J Antimicrob Chemother 2022; **77**: 2308–2309 https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkac098 Advance Access publication 24 March 2022

# Comment on: Nephrotoxicity of continuous amphotericin B in critically ill patients with abdominal sepsis: a retrospective analysis with propensity score matching

### Jan Grothe (1)<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Rosanne Sprute (1)<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Oliver A. Cornely (1)<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department I of Internal Medicine, Excellence Center for Medical Mycology (ECMM), Cologne, NRW, Germany; <sup>2</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Chair Translational Research, Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), Cologne, NRW, Germany; <sup>3</sup>German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF), Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, Cologne, NRW, Germany; <sup>4</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Clinical Trials Centre Cologne (ZKS Köln), Cologne, NRW, Germany; <sup>5</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Cologne, Germany

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: Oliver.Cornely@uk-koeln.de

We read with great interest the paper written by Geersing *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> in which continuous infusion of conventional amphotericin B (CCAB) combined with therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) was discussed for the pre-emptive treatment of invasive fungal disease (IFD) in patients with abdominal sepsis in the ICU. The authors state that this treatment did not lead to renal dysfunction and can thus be safely applied in this patient group. We share the opinion that antifungals may be used pre-emptively in the surgical ICU but would like to point out several arguments and viewpoints with which we strongly disagree.

According to the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, prophylactic usage of fluconazole is recommended in patients who have recently undergone abdominal surgery and have recurrent gastrointestinal perforations or anastomotic leakage.<sup>2</sup> The Infectious Diseases Society of America has likewise made a recommendation for prophylactic use of fluconazole in high-risk adult ICU patients with a high rate of invasive candidiasis. Empirical therapy should be considered for patients with clinical evidence of intra-abdominal infection and significant risk factors for candidiasis, including recent abdominal surgery and anastomotic leaks.<sup>3</sup> IFD other than candidiasis remains negligible in the setting of non-neutropenic surgical patients.<sup>4</sup>

In light of these recommendations, we are surprised about the uncritical approach in this study.<sup>1</sup> CCAB was applied in both centres as a pre-emptive treatment in the case of abdominal sepsis with secondary peritonitis after intestinal perforation.<sup>1</sup> CCAB is neither approved by the European Medicines Agency nor the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and is not recommended in current guidance documents.<sup>2,3</sup> The benefit of prophylactic therapy with CCAB was not reviewed by an appropriate endpoint or questioned in any way. We consider this concept unsound, given that fluconazole is well tolerated and has been successfully implemented in the surgical ICU to reduce the incidence of IFD. To prevent one case of IFD, the number needed to treat with fluconazole prophylaxis is nine in a high-risk patient population.<sup>4</sup>

The main point of this study by Geersina *et al.*<sup>1</sup> was to scrutinize the nephrotoxicity of CCAB. As a matter of fact, previous studies have demonstrated comparable results with a trend towards a lower nephrotoxicity.<sup>5</sup> Nonetheless, there are several aspects that seem to have been ignored. The potential toxicodynamic advantage of a continuous-infusion dosing strategy may compromise the therapeutic efficacy. Pharmacodynamic data derived from murine disseminated candidiasis models have demonstrated that amphotericin B (AmB) peak serum levels correlate with outcome.<sup>6</sup> Continuous infusion of AmB therefore negatively impacts this concentration-dependent antifungal activity. Furthermore, in this study, TDM reference values were chosen to prevent nephrotoxicity, not to promote effective pre-emptive treatment. In fact, in one of the two centres, the TDM range was lower as suggested in another study by the authors, in which they deemed AmB serum levels >0.5 mg/L effective to obtain peritoneal levels above MIC values.

Aside from that, conventional AmB has been also shown to cause a number of other untoward effects, including infusion-related adverse events, anaemia and electrolyte disorders such as hypokalaemia and hypomagnesaemia.<sup>8</sup> None of these well-known complications was examined in this publication.

The retrospective design of this study has, however, allowed for potential biases. Patients with a history of kidney disease or poor renal function could have been consistently excluded from CCAB by the attending physicians. Such selection bias is ultimately reinforced by the applied propensity matching, as patients with good pre-existing conditions are compared with patients with similar physical health. Another data distortion could have been caused by the exclusion of patients receiving conventional AmB for less than 72 h. Cases in which therapy was discontinued

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com because of a decreasing renal function or other side effects would consequently induce a bias towards better outcomes.

Last but not least, we want to address the issue of costeffectiveness. We believe that the targeted use of antifungals is not only important for the avoidance of resistance development and prevention of adverse effects but also helps to save costs in the healthcare system through shorter treatment durations and earlier hospital discharge. A direct comparison of costs for the broadly available and inexpensive therapeutic-standard fluconazole with CCAB and TDM was not conducted by the authors. Aside from that, elaborate diagnostic methods, such as TDM using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, are neither practical nor inexpensive for hospitals with limited resources.

All things considered, there is no good reason to use CCAB as a pre-emptive treatment of IFD.

#### Funding

None.

### **Transparency declarations**

J.G. and R.S. have nothing to declare. O.A.C. reports arants and personal fees from Actelion, personal fees from Allecra Therapeutics, personal fees from Al-Jazeera Pharmaceuticals, grants and personal fees from Amplyx, grants and personal fees from Astellas, grants and personal fees from Basilea, personal fees from Biosys, grants and personal fees from Cidara, grants and personal fees from Da Volterra, personal fees from Entasis, grants and personal fees from F2G, grants and personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from Grupo Biotoscana, personal fees from IQVIA, grants from Janssen, personal fees from Matinas, grants from Medicines Company, grants and personal fees from MedPace, grants from Melinta Therapeutics, personal fees from Menarini, grants and personal fees from Merck/MSD, personal fees from Mylan, personal fees from Nabriva, personal fees from Noxxon, personal fees from Octapharma, personal fees from Paratek, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from PSI, personal fees from Roche Diagnostics, grants and personal fees from Scynexis, personal fees from Shionogi, grants from DFG, German Research Foundation, grants from German Federal Ministry of Research and Education, grants from Immunic, outside the submitted work.

#### Author contributions

J.G. and R.S. drafted the initial manuscript. O.A.C. conceived the idea, critically revised the initial manuscript and contributed to manuscript writing. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

### References

**1** Geersing TH, Franssen EJF, Spronk PE *et al*. Nephrotoxicity of continuous amphotericin B in critically ill patients with abdominal sepsis: a retrospective analysis with propensity score matching. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2022; **77**: 246–52.

**2** Cornely OA, Bassetti M, Calandra T *et al*. ESCMID\* guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: non-neutropenic adult patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012; **18**: 19–37.

**3** Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR *et al.* Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **62**: e1–50.

**4** Playford EG, Webster AC, Sorrell TC *et al.* Antifungal agents for preventing fungal infections in non-neutropenic critically ill and surgical patients: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; **57**: 628–38.

**5** Falagas ME, Karageorgopoulos DE, Tansarli GS. continuous versus conventional infusion of amphotericin B deoxycholate: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e77075.

**6** Andes D, Stamsted T, Conklin R. Pharmacodynamics of amphotericin B in a neutropenic-mouse disseminated-candidiasis model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; **45**: 922–6.

**7** van der Voort PHJ, Boerma EC, Yska JP. Serum and intraperitoneal levels of amphotericin B and flucytosine during intravenous treatment of critically ill patients with *Candida* peritonitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**: 952–6.

**8** Laniado-Laborín R, Cabrales-Vargas MN. Amphotericin B: side effects and toxicity. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2009; **26**: 223–7.

J Antimicrob Chemother 2022; **77**: 2309–2311 https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkac203 Advance Access publication 4 July 2022

## Nephrotoxicity of continuous amphotericin B in critically ill patients with abdominal sepsis: a retrospective analysis with propensity score matching—authors' response

T. H. Geersing<sup>1</sup>\*, E. J. F. Franssen<sup>2</sup>, P. E. Spronk<sup>3</sup>, H. J. M. van Kan<sup>4</sup>, M. den Reijer<sup>5</sup> and P. H. J. van der Voort<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, OLVG, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Gelre Hospitals Apeldoorn, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands; <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Gelre Hospitals Apeldoorn, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Microbiology & Infection Prevention, Gelre Hospitals Apeldoorn, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands; <sup>6</sup>Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>7</sup>TIAS School for Business and Society, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: t.geersing@antoniusziekenhuis.nl

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com