

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN 45 (2021) 91-101

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Clinical Nutrition ESPEN**

journal homepage: http://www.clinicalnutritionespen.com

Meta-analysis

# Lipid profile as an indicator of COVID-19 severity: A systematic review and meta-analysis



CLINICAL NUTRITION ESPEN

Roshan Kumar Mahat <sup>a, \*</sup>, Vedika Rathore <sup>b, \*\*</sup>, Neelima Singh <sup>c</sup>, Nivedita Singh <sup>d</sup>, Sanjeev Kumar Singh <sup>d</sup>, Rakesh Kumar Shah <sup>d</sup>, Chanchal Garg <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Pandit Raghunath Murmu Medical College and Hospital, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, Odisha, 757107, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, 486001, India

<sup>c</sup> University of Kota, Kota, Rajasthan, 324005, India

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Gajra Raja Medical College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, 474009, India

#### A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 6 June 2021 Accepted 23 July 2021

Keywords: COVID-19 SARS-CoV-2 Total cholesterol High-density lipoprotein cholesterol Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol SUMMARY

*Background:* Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is a global pandemic. Studies reported dyslipidemia in patients with COVID-19. Herein, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of published articles to evaluate the association of the lipid profile with the severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients.

*Methods:* PubMed/Medline, Europe PMC, and Google Scholar were searched for studies published between January 1, 2020 and January 13, 2021. Random or Fixed effects models were used to calculate the mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using Cochran's Q test and I<sup>2</sup> statistics.

*Results:* This meta-analysis included 19 studies. Of which, 12 studies were categorized by severity, 04 studies by mortality, and 03 studies by both severity and mortality. Our findings revealed significantly decreased levels of total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in the severe group when compared with the non-severe group in a random effect model. Similarly, random effect model results demonstrated significantly lower levels of HDL-C and LDL-C in the non-survivor group when compared with the survivor group. The level of TC was also found to be decreased in the non-survivor group when compared to the survivor group in a fixed-effect model.

*Conclusion:* In conclusion, the lipid profile is associated with both the severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. Hence, the lipid profile may be used for assessing the severity and prognosis of COVID-19. *Prospero registration number:* CRD42021216316.

© 2021 European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease, with a ferocious course that has infected many people [1]. This disease is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and was first reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, a city within the Hubei Province of China and rapidly spread throughout China and around the world [2–4]. Given the rapid rise in the number of COVID-19 cases and uncontrolled spread

throughout the world, it was declared as a public health emergency of international concern on 30 January, 2020 by World Health Organization (WHO) and further labeled as a pandemic on 11 March 2020, making SARS-CoV-2 the first human coronavirus to cause a pandemic [5,6]. As of 24 May, 2021, the COVID-19 pandemic had over 166 million confirmed cases with 3,459,996 deaths [7]. The rapid emergence of this infectious disease is now the biggest problem affecting public health, social and economic growth [8].

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus similar to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and is the newest and seventh member of the coronavirus family that can infect humans [9]. Sequence analysis revealed that SARS-CoV-2 shared 88% sequence identity with two bat-derived severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-like



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* mahatroshan79@gmail.com (R.K. Mahat), ved\_sin26@ rediffmail.com (V. Rathore).

<sup>2405-4577/© 2021</sup> European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

coronaviruses, bat-SL-CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21. In addition. SARS-CoV-2 also shared around 79% sequence identity with SARS-CoV and around 50% with MERS-CoV [10]. The SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein, composed of S1 and S2 subunits, is responsible for facilitating the entry of the virus into the host cells via surface receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [10,11]. The entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the target cell is promoted by host protease transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2). ACE2 and TMPRSS2 co-express within lung type II pneumocytes, ileal absorptive enterocytes, and nasal goblet secretory cells, which are believed to be host determinants of viral infection at the initial stage [12]. The clinical spectrum of COVID-19 varies from mild to critical illness. While 81% of COVID-19 cases are mild, 14% are severe and 5% are critical. The case fatality rate is 49% in critical cases [13]. The risk of poor outcomes increases significantly as patients progress to the severe or critical stage [14]. Therefore, early identification and treatment of patients who are likely to progress to severe or critical cases are crucial.

Lipids form the structural foundations of cellular and viral membranes and hence play an important role in lung biology and the pathophysiology of viral disease [15]. Viruses target lipid synthesis and signal modification of host cells in order to generate lipids for their envelopes [16]. For replication of the virus, the involvement of lipids in membrane fusion, envelopment, and transformation is important. The viruses replicate in the host cell; therefore, for entry and release, they must pass through a host cell membrane [15]. Lipids play various roles in the viral invasion, as they may serve as direct and indirect viral receptors, fusion cofactors, and entry cofactors [16]. Furthermore, lipids are also an essential part of the innate and adaptive immune system during infections [17]. Mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics analysis revealed that the dysregulation of lipid metabolism may promote the progression of COVID-19 [18,19]. In addition, a study reported that hypolipidemia begins in patients with mild COVID-19 and escalates with the progression and severity of the disease [20].

To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review and metaanalysis has been conducted to date concerning the association of the lipid profile with the severity and mortality in COVID-19. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of published articles from January 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021 to evaluate the association of lipid parameters (total cholesterol, HDLcholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triacylglycerols) with the severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Protocol and registration

This systematic review and meta-analysis was prospectively registered on PROSPERO-The International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (Registration No. CRD42021216316) [21] and adheres to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [22].

#### 2.2. Eligibility criteria

Studies that meet the following criteria were included in the meta-analysis: (a) representation for clinical questions (Population: laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients; Exposure: severe COVID-19 patients or patients who died due to COVID-19 (non-survivors); Comparator: non-severe COVID-19 patients or COVID-19 patients who survived (survivors); Outcomes: the lipid profile i.e. total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (TG)

levels), (b) observational studies reporting clear extractable data on the lipid profile.

Review articles, conference papers, non-research letters, editorials, commentaries, case reports, research articles with samples below 10, articles not written in English language, and studies that have been conducted exclusively on children or pregnant women were excluded. If two or more studies were conducted at the same place during the same or overlapping period, a study with a larger sample size was included in the present meta-analysis.

# 2.3. Search strategy and study selection

For the relevant studies, a comprehensive systematic literature search of PubMed/Medline, Europe PMC, and Google Scholar was performed from January 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021 using the following search terms ("COVID-19" OR "2019-nCOV" OR "SARS-COV-2" OR "novel coronavirus disease" OR "novel coronavirus 2019" OR "coronavirus disease-2019") AND ("lipid profile" OR "lipid parameters" OR "dyslipidemia") (Supplement 1). After the initial search, duplicates were removed and two authors (RKM and VR) independently screened titles and abstracts for potentially relevant articles. The full text of relevant articles was reviewed for the eligibility criteria. The reference list of eligible studies and relevant systematic reviews were also reviewed to reduce the literature omissions. We have also included unpublished and preprint articles in our meta-analysis. Disagreements on which studies to include during both title and abstract screen, and the subsequent full-text analysis, were resolved by a third author (NS) and discussed until a consensus was reached.

#### 2.4. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers (RKM and VR) independently extracted the following data from each included study: first author's name, country, publication year, hospital, study type, data collection date, gender, age, grouping situation, number of cases in each group, and lipid parameters measured. A third reviewer (NS) checked the article list and extracted data to ensure there were no duplicate articles or duplicate information.

The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the quality of included studies [23]. For every original study included, the quality assessment was carried out independently by two reviewers (RKM and VR) and the disagreements were resolved through a panel discussion with other reviewers.

# 2.5. Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis of included studies was performed using Review Manager Version 5.4 and STATA (version 16; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). When the results of the included studies were present in median and interquartile range (IQR), the mean and standard deviation of lipid parameters were extrapolated from sample size, median and interquartile range (IQR) according to Luo et al. [24] and Wan et al. [25]. Some studies included in our systematic review and meta-analysis reported lipid parameters in mg/dl. In that case, the units were converted to mmol/L to standardize all data. Values in mg/dl were divided by the following conversion factors: 38.67 for total cholesterol, LDLcholesterol, and HDL-cholesterol; and 88.57 for triacylglycerol [26]. To assess the difference of lipid parameters between severe and non-severe COVID-19 groups or COVID-19 patients who survived (survivor group) and those who died due to COVID-19 (nonsurvivor group), a pooled mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used. To assess statistical heterogeneity among included studies, Cochran's Q test and I<sup>2</sup> statistics were

used. A Cochran's Q value of <0.10 shows significant heterogeneity between studies while  $I^2$  statistic was interpreted as 25%, 50%, and 75% representing low, moderate, and high degrees of heterogeneity, respectively. The random-effect model was used if heterogeneity existed; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. Sensitivity analysis was carried out by omitting individual studies to assess the stability of the meta-analysis. Funnel plots were constructed for lipid parameters and Egger's test was adopted to statistically assess the potential publication bias (a *p*-value <0.1 indicated significant bias). Except for Egger's test and Cochran's Q test, a *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Outcome of database search

A total of 2681 articles could be initially identified from PubMed/ Medline, Europe PMC, Google Scholar, and other sources. After removing duplicates, 2091 articles remained, of which, 41 articles were selected for full-text assessment after screening the title and abstract. Eventually, 19 studies with a total sample of 5690 confirmed COVID-19 patients were finally selected for qualitative synthesis and meta-analysis after excluding 22 ineligible studies. The PRISMA flow diagram for the study selection process is shown in Fig. 1.

# 3.2. Characteristics of included studies

All the 19 studies were hospital-based, conducted between January 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021. Of 19 studies, 17 were

retrospective and 02 were prospective studies. The majority of the studies were from China [20,28-32,34-40,42-44] and three from other countries including Saudi Arabia [27], Mexico [33], and Spain [41]. A total of 12 studies [20,28-35,37,38,40] including 3159 COVID-19 patients were categorized by severity. 4 studies [41–44] totaling 1895 COVID-19 patients by mortality. and 3 studies [27,36,39] including 636 COVID-19 patients were by both severity and mortality. In 15 severity studies totaling 3795 COVID-19 patients, 1200 were severe COVID-19 patients and 2595 were non-severe COVID-19 patients. Of 3795 COVID-19 patients, 1958 were males and 1837 were females. The severity classification of COVID-19 was based on National Health Commission of China guidelines in 10 studies, WHO guidelines in 01 study, Chinese Center for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines in 01 study, and ICU admission in 02 studies. In addition, 01 study had classified COVID-19 patients into critical and non-critical groups, and in our study; we have included critical COVID-19 patients into severe group and non-critical COVID-19 patients into nonsevere group. Furthermore, if COVID-19 patients were classified into four groups i.e. mild, moderate, severe, and critical in included studies then in our meta-analysis, mild and moderate COVID-19 patients were included into non-severe group and severe and critical COVID-19 patients were included into severe group. In 07 mortality studies, totaling 2482 COVID-19 patients, 2118 were survivors and 345 patients had died due to COVID-19 (non-survivors). Of 2482 COVID-19 patients in mortality studies, 1411 were males and 1071 were females. The details regarding the characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.



Fig. 1. PRISMA flow chart of the study selection procedure.

# Table 1

Characteristics of included studies.

Studies grou	ped by s	everity										
Author	Country	Year of publication	Hospitals	Type of publication	Date of data collection	Gender (M/F)	Total patients	Non- severe patients	Severe patients	Age, median (IQR) or mean $\pm$ SD	Parameters extracted	NOS
Alguwaihes AM et al. [27]	Saudi Arabia	2020	King Saud University Medical City (KSUMC)- King Khaled University Hospital (KKUH)	Retrospective study	May 2020 to July 2020	300/ 139	439	316	123	55 (Range:19–101)	HDL-C, LDL-C, TG	7
Chen C et al. [28]	China	2020	Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen	Retrospective study	January 11, 2020 to February 18, 2020	198/ 219	417	325	92	47 (34–60)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Hu X et al. [29]	China	2020	Wenzhou Central Hospital	Retrospective study	January 2, 2020 to February 20, 2020	60/54	54 114 87		27	48.5 (40.8–57.0),	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	5
Li C et al. [30]	China	2020	Jin-yin-tan Hospital	Retrospective study	January 29, 2020 to February 27, 2020	133/ 109	242	173	69	63.0 (53.0–68.3)	TC, TG, LDL- C, HDL-C	. 7
Li J et al. [31]	China	2020	Central Hospital of Wuhan	Retrospective study	January 1, 2020 to February 20, 2020	75/59	134	45	89	61.00 (46.75 -69.25)	TC, TG	7
Nie S et al. [32]	China	2020	Renmin Hospital	Retrospective study	February 9, 2020 to February 28, 2020	34/63	97	72	25	39 (30–60)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	7
Osuna- Ramos JF et al. [33]	Mexico	2020	three different hospitals from Culiacan, Sinaloa, in northwest Mexico	Prospective study	April 16, 2020 to June 16, 2020	65/37	102	64	38	57 (45.75–64)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	7
Peng Y et al. [34]	China	2020	TaiKang Tongji Hospital	Retrospective study	February 16, 2020 to March 20, 2020.	386/ 475	861	579	282	61.42 ± 14.73	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	7
Ren H et al. [35]	China	2020	Tongji Hospital	Retrospective study	January 12, 2020 to February 13, 2020	78/73	151	89	62	59.5 ± 15.9	TC, TG	8
Sun JT et al. [36]	China	2020	Leishenshan Hospital	Prospective study	February 9, 2020 to April 4, 2020	60/39	99	49	50	Mild: 52.00 (42.00 -62.00); Severe: 70.50 (61.25 -80.75)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Wang G et al. [37]	China	2020	Public Health Treatment Center of Changsha	Retrospective study	January 17, 2020 to March 14, 2020	115/ 113	228	184	44	45.5 (36.0–60.8)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	7
Wei X et al. [20]	China	2020	Union Hospital	Retrospective study	February 1, 2020 to March 3, 2020	305/ 292	597	394	203	66 (59–72)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	6
Yang Y et al. [38]	China	2020	HwaMei Hospital, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences	Retrospective study	January 23, 2020 to April 20, 2020	55/87	142	125	17	49.10 ± 16.36	TC, TG, LDL- C, HDL-C	- 7
Zhang B et al. [39]	China	2020	Sino-French Branch of Tongji Hospital	Retrospective study	February 6, 2020 to February 28, 2020	58/40	98	46	52	63.9 ± 1.4	TC, TG, HDL-C	8
Zhang Q et al. [40]	China	2020	Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University in Wuhan, China	Retrospective study	January 3, 2020 to April 14, 2020	36/38	74	47	27	62 (56–72)	TC, TG, LDL- C, HDL-C	8
Studies grou	ped by n	nortality										
Author	Country	Year of publication	Hospitals	Type of publication	Date of data collection	Gender (M/F)	No. of total patients	Survivors	Non survivors	Age, median (IQR) or mean (SD)	Parameters extracted	NOS
Alguwaihes AM et al. [27]	Saudi Arabia	2020	King Saud University Medical City (KSUMC)- King Khaled University Hospital (KKUH)	Retrospective study	May 2020 to July 2020	300/ 139	439	343	77	55 (Range:19–101)	HDL-C, LDL-C, TG	7

 Table 1 (continued)

Aparisi A et al. [41]	Spain	2020	Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid	Retrospective study	March 1, 2020 to May 15, 2020	376/ 278	654	505	149	70 [58–81]	TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG	8
Fan J et al. [42]	China	2020	Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University	Retrospective study	January 18, 2020 to February 8, 2020	11/10	21	17	4	62.5 (12.6)	TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Li Y et al. [43]	China	2020	West Court of Union Hospital in Wuhan	Retrospective study	February 1, 2020 to March 31, 2020	139/77	216	192	24	61.3 ± 11.2	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Sun JT et al. [36]	China	2020	Leishenshan Hospital	Prospective study	February 9, 2020 to April 4, 2020	34/16	50 (included severe patients only)	35	15	70.50 (61.25 -80.75)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Yan X et al. [44]	China	2020	Wuhan Third Hospital & Tongren Hospital of Wuhan University	Retrospective study	January 11, 2020 to March 3, 2020	493/ 511	1004	964	40	Survivors: 62 (50 -70); Non- survivors: 68 (58 -79)	TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C	8
Zhang B et al. [39]	China	2020	Sino-French Branch of Tongji Hospital	Retrospective study	February 6, 2020 to February 28, 2020	58/40	98	62	36	63.9 ± 1.4	TC, TG, HDL-C	8

IQR: Interquartile range; SD: Standard deviation; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa scale; M: Male; F: Female; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triacylglycerol; HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol.

#### 3.3. Quality assessment

The methodological quality of included studies was assessed by Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS). A score of 0-9 was allocated to each study with higher scores indicating a lower risk of bias. The quality results are shown in Table 1.

#### 3.4. Synthesis of results

For the patients grouped by severity of COVID-19, the analysis of the random effect model (REM) showed that compared with the non-severe group, severe group had significant lower levels of TC (MD = -0.33 mmol/L, 95% Cl = [-0.46, -0.20], p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 65\%$ ), HDL-C (MD = -0.15 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.20, -0.11], p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 86\%$ ) and LDL-C (MD = -0.25 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.34, -0.16], p < 0.00001;  $l^2 = 75\%$ ). With reference to TG, we didn't find any significant difference between severe and nonsevere group (MD = 0.03 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.19, 0.24], p = 0.80;  $I^2 = 97\%$ ). Pooled estimates of the lipid profile between severe and non-severe groups are presented in Table 2 and the individual forest plots between severe and non-severe groups for levels of TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively. Similarly, for the patients grouped by mortality, random effect model analysis showed significantly decreased levels of HDL-C (MD = -0.16 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.25, -0.07], p = 0.0007;  $I^2 = 90\%$ ) and LDL-C (MD = -0.49 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.63, -0.36], p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 60\%$ ) in non-survivor group when compared to the survivor group. The level of TC was also found to be decreased in non-survivor group when compared to survivor group in a fixed-effect model (MD = -0.51 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.64, -0.39], p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 0$ %). Though nonsurvivor group had increased level of TG compared to survivor group in random-effect model, the result was statistically insignificant (MD = 0.27 mmol/L, 95% CI = [-0.13, 0.66], p = 0.19;  $I^2 = 97\%$ ). Pooled estimates of the lipid profile between survivor and non-survivor groups are presented in Table 3 and the individual forest plots between survivor and non-survivor groups for levels of TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG are shown in Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, and Fig. 9 respectively.

# 3.5. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

To evaluate the stability of results, sensitivity analysis was carried out. We found that the combined results did not change significantly after excluding any one specific study in TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG between severe and non-severe groups or survivor and non-survivor groups (**Supplement 2 and 3**). Funnel plots were constructed for TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG since these parameters were retrieved from  $\geq$ 10 studies, and to examine whether there was evidence for statistically significant asymmetry, we had performed Egger's test. Egger's test indicated that there was no evidence of substantial publication bias for TC (p = 0.9159), HDL-C (p = 0.8344), LDL-C (p = 0.2082), and TG (p = 0.3491) in studies grouped by severity (**Supplement 4**). Since less than 10 studies were included in the meta-analysis of the lipid profile in studies grouped by mortality, funnel plots could not be constructed and Egger's test could not be carried out.

# 4. Discussion

To the best of author's knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis that investigated the association of the lipid profile with the severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. The findings of the present meta-analysis revealed significantly decreased levels of TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C are associated with severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. However, no significant difference was observed in the level of TG between severe and non-severe groups or survivor and non-survivor groups.

Patients may experience dyslipidemia due to chronic inflammation caused by a viral infection, and lipid metabolism plays a key role in the viral life cycle including replication, membrane homeostasis, endocytosis, and exocytosis [45]. In fact, previous experience from SARS-CoV-1 infection showed deranged lipid metabolism following recovery, indicating a biological relationship [46]. Clinical findings showed that patients with acute Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infection had lower levels of HDL-C, TC and LDL-C compared to their controls [47]. Another study showed that patients with hepatitis B had decreased levels of HDL-C and LDL-C in the cirrhosis phase [48]. In addition, human

Table 2		
Association of the lipid prof	file with disease severity	in patients of COVID-19.

Lipid profile	Number of studies	Participants	Statistical method			Hetero	geneity	p-value of Egger's test
			MD [95% CI]	Model <i>p</i> -value		$I^2$	P <sub>h</sub> -value	
ТС	14	3356	-0.33 [-0.46, -0.20]	REM	<0.00001	65%	0.0004	0.9159
HDL-C	13	3504	-0.15 [-0.20, -0.11]	REM	< 0.00001	86%	< 0.00001	0.8344
LDL-C	12	3404	-0.25 [-0.34, -0.16]	REM	< 0.00001	75%	< 0.00001	0.2082
TG	15	3791	0.03 [-0.19, 0.24]	REM	0.80	97%	<0.00001	0.3491

TC: Total cholesterol; HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: Triacylglycerol; REM: Random effect model; MD: Mean difference; CI: Confidence interval; P<sub>h</sub>: *p*-value of Q-test for heterogeneity.

immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infected patients had decreased HDL-C and TC and higher TG, compared to HIV-uninfected controls [49]. Similarly, a study revealed that cytomegalovirus infection was linked to lower HDL-C in normal-weight females [50]. Lima et al. conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of nine studies that evaluated 1953 patients and reported that circulating total cholesterol and LDL-C were inversely and significantly correlated with the severity of dengue fever [51]. The results of the International Monitoring Dialysis Outcomes (MONDO) study also showed that lipid levels were inversely associated with infectious and allcause mortality [52]. In patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), the findings of dyslipidemia are rare. One study showed a lower level of total cholesterol in SARS patients when compared with healthy controls [53]. Another study reported altered lipid metabolism in recovered SARS patients 12 years after infection [46]. These results indicate that patients with coronavirus-related diseases may have dyslipidemia.

However, the underlying mechanism responsible for the reduction in the levels of TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C in severe COVID-19 patients is not clearly understood. Several possible hypotheses have been put forward in this regard. First, the liver plays an important role in lipid metabolism and SARS-CoV-2 may cause damage to the liver and thereby disrupting the uptake and biosynthesis of lipoproteins. A study reported a moderate increase in the levels of liver markers alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) in about half of all COVID-19 patients, indicating mild or moderate liver injury [20]. Hepatic dysfunction has been seen in 14–53% of COVID-19 patients, particularly in severe and critical patients [54]. This hepatic dysfunction in severe COVID-19 patients would affect the synthesis

of lipoproteins. Second, one of the significant features of COVID-19 patients is excessive inflammation, particularly in patients with severe cases or in those who have died [55–58], which causes alteration in lipid metabolism. Proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-1 $\beta$  have been reported to modulate lipid metabolism by altering liver function and decreasing cholesterol efflux and transport in HIV patients [59]. IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-1 $\beta$  may also decrease the synthesis and/or secretion of apolipoproteins in hepatic cell lines in a dose-dependent manner [60]. Moreover, in HIV-1 infection, decreased HDL-C is linked with the impairment of ATP-binding cassette transporter A1-dependent cholesterol efflux from macrophages, and the activation of endothelial lipase and phospholipase A2 by inflammation [61,62]. Inflammation has been found to affect the expression of the hepatic apolipoprotein A-I gene [63] and strengthens the binding of the pro-inflammatory serum amyloid protein A (SAA) which, in turn, displaces and reduces the levels of ApoA-I in HDL [64]. HDL particles loaded with SAA have been shown to clear more rapidly from circulation than normal HDL [17]. During inflammatory setting, reduced plasma levels of lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) can also impair HDL function and further worsen the inflammatory response [65]. Third, the virus-induced inflammatory response could also cause altered vascular permeability resulting in leakage of cholesterol molecule into tissues, such as alveolar spaces to form exudates. Exudates contain high levels of protein and cholesterol [66,67]. Exudates are seen in lung autopsies from SARS patients, in cynomolgus macaques infected with SARS-COV [68-70] as well as in the early phase of COVID-19 lung pathology [71]. Fourth, viral infection causes increased generation of free radicals in host cells [72], which may speed up lipid degradation in COVID-19 patients.

	Severe			Non	-Seve	re		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chen C et al. 2020	3.71	0.7	92	4.11	0.8	325	10.1%	-0.40 [-0.57, -0.23]	
Hu X et al. 2020	3.96	0.89	27	3.74	0.66	87	6.2%	0.22 [-0.14, 0.58]	
Li C et al. 2020	3.87	1.06	69	3.9	0.75	173	7.8%	-0.03 [-0.30, 0.24]	
Li J et al. 2020	3.63	1.11	89	3.6	0.89	45	6.4%	0.03 [-0.32, 0.38]	
Nie S et al. 2020	3.64	0.55	25	4.1	0.85	72	7.5%	-0.46 [-0.75, -0.17]	
Osuna-Ramos JF et al. 2020	3.18	1.2	38	3.35	1.15	64	4.6%	-0.17 [-0.64, 0.30]	
Peng Y et al. 2020	4.28	1.23	282	4.82	1.02	579	10.1%	-0.54 [-0.71, -0.37]	- <b>-</b> -
Ren H et al. 2020	3.6	0.8	62	3.8	0.7	89	8.4%	-0.20 [-0.45, 0.05]	
Sun JT et al. 2020	3.64	1.21	50	4.34	0.97	49	5.1%	-0.70 [-1.13, -0.27]	
Wang G et al. 2020	3.61	0.85	44	3.8	0.82	184	7.8%	-0.19 [-0.47, 0.09]	
Wei X et al. 2020	4.17	1.23	203	4.52	1.06	394	9.4%	-0.35 [-0.55, -0.15]	_ <b>_</b>
Yang Y et al. 2020	3.49	0.64	17	4.14	0.71	125	6.8%	-0.65 [-0.98, -0.32]	
Zhang B et al. 2020	3.3	0.91	52	3.84	0.69	46	7.0%	-0.54 [-0.86, -0.22]	
Zhang Q et al. 2020	3.84	1.28	27	4.59	1.56	47	2.9%	-0.75 [-1.41, -0.09]	
Total (95% CI)			1077			2279	100.0%	-0.33 [-0.46, -0.20]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.04; Chi	i² = 36.9	9, df =	-						
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.00	(P < 0.0	0001)	Favours [Non-Severe] Favours [Severe]						

Fig. 2. Forest plot between severe and non-severe groups for total cholesterol (TC).

	S	evere	e Non-Severe			re		Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Alguwaihes AM et al. 2020	0.77	0.04	123	1	0.08	316	10.9%	-0.23 [-0.24, -0.22]	+				
Chen C et al. 2020	1.09	0.27	92	1.21	0.32	325	9.1%	-0.12 [-0.19, -0.05]	_ <b>-</b> _				
Hu X et al. 2020	1.03	0.25	27	1.24	0.35	87	6.6%	-0.21 [-0.33, -0.09]					
Li C et al. 2020	0.94	0.23	69	0.97	0.3	173	8.9%	-0.03 [-0.10, 0.04]					
Nie S et al. 2020	0.93	0.23	25	1.18	0.37	72	6.4%	-0.25 [-0.37, -0.13]					
Osuna-Ramos JF et al. 2020	0.74	0.54	35	0.76	0.28	61	4.1%	-0.02 [-0.21, 0.17]					
Peng Y et al. 2020	1.06	0.3	282	1.26	0.3	579	10.1%	-0.20 [-0.24, -0.16]					
Sun JT et al. 2020	0.93	0.29	50	1.2	0.32	49	6.6%	-0.27 [-0.39, -0.15]					
Wang G et al. 2020	0.75	0.28	44	0.82	0.21	184	8.1%	-0.07 [-0.16, 0.02]					
Wei X et al. 2020	1.24	0.36	203	1.3	0.33	394	9.4%	-0.06 [-0.12, -0.00]					
Yang Y et al. 2020	0.92	0.15	17	1.1	0.29	125	8.1%	-0.18 [-0.27, -0.09]					
Zhang B et al. 2020	0.76	0.38	52	0.96	0.23	46	6.5%	-0.20 [-0.32, -0.08]					
Zhang Q et al. 2020	0.96	0.36	27	1.11	0.24	47	5.3%	-0.15 [-0.30, 0.00]					
									•				
Total (95% CI)			$\bullet$										
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.01; Ch	ni² = 84.9	91, df =	-										
est for overall effect: Z = 6.17 (P < 0.00001)									Favours [Non-Severe] Favours [Severe]				

Fig. 3. Forest plot between severe and non-severe groups for high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C).





	s	evere		Non-Severe			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Alguwaihes AM et al. 2020	2.4	0.2	123	1.8	0.1	316	7.4%	0.60 [0.56, 0.64]	
Chen C et al. 2020	1.03	0.31	92	1	0.5	325	7.3%	0.03 [-0.05, 0.11]	
Hu X et al. 2020	1.34	0.45	27	1.27	0.61	87	6.9%	0.07 [-0.14, 0.28]	
Li C et al. 2020	1.41	0.68	69	1.27	0.6	173	7.0%	0.14 [-0.04, 0.32]	
Li J et al. 2020	1.2	0.63	89	1.09	0.6	45	6.9%	0.11 [-0.11, 0.33]	
Nie S et al. 2020	1.21	0.55	25	1.27	0.6	72	6.7%	-0.06 [-0.32, 0.20]	
Osuna-Ramos JF et al. 2020	1.69	0.88	37	1.69	0.87	61	6.1%	0.00 [-0.36, 0.36]	
Peng Y et al. 2020	1.42	0.87	282	1.5	1.16	579	7.2%	-0.08 [-0.22, 0.06]	
Ren H et al. 2020	1.5	0.6	62	1.4	1	89	6.7%	0.10 [-0.16, 0.36]	
Sun JT et al. 2020	1.1	0.7	50	1.28	0.76	49	6.5%	-0.18 [-0.47, 0.11]	
Wang G et al. 2020	1.07	0.46	44	1.12	0.51	184	7.1%	-0.05 [-0.20, 0.10]	
Wei X et al. 2020	1.56	0.85	203	1.84	0.75	394	7.2%	-0.28 [-0.42, -0.14]	_ <b></b>
Yang Y et al. 2020	1.47	1.18	17	1.48	0.77	125	4.8%	-0.01 [-0.59, 0.57]	
Zhang B et al. 2020	1.61	0.84	52	1.37	0.61	46	6.5%	0.24 [-0.05, 0.53]	
Zhang Q et al. 2020	1.29	0.69	27	1.59	1.06	47	5.9%	-0.30 [-0.70, 0.10]	
T-4-1 (05% OI)			4400			0500	400.0%		
Total (95% CI)			1199			2592	100.0%	0.03 [-0.19, 0.24]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.16; Ch	ni² = 431	.02, df	-	-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.26	(P = 0.8	0)	Favours [Non-Severe] Favours [Severe]						

Fig. 5. Forest plot between severe and non-severe groups for triacylglycerol (TG).

#### Table 3

Association of the lipid profile with mortality in COVID-19 patients.

Lipid profile	Number of studies	Participants	Statistical method	Heteroge	eneity		
			MD [95% CI]	Model	<i>p</i> -value	l <sup>2</sup>	P <sub>h</sub> -value
TC	6	2043	-0.51 [-0.64, -0.39]	FEM	<0.00001	0%	0.62
HDL-C	7	2463	-0.16 [-0.25, -0.07]	REM	0.0007	90%	< 0.00001
LDL-C	6	2365	-0.49 [-0.63, -0.36]	REM	< 0.00001	60%	0.03
TG	6	2442	0.27 [-0.13, 0.66]	REM	0.19	97%	< 0.00001

TC: Total cholesterol; HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: Triacylglycerol; REM: Random effect model; FEM: Fixed effect model; MD: Mean difference; CI: Confidence interval; P<sub>h</sub>: *p*-value of Q-test for heterogeneity.



Fig. 6. Forest plot between survivor and non-survivor groups for total cholesterol (TC).

	Non-Survivors			Su	rvivor	'S	Mean Difference			Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	I	IV, Ran		5% CI	
Alguwaihes AM et al. 2020	0.71	0.05	77	0.94	0.06	343	18.9%	-0.23 [-0.24, -0.22]					
Aparisi A et al. 2020	0.9	0.33	149	0.91	0.28	505	17.6%	-0.01 [-0.07, 0.05]		_	+		
Fan J et al. 2020	0.86	0.11	4	1.1	0.49	17	7.6%	-0.24 [-0.50, 0.02]		•	+		
Li Y et al. 2020	0.78	0.22	24	0.9	0.22	192	15.8%	-0.12 [-0.21, -0.03]					
Sun JT et al. 2020	0.79	0.31	15	0.93	0.25	35	11.1%	-0.14 [-0.32, 0.04]			+		
Yan X et al. 2020	0.68	0.3	40	0.89	0.25	964	15.8%	-0.21 [-0.30, -0.12]					
Zhang B et al. 2020	0.74	0.39	36	0.94	0.23	62	13.2%	-0.20 [-0.34, -0.06]					
Total (95% CI) 345 2118 100.0'						100.0%	-0.16 [-0.25, -0.07]		•				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.01; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 57.26, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); I <sup>2</sup> = 90%											+		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.38 (P = 0.0007)										-0.25 Favours [Survivors	] Fav	0.25 ours [Non-Survivo	U.5 Irs]

Fig. 7. Forest plot between survivor and non-survivor groups for high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C).

	Non-Survivors			Su	rvivor	s		Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 9			
Alguwaihes AM et al. 2020	1.6	0.2	77	2.2	0.1	343	33.8%	-0.60 [-0.65, -0.55]		-			
Aparisi A et al. 2020	1.61	0.84	149	2.08	0.67	505	24.7%	-0.47 [-0.62, -0.32]					
Fan J et al. 2020	2.04	0.11	4	2.73	0.65	17	11.4%	-0.69 [-1.02, -0.36]		-			
Li Y et al. 2020	2.34	0.82	24	2.44	0.75	192	10.6%	-0.10 [-0.44, 0.24]					
Sun JT et al. 2020	1.98	0.94	15	2.22	0.92	35	4.9%	-0.24 [-0.80, 0.32]					
Yan X et al. 2020	2.22	0.85	40	2.72	0.74	964	14.7%	-0.50 [-0.77, -0.23]		<b>_</b>			
Total (95% CI)			309			2056	100.0%	-0.49 [-0.63, -0.36]					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.01; C	= 5 (P		+				<u> </u>						
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.19 (P < 0.00001)										-0.5 Favours [Survivors]	U Favours	0.5 [Non-Survivo	1 rsl

Fig. 8. Forest plot between survivor and non-survivor groups for low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C).

Fifth, the decreased LDL-C levels could also be due to increased LDL uptake following stimulation of LDL receptor expression in hepatocytes by IL-6 released from immunocytes [73]. Finally, as the primary source of cholesterol synthesis is nutrition, the hypocholesterolemia in COVID-19 could also be attributed to malnutrition [74]. A recent study demonstrated deteriorated nutritional states of COVID-19 patients, indicated by continuously decreased levels of albumin in the severe COVID-19 patients [36]. Following recovery from COVID-19, there was a gradual increase in concentrations of HDL-C and LDL-C [29,42,75] which could be due



Fig. 9. Forest plot between survivor and non-survivor groups for triacylglycerol (TG).

to improvement of patient condition. Moreover, in patients who did not survive, TC, HDL-C and LDL-C values were lower at hospital admission and continued to decline until death [42].

This systematic review and meta-analysis has following limitations: First, different guidelines were taken into consideration for the severity classification of COVID-19. Second, most of the studies included in this meta-analysis were retrospective; therefore, the collection of data poses a risk of bias. Third, non-normally distributed data were converted to normally distributed data, which might have induced deviation of the results. Fourth, significant heterogeneity was found in almost all meta-analysis, which tends to weaken our finding's strength. Fifth, most of the included studies were from China. The inclusion of studies around the world will be required to have a complete picture of the association of the lipid profile with severity and mortality in COVID-19. Despite the above limitation, this systematic review and meta-analysis provides valuable information regarding the association of the lipid profile with severity and mortality in COVID-19.

#### 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis showed decreased levels of TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C in severe COVID-19 patients as compared to non-severe COVID-19. Furthermore, reduced levels of TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C were found in non-survivors compared to survivors, indicating the lipid profile is associated with both the severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. Hence, the lipid profile may be used for assessing the severity and prognosis of COVID-19. Since the lipid profile is cost-effective and easily accessible in all laboratories, it could help the physician in assessing the severity and prognosis of COVID-19 in resource-limited areas.

#### Authorship statement

R.K. Mahat contributed to the concept, design, methodology, analysis, interpretation, writing, reviewing and editing. V. Rathore contributed to the methodology, analysis, interpretation, writing, reviewing and editing. N. Singh contributed to the methodology, interpretation, supervision, reviewing and editing. N. Singh contributed to the methodology, supervision, reviewing and editing. S.K. Singh contributed to the methodology, supervision, reviewing and editing. R.K. Shah contributed to the methodology, reviewing and editing. C. Garg contributed the methodology, reviewing and editing.

# Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/ or publication of this article.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.07.023.

#### References

- Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. Lancet 2020;395(10225):689–97. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9.
- [2] Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020;382(18):1708–20. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.
- [3] Wu D, Wu T, Liu Q, Yang Z. The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak: what we know. Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:44–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.004.
- [4] Guo YR, Cao QD, Hong ZS, Tan YY, Chen SD, Jin HJ, et al. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak - an update on the status. Mil Med Res 2020;7(1):11. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s40779-020-00240-0.
- [5] Statement on the second meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov.
- [6] WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) Situation reports. World Health Organization,; 2020. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novelcoronavirus-2019/situation-reports.
- [7] WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) weekly epidemiological update and weekly operational update. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/.
- [8] Xinhua. U.S. economist says impact of COVID-19 on Chinese, world economy limited. Available from: http://en.ce.cn/main/latest/202002/14/t20200214\_ 34261901.shtml.
- [9] Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med 2020;26(4):450–2. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9.
- [10] Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 2020 Feb 22;395(10224):565–74. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8.
- [11] Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor recognition by the novel coronavirus from wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS coronavirus. J Virol 2020;94(7):e00127-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JVI.00127-20.
- [12] Ziegler CGK, Allon SJ, Nyquist SK, Mbano IM, Miao VN, Tzouanas CN, et al. SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 is an interferon-stimulated gene in human airway epithelial cells and is detected in specific cell subsets across tissues. Cell 2020;181(5):1016–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.035. e19.
- [13] Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese center for disease control and prevention. J Am Med Assoc 2020;323(13):1239–42. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2020.2648.
- [14] Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China:

a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8(5):475-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5.

- [15] Lorizate M, Kräusslich HG. Role of lipids in virus replication. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011;3(10):a004820. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect. a004820.
- [16] Murillo A, Vera-Estrella R, Barkla BJ, Méndez E, Arias CF. Identification of host cell factors associated with astrovirus replication in caco-2 cells. J Virol 2015;89(20):10359–70. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01225-15.
- [17] Wendel M, Paul R, Heller AR. Lipoproteins in inflammation and sepsis. II. Clinical aspects. Intensive Care Med 2007;33(1):25–35. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00134-006-0433-x.
- [18] Shen B, Yi X, Sun Y, Bi X, Du J, Zhang C, et al. Proteomic and metabolomic characterization of COVID-19 patient sera. Cell 2020;182(1):59-72. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.032. e15.
- [19] Wu D, Shu T, Yang X, Song JX, Zhang M, Yao C, et al. Plasma metabolomic and lipidomic alterations associated with COVID-19. Natl Sci Rev 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa086. nwaa086.
- [20] Wei X, Zeng W, Su J, Wan H, Yu X, Cao X, et al. Hypolipidemia is associated with the severity of COVID-19. J Clin Lipidol 2020;14(3):297–304. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2020.04.008.
- [21] Mahat RK, Rathore V, Singh N, Singh N, Singh SK, Shah RK, et al. Lipid profile as an indicator of COVID-19 severity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO 2021 CRD42021216316. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42021216316.
  [22] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred
- [22] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting Items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009;6(7):e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pmed1000097.
- [23] Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/ clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp.
- [24] Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. Stat Methods Med Res 2018;27(6):1785–805. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280216669183.
- [25] Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:135. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-135.
- [26] Rugge B, Balshem H, Sehgal R, et al. Screening and treatment of subclinical hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2011 Oct (Comparative Effectiveness Reviews, No. 24.) Appendix A, Lipid Conversion Factors. Available from: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK83505/.
- [27] Alguwaihes AM, Al-Sofiani ME, Megdad M, Albader SS, Alsari MH, Alelayan A, et al. Diabetes and Covid-19 among hospitalized patients in Saudi Arabia: a single-centre retrospective study. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2020;19(1):205. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-020-01184-4.
- [28] Chen C, Wang H, Liang Z, Peng L, Zhao F, Yang L, et al. Predicting illness severity and short-term outcomes of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study in China. Innovation 2020;1(1):100007. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.xinn.2020.04.007.
- [29] Hu X, Chen D, Wu L, He G, Ye W. Declined serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol is associated with the severity of COVID-19 infection. Clin Chim Acta 2020 Nov;510:105–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2020.07.015.
- [30] Li C, Zhang W, Xu C, Tan H, Cao G, Li L, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 induced inflammatory response are associated with changes in lipid profiles. PRE-PRINT (Version 1) available at: Research Square, https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3. rs-64766/v1; 2020.
- [31] Li J, Li M, Zheng S, Li M, Zhang M, Sun M, et al. Plasma albumin levels predict risk for nonsurvivors in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Biomarkers Med 2020;14(10):827–37. https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm-2020-0254.
- [32] Nie S, Zhao X, Zhao K, Zhang Z, Zhang Z, Zhang Z. Metabolic disturbances and inflammatory dysfunction predict severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a retrospective study. medRxiv 2020 Mar 24:20042283. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.24.20042283.
- [33] Osuna-Ramos JF, Rendón-Aguilar H, Jesús-González LAD, Reyes-Ruiz JM, Espinoza-Ortega AM, Ochoa-Ramírez LA. Serum lipid profile changes and their clinical diagnostic significance in COVID-19 Mexican Patients. medRxiv 2020;8. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.24.20169789. 24.20169789.
- [34] Peng Y, Wan L, Fan C, Zhang P, Wang X, Sun J, et al. Cholesterol metabolismimpacts on SARS-CoV-2 infection prognosis. medRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2020.04.16.20068528. 04.16.20068528.
- [35] Ren H, Yang Y, Wang F, Yan Y, Shi X, Dong K, et al. Association of the insulin resistance marker TyG index with the severity and mortality of COVID-19. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2020;19(1):58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-020-01035-2.
- [36] Sun JT, Chen Z, Nie P, Ge H, Shen L, Yang F, et al. Lipid profile features and their associations with disease severity and mortality in patients with COVID-19. Front Cardiovasc Med 2020;7:584987. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcvm.2020.584987.
- [37] Wang G, Zhao Z, Dong H, Wu C, Wu F, et al. Low high-density lipoprotein level is correlated with the severity of COVID-19 patients: an observational study. Lipids Health Dis 2020 Sep 7;19(1):204. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-020-01382-9.

- [38] Yang Y, Zhu Z, Fan L, Ye S, Lou K, Hua X, et al. Low serum level of apolipoprotein A1 is an indicator of severity in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at: Research Square [https://doi.org/10. 21203/rs.3.rs-31251/v1, ; 29 May 2020.
- [39] Zhang B, Dong C, Li S, Song X, Wei W, Liu L. Triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is an important determinant of cardiovascular risk and poor prognosis in coronavirus disease-19: a retrospective case series study. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2020;13:3925–36. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S268992.
- [40] Zhang Q, Wei Y, Chen M, Wan Q, Chen X. Clinical analysis of risk factors for severe COVID-19 patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabet Complicat 2020;34(10):107666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2020.107666.
- [41] Aparisi A, Iglesias-Echeverría C, Ybarra-Falcón C, Cusácovich I, Uribarri A, García-Gómez M, et al. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels are associated with poor clinical outcomes in COVID-19. medRxiv 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.06.20207092. 10.06.20207092.
- [42] Fan J, Wang H, Ye G, Cao X, Xu X, Tan W, et al. Letter to the Editor: low-density lipoprotein is a potential predictor of poor prognosis in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. Metabolism 2020;107:154243. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.metabol.2020.154243.
- [43] Li Y, Zhang Y, Dai M, Shen M, Zhang J, Cui Y, et al. Changes in lipid metabolism in patients with severe COVID-19. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at: Research Square, https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-43521/v1; 16 July 2020.
- [44] Yan X, Li F, Wang X, Yan J, Zhu F, Tang S, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as prognostic and predictive factor in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a retrospective cross-sectional study. J Med Virol 2020;92(11):2573–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26061.
- [45] Abu-Farha M, Thanaraj TA, Qaddoumi MG, Hashem A, Abubaker J, Al-Mulla F. The role of lipid metabolism in COVID-19 virus infection and as a drug target. Int J Mol Sci 2020 May 17;21(10):3544. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms21103544.
- [46] Wu Q, Zhou L, Sun X, Yan Z, Hu C, Wu J, et al. Altered lipid metabolism in recovered SARS patients twelve years after infection. Sci Rep 2017 Aug 22;7(1):9110. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09536-z.
- [47] Apostolou F, Gazi IF, Lagos K, Tellis CC, Tselepis AD, Liberopoulos EN, et al. Acute infection with Epstein-Barr virus is associated with atherogenic lipid changes. Atherosclerosis 2010 Oct;212(2):607–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.atherosclerosis.2010.06.006.
- [48] Cao WJ, Wang TT, Gao YF, Wang YQ, Bao T, Zou GZ. Serum lipid metabolic derangement is associated with disease progression during chronic HBV infection. Clin Lab 2019 Dec 1;65(12). https://doi.org/10.7754/ Clin.Lab.2019.190525.
- [49] Baker J, Ayenew W, Quick H, Hullsiek KH, Tracy R, Henry K, et al. High-density lipoprotein particles and markers of inflammation and thrombotic activity in patients with untreated HIV infection. J Infect Dis 2010 Jan 15;201(2):285–92. https://doi.org/10.1086/649560.
- [50] Fleck-Derderian S, McClellan W, Wojcicki JM. The association between cytomegalovirus infection, obesity, and metabolic syndrome in U.S. adult females. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2017 Mar;25(3):626–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/ oby.21764.
- [51] Lima WG, Souza NA, Fernandes SOA, Cardoso VN, Godói IP. Serum lipid profile as a predictor of dengue severity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Med Virol 2019 Sep;29(5):e2056. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2056.
- [52] Kaysen GA, Ye X, Raimann JG, Wang Y, Topping A, Usvyat LA, et al. Lipid levels are inversely associated with infectious and all-cause mortality: international MONDO study results. J Lipid Res 2018 Aug;59(8):1519–28. https://doi.org/ 10.1194/jlr.P084277.
- [53] Song SZ, Liu HY, Shen H, Yuan B, Dong ZN, Jia XW, et al. [Comparison of serum biochemical features between SARS and other viral pneumonias]. Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue 2004 Nov;16(11):664–6.
- [54] Jothimani D, Venugopal R, Abedin MF, Kaliamoorthy I, Rela M. COVID-19 and the liver. J Hepatol 2020 Nov;73(5):1231–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jhep.2020.06.006.
- [55] Tveito K. Cytokine storms in COVID-19 cases? Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2020 Mar 23:140. https://doi.org/10.4045/tidsskr.20.0239.
- [56] Ritchie AI, Singanayagam A. Immunosuppression for hyperinflammation in COVID-19: a double-edged sword? Lancet 2020 Apr 4;395(10230):1111. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30691-7.
- [57] Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet 2020 Mar 28;395(10229):1033-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30628-0.
- [58] Zhang W, Zhao Y, Zhang F, Wang Q, Li T, Liu Z, et al. The use of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of people with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): the Perspectives of clinical immunologists from China. Clin Immunol 2020 May;214:108393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2020.108393.
- [59] Funderburg NT, Mehta NN. Lipid abnormalities and inflammation in HIV inflection. Curr HIV AIDS Rep 2016 Aug;13(4):218-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11904-016-0321-0.
- [60] Ettinger WH, Varma VK, Sorci-Thomas M, Parks JS, Sigmon RC, Smith TK, et al. Cytokines decrease apolipoprotein accumulation in medium from Hep G2 cells. Arterioscler Thromb 1994;14(1):8–13. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.14.1.8.
- [61] Mujawar Z, Rose H, Morrow MP, Pushkarsky T, Dubrovsky L, Mukhamedova N, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus impairs reverse cholesterol transport from macrophages. PLoS Biol 2006 Oct;4(11):e365. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pbio.0040365.

R.K. Mahat, V. Rathore, N. Singh et al.

- [63] Han CY, Chiba T, Campbell JS, Fausto N, Chaisson M, Orasanu G, et al. Reciprocal and coordinate regulation of serum amyloid A versus apolipoprotein A-I and paraoxonase-1 by inflammation in murine hepatocytes. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2006;26(8):1806–13. https://doi.org/ 10.1161/01.ATV.0000227472.70734.ad.
- [64] Han CY, Tang C, Guevara ME, Wei H, Wietecha T, Shao B, et al. Serum amyloid A impairs the antiinflammatory properties of HDL. J Clin Invest 2016 Jan;126(1):266–81. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl83475.
- [65] Khovidhunkit W, Shigenaga JK, Moser AH, Feingold KR, Grunfeld C. Cholesterol efflux by acute-phase high density lipoprotein: role of lecithin: cholesterol acyltransferase. J Lipid Res 2001 Jun;42(6):967–75.
- [66] Light RW, Macgregor MI, Luchsinger PC, Ball Jr WC. Pleural effusions: the diagnostic separation of transudates and exudates. Ann Intern Med 1972 Oct;77(4):507-13. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-77-4-507.
- [67] Heffner JE, Sahn SA, Brown LK. Multilevel likelihood ratios for identifying exudative pleural effusions(\*). Chest 2002 Jun;121(6):1916–20. https:// doi.org/10.1378/chest.121.6.1916.
- [68] Hwang DM, Chamberlain DW, Poutanen SM, Low DE, Asa SL, Butany J. Pulmonary pathology of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Toronto. Mod Pathol 2005;18(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3800247.
- [69] Kuiken T, Fouchier RA, Schutten M, Rimmelzwaan GF, van Amerongen G, van Riel D, et al. Newly discovered coronavirus as the primary cause of severe

acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;362(9380):263-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13967-0.

- [70] Pei F, Zheng J, Gao ZF, Zhong YF, Fang WG, Gong EC, et al. [Lung pathology and pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome: a report of six full autopsies]. Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi 2005 Oct;34(10):656–60. PMID: 16536279.
- [71] Tian S, Hu W, Niu L, Liu H, Xu H, Xiao SY. Pulmonary pathology of early-phase 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia in two patients with lung cancer. [Thorac Oncol 2020;15(5):700-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2020.02.010.
- [72] Zidar DA, Juchnowski S, Ferrari B, Clagett B, Pilch-Cooper HA, Rose S, et al. Oxidized LDL levels are increased in HIV infection and may drive monocyte activation. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015 Jun 1;69(2):154–60. https:// doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000000566.
- [73] Gierens H, Nauck M, Roth M, Schinker R, Schürmann C, Scharnagl H, et al. Interleukin-6 stimulates LDL receptor gene expression via activation of sterolresponsive and Sp1 binding elements. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2000;20(7):1777–83. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.20.7.1777.
- [74] Chiarla C, Giovannini I, Giuliante F, Zadak Z, Vellone M, Ardito F, et al. Severe hypocholesterolemia in surgical patients, sepsis, and critical illness. J Crit Care 2010 Jun;25(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2009.08.006. 361.e7-361.e12.
- [75] Tanaka S, De Tymowski C, Assadi M, Zappella N, Jean-Baptiste S, Robert T, et al. Lipoprotein concentrations over time in the intensive care unit COVID-19 patients: results from the ApoCOVID study. PloS One 2020;15(9): e0239573. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239573.