Epidemiological Study on Cancer Prevention by Ginseng: Are All Kinds of Cancers Preventable by Ginseng?

In the light of experimental results, two case-control studies and one cohort study in a population of ginseng cultivation area were conducted to confirm whether ginseng has any anticarcinogenic effect on human cancers. All participants were interviewed using a standardised questionnaire to obtain the information on demographics, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and ginseng intake. In 905 pairs case-control study, 62% had a history of ginseng intake compared to 75% of the controls, a statistically significant difference (p < 0.01). The odds ratio (OR) for cancer in relation to ginseng intake was 0.56. In extended case-control study with 1987 pairs, the ORs for cancer were 0.37 in fresh ginseng extract users, 0.57 in white ginseng extract users, 0.30 in white ginseng extract users, 0.30 in white ginseng powder users, and 0.20 in red ginseng users. Those who took fresh ginseng slices, fresh ginseng juice, and white ginseng tea, however, did not show decrease in the risk. Overall, the risk decreased as the frequency and duration of ginseng intake increased. With respect to the site of cancer, the ORs for cancers of the lip, oral cavity, pharynx, esophagus, stomach, colorectum, liver, pancreas, larynx, lung and ovary were significantly reduced by ginseng intake. Smokers with ginseng intake showed lower ORs for cancers of lung, lip, oral cavity and pharynx and liver than those without ginseng intake. In 5 yr follow-up cohort study conducted in the ginseng cultivation area, Kangwha-eup, ginseng intakers had significantly lower risk than non-intakers. As for the type of ginseng, cancer risk significantly decreased among intakers of fresh ginseng extract, alone or together with other ginseng preparations. Among 24 red ginseng intakers, no cancer death occurred during the follow-up period. The risk for stomach and lung cancers was significantly reduced by ginseng intake, showing a statistically significant dose-response relationship in each follow-up year. In conclusion, Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer has been established as non-organ specific cancer preventive, having dose response relationship. These results warrant that ginseng extracts and its synthetic derivatives should be examined for their preventive effect on various types of human cancers.

Key Words : Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer; Case-Control Studies; Cohort Studies; Neoplasms; Prevention and control; Anticarcinogenic Agents

Taik-Koo Yun, Soo-Yong Choi*, Hyo Yung Yun[†]

Laboratory of Experimental Pathology, Laboratory of Clinical Research*, Korea Cancer Center Hospital, Seoul; Department of Surgery, College of Medicine[†], Chungbuk National University Hospital, Cheongju, Korea

Address for correspondence

Taik-Koo Yun, M.D. Laboratory of Experimental Pathology, Korea Cancer Center Hospital, 215-4 Gongneung-dong, Nowon-ku, Seoul 139-706, Korea Tel : +82.2-335-1020, Fax : +82.2-335-1020 E-mail : tkyun@nuri.net

And the earth brought forth grass, and herb yields seed according to its kind, and the tree that yields fruit, whose seed is in itself according to its kind. And God saw that it was good. Genesis 1 :12 - Old Testament

INTRODUCTION

The ginseng root has been used empirically for thousands of years in Asian countries. Since 1965, several pharmacological activities of ginseng extracts or ginseng dammarane saponin have been reported, including effects on the central nervous system, antipsychotic action, tranquillizing effects, protection from stress ulcers, increase of gastrointestinal motility, antifatigue action, endocrinological effects, enhancement of sexual behavior, acceleration of metabolism, syntheses of carbohydarates, lipids, RNA, and proteins (1-4).

The ginseng plant is deciduous perennial belonging to the Araliaceae family. Of the 13 species (5, 6), the most prominent are *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer, which is cultivated in Korea, Japan, China, Russia, the United States of America, and Germany, *P. quinquefolius* L. (American ginseng) found

in southern Canada and the U.S.A., and *P. japonicus* C.A. Meyer (Japanese ginseng) grown in Japan.

We have proposed that the life-prolonging effect of ginseng described by Shennong (7) during the Liang Dynasty in China may be due to the preventive activity of ginseng against the development of cancers. Employing a long term anticarcinogenesis model using newborn mice (8, 9), we carried out extensive experiments in 1978 to investigate whether ginseng inhibited carcinogenesis, and demonstrated that the red ginseng extracts of *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer cultivated in Korea had anticarcinogenic activity against lung tumors and liver cancer induced by urethane or aflatoxin B₁.

The extract was found to partly elevate natural killer cell activity (10), the results providing the hope for natural products preventing human cancers. The medium-term (9 weeks) model system also revealed anticarcinogenicity of ginseng on pulmonary adenoma induced by benzo(a)pyrene (BP) in newborn mice (11-14).

Employing BP as carcinogen, we further investigated whether fresh ginseng or white ginseng also had the similar anticarcinogenic effects, and whether the anticarcinogenic effects depended on types and ages of ginseng. We found a significant anticarcinogenic effect of 6-yr-old dried powder or fresh ginseng extract, 5- and 6-yr-old white ginseng powder or extract, and 4-, 5-, and 6-yr-old red ginseng powder or extract (15-17). The results demonstrated that the anticarcinogenicity was more prominent in aged or heat treated extract of fresh ginseng and red ginseng prepared by steaming.

In light of the above described evidences, in 1987 we began a case-control study with 905 pairs (18) to confirm whether red ginseng extracts had anticarcinogenic effect on human cancers as much as on mice, and we later extended the number of subjects to 1,987 pairs (19) from 905 pairs.

Also, a prospective study (20) was started to find whether ginseng intake was related to the type of cancers, and to evaluate the preventive effect of ginseng among the population residing in ginseng cultivation area 6 months after the first case-control study (in August 1987) and compared the results with three independent analyses in 10 yr of follow up period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case-control study with 905 pairs

The cases and controls were selected from the patients admitted to the Korea Cancer Center Hospital (KCCH), Seoul. As a major cancer centre in Korea, the KCCH diagnosed average 5,000 new cancer patients annually between 1 July 1982 and 30 June 1987. These represent an average of 14% of all cancer patients diagnosed annually in 66 hospitals in Korea (21). Selection criteria for cases were: newly diagnosed cancer during the period of 1 February 1987 through 31 January 1988, diagnosis of cancer by pathological examination, and 20 yr of age or older at diagnosis. Patients admitted to the intensive care unit or the otolaryngology department were excluded from the study, because of the difficulty in answering questions. A total of 905 cases met these criteria and all cases agreed to participate in the study.

One control was selected for each case from a pool of patients diagnosed with non-cancerous diseases at the same hospital by matching gender, age at diagnosis (± 2 yr), and date of admission (± 3 months). When more than one control fell within the selection range, the control which had the admission date closest to that of the cancer patient was selected as the matched control. Patients whose final diagnoses were not cancer but whose diseases were associated with smoking or alcohol consumption were also excluded from the control group. Among the controls were those suffering from cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, peptic ulcer, and liver cirrhosis.

Two trained interviewers visited the 905 case-control pairs in the hospital. To prevent possible bias between the interviewers, a standardized questionnaire was administered to each case-control pair by the same interviewer. During the interview, some information on demographic characteristics (i.e., age, marital status, education, occupation and income), life-style (i.e., cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and others), and ginseng consumption were obtained (18).

In order to collect information on ginseng intake, a dietaryrecall method commonly employed in epidemiological studies on diet and disease was used (22). In the questionnaire, ginseng types were classified into fresh ginseng, white ginseng and red ginseng. Fresh ginseng is less than four yr old and can be consumed in the fresh state. White ginseng is grown for four to six year, peeled and dried to reduce the water content to 12% or less. Red ginseng is harvested after six year, steamed, and dried. In the questionaire, each type of ginseng was further grouped into several forms of ginseng product; i.e., fresh sliced, juice, extract, powder, tea, tablet, capsule and other forms. The lifetime consumption of ginseng was assessed by asking types and products used, frequency of intake per day, week, and month for each decade of life. In addition, multiple combinations among fresh, white and red ginsengs were included.

Interviews on ginseng intake were carried out by asking the following series of questions; 1) Have you ever consumed ginseng? 2) At what age did you first take ginseng? 3) What type of ginseng products have you taken? and 4) How often (frequency) and how long (duration) have you used it? The frequency of ginseng intake was divided into four categories: no intake, 1-3 times per year, 4-11 times per year, and more than once a month (12 times or more per year). The trained interviewers obtained information by using a precoded questionnaire that took approximately half an hour to complete.

To measure the reliability of recall of ginseng use, a second interview was carried out with 180 randomly selected subjects by the same interviewer one year after the first interview, using the same questionnaire. The strength of agreement on ginseng intake information obtained from the two interviews was measured by Kappa statistic (23).

Case-control study with 1,987 pairs

In order to explore further (a) the types of ginseng products that have the most prominent cancer preventive effect, (b) the reproducibility of the dose-response relationship, (c) the duration of ginseng consumption that has a significant preventive effect, (d) the types of cancer that can be prevented by ginseng, and (e) the effect of ginseng on cancers associated with smoking, we increased the number of subjects to 1987 pairs for a case-control study (20).

All the cases were admitted to the Korea Cancer Center Hospital between February 1987 and December 1990, and were confirmed by cytological and/or histopathological examination.

The cases and controls were selected as described in the previous study (18). The disease sites of male control patients were stomach (495), thyroid (120), colon (81), kidney (93), oral cavity (85), lung (51), and others (95). For female control patients, they were uterus (351), breast (177), thyroid (170), ovary (75), stomach (46), liver (25), colon (16), and others (55). The types of diseases of the controls were mainly acute diseases; acute or unspecified gastritis, goiter, acute appendicitis, colon obstruction, acute pyelonephritis, kidney stone, tonsilitis, laryngopharyngitis, pneumonia and pleurisy. All questionnaires were checked as described in the earlier case-control study (18) of 905 pairs. To evaluate the accuracy of the answers to the questionnaire, 10% of each cases and controls were evaluated by k value (24).

Prospective study with population

The study population was selected from persons who were listed in the 1987 resident's list which was registered at the provincial government offices of the ginseng production areas. These lists contain the name, sex, date of birth, and permanent and present addresses. Subjects born before 1947 (over 40 yr) were selected. A cohort of 4,634 persons over 40 yr of age residing in Kangwha-eup was interviewed and examined between August 1987 and December 1989. Each study subject was interviewed by means of a standard questionnaire about demographic characteristics, life-long occupation, smoking and drinking habits, and past history of diseases, etc. In an attempt to obtain detailed information on ginseng intake, we used the same questionnaire as used in the previous two case-control studies (18, 19). The interviewers had been instructed and trained beforehand to ensure uniformity in the method of inquiry. We carried out followup studies on all cohort members to document development of cancer and other illnesses and to update exposure information. Length of follow-up was calculated for each individual in the study as the number of days elapsed since completion of the questionnaire until death from cancer or other diseases. Deaths among the cohort from August 1987 to December 1997 were traced by population registration cards with no follow-up loss. A cohort member was classified as a cancer case if they had any disease code of cancer in hospital records, death certificates of the provincial government, privileged data of Korea Medical Insurance Corporation, etc.

RESULTS

905 pairs case-control study

Distribution of selected cases by cancer site for each gender is shown in Table 1. Of the 905 cases, 562 (62%) had a history of ginseng intake compared to 674 (75%) of the controls (p<0.01). The odds ratio (OR) for cancer in relation

Table 1. Distribution of cancer sites in 905 case subjects

| lite of cancer | No. of subjects | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|
| olle of caricer | Male | Female | | | |
| Liver | 101 | 13 | | | |
| Lung | 82 | 29 | | | |
| Stomach | 80 | 42 | | | |
| Larynx | 14 | 3 | | | |
| Cervix | 0 | 178 | | | |
| Breast | 0 | 84 | | | |
| Thyroid | 0 | 27 | | | |
| Others | 135 | 92 | | | |
| Total | 436 | 469 | | | |

Table 2. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for cancers by ginseng intake in 905 pairs

| Type of ginseng | Cases | Controls | Odds ratio | 95% CI* |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| No intake of ginseng | 343 | 231 | 1.00 | Reference |
| Intake of ginseng | 562 (62%) | 674 (75% |) 0.56 | 0.46-0.69 |
| Fresh ginseng | | | | |
| Slice | 103 | 94 | 0.74 | 0.53-1.04 |
| Juice | 39 | 34 | 0.77 | 0.46-1.30 |
| Extract | 13 | 64 | 0.14 | 0.07-0.26 |
| White ginseng | | | | |
| Powder | 28 | 43 | 0.44 | 0.26-0.75 |
| Extract | 247 | 261 | 0.64 | 0.50-0.82 |
| Tea | 37 | 27 | 0.93 | 0.53-1.61 |
| Red ginseng | | | | |
| Extract | 2 | 3 | 0.45 | 0.05-3.32 |
| Combination | 10 | 25 | 0.27 | 0.13-0.53 |

Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, educations, smoking, and alcohol consumption. *CI: confidence interval

| Frequency of | | Male | | | Female | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Cases | Controls | Odds ratios (95% CI) | Cases | Controls | Odds ratio (95% CI) | | |
| No intake | 117 | 56 | 1.00 | 226 | 175 | 1.00 | | |
| 1-3 times/yr | 132 | 108 | 0.58 (0.38-0.90) | 111 | 106 | 0.81 (0.57-1.15) | | |
| 4-11 times/yr | 104 | 115 | 0.43 (0.28-0.67) | 75 | 103 | 0.56 (0.39-0.82) | | |
| Once/month or more | 83 | 157 | 0.25 (0.16 - 0.39) | 57 | 85 | 0.52 (0.35-0.78) | | |
| Total | 436 | 436 | | 469 | 469 | | | |
| Linear trend test (1 d.f.) | | 45.59 (<i>p</i> <0.0001) | | | 3.98 (p<0.05) | | | |
| \times^2 homogeneityt test (3 d.f | f.) | 47.28 (p<0.0001) | | | 16.53 (p<0.001) | | | |

Table 3. Odds ratios of cancer in ginseng intake frequency and 95 % confidence intervals in 905 pairs case-control study

Table 4. Subjects response to ginseng intake questions at two different interviews

| Second interview - | First interview | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | None | Fresh ginseng | White ginsen | g Total | | | |
| None | 0.57 (104) | 0.05 (9) | 0.01 (2) | 0.63 (115) | | | |
| Fresh ginseng | 0.05 (8) | 0.21 (39) | 0.01 (1) | 0.27 (48) | | | |
| White ginseng | 0.02(4) | 0.01 (1) | 0.07 (12) | 0.10 (17) | | | |
| Total | 0.64 (116) | 0.27 (49) | 0.07 (15) | 1.00 (180) | | | |

Overall proportion of observed agreement P_0=0.57+0.21+0.07=0.85 Overall proportion of chance-expected agreement pe=0.64 × 0.63+0.27 × 0.27+0.09 × 0.11=0.49 Kappa value $\frac{A}{k} = \frac{0.85-0.49}{1-0.49} = 0.71 (p<0.01)$

Table 5. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for cancersby ginseng intake in 1,987 pairs

| Type of ginseng | Cancer patients | Controls | Odds ratio | 95% CI |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No intake of ginseng | 921 | 605 | 1.00 | Reference |
| Intake of ginseng | 1,066 (62%) | 1,382 (75% |) 0.50 | 0.44-0.58 |
| Fresh ginseng | | | | |
| Slice | 210 | 172 | 0.79 | 0.63-1.01 |
| Juice | 69 | 63 | 0.71 | 0.49-1.03 |
| Extract | 146 | 255 | 0.37 | 0.29-0.46 |
| White ginseng | | | | |
| Powder | 60 | 129 | 0.30 | 0.22-0.41 |
| Extract | 373 | 442 | 0.57 | 0.48-0.68 |
| Tea | 43 | 41 | 0.69 | 0.45-1.07 |
| Red ginseng | | | | |
| Extract | 6 | 17 | 0.20 | 0.08-0.50 |
| Combination | 22 | 58 | 0.16 | 0.10-0.25 |

Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, educations, smoking, and alcohol consumption.

to ginseng intake was 0.56 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.45-0.69]. Ginseng extract and powder were shown to be more effective than fresh sliced ginseng, the juice, or tea in reducing the OR (Table 2). With the increased frequency of ginseng intake, ORs decreased in both male and female (Table 3). A trend test showed a significant decrease in the number of cancer cases among those who reported an in-

creased frequency of ginseng intake for males ($p < 10^{-5}$) as well as for females (p < 0.05). The reliability of recall for ginseng use was assessed by twice interviewing one-tenth of the randomly selected subjects using the same questionnaire. The overall agreement in reported ginseng use between the two interviews was 0.85, and the k value was 0.71 (p < 0.01) (Table 4). These results strongly support the hypothesis that ginseng has cancer preventive effects, as suggested by the previous animal experiments (8-17).

1,987 pairs case-control study

In this study, as with the previous study, ginseng users had a lower risk (OR; 0.50) for cancer compared with non-users. With respect to the type of ginseng, the ORs for cancer were 0.37 for fresh ginseng extract users, 0.57 for white ginseng extract users, 0.30 for white ginseng extract users, 0.30 for white ginseng powder users, and 0.20 for red ginseng users (Table 5). Those who took fresh ginseng slices, fresh ginseng juice, and white ginseng tea, however, showed no decrease in the risk. Overall, the risk decreased as the frequency and duration of ginseng intake increased, showing a dose-response relationship (Table 6). With respect to the site of cancer, the odds ratios were 0.47 for cancer of lip, oral cavity, and pharynx; 0.20 for esophageal cancer; 0.36 for stomach cancer; 0.42 for colorectal cancer; 0.48 for liver cancer; 0.22 for pancreatic cancer; 0.18 for laryngeal cancer; 0.55 for lung cancer; 0.15 for ovarian cancer; and 0.48 for other cancers (Table 7). With cancers of the female breast, uterine cervix, urinary bladder, and thyroid gland, however, there was no benifit with ginseng intake. In cancers of lung, lip, oral cavity, pharynx, and liver, smokers who took ginseng showed decreased OR compared with smokers with no ginseng intake. These findings supported the view that the use of ginseng decreased the risk for most cancer compared to the non-user. Smokers without ginseng intake showed significantly increased risks for cancers of lung, lip, oral cavity, pharynx, and liver. However, the ORs for smokers who had consumed ginseng decreased, showing 1.99 for lung, 2.36 for lip, oral cavity, and pharynx cancers, and 2.09 for liver cancer compared with nonsmokers who had consumed ginseng. In cancers of esophagus, stomach, and colorectum, there

| Table 6. Distribution of ginseng intake frequency in cases and controls by sex: Odds ratios for cancer and 95 percent confidence |
|--|
| interval in case-control study with 1,987 pairs |

| | Males | | | | Females | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Ginseng intake | Case | Controls | Odds ratio | 95% CI | Cases | Controls | Odds ratio | 95% |
| Frequency of ginseng int | ake | | | | | | | |
| None | 409 | 234 | 1.00 | Reference | 512 | 371 | 1.00 | Reference |
| 1-3 times/yr | 246 | 231 | 0.62 | 0.49-0.79 | 171 | 209 | 0.60 | 0.47-0.76 |
| 4-11 times/yr | 197 | 223 | 0.48 | 0.37-0.62 | 127 | 171 | 0.54 | 0.42-0.71 |
| 1 time/month or more | 220 | 384 | 0.31 | 0.25-0.39 | 105 | 164 | 0.47 | 0.39-0.62 |
| Total lifetime consumptio | n of ginsei | ng | | | | | | |
| None | 409 | 452 | 1.00 | Reference | 512 | 371 | 1.00 | Reference |
| 1-50 | 452 | 501 | 0.51 | 0.42-0.63 | 322 | 402 | 0.58 | 0.48-0.71 |
| 51-100 | 75 | 100 | 0.41 | 0.29-0.58 | 28 | 39 | 0.56 | 0.34-0.93 |
| 101-300 | 80 | 131 | 0.32 | 0.23-0.44 | 29 | 54 | 0.39 | 0.25-0.61 |
| 301-500 | 20 | 29 | 0.33 | 0.18-0.62 | 8 | 21 | 0.29 | 0.14-0.63 |
| 500- | 36 | 77 | 0.25 | 0.16-0.38 | 16 | 28 | 0.42 | 0.23-0.79 |

Table 7. Odds ratios for various cancers according to ginseng intake in case-control study with 1,987 pairs

| Site of cancer | Cases | Controls | Odd ratios | 95% CI | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Sile of Carlcer | Never taken/ever taken | Never taken/ever taken | Odd fallos | 95% CI | |
| Lip, oral cavity, and pharynx | 67/92 | 40/119 | 0.47 | 0.29±0.76 | |
| Esophagus | 40/47 | 14/73 | 0.20 | 0.09 ± 0.38 | |
| Stomach | 142/158 | 76/224 | 0.36 | 0.09 ± 0.52 | |
| Colon and rectum | 55/63 | 32/86 | 0.42 | 0.24±0.74 | |
| Liver | 108/156 | 67/197 | 0.48 | 0.33 ± 0.70 | |
| Pancreas | 12/11 | 5/18 | 0.22 | 0.05 ± 0.95 | |
| Larynx | 21/19 | 8/32 | 0.18 | 0.06 ± 0.54 | |
| Lung | 120/156 | 81/195 | 0.55 | 0.38±0.79 | |
| Female breast | 82/92 | 70/109 | 0.63 | 0.40 ± 1.05 | |
| Cervix uteri | 156/146 | 312/170 | 0.72 | 0.52 ± 1.01 | |
| Ovary | 17/5 | 8/14 | 0.15 | 0.04 ± 0.60 | |
| Urinary bladder | 23/40 | 16/47 | 0.64 | 0.28 ± 1.47 | |
| Thyroid gland | 16/24 | 14/26 | 0.96 | 0.38±2.44 | |
| Other | 53/61 | 35/79 | 0.48 | 0.27 ± 0.85 | |

Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education, smoking, and alcohol consumption.

was no association with ginseng intake (Table 8).

Cohort study on population

The total number of subjects interviewed was 4,675. Out of them, 41 prevalent cancer cases were excluded, and the remaining 4,634 eligible subjects (2,362 men and 2,272 women) were selected for analysis. From 4,634 people eligible for analysis, 47 unknown diseases were excluded, and 54.7% of 137 cancer cases had history of ginseng intake in comparison with 71.2% of 4,450 non-cases, showing similar proportion to those of the control groups in the previous case-control studies [74.5% (Table 2) and 69.6% (Table 5) (18, 19)]. The present study showed a significant reduction of relative risk (RR) (0.40) by the intake of ginseng (Table 9), as shown in the previous case-control studies. On the type of ginseng, the RRs for cancer in fresh ginseng extract consumers and consumers with multiple combinations were

significantly reduced (Table 9). The RRs for other types of ginseng including white ginseng tea showed a decreasing trend, but was not statistically significant. Among 24 red ginseng consumers, there were no deaths from cancer. The results showed no relationship between fresh ginseng consumers and cancer, which was consistent with the experimental study (12-17) and case-control studies (18, 19). There was a decreased risk with increased frequency of ginseng consumed, showing a significant dose-response relationship (Table 10).

During the study period, 137 cases including 42 stomach, 24 lung, 14 liver and 57 at other sites were diagnosed as cancers. The RRs in ginseng consumers were 0.33 (95% CI: 0.18-0.57) for gastric cancer and 0.30 (95% CI: 0.14-0.65) for lung cancer, showing a statistical significance (Table 11). Among ginseng preparations, only fresh ginseng extract consumers, a decreased risk for gastric cancer (RR=0.33, 95% CI: 0.12-0.88 was observed), while other types of ginseng

| Cancers | Ginseng intake – | Nonsmo | kers | Smokers | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|
| Calicers | Ginseng intake — | Odds ratio | 95% CI | Odds ratio | 95% CI | |
| Lung | Ever taken | 1.00 (27)* | Reference | 1.99 (81) | 1.25-3.19 | |
| | Never taken | 2.11 (40) | 1.08-4.15 | 4.13 (128) | 1.90-9.03 | |
| Lip, oral cavity, and pharynx | Ever taken | 1.00 | Reference | 2.36 (43) | 1.23-4.51 | |
| | Never taken | 2.13 (24) | 0.95-4.79 | 4.41 (69) | 1.29-15.04 | |
| Esophagus | Ever taken | 1.00 (5) | Reference | 0.13 (35) | 0.06-0.31 | |
| | Never taken | 0.51 (5) | 0.10-2.69 | 0.38 (42) | 0.09-1.62 | |
| Stomach | Ever taken | 1.00 | Reference | 2.90 (62) | 1.79-4.70 | |
| | Never taken | 2.73 (80) | 1.61-4.64 | 1.90 (101) | 0.84-4.28 | |
| Colorectum | Ever taken | 1.00 (31) | Reference | 3.25 (20) | 1.25-8.44 | |
| | Never taken | 2.11 (35) | 1.03-4.34 | 2.45 (31) | 0.60-10.13 | |
| Liver | Ever taken | 1.00 (31) | Reference | 2.09 (81) | 1.33-3.29 | |
| | Never taken | 1.68 (27) | 0.79-3.57 | 2.50 (125) | 1.06-5.92 | |

Table 8. Odds ratios for cancers according to ginseng intake and smoking in case-control study with 1,987 pairs

Adjusted for age, sex, education, and alcohol consumption. *: Odds ratio (No. of patients)

 Table 9. Relative risks for cancer by ginseng intake in cohort study

| Ginseng intake | No. of subjects | No. of cancer cases | Relative s risk | 95% CI |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| No intake | 1,283 | 62 | 1.00 | _ |
| Ginseng intake | 3,167 | 75 | 0.40* | 0.28-0.56 |
| Slices & juice | 236 | 8 | 0.67 | 0.33-1.32 |
| Extract | 296 | 3 | 0.31* | 0.13-0.74 |
| White ginseng | | | | |
| Powder | 147 | 5 | 0.49 | 0.19-1.23 |
| Extract | 68 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.12-2.07 |
| Tea | 442 | 18 | 0.65 | 0.37-1.12 |
| Red ginseng | | | | |
| Extract | 24 | - | - | - |
| Boiled chicken with | | | | |
| young ginseng root | 381 | 12 | 0.71 | 0.38-1.21 |
| Multiple combination | 1,573 | 28 | 0.34* | 0.20-0.53 |

Relative risks, adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and alcohol consumption; *: p<0.05

showed a decreasing trend.

DISCUSSION

In China, red ginseng was found to inhibit development of diethylnitrosamine-induced liver cancer in rats (25) and skin cancer in mice (26). In Russia, tissue-culture biomass tincture obtained from cultured *Panax ginseng* cells was shown to have a strong inhibitory effect on rat mammary adenocarcinoma induced by methyl-N-nitrosourea (27) as well as development of experimental uterine cervix and vaginal tumors (28). The result that 12-*o*-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-induced production of tumor necrosis factor in mouse skin was inhibited by methanol extract of heat-processed neoginseng pretreatment was obtained in Korea (29). Recently, Japanese workers observed that, dietary administration of red ginseng powder during the initiation
 Table 10. Relative risks for cancer according to frequency of ginseng intake in cohort study

| Frequency of | Relative risk | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------|-----------|--|--|
| ginseng intake | No. of no-cancers | No. of cancers | risk | 95% CI | | |
| No intake | 1,283 | 62 | 1.00 | - | | |
| 1-3 times/yr | 1,439 | 39 | 0.46 | 0.30-0.69 | | |
| 4-11 times/yr | 924 | 21 | 0.35 | 0.21-0.58 | | |
| Once/month or more | 804 | 15 | 0.34 | 0.20-0.59 | | |

Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and alcohol consumption.

stage of carcinogenesis suppressed preneoplastic lesions in the colon of rats induced by 1,2-dimethylhydrazine (30). In MCF-7 breast cancer cells, the ability of American ginseng to induce the oestrogen-regulated gene pS2 and to regulate the cell-cycle were assessed in the U.S.A. Both American ginseng and oestradiol equally induced pS2 RNA expression, but only the ginseng caused a dose-dependent decrease in cell proliferation (31).

In case-control studies with 905 pairs and 1,987 pairs, there was noticeable decrease in risk by intake of ginseng extract compared to intake of fresh ginseng and there was a dose-response relationship depending on frequency of ginseng intake. The ORs of ginseng consumers decreased in all kinds of cancers. These results strongly support the hypothesis that ginseng has cancer preventive effects, as suggested by the earlier animal experiments. Lancet stated in an editorial that our study was one example of needed research into non-organ specific strategies for cancer control (32). Furthermore, the results of the cohort study also suggest that *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer has non-organ specific preventive effect against cancer, providing support for the previous case-control studies.

By comparing experimental results of types and ages of ginseng including two case-control and one cohort studies, the result with less than 5 yr-fresh ginseng was negative in both experimental and epidemiological studies but positive

| | Cancers (n) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------------|------|-----------|
| Ginseng intake | No. of | Stomach (42) | | | Lung (24) | | | Liver (14) | | |
| | subjects | No. | RR | 95% CI | No. | RR | 95% CI | No. | RR | 95% CI |
| No intake | 1,283 | 23 | 1.00 | _ | 14 | 1.00 | _ | 4 | 1.00 | _ |
| Ginseng intake | 3,167 | 19 | 0.33* | 0.18-0.57 | 10 | 0.30* | 0.14-0.65 | 10 | 0.86 | 0.25-2.94 |
| Slices & juice | 236 | 2 | 0.57 | 0.17-1.94 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.15-3.43 | 2 | 1.97 | 0.34-2.95 |
| Extract | 296 | 1 | 0.33* | 0.12-0.88 | 1 | 0.28 | 0.04-2.17 | | - | - |
| White ginseng | | | | | | | | | | |
| Powder | 147 | 1 | 0.24 | 0.03-1.84 | - | _ | - | _ | - | - |
| Extract | 68 | 2 | 1.34 | 0.30-5.97 | - | - | _ | - | - | - |
| Теа | 442 | 6 | 0.64 | 0.26-1.61 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.26-2.44 | 2 | 1.72 | 0.15-4.87 |
| Red ginseng | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extract | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boiled chicken with young ginseng root | 381 | 5 | 0.43 | 0.12-1.43 | 1 | 0.35 | 0.08-1.95 | 1 | 0.85 | 0.15-4.87 |

Table 11. Adjusted relative risks for selected cancers by ginseng intake in cohort study

RR: Relative risks, adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking and alcohol consumption; *: p<0.05; CI: Confidence interval; Value in parentheses indicate number of cancer cases.

Table 12. Comparison of relative risks for cancer by type of ginseng intake by medium-term experiment, case-control studies and cohort study

| Kind of ginseng | Anticarcinogenicity confirmed by | Case-control studies | | Cohort study based on population | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Rind of ginseng | Yun's test model | 905 pairs Relative risks | 1,987 pairs Relative risks | 4,634 persons/5 yr Odds ratios | |
| Ginseng intake | | 0.56* | 0.50* | 0.40* | |
| Fresh ginseng | | | | | |
| Fresh slices | 1.5, 3, 4 and 5 yr-old | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.67 | |
| | 6 yr-old only * | | | | |
| Extract | 1.5, 3, 4 and 5 yr-old | | | | |
| | 6 yr-old only * | 0.14* | 0.37* | 0.31* | |
| White ginseng | | | | | |
| Powder | 1.5, 3 and 4 yr-old | | | | |
| | 5 and 6 yr-old* | 0.44* | 0.30* | 0.49 | |
| Extract | 1.5, 3 and 4 yr-old | | | | |
| | 5 and 6 yr-old* | 0.64* | 0.57* | 0.50 | |
| Red ginseng | | | | | |
| Extract | 1.5 and 3 yr-old | | | | |
| | 4,5 and 6 yr-old* | 0.45 | 0.20* | 24 participants | |
| Powder | 1.5 and 3 yr-old | | | = No cancer death = | |
| | 4,5 and 6 yr-old* | | | | |
| Combination | _ | 0.27* | 0.16* | 0.34* | |

Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking, alcohol consumption; *: Statistically significant.

in fresh ginseng extract (Table 12). On the other hand, there was no cancer death among 24 red ginseng consumers, and red ginseng products showed prominent preventive effect in the 9 week anticarcinogenicity test model (Yun's model) and both case-control and cohort studies.

In order to compare the dose-response relationship between ginseng consumption and trend test of the above described results showed a significant decrease in proportion of cancer cases with increasing frequency of intake for both males and females (Table 13).

Experimental studies on ginseng has been widely carried out in many countries including Korea, China, Japan and Russia. The results indicated ginseng as an agent for anticarcinogenicity as well as antipromoter. However, epidemiological studies were published only by our groups, including two case-control and one cohort studies.

In these three epidemiological studies, we demonstrated that *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer decreased the risks for most types of human cancers with dose response relationship, that is, non-organ specific cancer preventive effects (32-35). The need for clinical studies of ginseng has been strongly recommended (36-40). Clinical trials of *Panax ginseng* extracts and its active compounds are now warranted to be tested for a question of whether "Can this herb prevent all kinds of cancer?"

| Frequency of ginseng intake | Relative risks for cancer | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | Case-control studies | | | Cohort analysis (4,634 Participants) | | | | |
| | 905 Pairs | | 1,987 Pairs | 5 yr | 7 yr | 10 yr | | |
| | Male | Female | Both sex | Both sex | Both sex | Both sex | | |
| No intake | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| 1-3 times/yr | 0.58 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.52 | | |
| 4-11 times/yr | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0.44 | | |
| 1 time/month < | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.47 | | |

Table 13. Comparison of dose response relationship with frequency of ginseng intake in two case-control studies and three cohort analysis

RR adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking, alcohol consumption.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shibata S, Tanaka O, Soma K, Iida Y, Ando, T, Nakamura H. Studies in saponins and sapogenin of ginseng, the structure of panaxatriol. Tetrahedron Lett 1965; 3: 207-13.
- Tanaka O, Kasai R. Saponins of ginseng and related plants. In: Hertz W, Griesebach H, Kirby GW, Tamm C (editors), Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products, New York: Springer-Verlag 1984; 46: 1-76.
- Shibata S, Tanaka O, Shoji J, Saito H. Chemistry and pharmacology of panax. In: Wagner H, Hikino H, Farnsworth NR, editors, Economic and Medicinal Plant Research, Tokyo: Academic Press 1985; 45: 218-84.
- Tanaka O. Saponin composition of panax species. In: Shibata S, Ohtsuka T, Saito H, editor, Recent Advances in Ginseng Studies, Tokyo, Hirokawa Publishing Co 1990: 43-8.
- Wen J, Zimmer EA. Phylogeny and biogeography of Panax L. (the Ginseng genus, Araliaceae): Inferences from ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Mol Phylogenet Evol 1996; 6: 167-77.
- Duc NM, Nham NT, Kasai R, Ito A, Yamasaki K, Tanaka, O. Saponins from Vietnamese ginseng, Pananx vietnamensis Ha et Grushv-Collected in Central Vietnam. 1. Chem Pharm Bull 1993; 41: 2010-4.
- Tao Hongjin. Shennong Bencao Jing (Simplified Version of Shennong's Ancient Chinese Medical Book) Liang Dynasty of China, circa 500 A.D., Munkwang Doso 1982; Taipei, 40.
- Yun TK, Yun YS, Han IW. An experimental study on the tumor inhibitory effect of red ginseng in mice and rats exposed to various chemical carcinogens. Proc 3rd Intern Ginseng Symp, Korea Ginseng Research Institute Press, Seoul 1980; 87-112.
- Yun TK, Yun YS, Han IW. Anticarcinogenetic effect of long-term oral administration of red ginseng on newborn mice exposed to various chemical carcinogens. Cancer Detect Prev 1983; 6: 515-25.
- Yun YS, Moon HS, Oh YR, Jo SK, Kim YJ, Yun TK. Effect of red ginseng on natural killer cell activity in mice with lung adenoma induced by urethane and benzo (a) pyrene. Cancer Detect Prev Suppl 1987; 1: 301-9.
- Yun TK, Kim SH, Oh YR. Medium-term (nine weeks) method for assay of preventive agents against tumor. J Korean Cancer Assoc 1987; 19: 1-7.
- Yun TK, Kim SH. Inhibition of development of benzo(a)pyreneinduced mouse pulmonary adenoma by natural products in medi-

um-term bioassay system. J Korean Cancer Assoc 1988; 20: 133-42.

- Yun TK. Usefulness of medium-term bioassay determining formation of pulmonary adenoma in NIH (GP) mice for finding anticarcinogenic agents from natural products. J Toxicol Sci (Japan) 1992; 16: (Suppl.1), 53-62.
- Yun TK, Kim SH, Lee YS. Trial of new medium-term model using benzo(a)pyrene induced lung tumor in newborn mice. Anticancer Res 1995; 15: 839-45.
- Yun TK, Lee YS. Anticarcinogenic effect of ginseng powders depending on the types and ages using Yun's anticarcinogenicity test (I). Korean J Ginseng Sci 1994; 18: 89-94.
- Yun TK, LeeYS. Anticarcinogenic effect of ginseng extracts depending on the types and ages using Yun's anticarcinogenicity test (II). Korean J Ginseng Sci 1994; 18: 160-4.
- Yun TK, Lee YS, Kwon HY, Choi KJ. Saponin contents and anticarcinogenic effects of ginseng depending on types and ages in mice. Acta Pharm Sinica 1996; 17: 293-8.
- Yun TK, Choi SY. A case-control study of ginseng intake and cancer. Int J Epidemiol 1990; 19: 871-6.
- Yun TK, Choi SY. Preventive effect of ginseng intake against various human cancers: A case-control study on 1,987 pairs. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995; 4: 401-8.
- Yun TK, Choi SY. Non-organ specific cancer prevention of ginseng: a prospective study in Korea. Int J Epidemiol 1998; 27: 359-64.
- Ministry of Health and Social Affairs. Five year report for cancer register programme in the Republic of Korea. (July 1 1982-June 30 1987). J Korean Cancer Assoc 1988; 21: 153-216.
- Morgan RW, Jain M, Miller AB, Choi NW, Matthews V, Munan L, Burch JD, Feather J, Howe GR, Kelly A. A comparison of dietary methods in epidemiologic studies. Am J Epidemiol 1978; 107: 488-98.
- 23. Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for norminal scales. Educ Psychol Meas 1960; 20: 37-46.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977; 33: 159-74.
- Wu XG, Zhu DH. Influence of ginseng upon the development of liver cancer induced by diethylnitrosamine in rats. J Tongji Med Univ China 1990; 10: 141-5.
- 26. Chen XG, Liu HY, Lei XH, Zhaodi F, Yan L, Lihua T, Rui H. Cancer chemopreventive and therapeutic activities of red ginseng. J

Epidemiological Study on Cancer Prevention by Ginseng: Are All Kinds of Cancers Preventable by Ginseng?

Ethnopharm 1998; 60: 71-8.

- Bespalov VG, Aleksandrov VA, Davydov VV, Limanko A, Molo kovskii DS, Petrov AS, Slepyan LI, Trilis Ya G. Mammary carcinogenesis suppression by ginseng tissue culture biomass tincture. Bull Exp Biol Med 1993; 115: 63-5.
- Bespalov VG, Davydov VV, Limarenko AI, Slepyan LI, Aleksandrov VA. Inhibition of the development of experimental uterus cervix and vaginal tumors by tinctures from biomass of cultivated cells of ginseng and its germanium-selective stocks. Bull Exp Biol Med 1993; 116: 534-6.
- Keum YS, Park KK, Lee JM, Surh YJ. Antioxidant and anti-tumor promoting activities of the methanol extract of heat-processed ginseng. Cancer Let 1999; 144: 1-8.
- Li W, Wanibuchi H, Salim EI, Wei M, Yamamoto S, Nishino H, Fukushima S. Inhibition by ginseng of 1, 2-dimethylhydrazine induction of aberrant crypt foci in the rat colon. Nutr Cancer 2000; 36: 66-73.
- Duda RB, Zhong Y, Navas V, Li MZ, Toy BR, Alavarez JG. American ginseng and breast cancer therapeutic agents synergistically inhibit MCF-7 breast cancer cell growth. J Surg Oncol 1999; 72: 230-9.
- 32. Editorials. Cancer screening and prevention: organ vs non-organ specific? Lancet 1992; 339: 902-3.

- Yun T-K. Experimental and epidemiological evidence of the cancerpreventive effects of Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer. Nutr Rev 1996: 54: S71-81.
- 34. Yun T-K, Choi S-Y, Lee Y-S. Non-toxic and non-organ specific cancer preventive effect of Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer. In: Functional Foods for Disease Prevention II. Eds. T Shibamoto, J Terao, T Osawa, American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1997; ACS Symp Series 702: 162-77.
- Yun TK. Update from Asia: Asian studies on cancer chemoprevention. Ann NY Acad Sci 1999; 889: 157-92.
- Angel M, Kassirer JP. Alternative medicine: the risks of untested and unregulated remedies. N Engl J Med 1998; 339: 839-41.
- Smith M, Pharms MR, Boon HS. Counseling cancer patients about herbal medicine. Patt Educat Counselling 1999; 38: 109-20.
- Shin HR, Kim JY, Yun TK, Morgan G, Vainio H. The cancer-preventive potential of Panax ginseng: a review of human and experimental evidence. Cancer Causes Cont 2000; 11: 565-76.
- Yun T-K. Panax ginseng- a non-organ-specific cancer preventive? Lancet Oncol 2001; 2: 49-55.
- Attele AS, Wu JA, Yuan CS. Ginseng pharmacology-multiple constituents and multiple actions. Biochem Pharmacol 1999; 58: 1685-93.