



Water-Soluble Humic Materials Regulate Quorum Sensing in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Through a Novel Repressor of *expR*

Yuan-Yuan Xu¹, Jin-Shui Yang¹, Cong Liu¹, En-Tao Wang², Ruo-Nan Wang¹, Xiao-Qian Qiu¹, Bao-Zhen Li¹, Wen-Feng Chen¹ and Hong-Li Yuan^{1*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology and Key Laboratory of Soil Microbial, Ministry of Agriculture, College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ² Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico City, Mexico

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hon-Ming Lam, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

Reviewed by:

Muthu Venkateshwaran, University of Wisconsin–Platteville, United States Yangrong Cao, Huazhong Agricultural University, China

*Correspondence:

Hong-Li Yuan hlyuan@cau.edu.cn; yuanaemoil@cau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Microbe Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 03 September 2018 Accepted: 10 December 2018 Published: 21 December 2018

Citation:

Xu Y-Y, Yang J-S, Liu C, Wang E-T, Wang R-N, Qiu X-Q, Li B-Z, Chen W-F and Yuan H-L (2018) Water-Soluble Humic Materials Regulate Quorum Sensing in Sinorhizobium meliloti Through a Novel Repressor of expR. Front. Microbiol. 9:3194. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03194 Quorum sensing (QS) plays an important role in the growth, nodulation, and nitrogen fixation of rhizobia. In this study, we show that water-soluble humic materials (WSHM) repress the expression of the QS related genes *sinl*, *sinR*, and *expR* in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. This decreased the production of *N*-acetyl homoserine lactones (AHL) and exopolysaccharides (EPS), and ultimately increased *S. meliloti* cell density. We also identified a novel regulator, SMc03890 (renamed QsrR), which binds directly to the *expR* promoter. Deletion of *qsrR* increased *expR* expression. WSHM repressed the expression of *expR* by augmenting the interaction between QsrR and the *expR* promoter; this was determined by a bacterial-one-hybrid assay. These effects of WSHM on the QS system in *S. meliloti* may be the underlying mechanism by which WSHM increase the symbiotic nitrogen fixation of *Medicago sativa* inoculated with *S. meliloti*. This study provides the first evidence that humic acids regulate the QS of rhizobia and suggests that WSHM could be used as fertilizers to improve the efficiency of symbiotic nitrogen fixation.

Keywords: Sinorhizobium meliloti, quorum sensing, humic materials, ExpR regulator, bacterial communication

INTRODUCTION

Quorum sensing (QS) is a bacterial communication mechanism in which cell physiology and behavior are coordinated with population density (Bogino et al., 2015). In symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria (rhizobia), QS plays a key role in their growth and the formation of symbiosis with their legume hosts (Bogino et al., 2015; Koul et al., 2016). In the QS system of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 8530 (**Figure 1**), SinI is responsible for synthesis of the QS signaling molecules, *N*-acetyl homoserine lactones (AHL). The expression of *sinI* is induced by SinR; meanwhile, ExpR either mediates a positive regulatory feedback loop by inducing the expression of *sinI* or a negative feedback regulation by down-regulating the expression of *sinR*, depending on the AHL concentration (Charoenpanich et al., 2013; Calatrava-Morales et al., 2018). In addition to regulating the genes involved in nodulation and nitrogen fixation (Hoang et al., 2004), AHL-ExpR complex also upregulate the expression of *exp* operon, which is involved in EPS II synthesis, and down-regulate genes related to bacterial motility, such as *visN*, *visR*, and *rem* (Gurich and Gonzalez, 2009; Mueller and González, 2010). The number of pink nodules induced by the *sinI* mutant decreased compared



with the WT strain due to the inability of the *sinI* mutant to repress the expression of motility genes at high cell densities (Gurich and Gonzalez, 2009). The *expR* mutant, *S. meliloti* 1021, grows more rapidly and has an increased nodule occupancy ($10\sim20\%$ higher than the WT strain, *S. meliloti* 8530) (Charoenpanich et al., 2015).

Many compounds have been reported to interfere with the bacterial QS systems (Kalia, 2013; Gonzalez-Ortiz et al., 2014). For rhizobia, L-canavanine, which is extracted from alfalfa seed exudates, inhibits the expression of *S. meliloti expR* gene (Keshavan et al., 2005), while the flavonoids induce the expression of AHL synthesis genes in *Sinorhizobium fredii* SMH12 and in *Rhizobium etli* ISP42 (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2011). Generally, compounds that interfere with microbial QS systems are heterocyclic compounds containing groups such as furan, pyridine, butyrolactone, benzene ring, and quinoline (Gonzalez and Keshavan, 2006; Christensen et al., 2013). These compounds have chemical structures similar to those of humic materials (Gao T.G. et al., 2015); thus, it is possible that humic materials may also interfere with microbial QS systems.

Humic materials are supramolecules derived from the residues of degraded plant, animal and microbial cells (Hayes and Wilson, 1997), with structures of relatively small self-assembled molecules that are held together by multiple weak interactions, such as hydrogen and van der Waals bonds. Generally, humic materials include, but are not limited to, *n*-alkanoic acids, *n*-alkanols, hydrocarbons, hydroxyacids,

aromatic compounds, polyhydroxylated compounds, steroids, terpenoids, and *N*-heterocyclic compounds (Nebbioso and Piccolo, 2012). Humic materials are the most biological active compounds in soil that could stimulate plant growth as phytohormones (Traversa et al., 2013; Savy et al., 2017), enhance ATPase activity, promote nutrient utilization of plant (Jannin et al., 2012; Canellas et al., 2015), and stimulate the growth of bacteria (Tikhonov et al., 2010). In a previous study, we revealed that the water-soluble humic materials (WSHM) that are produced by lignite biodegradation enhanced the growth, cell metabolism, and nutrient transport of *Bradyrhizobium liaoningense* CCBAU05525, as well as its nodulation with soybean (12~26% increased yield in soybean grains) (Gao T.G. et al., 2015). Therefore, WSHM could be a potential fertilizer to improve the legume yield.

In the present study, we examined the effects of WSHM on the QS system and the symbiotic nitrogen fixation of *S. meliloti*, and revealed that WSHM enhance the growth and nitrogen fixation of *S. meliloti* by regulating the QS system. This is the first report showing the effects of WSHM on the QS system in *S. meliloti*. Finally, we identified QsrR as a novel repressor of *expR* in this bacterium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

WSHM, Bacterial Strains, and Plasmids

Water-soluble humic materials were extracted from lignite collected from a Coal Mine in Inner Mongolia of China according to previously described methods (Dong et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2013). Briefly, the lignite powder was inoculated with *Penicillium* sp. P6 and *Bacillus* sp. Y7 and incubated at 28°C for 2 weeks. Biodegraded lignite was diluted tenfold in deionized water, stirred, and centrifuged three times at 9000 \times g for 15 min. The supernatant was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and the filtrated (WSHM) were dried at 40°C for about 72 h, weighed, and stored in a vacuum-dried chamber. In the WSHM, 68 aromatic, aliphatic, and nitrogen-based compounds were detected by tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide (TMAH)-py-GC/MS (Gao T.G. et al., 2015).

All the bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. Among them, *S. meliloti* 1021 is a native mutant in which the ORF of *expR* is interrupted by an insertion; while *S. meliloti* 8530 is a derivative of *S. meliloti* 1021 by excising this insertion spontaneously. Since most *S. meliloti* strains harbor a functional *expR* (Pellock et al., 2002), *S. meliloti* 8530 was considered as the wild type strain. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* KYC55 (pJZ372, pJZ384, pJZ410) was used as a bioassay strain for ultrasensitive detection of AHL.

Growth Response of *S. meliloti* 8530 to WSHM

Sinorhizobium meliloti 8530 was preincubated in 50 mL of YM broth (Gao T.G. et al., 2015) for 4 days at 28° C with shaking (150 rpm). The culture was used to inoculate 50 mL of either YM broth (control) or YM broth with 500 mg L⁻¹ WSHM at a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.01. The cultures were incubated at 28° C with

shaking (150 rpm) for 5–6 days and samples were plated using serial dilutions every 12 h to evaluate the rhizobia cell density. This assay was performed thrice in triplicate.

Response of AHL Production to WSHM

Agrobacterium tumefaciens KYC55 (pJZ372, pJZ384, pJZ410) was incubated in AT medium [KH₂PO₄, 10.7 g; MgSO₄ · 7H₂O, 160 mg; CaCl₂, 78 mg; FeSO₄ · 7H₂O, 5 mg; MnSO₄ · H₂O, 2.2 mg; (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 g; glucose, 5 g; in 1 L of distilled water; pH adjusted to 7.3 with K₂HPO₄; tetracycline, spectinomycin, and gentamycin at final concentrations of 2, 100, and 100 µg/mL respectively] with shaking at 150 rpm for 2 days to yield up to 10⁹ cells mL⁻¹ (Zhu et al., 2003). The AHL production was detected as previously described (Zheng et al., 2006). Briefly, the cell-free supernatant of *S. meliloti* 1021 or 8530 culture (**Figure 2B**) was added to fresh AT broth at the ratio of 10% (v/v). The cell-free supernatant of *A. tumefaciens* strain R10 (pCF218) (Zhu et al., 2003) culture was used as positive control to assess the AHL sensitivity of *A. tumefaciens* KYC55 under these experimental conditions. The same volume of sterilized water (Control) or WSHM solution (500 mg L⁻¹) was added separately into AT broth as negative controls. All the AT media prepared for tests were inoculated with approximately 10⁷ cells mL⁻¹ of *A. tumefaciens* KYC55 and incubated with aeration (150 rpm) for 16–20 h at 28°C. The β -galactosidase activity in each culture was quantitatively analyzed to estimate the concentration of AHL (Zhu et al., 2003). β -galactosidase activity (Miller units) was calculated as OD₄₂₀ × 10³/(time of reaction in minutes × volume of culture in milliliters × OD₆₀₀) (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2011). Assays were conducted in triplicate for three times.

Response of EPS Synthesis to WSHM Treatment

The effects of WSHM on EPS synthesis were analyzed for the wild type strain *S. meliloti* 8530, which can produce EPS I (succinoglycan), EPS II (galactoglucan), and linear mixed-linkage β -glucan (MLG) (Pérez-Mendoza et al., 2015), as well as for *S. meliloti* 1021, which could produce EPS I and extremely low level of EPS II (Pellock et al., 2002). Both strains were cultured in GMS medium (Staehelin et al., 2006) with and





without 500 mg L⁻¹ of WSHM for 5 days. EPSs were collected as described by Staehelin et al. (2006) and quantified in triplicate by the anthrone-sulfuric acid method (Jones, 2012).

Expression of *exoY* and *expE* Genes in Response to WSHM

The effects of WSHM on the expression of exoY and expE, which are responsible for EPS I and EPS II synthesis, respectively (Mueller and González, 2010), were analyzed by reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). S. meliloti 8530 was cultured in YM broth (control) or YM broth supplied with 500 mg L^{-1} WSHM as mentioned above. Samples were collected at the end of exponential phrase $(OD_{600} = 1.5-2.0)$. Total RNA was isolated using the RNA Pure Bacteria Kit (CWBIO, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNAs were synthesized using PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase (RT) (TaKaRa Code: D2680S) according to the manufacturer's instructions. These cDNA samples were used for RT-qPCR with primers specific to exoY and expE genes (Supplementary Table S2). A 167 bp fragment of 16S rRNA gene was used as an internal control for normalization (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2014). Each 20 µLreaction contained 10 µL of Power SYBR Green Master Mix (ABI, United States). The PCR program was: 95°C for 10 min, followed by; 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, followed by the melting curve. PCR was performed on an ABI 7500 Thermocycler and data were analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Jannin et al., 2012). The experiment was performed for three times with four technical replicates.

Expression of QS Genes in Response to WSHM

The bacteria were cultured same as described above (see Expression of *exoY* and *expE* Genes in Response to WSHM). The expression of QS genes following WSHM treatment was analyzed by RT-qPCR as mentioned above with primers specific to *sinI*, *sinR*, and *expR* (**Supplementary Table S2**). The cDNA obtained from cultures of *S. meliloti* 8530, *S. meliloti* 1021 ($\Delta expR$), *S. meliloti* MG170 ($\Delta sinR$), and *S. meliloti* MG32 ($\Delta sinI$) (**Supplementary Table S1**) with or without WSHM were used as templates, and the experiment was performed three times with four replicates.

Deletion of *qsrR* and Its Effect on *expR* Expression in Response to WSHM

Agrobacterium tumefaciens interacts with plant hosts similar to those of rhizobia. Thus, in order to analyze the repression mechanisms of WSHM on *expR* expression, the QS regulation system in *A. tumefaciens* (Gonzalez and Keshavan, 2006) was compared with that in *S. meliloti* 8530. AccR of *A. tumefaciens* can counteract *traR* repression by directly binding with opines produced by the plant host. Since protein SMc03890 in strain 8530, which was renamed as QsrR in this study, was very similar to AccR (with 31% similarity of amino acid sequence) and were both DeoR family transcriptional regulators, we hypothesized that QsrR could be capable of mediating the effects of WSHM on *expR* expression. To test this hypothesis, the *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$ mutant strain was constructed via homologous recombination. Furthermore, by fusing the *expR* promoter region with the *lacZ* structural gene, the change in *expR* expression caused by the deletion of *qsrR* was determined by the activity of β -galactosidase. Refer to **Supplementary Methods** for detailed description of the process.

Previous reports have evidenced that the eukaryotic hosts are capable of interfering with bacterial QS by producing molecular signals, like flavonoid (Kalia, 2013; Nievas et al., 2017), and flavonoid homologs have been detected in WSHM (Gao T.G. et al., 2015). In order to analyze whether WSHM function as a plant signal (like opines) to represses QS in *S. meliloti*, *expR* gene expression levels in *S. meliloti* 8530 or *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$ following treatment with either WSHM (500 mg L⁻¹) or alfalfa seed exudates (2%, v/v) were determined by measuring the activity of β -galactosidase (Chai et al., 2010). Alfalfa seed exudates were prepared according to Cai et al. (2009). Assays were conducted in triplicate and repeated three times.

QsrR Purification and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSAs)

The full-length ORF of *qsrR* was amplified using the primers gsrR281 and gsrR282 (Supplementary Table S2) and cloned into pET28a. The recombinant plasmid pET28a-gsrR was transformed into Escherichia coli Rosetta (DE3) and cultured in LB medium with 0.4 mM isopropyl-\beta-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) for induction at 37°C. The QsrR protein with a His tag (His₆-QsrR) was purified with Ni-loaded nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) resin (GE Healthcare) from cultures of E. coli Rosetta (DE3) carrying the recombinant plasmid. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were used to detect the interaction between the QsrR protein and the expR promoter using a DIG Gel Shift Kit, 2nd Generation (Roche), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The promoter region of expR was amplified with primers *expR*11 and *expR*12 (Supplementary Table S2). Binding specificity was evaluated through addition of ~100-fold excess of unlabeled expR promoter fragments, which competed with the labeled probe to bind with His₆-QsrR. A labeled non-specific DNA probe from Streptomyces avermitilis was used as negative control. EMSAs were repeated at least twice.

Bacterial One-Hybrid Assay

The experimental procedure was similar to that of Luo et al. (2014). Briefly, the gene *qsrR* was amplified with primers 03890BHf and 03890BHr (**Supplementary Table S2**), excised with Not I/Bgl II, and cloned into the bait plasmid pB1H1 to generate pB1H1-*qsrR*. Fragments R1 and R4 in the promoter region of *expR* were cloned into the prey plasmid pH3U3, respectively. pH3U3-R1 and pH3U3-R4 were not self-activating prey confirmed previously (see **Supplementary Methods** for detail). Then the plasmid pairs pB1H1-*qsrR*/pH3U3-R1 and pB1H1-*qsrR*/pH3U3-R4 were transformed into *E. coli* USO respectively. The growth of the transformants of *E. coli* USO, including USO: pB1H1-*zif268*/pH3U3-*zif268* as positive control

(+/+); USO: pB1H1/pH3U3 (-/-), USO: pB1H1/pH3U3-R1 and USO: pB1H1/pH3U3-R4 as negative controls (-/+); and two transformants with *qsrR*, USO: pB1H1-*qsrR*/pH3U3-R1 and USO: pB1H1-*qsrR*/pH3U3-R4 (+/+), were determined on NM medium without histidine and with varying concentrations of 3-AT. We expected the transformants with *qsrR* to survive on NM medium containing 3-AT, as long as QsrR interacts with the *expR* promoter region to recruit RNA polymerase and activate the transcription of the reporter gene *HIS3*. Higher levels of *HIS3* gene expression enable the bacteria grow on NM medium with higher concentrations of 3-AT. Assays were repeated three times.

Effects of WSHM on Plant Growth and Nodulation by *S. meliloti*

Sinorhizobium meliloti was cultured aerobically at 28°C in YM broth (Gao T.G. et al., 2015) for 2 days to $OD_{600} = 1.0$ (about 108 CFU mL⁻¹) and were used as inoculant. Medicago sativa seeds were surface-sterilized by 3% (v/v) NaClO for 3 min, germinated on 0.7% agar-water plates in the dark at 28°C for 24-48 h. The germinated seeds were planted in pots (three seeds per pot) filled with 300 cm³ of vermiculite and moisturized with low-N nutrient solution (Vincent, 1970). Six treatments with seven replicates (i.e., pots) were included: no inoculation control with and without WSHM (500 mg L^{-1}); inoculation treatments of wild type strain (S. meliloti 8530, 1 mL) with and without WSHM; and inoculation treatments of $\Delta qsrR$ strain (1 mL) with and without WSHM (Table 1). Plants were grown in a greenhouse at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C during the day and $17 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C at night with 60% relative humidity. Pots were rearranged daily to give a random distribution of growth conditions. After 45 days, all of the alfalfa plants were harvested and the number, fresh weight, and nitrogenase activity of the nodules, as well as the dry weight of the plants, were determined for each pot. The nitrogenase activity was analyzed by acetylene reduction assay, for which the whole roots with nodules in each pot (three plants) were put into a sealed bottle and incubated with acetylene (Suganuma et al., 1998), and the nitrogenase activity was calculated as µmol C_2H_4 /h \cdot g of nodule. Then, the number and fresh weight of nodules in each pot were counted. The pooled data for each pot (three plants) were considered as one sample, and

statistical analysis was conducted using Duncan test. Finally, several root nodules were sliced and treated for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) according to Bourassa et al. (2017) to evaluate the effects of WSHM treatment on the number and morphology of bacteroids in nodules induced by *S. meliloti* 8530.

RESULTS

The Growth of *S. meliloti* in Response to WSHM

As shown in **Figure 2A**, the cell density of *S. meliloti* 8530 was about 3.5×10^7 CFU/mL (83 h) in the WSHM solution or in YM-CN broth (without carbon/nitrogen source) supplied with WSHM. While it was 2.51×10^9 CFU/mL in YM broth and 3.98×10^{10} CFU/mL in YM broth supplied with WSHM, which corresponds to 14.8-fold increase in cell density by WSHM at the stationary phase (**Figure 2A**). We could calculate from these data that only 0.09% of the total cell number increased in YM broth supplied with WSHM. Therefore, this growth enhancement can be mainly attributed to the stimulation effect of WSHM.

Synthesis of AHL in Response to WSHM

In this analysis, the AHL detector strain, *A. tumefaciens* KYC55 did not respond to WSHM but did respond to the AHL produced by *A. tumefaciens* R10 (**Supplementary Figure S1**). Thus, *A. tumefaciens* KYC55 was used to investigate the effects of WSHM on AHL production in *S. meliloti*. AHL synthesis in *S. meliloti* 8530 started in the beginning of exponential phase and reached a peak during the middle of exponential phase (50 h); while the AHL synthesis during the whole potential phase was significantly decreased (60–93%) by WSHM (**Figure 2B**). WSHM treatment also reduced the production of AHL in *S. meliloti* 1021 by 57.9% (from 65 to 28 mU/mL, 50 h of incubation) (**Supplementary Figure S1**). Additionally, increased production of AHL by *A. tumefaciens* R10 in response to WSHM was observed (**Supplementary Figure S1**). These results suggest that WSHM could regulate the QS system in *S. meliloti*.

Treatment	Nodule			Plant dry weight (mg/pot)
	Number of nodules per pot [#]	Fresh weight of nodule (mg/pot)	Nitrogenase activity (µmol C ₂ H ₄ /h \cdot g)	
Control	0	0	0	46.4 ± 6.3a
WSHM	0	0	0	48.9 ± 10.1a
WT	21.75 ± 2.99 ab	$16.86 \pm 3.35a$	11.75 ± 2.56a	$83.0 \pm 7.0 b$
WT+WSHM	$24.60 \pm 2.30b$	$21.90 \pm 5.03a$	$18.38 \pm 5.64 b$	$158.0 \pm 26.0c$
$\Delta q sr R$	$24.75 \pm 7.14ab$	$20.24 \pm 8.08a$	$19.92 \pm 4.55b$	$87.0 \pm 49.0 b$
$\Delta qsrR+WSHM$	$16.40 \pm 3.51a$	$21.37 \pm 7.28a$	$17.19 \pm 3.84 ab$	$98.0 \pm 11.0 \mathrm{b}$

*Values are expressed as average \pm standard deviation (n = 7). Values in the same column that have different letters are statistically significant as assessed by Duncan test (P < 0.05). #Three plants were included in each pot.

EPS Synthesis and *exoY/expE* Expression of *S. meliloti* in Response to WSHM

Exopolysaccharides synthesis in S. meliloti 1021 was low (<200 μ g ml⁻¹) and was not affected by WSHM (**Figure 2C**); while EPS synthesis in S. meliloti 8530 was high (680 μ g ml⁻¹) without WSHM and was decreased by 30.87% (480 μ g ml⁻¹) with WSHM treatment.

For *expE*, a gene involved in EPS II synthesis and regulated by AHL-ExpR, its expression was down-regulated significantly by treatment with WSHM (**Figure 2D**); meanwhile, the expression of *exoY*, a gene involved in EPS I synthesis, was not affected by WSHM in *S. meliloti* 8530. All of these results suggest that WSHM did not affect the synthesis of EPS I, but decreased the production of EPS II and MLG.

Impact of WSHM on the Expression of Genes Involved in QS

In this analysis, WSHM treatment significantly down-regulated the expression of *sinI*, *sinR*, and *expR* in *S. meliloti* 8530 (**Figure 3A**); while in the *expR* mutant strain, *S. meliloti* 1021, WSHM only down-regulated *sinR* expression and did not

alternate *sinI* expression (**Figure 3B**). In addition, the expression levels of both *sinI* and *expR* were down-regulated by WSHM in the *sinR* mutant (MG170, **Figure 3C**). These results suggest that the repression of *sinI* in *S. meliloti* 8530 by WSHM is due to the repression of *expR* and is independent of *sinR*. In the *sinI* mutant (MG32), the expression levels of the *sinR* and *expR* genes were down-regulated by WSHM (**Figure 3D**); suggesting that the effects of WSHM on *sinR* and *expR* expression are independent of *sinI*. Furthermore, the *sinR* expression was down-regulated by WSHM in both *S. meliloti* 8530 and *S. meliloti* 1021 ($\Delta expR$), suggesting that the repression of *sinR* expression by WSHM is independent of ExpR.

Effects of WSHM on the Expression of expR in *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$

The expression level of the expR gene in S. $meliloti \Delta qsrR$ (SMc03890 deletion, see Section "Deletion of qsrR and Its Effect on expR Expression in Response to WSHM" for detail) was significantly higher than that in the WT (**Figure 4**), suggesting that QsrR represses expR transcription. Thus, the SMc03890 gene was renamed qsrR, for "quorum sensing regulator expR repressor." As expected, the expR gene was down-regulated





significantly in response to WSHM in *S. meliloti* 8530, but not in *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$, suggesting that QsrR is responsible for repressing *expR* expression in response to WSHM. Meanwhile, alfalfa seed exudates significantly repressed *expR* expression in both *S. meliloti* 8530 and *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$ (**Figure 4**), suggesting that the repression of *expR* expression by seed exudates is independent of QsrR.

Potentiated Interaction Between QsrR and *expR* Promoter by WSHM

In order to test whether QsrR regulate the expression of expR directly or indirectly, EMSA were performed. As shown in Figure 5, a retarded DNA was observed when His₆-QsrR $(3.3 \ \mu M)$ was added to the assay mixture, indicating that QsrR was able to bind directly with the promoter region of *expR* gene. There was no retardation in the binding specificity assay or the negative control. These findings indicate that the transcription of expR is directly regulated by QsrR. In the EMSA test that determined the effect of WSHM on the interaction between QsrR and *expR* promoter, no band was detected when WSHM was added into the reaction system (data not shown). This might result from the possibility that WSHM interfered with the experimental process of EMSA, such as electrophoresis or binding of DNA with nylon membrane. Therefore, the role of WSHM in regulating expR expression via QsrR was further investigated by a bacterial one-hybrid assay (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure S3 for detail). The negative control strains E. coli USO (pB1H1/pH3U3-R1) and USO (pB1H1/pH3U3-R4) did not express *qsrR* and grew poorly; meanwhile, the positive strains USO (pB1H1-qsrR/pH3U3-R1) and USO (pB1H1-gsrR/pH3U3-R4) expressed gsrR and grew much better than the negative controls on selective medium (NM+3-AT) (Figure 6C). The positive strains were able to grow on medium with 2 mM of 3-AT that confirmed the ability of QsrR to bind with the promoter region of the *expR* gene. The binding region of QsrR is closer to the start of SMc03900 gene rather than that of *expR* (region R4, **Figure 6B**). In addition, the growth of the positive strains was significantly enhanced when WSHM were added to the selective medium; tolerance to 3-AT also increased from 2 to 5 mM. Meanwhile, growth of the negative control strains (USO: pB1H1/pH3U3-R1 and USO: pB1H1/pH3U3-R4) was not enhanced by WSHM on NM medium containing either 3 or 5 mM of 3-AT, further confirming that WSHM do not serve as a source of nutrients for these strains. These results suggest that QsrR can bind with the promoter region of *expR* gene, while WSHM can significantly potentiate this interaction.

Effects of WSHM on *M. sativa* Growth and Nodulation With *S. meliloti* 8530

Water-soluble humic materials treatment increased plant dry weight by 5.4% compared to the control (**Table 1**). The nitrogenase activity and plant dry weight of *M. sativa* inoculated with *S. meliloti* 8530 and 500 mg L⁻¹ of WSHM were significantly increased by 56.4 and 90.36%, respectively, compared with plants inoculated only with *S. meliloti* 8530, while no statistically significant difference was detected in nodule number and nodule fresh weight (13.1 and 29.9%) between these two treatments. No significant difference was observed in nitrogenase activity, plant dry weight, nodule number, or nodule fresh weight between the *M. sativa* inoculated with *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR + /-$ WSHM. Besides, the nitrogenase activity of plants inoculated with *S. meliloti* $\Delta qsrR$ alone was significantly increased by 69.53% compared with plants inoculated with *S. meliloti* 8530.

Transmission electron microscopy was performed to tested whether the increased nitrogenase activity of *M. sativa* inoculated with *S. meliloti* 8530 by WSHM was due to increased bacteroid density in nodules. The TEM images revealed that the number and morphology of bacteroids in alfalfa nodules induced by *S. meliloti* 8530 were not impacted by WSHM treatment (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Thus, WSHM may have affected the nitrogenase activity of the root nodules by other mechanisms, such as regulating the expression of nitrogen fixation genes or improving the energy supply to the bacteroids.

DISCUSSION

Quorum sensing regulates metabolically costly cooperative behaviors of bacteria depending on the environmental and physiological characteristics, such as production of exopolysaccharides, motility, and life-style switches related to symbiosis with eukaryotic hosts (Hense and Schuster, 2015; Calatrava-Morales et al., 2018). Many plant-associated bacteria communicate with the plant host through QS (González and Venturi, 2013; Schikora et al., 2016). The ExpR/Sin system is the sole QS system in *S. meliloti* 8530 (Krol and Becker, 2014) (Figure 1) that is involved in the regulation of metabolic and symbiotic procedures (Hoang et al., 2004; Gurich and Gonzalez, 2009). Our study regarding the effects of WSHM on the ExpR/Sin system in *S. meliloti* 8530 yielded several important findings.



SMc03890, renamed as qsrR, was shown to code a protein that directly represses *expR* gene transcription (Figures 4-6). As a versatile LuxR homolog regulator, ExpR directly or indirectly regulates the expression of at least 570 genes and plays a central role in the QS network in S. meliloti (Hoang et al., 2004; Gurich and Gonzalez, 2009; Charoenpanich et al., 2013). Thus, qsrR might have an essential function in different processes of S. meliloti. Previously, few studies on the regulation of the expR gene have been performed. One previous study showed that L-canavanine can repress expR expression as an arginine analog (Keshavan et al., 2005); meanwhile, Gao M. et al. (2015) reported that the RNA-binding protein Hfq regulates expR post-transcriptionally at higher population densities. Our study described QsrR as a novel repressor for expR transcription (Figure 4) and revealed the physical interaction between QsrR and the expR promoter by EMSA (Figure 5) and one-hybrid assays (Figure 6C). In addition, the increased nitrogenase activity in the nodules formed by S. meliloti $\Delta qsrR$ compared with those formed by S. meliloti 8530 (Table 1) suggests that QsrR may also regulate the expression of other genes, including those involved in nitrogen fixation. The function of QsrR is worthy of further research due to the versatile role of ExpR in regulating the metabolism and nodulation of S. meliloti. For example, the specificity of DNA sequences that can bind with QsrR might be further studied in order to reveal details in the interaction between QsrR and *expR* promoter.

The regulation of QS system in *S. meliloti* by WSHM via repressing *expR* expression (**Figures 2–4**) was evidenced for the first time, although different biological effects of humic materials on plants (Jannin et al., 2012; Traversa et al., 2013; Canellas et al., 2015; Savy et al., 2017) and bacteria (Tikhonov et al., 2010)

have been demonstrated. The results from Figures 4-6 demonstrated that QsrR may mediate the repression of expR expression by WSHM. It is possible that WSHM also repress sinR expression via additional mechanisms since QsrR cannot bind with the promoter region of *sinR* (Supplementary Figure S2). Moreover, our results suggest that WSHM function in a manner comparable to alfalfa seed exudates and may act as plant signal to repress *expR* gene expression in *S. meliloti* (Figure 4). Previous reports have demonstrated that plants are able to produce compounds that mimic or inhibit bacterial QS processes to promote their development of beneficial traits (Gao et al., 2003; Schikora et al., 2016; Nievas et al., 2017). WSHM might help plants to regulate bacterial QS and improve the symbiotic relationship between the host plant and bacteria. Compounds such as furan, pyrrole, benzpyrole, benzene rings, and esters have been identified in WSHM (Gao T.G. et al., 2015) and they may interfere with microbial QS systems (Gonzalez and Keshavan, 2006; Christensen et al., 2013). However, further investigation is needed to identify the compounds responsible for S. meliloti QS regulation in WSHM or alfalfa seed exudates.

In addition, the results suggest that the inactivation of rhizobia ExpR/Sin genes in nodules might be due to regulation by the plant host. Even though the QS system in *S. meliloti* has been reported to control cell functions essential for successful plant invasion, the ExpR/Sin genes were inactive in nodules due to an unknown mechanism (Gurich and Gonzalez, 2009). Alfalfa seed exudates and WSHM repressed the expression of expR in *S. meliloti* (**Figure 4**), suggesting that the inactivity of ExpR/Sin genes in nodules is a response of rhizobia to the host signal molecules. The repression of expR by host signal might due to the presence of L-canavanine in alfalfa seed exudates and L-canavanine could



cause misfolding of the ExpR protein (Keshavan et al., 2005), while ExpR has been reported to possess the potential for self-regulation (Charoenpanich et al., 2013).

Water-soluble humic materials can stimulate *S. meliloti* growth by repressing QS. Although WSHM contain 52.18% C and 3.72% N (Gao et al., 2012) and humic acids could act as C or N source for bacteria growth (Tikhonov et al., 2010; Gao T.G. et al., 2015), only 0.09% of the increased biomass in WSHM treatment could be contributed to the C/N supply by WSHM in YM broth (**Figure 2A**). Thus, we conclude that WSHM increase *S. meliloti* 8530 growth mainly due to the regulatory effects on cell metabolism. The repression of the QS system in

S. meliloti 8530 by WSHM could stimulate growth (**Figures 2, 3**), which supported the observation that QS restrains growth in *S. meliloti, M. huakuii, R. leguminosarum,* and *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234 (Wilkinson et al., 2002; He et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2006; Charoenpanich et al., 2015).

Water-soluble humic materials could regulate EPS synthesis, mainly decrease EPS II and MLG production, but do not affect EPS I production (**Figures 2C,D**). Amongst the three kinds of EPS produced by *S. meliloti* 8530 (Pérez-Mendoza et al., 2015), EPS I is the most efficient compound at initiating and maintaining infection threads (Jones, 2012). The probability of causing aberrant infection threads by EPS II, which is less efficient than EPS I at inducing infection thread formation, is 8-10 times higher than that of EPS I (Pellock et al., 2000). Furthermore, EPS synthesis in rhizobia is energy consuming; thus, EPS II is not required if EPS I is present (Zhan et al., 1989; Mithöfer, 2002). Even though MLG is involved in the attachment of S. meliloti to alfalfa roots, there was no significant difference in nodulation occupancy between a wild-type and a MLG synthesis deficient mutant (Pérez-Mendoza et al., 2015). Therefore, EPS II and MLG are not likely to be essential for efficient nodulation between S. meliloti and alfalfa. This notion is supported by the fact that the nodule occupancy of S. meliloti 1021 ($\Delta expR$) was 10–20% higher than that of S. meliloti 8530 (Charoenpanich et al., 2015). Thus, shutting down EPS II and MLG production with WSHM may promote symbiotic nitrogen fixation between S. meliloti and M. sativa. It is noteworthy that the ExpR/Sin QS system can regulate EPS II and MLG production (Mueller and González, 2010; Pérez-Mendoza et al., 2015), while EPS I biosynthesis becomes independent of QS in the absence of ExpR, and mutations to expR did not alter the amounts of EPS I produced (Glenn et al., 2007). This may be because MucR increases the production of EPS I independently of QS (Mueller and González, 2010). Thus, WSHM may regulate EPS production in S. meliloti 8530 through their regulation of the QS system.

Water-soluble humic materials improved symbiotic nitrogen fixation between M. sativa and S. meliloti (Table 1). Since WSHM did not affect the density of bacteroids in nodules (Supplementary Figure S4), the increase in nitrogenase activity of *M. sativa* following treatment with WSHM (Table 1) may be due to the enhanced expression of nifA gene via repressing expR expression. It has been reported that AHL-ExpR repress the expression of genes related to nitrogen fixation, such as *fixTQK*, which induce the expression of *nifA* (Hoang et al., 2004; Charoenpanich et al., 2013). In addition, the failed of significant increase in nodule number and nodule fresh weight of M. sativa by WSHM may result from the fact that nodule number and nodule weight are controlled by the plant host to ensure optimal growth (Mortier et al., 2012; Zipfel and Oldroyd, 2017). The promotion of nitrogen fixation between S. meliloti and M. sativa by WSHM offers an economical and efficient route for improving biological nitrogen fixation in agriculture. In a field experiment, WSHM treatment (500 mg/mL) on seeds (375 g WSHM per ha) increased alfalfa yield by 29% per year (unpublished data).

CONCLUSION

We identified QsrR as a direct repressor of *expR* (a gene central to the QS system in *S. meliloti* 8530) transcription. WSHM

REFERENCES

- Bogino, P. C., Nievas, F. L., and Giordano, W. (2015). A review: quorum sensing in Bradyrhizobium. Appl. Soil Ecol. 94, 49–58. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.04.016
- Bourassa, D. V., Kannenberg, E. L., Sherrier, D. J., Buhr, R. J., and Carlson, R. W. (2017). The lipopolysaccharide lipid a long-chain fatty acid is important for *Rhizobium leguminosarum* growth and stress adaptation in free-living and nodule environments. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 30, 161–175. doi: 10.1094/ MPMI-11-16-0230-R

were proposed to repress expR expression by modulating the interaction between QsrR and the expR promoter, and ultimately decrease AHL, EPS II and MLG production. Consequently, WSHM increased the growth of *S. meliloti*, as well as symbiotic nitrogen fixation with *M. sativa*. In addition, both expR and sinR were down-regulated by WSHM with independent mechanisms. We hypothesize that QsrR may mediate the repression of expR expression by WSHM; however, the mechanism by which WSHM down regulate sinR expression requires further investigation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y-YX, J-SY, CL, and H-LY conceived and designed the study. Y-YX, R-NW, and X-QQ performed the experiments. Y-YX and E-TW wrote the manuscript. Y-YX, and B-ZL participated in the preparation of water-soluble humic materials. H-LY and W-FC helped to design the experiments and drafted the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31770541), the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest (Grant No. 201403048-2) and by the fund for Shanxi "1331 Project" Collaborative Innovation Center.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mengsheng Gao for providing *S. meliloti* $\Delta sinI$ and $\Delta sinR$ mutant strains, Li Luo for providing strain *S. meliloti* 8530, Scot A. Wolfe and Zhi Chen for providing bacterialone-hybrid system, and Jun Zhu for providing *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* KYC55 (pJZ372, pJZ384, and pJZ410) and R10 (pCF218). We would also like to thank Lijie Sun for the very helpful discussions.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.03194/full#supplementary-material

- Cai, T., Cai, W., Zhang, J., Zheng, H., Tsou, A. M., Xiao, L., et al. (2009). Host legume-exuded antimetabolites optimize the symbiotic rhizosphere. *Mol. Microbiol.* 73, 507–517. doi: 10.3390/genes9050263
- Calatrava-Morales, N., McIntosh, M., and Soto, M. J. (2018). Regulation mediated by N-Acyl Homoserine Lactone quorum sensing signals in the *Rhizobium*-Legume symbiosis. *Genes* 9, 263. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06790.x
- Canellas, L. P., Olivares, F. L., Aguiar, N. O., Jones, D. L., Nebbioso, A., Mazzei, P., et al. (2015). Humic and fulvic acids as biostimulants in horticulture. *Sci. Hortic.* 196, 15–27. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.013

- Chai, Y., Norman, T., Kolter, R., and Losick, R. (2010). An epigenetic switch governing daughter cell separation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Gene Dev. 24, 754–765. doi: 10.1101/gad.1915010
- Charoenpanich, P., Meyer, S., Becker, A., and McIntosh, M. (2013). Temporal expression program of quorum sensing-based transcription regulation in *Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol.* 195, 3224–3236. doi: 10.1128/JB.00234-13
- Charoenpanich, P., Soto, M. J., Becker, A., and McIntosh, M. (2015). Quorum sensing restrains growth and is rapidly inactivated during domestication of *Sinorhizobium meliloti. Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 7, 373–382. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12262
- Christensen, Q. H., Grove, T. L., Booker, S. J., and Greenberg, E. P. (2013). A highthroughput screen for quorum-sensing inhibitors that target acyl-homoserine lactone synthases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 13815–13820. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1313098110
- Dong, L., Yuan, Q., and Yuan, H. (2006). Changes of chemical properties of humic acids from crude and fungal transformed lignite. *Fuel* 85, 2402–2407. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2006.05.027
- Gao, M., Tang, M., Guerich, L., Salas-Gonzalez, I., and Teplitski, M. (2015). Modulation of Sinorhizobium meliloti quorum sensing by Hfq-mediated posttranscriptional regulation of ExpR. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 7, 148–154. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12235
- Gao, M., Teplitski, M., Robinson, J. B., and Bauer, W. D. (2003). Production of substances by *Medicago truncatula* that Affect Bacterial Quorum Sensing. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 16, 827–834. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.9.827
- Gao, T. G., Jiang, F., Yang, J. S., Li, B. Z., and Yuan, H. L. (2012). Biodegradation of Leonardite by an alkali-producing bacterial community and characterization of the degraded products. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 93, 2581–2590. doi: 10. 1007/s00253-011-3669-5
- Gao, T. G., Xu, Y. Y., Jiang, F., Li, B. Z., Yang, J. S., Wang, E. T., et al. (2015). Nodulation characterization and proteomic profiling of *Bradyrhizobium liaoningense* CCBAU05525 in response to water-soluble humic materials. *Sci. Rep.* 5:10836. doi: 10.1038/srep10836
- Gao, Y., Zhong, Z., Sun, K., Wang, H., and Zhu, J. (2006). The quorumsensing system in a plant bacterium *Mesorhizobium huakuii* affects growth rate and symbiotic nodulation. *Plant Soil* 286, 53–60. doi: 10.1007/s11104-006-9025-3
- Glenn, S. A., Gurich, N., Feeney, M. A., and Gonzalez, J. E. (2007). The ExpR/Sin quorum-sensing system controls succinoglycan production in *Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol.* 189, 7077–7088. doi: 10.1128/JB.00906-07
- Gonzalez, J. E., and Keshavan, N. D. (2006). Messing with bacterial quorum sensing. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 70, 859–875. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00002-06
- González, J. F., and Venturi, V. (2013). A novel widespread interkingdom signaling circuit. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 167–174. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.09.007
- Gonzalez-Ortiz, G., Quarles Van, Ufford, H. C., Halkes, S. B., Cerda-Cuellar, M., Beukelman, C. J., et al. (2014). New properties of wheat bran: anti-biofilm activity and interference with bacteria quorum-sensing systems. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 1346–1353. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12441
- Gurich, N., and Gonzalez, J. E. (2009). Role of quorum sensing in Sinorhizobium meliloti-Alfalfa Symbiosis. J. Bacteriol. 191, 4372–4382. doi: 10.1128/JB. 00376-09
- Hayes, M. H. B., and Wilson, W. S. (eds). (1997). *Humic Substances, Peats and Sludges: Health and Environmental Aspects.* Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- He, X., Chang, W., Pierce, D. L., Seib, L. O., Wagner, J., and Fuqua, C. (2003). Quorum sensing in *Rhizobium* sp. Strain NGR234 regulates conjugal transfer (tra) gene expression and influences growth rate. *J. Bacteriol.* 185, 809–822. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.3.809-822.2003
- Hense, B. A., and Schuster, M. (2015). Core principles of bacterial autoinducer systems. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 79, 153–169. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00024-14
- Hoang, H. H., Becker, A., and Gonzalez, J. E. (2004). The LuxR homolog ExpR, in combination with the Sin quorum sensing system, plays a central role in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* gene expression. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 5460–5472. doi: 10. 1128/JB.186.16.5460-5472.2004
- Jannin, L., Arkoun, M., Ourry, A., Laîné, P., Goux, D., Garnica, M., et al. (2012). Microarray analysis of humic acid effects on *Brassica napus* growth: involvement of N. C and S metabolisms. *Plant Soil* 359, 297–319. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1191-x
- Jiang, F., Li, Z., Lv, Z., Gao, T., Yang, J., Qin, Z., et al. (2013). The biosolubilization of lignite by *Bacillus* sp. Y7 and characterization of the soluble products. Fuel 103, 639–645. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2012.08.030

- Jones, K. M. (2012). Increased production of the exopolysaccharide succinoglycan enhances *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 symbiosis with the host plant *Medicago truncatula*. J. Bacteriol. 194, 4322–4331. doi: 10.1128/JB.00751-12
- Kalia, V. C. (2013). Quorum sensing inhibitors: an overview. Biotechnol. Adv. 31, 224–245. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2012.10.004
- Keshavan, N. D., Chowdhary, P. K., Haines, D. C., and Gonzalez, J. E. (2005). L-Canavanine made by *Medicago sativa* interferes with quorum sensing in *Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol.* 187, 8427–8436. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.24. 8427-8436.2005
- Koul, S., Prakash, J., Mishra, A., and Kalia, V. C. (2016). Potential Emergence of Multi-quorum Sensing Inhibitor Resistant (MQSIR) Bacteria. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 56, 1–18. doi: 10.1007/s12088-015-0558-0
- Krol, E., and Becker, A. (2014). Rhizobial homologs of the fatty acid transporter FadL facilitate perception of long-chain acyl-homoserine lactone signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 10702–10707. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1404929111
- Luo, S., Sun, D., Zhu, J., Chen, Z., Wen, Y., and Li, J. (2014). An extracytoplasmic function sigma factor, σ25, differentially regulates avermetin and oligomycin biosynthesis in *Streptomyces avermitilis. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98, 7097– 7112. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-5759-7
- Meng, X., Brodsky, M. H., and Wolfe, S. A. (2005). A bacterial one-hybrid system for determining the DNA-binding specificity of transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23, 988–994. doi: 10.1038/nbt1120
- Mithöfer, A. (2002). Suppression of plant defence in rhizobia– legume symbiosis. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 440–444. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02336-1
- Mortier, V., Holsters, M., and Goormachtig, S. (2012). Never too many? *How legumes control nodule numbers. Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 245–258. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02406.x
- Mueller, K., and González, J. E. (2010). Complex regulation of symbiotic functions is coordinated by MucR and quorum sensing in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. J. Bacteriol. 193, 485–496. doi: 10.1128/JB.01129-10
- Nebbioso, A., and Piccolo, A. (2012). Advances in humeomics: enhanced structural identification of humic molecules after size fractionation of a soil humic acid. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 720, 77–90. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2012.01.027
- Nievas, F., Vilchez, L., Giordano, W., and Bogino, P. (2017). Arachis hypogaea L. produces mimic and inhibitory quorum sensing like molecules. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 110, 891–902. doi: 10.1007/s10482-017-0862-2
- Pellock, B. J., Cheng, H.-P., and Walker, G. C. (2000). Alfalfa root nodule invasion efficiency is dependent on *Sinorhizobium meliloti* polysaccharides. J. Bacteriol. 182, 4310–4318. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.15.4310-4318.2000
- Pellock, B. J., Teplitski, M., Boinay, R. P., Bauer, W. D., and Walker, G. C. (2002). A LuxR homolog controls production of symbiotically active extracellular polysaccharide II by Sinorhizobium meliloti. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 5067–5076. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.18.5067-5076.2002
- Pérez-Montaño, F., Guasch-Vidal, B., González-Barroso, S., López-Baena, F. J., Cubo, T., Ollero, F. J., et al. (2011). Nodulation-gene-inducing flavonoids increase overall production of autoinducers and expression of N-acyl homoserine lactone synthesis genes in rhizobia. *Res. Microbiol.* 162, 715–723. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2011.05.002
- Pérez-Montaño, F., Jimenez-Guerrero, I., Del Cerro, P., Baena-Ropero, I., Lopez-Baena, F. J., Ollero, F. J., et al. (2014). The symbiotic biofilm of Sinorhizobium fredii SMH12, ncessary for successful colonization and symbiosis of Glycine max cv Osumi, is regulated by quorum sensing systems and inducing flavonoids via NodD1. PLoS One 9:e105901. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105901
- Pérez-Mendoza, D., Rodríguez-Carvajal, M. Á., Romero-Jiménez, L., Farias, G. d. A., Lloret, J., Gallegos, M. T., et al. (2015). Novel mixedlinkage β-glucan activated by c-di-GMP in *Sinorhizobium meliloti. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E757–E765. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1421748112
- Savy, D., Canellas, L., Vinci, G., Cozzolino, V., and Piccolo, A. (2017). Humic-Like water-soluble lignins from giant reed (*Arundo donax* L.) display hormone-like activity on plant growth. J. Plant Growth Regul. 36, 995–1001. doi: 10.1007/ s00344-017-9696-4
- Schikora, A., Schenk, S. T., and Hartmann, A. (2016). Beneficial effects of bacteriaplant communication based on quorum sensing molecules of the N-acyl homoserine lactone group. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 605–612. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0457-8
- Staehelin, C., Forsberg, L. S., D'Haeze, W., Gao, M. Y., Carlson, R. W., Xie, Z. P., et al. (2006). Exo-oligosaccharides of *Rhizobium* sp. Strain NGR234 are required for symbiosis with various legumes. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 6168–6178. doi: 10.1128/ JB.00365-06

- Suganuma, N., Sonoda, N., Nakane, C., Hayashi, K., Hayashi, T., Tamaoki, M., et al. (1998). Bacteroids isolated from ineffective nodules of *Pisum sativum* mutant E135 (*syml3*) lack nitrogenase activity but contain the two protein components of nitrogenase. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 39, 1093–1098. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals. pcp.a029307
- Tikhonov, V., Yakushev, A., Zavgorodnyaya, Y. A., Byzov, B., and Demin, V. (2010). Effects of humic acids on the growth of bacteria. *Eurasian Soil Sci.* 43, 305–313. doi: 10.1134/S1064229310030087
- Traversa, A., Loffredo, E., Gattullo, C. E., Palazzo, A. J., Bashore, T. L., and Senesi, N. (2013). Comparative evaluation of compost humic acids and their effects on the germination of switchgrass (*Panicum vigatum L.*). J. Soils Sediments 14, 432–440. doi: 10.1007/s11368-013-0653-y
- Vincent, J. M. (1970). A Manual for the Practical Study of Root Nodule Bacteria. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Wilkinson, A., Danino, V., Wisniewski-Dye, F., Lithgow, J. K., and Downie, J. A. (2002). N-Acyl-homoserine lactone inhibition of rhizobial growth is mediated by two quorum-sensing genes that regulate plasmid transfer. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 4510–4519. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.16.4510-4519.2002
- Zhan, H., Levery, S. B., Lee, C. C., and Leigh, J. A. (1989). A second exopolysaccharide of *Rhizobium meliloti* strain SU47 that can function in root nodule invasion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 86, 3055–3059. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.9. 3055

- Zheng, H., Zhong, Z., Lai, X., Chen, W. X., Li, S., and Zhu, J. (2006). A LuxR/LuxItype quorum-sensing system in a plant bacterium, *Mesorhizobium tianshanense*, controls symbiotic nodulation. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 1943–1949. doi: 10.1128/JB. 188.5.1943-1949.2006
- Zhu, J., Chai, Y., Zhong, Z., Li, S., and Winans, S. C. (2003). Agrobacterium bioassay strain for ultrasensitive detection of N-acylhomoserine lactone-type quorumsensing molecules: detection of autoinducers in Mesorhizobium huakuii. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 6949–6953. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.11.6949-6953.2003
- Zipfel, C., and Oldroyd, G. E. (2017). Plant signalling in symbiosis and immunity. *Nature* 543, 328–336. doi: 10.1038/nature22009

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Xu, Yang, Liu, Wang, Wang, Qiu, Li, Chen and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.