The Japanese Society
of Gastroenterology

CORRECTION

Correction to: Composite detection rate as an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy quality measure correlating with detection of neoplasia

Marcin Romańczyk[1,2] · Bartosz Ostrowski[1] · Tomasz Marek[1] · Tomasz Romańczyk[2] ·
Małgorzata Błaszczyńska[3] · Krzysztof Budzyń[1,2] · Maciej Bugajski[1] ·
Mateusz Koziej[4] · Maciej Kajor[5] · Krzysztof Januszewski[6] · Wojciech Zajęcki[7] ·
Marek Waluga[1] · Marek Hartleb[1]

Correction to: Journal of Gastroenterology (2021) 56:651–658
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-021-01790-3

In the original publication of the article, Table 3 has been published with bold font wrongly which should be limited to the statistically significant p value (< 0.05). The correct Table 3 is given in this correction.

✉ Marcin Romańczyk
mromanczyk@sum.edu.pl

1   Chaiir and Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, School of Medicine in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Medyków 14 Street, 40-752 Katowice, Poland

2   H-T. Centrum Medyczne, Tychy, Poland

3   Endoscopy Unit, District Hospitals of Chorzów Trust, Chorzów, Poland

4   Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, Poland

5   Department of Pathomophology and Molecular Diagnostic, School of Medicine in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

6   Department of Pathomophology, Zakład Diagnostyki Mikroskopowej, Dr Krzysztof Januszewski, Ruda Śląska, Poland

7   Department of Pathomophology, District Hospitals of Chorzów Trust, Chorzów, Poland

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of upper gastrointestinal neoplasm detection risk factors

Variables		Univariate			Multivariate		
		OR	95% CI	*p* value	OR	95% CI	*p* value
Age		1.03	1.01–1.05	**< 0.001**	1.03	1.01–1.05	**0.002**
Male		2.0	1.2–3.4	**0.005**	2.0	1.2–3.5	**0.011**
HD-endoscope		0.95	0.6–1.6	0.858			
Sedation		0.8	0.4–1.3	0.326			
Out-patient		0.4	0.2–0.7	**< 0.001**	0.7	0.4–1.2	0.207
CDR > 26%		4.6	2.8–8	**< 0.001**	5.7	1.5–22.3	**0.012**
Endoscopic center*	B	Reference					
	C	1.4	0.6–3.1	0.430	0.8	0.3–2.0	0.666
	A	4.0	2.0–8.1	**< 0.001**			

HD high definition, *CDR > 26%* examination performed by operator with CDR higher that 26% (group 4), *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval

*In the univariate analysis center with lowest mean UGN value (center B) was the reference. In the multivariate analysis the centers were graded according to mean UGN value – center B as 1, center C as 2 and center A as 3

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.