

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Wibisana JN, Inaba T, Shinohara H, Yumoto N, Hayashi T, Umeda M, et al. (2022) Enhanced transcriptional heterogeneity mediated by NF-kB super-enhancers. PLoS Genet 18(6): e1010235. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1010235

Editor: Gregory S. Barsh, HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, UNITED STATES

Received: December 13, 2021

Accepted: May 3, 2022

Published: June 1, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Wibisana et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All sequencing data were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under the accession number DRA012330 (https://ddbj.nig.ac.jp/resource/sra-submission/ DRA012330). The codes used for the bioinformatics analysis and imaging analysis are available at https://github.com/okadalabipr/ Wibisana2021.

Funding: M.O. was supported by the Japan Society for the promotion of Science 17H06299, 17H06302, and 18H04031, the Core Research for

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Enhanced transcriptional heterogeneity mediated by NF-kB super-enhancers

Johannes N. Wibisana¹, Takehiko Inaba^{2¤a}, Hisaaki Shinohara^{3¤b}, Noriko Yumoto³, Tetsutaro Hayashi⁴, Mana Umeda⁴, Masashi Ebisawa⁴, Itoshi Nikaido^{4,5,6}, Yasushi Sako², Mariko Okada^{1,3,7}*

Laboratory for Cell Systems, Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka, Japan, 2 Cellular Informatics Laboratory, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan, 3 RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan, 4 Laboratory for Bioinformatics Research, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe, Hyogo, Japan, 5 Functional Genome Informatics, Medical Research Institute, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 6 Master's/Doctoral Program in Life Science Innovation (Bioinformatics), Degree Programs in Systems and Information Engineering, Graduate School of Science and Technology, University of Tsukuba, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 7 Center for Drug Design and Research, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan

 ¤a Current address: Molecular Medicine and Cell Biology Laboratory, Division of Biological Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Takayama-cho, Ikoma, Nara, Japan
¤b Current address: Laboratory for Systems Immunology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sanyo-Onoda City University, Daigakudori, Sanyo-onoda, Yamaguchi, Japan
* mokada@protein.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The transcription factor NF- κ B, which plays an important role in cell fate determination, is involved in the activation of super-enhancers (SEs). However, the biological functions of the NF- κ B SEs in gene control are not fully elucidated. We investigated the characteristics of NF- κ B-mediated SE activity using fluorescence imaging of ReIA, single-cell transcriptome and chromatin accessibility analyses in anti-IgM-stimulated B cells. The formation of cell stimulation-induced nuclear ReIA foci was abolished in the presence of hexanediol, suggesting an underlying process of liquid-liquid phase separation. The gained SEs induced a switch-like expression and enhanced cell-to-cell variability in transcriptional response. These properties were correlated with the number of gained cis-regulatory interactions, while switch-like gene induction was associated with the number of NF- κ B binding sites in SE. Our study suggests that NF- κ B SEs have an important role in the transcriptional regulation of B cells possibly through liquid condensate formation consisting of macromolecular interactions.

Author summary

 $NF-\kappa B$ produces an all-or-none activation response upon the activation of B cell receptors. These dynamics modulate the amplitude and frequency of target mRNA induction in cell populations. In this research, we performed single-cell assessment of chromatin accessibility and RNA expression, coupled with fluorescence imaging to characterize the nuclear dynamics of NF- κ B proteins in B cell upon receptor stimulation. We found that upon Evolutional Science and Technology JPMJCR21N3, JST-Mirai Program JPMJMI19G7, and the Uehara Memorial Foundation. Y.S. was supported by the Japan Society for the promotion of Science 19H05647 and 19K22404. I. N. was supported by the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology JPMJCR16G and JPMJCR1926. JNW was supported by the Honjo International Scholarship Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

cellular activation, NF- κ B-mediated long-range activation of enhancers cooperatively evoked RNA production. In addition, predicted DNA contacts brought by open chromatin led to the high heterogeneity of RNA levels in cell populations. Stimuli-dependent NF- κ B foci formation was further inhibited by 1,6-hexanediol (liquid-liquid phase separation inhibitor) and JQ1 (coactivator protein BRD4 inhibitor). We thus propose that nuclear NF- κ B plays an important role in the transcriptional regulation of B cell development possibly through the formation of liquid condensates.

Introduction

The NF- κ B signaling pathway, which plays an important role in cellular functions and cell fate determination [1–3], regulates proliferation and differentiation of B cells after B cell receptor (BCR) activation [4]. In BCR signaling, stimulation by cognate antigens induces the canonical NF- κ B pathway by activating protein kinase C β , kinase TAK1 (MAP3K7), BCL10, MALT1, and I κ B kinase complex. This signaling cascade leads to the activation of IKK β , which phosphorylates and promotes the proteasomal degradation of I κ B. Since I κ B masks the nuclear localization signal of NF- κ B, its degradation promotes the nuclear translocation of p50 and RelA (p65) NF- κ B heterodimer complex [5,6]. These proteins further act as transcription factors, promoting the transcription of NF- κ B target genes.

Previous studies reported that anti-IgM stimulation induces activation of the NF-κB pathway, eliciting an all-or-none response in the nuclear translocation of NF-κB at the single-cell level [7], and causing cell-to-cell variability in transcriptional response [8]. This heterogeneity within the cell population may be responsible for the varying B cell phenotypes under the same environmental conditions [9,10]. Moreover, this heterogeneity in cell response upon NF-κB induction has also been observed in other cell types [11,12], indicating the presence of common functions associated with the transcriptional regulation of NF-κB. Furthermore, a previous study reported that the anti-IgM-induced all-or-none NF-κB nuclear translocation response was observed to lead to the formation of nuclear aggregates [7], hereby referred to as foci.

In this study, we hypothesized that these foci might be related to super-enhancer (SE)mediated transcriptional regulation while aiming to explore the quantitative relationship between NF-κB foci and target gene expression. SEs are long clusters of enhancers, which reportedly control cell identity and serve as nuclear platforms for the cooperative binding of transcription factors [13,14]. In previous chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIPseq) analyses using NF-κB and histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27Ac) antibodies, NF-κB has been reported to be involved in SE activation along with other transcription factors [8,15] and coactivators, such as bromodomain protein 4 (BRD4) [16]. The aggregation of multiple transcriptional coactivators and mediators possessing intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs) promotes the formation of liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS), which favors efficient gene transcription [17]. Together with RNA polymerase II, these proteins have been observed under fluorescence microscopy [17,18] to form LLPS condensates at enhancer regions [19] through the interaction of their activation domains [20]. However, there was no clear evidence that nuclear NF-κB aggregates present LLPS properties resembling SEs.

Anti-IgM-dependent NF- κ B SE activity in primary splenic B cells caused higher foldchange and threshold gene expression, inducing a wider distribution of gene expression in the cell population depending on the frequency of NF- κ B binding to DNA [8]. NF- κ B-mediated gene expression upon TNF stimulation has also been reported to present an enhanced gene expression heterogeneity through the modulation of transcriptional burst due to histone acetylation deposition and the presence of the initiation Ser5p RNA polymerase II [21,22]. In embryonic stem cells, it was reported that elements causing noise in gene expression include promoter type, conflicting chromatin states, lack of active histone modification, and SE existence [23]. In contrast, a stochastic model of SE-mediated transcriptional regulation exhibit a low variation pattern in transcription noise than a typical enhancer (TE) does [24]. Therefore, it remains unclear whether SE can truly induce an enhanced transcriptional heterogeneity and, if it is the case, what is the underlying mechanism resulting in heterogeneity.

In this study, we performed single-cell fluorescence imaging to confirm the visualization of NF- κ B (RelA)-mediated SE formation and assessed the biochemical properties and dynamics of RelA foci upon anti-IgM stimulation in chicken DT40 B cells. We further utilized scATACseq (single-cell assay for transposase accessible chromatin with sequencing) to investigate the changes in SE activity upon cell activation through chromatin accessibility, and predicted the cis-regulatory interactions through co-accessibility analysis [25]. We observed that the formation of NF-κB nuclear aggregates upon nuclear translocation in anti-IgM-stimulated cells exhibits switch-like dynamics and was sensitive to a BET bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 and an LLPS inhibitor, 1,6-hexanediol. Single-cell RNA and ATAC-sequencing analyses further revealed that fold-change expression of the target genes and the enhanced transcriptional heterogeneity are correlated with the number of gained cis-regulatory interactions after cell stimulation. Our study also confirmed that BCR-mediated switch-like gene expression is associated with the number of putative NF-kB binding sites in a SE region. The overall results suggest that these distinct quantitative transcriptional responses originate from two mechanisms: open chromatin capacity, which allows direct binding of NF-κB to DNA, and the higher-order biomolecular assembly leading to enhanced DNA contacts. These findings also suggest that the biological functions of NF- κ B SE emerge from a combination of chromatin status and binding properties of NF- κ B, in particular, possibly mediated by liquid condensate formation, to facilitate divergent cellular responses.

Results

NF-κB proteins form SE-like nuclear foci

Initially, we observed the NF- κ B foci formation in DT40 cells upon nuclear translocation of GFP-tagged RelA protein, a component of the NF- κ B heterodimer, under a fluorescence microscope. We observed that nuclear NF- κ B maximally formed foci 20–30 min after anti-IgM stimulation (Figs 1A and S1A and S1B). As expected, an anti-IgM dose-dependent effect was observed in the numbers of RelA foci per cell, presenting a bimodal distribution across doses (S1C Fig), which was observed in a previous study [7]. Fitting the median number of foci of the dose-response curve to the Hill function resulted in a Hill coefficient of N = 4.33, suggesting that the formation of foci proceeded cooperatively (Fig 1B). Since cooperative binding of transcription factors is a marker of SE-mediated gene regulation [17,26], our results suggest that NF- κ B may also activate the target genes cooperatively through the formation of these foci.

To further investigate the properties of the RelA foci, we focused on the relationship between NF- κ B and BRD4, which is known to bind to active SEs [27]. We generated DT40 cells co-expressing RelA-GFP and mKate2-BRD4S (see <u>Materials and methods</u>). These cells revealed co-localization of BRD4S and NF- κ B upon anti-IgM stimulation in a time-dependent manner (Fig 1C and 1D). We further inhibited BRD4 activity by adding JQ1, a BET bromodomain inhibitor, 60 min before anti-IgM stimulation. The number of RelA foci increased to a maximum value at 20 min, where the difference between the control and JQ1 treated cells was





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010235.g001

negligible, then significantly decreased afterwards (Fig 1E and 1F). At the same time, JQ1 inhibition disrupted BRD4S puncta formation (S1D Fig). This indicates that RelA foci formation itself is BRD4-independent, but foci maintenance is BRD4-dependent. This is consistent with a previous result indicating that BRD4 maintained active NF- κ B through RelA binding [16]. Conversely, inhibition of I κ B kinase (IKK) using IKK-16 prevented the formation of RelA foci altogether (Fig 1E and 1F), confirming that RelA foci formation is NF- κ B pathway-dependent.

We further investigated the presence of LLPS-like properties in RelA foci. Earlier experimental approaches examined the presence of IDRs in RelA [28]. We used PONDR VLXT [29] to analyze the IDRs and observed that RelA had high disorder scores in 250–500 amino acid residues (54.1% of all residues), which was more or less comparable to BRD4 (85%) (S1E Fig). To examine whether the RelA foci exhibited LLPS-like properties, we treated cells with 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD), a compound known to promote the dissolution of liquid-like condensates [30]. Fluorescence imaging analysis (Figs 1G and S1F) presented that treatment with 1,6-HD after 20 min of anti-IgM stimulation dramatically reduced the number of foci and that washing the medium recovered the foci. Although our assessment of the foci was not definitive as previously reported for other SE regulated condensates in an isolated system [17,20], these results suggest that the RelA foci have similar properties to SE and may be responsible for anti-IgM-dependent SE formation and target gene expression.

Enhancement of heterogeneity in gene expression upon anti-IgM stimulation

To examine the above possibility, we next analyzed the transcriptional responses of DT40 single-cell populations (n = 453) after one hour exposure to various anti-IgM doses (0, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 µg/mL; <u>S1 Table</u>) using RamDA-seq, a method for single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) [31]. Using Seurat, we obtained two distinct cell clusters (Fig 2A) [32]. This suggests the presence of two discrete cell populations over the different doses of anti-IgM, such as those obtained in the imaging analysis (<u>S1C Fig</u>). We further performed a pseudo-time analysis to confirm that two cell populations were discrete (<u>S2A and S2B Fig</u>). We classified these clusters as activated (red cluster) and inactivated (blue cluster), as determined by their mean expression levels of representative NF- κ B target genes, such as *NFKBIA*, *CD83*, and *TNFAIP3* [33] (Fig 2B).

Prediction of cell activation through logistic regression analysis on the number of foci per cell revealed similar quantitative profiles in cell activation predicted from RNA sequence data, in which most cells were activated at 1 and 10 μ g/mL and inactivated at 0 and 0.01 μ g/mL doses of anti-IgM (Fig 2C and S2 Table). This suggests that the cell states determined from the scRNA-seq and imaging analysis were closely related.

Since the cells exhibited digital states, we investigated the change of gene expression variability across different concentrations of anti-IgM stimulation to confirm whether gene expression correlates with phenotypical differences. Therefore, we calculated the Fano factor of 1,337 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that were upregulated in activated cells compared with inactivated cells from the scRNA-seq analysis at each dose point. The Fano factor (Ω^2/μ) , which is typically used to calculate changes in transcriptional bursting [34,35], provides a measure of deviation from the Poisson distribution where $\Omega^2/\mu = 1$. Further, we clustered genes by heterogeneity dynamics by scaling Fano factor changes per gene to identify patterns of gene expression heterogeneity across concentrations (Fig 2D). We observed that representative NF- κ B negative modulators, such as *NFKBIA* [2] and *TNFAIP3* [36], present in cluster 2, have high heterogeneity at 0.1 µg/mL and thus, correlate with cellular heterogeneity which is supposed to be highest at 0.1 µg/mL (Fig 2C). Interestingly, cluster 4 presents an increasing





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010235.g002

heterogeneity across anti-IgM concentrations, having the highest heterogeneity at 10 µg/mL, where most cells are phenotypically similar (Fig 2C). This cluster was observed to contain B cells activation-related genes, such as *CD83* and *BIRC3*. The pseudo-time analysis also revealed that *CD83* has a higher gene expression heterogeneity upon cell activation than *NFKBIA* (S2C Fig). After further inspection, we observed that cluster 4 presents a significantly high Fano factor across anti-IgM concentrations than other clusters (S2D Fig). More importantly, genes in cluster 4 were enriched for immune cell activation-related functions (S2E Fig). From these findings, we selected *NFKBIA* and *CD83* as representative genes for further analysis.

To investigate the relationship between the RelA foci numbers and target gene mRNA in the same cell upon anti-IgM stimulation, we quantified their cellular mRNA using smRNA--FISH (single-molecule RNA-FISH) (Fig 2E). There was a positive correlation between the RelA foci number and mRNA expression of both genes at all dose points (R = 0.49 for NFKBIA, R = 0.52 for CD83) (S3A and S3B Fig), and thus, NF- κ B foci formation is regarded as an indication of the expression of these target genes. We also confirmed that the RelA foci numbers per cell across doses of the CD83 and NFKBIA smRNA-FISH samples were similar (S3C Fig), suggesting that technical differences between the samples were minimal. It should be noted that the correlation coefficient in this study represents only a rough estimate of the correlation as there was a difficulty in accurately counting the number of RelA foci due to the degradation during smRNA-FISH sample preparation and differences in the timing of gene expression and RelA translocation. Moreover, to investigate the relationship between the RelA foci and transcriptional activity, we performed intronic smRNA-FISH to visualize nascent transcripts of CD83 and NFKBIA and observed colocalization between CD83 and NFKBIA nascent transcripts and RelA foci (Fig 2F). However, using this method, we only observed colocalization in a subset of cells. Therefore, we believe that more rigorous methods, such as realtime visualization of transcription, might be required to validate the effect of RelA foci on the target gene loci and their respective mRNA expression.

For these two genes, we visualized the gene expression across different doses of anti-IgM stimuli through both smRNA-FISH and RNA-seq (Fig 2G). For *CD83*, we see a lower basal gene expression at 0 μ g/mL anti-IgM than *NFKBIA* and an increasingly wide gene expression distribution across anti-IgM concentration (Figs 2G and S3C). In contrast, *NFKBIA* exhibited a bimodal gene expression at 0.1 μ g/mL, while there was a uniformly high gene expression across cells at 1 and 10 μ g/mL. To quantify these differences, we further calculated the Fano factor change between cells at doses of 10 and 0 μ g/mL, where cells were predominantly activated and inactivated, respectively (Fig 2H). We observed a significantly higher fold-change increase in the Fano factor associated with *CD83* (RNA-seq: 2.7, RNA-FISH: 10.1) compared to *NFKBIA* (RNA-seq: 1.1, RNA-FISH: 1.7). In addition, the bimodality of *NFKBIA* expression was well reproduced using smRNA-FISH especially at an intermediate anti-IgM concentration (0.1 and 0.01 μ g/mL), while *CD83* expression presented a single broad peak across different concentrations (S4A Fig). This evidence suggests that the transcriptional regulation between these two genes upon cell activation is potentially controlled by different modes.

One may be concerned that the measurement of the Fano factor is affected by changes in gene expression levels. However, the coefficient variation (CV), which is $\Omega 2/\mu$, inversely correlates with gene expression magnitude [37]. This is especially evident in genes such as CD83, where the initial expression is close to zero, and expression upon activation is long tailed (Fig 2H), the change in gene expression distribution is inevitably dependent upon mean expression (S4A Fig). We showed that the change in distribution of gene expression is better recapitulated using Fano factor than CV (S4A and S4B Fig). This is especially evident in genes, such as CD83, where the initial expression is close to zero, and expression upon activation is long-tailed (Fig 2H).

Gained SEs are responsible for the strong induction of B cell developmentrelated genes

To clarify the underlying transcriptional mechanism, we explored epigenetic regulation of NF- κ B mediated SEs and their effects on transcription. Typically, SE is identified using rankordering of super-enhancers (ROSE) algorithm [13] using transcription factors, H3K27Ac ChIP-seq, or DNase-seq data [13,14,26]. In our research, we utilized ATAC-seq data to investigate a large stretch of enhancers similar to SEs since both DNase-seq and ATAC-seq fundamentally identify open chromatin [38] and the fold-change in H3K27Ac and ATAC signals are correlated in BCR-stimulated B cell SEs [8].

Stitching of ATAC peaks was performed for peaks within 5 kb of each other, shorter than the default setting (12.5 kb) since ATAC peaks are often broader than TF ChIP-seq peaks [39]. The ROSE algorithm was further implemented [13,40] to rank the enhancers of the cells with and without anti-IgM stimulation (Fig 3A). Changes in chromatin accessibility between unstimulated and stimulated cells were acquired by obtaining merged peaks and calculating the fold-changes of the signals between both conditions (see Materials and methods). We observed that anti-IgM stimulation evoked a significant change in SE but not in TE (Fig 3B). Changes in SE signals can be observed in representative genes CD83 and NFKBIA (Fig 3A and 3C), and both the genes presented one of the highest gene expression fold changes among SE-controlled genes (Fig 3D). The ATAC signal fold-change more robustly correlates with gene expression fold-change in SE than TE (R = 0.29 for SE, R = 0.084 for TE) (Fig 3E). We confirmed that the SEs detected using ATAC-seq were comparable to the previous report using H3K27Ac ChIPseq of mouse primary B cells [8], where SEs have a longer genomic length than TEs (S5A Fig), and the length is highly correlated with the ATAC signal (R = 0.95) (S5B Fig). Further, the ranked SE-associated genes, including CD83 and NFKBIA, revealed a large fold-change RNA expression (S5C Fig) and increase in ATAC signal upon anti-IgM stimulation (S5D Fig) as identified from mouse primary B cell data, where the SEs were identified using H3K27Ac ChIP-seq data [8]. Therefore, we concluded that the SEs identified from ATAC-seq are comparable to the SEs identified from H3K27Ac ChIP-seq data in this experimental setting. Interestingly, NFKBIA was classified as a SE target in control (Fig 3A) and presented fewer accessibility differences upon stimulation (Fig 3C and 3E), indicating that NFKBIA expression might be less influenced by SEs and chromatin status than CD83.

We further classified the enhancers as gained, lost, or unchanged by the quantiles for TEs and SEs (Fig 3F). In SE, the mean RNA fold-change is greater in higher dosage in gained SE and lost SE, while in TE, the difference is negligible (Fig 3G). This result confirms that large chromatin structure changes are associated with dynamic gene regulation in SEs.

To investigate the role of SE in the whole transcriptome, we took the intersection of DEGs and SE and TE (Fig 3H). Among all DEGs, 16.7% and 48.4% of DEGs are controlled by SE and TE, respectively, and about half of SE and TE are involved in the regulation of DEGs. Expectedly, gain and loss of SEs presented a higher upregulation and downregulation of associated DEGs, respectively, compared to those of TEs (Fig 3I). Further, examination of biological functions of the gained SE-associated genes that have enhanced expression exhibited the enrichment of functions related to immune cell activation and regulation (S5E Fig), confirming that SE-activated genes are responsible for B cell activation.

SEs are also defined by threshold gene expression tied to NF-KB binding

NF- κ B and PU.1 coexistence have been suggested to be one of the defining features of SEs in B cell activation [8]. In this study, we demonstrated that there are higher NF- κ B (median motif occurrences: 16 for SE, 0 for TE; median motif occurrences per 10⁴ bp: 3.4 for SE, 0.0 for TE)



Fig 3. SE analysis. (A) Plot of SEs determined using the ROSE algorithm [13] from cells with and without anti-IgM stimulation. (B) Venn diagram showing the number of genes assigned to SEs and TEs obtained from each stimulatory condition. Genes with multiple enhancers were assigned only to one enhancer, whereas gene with both SE and TE were assigned to SE. (C) ATAC-seq track view of NF-κB target genes in B cell CD83 and NFKBIA SEs in control and 10 µg/mL anti-IgM-stimulated DT40 chicken cells. (D) Scatter plot of the mean fold-change of SE-associated genes between 10 µg/mL anti-IgM stimulated and control cells. Genes with multiple SEs were counted once. (E) Correlation plot between TE and SE annotated peaks and the associated genes. Peaks associated with both SE and TE were assigned to SE. Genes with gene expression 0 across all doses were removed. RNA fold change was calculated between the mean of 10 µg/mL anti-IgM stimulated and control cells. (F) Regions with ATAC log2 fold changes signal more than the upper quantile were annotated as gained SEs/TEs and lower than the lower quantile were annotated as lost SEs/TEs. (G) Anti-IgM dose-response of fold change in the RNA level. Fold change was calculated from scRNA-seq by averaging the expression across all cells in the same stimulatory condition compared to dose 0. Number of genes: Gained SE, 260; Unchanged SE, 522; Lost SE, 239; Gained TE, 3598; Unchanged TE, 5384; Lost TE, 520. (H) Pie chart

showing the classification of DEGs with SE and TE and TE/SE with DEGs, multiple enhancers might be assigned to a single DEG. (I) Boxplot of RNA log2 fold change between activated cells and inactivated cells for DEGs associated with TE and SE. Number of genes: Gained SE, 82; Unchanged SE, 100; Lost SE, 42; Gained TE, 242; Unchanged TE, 384; Lost TE, 21. *P*-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA with undersampling (n = 21).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010235.g003

and PU.1 (median motif occurrences: 50 for SE, 2 for TE; median motif occurrences per 10^4 bp: 10.9 for SE, 8.1 for TE) motif occurrences at SE compared to at TE (S6 Fig). Interestingly, between the enhancer categorization to gained, unchanged, and lost, we observed that there is a difference in NF- κ B motif density (4.7 for gained, 3.2 for unchanged, 2.7 for lost), but not in PU.1 (10.7 for gained, 10.8 for unchanged, 11.3 for lost) at SE (Fig 4A and 4B). Therefore, this result updates the previous study [8] where co-localization of NF- κ B and PU.1 are relevant to SEs, albeit NF- κ B has a stronger effect in determining changes in chromatin accessibility and SE formation after B cell activation.

SEs have exhibited switch-like gene expression defined by high dose-response Hill coefficient (N) [8,24]. We investigated this property by obtaining the Hill coefficient of gene expression across the anti-IgM concentration of our data. We performed optimization to the Hill function for the genes (0.2 < fold-change) (S7 Fig) and further categorized the genes based on the Hill coefficient (see Methods) (Fig 4C). We further analyzed the motifs at the enhancers of these genes categorized by the Hill coefficient (Fig 4D). The threshold gene expression in B cell has been reported to be tied to the number of NF-κB binding through RelA ChIP-seq and motif analysis [8]. Interestingly, we observed that motif density of NF- κ B significantly increased across Hill coefficient in gained SE (6.3 for high, 4.6 for mid, 4.1 for low), albeit not TE. In contrast, PU.1 motif density at enhancers did not present significant changes across different Hill coefficients (12.7 for high, 12.3 for mid, and 11.2 for low). CD83 and NFKBIA contained 5.9 and 10.6 NF- κ B motifs per 10⁴ bp in their SE regions (S8 Fig). The result is consistent with that NFKBIA exhibited bimodal distribution while that of CD83 is monotonic (Figs 2G, 2H and S4A). While the fitting of N based on mean expression is rough due to some genes having bimodal distribution (especially NFKBIA) (S7 Fig), our result confirmed that the number of NF-KB binding to SE defines the properties of switch-like expression. The result also suggests that the local concentration of NF- κ B in the nucleus strongly affects gene expression patterns.

Gene expression heterogeneity is potentially induced by gained cisregulatory interactions

Further, we focused on the TEs and SEs in DEGs between activated and inactivated cells to examine the transcriptional heterogeneity. We observed that SE-associated genes have a higher Fano factor at all anti-IgM concentration ranges (Fig 5A) and that this trend is proportional to the stimulation dose (Fig 5B), as compared with TE. Further, there is a significant change in the Fano factor increase across different enhancer categorizations, where gained SE has a significantly higher Fano factor (S9A Fig). Fano factor change between 10 µg/mL and 0 µg/mL correlates with the increase in ATAC signal (R = 0.49 for SE, R = 0.19 for TE) (Fig 5C). Therefore, an increase in chromatin accessibility at enhancers is followed by an increase in heterogeneity in gene expression. However, it must be noted that the heterogeneity measured by the Fano factor, is also mean-dependent. In contrast, the classification of genes into high and low Fano factors does not agree with Hill coefficient classification (S9B Fig).

Due to the complex arrangement of high-order chromatin structures involving SE-mediated gene expression, it remains difficult to generalize the contribution of chromatin accessibility of SEs to transcriptional regulation [41]. Therefore, we utilized single-cell ATAC-seq



Fig 4. Motif and Hill function analysis. (A-B) Motif occurrences of (A) NF-κB and (B) PU.1 at SE and TE calculated using the "findMotifsGenome.pl" program with the "-find" option of Homer. Number of peaks: Gained SE, 280; Unchanged SE, 669; Lost SE, 280; Gained TE, 9024; Unchanged TE, 18050; Lost TE, 9021. TE for genes annotated for both SE and TE were removed. P-value was calculated using one-way ANOVA with undersampling (n = 280), n.s.: not significant. (C) Bar plot of the Hill coefficient for mean gene expression across doses. Genes with Hill coefficient > 9 and < 0.3 were removed. (D) Boxplot of motif occurrences for NF-kB and PU.1 at gained SE and gained TE with categorized Hill coefficients. High, $5 \le N < 9$; Med, 1 < N < 5; Low, $N \le 1$. *P*-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA with undersampling, except for gained SE in the high category (n = 19), n.s.: not significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010235.g004

data for co-accessibility analysis using Cicero [25] to elucidate the putative chromatin contact between enhancers and genes. We predicted accessible genomic regions that are potentially in close physical proximity by determining their co-accessibility scores and changes upon $10 \mu g/$ mL anti-IgM stimulation. Co-accessibility calculations were performed separately for the stimulated and unstimulated samples. The peaks used for co-accessibility analysis were obtained by merging shortly stitched peaks (108 bp) from both samples. Regions with $0.1 \le$ co-accessibility scores in the stimulated samples and $0.05 \le$ differences between the stimulated and unstimulated samples were retained to detect significant increases in genomic interactions. To determine whether co-accessibility correlates with gene expression amplitude and heterogeneity, we annotated each gene with co-accessible pairs above the threshold and observed a positive correlation between the number of regions with co-accessible pairs and their RNA fold changes (Fig 5D) or Fano factor ratios (Fig 5E). Here, we use the Fano factor change confirming its agreement with CV within DEGs (R = 0.71) (S9C Fig). RNA fold-changes (R = 0.4 for gained SEs, R = 0.15 for gained TEs) and Fano factor (R = 0.46 for gained SE, R = 0.13 for gained TE) positively correlated with the number of regions with gained co-accessible pairs for SEs. These results suggest that the increased number of cis-regulatory genomic interaction is a common mechanism for enhanced gene expression and transcriptional heterogeneity.

To closely examine *CD83* and *NFKBIA*, we visualized the co-accessible region pairs within thresholds between peaks residing ± 1 kb of each gene annotated transcriptional start site (TSS) and other positions in the same chromosome (Figs <u>5F</u> and <u>S10A</u>). Surprisingly, no co-accessible regions within annotated SE paired with the peaks ± 1 kb of the *NFKBIA*-annotated



Fig 5. SE relationship with heterogeneity in gene expression. (A) Boxplot of Fano factor at various doses for DEGs associated with gained, lost, unchanged TE, and SE. Number of genes: SE, 224; TE, 647. *P*-value was calculated using Welch's unpaired t-test with undersampling (n = 224). (B) Line plot of mean Fano factor change across dose for SE and TE associated DEGs. Number of genes: Gained SE, 82; Unchanged SE, 100; Lost SE, 42; Gained TE, 242; Unchanged TE, 384; Lost TE, 21. (C) Correlation plot of Fano factor ratios (10 vs. 0 µg/mL anti-IgM-stimulated cells) against ATAC intensity fold changes at SEs and TEs of DEGs. *R* = Spearman correlation. (D) Correlation plot of RNA fold changes (activated vs inactivated cells) against numbers of co-accessible pairs. (E) Co-accessibility score differences obtained using Cicero between stimulated and unstimulated cells shown between genomic regions interacting with regions ±1 kb around the annotated start site of *CD83* (left) *and NFKBIA* (right). (G) Time-course RT-qPCR of *CD83* and *NFKBIA* upon stimulation with 1 µg/mL anti-IgM. Gene expression was normalized to *GAPDH*. Error bar = SD. (H) RT-qPCR results of IKK-16 (left) and JQ1 (right) treatment 60 min prior to stimulation with 1 µg/mL anti-IgM for 60 min (n = 3). Gene expression was normalized to *GAPDH*, and *P*-values were calculated using Student's unpaired t-test against dose 0 for each dose point. Error bar = SD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010235.g005

TSS were observed above the 0.05 score threshold, while several regions associated with *CD83* were observed (Fig 5F). Stronger and more long-range interactions were gained for *CD83* compared to *NFKBIA* (S10A Fig). These results suggest the increase in the formation of chromatin interactions upon cell activation for *CD83* than *NFKBIA*, contributing to more enhanced expression heterogeneity.

Finally, we performed time-course RT-qPCR analysis on these two genes in the presence of an IKK inhibitor and JQ1 to investigate their dependence on the NF-κB pathway or requirement of BRD4 for their SE formation. CD83 expression pattern revealed a sustained pattern, while NFKBIA expression revealed a transient pattern on both qPCR and microarray data [42] (Figs 5G and S10B), suggesting that the differences in transcriptional regulation between these genes are potentially linked with their biologically different functions (NFKBIA as a feedback regulator of signaling pathway vs. CD83 as a B cell differentiation marker). Further, we confirmed that both genes were NF-κB pathway-regulated since the IKK-16 treatment suppressed the expression of both genes (Fig 5H, left). In contrast, JQ1 treatment significantly suppressed CD83 expression proportionally with higher JQ1 concentration, while NFKBIA expression slightly increased (Fig 5H, right), suggesting that CD83 gene expression was more highly controlled by BRD4, but NFKBIA was not. While we speculate that this difference is caused secondary to the initial accessibility in the enhancer region of NFKBIA in the absence of stimuli (Fig 3A) and that the chromatin accessibility change was comparably small upon stimulation (Fig 3C), there might be other unknown mechanisms causing the increase in gene expression, which have been previously reported [43].

Discussion

In this paper, we aimed to reveal the SE activation and transcriptional regulatory mechanism controlled by NF- κ B transcription factor using single-cell gene expression and chromatin accessibility analyses, supplemented by fluorescence imaging to characterize the biochemical properties of NF- κ B foci. We used DT40 B cells, which were derived from chicken bursal lymphoma. Previous reports have revealed that the BCR-NF- κ B activation pathway and its dynamics in mouse primary B cells are reproducible in DT40 cells [7,44]. We have also demonstrated a consensus in SE classification for target genes such as *CD83* and *NFKBIA*, which are expressed at a slightly different time after anti-IgM stimulation (S10B Fig), in these analyses regardless of the difference in analytical approaches (H3K27ac ChIP-seq vs. ATAC-seq) (S5C Fig).

Initially, we characterized the properties of RelA foci through fluorescence imaging analysis and observed certain properties, such as sensitivity to LLPS perturbation, BRD4 dependence, and positive cooperativity. These analyses thus revealed that NF-κB nuclear aggregates exhibited properties similar to those of well-known SE condensates [19,20,24,45]. We conducted these analyses on DT40 chicken B cells, which are cultured at a higher temperature condition than murine or human cells. Therefore, this culture condition may have contributed to the more favorable formation of the RelA foci, especially concerning the LLPS-like properties.

SE-mediated gene regulation has been reported to cause transcriptional heterogeneity or noise [23]. However, another report presented that transcriptional noise is reduced in SE-mediated gene regulation [24]. Through experimental and computational approaches, we revealed that NF- κ B-mediated SE formation strongly modulated transcriptional heterogeneity. We observed that genes with an increased heterogeneity upon increasing stimulation dose are enriched with cell-type-specific immune regulatory genes (S2E Fig), supporting a previous report stating that heterogeneity in gene expression is tied to biological functions and may be used by cells as a bet-hedging or a response distribution mechanism [46,47], where cells exhibit

heterogeneity to enable a response to changing environment and also allowing dose-dependent fractional activation respectively. This was observed in *CD83*, a B cell activation marker, demonstrating the involvement of heterogeneity in B cell development.

As a mechanism to produce gene expression heterogeneity in phenotypically identical cells, we observed that co-accessibility, which is concordant with genomic contacts, is correlated to the Fano factor change, indicating that gene expression heterogeneity possibly stems from cisregulatory genome interactions. NF-KB activation has been reported to be tied to the increase in heterogeneity in some genes and is attributed to the accumulation of Ser5p RNA polymerase II [21], which has also been reported to accumulate at enhancer regions [48]. This accumulation of RNA polymerase II is proposed to assist in gene expression activation through enhancer-promoter contact [49]. Our results support these conclusions since co-accessibility or putative cis-regulatory interactions correlate to Fano factor changes. However, we also observed a weak correlation, which may be caused by the inequality of different enhancer elements, such as presenting additive properties reported in gene activation in Drosophila development [50]. The enrichment of the TATA motif has also been proposed to generate transcriptional heterogeneity [23]. However, we observed a higher occurrence of TATAbox in genes associated with lost SE (S11 Fig), which might have caused gene expression heterogeneity in unstimulated cells. This heterogeneity might be owing to the difference in Pol II loading intervals [51]; however, the noise associated with gained SE is possibly generated by the fluctuation of high-order biomolecular assembly, and thus, the source of heterogeneity in gene expression might be different. While SE can form phase-separated transcription hubs containing multiple enhancers and/or promoters that might enable the higher diffusion rate of active enhancers and consequently, higher possibility of genomic DNA interactions [52], the current analytical method to analyze SE ignores individual enhancer properties [53] and nonlinear interactions. Therefore, a dissection of each enhancer contact may be required to understand how these differences arise in the context of SE.

To answer this question, we tried to utilize a CRISPR-Cas9 system with double-flanking gRNA and enhanced specificity *S. pyogenes* Cas9 [54] to induce long deletion in regions with the highest co-accessibility score change in the *CD83* promoter (Fig 5F). Unfortunately, we could not confirm the deletion of the regions within *CD83* SE, which may be attributable to the possibly incomplete genome database of the chicken reference genome used for the gRNA design (GRCg6a). We induced a long deletion in the enhancer region outside of the *CD83* SE. However, the obtained cell clone demonstrated low survival and impaired proliferation rates. Therefore, we could not confirm the changes in gene expression dynamics upon deletion of this enhancer region.

In contrast, SE has been reported to induce both switch-like gene expression [8] and enhanced heterogeneity [23]. A mathematical model has been used to explain that the threshold response is generated by the number of multivalent interactions mediated by transcriptional assembly within SE [24]. Therefore, genes showing threshold response might also show higher gene expression heterogeneity. However, our analysis revealed that this is not the case. Although we observed that there is a higher proportion of genes with Fano factor above the median in genes with higher Hill coefficient (1 < N < 5), there is no clear relationship between heterogeneity and threshold gene expression (S9B Fig). These results indicate that although enhanced gene expression and transcriptional heterogeneity are controlled by genomic contacts between chromatin accessible regions, switch-like expression of genes is controlled by NF- κ B binding to SE (Fig 4), and those contributions are different for an individual gene. With this regard, we believe that the velocity and duration of nuclear translocation of NF- κ B, along with interaction with other transcriptional factors, might strongly affect those transcription responses. Lastly, we noticed that sequence-based identification of SEs may not truly reflect the biologically equal functions of SEs for each target gene. For example, *NFKBIA* and *CD83* mRNAs exhibited varying sensitivities to JQ1 (Fig 5H, right). Since the *NFKBIA* SE had constitutively open chromatin prior to anti-IgM stimulation (Fig 3C), the signal-dependent effect on SE regulation of *NFKBIA* appeared to be small. These two genes demonstrated large fold-changes in gene expression upon SE regulation. However, the molecular level of SE regulation in each gene varied, which might have caused differences in transcriptional heterogeneity. Therefore, our results suggest that the functional evaluation of SE should not solely rely on sequence analysis but also on additional experimental methods.

In conclusion, these results provide an alternative insight into the mechanism of SE-mediated gene regulation upon NF- κ B activation. Especially in the generation of biologically relevant gene expression, heterogeneity in phenotypically identical cell populations by SE is comprehended through gained cis-regulatory interactions. We speculate that this might be the one of the mechanisms for non-genetic heterogeneity in response to environmental factors. Thus, we expect similar study to be applied in further studies on other signaling pathways regulated by SEs.

Materials and methods

DT40 cell culture

Wild-type and RelA-GFP-expressing DT40 chicken bursal lymphoma cells were obtained from Dr. Shinohara [7]. Mouse RelA-eGFP with eGFP on the C terminal was cloned into a pGAP vector containing Ecogpt resistance gene targeting endogenous GAPDH locus. This construct was further electroporated into wild-type cells and selected using Ecogpt to produce RelA-GFP-expressing DT40 cells [7]. The DT40 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 without phenol red (Wako, Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), 1% (v/v) chicken serum (Nippon Bio-test Laboratories, Japan), 75 μ M 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco, USA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Wako, Japan), 1% (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin solution (Nacalai Tesque, Japan), 1% (v/v) 100x MEM non-essential amino acids solution (Wako), and 2 mM L-glutamine (Nacalai Tesque). The cells were cultured at 39°C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator.

mKate2-BRD4S transposon plasmid construction

We engineered the PB-TA-ERP2-mKate2-BRD4S construct from two addgene clones (65378 [55] and 80477 [56]) and pmKate2-H2B (Evrogen, Russia). Overlap extension PCR was performed to amplify the mKate2 insert from the mKate2-H2B plasmid while adding the attB1 adapter and linker sequence. Another round of overlap extension PCR was performed to amplify the BRD4S insert from GFP-BRD4 while adding the attB2 adapter and linker sequence. A final round of fusion PCR was performed to fuse the fragments containing mKate2 and BRD4 to create an insert containing mKate2-linker-BRD4S. The primers used for the overlap extension PCR are listed in S3 Table. A BP reaction using BP Clonase II (Invitrogen, USA) was performed to clone the insert into pDONR221 (Invitrogen), creating the entry clone pENTR221-mKate2-BRD4S. The entry clone was amplified using NEB-Stable (New England Biolabs, USA). An LR reaction using LR Clonase II (Invitrogen) was performed with the destination vector PB-TA-ERP2 [56] to create the final expression vector.

Cell transfection

Cell transfection was performed using the Neon Transfection System (Invitrogen). A total of $2 \mu g$ of plasmid was mixed with 1×10^6 cells in 10 μ L buffer R. Electroporation was performed

at 1400 V for 30 ms with 1 pulse. For piggyBac co-transfection, a mass ratio of 1:3 (PB:pBase) of the plasmid was used.

Quantification of RelA foci in single cells

Prior to stimulation and subsequent live imaging, DT40 cells were concentrated $5\times$ into a serum-free medium and incubated in a 39°C incubator with 5% CO₂. Cells were further transferred into an eight-welled coverglass chamber (Iwaki, Japan). An inverted microscope IX81 (Olympus, Japan) equipped with a CSU-X1 confocal scanner unit (Yokogawa, Japan) and oilimmersion objective (100×, NA 1.45) was used to obtain images. MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, USA) was used to obtain 13 z-slices of stack images at 1 µm increments in the zdirection. The image resolution used was 512×512 pixels (1 pixel = 0.16 µm). The observation chamber was maintained at 39°C during observation. FIJI ImageJ 1.52i (https://imagej.net/ Fiji/Downloads) was used to count the foci using a custom macro, where the diameter for foci detection was set to 0.96 µm.

JQ1, IKK-16, and 1,6-hexanediol cell treatment

For JQ1 and IKK-16 inhibition, the DT40 cells were suspended in 5 μ M JQ1 (Selleckchem, USA) and 6 μ M of IKK-16 (Selleckchem) 60 min before anti-IgM stimulation. The final concentration of DMSO was adjusted to 0.1% for JQ1, IKK-16 and control. For 1,6-hexanediol inhibition, 5% of 1,6-hexanediol (Sigma-Aldrich) was added after anti-IgM stimulation.

Quantification using smRNA-FISH

Fluorescence-conjugated chicken *GAPDH*, *CD83*, and *NFKBIA* probes were generated for smRNA-FISH using the Stellaris Probe Designer (https://www.biosearchtech.com/support/ tools/design-software/stellaris-probe-designer) (Biosearch Technologies, UK) according to the protocols of Biosearch Technologies. The sequences of the probes are presented in S4 Table. A total of 1×10^7 DT40 cells in 600 µL RPMI without supplements were stimulated with anti-IgM or PBS (control) for 30 min before washing with PBS and resuspending in 1 mL suspension buffer. Procedures following cell fixation were performed as described in the manuals of Biosearch Technologies for suspension cells. The fixed cells were mounted using Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, USA), sandwiched in cover glasses (Matsunami Glass, Japan), and sealed with clear nail polish prior to imaging.

A DeltaVision Elite—Olympus IX71 (Olympus) fluorescence microscope equipped with a Coolsnap HQ2 camera (Photometrics, USA) and an oil-immersion objective (60×, NA 1.42) was used for image acquisition. SoftWoRx software (Applied Precision, USA) was used for image acquisition and deconvolution. FISH-quant v3 was used to quantify the RNA-FISH images [57]. The default parameters were used in the quantification.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

Total RNA was collected from the DT40 cells using a NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co., Germany) and subjected to complementary DNA synthesis and quantitative PCR using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (Toyobo Life Science, Japan) and KOD SYBR qPCR kit (Toyobo Life Science) according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 40 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 10 s at 60°C, and 30 s at 68°C. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in <u>S5 Table</u>. Expression values (n = 3) were normalized to those of *GAPDH*. For the inhibitor treatment, IKK-16 and JQ1 were added 60 min before anti-IgM stimulation to investigate the dependences of *CD83* and *NFKBIA* on SEs.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis

The DT40 cells were stimulated with anti-IgM (0, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 μ g/mL) for 1 h and sorted using an SH800 cell sorter (Sony, Japan) with a 130 μ m sorting chip to select single cells. RamDA-seq with oligo-dT primer single-cell RNA-seq method was used for cDNA preparation [31]. The samples were sequenced using HiSeq2500 (Illumina, USA).

A quality check of the data was performed using FastQC. Trimming was performed using TrimGalore (with Cutadapt v2.3; https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) with default options, and alignment to the *Gallus gallus* reference genome (GRCg6a) was done using STAR v2.7.1a with default options [58]. Further, a gene count table was obtained from the alignment files using featureCounts v1.6.4 with "-t exon -g gene_id" options and the annotation GTF file GRCg6a.96 from ENSEMBL (<u>ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-96/gtf/gallus_gallus/</u>) [59].

Seurat v3.2.1 was used for clustering and differential gene expression analysis of the scRNA-seq data [32]. Prior to clustering, a quality check of the data was performed to remove cell outliers (total count \geq 1.5 million, detected genes \geq 8500, and mitochondrial gene count ratio < 0.04), resulting in 89, 92, 87, 92, and 93 cells used in the analysis of the 0, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 µg/mL anti-IgM concentrations, respectively. Data normalization was performed using Baynorm [60] and the log scaling method in Seurat. Data were regressed based on the cell cycle scoring with the "CellCycleScoring()" function of Seurat and mitochondrial gene count ratio. The top 2000 variable features were used for dimensionality reduction and clustering with a resolution of 0.2. DEGs were extracted using the "FindAllMarkers()" function of Seurat for genes with adjusted *P*-value < 0.05, this lenient categorization was done to retain genes that exhibit heterogeneity. Pseudo-time analysis was performed by creating a principal curve using the Princurve v2.1.4 R package on the dimensionality-reduced projection with the Lowess smoother.

Single-cell ATAC-sequencing analysis

All protocols for generating scATAC-seq data on the 10x Chromium platform (10x Genomics, USA), including sample preparation, library preparation, and instrument and sequencing settings, are described below available here: https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-atac. Prior to nuclei extraction, the DT40 cells were stimulated with 10 µg/mL of anti-IgM or PBS for 60 min. Cellranger-atac count v1.1.0 (https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-atac/ software/pipelines/latest/algorithms/overview) was used with default options for performing quality checks and mapping the scATAC-seq data to the genome. The sequence files were downsampled to 250 million reads before running the Cellranger-atac count pipeline. The reference genome used was the ENSEMBL genome with the annotation file GRCg6a.96 (ftp://ftp. ensembl.org/pub/release-96/gtf/gallus_gallus/).

Identification of SEs and TEs

Peak calling and enhancer identification from ATAC-seq data were performed using Homer v4.10.4 (http://homer.ucsd.edu/homer/) using the bam files generated from the Cell Ranger pipeline. Tag directories were created for the bam file from each condition using the "make-TagDirectory" program with the "—sspe -single -tbp 1" option. Peak calling was performed using the "findPeaks" program with the "-style super -typical -minDist 5000 -L 0 -fdr 0.0001" option. This procedure stitches peaks within 5 kb and ranks regions by their total normalized number reads and classifies TE and SE by a slope threshold of 1. Peak annotation was subsequently performed using the "annotatePeaks.pl" program with the GRCg6a.96 annotation file. The consequent peak files were merged between each stimulation condition for the SE and TE

peaks separately using the "mergeBed" program of bedtools. Peak annotation was performed for the second time for the merged peaks to create the final SE and TE peaks. ATAC foldchange was then calculated for both conditions for the merged peaks separately for SE and TE. Genes associated with both SE and TE were assigned only to the SE.

ATAC-seq data visualization

Mouse primary splenic B-cell ATAC-seq data was obtained from the accession number DRA009931 of DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) [8]. Mapping of data to produce BigWig files was performed using nf-core/rnaseq pipeline [61] v3.3 (default options) and the default GRCm38 genome. Visualization of both primary and DT40 data was performed using Gviz v3.1.3 [62].

Gene ontology analysis

Gene ontology analysis was performed using the function "enrichGO" of clusterProfiler v3.14.3 for gained SE and RNA upregulated genes [63]. The ENSEMBL gene id was converted to the mouse homolog gene id prior to enrichment analysis using the query "mmusculus_homolog_ensembl_gene".

Motif analysis

Analysis of motif occurrences was performed using Homer v4.10.4 [64] "findMotifsGenome. pl" program with "-find" option. "NFkB-p65-Rel(RHD)/ThioMac-LPS-Expression (GSE23622)/Homer," "NFkB-p50,p52(RHD)/Monocyte-p50-ChIP-Chip(Schreiber_et_al.)/ Homer" and "NFkB-p65(RHD)/GM12787-p65-ChIP-Seq(GSE19485)/Homer" were considered as NF-κB motifs. "PU.1-IRF(ETS:IRF)/BcellPU.1-ChIP-Seq(GSE21512)/Homer," "PU.1: IRF8(ETS:IRF)/pDC-Irf8-ChIP-Seq(GSE66899)/Homer" and "PU.1(ETS)/ThioMacPU.1-ChIP-Seq(GSE21512)/Homer" were considered as PU.1 motifs.

Analysis of motif occurrences at promoter regions was performed using Homer v4.10.4 [64] "findMotifsGenome.pl" program with "-find" option for regions spanning -300 bp and +50 bp from the annotated TSS. "TATA-Box(TBP)/Promoter/Homer" was considered as TATA-box motif.

Motif score calculation for enhancer regions was performed using FIMO v5.0.5 with the database obtained from Homer v4.10.4 [64]. The motif files from Homer were converted using the "read_homer()" and "write_meme()" functions of universalmotif v1.4.10 R package.

Co-accessibility analysis

Peak calling was performed for co-accessibility analysis in Homer v4.10.4 using the "find-Peaks" program with the "-style super -typical -minDist 0 -L 0 -fdr 0.0001" option to identify peaks constituting SE and TE. The "FeatureMatrix()" function of Signac v1.0.0 was used to assign fragments from the "fragments.tsv" file previously filtered for cell barcoding to the bed file containing peaks.

Cicero v1.3.4.10 [25] was used to calculate the co-accessibility scores [25] between the ATAC peaks using the reference genome GRCg6a.96. The "max_sample_windows" argument of the "distance_parameters()" function was set to 1000, and the "max_elements" argument of the "generate_cicero_models()" function was set to 500. The other options were set to default. Co-accessibility calculations were performed separately for both the stimulated and unstimulated cells. The final co-accessibility scores were determined as the differences between the co-accessibility scores of the stimulated cells and unstimulated cells, where the initial score was

 \geq 0.1 in the stimulated cells. Gviz v1.30.3 was used to visualize the co-accessibility between genomic regions.

Calculation of Hill coefficient

The focus dose-response curve was fitted to the Hill function with a constant additive term accounting for basal activity. The parameters were optimized using all methods of the "optimx ()" function in the "optimx" R package, and the method with the best fit was selected [65]. The equation used was as follows:

$$a + \frac{k[NF\kappa B]^{N}}{Km^{N} + [NF\kappa B]^{N}}$$

where *N*, *Km*, *k*, and *a* represent the Hill coefficient (cooperativity), the binding affinity of NF- κ B to the enhancer region, the rate constant for foci formation, and the constant additive term accounting for basal activity, respectively.

For fitting of mean gene expression fold change to Hill coefficient in the Fig 4C and 4D, we performed optimization to the Hill function with removed basal activity term for genes with a fold change above 0.2 between 0 and 10 µg/mL anti-IgM and also removed genes with Hill coefficient above 9 and below 0.3 as these genes are either overfitted or do not fit at all. We then categorized genes with having high (5 < *N*), medium (1 < *N* < 5), and low (*N* < 1) Hill coefficients.

Clustering of Fano factor change

Hierarchical clustering of Fano factor changes across anti-IgM doses was performed using the "hclust()" function of R with the method option "ward.D2" and the tree was cut at 4 branches.

Correlation coefficients

Correlation coefficients were calculated using Spearman's rank correlation test to minimize the effects of outliers.

Statistics and reproducibility

The qPCR data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), wherein N = number of biological replicates. Data were evaluated using the unpaired Student's t-test. The means were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. Box plots represent the median (centerline), interquartile range (IQR; box limits), and $1.5 \times$ IQR for the whiskers.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Dynamics and biochemical properties of RelA nuclear translocation upon anti-IgM stimulation on DT40 cells. (A) Representative fluorescence micrographs of a single cell upon stimulation with 10 µg/ml anti-IgM (scale bar, 10 µm). (B) Changes in the number of foci detected in single-cells across time upon addition of 10 µg/ml anti-IgM (n = 49). The red line indicates the mean. (C) Distribution of RelA foci per cell upon stimulation with various doses of anti-IgM for 20 min. (D) Time-lapse fluorescence micrographs of DT40 cells co-expressing mKate2-BRD4S and RelA-GFP upon stimulation with 10 µg/ml anti-IgM and pre-treatment with JQ1 (5 µM) for 60 min (scale bar, 5 µm). (E) PONDR VLXT disorder scores of BRD4 and RelA. PONDR score more than 0.5: BRD4, 85.0%; RelA, 54.1%. (F) Representative fluorescence micrographs of a cell population stimulated with 10 µg/ml anti-IgM before treatment,

after 1,6-hexanediol treatment, and upon washing (scale bar, 25 μm). (TIF)

S2 Fig. scRNA-seq analysis of DT40 cell stimulated with various doses of anti-IgM. (A) Pseudo-time axis taken using a principal curve over a UMAP projection showing the doses of anti-IgM. (B) Distribution of cells across pseudo-time. (C) Gene expression of *CD83* and *NFKBIA* in single-cells across pseudo-time. (D) Boxplot showing Fano factor of each heterogeneity cluster across different anti-IgM concentrations. (E) Biological processes gene ontology enrichment analysis for DEGs clustered according to Fano factor changes across anti-IgM doses.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. smRNA-FISH analysis of DT40 cells stimulated with various doses of anti-IgM. (A–B) Correlation plot between GFP foci and mRNA spots per cell for various doses of anti-IgM. (C) Density plot of RNA spots and GFP foci at various anti-IgM doses from the smRNA-FISH analysis.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Gene expression distribution of *CD83* and *NFKBIA*. (A) Single-cell expression of *CD83* (B cell activation marker) and *NFKBIA* (NF- κ B target gene) obtained from scRNA-seq and smRNA-FISH at various doses of anti-IgM. (B) Single-cell expression of SE-annotated representative genes across anti-IgM doses. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Properties of SE. (A) Length of classified SE and TE in DT40 chicken B cells. (B) Correlation plot between enhancer length and normalized ATAC signal in DT40 chicken B cells. R = Pearson correlation coefficient. (C) Scatter plot of the mean fold-change of SE-associated genes between 10 µg/ml anti-IgM stimulated and control mouse primary B cells [8]. Note that SE was identified from H3K27Ac ChIP-seq data (D) Track view of Cd83 and Nfkbia ATAC-seq data of mouse primary B cells with 0 and 10 µg/ml anti-IgM [8]. (E) Biological processes gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of 52 genes with both gained SE (upper quantile) and upregulated RNA (upper quantile). (TIF)

S6 Fig. Motif occurrences of NF-kB and PU.1 at enhancer regions are higher at SE than TE. Motif occurrences of both NF-kB and PU.1 at TE (37,686 peaks) and SE (1,118 peaks) calculated using the "findMotifsGenome.pl" program with the "-find" option of Homer. The *P*-values were calculated using Welch's t-test after undersampling (n = 280), n.s.: not significant. (TIF)

S7 Fig. Fitting mean gene expression to Hill function for gained SE and TE associated genes. Hill function was fitted to the fold-change of mean gene expression compared to dose 0. Optimization was performed using the "optimx()" function of the R package optim, selecting the best optimization algorithm by the smallest residual sum of squares. Hill coefficient is shown beside the gene name. The scatter plot of normalized gene expression is shown on the right side. Red denotes mean. (TIF)

S8 Fig. Motif occurrences for SE associated with *NFKBIA* and *CD83*. The motif number of PU.1 and NF-kB at merged SE regions for *NFKBIA* and *CD83* calculated using Homer (See Methods).

(TIF)

S9 Fig. Assessment of Fano factor as an index of heterogeneity for genes associated with enhancers. (A) Boxplot of Fano factor at all dose points for DEGs associated with TE and SE. Number of genes: Gained SE, 82; Unchanged SE, 100; Lost SE, 42; Gained TE, 242; Unchanged TE, 384; Lost TE, 21. *P*-value was calculated using one-way ANOVA with undersampling (n = 21), n.s.: not significant. (B) Venn diagram and bar plot of the number of genes with assigned Hill coefficient (*N*) below 1, above 5 and in between and subsequent Fano factor (10 µg/ml) below (low) and above the median (high). (C) Correlation plot of Fano factor and CV ratio between 10 ug/ml and 0 ug/ml anti-IgM stimulation. Correlation coefficients were calculated using Spearman's rank correlation test. (TIF)

S10 Fig. Comparison between *CD83* **and** *NFKBIA***.** (A) Track view of *NFKBIA* **and** *CD83* **co-**accessibility ± 1kb around the annotated transcription start site of and regions outside of the SE. (B) Time-course normalized gene expression of *CD83* and *NFKBIA* obtained from micro-array [42].

(TIF)

S11 Fig. Motif occurrences of TATA box at the promoters of SE and TE-associated genes. Motif number of TATA box at the promoters of TE and SE associated genes calculated using Homer (See Methods).

(TIF)

S1 Table. Number of single-cell RNA-seq data used in the scRNA-seq analysis for each dose point of anti-IgM.

(TIF)

S2 Table. Number of activated and inactivated cells. (TIF)

S3 Table. Primers used in mKate2-BRD4S cell line construction. (TIF)

S4 Table. List of smRNA-FISH probes (5'-3'). (TIF)

S5 Table. Primers used for qPCR experiments. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Kazuo Yamashita and Dr. Masakazu Ishikawa of KOTAI Biotechnologies, Inc. (Suita, Osaka, Japan) for preparing the scATAC-seq samples. We thank Dr. Akira Imamoto and Dr. Shinpei Kawaoka for discussions regarding plasmid construction and CRISPR-Cas9 experiments, Dr. Takeya Kasukawa and Dr. Keita Iida for discussions regarding NGS analysis, and Dr. Takashi Fukaya for discussions regarding cis-regulatory interactions.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Mariko Okada.

Data curation: Johannes N. Wibisana, Mariko Okada.

Formal analysis: Johannes N. Wibisana.

Funding acquisition: Yasushi Sako, Mariko Okada.

Investigation: Johannes N. Wibisana, Takehiko Inaba, Yasushi Sako, Mariko Okada.

Methodology: Takehiko Inaba, Hisaaki Shinohara, Noriko Yumoto, Tetsutaro Hayashi, Mana Umeda, Masashi Ebisawa, Itoshi Nikaido.

Project administration: Yasushi Sako, Mariko Okada.

Resources: Yasushi Sako.

Supervision: Yasushi Sako, Mariko Okada.

Validation: Johannes N. Wibisana.

Writing - original draft: Johannes N. Wibisana, Mariko Okada.

Writing - review & editing: Johannes N. Wibisana, Mariko Okada.

References

- Stanic AK, Bezbradica JS, Park J-J, Matsuki N, Mora AL, Van Kaer L, et al. NF-kB Controls Cell Fate Specification, Survival, and Molecular Differentiation of Immunoregulatory Natural T Lymphocytes. J Immunol. 2004; 172: 2265–2273. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.4.2265 PMID: 14764695
- Hayden MS, Ghosh S. NF-KB, the first quarter-century: Remarkable progress and outstanding questions. Genes Dev. 2012; 26: 203–234. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.183434.111 PMID: 22302935
- Basak S, Behar M, Hoffmann A. Lessons from mathematically modeling the NF-kB pathway. Immunol Rev. 2012; 246: 221–238. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01092.x PMID: 22435558
- 4. Kurosaki T. Regulation of B cell fates by BCR signaling components. Curr Opin Immunol. 2002; 14: 341–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0952-7915(02)00344-8 PMID: 11973132
- 5. Schulze-Luehrmann J, Ghosh S. Antigen-Receptor Signaling to Nuclear Factor κB. Immunity. 2006; 25: 701–715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2006.10.010 PMID: 17098202
- 6. Bonizzi G, Karin M. The two NF-kappaB activation pathways and their role in innate and adaptive immunity. Trends Immunol. 2004; 25: 280–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2004.03.008 PMID: 15145317
- Shinohara H, Behar M, Inoue K, Hiroshima M, Yasuda T, Nagashima T, et al. Positive feedback within a kinase signaling complex functions as a switch mechanism for NF-κB activation. Science (80-). 2014; 344: 760–764. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1250020 PMID: 24833394
- Michida H, Imoto H, Shinohara H, Yumoto N, Seki M, Umeda M, et al. The Number of Transcription Factors at an Enhancer Determines Switch-like Gene Expression. Cell Rep. 2020; 31: 107724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107724 PMID: 32492432
- Mitchell S, Roy K, Zangle TA, Hoffmann A. Nongenetic origins of cell-to-cell variability in B lymphocyte proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2018; 115: E2888–E2897. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715639115 PMID: 29514960
- Roy K, Mitchell S, Liu Y, Ohta S, Lin Y, Metzig MO, et al. A Regulatory Circuit Controlling the Dynamics of NFkB cRel Transitions B Cells from Proliferation to Plasma Cell Differentiation. Immunity. 2019; 50: 616–628.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.02.004 PMID: 30850343
- Paszek P, Ryan S, Ashall L, Sillitoe K, Harper C V., Spiller DG, et al. Population robustness arising from cellular heterogeneity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107: 11644–11649. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0913798107 PMID: 20534546
- Tay S, Hughey JJ, Lee TK, Lipniacki T, Quake SR, Covert MW. Single-cell NF-kappaB dynamics reveal digital activation and analogue information processing. Nature. 2010; 466: 267–271. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature09145</u> PMID: 20581820
- Whyte WA, Orlando DA, Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lin CY, Kagey MH, et al. Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. Cell. 2013; 153: 307–319. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035 PMID: 23582322
- Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lee TI, Lau A, Saint-André V, Sigova AA, et al. Super-enhancers in the control of cell identity and disease. Cell. 2013; 155: 934. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.053</u> PMID: 24119843
- Brown JD, Lin CY, Duan Q, Griffin G, Federation AJ, Paranal RM, et al. NF-kB directs dynamic super enhancer formation in inflammation and atherogenesis. Mol Cell. 2014; 56: 219–231. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.molcel.2014.08.024 PMID: 25263595

- Zou Z, Huang B, Wu X, Zhang H, Qi J, Bradner J, et al. Brd4 maintains constitutively active NF-kB in cancer cells by binding to acetylated RelA. Oncogene. 2014; 33: 2395–2404. https://doi.org/10.1038/ onc.2013.179 PMID: 23686307
- Sabari BR, Dall'Agnese A, Boija A, Klein IA, Coffey EL, Shrinivas K, et al. Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and gene control. Science (80-). 2018;361: eaar3958. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3958 PMID: 29930091
- Schneider N, Wieland FG, Kong D, Fischer AAM, Hörner M, Timmer J, et al. Liquid-liquid phase separation of light-inducible transcription factors increases transcription activation in mammalian cells and mice. Sci Adv. 2021;7. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd3568 PMID: 33523844
- Shrinivas K, Sabari BR, Coffey EL, Klein IA, Boija A, Zamudio A V., et al. Enhancer Features that Drive Formation of Transcriptional Condensates. Mol Cell. 2019; 75: 549–561.e7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> molcel.2019.07.009 PMID: 31398323
- Boija A, Klein IA, Sabari BR, Dall'Agnese A, Coffey EL, Zamudio A V., et al. Transcription Factors Activate Genes through the Phase-Separation Capacity of Their Activation Domains. Cell. 2018; 175: 1842–1855.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.042 PMID: 30449618
- Wong VC, Bass VL, Bullock ME, Chavali AK, Lee REC, Mothes W, et al. NF-κB-Chromatin Interactions Drive Diverse Phenotypes by Modulating Transcriptional Noise. Cell Rep. 2018; 22: 585–599. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.080 PMID: 29346759
- Bass VL, Wong VC, Bullock ME, Gaudet S, Miller-Jensen K. TNF stimulation primarily modulates transcriptional burst size of NF-κB-regulated genes. Mol Syst Biol. 2021;17. <u>https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.</u> 202010127 PMID: 34288498
- 23. Faure AJ, Schmiedel JM, Lehner B. Systematic Analysis of the Determinants of Gene Expression Noise in Embryonic Stem Cells. Cell Syst. 2017; 5: 471–484.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.10. 003 PMID: 29102610
- Hnisz D, Shrinivas K, Young RA, Chakraborty AK, Sharp PA. A Phase Separation Model for Transcriptional Control. Cell. 2017; 169: 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.007 PMID: 28340338
- Pliner HA, Packer JS, McFaline-Figueroa JL, Cusanovich DA, Daza RM, Aghamirzaie D, et al. Cicero Predicts cis-Regulatory DNA Interactions from Single-Cell Chromatin Accessibility Data. Mol Cell. 2018; 71: 858–871.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.044 PMID: 30078726
- 26. Pott S, Lieb JD. What are super-enhancers? Nat Genet. 2015; 47: 8–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.</u> 3167 PMID: 25547603
- Brown JD, Feldman ZB, Doherty SP, Reyes JM, Rahl PB, Lin CY, et al. BET bromodomain proteins regulate enhancer function during adipogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018; 115: 2144–2149. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711155115</u> PMID: 29444854
- Dunker AK, Brown CJ, Lawson JD, lakoucheva LM, Obradović Z. Intrinsic disorder and protein function. Biochemistry. 2002; 41: 6573–6582. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi012159+ PMID: 12022860
- Romero P, Obradovic Z, Li X, Garner EC, Brown CJ, Dunker AK. Sequence complexity of disordered protein. Proteins Struct Funct Genet. 2001; 42: 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0134(2001010) 42:1<38::aid-prot50>3.0.co;2-3 PMID: 11093259
- Cermakova K, Courtney Hodges H. Next-generation drugs and probes for chromatin biology: From targeted protein degradation to phase separation. Molecules. 2018; 23: 1–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules23081958 PMID: 30082609
- Hayashi T, Ozaki H, Sasagawa Y, Umeda M, Danno H, Nikaido I. Single-cell full-length total RNA sequencing uncovers dynamics of recursive splicing and enhancer RNAs. Nat Commun. 2018;9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02866-0
- Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol. 2018; 36: 411–420. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/nbt.4096 PMID: 29608179</u>
- Krikos A, Laherty CD, Dixit VM. Transcriptional activation of the tumor necrosis factor α-inducible zinc finger protein, A20, is mediated by κB elements. J Biol Chem. 1992; 267: 17971–17976. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0021-9258(19)37138-8 PMID: 1381359
- Munsky B, Neuert G, Van Oudenaarden A. Using gene expression noise to understand gene regulation. Science (80-). 2012; 336: 183–187. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216379 PMID: 22499939
- Hansen MMK, Desai R V., Simpson ML, Weinberger LS. Cytoplasmic Amplification of Transcriptional Noise Generates Substantial Cell-to-Cell Variability. Cell Syst. 2018; 7: 384–397.e6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2018.08.002</u> PMID: 30243562
- Shembade N, Harhaj EW. Regulation of NF-kB signaling by the A20 deubiquitinase. Cell Mol Immunol. 2012; 9: 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1038/cmi.2011.59 PMID: 22343828

- Wang J, Huang M, Torre E, Dueck H, Shaffer S, Murray J, et al. Gene expression distribution deconvolution in single-cell RNA sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018; 115: E6437–E6446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721085115</u> PMID: 29946020
- Karabacak Calviello A, Hirsekorn A, Wurmus R, Yusuf D, Ohler U. Reproducible inference of transcription factor footprints in ATAC-seq and DNase-seq datasets using protocol-specific bias modeling. Genome Biol. 2019; 20: 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1654-y PMID: 30791920
- Yan F, Powell DR, Curtis DJ, Wong NC. From reads to insight: A hitchhiker's guide to ATAC-seq data analysis. Genome Biol. 2020; 21: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-1929-3 PMID: 32014034
- Lovén J, Hoke HA, Lin CY, Lau A, Orlando DA, Vakoc CR, et al. Selective inhibition of tumor oncogenes by disruption of super-enhancers. Cell. 2013; 153: 320–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.036 PMID: 23582323
- 41. Dukler N, Gulko B, Huang YF, Siepel A. Is a super-enhancer greater than the sum of its parts? Nat Genet. 2017; 49: 2–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3759 PMID: 28029159
- Chiang S, Shinohara H, Huang JH, Tsai HK, Okada M. Inferring the transcriptional regulatory mechanism of signal-dependent gene expression via an integrative computational approach. FEBS Lett. 2020; 594: 1477–1496. https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13757 PMID: 32052437
- Baek M, Yoo E, Choi HI, An GY, Chai JC, Lee YS, et al. The BET inhibitor attenuates the inflammatory response and cell migration in human microglial HMC3 cell line. Sci Rep. 2021; 11: 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87828-1</u>
- Shinohara H, Inoue K, Yumoto N, Nagashima T, Okada-Hatakeyama M. Stimulus-Dependent Inhibitor of Apoptosis Protein Expression Prolongs the Duration of B Cell Signalling. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 27706. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27706 PMID: 27277891
- Zamudio A V., Dall'Agnese A, Henninger JE, Manteiga JC, Afeyan LK, Hannett NM, et al. Mediator Condensates Localize Signaling Factors to Key Cell Identity Genes. Mol Cell. 2019; 76: 753–766.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.08.016 PMID: 31563432
- Osorio D, Yu X, Zhong Y, Li G, Yu P, Serpedin E, et al. Single-Cell Expression Variability Implies Cell Function. Cells. 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9010014 PMID: 31861624
- Dueck H, Eberwine J, Kim J. Variation is function: Are single cell differences functionally important?: Testing the hypothesis that single cell variation is required for aggregate function. BioEssays. 2016; 38: 172–180. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201500124 PMID: 26625861
- Koch F, Fenouil R, Gut M, Cauchy P, Albert TK, Zacarias-Cabeza J, et al. Transcription initiation platforms and GTF recruitment at tissue-specific enhancers and promoters. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2011; 18: 956–963. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2085 PMID: 21765417
- 49. Thomas HF, Kotova E, Jayaram S, Pilz A, Romeike M, Lackner A, et al. Temporal dissection of an enhancer cluster reveals distinct temporal and functional contributions of individual elements. Mol Cell. 2021; 81: 969–982.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.12.047 PMID: 33482114
- Bothma JP, Garcia HG, Ng S, Perry MW, Gregor T, Levine M. Enhancer additivity and non-additivity are determined by enhancer strength in the Drosophila embryo. Elife. 2015; 4: 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.07956</u> PMID: 26267217
- Tunnacliffe E, Chubb JR. What Is a Transcriptional Burst? Trends Genet. 2020; 36: 288–297. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2020.01.003 PMID: 32035656
- Gu B, Swigut T, Spencley A, Bauer MR, Chung M, Meyer T, et al. Transcription-coupled changes in nuclear mobility of mammalian cis-regulatory elements. Science (80-). 2018; 359: 1050–1055. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aao3136 PMID: 29371426
- 53. Huang J, Li K, Cai W, Liu X, Zhang Y, Orkin SH, et al. Dissecting super-enhancer hierarchy based on chromatin interactions. Nat Commun. 2018;9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03279-9
- Slaymaker IM, Gao L, Zetsche B, Scott DA, Yan WX, Zhang F. Rationally engineered Cas9 nucleases with improved specificity. Science (80-). 2016; 351: 84–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad5227</u> PMID: 26628643
- 55. Gong F, Chiu LY, Cox B, Aymard F, Clouaire T, Leung JW, et al. Screen identifies bromodomain protein ZMYND8 in chromatin recognition of transcription-associated DNA damage that promotes homologous recombination. Genes Dev. 2015; 29: 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.252189.114 PMID: 25593309
- 56. Kim S II, Oceguera-Yanez F, Sakurai C, Nakagawa M, Yamanaka S, Woltjen K. Inducible transgene expression in human iPS cells using versatile all-in-one piggybac transposons. Methods Mol Biol. 2016; 1357: 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/7651_2015_251 PMID: 26025620
- Mueller F, Senecal A, Tantale K, Marie-Nelly H, Ly N, Collin O, et al. FISH-quant: Automatic counting of transcripts in 3D FISH images. Nat Methods. 2013; 10: 277–278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2406</u> PMID: 23538861

- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29: 15–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635</u> PMID: 23104886
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30: 923–930. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/</u> btt656 PMID: 24227677
- 60. Tang W, Bertaux F, Thomas P, Stefanelli C, Saint M, Marguerat S, et al. bayNorm: Bayesian gene expression recovery, imputation and normalization for single-cell RNA-sequencing data. Kelso J, editor. Bioinformatics. 2019; 36: 1174–1181. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz726 PMID: 31584606
- Ewels PA, Peltzer A, Fillinger S, Patel H, Alneberg J, Wilm A, et al. The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines. Nat Biotechnol. 2020; 38: 276–278. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41587-020-0439-x PMID: 32055031
- Hahne F, Ivanek R. Visualizing Genomic Data Using Gviz and Bioconductor. New York: Springer New York; 2016. pp. 335–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3578-9_16
- Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. OMICS. 2012; 16: 284–287. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118</u> PMID: 22455463
- Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27: 1017–1018. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr064 PMID: 21330290
- Nash JC, Varadhan R. Unifying Optimization Algorithms to Aid Software System Users: optimx for R. J Stat Softw. 2011;43. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v043.i09