Research Article

Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts Prognosis in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Patients Who Received Cabazitaxel Chemotherapy

Koichi Uemura,¹ Takashi Kawahara,^{1,2} Daisuke Yamashita,² Ryosuke Jikuya,² Koichi Abe,³ Tomoyuki Tatenuma,⁴ Yumiko Yokomizo,² Koji Izumi,¹ Jun-ichi Teranishi,¹ Kazuhide Makiyama,² Yasushi Yumura,¹ Takeshi Kishida,⁴ Koichi Udagawa,⁵ Kazuki Kobayashi,⁵ Yasuhide Miyoshi,¹ Masahiro Yao,² and Hiroji Uemura¹

¹Departments of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Yokohama City University Medical Center, Yokohama, Japan

⁵Department of Urology, Hiratsuka Kyosai Hospital, Hiratsuka, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Takashi Kawahara; takashi_tk2001@yahoo.co.jp

Received 2 June 2017; Accepted 30 July 2017; Published 29 August 2017

Academic Editor: Christian Schwentner

Copyright © 2017 Koichi Uemura et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction and Objectives. An elevated neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been suggested to be associated with a poor prognosis in several cancers. We evaluated the utility of an elevated NLR as a biomarker to predict the prognosis of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) patients treated with cabazitaxel (CBZ). *Methods*. We analyzed 47 patients who received CBZ chemotherapy for mCRPC in our institutions. The NLR was calculated using the neutrophil and lymphocyte counts before CBZ chemotherapy. We determined the NLR cut-off value based on the sensitivity and specificity levels derived from area under the receiver operator characteristic curves for death. A multivariate analysis was performed to investigate the association between the NLR and the prognosis. *Results*. The median overall survival (OS) after CBZ was 10.0 months (range: 6.3–13.2). The median OS was shorter in patients with a high NLR (\geq 3.83) than in those with a low NLR (<3.83) (5.8 versus 13.2 months, *p* = 0.018). In the multivariate analysis, the NLR, patient age, and lymph node (LN) metastasis were independent predictors of the OS (hazard ratio 3.01, *p* = 0.030; hazard ratio 3.10, *p* = 0.029; hazard ratio 12.38, *p* = 0.001, resp.). *Conclusions*. NLR might be a useful prognostic biomarker in mCRPC patients treated with CBZ.

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer and its incidence has markedly increased in recent years [1]. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the standard treatment for advanced or metastatic prostate cancer. Despite the higher response rate of ADT, most patients develop castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) [2, 3]. Several treatments, including enzalutamide (ENZ) [4, 5], abiraterone acetate (AA) [6, 7], docetaxel (DOC) [8, 9], cabazitaxel (CBZ) [10], radium-223 [11], and sipuleucel-T [11], have been shown to prolong overall survival (OS) in patients with metastatic CRPC (mCRPC).

CBZ is the first chemotherapeutic agent to prolong OS in mCRPC patients after docetaxel. The TROPIC study showed that CBZ prolonged OS in comparison to mitoxantrone (15.1 months versus 12.7 months) and that it reduced the relative risk of death by 30% (HR: 0.70, p < 0.001). Based on that study, CBZ has been widely accepted as a new-generation cytotoxic systemic chemotherapy for mCRPC. Although some prognostic factors and biomarkers have

²Department of Urology, Yokohama City University Graduate School of Medicine, Yokohama, Japan

³Department of Urology, Yokosuka Kyosai Hospital, Yokosuka, Japan

⁴Department of Urology, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Yokohama, Japan

Variables	Total	NLR < 3.83	NLR ≥ 3.83	<i>p</i> value
Number	47	27	20	
Age (years)	$71.4(71.0 \pm 7.0)$	$71.1(71.0 \pm 6.2)$	$72.1(71.0 \pm 8.2)$	0.598
Baseline PSA (ng/mL)	150.3 (461.2 ± 789.9)	164.6 (478.4 ± 754.6)	126.9 (438.1 ± 854.7)	0.475
Parts of metastasis				
Bone	47 (100.0%)	27 (100.0%)	20 (100.0%)	
Lymph nodes	31 (65.9%)	17 (62.9%)	14 (70.0%)	0.624
Visceral	22 (46.8%)	10 (37.0%)	12 (60.0%)	0.124
Alb (mg/dL)	$3.7 (3.6 \pm 0.5)$	$3.8(3.7\pm0.4)$	$3.4(3.4\pm0.6)$	0.110
LDH (IU/L)	269 (398.7 ± 496.7)	231 (399.7 ± 641.1)	362 (397.3 ± 186.5)	0.026
ALP (IU/L)	429 (794.9 ± 902.0)	373 (745.6 ± 855.8)	453 (861.6 ± 979.5)	0.297
Courses of docetaxel	9 (12.6 ± 11.1)	$9(11.3 \pm 10.8)$	$7(13.1 \pm 10.9)$	0.517

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics (n = 47).

been reported, no clinically available biomarkers have been established for CBZ treatment.

Inflammation plays an important role in the development and progression of cancer. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been suggested as a simple marker of the systemic inflammatory response and can be easily measured from routine complete blood counts (CBCs) in the peripheral blood. The NLR has been reported to be an independent prognostic factor in several cancers, including prostate cancer. This study examined the correlation between NLR and the prognosis of CRPC patients who were treated with CBZ.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design, Patients, and Treatments. We retrospectively analyzed a total of 47 patients who received CBZ for the treatment of mCRPC in our institutions from 2014 to 2016. All of the patients had pathologically confirmed prostate carcinoma. Among these 47 patients, 37 had metastatic prostate cancer at the time of the diagnosis. All of the patients were initially treated with ADT, which was changed to antiandrogen therapy after treatment failure, followed by docetaxel with dexamethasone or ENZ/AA plus prednisolone.

CBZ was intravenously administered at a dose of 20 mg/m^2 over 1 h on day 1 in triweekly cycles with continuous oral prednisone (10 mg/day). Dose modification was allowed according to the patient's condition, patient age, or bone marrow suppression. The treating physician could decide to stop the therapy at any time based on the patient's condition, PSA progression, or objective evidence of tumor progression, such as computed tomography or bone scintigraphy.

2.2. The Clinical and Laboratory Assessments. CBCs were performed and NLR was calculated using the neutrophil and lymphocyte counts obtained on the same day or a few days before the initiation of CBZ chemotherapy. No patients had any bacterial or viral infections at the induction of CBZ. We determined the cut-off point of the NLR based on the sensitivity and specificity levels derived from the area under receiver operator characteristic (AUROC) curves, which were plotted using either disease progression or overall mortality. 2.3. Statistical Analysis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze the patient characteristics and pretreatment factors. The Kaplan-Meier curve was used to estimate the distribution of survival. The log-rank test was used to analyze the differences in survival. The Cox proportional hazards model, with a stepwise regression analysis, was used to investigate the association between clinical variables at the time of the induction of CBZ. The variables included the PSA level, patient age, albumin (Alb), the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels, the courses of DOC, visceral or lymph node (LN) metastasis, the NLR, and OS after the induction of CBZ. LN metastasis was defined by a size of >15 mm based on the RECIST guidelines (version 1.1). The cut-off points for continuous variables (excluding the NLR) were determined based on the median value of each variable. All of the statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software program (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). All tests were two-sided, and p values of <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results

The patients' clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Based on the AUROC curves, the NLR cut-off value for the OS was determined to be 3.83. There were 20 and 27 patients in the NLR \geq 3.83 and NLR < 3.83 groups, respectively. Cancer death occurred in 27 (57.4%) patients. The median OS after the induction of CBZ was 10.0 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 6.3–13.2 months).

We compared the survival probability according to the pretreatment NLR. The median OS in patients with an NLR of <3.83 was 13.2 months, while that in patients with an NLR of \geq 3.83 was 5.8 months. The Kaplan–Meier curves showed that a higher NLR was significantly correlated with the risk of mortality (*p* = 0.015; Figure 1).

The multivariate analysis of 9 factors identified the NLR, patient age, and LN metastasis as independent prognostic biomarkers for OS after the induction of NLR (\geq 3.83 versus <3.83; HR: 3.01; 95% CI: 1.06–8.49; p = 0.030), patient age (\geq 71.4 versus <71.4; HR: 3.10; 95% CI: 1.11–8.63; p = 0.029), and LN metastasis (yes versus no; HR: 12.38; 95% CI: 2.62–58.35; p = 0.001) in Table 2.

BioMed Research International

Multivariate analysis	HR	95% CI lower	95% CI upper	<i>p</i> value
Visceral metastasis	0.52	0.16	1.61	0.260
PSA ≥ 150.3 or <150.3	1.45	0.49	4.20	0.497
$NLR \ge 3.83 \text{ or } < 3.83$	3.01	1.06	8.49	0.030
Alb < 3.7 or ≥3.7	2.45	0.81	7.34	0.108
Age \ge 71.4 or <71.4	3.10	1.11	8.63	0.029
DOC course \ge 9 or <9	2.12	0.71	6.33	0.177
Lymph node metastasis	12.38	2.62	58.35	0.001
$LDH \ge 269 \text{ or } < 269$	2.85	1.03	9.31	0.052
$ALP \ge 429 \text{ or } <429$	0.80	0.26	2.36	0.108

TABLE 2: Multivariate analysis of overall survival after the administration of cabazitaxel.



FIGURE 1: Kaplan–Meier curve for OS after CBZ according to the NLR. The median OS in patients with the NLR < 3.83 and NLR \geq 3.83 were 13.2 months and 5.8 months.

TABLE 3: Distribution of risk classified by risk group.

v risk High risk
)-1 2-3
27 (57.5%)
0.0%) 18 (66.7%)
0.0%) 20 (74.0%)
0.0%) 23 (85.2%)

The patients were classified into a low-risk group (0-1 risk factor) and a high-risk group (2-3 risk factors) based on the number of risk factors that they possessed. The characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 3. Kaplan–Meier curves showed that the survival of the high-risk group after the induction of CBZ was significantly poorer than that of the low-risk group (median OS: 14.2 months versus 6.3 months; p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we reported the usefulness of the NLR as a prognostic factor in mCRPC patients who were treated with



FIGURE 2: Kaplan–Meier curve for OS after CBA according to the risk group. We stratified the patients into two cohorts with low risk (0-1 risk factor) and high risk (2-3 risk factors). The median OS of patients in low and high risk were 14.2 months and 6.3 months.

CBZ. Since the TROPIC study, CBZ has widely been used as a new-generation cytotoxic systemic chemotherapy for cases in which docetaxel is ineffective. The landscape for the treatment of mCRPC might be gradually changing with the development of hormonal therapies (AA or ENZ), radiopharmaceutical agents (radium-223), cytotoxic chemotherapies (DOC or CBZ), and immunotherapy (sipuleucel-T). Several phase III trials showed that these agents could improve the survival of CRPC patients, while many studies have reported these agents to be useless. CBZ might be regarded as the last agent to prolong the OS of mCRPC patients who show progression after DOC in Japan. However, hematological adverse events, such as neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and anemia, frequently appear during CBZ therapy. Thus, useful and reliable biomarkers that provide additional prognostic information in relation to CBZ treatment are needed to assist physicians in making decisions regarding the timing of induction or discontinuation and dosage adjustment. The reasons of CBZ dose reduction from 25 mg/m^2 to 20 mg/m^2 **Authors' Co** were dependent on the high incidence of febrile neutropenia

in Japanese patients [12]. It has been proposed that the NLR can be used to estimate the magnitude of systemic inflammation in cancer patients [13–16]. Several retrospective studies have evaluated the baseling NLP on a programming factor in prostate cancer [17]

baseline NLR as a prognostic factor in prostate cancer [17– 20]. The NLR can easily be calculated from the CBC data without much labor or cost. Thus, the NLR is a useful tool when considering changes in the treatment of CRPC patients undergoing CBZ chemotherapy.

Various mechanisms have been suggested to lead to epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, including an increased supply of growth factors, survival factors, proangiogenic factors, extracellular matrix-modifying enzymes (which can facilitate invasion and metastasis), and inductive signals [21, 22]. Cho et al. reported that patients with an elevated NLR exhibit a relative lymphocyte-mediated immune response to malignancy, thereby worsening their prognosis and increasing the potential for tumor progression [23, 24]. However, none of the mechanisms reported to underlie the link between the NLR and cancer progression have been widely accepted.

Our study is associated with some limitations. First, this study was retrospective in nature. As a result, the data for some of the variables were missing. Second, our population was relatively small and the observation period was relatively short. The evaluation of a larger patient population over a longer time is needed to verify the NLR as a prognostic factor. Finally, our definition of lymph node metastasis was not clear. We divided the patients into two groups. Multiple lymph node metastasis was not equal to simple metastasis; however, it was difficult to describe the volume of the metastatic lymph nodes as an objective and quantitative measure.

Despite the effectiveness of new agents including AA, ENZ, Ra-223, and CBZ, most patients developed resistance. Some new agents such as olaparib, pembrolizumab, and ipilimumab will be come to clinical use. In that situation, NLR might be a new factor to select these drugs [25–27].

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the NLR might be a new biomarker that can be used to predict the prognosis of mCRPC patients who are treated with cabazitaxel.

Additional Points

Availability of Data and Materials. Due to ethical restrictions, the raw data that was used in this study is available upon request from the corresponding author.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Yokohama City University Medical Center.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Koichi Uemura and Takashi Kawahara conceived and designed the experiments. Koichi Uemura and Takashi Kawahara analyzed the data. Koichi Uemura, Takashi Kawahara, Koichi Abe, Tomoyuki Tatenuma, Yumiko Yokomizo, Koji Izumi, Jun-ichi Teranishi, Kazuhide Makiyama, Yasushi Yumura, Takeshi Kishida, Kazuki Kobayashi, Yasuhide Miyoshi, Masahiro Yao, Hiroji Uemura performed the experiments. Koichi Uemura and Takashi Kawahara wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from KAKENHI (16K20152) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

References

- A. Jemal, R. Siegel, J. Xu, and E. Ward, "Cancer statistics, 2010," CA Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 277–300, 2010.
- [2] E. J. Small and N. J. Vogelzang, "Second-line hormonal therapy for advanced prostate cancer: A shifting paradigm," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 382–388, 1997.
- [3] W. K. Oh and P. W. Kantoff, "Management of hormone refractory prostate cancer: Current standards and future prospects," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 160, no. 4, pp. 1220–1229, 1998.
- [4] T. M. Beer, A. J. Armstrong, and D. E. Rathkopf, "Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 371, no. 5, pp. 424–433, 2014.
- [5] H. I. Scher, K. Fizazi, F. Saad et al., "Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 367, no. 13, pp. 1187–1197, 2012.
- [6] J. S. de Bono, C. J. Logothetis, A. Molina, and etak, "Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 364, no. 21, pp. 1995–2005, 2011.
- [7] C. J. Ryan, M. R. Smith, de Bono J. S. et al., "Abiraterone in metastatic prostate cancer without previous chemotherapy," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 368, no. 2, pp. 138–148, 2013.
- [8] D. P. Petrylak, C. M. Tangen, M. H. A. Hussain et al., "Docetaxel and estramustine compared with mitoxantrone and prednisone for advanced refractory prostate cancer," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 351, no. 15, pp. 1513–1520, 2004.
- [9] I. F. Tannock, R. de Wit, W. R. Berry et al., "Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 351, no. 15, pp. 1502–1512, 2004.
- [10] J. S. de Bono, S. Oudard, and M. Ozguroglu, "Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a randomised open-label trial," *The Lancet*, vol. 376, no. 9747, pp. 1147–1154, 2010.
- [11] C. Parker, S. Nilsson, and D. Heinrich, "Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate cancer," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 369, no. 3, pp. 213–223, 2013.
- [12] M. Nozawa, H. Mukai, S. Takahashi et al., "Japanese phase I study of cabazitaxel in metastatic castration-resistant prostate

cancer," *International Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1026–1034, 2015.

- [13] P. Xue, M. Kanai, Y. Mori et al., "Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for predicting palliative chemotherapy outcomes in advanced pancreatic cancer patients," *Cancer Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 406–415, 2014.
- [14] M. Stotz, A. Gerger, F. Eisner et al., "Increased neutrophillymphocyte ratio is a poor prognostic factor in patients with primary operable and inoperable pancreatic cancer," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 416–421, 2013.
- [15] R. A. Smith, L. Bosonnet, M. Raraty et al., "Preoperative platelet-lymphocyte ratio is an independent significant prognostic marker in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma," *American Journal of Surgery*, vol. 197, no. 4, pp. 466–472, 2009.
- [16] M. J. Proctor, D. S. Morrison, D. Talwar et al., "An inflammationbased prognostic score (mGPS) predicts cancer survival independent of tumour site: a Glasgow Inflammation Outcome Study," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 726–734, 2011.
- [17] Y. Maeda, T. Kawahara, M. Koizumi et al., "Lack of an association between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and PSA failure of prostate cancer patients who underwent radical prostatectomy," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2016, Article ID 6197353, 6 pages, 2016.
- [18] Y. Maeda, T. Kawahara, Y. Kumano et al., "The neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio before repeat prostate needle biopsy for predicting prostate cancer," *Urologia Internationalis*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 123-124, 2016.
- [19] T. Kawahara, S. Fukui, K. Sakamaki et al., "Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio predicts prostatic carcinoma in men undergoing needle biopsy," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 31, pp. 32169–32176, 2015.
- [20] T. Kawahara, Y. Yokomizo, Y. Ito et al., "Pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts the prognosis in patients with metastatic prostate cancer," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 16, no. 1, article no. 111, 2016.
- [21] D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg, "Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation," *Cell*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 646–674, 2011.
- [22] T. Hermanns, B. Bhindi, Y. Wei et al., "Pre-treatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as predictor of adverse outcomes in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 444–451, 2014.
- [23] T. Gondo, J. Nakashima, Y. Ohno et al., "Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and establishment of novel preoperative risk stratification model in bladder cancer patients treated with radical cystectomy," *Urology*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 1085–1091, 2012.
- [24] H. Cho, H. W. Hur, S. W. Kim et al., "Pre-treatment neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is elevated in epithelial ovarian cancer and predicts survival after treatment," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 15–23, 2009.
- [25] E. D. Kwon, C. G. Drake, H. I. Scher et al., "Ipilimumab versus placebo after radiotherapy in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer that had progressed after docetaxel chemotherapy (CA184-043): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial," *The Lancet Oncology*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 700–712, 2014.
- [26] J. Li, R. Wang, Y. Kong et al., "Targeting plk1 to enhance efficacy of olaparib in castration-resistant prostate cancer," *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 469–479, 2017.

[27] M. Rijnders, R. de Wit, J. L. Boormans, M. P. Lolkema, and A. A. van der Veldt, "Systematic Review of Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in Urological Cancers," *European Urology*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 411–423, 2017.