3. SnRK1** activity in total soluble extracts of potato tubers of transgenic plants and wild-type. (A) SnRK1 activity in the overexpression lines of *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\beta$ 7 and wild-type control. (B) SnRK1 activity in the RNAi lines of *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *s* 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200321.g003

PLOS ONE



**Fig 4. Starch content in total soluble extracts of potato tubers of transgenic plants and wild-type.** (A) Starch content in overexpression lines the of *stKIN*, *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$ , *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* 

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200321.g004

#### Discussion

For the SnRK1 complex purification, based on the protein standards, the sizes of fractions B4 to C4 were between around 670Kda and 158Kda, and the size of protein fractions C5 to C11 were located in 158 to 120 kDa. This result indicated that the enzyme complexes found in fractions B4 to C3 were different from those in fractions C4 to C11. It might be because of that SnRK1 complexes interact with other proteins to form high-order regulatory complex in fractions B4 to C3. For examples, in potato, SnRK1 was proved to form a protein complex with an invertase and invertase inhibitor to regulate the invertase activity in potato tubers [17]; in rice, SnRK1A-interacting negative regulator 1 proteins (SKINs) modulate nutrient starvation signaling by antagonizing the function of SnRK1 [18].

When analyzing the SnRK1 activity in the KD transgenic lines of *stKIN*, we found that SnRK1 activity decrease by more than 70%. In addition, we observed high activity in fractions B4 to C1 and C10 to C11, but we did not detect any stKIN protein, indicating that the stKIN is the primary SnRK1  $\alpha$  subunit in potato; however, other SnRK1 isoforms are likely present but not identified. We observed 25% starch content increase in OX transgenic lines of stKIN, however, no such any changes in starch content KD transgenic lines of *stKIN*, indicating that other regulatory pathways controlling carbohydrate metabolism are present in potato tuber. There are no such changes were found in the transgenic lines of stKIN\$1, stKIN\$2, stKIN\$7 or stKIN $\beta\gamma$ , indicating that other kinds of such subunits might compensate for the functions of *stKIN* $\beta$ 1, *stKIN* $\beta$ 2, *stKIN* $\gamma$  or *stKIN* $\beta$  $\gamma$ . From the distribution of beta subunits in the fractions, we can see that both stKINß1 and stKINß2 beta subunits are broadly distributed in most of fractions, which suggested the importance of these two beta subunits. The importance of beta subunits has been proven in other species. Lopez-Paz et al. (2009) reported that ZmKIN<sub>B</sub> stabilize the AKIN<sub>By</sub>/SnRK1/AKIN<sub>b</sub> complex [5]. Moreover, when AKIN10 and AKIN $\beta\gamma$  were coexpressed in mammal cells, an antibody to AKINBy could not co-precipitate AKIN10, while when AKIN10, AKINB1 and AKINB7 were co-expressed, the AKINB7 antibody could co-precipitate both AKIN10 and AKIN $\beta$ 1[6]. These data indicate that AKIN $\beta$ 1 is important to form a tetrameric complex.

In summary, a few different isoforms of SnRK1 are present in potato tuber. We identified five SnRK1-related proteins, and the overexpression of stKIN affects starch content.

#### **Supporting information**

**S1** File. Uncropped and un-altered western blot image.pptx. (PPTX)

#### **Author Contributions**

Formal analysis: Yongzhong Zhang, Binquan Huang.

Writing - original draft: Binquan Huang.

Writing - review & editing: Binquan Huang.

#### References

- 1. Polge C, Thomas M. SNF1/AMPK/SnRK1 kinases, global regulators at the heart of energy control? Trends Plant Sci. 2007; 12(1): 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.11.005 PMID: 17166759
- Jossier M, Bouly JP, Meimoun P, Arjmand A, Lessard P, Hawley S, et al. SnRK1 (SNF1-related kinase 1) has a central role in sugar and ABA signalling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 2009; 59 (2):316–328. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03871.x PMID: 19302419

- Crozet P, Margalha L, Confraria A, Rodrigues A, Martinho C, Adamo M, et al. Mechanisms of regulation of SNF1/AMPK/SnRK1 protein kinases. Front Plant Sci.2014; 5:190. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014</u>. 00190 PMID: 24904600
- Gissot L, Polge C, Bouly JP, Lemaitre T, Kreis M, Thomas M: AKINbeta3, a plant specific SnRK1 protein, is lacking domains present in yeast and mammals non-catalytic beta-subunits. Plant Mol. Biol.2004; 56(5):747–759. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-004-5111-1 PMID: 15803412
- Lopez-Paz C, Vilela B, Riera M, Pages M, Lumbreras V: Maize AKIN beta gamma dimerizes through the KIS/CBM domain and assembles into SnRK1 complexes. Febs Lett. 2009; 583(12):1887–1894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.05.022 PMID: 19450586
- Emanuelle S, Hossain MI, Moller IE, Pedersen HL, van de Meene AML, Doblin MS, et al. SnRK1 from Arabidopsis thaliana is an atypical AMPK. Plant J.2015; 82(2):183–192. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj. 12813 PMID: 25736509
- McKibbin RS, Muttucumaru N, Paul MJ, Powers SJ, Burrell MM, Coates S, et al. Production of highstarch, low-glucose potatoes through overexpression of the metabolic regulator SnRK1. Plant Biotechnol J.2006; 4(4): 409–418. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2006.00190.x PMID: 17177806
- Sreenivasulu N, Radchuk V, Strickert M, Miersch O, Weschke W, Wobus U. Gene expression patterns reveal tissue-specific signaling networks controlling programmed cell death and ABA-regulated maturation in developing barley seeds. Plant J.2006; 47(2): 310–327. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.</u> 2006.02789.x PMID: 16771774
- Martinez-Barajas E, Delatte T, Schluepmann H, de Jong GJ, Somsen GW, Nunes C, et al. Wheat Grain Development Is Characterized by Remarkable Trehalose 6-Phosphate Accumulation Pregrain Filling: Tissue Distribution and Relationship to SNF1-Related Protein Kinase1 Activity. Plant Physiol.2011; 156 (1): 373–381. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.174524 PMID: 21402798
- Bledsoe SW, Henry C, Griffiths CA, Paul MJ, Feil R, Lunn JE, et al. The role of Tre6P and SnRK1 in maize early kernel development and events leading to stress-induced kernel abortion. BMC Plant Biol.2017; 17:74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-1018-2 PMID: 28403831
- 11. Millam S: Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 344: Agrobacterium Protocols. 2 2007
- 12. Zhang Y, Primavesi LF, Jhurreea D, Andralojc PJ, Mitchell RA, Powers SJ, et al. Inhibition of SNF1related protein kinase1 activity and regulation of metabolic pathways by trehalose-6-phosphate. Plant Physiol.2009; 149(4): 1860–1871. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.133934 PMID: 19193861
- 13. Hastie CJ, McLauchlan HJ, Cohen P. Assay of protein kinases using radiolabeled ATP: a protocol. Nat Protoc.2006; 1(2):968–971. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.149 PMID: 17406331
- Lakatos L, Klein M, Hofgen R, Banfalvi Z: Potato StubSNF1 interacts with StubGAL83: a plant protein kinase complex with yeast and mammalian counterparts. Plant J.1999; 17(5): 569–574. PMID: 10205910
- McKibbin RS, Muttucumaru N, Paul MJ, Powers SJ, Burrell MM, Coates S, et al. Production of highstarch, low-glucose potatoes through overexpression of the metabolic regulator SnRK1. Plant Biotechnol J.2006; 4(4): 409–418. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2006.00190.x PMID: 17177806
- Tiessen A, Prescha K, Branscheid A, Palacios N, McKibbin R, Halford NG, et al. Evidence that SNF1related kinase and hexokinase are involved in separate sugar-signalling pathways modulating posttranslational redox activation of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase in potato tubers. Plant J.2003; 35 (4):490–500. PMID: 12904211
- Lin Y, Liu TF, Liu J, Liu X, Ou YB, Zhang HL, et al. Subtle Regulation of Potato Acid Invertase Activity by a Protein Complex of Invertase, Invertase Inhibitor, and SUCROSE NONFERMENTING1-RELATED PROTEIN KINASE. Plant Physiology 2015; 168: 1807–1809. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00664 PMID: 26134163
- Lin CR, Lee KW, Chen CY, Hong YF, Chen JL, Lu CA, et al. SnRK1A-interacting negative regulators modulate the nutrient starvation signaling sensor SnRK1 in source-sink communication in cereal seedlings under abiotic stress. Plant Cell 2014; 26(2):808–827. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.121939 PMID: 24569770