EDITORIAL

February 2020 Volume 4 Number 1



MAYO CLINIC PROCEEDINGS Innovations, Quality & Outcomes New Scope for the Stethoscope

ealth care—associated infections have steadily increased over the last several decades. The annual cost of such infections is more than 25 billion dollars.¹ While gloves and hand hygiene have prevented spreading of infections from physical contact, stethoscope still exists as a potential vector.²⁻⁴ There have been several articles which concluded that stethoscopes are known vectors of transmitting infections such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*, and various other micro-organisms that respond to only a narrow spectrum of antibiotics.⁴⁻⁶

For nearly the last century, stethoscopes continue to be of prime importance in making patient care decisions, especially in fast-paced settings such as the emergency department and intensive care units.⁷ These settings require heavy decision making from clinical exams. The use of the stethoscope is vital in such situations. For example, the stethoscope can be used to determine if a patient in respiratory distress is breathing fast because of a pneumothorax, pneumonia, or congestive heart failure. If the patient who had been involved in a recent motor vehicle crash has pneumothorax or hemothorax, the use of a stethoscope would add useful information beneficial to immediate management for that patient. Being a physician's companion around their neck and more readily available than x-rays, computed tomography scans, and ultrasound machines,

stethoscopes will continue to be the mainstay in critical care situations.

Except for the introduction of digital stethoscopes, not a lot has been modified in the basic design of stethoscopes. Some physicians opt for disposable stethoscopes and some prefer sanitizing their personal stethoscopes with alcohol wipes or Oxivir wipes, but the vast majority have been unable to clean their stethoscope between every patient evaluation. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines recommend using a US Environmental Protection Agency-registered disinfectant for stethoscopes not contaminated with blood and for a tuberculocidal agent or a 1:100 dilution of a hypochlorite solution for stethoscopes with visible contamination of blood; however, these solutions still require a few minutes to clean the stethoscope.^{8,9}

Although a few authors in the past have suggested diaphragm covers in scientific literature, most of those studies lacked a robust design and methodology.¹⁰ A potential solution to the problem has been suggested by Vasudevan et al in their manuscript, where a disposable aseptic diaphragm barrier was noted to remain sterile at 24 hours. The authors concluded that barriers prevented the growth of anaerobes, antibiotic-resistant bacteria, yeasts, and body samples. Since the diaphragm is the main part of the stethoscope that comes in contact with the patient, the ease of application and removal of aseptic barriers on the diaphragm offers a ray of hope to this unresolved problem.

Mayo Clin Proc Inn Qual Out = February 2020;4(1):1-2 = https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2020.01.001 www.mcpiqojournal.org = © 2020 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Sarathi Kalra

Department of Emergency Medicine University of South Alabama Mobile, AL

Sujan Reddy

Department of Neurology University of Texas Houston Houston, TX

Potential Competing Interests: The authors report no competing interests.

Correspondence: Sarathi Kalra, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of South Alabama, 2451 University Hospital Drive, Mobile, AL (Sarathikalra@gmail.com).

REFERENCES

 Scott RD. The Direct Medical Costs of Healthcare-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals and the Benefits of Prevention. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2009 (March):13.

- Breathnach AS, Jenkins DR, Pedler SJ. Stethoscopes as possible vectors of infection by staphylococci. BMJ. 1992;305(6868):1573-1574.
- Garner TK, Rimland D. Stethoscopes and infection. JAMA. 1982;248(3):310.
- Gordin FM, Schultz ME, Huber RA, Gill JA. Reduction in nosocomial transmission of drug-resistant bacteria after introduction of an alcohol-based handrub. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2005;26(7):650-653.
- Boulée D, Kalra S, Haddock A, Johnson TD, Peacock WF. Contemporary stethoscope cleaning practices: what we haven't learned in 150 years. Am J Infect Control. 2019;47(3):238-242.
- Knecht VR, McGinniss JE, Shankar HM, et al. Molecular analysis of bacterial contamination on stethoscopes in an intensive care unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2018;18:1-7.
- Núñez S, Moreno A, Green K, Villar J. The stethoscope in the emergency department: a vector of infection? *Epidemiol Infect.* 2000;124(2):233-237.
- Centers for Disease Control. Recommendations for preventing transmission of infections among chronic hemodialysis patients. MMWR. 2001;50(RR05):1-43.
- Guinto CH, Bottone EJ, Raffalli JT, Montecalvo MA, Wormser GP. Evaluation of dedicated stethoscopes as a potential source of nosocomial pathogens. *Am J Infect Control*. 2002; 30(8):499-502.
- Wood MW, Lund RC, Stevenson KB. Bacterial contamination of stethoscopes with antimicrobial diaphragm covers. Am J Infect Control. 2007;35(4):263-266.