# Regulation of neuroendocrine plasticity by the RNA-binding protein ZFP36L1 
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Some small cell lung cancers (SCLCs) are highly sensitive to inhibitors of the histone demethylase LSD1. LSD1 inhibitors are thought to induce their antiproliferative effects by blocking neuroendocrine differentiation, but the mechanisms by which LSD1 controls the SCLC neuroendocrine phenotype are not well understood. To identify genes required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity in SCLC, we performed a positive selection genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 loss of function screen and found that ZFP36L1, an mRNA-binding protein that destabilizes mRNAs, is required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity. LSD1 binds and represses ZFP36L1 and upon LSD1 inhibition, ZFP36L1 expression is restored, which is sufficient to block the SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation phenotype and induce a non-neuroendocrine "inflammatory" phenotype. Mechanistically, ZFP36L1 binds and destabilizes SOX2 and INSM1 mRNAs, two transcription factors that are required for SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation. This work identifies ZFP36L1 as an LSD1 target gene that controls the SCLC neuroendocrine phenotype and demonstrates that modulating mRNA stability of lineage transcription factors controls neuroendocrine to nonneuroendocrine plasticity.

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a high-grade neuroendocrine cancer that accounts for $15 \%$ of lung cancers ${ }^{1-3}$. Most SCLC patients initially respond to conventional chemotherapy, but nearly all experience a recurrence of the disease for which there are currently no highly effective treatment options. SCLCs are driven by near universal loss of function (LOF) mutations in tumor suppressor genes RB1 and TP53 and do not harbor recurrent mutations in a druggable oncogenic driver ${ }^{4-6}$, which has made it challenging to identify therapeutic targets.

SCLC and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell lines are selectively sensitive to inhibition of the histone demethylase LSD1, while nearly all other cancer cell lines are inherently resistant ${ }^{7,8}$. This has inspired development of several LSD1 inhibitors for clinical trials in SCLC and AML patients ${ }^{7-11}$. Preclinical studies in SCLC cell lines and patientderived xenografts (PDXs) show that some SCLC models are highly sensitive to LSD1 inhibitors while others are more resistant ${ }^{7,10}$. An understanding of the molecular basis for why some SCLCs are sensitive

[^0]to LSD1 inhibitors could lead to the identification of biomarkers for patient selection.

More than $70 \%$ of SCLCs highly express the lineage-specific neuroendocrine transcription factor ASCL1 ${ }^{3,4,12}$ that functions to transcriptionally maintain SCLC in a neuroendocrine differentiation state, which is necessary for SCLC tumorigenesis and survival ${ }^{13,14}$. LSD1 inhibition downregulates ASCL1 and neuroendocrine differentiation in some SCLC cell lines and ASCL1 downregulation correlates with LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity in SCLC cell lines and PDX models ${ }^{7}$. Similarly, inhibition of KDM5A or the KDM5-family of histone demethylases also represses ASCL1 expression ${ }^{15}$. Moreover, combined inhibition of LSD1 and KDM5 histone demethylases synergistically represses ASCL1 and SCLC proliferation ${ }^{15}$. LSD1 or KDM5A inhibition upregulates NOTCH and suppresses ASCL1 through a NOTCH-dependent mechanism ${ }^{7,15}$. Both LSD1 and KDM5A are H3K4 histone demethylases that canonically function to repress target genes, but have distinct substrate specificities. LSD1 preferentially demethylates mono- or di-methylated lysine 4 on histone 3 (H3K4) at enhancers, while KDM5A preferentially demethylates tri-methylated H3K4 at promoters ${ }^{16-20}$. Therefore, LSD1 and KDM5A could function in a cooperative manner to repress NOTCH and sustain the SCLC neuroendocrine phenotype. However, apart from LSD1's and KDM5A's ability to repress NOTCH, it is not known why only some SCLCs are sensitive to LSD1 inhibition and how LSD1 and KDM5A function to promote SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation and survival.

Herein, we performed a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9-positive selection screen in a SCLC cell line treated with an LSD1 inhibitor alone or combined with a KDM5 inhibitor. Our screen systematically uncovered mechanisms required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity and discovered critical target genes repressed by LSD1 that are required for the ASCL1-driven SCLC neuroendocrine state and survival.

## Results

CRISPR/Cas9-positive selection screen identifies genes required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity in small-cell lung cancer
Combined LSD1 and KDM5 inhibition with the small molecular inhibitors ORY-1001 and KDM5-C70, respectively, synergistically blocks ASCL1 and cellular proliferation in some SCLC cell lines, including the NCI-H1876 SCLC cell line where ORY-1001 combined with KDM5-C70 nearly completely represses ASCL1 and cellular proliferation ${ }^{15}$. To systematically identify genes required for LSD1 and KDM5 inhibitor sensitivity, we performed a CRISPR/Cas9-positive selection knockout screen in the presence of ORY-1001 alone or ORY-1001 combined with KDM5-C70. Given the strong positive correlation between decreased ASCL1 expression and decreased proliferation upon LSD1 and/or KDM5 inhibitor treatment ${ }^{7,15}$, we hypothesized that our screen would also uncover genes that regulate ASCL1 and neuroendocrine differentiation in SCLC.
$\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells were engineered to express Cas9 and then infected with the genome-wide Brunello sgRNA library at an MOI of $\sim 0.3$ maintaining representation of $>500$ cells/guide for each arm of the screen. Following puromycin selection and allowing time for maximal CRISPR/Cas9 editing, puromycin-resistant cells were then split into four arms with each arm treated with one of two low concentrations of the LSD1 inhibitor ORY-1001 ( 1 nM or 100 nM ), alone or combined with the KDM5 inhibitor, KDM5-C70 ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Fig. 1a). After 24 days when there was selective growth of sgRNA-infected cells compared to mock treated cells in the presence of drug (Fig. 1b), the cells were harvested and their genomic DNA was isolated and sent for deep sequencing. Analysis of sgRNA enrichment at the end timepoint compared to the initial timepoint showed striking enrichment of some sgRNAs in both biological replicates (Supplementary Fig. 1a-d). Hypergeometric and STARS analysis revealed several highly statistically significant hits in the ORY-1001 and the ORY-1001/KDM5-C70
treatment arms (Fig. 1c-f; Supplementary Fig. 1e-h; and Supplementary Data 1). Our expected positive control KMT2C, an H3K4 methyltransferase whose enzymatic activity opposes the catalytic activity of LSD1 at enhancers, scored as one of the top hits in the ORY-1001 screen (Supplementary Fig. 1e) indicating that our screen was effective. A top hit in the combined treatment arm was REST (Supplementary Fig. 1g, $h$ ), a transcriptional repressor of neuronal genes ${ }^{21}$. REST is an LSD1target gene ${ }^{7,22}$ and a NOTCH-target gene that is necessary and sufficient to induce a non-neuroendocrine subpopulation of cells during SCLC tumorigenesis ${ }^{22,23}$. These results are consistent with previous data demonstrating that LSD1 and KDM5 inhibitors mediate their antiproliferative effects through a NOTCH-dependent mechanism ${ }^{7,15}$ and suggest that the NOTCH-dependent mechanism converges on REST. Our screen also identified several novel hits that were not previously linked to LSD1 or SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation (Fig. 1c-f and Supplementary Fig. 1e-h). For example, the RNA-binding protein ZFP36L1 scored as a top 5 hit in all arms of the screen and has not been previously linked to LSD1 or KDM5 or studied in SCLC. Other hits were selectively enriched in the combined treatment arms and comprised of genes within the same pathway including YAP1 and WWTR1 (TAZ); and RUNX1 and its binding partner CBFB (Fig. 1e, f and Supplementary Fig. 1g, h). Lastly, LZTR1, a regulator of RAS protein stability, selectively scored in the ORY-1001 arm (Fig. 1c, d and Supplementary Fig. 1e, f). Together, these findings suggest that our screen was robust and uncovered several candidate genes required for sensitivity to LSD1 inhibition alone or combined LSD1/KDM5 inhibition.

## ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1, are LSD1 target genes derepressed by LSD1 inhibition

For further study, we focused on hits that were most highly statistically significant, hits that scored highly in multiple arms of our screen, and hits where multiple genes within a pathway scored. We reasoned that the hits would segregate into two broad categories: LSD1 target genes normally repressed by LSD1 and derepressed by ORY-1001 thereby blocking cellular proliferation; or genes that regulate parallel pathways that render the cell insensitive to LSD1 inhibition, but whose mRNA expression is unchanged by ORY-1001. To distinguish these possibilities, we performed RT-qPCR in NCI-H1876 cells after ORY-1001 or ORY-1001/KDM5-C70 treatment. The mRNAs of several hits including ZFP36L1, REST [a known LSD1 target gene ${ }^{7,22}$ ], and YAP1 were significantly upregulated after ORY-1001 treatment (Fig. 2a), suggesting that these hits are LSD1 target genes de-repressed upon ORY-1001 treatment and required for ORY-1001's antiproliferative response. In contrast, ORY-1001 did not alter mRNA expression of LZTR1, CBFB, and RUNX1 indicating that these genes likely caused resistance by modulating the activity of parallel pathways that normally function to promote LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity. Combined treatment with ORY-1001 and KDM5-C70 did not further upregulate mRNA expression of ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1. Therefore, subsequent validation efforts focused on LSD1 inhibition alone.

We then asked whether ORY-1001 treatment induces ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 mRNA expression across multiple ASCL1positive SCLC cell lines, which had very low expression of ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 at baseline (Supplementary Fig. 2a-f). Consistent with previous findings ${ }^{7}$, ORY-1001 repression of ASCL1 correlated with ORY-1001 sensitivity (Fig. 2b, c). ORY-1001 induced ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 expression to variable degrees across these multiple ASCL1-positive SCLC cell lines (Fig. 2d-g). ZFP36L1 and REST were most highly induced in CORL47, NCI-H1092, and NCI-H2081 cells (Fig. 2d, e); whereas YAP1 and WWTR1 were more selectively induced in NCI-H1876 and NCI-H2O66 cells (Fig. 2f, g).

To test whether LSD1 binds ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 directly, we performed LSD1 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
a
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followed by qPCR (ChIP-qPCR) in NCI-H1876 and CORL47 cells. LSD1 binding regions in ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 were identified using LSD1, H3K4me1, and H3K4me2 ChIP-sequencing data from cistrome. $\mathrm{db}^{24,25}$ (Supplementary Fig. 3a-d). LSD1 inhibitors can displace LSD1 from binding chromatin ${ }^{26}$ and therefore ChIP-qPCR was performed in the presence or absence of ORY-1001. Compared to the

IgG isotype-matched control, LSD1 significantly bound to ZFP36L1 in both CORL47 and NCI-H1876 cells, and binding was blocked by ORY1001 (Fig. 2h, i and Supplementary Fig. 3e, f). Collectively, our data nominates ZFP36L1 as a novel LSD1 target gene (see Fig. 2) that is required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity (see Fig. 1). Thus, we hereafter focus on ZFP36L1.

Fig. 1 | CRISPR/Cas9-positive selection screen identifies genes required for LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity in small-cell lung cancer. a Schema for the CRISPR/Cas9positive selection screen in $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ Cas9 cells infected with the Brunello sgRNA library. Following selection, cells were treated with one of two low concentrations of the LSD1 inhibitor ORY-1001 ( 1 or 100 nM ) alone or combined with the KDM5 inhibitor, KDM5-C70 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and then harvested at day 35 when the sgRNA-infected cells showed resistance. b Representative brightfield images of NCI-H1876 Cas9 cells infected with the Brunello sgRNA library or mock-infected at the day 35 screen endpoint. Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. At least two representative images were acquired from
each plate from all drug conditions for each biological replicate of the screen and two representative images were acquired for all drug conditions of the mock treated cells. c-f Hypergeometric analysis from the positive selection CRISPR/ Cas9 screen on day 35 relative to the early timepoint prior to drug treatment (day 11) of NCI-H1876 Cas9 cells infected with the Brunello sgRNA library and then treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) (c), ORY-1001 (100 nM) (d), ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) + KDM5C70 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})(\mathbf{e})$, or ORY-1001 $(100 \mathrm{nM})+$ KDM5-C70 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})(\mathbf{f})$. For $\mathbf{c - f}, n=2$ biological independent experiments and statistics were calculated using the hypergeometric distribution.
cells that were either ZFP36L1-knockout (sgZFP36L1) or ZFP36L1WT (sgControl) and performed studies with ORY-1001 or CC90011. Both ORY-1001 and CC-90011 decreased ASCL1 mRNA expression and protein levels, and blocked cellular proliferation in $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ and CORL47 sgControl cells (Fig. $4 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ and Supplementary Fig. 6a-i). These effects were significantly attenuated in NCI-H1876 and CORL47 sgZFP36L1 cells (Fig. 4c-e; Supplementary Fig. 6a-i; and Supplementary Fig. 7a, b), demonstrating that ZFP36L1 is necessary for the repression of ASCL1 and cellular proliferation by LSD1 inhibitors. Similar to the LSD1 inhibitor phenotypes above, CRISPR inactivation of ZFP36L1 significantly attenuated the anti-proliferative effects caused by LSD1 CRISPR inactivation in NCI-H1876 cells and nearly significantly attenuated the proliferation defects caused by LSD1 inactivation in CORL47 cells ( $p=0.055$ ) (Supplementary Fig. 8b-d, f, g). LSD1 CRISPR inactivation also induced ZFP36L1 expression in CORL47 and NCI-H1876 cells (Supplementary Fig. 8e, h).

We then used NCI-H1876 ZFP36L1-knockout or ZFP36L1-WT cells treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM or 100 nM ) or DMSO to perform RNAsequencing (Supplementary Fig. 9 and Supplementary Data 2) followed by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). ORY-1001 treatment of sgControl cells dramatically reduced neuroendocrine genes and ASCL1 target genes (Fig. 4f, g, $j$ and Supplementary Fig. 10), which is consistent with a previous study ${ }^{7}$. ZFP36L1 knockout markedly rescued the loss of neuroendocrine genes and ASCL1 target genes caused by ORY1001 (Fig. 4h-j and Supplementary Fig. 10). These results demonstrate that ZFP36L1 is required for LSD1 inhibitors to block neuroendocrine differentiation.

## Restoring ZFP36L1 blocks SCLC proliferation and neuroendocrine differentiation

We then asked if ZFP36L1 expression is sufficient to block neuroendocrine differentiation and cellular proliferation in SCLC. To activate the endogenous ZFP36L1 locus, we co-expressed a deactivated Cas9 fused to the transcriptional activator VP64 (dCas9VP64) ${ }^{32}$ and sgRNAs targeting the ZFP36L1 promoter in CORL47 cells. Two independent sgRNAs targeting the ZFP36L1 promoter induced ZFP36L1 mRNA expression and protein expression in CORL47 cells (Fig. 5a, b) and decreased protein levels of ASCL1 and SOX2, another lineage-specific transcription factor required for SCLC survival and lineage plasticity in neuroendocrine prostate cancer ${ }^{6,33}$ (Fig. 5b). This correlated with a decrease in cellular proliferation (Fig. 5c). Similarly, exogenous expression of ZFP36L1 decreased ASCL1 and SOX2, and markedly decreased cellular proliferation in CORL47 and NCI-H69 cells (Fig. 5e, f and Supplementary Fig. 11a, b). We then engineered a ZFP36L1 mRNA-binding mutant where 8 cysteines or histidines are mutated to alanines, which was previously shown to block the ability of ZFP36L1 to bind $\mathrm{mRNA}^{34}$ (Fig. 5d), and expressed the ZFP36L1-mRNA-binding mutant or ZFP36L1-WT constitutively or inducibly (using a DOXON system) in CORL47 cells. Re-expression of ZFP36L1 WT, but not the ZFP36L1-mRNA-binding mutant, decreased neuroendocrine differentiation and cellular proliferation (Fig. 5e-h). Similar phenotypes were observed in NCI-H69 cells engineered to

h

constitutively express ZFP36L1-WT or the ZFP36L1-mRNA-binding mutant (Supplementary Fig. 11a, b). This demonstrates that ZFP36L1 expression is sufficient to block ASCL1 neuroendocrine differentiation and cellular proliferation in SCLC and that these phenotypes require ZFP36L1's mRNA-binding activity.

## ZFP36L1 binds SOX2 and INSM1 to block neuroendocrine differentiation

We next sought to identify candidate ZFP36L1 mRNA substrates that control SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation and cellular proliferation. Analysis of a select set of neuroendocrine transcription factors showed that the $3^{\prime}$ UTRs of SOX2 and INSM1, but not of ASCL1, contain

Fig. 2 |ZFP36L1, REST, YAP1, and WWTR1 are bound by LSD1 and de-repressed by LSD1 inhibition. a RT-qPCR of NCI-H1876 cells treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) or ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) + KDM5-C70 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 6 days. $n=4$ biological independent experiments. b, d-g RT-qPCR for ASCL1 (b), ZFP36L1 (d), REST (e), YAP1 (f), and WWTR1 ( $\mathbf{g}$ ) in different human SCLC cell lines treated with ORY-1001 $(100 \mathrm{nM})$ or DMSO for 6 days. For $\mathbf{b}, n=3$ biological independent experiments. For $\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{g}, n=3$ biological independent experiments for all cell lines except CORL47 and NCI-H1876 where $n=4$ biological independent experiments. c Cellular proliferation of human SCLC cell lines treated with ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) for 6 days relative to the cells
treated with DMSO. $n=3$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}$ ChIP-qPCR of NCI-H1876 (h) and CORL47 (i) cells treated with ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) or DMSO for 6 days followed by immunoprecipitation (IP) for LSD1 and qPCR for the genes indicated. See Supplementary Fig. 3a-d for the identification of enrichment peaks in the genes where LSD1 is bound. For $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, n=4$ biological independent experiments for all LSD1 ChIP experiments and $n=2$ biological independent experiments for all control IgG ChIP experiments. For all panels, data are presented as mean values $+/-$ SEM. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test and $p$-values are indicated on each figure panel.
the canonical AUUUA binding motifs for ZFP36L1 (Supplementary Fig. 12a). SOX2 and INSM1 are dependencies in some SCLC cell lines ${ }^{35}$. We therefore hypothesized that ZFP36L1 binding and downregulating SOX2 and INSM1 mRNAs could be responsible for ZFP36L1's ability to block neuroendocrine differentiation and proliferation. To test this, we performed RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) with FLAG-tagged or V5-tagged ZFP36L1 WT or the ZFP36L1 mRNA-binding mutant in CORL47 cells followed by RT-qPCR for SOX2 and INSM1. RTqPCR was also performed for previously identified ZFP36L1 targets including E2F1 and CDK2 ${ }^{34}$ as positive controls and ASCL1 and GAPDH as negative controls. ZFP36L1 WT, but not the ZFP36L1-mRNA-binding mutant, bound to the mRNAs of SOX2, INSM1, E2F1, and CDK2, but not ASCL1 or GAPDH (Fig. 5i, j and Supplementary Fig. 12b, c). We next asked whether ZFP36L1 WT binds and downregulates the $3^{\prime}$ UTRs of SOX2 and INSM1 in cells. To do this, we first engineered 293 T cells to exogenously express ZFP36L1 WT or the ZFP36L1 mRNA-binding mutant (Fig. 5k) and then transfected these cells with the $3^{\prime}$ UTR of SOX2 or INSM1 fused to RenSP luciferase or an empty vector control. ZFP36L1 WT expression, but not expression of the ZFP36L1 mRNAbinding mutant, significantly reduced the bioluminescence signal of the SOX2 or INSM1-3'UTR with no effect on the empty vector control (Fig. 51, m). Treatment of CORL47, NCI-H1876, and NCI-H69 SCLC cell lines with SOX2 siRNAs or INSM1 siRNAs potently decreased ASCL1 protein levels compared to the non-targeting siRNA control (Fig. 5n, o) showing that SOX2 or INSM1 loss phenocopies ZFP36L1 re-expression with respect to ASCL1. Together, these data demonstrate that ZFP36L1 binds and destabilizes the $3^{\prime}$ UTRs of SOX2 and INSM1.

## Restoring ZFP36L1 expression induces a non-neuroendocrine phenotype with "inflammatory" features

An "inflammatory" subtype of SCLC that lacks neuroendocrine markers, restores MHC class I antigen presentation, and is more responsive to immune checkpoint blockade has recently been described ${ }^{36-38}$. Since ZFP36L1 and ASCL1 are expressed in mutually exclusive subpopulations in mouse SCLC tumors (Fig. 3g) and ZFP36L1 reexpression causes loss of neuroendocrine differentiation in SCLC cell lines (Fig. 5), we hypothesized that cells with restored ZFP36L1 expression would acquire markers that resemble the "inflammatory" subtype. To test this, we used CORL47 expressing 2 independent sgRNAs that activate endogenous ZFP36L1 or a non-targeting sgRNA as a control (see Fig. 5a) and performed RNA-sequencing followed by GSEA (Supplementary Data 3). Differential expression analysis demonstrated that endogenous ZFP36L1 activated cells highly expressed canonical HLA genes including HLA-B and HLA-C (Fig. 6a, b). Consistent with this, GSEA showed significant enrichment of gene sets of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and interferon gamma response in ZFP36L1 activated cells (Fig. 6c, d) and loss of neuroendocrine markers and ASCL1 target genes (Fig. 6e, f). We then asked whether ZFP36L1 expression in human SCLCs correlated with the "inflammatory" subtype of SCLC. Bulk RNA-sequencing data from human SCLCs that were previously characterized as MHC class I high vs. MHC class I low ${ }^{38}$ showed that ZFP36L1 expression was significantly higher in MHC class I high tumors compared to MHC class I low tumors (Fig. 6g). We then analyzed single-cell RNA-seq data from 2 paired human SCLCs
before and after cisplatin recurrence where a subpopulation of ASCL1/ INSM1-low, inflammatory-high tumor cells emerged after cisplatin recurrence ${ }^{37}$. Consistent with our data above, ZFP36L1 was expressed in the inflammatory tumor cell subpopulation that emerged after cisplatin recurrence while its expression was largely absent in the ASCL1/ INSM1-high neuroendocrine populations (Fig. 6h, i and Supplementary Fig. 13a-d). Lastly, ZFP36L1 expression significantly positively correlated with markers of the inflammatory subtype including AXL and TAP1 ${ }^{37,38}$ and significantly negatively correlated with INSM1 in SCLC cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 13e-g). These correlations also held true in human neuroblastoma; another neuroendocrine tumor (Supplementary Fig. 13h-k). Together, these results demonstrate that restoring ZFP36L1 can functionally induce a non-neuroendocrine state that resembles the "inflammatory" subtype of SCLC and ZFP36L1 expression is highly correlated with the "inflammatory" subtype of SCLC in human tumors.

## ZFP36L1 induction correlates with sensitivity to ORY-1001 in SCLC patient-derived xenograft models

To further investigate whether ZFP36L1 is induced by LSD1 inhibitors in SCLC preclinical models in vivo, we interrogated a publicly available RNA-seq dataset of SCLC patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models that were treated with ORY-1001 and displayed a variable response to ORY1001 ${ }^{7}$. All PDX models expressed ASCL1 except LX33, which expressed NEUROD1 (Supplementary Fig. 14a, b). ORY-1001 induced a near complete response in the FHSC04 model, while most other models were partially sensitive, and the LX33 model was completely resistant ${ }^{7}$. We first included the hits from each arm of our ORY-1001/KDM5-C70 combination screen with a $q$-value cutoff of less than 0.25 as a gene set and asked whether there were differences in enrichment of the hits measured using an area under the curve (AUC) algorithm (see Methods) in the ORY-1001 sensitive vs. resistant PDX models at baseline. We found enrichment of expression of screen hits in the FHSCO4 highly sensitive model and relative depletion of screen hits in the LX33 resistant model (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 15b-d) with no changes in AUC in the enrichment hits from the untreated DMSO arm (Supplementary Fig. 15a), suggesting that high baseline expression of genes that are required for ORY-1001 sensitivity positively correlates with the baseline sensitivity of SCLC PDX models to ORY-1001. We next used the RNA-seq data set from these SCLC PDX models treated with ORY-1001 or DMSO ex vivo and performed an unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis of mRNAs induced by ORY-1001 relative to DMSO for each model. Strikingly, we found that ZFP36L1 and REST are highly upregulated upon ORY-1001 treatment across all models, but that the magnitude of ZFP36L1 induction correlates strongly with baseline ORY-1001 sensitivity of each model with the highest magnitude of ZFP36L1 induction in the FHSC04 highly sensitive model and no ZFP36L1 induction in the LX33 resistant model (Fig. 7b and Supplementary Fig. 16a-c). In contrast, the LSD1 target gene REST ${ }^{22}$ was induced across all models and its magnitude of induction did not correlate with ORY-1001 sensitivity (Fig. 7b and Supplementary Fig. 16a-c). Furthermore, ZFP36L1 mRNA expression was one of the most significantly upregulated genes upon ORY-1001 treatment in vivo in the FHSCO4 highly sensitive model (Supplementary Fig. 16d, e).


Together, these data show that ZFP36L1 induction after ORY-1001 treatment correlates with response to ORY-1001 in SCLC PDX models.

We also asked whether ZFP36L1 induction correlates more broadly with LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity across other cancer cell lines. To test this, we first treated SCLC, NSCLC, and AML cell lines with ORY1001 and performed RT-qPCR for ZFP36L1 and REST and measured
cellular proliferation. In our initial screening panel, ORY-1001 inhibited proliferation in only 2 cell lines: the CORL47 SCLC cell line and the THP1 AML cell line (Fig. 7c). Strikingly, these cell lines were the only ones where ZFP36L1 mRNA was induced (Fig. 7d and Supplementary Fig. 17a). In contrast, REST mRNA was induced in CORL47 cells but not in THP1 cells (Fig. 7e and Supplementary Fig. 17b), suggesting that

Fig. 3 | ZFP36L1 is repressed in small-cell lung cancer. a Boxplot of ZFP36L1 mRNA expression in cell lines from the cancer cell line encyclopedia (CCLE) RNAsequencing dataset. AML, SCLC, and Neuroblastoma are highlighted in red. The number of cell lines of each cancer type ( $n$ ) is indicated on the $x$-axis of the figure panel. Top edge and bottom edge of the boxplot are $75 \%$ and $25 \%$, top and bottom whiskers are max and min, and the center line is median. $\mathbf{b}$ ZFP36L1 mRNA expression in human SCLC and lung adenocarcinoma tumors normalized to $A C T B$ from publicly available RNA-sequencing data on cbioportal.org ${ }^{54}$. $n=81$ SCLC tumors, $n=747$ lung adenocarcinoma tumors. $\mathbf{c}$ Representative IHC for ZFP36L1 from human tissue microarrays (TMAs) of SCLC and lung adenocarcinoma. Bar = $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d Quantitation of all SCLC and lung adenocarcinoma specimens on the

TMAs. $n=41$ SCLC tumors, $n=31$ lung adenocarcinoma tumors. e Representative IHC for ZFP36L1 in mouse SCLC lung tumors from a CRISPR-based SCLC genetically engineered mouse model. Scale Bar: left $=1 \mathrm{~cm}$, right $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. f Quantitation of $\%$ positive ZFP36L1 cells in SCLC mouse tumors. $n=8$ lung tumors from independent mice. g Pseudo-colored ZFP36L1 and ASCL1 IHC highlighting the negative correlation between ZFP36L1 and ASCL1. These are representative images from eight lung tumors from independent mice stained with ZFP36L1 and ASCL1. Bar $=100$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$. For $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}$, data are presented as mean values $+/-$ SEM. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test and $p$-values are indicated on each figure panel.
transcription factor marked by superenhancers ${ }^{39}$, is a dependency in some SCLC cell lines ${ }^{35}$, and is used as an immunohistochemical marker to diagnose SCLC ${ }^{40}$. Together with these previous findings, our data nominate SOX2 and INSM1 mRNAs as critical targets of ZFP36L1 required for its ability to block neuroendocrine differentiation and SCLC proliferation. ZFP36L1's control of SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation is likely not limited to its regulation of SOX2 and INSM1. Future studies will focus on comprehensively identifying ZFP36L1 target mRNAs that control SCLC neuroendocrine differentiation and survival.

ZFP36L1 is highly repressed in ASCL1-positive SCLCs, but is expressed in most other solid tumors, suggesting that ZFP36L1 repression could be selected for during SCLC tumorigenesis. ZFP36L1 is likewise expressed at low levels in other ASCL1-positive neuroendocrine tumors including neuroblastoma, that like SCLC, are dependent on ASCL1 ${ }^{30}$, suggesting that low ZFP36L1 expression is a common feature across high-grade neuroendocrine tumors. We hypothesize that ZFP36L1 repression is required to sustain ASCL1subtype neuroendocrine differentiation during SCLC tumorigenesis. Consistent with its role in neuroendocrine SCLC, ZFP36L1 was recently identified as a negative regulator of neuronal differentiation ${ }^{41}$. We identified subpopulations in mouse SCLC tumors that express ZFP36L1 and have relatively lower ASCL1 expression compared to the bulk of cells within these tumors that are ASCL1-positive and ZFP36L1negative. An "inflammatory" subtype of human SCLC has recently been identified, which has increased immunogenicity, is more responsive to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB), and can emerge in subpopulations of tumors cells that lose ASCL1 after recurrence from chemotherapy ${ }^{36-38}$. We found that ZFP36L1 is highly expressed in the inflammatory subtype of human SCLC and that restoring endogenous ZFP36L1 expression caused loss of neuroendocrine differentiation and promoted an "inflammatory" phenotype. Our results suggest that restoring ZFP36L1 expression in SCLC can drive plasticity toward this "inflammatory" subtype, which could be leveraged therapeutically. LSD1 inhibition is one mechanism by which ZFP36L1 expression can be restored and has been shown to cooperate with PD-1 ICB in preclinical models of melanoma ${ }^{42}$. Given our data linking ZFP36L1 to the inflammatory subtype of SCLC, which is more responsive to ICB, it is possible that LSD1 inhibition could cooperate with ICB in SCLC. Moreover, given the potent growth suppressive effects we observed after ZFP36L1 re-expression and its ability to promote an inflammatory-like state, it will be important to determine whether inhibition of other repressive epigenetic modifiers such as EZH2 and KDM5A that have been previously linked to the SCLC neuroendocrine phenotype, SCLC survival, and immunogenicity ${ }^{15,38,43-45}$ also restore ZFP36L1 expression and if so, whether their inhibition is additive/synergistic with LSD1 inhibition. This could lead to combination therapeutic strategies that cooperatively restore ZFP36L1 promoting tumor suppression and increasing tumor immunogenicity.

Recent evidence has uncovered plasticity between subtypes of SCLC. For example, MYC can drive SCLC plasticity from an ASCL1 to a NEUROD1 state and eventually into a non-neuroendocrine phenotype that lacks both ASCL1 and NEUROD1 ${ }^{46}$. MYC can also drive SOX9-
a

b


driven tumors when ASCL1 is genetically inactivated ${ }^{47}$, suggesting that SCLCs can promote plasticity through MYC and become ASCLIindependent. We have identified a new mechanism of plasticity in SCLC through ZFP36L1-dependent regulation of mRNA stability that promotes non-neuroendocrine plasticity toward an "inflammatory" state, which is induced by LSD1 inhibition. LSD1 inhibitors are currently
being tested in patients with SCLC. It will be interesting to determine whether non-neuroendocrine "inflammatory" tumor cells with restored ZFP36L1 emerge as a resistance mechanism to LSD1 inhibitors to evade SCLCs inherent neuroendocrine dependence. Moreover, trans-differentiation from EGFR-Mutant adenocarcinoma to SCLC occurs as a mechanism of resistance to EGFR inhibitors ${ }^{48,49}$

Fig. 4 | LSD1 inhibitors block neuroendocrine differentiation and proliferation in small-cell lung cancer through a ZFP36L1-dependent mechanism.
a, b Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H1876 cells (a) and CORL47 cells (b) treated with ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) for 6, 7 , and 8 days. c-e Immunoblot analysis (c), RT-qPCR (d), and quantitation of cell counts (e) of NCI-H1876 Cas9 cells infected lentiviruses encoding an sgRNA targeting ZFP36L1 (sgZFP36L1) or a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl) and then treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM and 100 nM ) or DMSO for 7 days. For $\mathbf{d}, n=2$ biological independent experiments. For $\mathbf{e}, n=3$ biological independent experiments. For $\mathbf{e}$, data are presented as mean values $+/-$ SEM. For d, e statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test and $p$-values are indicated on each figure panel. f, h Volcano plot of RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq)
data showing the log2 fold-change and -log10 $p$-value of gene expression comparing NCI-H1876 sgControl cells treated with ORY-1001 (1nM) or DMSO (f) or comparing NCI-H1876 sgZFP36L1 cells treated with ORY-1001 (1 nM) vs. H1876 sgControl cells treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) (h). ASCL1 and INSM1 are indicated in red and blue, respectively. For $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}, n=2$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{g}$, i Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of RNA-seq data in $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g})$ or h (i) of the Top 100 Neuroendocrine genes. FDR $q$-values are indicated. $\mathbf{j}$ Heatmap from the RNA-seq experiment in $\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{i}$ of the cells indicated of the top 20 Neuroendocrine Genes from the Top 100 Neuroendocrine gene set. Red denotes genes with high expression, and blue denotes genes with low expression.

ORY-1001 (Selleck \#S7795, stock 10 mM in DMSO), or CC-90011 (Selleck \#S0356, stock 10 mM in DMSO).

## sgRNA cloning

sgRNA sequences were designed using the Broad Institutes sgRNA designer tool (http://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-tools/sgrna-design) and synthesized by IDT technologies. The sense and anti-sense oligonucleotides were mixed at equimolar ratios ( 0.25 nanomoles of each sense and anti-sense oligonucleotide) and annealed by heating to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in annealing buffer ( 1 x annealing buffer 100 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 ) followed by slow cooling to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The annealed oligonucleotides were then diluted at $1: 400$ in 0.5 x annealing buffer.

For CRISPR/Cas9 loss of function experiments, the annealed oligos were ligated into plentiGuide-sgRNA-P2A-mCherry-Puro ${ }^{51}$ for all experiments in SCLC or into lentiCRISPR V2-Neo (Addgene \#52961 where the puromycin resistance gene was replaced with the G418 resistance gene) for experiments in AML and PC9 cells. For CRISPR activation experiments, the annealed oligos were ligated into pXPR_502 (Addgene \#96923) vectors. Ligations were done with T4 DNA ligase for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ligation mixture was transformed into HB101 competent cells. Ampicillin-resistant colonies were screened by restriction digestion of miniprep DNAs and subsequently validated by DNA sequencing.

The following sgRNA oligos were used for plentiGuide-sgRNA-P2A-mCherry-Puro vector for CRISPR knockout experiments with ZFP36L1:
human ZFP36L1-1 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGGCTGTCTCGCGAGCTCAG AG-3'),
human ZFP36L1-1 anti-sense (5'-AAACCTCTGAGCTCGCGAGACA GCC-3'),
human ZFP36L1-2 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGAGGGGCAAAAGCCGATG GTG-3'),
human ZFP36L1-2 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCACCATCGGCTTTTGCCC CTC-3'),
human NO_SITE_110 sense (5'-CACCGCTAATCACGACCTCACCC TA-3'),
human NO_SITE_110 anti-sense (5'-AAACTAGGGTGAGGTCGTGA TAGC-3').
The following sgRNA oligos were used for plentiGuide-sgRNAPuro vector for CRISPR knockout experiments with ZFP36L1 in Supplementary Fig. 7:
human ZFP36L1-3 sense (5'-CACCGAAACGGTGCCTGTAAGTA CG-3'),
human ZFP36L1-3 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCGTACTTACAGGCACCG TTTC-3'),
human ZFP36L1-4 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGGGGTGACTGAGTGCCTCCG A-3'),
human ZFP36L1-4 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACTCGGAGGCACTCAGTCA CCCC-3'),
human ZFP36L1-5 sense (5'-CACCGAGTTGAGCATCTTGTTACC C-3'),

human ZFP36L1-5 anti-sense (5'-AAACGGGTAACAAGATGCTCAA СТС-3'),
human ZFP36L1-6 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGTGCCGCACCTTCCACACC AT-3'),
human ZFP36L1-6 anti-sense (5'-AAACATGGTGTGGAAGGTGCG GCAC-3')
human non-targeting_C0111 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGGGAGGCTAAGCG TCGCAA - $3^{\prime}$ ),

Fig. 5 | ZFP36L1 blocks neuroendocrine differentiation and proliferation in SCLC in part through its ability to bind and degrade the $3^{\prime}$ UTRs of SOX2 and INSM1. a, b RT-qPCR and immunoblot analysis of CORL47 dCas9-VP64 cells that were infected with sgRNAs targeting the promoter of ZFP36L1 or a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl). c Proliferation assays of cells in a. For a-c, $n=3$ biological independent experiments. d Schematic showing the cysteine, C , sites in the zincfinger RNA-binding domains of ZFP36L1 that were mutated to alanines, A, to disrupt the RNA-binding activity of ZFP36L1. e Immunoblot analysis of CORL47 cells stably infected with ZFP36L1WT-V5, ZFP36L1 mRNA-binding mutant-V5 (ZFP36L1MUTV5), or the corresponding empty vector (EV).f Proliferation assays of cells in e. $n=4$ biological independent experiments. g Immunoblot analysis of CORL47 cells stably infected with a doxycycline inducible (DOX-ON) lentivirus encoding ZFP36L1WTFLAG, ZFP36L1MUT-FLAG, or EV. Cells were treated with DOX ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 3 days where indicated. $h$ Proliferation assays of cells in g grown in $+/-$ DOX $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ for the days indicated. $n=2$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{i}$ Immunoblot analysis after immunoprecipitation (IP) of ZFP36L1WT-FLAG, ZFP36L1MUT-FLAG, or EV
human non-targeting_C0111 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACTTGCGACGCTT AGCCTCCC-3').
The following sgRNA oligos were used for lenti-sgRNA neo (addgene \#104992) for LSD1 CRISPR inactivation rescue experiments in Supplementary Fig. 8:
human LSD1-1 sense ( $5^{\prime}$ 'v-CACCGCTAAATAACTGTGAACTC
GG-3'),
human LSD1-1 anti-sense (5'-AAACCCGAGTTCACAGTTATTT AGC-3'),
human LSD1-2 sense (5'-CACCGTGAGAAGTCATCCGGTCA
TG-3'),
human LSD1-2 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCATGACCGGATGACTTCTC AC-3'),
human LSD1-3 sense (5'-CACCGTGGAATAGCAGAGACTCC
GG-3'),
human LSD1-3 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCCGGAGTCTCTGCTATTCC AC-3'),
human LSD1-4 sense (5'-CACCGTTTCTGAAACAGGATCGTGT-3'), human LSD1-4 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACACACGATCCTGTTTCAG AAAC-3'),
human non-targeting_C0111 sense (5'-CACCGGGAGGCTAAG CGTCGCAA - $3^{\prime}$ ),
human non-targeting_C0111 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACTTGCGACGCTT AGCCTCCC-3').
The following sgRNA oligos were used for pXPR_502 vector for CRISPR activation experiments:
human ZFP36L1-1 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGCTGGAGTTGAATCAGCC ATG-3'),
human ZFP36L1-1 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCATGGCTGATTCAACTCC AGC $-3^{\prime}$ ),
human ZFP36L1-2 sense (5'-CACCGATCAGCCATGCGGTC AGGCG - $3^{\prime}$ ),
human ZFP36L1-2 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACCGCCTGACCGCATGGC TGATC $-3^{\prime}$ ),
human non-targeting_C0111 sense ( $5^{\prime}$-CACCGGGAGGCTAAGC GTCGCAA - $3^{\prime}$ ),
human non-targeting_C0111 anti-sense ( $5^{\prime}$-AAACTTGCGACGCTT AGCCTCCC-3').

## Lentiviral cDNA and 3'UTR expression vector cloning

To make the DOX-On pTripZ ZFP36L1-WT cDNA and pLX304-CMV ZFP36L1-WT cDNA, pcDNA3.1 mcherry-ZFP36L1 (Addgene \#121140) was used as a template for overhang PCR that introduced attB1 and attB2 sites onto the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of ZFP36L1 (sense primer $5^{\prime}$ GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCGCCACCATGACCACC ACCCTCGTGTCTGCC and anti-sense primer $5^{\prime}$-GGGGACCACTTTG-tACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCGTCATCTGAGATGGAAAGTCTG-3'). The PCR product was then gel extracted per the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen). To make the DOX-On pTripZ ZFP36L1-MUT cDNA and

CORL47 cells treated with DOX ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 3 days relative to input. $\mathbf{j}$ RNA quantitation relative to input after RT-qPCR from IP experiments in $\mathbf{i}$ with primers specific to SOX2, INSM1, E2F1, CDK2, and GAPDH. $n=3$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{k}$ Immunoblot analysis of 293T cells stably infected with ZFP36L1WTV5, ZFP36L1MUT-V5, or EV. I, m 3'UTR luciferase reporter assay in 293T cells from $\mathbf{k}$ transfected with a SOX2-3'UTR luciferase reporter ( $\mathbf{I}$ ), a INSM1-3'UTR luciferase reporter ( $\mathbf{m}$ ), or the empty vector (EV), and bioluminescence (BLI) was measured 24 h later. BLI of each condition was first normalized to the cell count and then normalized to the plx 304 EV of each reporter. For $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{m}, n=3$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{n}$, o Immunoblot analysis of CORL47, NCI-H1876, and NCI-H69 cells transfected with siGenome SMARTPool targeting SOX2 (siSOX2) (n) or INSM1 (silNSM1) (o) or non-targeting siRNA control (siCON) at the times indicated after transfection. For $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{o}$ immunoblots are representative of 3 biological independent experiments. For all panels, data are mean values $+/-$ SEM. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test and $p$-values are indicated.
pLX304-CMV ZFP36L1-MUT cDNA, the ZFP36L1 mRNA-binding mutant (referred to hereafter as ZFP36L1-MUT) was made by mutating 8 cysteines or histidines to alanines to disrupt the mRNA-binding activity ${ }^{34}$, designed in silico using twist.com to introduce the intended cysteine (C) or histidine (H) to alanine (A) non-synonymous mutations and introducing synonymous mutations as necessary to achieve synthesis. AttB1 and attB2 sites were added onto the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends, respectively, for gateway cloning. The ZFP36L1-MUT construct was then synthesized as a double-stranded DNA G-Block by IDT technologies.

Purified ZFP36L1-WT and ZFP36L1-MUT double-stranded DNA fragments containing attB1 and attB2 sites were introduced into the pDONR223 vector by homologous recombination using BP clonase (Life Technologies \#11789020) for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the manufacturer's instruction. The reaction mixture was then transformed at a ratio of 1:10 (reaction volume/ volume competent cells) into HB101 competent cells (Promega). Spectinomycin-resistant colonies were screened by restriction digestion of miniprep DNA and subsequently validated by DNA sequencing. A homologous recombination reaction was then performed using LR Clonase II (Life Technologies \#11791100) with the pDONR223-ZFP36L1-WT or pDONR223-ZFP36L1-MUT plasmids and DOX-On pTripZ-NEO DEST or pLX304-CMV DEST vectors that were both modified to be used as destination vectors for gateway recombination cloning. The LR reaction was transformed into HB101 competent cells (Promega). Kanamycinresistant ( pTripZ -NEO) or ampicillin-resistant (PLX304-CMV) colonies were screened by restriction digestion of miniprep DNA and subsequently validated by DNA sequencing.

For pLightSwitch-h/NSM1-3'UTR construction, genomic DNA was isolated from CORL47 cells using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen \#51106) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nested PCR was performed by two successive PCR reactions using KOD Xtreme polymerase (EMD Millipore \#71975) and following set of primers to amplify the genomic region of INSM1-3'UTR:
outer forward INSM1-3'UTR ( $5^{\prime}$-CAGGTGTTCCCCTGCAAGTA-3'), outer reverse INSM1-3'UTR ( $5^{\prime}$-CCTGGTGGTTCCCTTTTTTCC-3'), inner forward INSM1-3'UTR with NheI (5'-GCTAGCAGCGC GCCCTCCACC-3'),
inner reverse INSM1-3'UTR with Xhol
( $5^{\prime}$-CTCGAGGACTTTGAAAATATTTTATTTGAATAGTAAATAGTT ATACAG-3').
The final PCR product was then column purified using Qiagen's gel extraction kit (Qiagen \#28706). The pLightSwitch-h/NSM1-3'UTR was then made by digesting the pLightSwitch-EV (SwitchGear Genomics) and the INSM1-3'UTR PCR product with Nhel and Xhol for 2 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and gel-band purifying the resulting linearized fragments. Ligations were performed with T4 DNA ligase overnight at room temperature. The ligation reaction was transformed into HB101 competent cells

(Promega). Ampicillin-resistant colonies were screened by restriction digestion of miniprep DNA and subsequently validated by DNA sequencing. SOX2-3'-UTR was purchased from SwitchGear Genomics (\#S808404).

Lenti-Cas9Blast (\#52962) and dCas9-VP64_Blast (\#61425) were purchased from Addgene.

## Lentivirus production

Lentiviruses were made by Lipofectamine 2000-based co-transfection of 293FT cells with the respective lentiviral expression vectors and the packaging plasmids psPAX2 (Addgene \#12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene \#12259) at a ratio of 4:3:1. Virus-containing supernatant was collected at 48 and 72 h after transfection, pooled together ( 15 mL total per $10-$

Fig. 6 | Restoring endogenous ZFP36L1 expression promotes nonneuroendocrine plasticity toward an "inflammatory" phenotype. a, b Volcano plot of RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data showing the log2 fold-change and -log10 $p$ value of gene expression comparing CORL47 dCas9-VP64 cells expressing sgRNAs targeting the promoter of ZFP36L1 [sgZFP36L1-1 (a) or sgZFP36L1-2 (b)] to activate endogenous ZFP36L1 expression or a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl). For $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \boldsymbol{n}=2$ biological independent experiments. c-f GSEA of RNA-seq data in a (top) or $\mathbf{b}$ (bottom) of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (c), interferon gamma
response (d), Top 100 neuroendocrine genes (e), and ASCL1 Target genes down (f). FDR $q$-values are indicated. $g$ Quantification of RNA-sequencing data from human SCLC tumors with confirmed high or low MHC class I surface protein expression by $\mathrm{IHC}^{38} . n=15 \mathrm{MHC}$ class I high SCLC tumors, $n=10 \mathrm{MHC}$ class I low SCLC tumors. Data are presented as mean values $+/-$ SEM, statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test, and $p$-values are indicated. h, it-SNE plots of single tumor cells from SC53 treatment naive (h) and after cisplatin relapse (i) showing ZFP36L1, HLA-DQA1, HLA-DRB1, and ASCL1 expression.


Fig. 7 | ZFP36L1 induction after LSD1 inhibitor treatment correlates with LSD1 inhibitor sensitivity in SCLC and AML. a Area under the curve (AUC) enrichment analysis of hits from our ORY-1001/KDM5-C70 resistance screen (in Fig. 1f) of RNAseq data from untreated patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models of SCLC. b Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of hits from our ORY-1001/KDM5-C70 resistance screen (in Fig. 1f) of RNA-seq data from SCLC PDX models treated ex vivo with ORY-1001 or DMSO. Red denotes genes with high expression, and blue denotes genes with low expression. c, d Quantitation of cell counts (c) and RT-qPCR for ZFP36L1 (d) or REST (e) of CORL47 (SCLC), NCI-H526 (SCLC), K562 (Leukemia), THP1 (Leukemia), PC9 (NSCLC), HCC4006 (NSCLC) and CALU3 (NSCLC) cells treated with ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) or DMSO for 6 days. For c-e, $n=3$ biological independent experiments. $\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{h}$ Cell proliferation (f) and immunoblot analysis (g) of
cm tissue culture dish), passed through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ filter, aliquoted, and frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

## Lentiviral infection

The SCLC and AML cells were counted using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter (Beckman Coulter) and resuspended in 1 mL lentivirus with $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ polybrene at the following concentrations in individual wells of a 12 -well plate: $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for NCI-H69, HEL, NOMO1 and THP1 cells, or $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for NCI-H1876 and CORL47 cells. The plates were then centrifuged at $448 \times g$ for 2 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sixteen hours later the virus was removed and cells were grown for 72 h before being placed under drug selection. Cells were selected in puromycin ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SCLC cell lines and $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for AML cell lines), blasticidin ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), or G418 $(500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SCLC and $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for AML) and maintained in media containing puromycin ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), blasticidin ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), or G418 ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SCLC cells and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for AML cells).

## Immunoblot analysis

$\sim 1 \times 10^{6}$ cells were centrifuged at $252 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the media was removed by gentle aspiration. The cell pellet was then washed once in 1 mL of ice-cold PBS, transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, and centrifuged at $252 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PBS was carefully aspirated and the cell pellet was lysed in EBC lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris Cl pH 7.5, $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,10 \%$ Glycerol) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche Applied Science, \#11836153001) and phosphatase inhibitors (PhosSTOP Sigma \#04906837001). Soluble extracts were quantified using the Bradford Protein Assay. The protein sample was boiled in sample buffer ( $3 x$ is $6.7 \%$ SDS, $33 \%$ Glycerol, 300 mM DTT, and Bromophenol Blue), resolved by 10\% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, blocked in 5\% milk in TrisBuffered Saline with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 (TBS-T) for 1 h , and probed with the indicated primary antibodies overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Membranes were then washed three times in TBS-T, probed with the indicated horseradish peroxidase-conjugated (HRP) secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature, and washed three times in TBS-T. Bound antibodies were detected with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) western blotting detection reagents [Immobilon (Thermo Fisher Scientific, \#WBKLS0500) or Supersignal West Pico (Thermo Fisher Scientific, \#PI34078)].

The primary antibodies used were: rabbit $\alpha$-ZFP36L1 (Cell Signaling, hBRF1/2, \#2119, used at 1:1000), mouse $\alpha-$ INSM1 (SANTA CRUZ, \#SC377428, used at 1:1000), rabbit $\alpha$-SOX2 (Cell Signaling, \#3579, used at 1:1000), rabbit $\alpha$-ASCL1 (Abcam, \# ab211327, used at 1:1000), rabbit $\alpha$-LSD1 (Cell Signaling, \#2184), mouse $\alpha$-Vinculin (Sigma, hVIN-1, \# V9131, used at 1:10,000), mouse $\alpha$-Tubulin (Sigma, B-5-1-2, \# T5168, used at 1:5000), mouse $\alpha$-FLAG (Sigma, clone M2, \#F1804, used at 1:2000), rabbit $\alpha$-V5 (Cell Signaling, \#13202, used at 1:1000) and mouse $\alpha-\beta$-actin (Sigma, clone AC-15, \#A3854, used at $1: 25,000$ ). The HRP conjugated secondary antibodies were Goat $\alpha$-Mouse (Jackson
the leukemia cell lines indicated treated with ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) compared to cells treated with DMSO. For $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$, cells were treated with ORY-1001 for 3 days. For $\mathbf{f}, n=2$ biological independent experiments. h, i Immunoblot analysis (h) and quantitation of cell counts (i) of HEL, THP1, or NOMO1 cells first infected with lentivirus that expressed Cas9 and a sgRNA targeting ZFP36L1 (sgZFP36L1-1 or sgZFP36L1-2) or a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl) and then treated with ORY-1001 $(100 \mathrm{nM})$ or DMSO for 3 days. Data are plotted as the cell counts of ORY-1001treated samples relative to DMSO-treated samples. For $\mathbf{i}, n=3$ biological independent experiments for HEL and $n=4$ biological independent experiments for THP1 and NOMO1. For $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{i}$ data are mean values $+/-$ SEM. For $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{i}$ statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed students $t$-test and $p$-values are indicated.

ImmunoResearch 115-035-003) and Goat $\alpha$-Rabbit (Jackson ImmunoResearch 111-035-003) and were used at 1:5000.

## Positive selection CRISPR/Cas9 ORY-1001 and ORY-1001/KDM5C70 resistance screen

$\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells that had been infected with lenti-Cas9Blast (Addgene \#52962) and subsequently maintained in Blasticidin were used for the screen. The screen was performed in two biological replicates. Cas9 expression was confirmed by immunoblot analysis and Cas9 activity was confirmed using a Cas9 GFP reporter [(pXPR_011 (Addgene \#59702)] that showed near maximal editing 10 days after infection. On day 0 , these NCI-H1876 Cas9Blast cells were counted. $1.5 \times 10^{8}$ cells ( $\sim 500$ cells $/ \mathrm{sgRNA}$ anticipating an MOI of $\sim 0.3$ ) were pelleted and resuspended at $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in complete media containing $23 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ mL of the whole-genome Brunello sgRNA library (CP0041) lentivirus and $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ polybrene, which yielded a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of $\sim 0.3$, which was determined empirically in pilot experiments. The sgRNA Brunello whole-genome library (CP0041) contains 77,441 sgRNAs targeting 4 sgRNAs per gene with 1000 non-targeting sgRNAs controls. The cells mixed with polybrene and lentivirus were then plated in 1 mL aliquots onto 12 -well plates and centrifuged at $434 \times g$ for 2 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .16 \mathrm{~h}$ later (day 1 ), the cells were collected, pooled, and centrifuged to remove the lentivirus and polybrene, and the cell pellet was resuspended in complete media at $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and plated into 1510 cm tissue culture-treated plates. The cells were then cultured for 72 h at which time (day 4) the media wash changed to media containing puromycin $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ to select for transduced cells. A parallel experiment was performed on day 4 to determine the MOI. To do this, the cells infected with the sgRNA library or mock-infected cells were plated at $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in the presence or absence of puromycin. After 72 h (day 7), cells were counted using the Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and the MOI was calculated using the following equation: (\# of puromycin-resistant cells infected with the sgRNA library/\# total cells surviving without puromycin after infection with the sgRNA library) - (\# of puromycin-resistant mock-infected cells/ \# total mockinfected cells). The actual MOI was 0.42 for biological replicate 1 and 0.41 for biological replicate 2 . On day 7 after MOI determination, the media was changed and replaced with fresh media again containing $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of puromycin.

On day 11, all puromycin-resistant cells were pooled, collected, and counted. A total of $4.4 \times 10^{7}$ cells for each condition (therefore maintaining at least 500 cells/guide) were plated at $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ into 215 cm tissue culture plates into complete media without puromycin that contained the following: 1. ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ); 2. ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ); 3. ORY-1001 ( 1 nM ) + KDM5-C70 ( 1000 nM ); 4. ORY-1001 $(100 \mathrm{nM})+$ KDM5-C70 ( 1000 nM ); 5. KDM5-C70 ( 1000 nM ); or 6. DMSO. Note, a DMSO condition was only included for replicate 1 . At the same time $4.4 \times 10^{7}$ cells were collected from the pooled puromycin-resistant cells described above, washed in PBS, and the cell pellets were frozen for genomic DNA isolation for the initial timepoint prior drug selection. In parallel, mock-infected cells were maintained
at the same density for all drug conditions and carried in parallel for the entire screen described below. On day 14, the media was changed into fresh complete media containing fresh drug. On day 17 , the cells were pooled, collected, counted, and replated at $4.4 \times 10^{7}$ cells for each condition containing fresh drug as was done on day 11 . On days 20 and 23, the media was changed into fresh complete media containing fresh drug. On day 25 , conditions $1,2,5$, and 6 were trypsinized, counted, and resuspended at $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}\left(4.4 \times 10^{7}\right.$ cells total) in complete media containing fresh drug into 215 cm plates. For conditions 3 and 4, the media was changed into fresh complete media containing fresh drug. On day 28, conditions 3 and 4 were trypsinized, counted, and all cells were resuspended in 44 mL of complete media containing fresh drug into 215 cm plates. For conditions $1,2,5$, and 6 , the media was changed into fresh complete media containing fresh drug. On day 31, the media was changed into fresh complete media containing fresh drug. On day 35 , there was an obvious growth advantage for all conditions that contained ORY-1001 (1,2,3,4) of the cells infected with the sgRNA library and treated with drug compared to the mock-infected cells treated with drug (see Fig. 1b) and therefore we ended the screen by collecting all remaining cells. Following completion of the screen, gDNA was isolated using a Qiagen Genomic DNA miniprep kit (cat. \# 51104) for conditions 1, 2, 3, and 4 or with the Qiagen Genomic DNA maxi prep kit (cat. \# 51192) for conditions 5 and 6 according to the manufacturer's protocol with slight modifications made by the Broad Genetic Perturbation Platform. Raw Illumina reads were normalized between samples using: Log2[(sgRNA reads/total reads for sample) $\times$ 1e6) +1 ]. The initial timepoint data (day 11) was then subtracted from the end timepoint after drug selection (day 35) to determine the relative enrichment of each individual sgRNA after the specified drug treatments. The subtracted data was then analyzed using Hypergeometric Analysis and the STARS algorithm on the GPP web portal (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/screener/) to determine the genes that were significantly enriched after ORY-1001 and/or KDM5C70 treatment. A $q$-value cutoff of less than 0.25 calculated using the STARS analysis was used to call hits. The averaged data from two biological replicates were used for all analyses.

## Cell proliferation assays

For proliferation experiments with parental cell lines, cells were counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated in a tissue culture-treated 6 -well plate at 100,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 2 mL of complete media for CORL47 cells or at 250,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 2 mL of complete media for $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells. AML cells were plated in tissue culture-treated 12 -well plates at 100,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 1 mL of media. For dose response assays with LSD1 inhibitors, the cells were treated with drug (ORY-1001 or CC-90011 at the concentrations indicated) for the number of days indicated.

For the proliferation experiments with the CORL47 ZFP36L1 CRISPR activation cells and all cells exogenously overexpressing ZFP36L1, cells were counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated in a 12 -well at 200,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 1 mL of media and counted on the days indicated. For the DOX-On inducible ZFP36L1-WT and ZFP36L1-MUT expression experiments, cells were grown in the presence or absence of Doxycycline (DOX) $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ as indicated after the initial cell counts were determined on day 0 .

## Reverse-transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

The same cell concentrations used to plate cells for proliferation assays (see above) were also used for plating cells for RT-qPCR experiments. For experiments in Supplementary Fig. 5g, h, NCI-H446 and CORL47 cells were counted and plated at 50,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 82$ were plated at 25,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 2 mL of complete media in a tissue culture 6 -well plate. Cells were then treated with LSD1 inhibitors (ORY-1001 or CC-90011) at the indicated concentrations for the days indicated and RNA was extracted using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen
\#74106) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined using the Nanodrop 8000 (Thermofisher Scientific). A cDNA library was synthesized using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix for RT-qPCR (Biorad \# 1708841) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 (Roche) with the LightCycler 480 Probes Master Kit (Roche) and Taqman probes (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. $\Delta C_{\mathrm{T}}$ method was used to determine baseline gene expression relative to ACTB and then $2^{-\Delta C T}$ was used to determine relative fold-change of the gene of interest to $A C T B$ as in Supplementary Figs. 2, 5i, j, and 17a-c. For all other experiments showing normalized relative expression data, the $\Delta \Delta C_{\mathrm{T}}$ method was used and then normalized to make relative comparisons as described for each experiment. The following TaqMan probes were used: ZFP36L1 human (Hs00245183_m1), ASCL1 human (Hs04187546_g1), REST human (Hs05028212_s1), YAP1 human (Hs00902712_g1), WWTR1 human (Hs00210007_m1) and ACTB human (Hs01060665_m1).

## ChIP-qPCR assays

CORL47 cells were plated at $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells in 10 mL of complete media and $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells were plated at $2.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells in 10 mL of complete media containing ORY-1001 ( 100 nM ) or DMSO. The cells were harvested 6 days later. CORL47 cells were collected, and centrifuged. The supernatant was aspirated and the cells were washed once in PBS. For the NCI-H1876 cells, the media was aspirated and the cells were washed once in PBS. Both cell lines were then cross-linked by incubation with 2 mM disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSG) with rocking for 30 min at room temperature. The DSG was removed and the cells were fixed in $1 \%$ paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess formaldehyde was quenched by dropwise addition of freshly made Glycine at a final concentration 1.25 M followed by rocking the cells for an additional 5 min at room temperature. The paraformaldehyde/glycine was then removed and the cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS. For CORL47 cells, the cells were centrifuged and washed once in icecold PBS. For NCI-H1876 cells, 5 mL of ice-cold PBS was added and the cells were removed from the plate by scraping.

The cells were then centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and resuspended in 1 mL of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Hepes- NaOH pH 8 , $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $10 \%$ glycerol, $0.5 \%$ NP-40, $0.25 \%$ TX-100 supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitors) and incubated by rotating for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Intact nuclei were then collected by centrifugation in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge at $1902 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was then gently aspirated and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of wash buffer ( 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitors) and incubated while rotating for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The nuclei were again collected by centrifugation in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge at $1902 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was then aspirated and the tube was rinsed with 0.2 mL of shearing buffer ( $0.1 \%$ SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris- HCl pH 8.0 supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitors). The nuclei were again collected by centrifugation in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge at $1902 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the supernatant was carefully removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL shearing buffer and transferred to a 1 mL AFA tube (Covaris \#520130).

The chromatin was then sonicated using a Covaris E220 sonicator at 100 peak incident power, $10 \%$ duty cycles, 200 cycles per burst, water level 15 (left indicator)/ 10 (right indicator) for 900 s . The samples were then transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge at $15,871 \times g$ for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .9 \mathrm{~mL}$ of the supernatants were transferred to a new Eppendorf tube, to which TX-100 (final concentration of $1 \%$ ) and NaCl (final concentration of 150 mM$)$ were added.

In all, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Dynabeads Protein G (ThermoFisher \#10004D) was then added and samples were rotated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Dynabeads were then removed using a magnetic stand and the supernatants were transferred to new tubes. Five percent of the total lysate was removed to be used as the input. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of rabbit anti-KDM1A antibody (Cell signaling, \#2139) was added and the samples were incubated overnight while rotating at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The following morning, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Dynabeads were added and the samples were incubated for another 2 h while rotating at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A magnetic stand was used to isolate the Dynabeads. The supernatant was removed and the Dynabeads were washed once in 1 mL of low salt immune complex wash buffer ( 20 mM Tris- HCl pH $8.0,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ SDS, $1 \%$ TX-100, 2 mM EDTA) and incubated for 5 min while rotating at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were again isolated using a magnetic stand. Following removal of the supernatant the Dynabeads were washed once in 1 mL of high-salt immune complex wash buffer ( 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ SDS, $1 \%$ TX-100, 2 mM EDTA) and incubated for 5 min while rotating at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were again pelleted with a magnetic stand. Following removal of the supernatant, the Dynabeads were washed once in 1 mL of Lithium Chloride wash buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{LiCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}, 1 \%$ NP-40, 1 mM EDTA) and incubated for 5 min while rotating at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were then washed twice in TE pH 8 at room temperature and the beads were then resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TE pH 8.

RNase A (Qiagen) was then added to a final concentration of $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the samples were incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To reverse the crosslinking, proteinase $K$ was then added to a final concentration of $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the samples were incubated for 30 min at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then an additional 8 h at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ QG buffer and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of isopropanol was then added to each sample and a magnetic stand was used to pellet the Dynabeads. The supernatant was then collected and DNA was isolated using QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The recovered DNA was analyzed by real-time qPCR. The DNA was quantified on a Bio-Rad T100 96-Well PCR Gradient Thermal Cycler with the SYBR Green kit (Bio-Rad, SsoAdvanced ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Universal SYBR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Green Supermix). The enrichment of DNA is shown as the percentage (\%) input, which was calculated by determining the apparent IP efficiency at the ZFP36L1, REST, WWTR1, and YAP1 loci as the ratio of the amount of immunoprecipitated DNA normalized with input DNA. The following primers were used for qPCR:
ZFP36L1-1_Chip_For: ACACCTGGAGTCCCCTTGTT, ZFP36L1-1_Chip_Rev: GATTTGGATCCAGGATTGCCT, ZFP36L1-2_Chip_For: CCCCAAGGACTGCAAAATCC, ZFP36L1-2_Chip_Rev: CCGGCCAGGTCTGATGAAA, REST_Chip_For: GCAGGATCGGGTTACACAG, REST_Chip_Rev: CTGACTCGGTGGAGCGTC, WWTR1_Chip_For: GAAACTTGGTGGGGTGGCTA, WWTR1_Chip_Rev: ACTGGTTCAGCAAGTCAGGG, YAP1_Chip_For: GGAGTGTGCAGGAATGTAGCAA YAP1_Chip_Rev: CTGAGACAGAAACTCGCCTC.

## ZFP36L1 sgRNA rescue experiments with ORY-1001

CORL47 or NCI-H1876 cells were first infected with Cas9 and selected with blasticidin and then were superinfected with plentiguide-P2A-mCherry-puro ${ }^{51}$ encoding a puromycin resistance gene, and an sgRNA targeting the exon of ZFP36L1 (sgZFP36L1-1) or a non-targeting sgRNA (NOSITE-110). Puromycin-resistant cells, indicative of successful infection with the sgRNA lentiviruses, were counted using the Vi-Cell XR Cell and plated at 200,000 cells/mL for CORL47 cells or at 250,000 cells/mL for $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells and then treated with ORY-1001 or CC90011 and harvested after 7 days for cellular proliferation assays, RTqPCR, immunoblot analysis, and RNA-sequencing experiments. For rescue experiments in Supplementary Fig. 7, additional sgRNAs targeting exons of ZFP36L1 (sgZFP36L1-3,4,5, or 6) or a non-targeting sgRNA (C0111) were used.

## LSD1 ZFP36L1 CRISPR/Cas9 double-knockout experiments

CORL47 Cas9 sgZFP36L1\#1 or sgControl (NO_SITE_110) cells (see Supplementary Fig. 6a) or NCI-H1876 Cas9 sgZFP36L1\#1 or sgControl (NO_SITE_110) cells (see Fig. 4c) were superinfected with lentiviruses encoding an sgRNA targeting LSD1 (sgLSD1\#4) or a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl, C0111) and a G418 resistance gene. Cells were selected in G418 ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) until all mock-infected cells were killed and immunoblot analysis was performed for LSD1 knockout. Cells were then counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated in a tissue culture-treated 6 -well plates at 50,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 2 mL of complete media for CORL47 cells or at 200,000 cells/mL in 2 mL of complete media for $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 1876$ cells. For NCI-H1876 cells, cells count were performed 5 days after plating. For CORL47 cells, media was exchanged after 6 days and all cells were replated and cell counts were performed 12 days after plating. Note that the CRISPR editing of LSD1 in NCI-H1876 cells was very modest, which likely is why the antiproliferative effects and ZFP36L1 mRNA induction after LSD1 inactivation is also quite modest.

## CRISPR-amplicon sequencing

CORL47 Cas9 cells infected with the indicated sgRNA lentiviruses (see Supplementary Fig. 8) were harvested and genomic DNA was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen \#51106) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR was done using KOD Xtreme polymerase (EMD Millipore \#71975) and the following set of primers to amplify the genomic region of ZFP36L1: Forward ZFP36L1 (5'-CACCA-GAACCAGCTCCTC-3'), Reverse ZFP36L1 (5'-CACAGCTCCG TCTTGTACTTG-3'). The final PCR product was then column purified using Qiagen's gel extraction kit and sequenced using next-generation sequencing by the MGH DNA Core Facility.

## ZFP36L1 CRISPR activation experiments

CORL47 cells were first infected with lenti-dCas9-VP64_Blast (Addgene \#61425) and selected with Blasticidin and then were superinfected with pXPR_502-Puro (Addgene \#96923) lentivirus encoding a puromycin resistance gene, and an sgRNA targeting the promoter of ZFP36L1 (sgZFP36L1-1 and sgZFP36L1-2) or a non-targeting sgRNA (C0111). Puromycin-resistant cells were selected with puromycin. Following generation of stable cell lines with endogenous CRISPR activation of ZFP36L1 confirmed by RT-qPCR, the cells were counted using the ViCell XR and plated at 200,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for cell proliferation experiments, immunoblot analysis, and RNA-sequencing experiments.

## RNA-sequencing

For the RNA-sequencing experiment with NCI-H1876 Cas9 sgZFP36L1-1 or sgControl (NOSITE-110) cells, cells were counted and plated at 250,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and treated with ORY-1001 ( 1 nM or 100 nM ) or DMSO and harvested after 7 days. For the RNAsequencing experiment in CORL47 cells with restored endogenous ZFP36L1 expression (see Method above), cells encoding sgZFP36L1-1, sgZFP36L1-2, or a non-targeting sgRNA were counted and plated at 200,000 cells/mL and harvested after 3 days. RNA was extracted using RNeasy mini kit including a DNase digestion step according to the manufacturer's instructions and RNA sequencing was performed as described below.

Total RNA samples in each experiment were submitted to Novogene Inc. The libraries for RNA-seq are prepared using NEBNext Ultra II non-stranded kit. Paired end 150 bp sequencing was performed on Novaseq6000 sequencer using S4 flow cell. Sequencing reads were mapped to the hg38 genome by STAR. Statistics for differentially expressed genes were calculated by DESeq2.

## RNA-sequencing analysis

For gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), software was downloaded from the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis website [http://www.broad.
mit.edu/gsea/downloads.jsp]. GSEA was performed using the "TOP 100 Neuroendocrine Gene Set" ${ }^{52}$ or "ASCL1 Target Genes DOWN" ${ }^{53}$ or Hallmarks Gene Sets. Gene Sets with an FDR $q$-value $<0.25$ were considered significant. For principal component analysis and cluster analysis, top 500 genes in terms of the largest standard deviation were subjected to principal component analysis using the prcomp function of R software (version 4.0.2). Clustering and heatmap were generated using the heatmap. 2 function in the gplots package of R software (version 4.0.2).

## Analysis of publicly available RNA-sequencing data

To determine the SCLC molecular subtypes of the cell lines used in our study (see Supplementary Fig. 2a), gene expression TPM values of ASCL1, NEUROD1, and POU2F3 were obtained from Broad Institute Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE). Values are inferred from RNAseq data using the RSEM tool and are reported after log2 transformation, using a pseudo-count of $1 ; \log 2(T P M+1)$. For the RNA expression in cancer cell lines in Fig. 3a, the RNA-sequencing data was downloaded from CCLE. To identify potential binding sites for LSD1 in target genes (as shown in Supplementary Fig. 3), the Cistrome Data Browser was used.

For the RNA expression of ZFP36L1 in human lung tumors in Fig. 3b, the 81 SCLC RNA-Seq data was previously published ${ }^{4}$ and publicly available on the cBioPortal ${ }^{54}$. The 747 lung adenocarcinoma samples were from TCGA and publicly available on the cBioPortal ${ }^{54}$. The ZFP36L1 RNA expression for each sample was normalized to the ACTB RNA for that sample. The MHC class I high vs. low human SCLC RNA-sequencing data set was previously described ${ }^{38}$. For the correlation analysis of SCLC cell lines in Supplementary Fig. 13e-g, correlations in SCLC cell lines between ZFP36L1 and the AXL, TAP1, or INSM1 were calculated using demap.org. For the correlation analysis of human neuroblastoma tumors in Supplementary Fig. 13h-k, correlations between ZFP36L1 and AXL, TAP1, ASCL1, or INSM1 were calculated using 141 human neuroblastoma from cBioPortal ${ }^{54}$.

For the analysis of RNA-Seq data from SCLC PDX models, a previously published RNA-sequencing data set, where SCLC PDX models were treated in vivo and ex vivo with ORY-1001 or the vehicle control, was used ${ }^{7}$. The RNA-Seq fastq files were downloaded from the GEO (accession number GSE103097) ${ }^{7}$. Sequenced reads were aligned to both hg19 and mm10 genomes using STAR. Then the alignment files were processed with bamcmp package ${ }^{55}$ to distinguish between human and mouse reads ("human only" and "human better" reads were retained for further analysis). The featureCounts algorithm ${ }^{56}$ was used to quantify transcript abundance.

To calculate the enrichment of hits from the CRISPR/Cas9 ORY-1001/KDM5-C70 screen, AUCell package ${ }^{57}$ was utilized. This package has been originally developed for measuring enrichment of given signatures in scRNA-Seq data and it was adapted for our purposes with minor modifications. For all drug-treated CRISPR/Cas9 screens, hits were included if they had a $q$-value less than 0.25 from the STARS analysis. For the DMSO-treated CRISPR/Cas9 screen, hits were included that had a $p$-value less than 0.05 as there were very few hits with a $q$-value of less than 0.25 .

To generate heatmaps of relative changes in the SCLC PDX models after ORY-1001 treatment ${ }^{7}$, the list of genes for each arm of the CRISPR/Cas9 screen was further curated based on the statistical cutoffs listed above. First, genes were ranked in each model and the relative change in ranking was calculated based on the following formula: Relative Change $=($ Rank Before ORY-1001 Treatment-Rank After ORY-1001 Treatment)/Rank Before ORY-1001 Treatment. Then, the heatmap function in $R$ (version 4.0.2) was used to generate heatmaps and cluster genes/samples based on the relative change.

Single-cell RNAseq analysis of circulating tumor cells (CTC)derived xenograft (CDX) samples
For the single-cell RNA-sequencing data in Fig. 6h, i and Supplementary Fig. 13a-d, the human CDX single-cell RNAseq data was acquired and analyzed as previously reported ${ }^{37}$. Briefly, single-cell count matrixes of SC53-naive, SC53-cis, SC68-naïve, and sc68-cis were downloaded via GEO Database (GSE138474). Only cells that were analyzed in the original study were kept by matching barcodes. The count matrixes were then transformed and normalized using the "NormalizeData" function with default parameters in the SEURAT v4 R package ${ }^{58}$. G2M and S phase gene expression scores were calculated using the "CellCycleScoring" function and subsequently regressed out using "ScaleData" function. Highly variable genes were identified by SEURAT function "FindVariableGenes" with parameter selection.method = "mean.var.plot" and used to perform the Principle component analysis (PCA). The first seven PCs were used for clustering and tSNE transformation using the "RunTSNE" function with default parameters. R software version 4.0.2 was used.

## Tumor micro array (TMA) construction

Tumor cores were obtained from embedded PDX tumors tissue in donor blocks using a 1 mm biopsy punch needle (IHC World; W125-0) then embedded/inserted into paraffin recipient block/negative mold (IHC World; 10*17 Quick Ray mold IW-UMO1-1). Empty slots were filled with blank paraffin cores. All cores were gently tamped down using biopsy punch needle then the entire block was placed face down on glass slides and heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to merge donor cores with the recipient block. Blocks and glass slides were then placed on ice for 30 min before sectioning.

## Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Mouse tumor samples used for IHC experiments were from our previously described CRISPR-based SCLC genetically engineered mouse model ${ }^{15}$. These samples were generated by injecting adenoviruses encoding Cre-recombinase and sgRNAs targeting Rb1, Trp53, Rbl2, and a non-targeting sgRNA (sgControl RPP) into the lungs of homozygous Lox-stop-lox (LSL) Cas9 B6J mice (Jackson No. 026175). Human SCLC lung tumor samples from FFPE (see Supplementary Fig. 4b for an example) were also used. Immunohistochemical staining for ZFP36L1 and ASCL1 was performed by hand. For immunohistochemistry experiments, $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick tissue sections were prepared and left to airdry overnight. Slides were baked in an Isotemp Oven (Fisher Scientific) for 30 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to melt excess paraffin. For antigen retrieval, slides were heated with a DC2002 Decloaking Chamber (Biocare Medical, Pacheco, CA) to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 s in citrate ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$, Thermo Scientific cat. no. Ap-9003-500) for ASCL1 and ZFP36L1 staining. All sections were incubated with peroxidase (Dako cat. no. S2003, Carpinteria, CA) and protein (Dako cat. no. X0909) blocking reagents for 5 min each. Sections were then incubated with rabbit anti-TISB (ZFP36L1) antibody (Sigma \#SAB4502867; 1:100) or rabbit monoclonal anti-ASCL1 antibody (Abcam \#ab211327; 1:100) diluted in Dako Diluent with Background Reducing Components (Dako \#S3022) for 60 min at room temperature. Following primary incubation, sections were incubated with Envision + System-HRP Labeled Polymer Anti-Rabbit (Dako \#K4003) for 30 min . All sections were developed using the DAB chromogen kit (Dako \#K3468) and counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated in graded ethanol and xylene, mounted, and coverslipped. To establish specificity of the IHC assay for ZFP36L1, we compared PC9 cells infected with an sgRNA targeting ZFP36L1 or a non-targeting sgRNA control using PC9 isogenic cells shown in Fig. 4c. Our ASCL1 IHC assay was performed and validated as previously described ${ }^{15}$. Whole slides images were acquired using an Aperio AT scanner (Leica). For each stain, an intensity threshold was defined using the Multiplex IHC v2.3.4 algorithm with Halo (Indica

Labs). Pseudo-immunofluorescence images were obtained by deconvoluting the immunohistochemistry images using the Deconvolution v1.0.3 algorithm with Halo for better visualization.

## RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP)

For experiments in CORL47 cells with exogenous constitutive ZFP36L1 expression, PLX304-CMV-ZFP36L1WT-V5-BLAST or PLX304-CMV-EV-V5-BLAST lentiviruses were infected into CORL47 cells and selected with blasticidin. After selection and confirmation of expression of ZFP36L1 via immunoblot analysis, the cells were counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated at $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells in 10 mL of complete media. For experiments in CORL47 cells with exogenous DOX-On inducible ZFP36L1 expression, DOX-On inducible pTripz-ZFP36L1WT-FLAG-NEO, pTripz-ZFP36L1MUT-FLAG-NEO, or pTripz-EV-FLAG-NEO lentiviruses were infected into CORL47 cells and selected with G418. After selection and confirmation of expression of ZFP36L1 via immunoblot analysis in the presence of DOX, all cell lines were counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated at $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells in 10 mL of complete media for 3 days. For the DOX-On pTripZ experiments, cells were grown in the presence or absence or Doxycycline (DOX) $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ as indicated.

Three days after plating, the cells were collected, centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed. The cells were washed once in cold PBS and again centrifuged for 5 min at $211 \times g$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cell pellets were then resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold PBS, and then $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was removed for input RNA ( $10 \%$ of total cell lysate) and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for input protein ( $5 \%$ of total cell lysate), and the other $425 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was used for IP. The cells were centrifuged again and the supernatant was removed. The cell pellets were resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RIP lysis buffer ( 20 mM Tris- HCl pH 7.5 , $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche Applied Science, 11836153001), phosphatase inhibitors (PhosSTOP Sigma \#04906837001) and RNase inhibitor (RNaseOUT, Thermofisher \#10777019). The lysate was mixed up and down by pipetting until the mixture was homogeneous. The lysate was incubated on ice for 10 min and then centrifuged for 10 min at $21,130 \times g$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysate was then aliquoted at equal volumes ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) to each dynabeads-antibody complex containing the antibody of interest or the isotype-matched IgG-negative control.

To conjugate the magnetic beads with antibody, Dynabeads Protein G (ThermoFisher \#10004D) were first resuspended by pipetting and then $25 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ reaction of Dynabead suspension was transferred to each eppendorf tube. Then 0.5 mL of RIP wash buffer ( 50 mM Tris- HCl $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.05 \% \mathrm{NP}-40$ ) was added to each tube containing Dynabeads and vortexed briefly. The tubes were then placed on the magnet (DynaMag ${ }^{\text {TM }}-2$ Magnet, ThermoFisher \#12321D) to isolate the Dynabeads and the supernatant was discarded. The eppendorf tube was then removed from the magnet and RIP wash buffer was added to wash. This was repeated three times. Then the Dynabeads were resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RIP wash buffer and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ of mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma, clone M2, \#F1804-1MG) antibody or isotype-matched control IgG1 (Cell Signaling \#5415S) to the tube for the FLAG IPs, or $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of rabbit anti-V5 (Cell Signaling \#13202) or the isotype-matched IgG control (Cell Signaling \#3900) for the V5 IP. The antibody-bead mixtures were then incubated while rotating for 30 min at room temperature to conjugate the antibodies with the Dynabeads. Then $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein lysate $(\sim 1 \mathrm{mg}$ of protein determined in optimization pilot experiments performed to determine cell plating density) was mixed with conjugated antibody-Dynabead complex ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and $900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RIP immunoprecipitation buffer ( $860 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RIP wash buffer, $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.5 M EDTA, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNase inhibitor) for total volume of 1.1 mL . The reaction was incubated overnight with rotating at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The following morning, the immunoprecipitation Eppendorf tubes were briefly centrifuged and then placed on the magnet and the supernatant was discarded. The tubes were removed from the magnet,
and then 0.4 mL of cold RIP wash buffer was added. This was repeated three times. During the final wash, $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total volume was removed to test the IP efficiency by placing the $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ on the magnet to remove the RIP wash buffer and the Dynabeads were resuspended in $30 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \times$ SDS-PAGE loading buffer and the samples were boiled at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The samples were then run on a $10 \%$ SDS-PAGE with the input protein samples described above.

For the remaining $360 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the IP, the Dynabeads were isolated by the magnet and then resuspended in $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ proteinase K buffer [ $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RIP wash buffer, $15 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \%$ SDS, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ proteinase K (Invitrogen \#25530-015)] and incubated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min with shaking. The tube was then spun down briefly and placed on the magnet. The supernatant was then transferred into a new Eppendorf tube for RNA isolation.

For RNA extraction the Quick-RNA Miniprep Kit (ZYMO Research, \# R1054) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications. $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cell lysis buffer from the RNA kit was added to the $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the IP (or $550 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cell lysis buffer was added to $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the RNA input described above) so that the final volume was $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. At the elution step, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNase/DNase free water was added and $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of eluted RNA was used for CDNA library synthesis.

A cDNA library was synthesized using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix for RT-qPCR (Biorad \# 1708841) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed using the SYBR Green PCR Kit (Bio-Rad, SsoAdvanced ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ Universal SYBR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Green Supermix) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Signals were detected using the Bio-Rad T100 96-Well PCR Gradient Thermal Cycler. The $\Delta \Delta C_{\mathrm{T}}$ Method was used to analyze data. The expression level of each gene was normalized to the percentage (\%) input. The primers for qPCR are:
ASCL1-For_CGGTCTCATCCTACTCGTCG, ASCL1-Rev_GTTGTGCGATCACCCTGCTT, SOX2-For_TACAGCATGATGCAGGACCA, SOX2-Rev_CCGTTCATGTAGGTCTGCGA, INSM1-For_TTACGCGGCACATCAACAAG, INSM1-Rev_TCTTTGTGGGTCTCCAAGCG, CDK2-For_CATCTTTGCTGAGATGGTGACT, CDK2-Rev_ACTTGGCTTGTAATCAGGCAT, E2F1-For_CCGGGGAATGAAGGTGAACA, E2F1-Rev_GAGCAAAAGGGCCGAAAGTG, GAPDH-For_ TCGGAGTCAACGGATTTGGTC, GAPDH-Rev_AGTTGAGGTCAATGAAGGGGT.

## 3'UTR luciferase stability assay

For the 3'UTR luciferase assay, first 293T cells with exogenous constitutive ZFP36L1 expression were established. The PLX304-CMV-ZFP36L1WT-V5-BLAST, or PLX304-CMV-ZFP36L1MUT-V5-BLAST, or PLX304-CMV-EV-V5-BLAST lentiviruses were infected into 293T cells and selected with blasticidin and confirmed to express ZFP36L1 via immunoblot analysis. Then all cell lines were counted on day 0 using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Counter and plated at $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells in 0.5 mL in a 24 -well tissue culture plate in DMEM media with $10 \%$ FBS without Penicillin and Streptomycin and incubated overnight. The following morning, the cells were transfected with pLightSwitch-3'UTR control vector, the pLightSwitch-hSOX2-3'UTR, or the pLightSwitch-hINSM1-3'UTR plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Cat \#: 11668019) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 16 to 18 h later, luciferase activity was measured using LightSwitch ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Luciferase Assay Kit (SwitchGear Genomics, LSO10) and Infinite 200 PRO microplate plate reader. Relative luciferase was normalized with cell number, and then normalized with pLX304-EV group.

## siRNA experiments

Nucleofection was performed using the SF 4D-Nucleofector X kits (Lonza \# V4XC-2032). For transfection, NCI-H1876, CORL47, and NCI-

H69 ( $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells) were resuspended in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SF 4D-Nucleofector X solution and transferred into a the 16 -well Nucleocuvette strip. $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of siRNA was added and electroporation was performed using the CM-137 program ( C 1 ). After transfection, $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pre-warmed culture medium was gently added to each sample and incubated for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Transfected cells in suspension were then gently transferred dropwise into 6 -well tissue culture-treated plates containing 2 mL pre-warmed complete media. Cells were then cultured for 72 h and 96 h and harvested for immunoblot analysis. The following siRNAs were used: ontarget plus human SOX2 siRNA SMARTpool (Horizon, Catalog ID:L-011778-00), on-target plus human INSM1 siRNA SMARTpool (Horizon, Catalog ID:L-006535-00), and on-target plus non-targeting siRNA (Horizon, Catalog ID: D-001810-01).

## Statistical analysis

For the CRISPR/Cas9 screen in Fig. 1, the data was analyzed using the STARS and Hypergeometric analysis algorithms on the GPP portal (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/screener/) and genes were considered "hits" if their $q$-value was $<0.25$ using the STARS analysis. For the RNA-sequencing experiments and GSEA analysis in Figs. 4 and 6 , statistical significance with calculated using FDR corrected for multiple hypothesis testing where $q$-value of $<0.25$ is considered statistically significant.

For all other experiments, statistical significance was calculated using unpaired, two-tailed Students $t$-test. $p$-values were considered statistically significant if the $p$-value was $<0.05$. For all figures, * indicates $p$-value $<0.05,{ }^{* *}$ indicates $p$-value $<0.01,{ }^{* * *}$ indicates and $p$-value $<0.001,{ }^{* * * *}$ indicates and $p$-value $<0.0001$. Error bars represent SEM unless otherwise indicated.

## Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

## Data availability

The data and materials supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The RNA-sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited in the GEO database under accession code GSE202116. Log normalized data from the CRISPR screen is included as Supplementary Data 1. FPKM values and differential expression analyses from the RNA-seq data are included in Supplementary Datas 2 and 3. Source data are provided with this paper.

## References

1. Kalemkerian, G. P. et al. Small cell lung cancer. J. Natl Compr. Canc Netw. 11, 78-98 (2013).
2. Rudin, C. M., Brambilla, E., Faivre-Finn, C. \& Sage, J. Small-cell lung cancer. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 7, 3 (2021).
3. Rudin, C. M. et al. Molecular subtypes of small cell lung cancer: a synthesis of human and mouse model data. Nat. Rev. Cancer 19, 289-297 (2019).
4. George, J. et al. Comprehensive genomic profiles of small cell lung cancer. Nature 524, 47-53 (2015).
5. Peifer, M. et al. Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small-cell lung cancer. Nat. Genet. 44, 1104-1110 (2012).
6. Rudin, C. M. et al. Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer. Nat. Genet. 44, 1111-1116 (2012).
7. Augert, A. et al. Targeting NOTCH activation in small cell lung cancer through LSD1 inhibition. Sci. Signal. 12, https://doi.org/10. 1126/scisignal.aau2922 (2019).
8. Mohammad, H. P. et al. A DNA hypomethylation signature predicts antitumor activity of LSD1 inhibitors in SCLC. Cancer Cell 28, 57-69 (2015).
9. Maes, T. et al. ORY-1001, a potent and selective covalent KDM1A inhibitor, for the treatment of acute leukemia. Cancer Cell 33, 495-511 (2018).e412.
10. Kanouni, T. et al. Discovery of CC-90011: a potent and selective reversible inhibitor of lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1). J. Med. Chem. 63, 14522-14529 (2020).
11. Salamero, O. et al. First-in-human phase I study of iadademstat (ORY-1001): a first-in-class lysine-specific histone demethylase 1A inhibitor, in relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 38, 4260-4273 (2020).
12. Baine, M. K. et al. SCLC subtypes defined by ASCL1, NEUROD1, POU2F3, and YAP1: a comprehensive immunohistochemical and histopathologic characterization. J. Thorac. Oncol. 15, 1823-1835 (2020).
13. Augustyn, A. et al. ASCL1 is a lineage oncogene providing therapeutic targets for high-grade neuroendocrine lung cancers. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 111, 14788-14793 (2014).
14. Borromeo, M. D. et al. ASCL1 and NEUROD1 reveal heterogeneity in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors and regulate distinct genetic programs. Cell Rep. 16, 1259-1272 (2016).
15. Oser, M. G. et al. The KDM5A/RBP2 histone demethylase represses NOTCH signaling to sustain neuroendocrine differentiation and promote small cell lung cancer tumorigenesis. Genes Dev. 33, 1718-1738 (2019).
16. Christensen, J. et al. RBP2 belongs to a family of demethylases, specific for tri-and dimethylated lysine 4 on histone 3. Cell 128, 1063-1076 (2007).
17. Klose, R. J. et al. The retinoblastoma binding protein RBP2 is an H3K4 demethylase. Cell 128, 889-900 (2007).
18. Shi, Y. et al. Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. Cell 119, 941-953 (2004).
19. Beshiri, M. L. et al. Coordinated repression of cell cycle genes by KDM5A and E2F4 during differentiation. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109, 18499-18504 (2012).
20. Whyte, W. A. et al. Enhancer decommissioning by LSD1 during embryonic stem cell differentiation. Nature 482, 221-225 (2012).
21. Schoenherr, C. J. \& Anderson, D. J. The neuron-restrictive silencer factor (NRSF): a coordinate repressor of multiple neuron-specific genes. Science 267, 1360-1363 (1995).
22. Jin, Y. et al. Kdm1a promotes SCLC progression by transcriptionally silencing the tumor suppressor Rest. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 515, 214-221 (2019).
23. Lim, J. S. et al. Intratumoural heterogeneity generated by Notch signalling promotes small-cell lung cancer. Nature 545, 360-364 (2017).
24. Mei, S. et al. Cistrome Data Browser: a data portal for ChIP-Seq and chromatin accessibility data in human and mouse. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D658-D662 (2017).
25. Zheng, R. et al. Cistrome Data Browser: expanded datasets and new tools for gene regulatory analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D729-D735 (2019).
26. McGrath, J. P. et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the histone lysine demethylase KDM1A suppresses the growth of multiple acute myeloid leukemia subtypes. Cancer Res. 76, 1975-1988 (2016).
27. Ciais, D. et al. Destabilization of vascular endothelial growth factor mRNA by the zinc-finger protein TIS11b. Oncogene 23, 8673-8680 (2004).
28. Galloway, A. et al. RNA-binding proteins ZFP36L1 and ZFP36L2 promote cell quiescence. Science 352, 453-459 (2016).
29. Hodson, D. J. et al. Deletion of the RNA-binding proteins ZFP36L1 and ZFP36L2 leads to perturbed thymic development and T lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Immunol. 11, 717-724 (2010).
30. Wang, L. et al. ASCL1 is a MYCN- and LMO1-dependent member of the adrenergic neuroblastoma core regulatory circuitry. Nat. Commun. 10, 5622 (2019).
31. Hong, D. et al. Plasticity in the absence of NOTCH uncovers a RUNX2-dependent pathway in small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-1991 (2021).
32. Sanson, K. R. et al. Optimized libraries for CRISPR-Cas9 genetic screens with multiple modalities. Nat. Commun. 9, 5416 (2018).
33. Mu, P. et al. SOX2 promotes lineage plasticity and antiandrogen resistance in TP53- and RB1-deficient prostate cancer. Science 355, 84-88 (2017).
34. Loh, X. Y. et al. RNA-binding protein ZFP36L1 suppresses hypoxia and cell-cycle signaling. Cancer Res. 80, 219-233 (2020).
35. Ghandi, M. et al. Next-generation characterization of the cancer cell line encyclopedia. Nature 569, 503-508 (2019).
36. Cai, L. et al. Cell-autonomous immune gene expression is repressed in pulmonary neuroendocrine cells and small cell lung cancer. Commun. Biol. 4, 314 (2021).
37. Gay, C. M. et al. Patterns of transcription factor programs and immune pathway activation define four major subtypes of SCLC with distinct therapeutic vulnerabilities. Cancer Cell 39, 346-360 (2021).e347.
38. Mahadevan, N. R. et al. Intrinsic immunogenicity of small cell lung carcinoma revealed by its cellular plasticity. Cancer Discov. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0913 (2021).
39. Christensen, C. L. et al. Targeting transcriptional addictions in small cell lung cancer with a covalent CDK7 inhibitor. Cancer Cell 26, 909-922 (2014).
40. Rooper, L. M., Sharma, R., Li, Q. K., Illei, P. B. \& Westra, W. H. INSM1 demonstrates superior performance to the individual and combined use of synaptophysin, chromogranin and CD56 for diagnosing neuroendocrine tumors of the thoracic cavity. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 41, 1561-1569 (2017).
41. Black, J. B. et al. Master regulators and cofactors of human neuronal cell fate specification identified by CRISPR gene activation screens. Cell Rep. 33, 108460 (2020).
42. Sheng, W. et al. LSD1 ablation stimulates anti-tumor immunity and enables checkpoint blockade. Cell 174, 549-563 (2018).e519.
43. Byers, L. A. et al. Proteomic profiling identifies dysregulated pathways in small cell lung cancer and novel therapeutic targets including PARP1. Cancer Discov. 2, 798-811 (2012).
44. Gardner, E. E. et al. Chemosensitive relapse in small cell lung cancer proceeds through an EZH2-SLFN11 axis. Cancer Cell 31, 286-299 (2017).
45. Poirier, J. T. et al. DNA methylation in small cell lung cancer defines distinct disease subtypes and correlates with high expression of EZH2. Oncogene 34, 5869-5878 (2015).
46. Ireland, A. S. et al. MYC drives temporal evolution of small cell lung cancer subtypes by reprogramming neuroendocrine fate. Cancer Cell 38, 60-78 (2020).e12.
47. Olsen, R. R. et al. ASCL1 represses a SOX9(+) neural crest stemlike state in small cell lung cancer. Genes Dev. 35, 847-869 (2021).
48. Oser, M. G., Niederst, M. J., Sequist, L. V. \& Engelman, J. A. Transformation from non-small-cell lung cancer to small-cell lung cancer: molecular drivers and cells of origin. Lancet Oncol. 16, e165-e172 (2015).
49. Sequist, L. V. et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Sci. Transl. Med. 3, 75 ra 26 (2011).
50. Lee, J. K. et al. Clonal history and genetic predictors of transformation into small-cell carcinomas from lung adenocarcinomas. J. Clin. Oncol. 35, 3065-3074 (2017).
51. Koduri, V. et al. Targeting oncoproteins with a positive selection assay for protein degraders. Sci. Adv. 7, https://doi.org/10.1126/ sciadv.abd6263 (2021).
52. Balanis, N. G. et al. Pan-cancer convergence to a small-cell neuroendocrine phenotype that shares susceptibilities with hematological malignancies. Cancer Cell 36, 17-34 (2019).e17.
53. Osada, H. et al. Roles of achaete-scute homologue 1 in DKK1 and E-cadherin repression and neuroendocrine differentiation in lung cancer. Cancer Res. 68, 1647-1655 (2008).
54. Gao, J. et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci. Signal 6, pl1 (2013).
55. Khandelwal, G. et al. Next-generation sequencing analysis and algorithms for PDX and CDX models. Mol. Cancer Res. 15, 1012-1016 (2017).
56. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. \& Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30, 923-930 (2014).
57. Aibar, S. et al. SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering. Nat. Methods 14, 1083-1086 (2017).
58. Hao, Y. et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell 184, 3573-3587 (2021).e3529.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Oser, Barbie, and Janne laboratories for helpful discussions, and members of the Oser laboratory for critical reading of the manuscript. M.G.O. is a Damon Runyon William Raveis Charitable Fund Clinical Investigator supported by the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (CI-101-19), and is also supported by an NCI/NIH K08 grant (no. K08CA222657) and the Kaplan Family Fund. C.M.R is supported by NCI/NIH grant (no. R35CA263816).

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: H.Y.C. and M.G.O.; Methodology: H.Y.C., Y.T.D., J.G.D., and M.G.O.; Investigation: H.Y.C., Y.T.D., Y.L., A.H.S., E.W., T.D., Y.N.L., N.R.M., J.A.L., D.A.B., C.M.R, T.S., S.S., and M.G.O. Formal analysis: H.Y.C., Y.T.D., Y.L., A.V., E.W., T.D., M.Y.T., and M.G.O. Writing: H.Y.C., Y.T.D., Y.L., and M.G.O.; Review and editing: all authors; Funding acquisition: M.G.O.

## Competing interests

M.G.O. has (currently or previously) sponsored research agreements (SRAs) with Takeda, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Circle Pharma, and Bristol Myers Squibb. None of these SRAs were used to fund this work. D.A.B. reports grants from Xsphera Biosciences, Takeda, Gilead Sciences, Novartis Oncology, BMS, and Lilly/LOXO outside the submitted work, and personal fees from Qiagen/ N of One, Tango Biosciences, Exo Therapeutics outside the submitted work. T.S. has research funding from Jazz Pharmaceuticals. C.M.R. has consulted regarding oncology drug development with AbbVie, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, D2G Oncology, Genentech/Roche, Ipsen, Jazz, Kowa, Merck, and Syros, and serves on the scientific advisory boards of Bridge Medicines, Earli, and Harpoon Therapeutics. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31998-7.
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Matthew G. Oser.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at
http://www.nature.com/reprints
Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.
© The Author(s) 2022


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA.
    ${ }^{2}$ Department of Informatics and Analytics, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02215, USA. ${ }^{3}$ Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA O2115, USA. ${ }^{4}$ Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA. ${ }^{5}$ Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ${ }^{6}$ Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. ${ }^{7}$ Department of Oncological Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ${ }^{8}$ Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ${ }^{9}$ These authors contributed equally: Hsiao-Yun Chen, Yavuz T. Durmaz. $\boxtimes$ e-mail: Matthew_Oser@dfci.harvard.edu

