Liguleless narrow and *narrow odd dwarf* act in overlapping pathways to regulate maize development and metabolism

María Jazmín Abraham-Juárez¹ (D), Michael Busche² (D), Alyssa A. Anderson^{3,4}, China Lunde³ (D), Jeremy Winders⁵ (D), Shawn A. Christensen⁶, Charles T. Hunter⁷ (D), Sarah Hake^{3,4} (D) and Jacob O. Brunkard^{2,3,4,*} (D)

¹Laboratorio Nacional de Genómica para la Biodiversidad, Unidad de Genómica Avanzada, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Guanajuato 36821, Mexico,

²Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA,

³Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA,

⁴Plant Gene Expression Center, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Albany, California 94710, USA,

⁵Genomics and Bioinformatics Research Unit, US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.

⁶Nutrition, Dietetics, and Food Science, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602, USA, and ⁷Chemistry Research Unit, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Gainesville, Florida 32608, USA

Received 25 March 2022; revised 24 August 2022; accepted 5 September 2022; published online 27 September 2022. *For correspondence (e-mail brunkard@wisc.edu).

SUMMARY

Narrow odd dwarf (nod) and *Liguleless narrow (Lgn)* are pleiotropic maize mutants that both encode plasma membrane proteins, cause similar developmental patterning defects, and constitutively induce stress signaling pathways. To investigate how these mutants coordinate maize development and physiology, we screened for protein interactors of NOD by affinity purification. LGN was identified by this screen as a strong candidate interactor, and we confirmed the NOD-LGN molecular interaction through orthogonal experiments. We further demonstrated that LGN, a receptor-like kinase, can phosphorylate NOD *in vitro*, hinting that they could act in intersecting signal transduction pathways. To test this hypothesis, we generated *Lgn-R;nod* mutants in two backgrounds (B73 and A619), and found that these mutations enhance each other, causing more severe developmental defects than either single mutation on its own, with phenotypes including very narrow leaves, increased tillering, and failure of the main shoot. Transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses of the single and double mutants in the two genetic backgrounds revealed widespread induction of pathogen defense genes and a shift in resource allocation away from primary metabolism in favor of specialized metabolism. These effects were similar in each single mutant and heightened in the double mutant, leading us to conclude that NOD and LGN act cumulatively in overlapping signaling pathways to coordinate growth-defense tradeoffs in maize.

Keywords: Plant development, Growth-defense tradeoffs, Receptor-like kinase, Co-immunoprecipitation, Plant signal transduction, Maize genetics, Functional genomics, Metabolomics, Genetic modifiers, Phytoalexins.

Linked article: This paper is the subject of a Research Highlight article. To view this Research Highlight article visit https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16016.

INTRODUCTION

Plants regularly develop new organs from meristems through ordered processes of cell expansion and division (Richardson et al., 2021; Sluis & Hake, 2015). Because development continues throughout the plant lifespan, plants must coordinate growth and developmental patterning with dynamic changes in their environment and physiology. This coordination is often conceptualized as 'growth-defense tradeoffs' (Guo et al., 2018; Huot et al., 2014; Monson et al., 2022), and can involve metabolic

reorganization to emphasize either primary metabolism (when conditions are favorable) or secondary/specialized metabolism to produce defense-related compounds (when experiencing stress). For example, in response to pathogen attack, plants often temporarily arrest new growth in favor of synthesizing compounds that deter the pathogen (especially various phytoalexins) or even trigger localized programmed cell death to limit the infection (Ahuja et al., 2012; Bomblies & Weigel, 2007; Karasov et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012; Szczesny et al., 2010). This hypothesis that

© 2022 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

growth and defense are intertwined is supported by discoveries at the cellular and molecular levels demonstrating that the signal transduction pathways coordinating development and stress responses often overlap (Wu et al., 2020). For instance, plant genomes encode hundreds of cell surface receptor-like proteins and receptor-like kinases (RLKs) that can be stimulated by pathogen-associated molecules, hormones, or secreted peptides, which then act through similar or convergent downstream kinase signaling cascades [especially mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase transduction networks] to modulate nuclear gene expression (Albert et al., 2020; DeFalco & Zipfel, 2021; Dievart et al., 2020; Gou & Li, 2020; Perraki et al., 2018). As another example, calcium (Ca2+) is trafficked across the membranes by diverse transporters, including the mechanosensitive PIEZO proteins, nucleotide-binding leucine rich-repeat receptors, and cyclic nucleotide-gated channels, which variously promote growth, activate defense responses while inhibiting growth, or trigger programmed cell death, despite all fundamentally acting as Ca²⁺ transporters (Bi et al., 2021; Jacob et al., 2021; Mousavi et al., 2021; Radin et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022). Deciphering how these complex signal transduction pathways intersect and diverge is a major goal for plant biology, especially in agricultural crops, where breeding robust and resilient crop plants that can withstand stresses without sacrificing yields will benefit from a detailed mechanistic understanding of kinase, calcium, and other signaling networks (Bailey-Serres et al., 2019; Brunkard, 2020; Karavolias et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2017; Luan & Wang, 2021; Lutt & Brunkard, 2022).

From forward genetic screens for maize mutants defective in ligule patterning, we characterized two mutants, Liguleless narrow (Lgn) and narrow odd dwarf (nod), that act at the intersection of plant development and stress responses (Anderson et al., 2019; Moon et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2017). NOD encodes a putative mechanosensitive Ca²⁺-permeable channel (Furuichi et al., 2012; Nakagawa et al., 2007; Rosa et al., 2017; Yoshimura et al., 2021). The Arabidopsis orthologs of NOD, MID1-COMPLEMENTING ACTIVITY 1 (MCA1) and MCA2, are proposed to participate in various responses to environmental stimuli, but mca1;mca2 mutants do not show severe development defects under standard growing conditions (Mori et al., 2018; Yamanaka et al., 2010). In contrast, nod mutants are defective in organogenesis and cellular differentiation, with phenotypic severity depending on inbred background (Rosa et al., 2017). In B73, the boundary between blade and sheath is obliterated, leaves are narrow, and cell division and differentiation are abnormal in nod mutants. These plants are also highly tillered, and their primary shoots fail to develop. In A619, mutants appear similar to wild-type as seedlings but, at maturity, nod mutants are shorter with narrower leaves. In Mo17, nod mutants are nearly indistinguishable from wild-type, showing only a modest decrease in height (Rosa et al., 2017).

LGN encodes a plasma membrane-localized RLK (Moon et al., 2013). The reference allele, Lgn-R, carries a semidominant missense mutation that abolishes its kinase activity. Like nod, the Lgn-R mutation causes pleiotropic phenotypes that express differently depending on its genetic background (Anderson et al., 2019; Buescher et al., 2014). In B73, heterozygotes have narrow leaves, lack a liqule at the leaf margins, often lack ears, and have fewer branches in the tassel (Moon et al., 2013). Lgn-R mutants in B73 are also sensitive to temperature, with higher temperatures often causing lethality (Anderson et al., 2019). Lgn-R/ Lgn-R homozygotes resemble nod mutants in B73. In A619 and Mo17, Lan-R heterozygotes have a normal liqule and near-normal height. We used the difference in phenotype between Mo17 and B73 in a QTL mapping experiment to identify a genetic modifier of Lgn-R, which we named Sympathy for the ligule (SOL; Buescher et al., 2014). SOL encodes an ortholog of the Arabidopsis MAP3K-inhibiting protein, ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE 4 (EDR4; Anderson et al., 2019). Phosphoproteomic and transcriptomic analyses suggested that disruption of LGN activity in Lgn-R triggers a MAP kinase signaling cascade that constitutively activates biotic stress responses in these mutants, but the SOL allele in the Mo17 background can rescue this phenotype, perhaps by driving sufficient SOL expression to repress the hyperactivated MAP kinase signaling cascade.

Here, we sought to understand how NOD impacts development and immunity in plants by discovering its potential protein interactors. To our surprise, we identified LGN as a potential NOD-interacting protein. Using a range of biochemical, molecular, cellular, genetic, genomic, and metabolomic approaches, we interrogated the relationship between NOD and LGN, demonstrating that they act through overlapping pathways to impact maize physiology and development.

RESULTS

Protein–protein interaction screens identified LGN as an interactor of NOD

To begin to unravel how NOD impacts maize development and stress responses, we took a molecular approach by screening for potential protein interactors of NOD that could participate in NOD-dependent signaling pathways. We took two orthogonal approaches to screen for NOD interactors: co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) and yeast-twohybrid (Y2H) screens. Using a polyclonal antibody that specifically recognizes NOD (Rosa et al., 2017), NOD was immunoprecipitated from 3-week-old wild-type B73 seedlings (Figure 1a). *nod* B73 mutants lacking NOD protein were used as a negative control to account for any nonspecific immunoprecipitates. As expected, NOD protein was purified and strongly enriched in eluates after immunoprecipitation of wild-type B73 protein extracts, but NOD was not present in *nod* mutant eluates (Figure 1a).





(a) To identify interacting proteins, NOD was immunoprecipitated using a native polyclonal antibody from wild-type B73 seedlings and *nod-1* mutant B73 seedlings. As shown here, NOD was strongly enriched in the eluate after immunoprecipitation. Lanes are labeled to indicate crude extract (CE), input (I), flow-through (FT), last wash (LW) and eluate (E). Recombinant and eluted NOD bands were much stronger, so short exposures (s.e.) are shown for these lanes and long exposures (l.e.) are shown to validate that NOD protein was not present in *nod* mutants.

(b) Coimmunoprecipitates of NOD were identified by mass spectrometry in three experiments. Two-hundred potential interactors were identified, with several overlapping candidates between at least two experiments. A yeast-two-hybrid (Y2H) screen for NOD interactors identified 40 potential interactors, including six of the candidate interactors that had been found in at least two co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) experiments.

(c) To validate the putative interaction between LGN and NOD, reciprocal colP experiments were conducted using native polyclonal antibodies raised against each protein. Both experiments confirmed that LGN and NOD can co-immunoprecipitate with each other, supporting the hypothesis that they physically associate *in vivo*. (d) Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays were conducted by heterologously expressing NOD, LGN or nod-2 protein that mislocalizes to cyto-

plasmic aggregates tagged with split-YFP constructs in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves (the N-terminal portion of YFP is indicated as YFP), the C-terminal portion of YFP is indicated as YFP). Epidermal fluorescence at the plasma membrane confirms that NOD can interact with itself and that NOD can interact with LGN. As expected, mis-localized nod-2 protein cannot interact with NOD, which serves as a negative control.

(e) Photo of Lgn-R/Lgn-R and nod/nod mutants in the B73 background. Both mutants display pleiotropic defects in growth and development, including arrest of the primary shoot, excessive tillering, short and narrow leaves, and absence of a proper ligule.

Tubulin was detected in initial protein extracts and in flowthrough, but not in the final wash nor in the eluate, demonstrating that abundant, non-specific interactors were effectively removed during the colP protocol. After these quality control steps, immunoprecipitates were analyzed by mass spectrometry to identify potential NOD interacting partners. This experiment was repeated three times, with slight modification in one replicate (colP3) to enrich for membrane proteins by adding phosphatase inhibitors and increasing the concentration of detergent in the protein extraction buffer; as NOD is an integral plasma membrane protein, we expected that NOD might interact with other plasma membrane proteins. Separately, we used NOD as bait for a quantitative Y2H screen against a prey library cloned from normalized maize cDNA. This experiment was conducted in parallel alongside several unrelated baits, which allowed us to filter any recurring hits as false positives.

Between the Y2H and coIP experiments, we identified over 200 potential interactors of NOD (Figure 1b). These included NOD itself, as expected, as the Arabidopsis

© 2022 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., The Plant Journal, (2022), **112**, 881–896

ortholog of NOD forms homomultimeric complexes (Yoshimura et al., 2021); the NOD-NOD interaction was further validated using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) after tagging NOD with split YFP constructs and expressing the proteins heterologously in Nicotiana benthamiana epidermal cells (Figure 1d). We also identified several plasma membrane-localized proteins interacting with NOD, including LGN, a BRASSINOS-TEROID SIGNALING KINASE-like kinase that we named WYNKEN for its association with NOD, and a membrane steroid-binding protein (MSBP1)-like protein. Several interactors were unexpected, including, for example, the ortholog of Arabidopsis FtsH4, a mitochondrial protease. Given that FtsH4 is targeted to mitochondria, this could be an artifactual interaction that does not occur in vivo, but FtsH4 was identified in both coIP and Y2H screens, suggesting that this candidate could warrant future investigation (Figure 1b). None of the putative interactors was consistently induced or repressed at the transcriptional level in nod or Lgn-R/+ mutants (Supplemental Dataset S1). Among the candidate interactors, we were most intrigued by the putative association with LGN, given that Lgn-R mutants show similar phenotypes to nod mutants (Figure 1e), including stunted growth, defective ligule development and constitutive induction of biotic stress responses. Therefore, we hypothesized that these proteins could act in overlapping or epistatic pathways, and decided to focus on defining the potential relationship between LGN and NOD.

To validate the LGN-NOD interaction, we first performed reciprocal coIP experiments using polyclonal antibodies against NOD and LGN to precipitate one of the proteins, followed by probing eluates with Western blots. α-LGN was raised as previously described (Rosa et al., 2017) using recombinant LGN lacking the N-terminal transmembrane domain and fused to 6xHis and GST. As predicted, LGN was detected in the *a*-NOD immunoprecipitates (Figure 1c, left panel) and NOD was detected in the α -LGN immunoprecipitates (Figure 1c, right panel). Similar results were obtained in Lgn-R mutants, where the LGN-R protein is stably expressed but is catalytically dead, revealing that the NOD-LGN interaction is likely independent of LGN's kinase activity. BiFC also confirmed that NOD and LGN can interact with each other, and that this interaction occurs in the plasma membrane (Figure 1d). Therefore, using several different experimental approaches, we confirmed that NOD and LGN can associate with each other in plant cells.

LGN is capable of phosphorylating NOD

Because LGN is a RLK, we sought to test whether LGN might phosphorylate NOD (Figure 2). Recombinant LGN and NOD proteins were co-incubated in kinase reaction buffer alongside various controls, including single protein incubations and co-incubation with the kinase-dead LGN-R protein. Protein phosphorylation was first analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), followed by staining with either Pro-Q Diamond, a stain that specifically detects phosphorylated proteins, or Coomassie Brilliant Blue, which indiscriminately stains all proteins (Figure 2a). As previously shown, LGN autophosphorylates (resulting in slower migration during SDS-PAGE and staining with Pro-Q Diamond), whereas LGN-R does not autophosphorylate (and therefore migrates more guickly during SDS-PAGE and is not detected by Pro-Q Diamond stain; Figure 2a). NOD is readily phosphorylated by LGN in vitro (Figure 2a), but not when it is incubated by itself or with LGN-R, confirming that the phosphorylation observed in this assay is LGN-dependent. To validate these results, we analyzed putative NOD and LGN phosphoproteins by mass spectrometry (Figure 2b.c). These analyses revealed at least 15 possible autophosphorylated sites in LGN and at least seven potential LGN-catalyzed phosphorylation sites in NOD. Unexpectedly, these included serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues, which indicates that LGN is capable of acting as a dual-specificity Ser/Thr and Tyr kinase, at least under the in vitro conditions used in this experiment.

Developmental defects are more severe in the double *nod;* Lgn-R/+ mutants than either single mutant

Building on the compelling evidence that LGN and NOD molecularly interact in maize cells and that *Lgn-R* and *nod* mutants share similar phenotypes, we hypothesized that *Lgn-R* and *nod* mutants might genetically interact. The phenotype of each single mutant varies considerably in different inbred backgrounds, so we made crosses of *nod* to *Lgn-R* that had both been introgressed into two inbred backgrounds, A619 and B73. In both inbreds, *Lgn-R* heterozygotes were crossed to *nod* mutants and backcrossed to *nod* to generate families that segregated single mutants, double mutant, and non-mutant siblings (see Experimental Procedures for crossing details).

In both A619 and B73 backgrounds, we consistently observed that the nod;Lgn-R/+ double mutants exhibited much more severe defects in growth and development than either single mutant. For example, in B73, the blades of leaf 10 are somewhat shorter and ~50% narrower in Lgn-R/+ plants, yet shorter and narrower in nod plants, but shortest and narrowest in the *nod;Lgn-R/*+ double mutants, compared with wild-type plants grown under the same conditions (Figure 3a,e). Similarly, in A619, Lgn-R/+ plants are ~20% shorter with leaves and ~15% narrower than wild-type, and nod plants are ~70% shorter with leaves and ~60% narrower than wild-type (Figure 3b,c). Consistently, nod;Lgn-R/+ plants are ~90% shorter and have ~85% narrower leaves than wild-type, and are therefore much smaller than either single mutant (Figure 3b,c). Focusing on developmental patterning at the blade-sheath boundary, nod;Lgn-R/+ is again more severe than either single mutant. The liqule is somewhat reduced in heterozygous



Figure 2. LGN can phosphorylate NOD in vitro.

(a) Recombinant LGN, NOD and catalytically inactive LGN-R proteins were incubated as indicated in kinase buffer. The proteins were then separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE), and stained with either Pro-Q Diamond (Pro-Q), which stains phosphoproteins, or Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB), which stains total proteins. LGN can phosphorylate itself, as previously shown, and can also phosphorylate NOD. Negative controls confirm that NOD was not phosphorylated in the absence of functional LGN enzyme.

(b) After incubation as in (a), proteins were analyzed by mass spectrometry, which identified multiple putative phosphosites [highlighted green boxes; red boxes indicate sites also identified in a global maize phosphoproteome (Walley et al., 2016)] on both LGN and NOD.

(c) Representative spectrum from mass spectrometry analysis of recombinant proteins after co-incubating in kinase buffer.

Lgn-R/+ plants and only present near the leaf margins in nod plants, but virtually absent in nod;Lgn-R/+ mutants (Figure 3d, upper and middle panels). Similarly, the remaining hints of an auricle and blade-sheath boundary in nod mutants are both completely abolished in nod;Lgn-R/+ mutants (Figure 3d, middle and lower panels). The main shoots of both nod and nod;Lgn-R/+ mutants die and the plants are highly tillered (producing 2 ± 0.4 tillers on average, with no significant difference in tiller number between genotypes, P = 0.84by Student's *t*-test, $n \ge 8$), although *nod* tillers are significantly longer than *nod;Lgn-R/*+ tillers (19.9 \pm 1.9 cm for *nod* versus 6.7 \pm 0.6 cm for *nod;Lan-R/*+, *P* < 10⁻⁶ by Student's *t*test, $n \ge 15$). Based on these morphological results, we concluded that nod and Lan-R/+ are not epistatic with each other, but instead have cumulative effects on maize growth and development.

NOD and LGN are required to repress a shared stressresponse transcriptional network

To better understand the extreme phenotypes of nod;Lgn-R/+ double mutants, we used global profiling methods to define the effects of the single and double mutants on the maize transcriptome and metabolome in both B73 and

A619 (Supplementary Dataset S1). Metabolomics was carried out on the second leaf blade of plants at V4 and the shoot apex was used for RNAseq (see Experimental Procedures). Throughout, we compared global profiles of genotypes primarily within each inbred, rather than attempting to compare effects across backgrounds, given the considerable genetic divergence between these distantly-related inbreds (Flint-Garcia et al., 2005; Jiao et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2003; Lorenz & Hoegemeyer, 2013).

At the transcriptomic level in both A619 and B73 backgrounds, we observed significant overlap among all three mutant genotypes (relative to wild-type; a significantly differentially expressed gene, which we abbreviate DEG, showed a difference in expression relative to wild-type negative controls with $P_{adj} < 0.05$, adjusted to reduce falsepositive results). The most striking difference in transcriptomes was a background-dependent genetic interaction with the single mutants: in B73, *nod* caused much more drastic transcriptional reprogramming, with almost fourfold more DEGs in *nod* plants (~7300 DEGs) than *Lgn-R/*+ plants (~1900 DEGs; Figure 4a). Conversely, *Lgn-R/*+ had a stronger effect on the transcriptome in A619, with more than fivefold more DEGs in *Lgn-R/*+ plants (~6600) than in

© 2022 The Authors. The Plant Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.,

The Plant Journal, (2022), **112**, 881–896



Figure 3. nod;Lgn-R/+ double mutants exhibit more severe phenotypes than either single mutant in both A619 and B73 inbred backgrounds. (a) Blade width and length of leaf 8 were measured for all four genotypes in B73. The genotypes clearly separated into four groups, with the most severe growth

defects in the *nod;Lgn-R/*+ double mutants. (b) Plant height and blade width of leaf 8 were measured for all four genotypes in A619 at maturity. The genotypes clearly separated into four groups with

(b) Plant height and blade width of leaf 8 were measured for all four genotypes in A619 at maturity. The genotypes clearly separated into four groups with apparently additive effects on these traits in the nod;Lgn-R/+ double mutant.

(c) Photos of each genotype grown in the greenhouse. The B73 family was photographed prior to maturity for comparison to the severe genotypes. The A619 family was grown to maturity.

(d) Representative photos of leaf 2 for all four genotypes in B73. *Lgn-R/+* heterozyogtes have somewhat reduced ligules and *nod* homozygotes have severely reduced ligules, but the double *nod;Lgn-R/+* mutants have almost no visible ligule (top and middle panels). Moreover, auricles and any other indications of a defined blade-sheath boundary are somewhat disrupted in *nod* single mutants, but virtually absent in the double mutants (bottom panel).

(e) Leaf 8 of nod or nod; Lgn-R/+ mutants in the B73 background were photographed to highlight the enhanced growth defects in double mutants. Images are at the same scale.

nod plants (~1200 DEGs; Figure 4d). This result was initially surprising, as Lgn-R/+ plants show more potent defects in growth and development in B73 than in A619. As discussed in detail below, however, these transcriptomic results are supported by the mutant metabolomes,

which show the same relationship: *nod* is more metabolically disruptive in B73 and *Lgn-R*/+ is more metabolically disruptive in A619.

Although the sheer number of DEGs differed among the mutant genotypes, the vast majority of DEGs overlapped

LGN and NOD act in overlapping pathways in maize 887

between the *nod* and *Lgn-R/+* mutants, and virtually all of these DEGs were coordinately impacted (induced in all mutant genotypes). In B73, 1715 (91%) of the 1892 DEGs detected in *Lgn-R/+* were also detected as DEGs in *nod* (Figure 4a), and only one of these was oppositely impacted (induced in at least one mutant genotype and repressed in at least one other). To phrase this more directly, nearly all of the transcriptomic changes caused by *Lgn-R/+* were also caused by *nod* in the B73 background. Predictably, the vast majority of overlapping DEGs in both single mutants were also coordinately impacted DEGs in the double mutant. The same overall pattern holds true in A619: 65% of DEGs in *nod* overlapped with *Lgn-R/+* (864/1321 DEGs), and 99.9% of these DEGs were coordinately impacted (863/864 DEGs). Over 95% of the overlapping DEGs between the single mutants were also detected in the double mutant and, again, virtually all changes in gene expression were coordinate among the three genotypes. Therefore, at a transcriptional level, *Lgn-R/+* and *nod* act on almost fully overlapping pathways, although one mutant has a stronger overall impact on the maize transcriptome than the other depending on the genetic background.

We next focused on determining whether simultaneously disrupting LGN and NOD caused any transcriptomic effects that could not have been predicted from the single mutant transcriptomes (i.e. signatures of epistasis or synergy). Indeed, many more DEGs were detected in the double mutants than in either single mutant background, which was not surprising given their more severe phenotypes. We observed no clear cases of discord among the





(b) Heatmap showing changes in mRNA level (relative to wild-type) for any gene detected as significantly affected in at least one genotype in the B73 background [i.e. all DEGs in (a)]. Although many DEGs met stringent statistical significance thresholds only in *nod* or *nod;Lgn-R/*+, 85% of DEGs were coordinately impacted across all genotypes.

(c) Scatterplot showing the magnitude of change in gene expression for the 1409 DEGs significantly affected in all three genotypes in the B73 background, shown as logarithm of fold-change in expression (relative to wild-type) for single mutants (*x*-axis) versus the double mutant (*y*-axis). Almost none of these DEGs are oppositely affected in the genotypes, and the magnitude of effects is similar among all three genotypes (the slope of regression lines is ~1.0, which is shown with a red dashed line).

(d) DEGs detected in one, two or all three genotypes in the A619 background. Note that most nod DEGs were also detected in Lgn-R/+.

(e) Heatmap as in (b), but for the A619 background. Although many DEGs met stringent statistical significance thresholds only in Lgn-R/+ or nod;Lgn-R/+, 76% of DEGs were coordinately impacted across all genotypes.

(f) Scatterplot as in (c), but for the 824 DEGs affected in all mutants in the A619 background. Virtually all of these DEGs are coordinately impacted across the three genotypes. The magnitude of change in gene expression is somewhat greater in the double mutant (the slope of linear regression lines is 1.4 for Lgn-R/+ and 1.6 for nod, with $r^2 > 0.85$; a red dashed line shows what a slope of 1.0 would look like).

© 2022 The Authors.

transcriptomes, however, with nearly all of the overlapping DEGs between the double mutants and either single mutant coordinately induced or coordinately repressed (Figure 4b,e). In fact, if we ignored cutoffs for statistical significance and simply compared the change in gene expression for any gene detected as a DEG in one of the three mutant genotypes, almost all genes were still coordinately impacted (85% in B73 and 76% in A619, shown as clusters in Figure 4b and e, respectively). Thus, while there are certainly differences in mRNA levels among mutant genotypes in a given inbred background, the overall effects of *nod*, *Lgn-R/*+ or their combination in *nod;Lgn-R/*+ are extremely similar, clearly supporting the hypothesis that LGN and NOD act through comparable signal transduction pathways to coordinate nuclear gene expression.

Quantitatively, the DEGs overlapping among the single and double mutants generally showed a greater magnitude of differential expression in the double mutant in A619, where the double mutant had a much stronger growth and development phenotype than either single mutant. On average, in A619 the log₂(fold-changes in mRNA levels) in the double mutant were \sim 1.4 \times greater in magnitude than in Lgn-R/+, and \sim 1.6 \times greater in magnitude than in nod (Figure 4f). Thus, although the double mutant often coordinately impacted the expression of the same genes as the single mutants, the degree of differential expression showed some cumulative enhancement in the double mutants. We did not observe a similar cumulative effect in B73 (Figure 4c), perhaps because the nod phenotype is already so severe in the B73 background that the changes in gene expression are effectively already 'saturated' in the single mutants. This hypothesis is corroborated by the already very severe developmental phenotypes in nod single mutants.

Lastly, we analyzed the mutant transcriptomes using MapMan gene ontologies to identify the biological processes regulated by nod, Lgn-R/+, and the double mutants at the transcriptional level (Figure 5). Statistical tests for enrichment of MapMan gene ontologies revealed transcriptional induction of biotic stress responses and secondary/specialized metabolic pathways across the mutant genotypes, whereas genes involved in cell division and cell cycle progression are broadly repressed in the mutants (Figure 5a). These effects are similar to previously described transcriptional responses to Lan-R and nod in B73 (Anderson et al., 2019; Rosa et al., 2017). Given the scale of transcriptional reprogramming discovered in each mutant genotype, we focused especially on significantly induced categories of transcription factors that could mediate responses to *nod* and/or *Lgn-R/*+. Diverse genes of the family of APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR (AP2/ ERF)-like transcription factors, which often promote the expression of stress-response genes in plants, are significantly transcriptionally induced in nod, Lan-R/+ and nod; Lgn-R/+ in the B73 background (Figure 5b). Moreover, in both A619 and B73 backgrounds, mRNAs of genes that encode dozens of NAC- and WRKY-type transcription factors are also induced; NAC and WRKY transcription factors are also established mediators of abiotic and biotic stress responses in plants (Figure 5b; Fu & Dong, 2013; Nuruzzaman et al., 2013; Phukan et al., 2016; Puranik et al., 2012; Rushton et al., 2010; Shao et al., 2015; Viana et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2001). Overall, these transcriptional patterns illustrate the concept of 'growth-defense tradeoffs', with enhanced expression of genes involved in defense against pathogens and abiotic stress coupled with repressed expression of genes involved in cell division and growth.

Nod and *Lgn-R* cause similar disruptions to primary and secondary metabolism

Metabolomic analysis supported the hypothesis that nod and Lgn-R act on overlapping pathways that are most strongly affected in the double mutant. Principal components analysis of the metabolomes showed that the largest principal components (PC1: 38.1% of variation in B73. 38.9% of variation in A619; Figure 6a,b) in both A619 and B73 were sufficient to separate wild-type, nod, Lgn-R/+, and double mutants from each other in a linear 'spectrum'. Correlating with the order of phenotypic severity, in B73, the spectrum of PC1 showed that Lan-R/+ is most metabolically similar to wild-type, followed by nod, and finally the double mutant, whereas in A619, the spectrum of PC1 showed that nod is most metabolically similar to wildtype, followed by Lgn-R/+, and finally the double mutant. The second principal components (PC2: 15.7% of variation in B73, 11.8% of variation in A619; Figure 6a,b) could not be used to separate the genotypes, however, indicating that PC2 reflects individual-to-individual variation independent of nod and Lgn-R. Overall, this result suggests that nod and Lgn-R broadly impact the same metabolic processes (represented here by PC1) and that the double mutant cumulatively exacerbates these metabolic impacts.

Upon closer examination of the many compounds that differentially accumulate in the mutants, a consensus pattern emerges: in nod, Lgn-R/+, and nod;Lgn-R/+ mutants, metabolites involved in biotic stress responses are induced while metabolites involved in growth and development, such as free amino acids, cell wall synthesis intermediates, and growth-regulating phytohormones, are dysregulated. Phytoalexins, including terpenoids (e.g., kauralexins and zealexins) and benzoxazinoids (e.g., 6methoxybenzoxazolinone or MBOA), are strongly induced across all genotypes (Figure 6c). Phytoalexins are secondary metabolites with antimicrobial properties that are most often synthesized upon pathogen attack as a defense response, but are rarely detected at significant levels in healthy plants (Ahuja et al., 2012). Although phytoalexins were strongly induced in all mutant genotypes, different



Figure 5. Gene ontology analysis of biological processes impacted at the transcriptional level in nod, Lgn-R/+ and nod;Lgn-R/+ mutants.

(a) Select significantly impacted processes identified by MapMan in the double mutants are shown, with *P*-value for the category (as determined by a Mann-Whitney test with the Benjamini-Yekutieli procedure to correct for false-positives) indicated by shade of green, box-whisker plots drawn with Tukey's method showing the logarithm of the change in mRNA level for genes (relative to wild-type) in the indicated category, and arranged in order by median change in gene expression for the category. Genes involved in stress signaling and responses were induced in the mutants, such as receptor-like kinases (RLKs), redox stress-related peroxidases and glutathione S-transferases, lipid transfer proteins (LTPs), stress-induced GDSL-type lipases, and categories involved in specialized/sec-ondary metabolism (including cytochrome p450 enzymes and transporters). In contrast, genes involved in cell cycle progression and cell division were largely repressed in the mutants.

(b) Significantly induced categories of transcription factors (TFs) shown as box-whisker plots [as in (a)] for all three mutant genotypes in both inbreds. TF families strongly associated with promoting stress responses, including AP2/ERF, NAC and WRKY TFs, were strongly induced across genotypes.

(c) Cartoon suggesting that loss of cell-surface proteins LGN and/or NOD de-represses stress response transcriptional networks [as seen in (a)] that is mediated, in part, by the potent transcriptional induction of stress responses TFs [as seen in (b)].

families of phytoalexins were induced depending on the genetic background, perhaps reflecting differences in immune signaling and/or metabolic pathways in these distinct inbred lines. In B73, benzoxazinoids are induced in the single and double mutants, with slightly stronger induction in the double mutants. In A619, terpenoids are instead somewhat induced in all mutants, although the most significant and drastic effect is only observed in the *nod;Lgn-R/*+ double mutant.

Because the principal components analysis confirmed that the double mutants represent the cumulative effects observed in both *nod* and *Lgn-R/*+ single mutants, here we will focus our detailed metabolomic analysis on the double mutants in the A619 and B73 backgrounds. Dozens of metabolites accumulated to significantly different levels in the mutants (Figure 6d). The most extreme example is ascorbic acid: in the B73 background, ascorbic acid levels were approximately twofold decreased in *Lgn-R*/+ mutants, but barely detected at all in *nod* or *nod*; *Lgn-R*/+ mutants. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) scavenges reactive oxygen species (ROS) to limit redox stress; the low levels of ascorbic acid in these mutants correlates with the observed induction of redox stress and ROS levels previously described in *nod* leaves (Rosa et al., 2017). Hormone metabolism is disrupted (Figure 6d, blue bars), including overaccumulation of auxins, oxylipins (the class of hormones that includes jasmonic acid), cytokinin-like benzothiazole, and salicylic acid-related

© 2022 The Authors. The Plant Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.,

The Plant Journal, (2022), **112**, 881–896



Figure 6. Metabolomic analyses confirm that NOD and LGN act in overlapping pathways to coordinate plant physiology and development. (a,b) Principal components analysis of untargeted metabolomic analyses of wild-type (red), *Lgn-R/*+ (blue), *nod* (yellow) and *nod;Lgn-R/*+ (green) seedling shoots in either B73 (a) or A619 (b) genetic backgrounds revealed that a single principal component, PC1, accounts for over 38% of variation among samples. PC1 can be used to distinguish all four genotypes along a linear 'spectrum' of phenotypic severity, with the double mutant representing the cumulative effects of *nod* and *Lgn-R/*+ on overlapping metabolic pathways.

(c) Phytoalexins overaccumulate in all mutants, with the highest levels observed in *nod;Lgn-R/*+ double mutants. The class of phytoalexins induced was different between the two genetic backgrounds: in B73, benzoxazinoids (MBOA, HDMBOA-glucose) were induced, whereas in A619, terpenoids (kauralexins) were induced.

(d) Significantly affected metabolites (*P* < 0.10, Student's *t*-test) quantified in the untargeted metabolomic analyses of the double mutant *nod;Lgn-R/+* compared with wild-type are shown here, color-coded by various categories. Many of these metabolites are responsible for the variation described by PC1 in (a) and (b). In both backgrounds, free amino acids, phytoalexins and phytohormones generally accumulated to higher levels in the mutants, whereas flavonoids, cell wall metabolites and primary metabolites accumulated to lower levels in the mutants.

compounds (precursor anthranilic acid and catabolism product catechol). Overaccumulation of any of these compounds on their own would likely disrupt plant development and physiology, so the coordinated induction of these compounds in the *nod;Lgn-R*/+ plants likely contributes to their pleiotropic phenotypes. the mutants (Figure 6d, yellow bars), indicating that protein synthesis is inefficient, which would correlate with the extreme nod;Lgn-R/+ growth defects. Similarly, the extremely low levels of cell wall synthesis intermediates, including the chlorogenic acids required for lignin biosynthesis, may also correlate with reduced cell wall expansion (i.e. reduced growth) and disrupted developmental patterning (Figure 6d, green bars). Indeed, phloroglucinol staining of leaf and stem cross-sections in *nod* mutants previously revealed the absence of differentiated lignified cells that were present in wild-type stems and leaves (Rosa et al., 2017). Lastly, many intermediates in primary metabolism, including citric acid cycle and glycolysis intermediates, are significantly downregulated in the double mutants (Figure 6d, gray bars), confirming that the severe growth defects in *nod;Lgn-R/*+ plants correlate with the disruption of the core metabolic pathways.

Finally, we hypothesized that some of the metabolic changes in the mutants could be due to specific transcriptional changes in gene expression. Metabolism is often controlled not only at the transcriptional level, but also by post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression and various biochemical feedback mechanisms acting on enzymes, so core metabolite levels are not necessarily expected to correlate with enzymeencoding mRNA levels. Pathogen and herbivore defense metabolites, however, are often synthesized by highly specialized pathways that are transcriptionally induced only under certain circumstances, such upon recognition of a pathogen infection. Here, for example, we did observe significant, strong transcriptional induction of genes encoding enzymes that promote terpenoid synthesis, including TERPENE SYNTHASE. In contrast to the coordinated induction of terpenoid synthesis genes and accumulation of terpenoid phytoalexins in the mutants, not every secondary metabolic change correlated with the transcriptome. Consistently, in all mutants and both backgrounds, flavonoid levels were significantly lower (Figure 6d). FLAVONOL SYNTHASE transcripts were significantly induced in the mutants, however. These results illustrate that enzyme transcript levels do not always positively correlate to the level of their chemical products, as has been demonstrated repeatedly in other conditions and biological systems (Fernie & Stitt, 2012; Melandri et al., 2022).

DISCUSSION

Here, we demonstrated that two maize proteins localized to the plasma membrane, the putative mechanosensitive Ca²⁺ channel NOD and the RLK LGN, physically associate with each other at the molecular level, and coordinate largely overlapping signaling networks with striking effects on maize development and physiology. Genetic

disruption of either NOD or LGN activity in the *nod* mutants or semi-dominant negative (antimorph) *Lgn-R/+* mutants is sufficient to disrupt growth, but complete loss of both gene functions in double *nod;Lgn-R/+* mutants causes even more severe phenotypes. Using unbiased profiling approaches to define molecular phenotypes of the single and double mutants, we found that all three geno-types reprogram maize biology to promote stress and pathogen defense responses at the cost of reducing resources allocated to growth and development, an illus-tration of the 'growth-defense trade-off' hypothesis.

LGN and NOD act in overlapping pathways in maize 891

The precise molecular function of NOD remains unclear, although its downstream effects are consistent and reproducible: NOD is required to suppress a stressresponsive nuclear transcriptional program. NOD encodes a PLAC8 domain and is structurally related to genes including CELL NUMBER REGULATOR 1 (CNR1) in maize and FW2.2, a gene responsible for a major quantitative trait locus for fruit weight in tomato (Frary et al., 2000; Guo et al., 2010). Moreover, a recent study found that some polymorphisms in NOD alleles among maize genotypes are significantly associated with yield-related traits in ear morphology (Zuo et al., 2021). Thus, NOD and NOD-like proteins play crucial roles in agricultural species, and they could even be useful targets for plant breeders (Beauchet et al., 2021). Mechanistically, the Arabidopsis orthologs of NOD were first identified for their ability to complement loss of a Ca²⁺ channel in yeast (and thus named MID1-COMPLEMENTING ACTIVITY or MCA), hinting that NOD could act as a plasma membrane Ca²⁺ channel (Nakagawa et al., 2007), although there have been conflicting reports using different methodologies to test whether NOD is capable of trafficking ions across plasma membranes in heterologous Xenopus oocyte systems (Furuichi et al., 2012; Rosa et al., 2017). Regardless, this study reveals that NOD acts on pathways that substantially overlap with the LGN-regulated pathways in maize cells, implying that studies of the cellular networks downstream of both NOD and LGN may be more biologically informative than continued debates on the precise molecular activity of the NOD protein.

nod and Lgn-R mutants both show strong backgrounddependent phenotypes. In both cases, the phenotypes are most severe in the B73 background, moderate in the A619 background, and mild in the Mo17 background. Quantitative trait association mapping showed that a single locus, *Sympathy for the Ligule (Sol)*, is largely responsible for the background-dependent defects in Lgn-R between B73 and Mo17 (Buescher et al., 2014). *Sol* encodes an ortholog of Arabidopsis ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE 4 (EDR4), a protein that represses MAP kinase signaling networks through molecular interactions with the upstream MAP3K (MEKK) ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE 1 (EDR1; Anderson et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2015). Phosphoproteomic

© 2022 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., The Plant Journal (2002) 112, 891,896

analysis of Lgn-R/+ mutants hinted that Lgn might function in a common RLK role by repressing a MAP kinase cascade that activates stress-responsive WRKY transcription factors, and that the Mo17 allele of Sol is capable of partially repressing that MAP kinase cascade, whereas the B73 allele of Sol cannot (Anderson et al., 2019). Sol mRNA levels increase upon exposure to flg22, an elicitor of pathogen responses in maize, although the effect is acute and transient. We did not observe significant induction of Sol mRNA levels in any pairwise comparison between single or double mutants in either inbred background, but we did note that Sol mRNA levels tend to increase in the single and double mutants in the A619 background (induction approximately threefold, $P \sim 0.20$). The genetic modifiers responsible for background-dependent phenotypes of nod remain unknown but, considering the similar effects of nod and Lgn-R/+ on stress-inducible transcriptional programs, we speculate nod modifiers might also participate in regulating immunity signaling pathways, which will be a focus of future investigations.

One of the striking results of this study is the contrast at the molecular scale between phenotypic effects in the A619 and B73 inbred backgrounds. From a large-scale view, all of the mutants have comparable effects on growth, transcriptional programs and metabolism, although they vary considerably in the magnitude of their impact. Fine-scale analysis reveals that the specific genes or metabolites induced or repressed in B73 and A619 are not easily predicted from one background to the next, however (Supplementary Dataset S1). This could be a result of upstream genetic modifiers that reorient signal transduction from NOD and LGN to the nucleus, or could be a consequence of differences in genetic regulatory elements downstream of NOD and LGN. The latter hypothesis is well-supported by the literature: genes involved in specialized metabolism, stress responses, and pathogen defense are rapidly evolving and highly variable within populations, but are typically induced by a core set of common signal transduction pathways (stress signaling 'hubs'; Guo et al., 2018; Lacchini & Goossens, 2020; Margalha et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). Overall, these findings demonstrate the critical importance of investigating how even severe mutant phenotypes can be differentially expressed in distinct genetic backgrounds, especially in agricultural species with the incredible genetic diversity of maize.

Regardless of genetic background, the *nod;Lgn-R/*+ double mutants always exhibited more severe defects than either single mutant, directly demonstrating that *nod* and *Lgn-R* are not epistatic to each other. If NOD and LGN universally acted in a heteromeric complex with each other, or if LGN-catalyzed phosphorylation of NOD was required to mediate signal transduction from LGN, then we would have expected to observe epistasis in the double mutant.

Alternatively, if NOD and LGN acted in entirely independent pathways, we might have expected to see partial overlap in effects between each single mutant and the double (purely 'additive' effects), but little overlap between the single mutants. Instead, all three genotypes primarily impacted the same biological processes in every phenotype we measured, with coordinate effects on growth, developmental patterning, transcriptional networks, and metabolism. Therefore, we hypothesize that NOD and LGN act on signal transduction pathways that partially converge in maize cells, and propose that NOD and LGN could sometimes (although not always) work in concert at the plasma membrane to sense cues or transduce signals to the cytoplasm.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant growth conditions, genotypes and phenotyping

To generate the double mutant in A619, *Lgn1-R/+* was crossed to A619 and that plant was crossed to homozygous *nod* mutants in A619. *Lgn1-R* plants from this cross were crossed again to *nod* homozygotes in A619 generating family 2431. To generate the double mutant in B73, *Lgn1-R/+* plants were crossed to plants heterozygous for *nod* in B73. *Lgn1-R/+* plants genotyped to identify the *nod* heterozygotes were crossed again to *nod* heterozygotes generating family 2729.

Family 2431 (A619) was grown in Gill Tract, UC Berkeley for measurements at maturity and grown in the greenhouse in peat pots for RNAseq and metabolomics analysis. Metabolomic analysis was carried out using the second leaf blade at 28 days after sowing. RNAseq was carried out on the same family at 4 weeks after sowing with 1-cm pieces of the shoot apex after removing three-five leaves.

Family 2729 (B73) was grown in 2-gal pots in the greenhouse to maturity for photographs and measurements, and in peat pots for metabolomics and RNAseq. Metabolomic analysis was carried out using the second leaf blade at 25 days after sowing. RNAseq was carried out on the same plants with 1-cm pieces of the shoot apex after removing two-four leaves.

CoIP screen

Ten grams of wild-type SAM maize tissue was used for each replicate, and *nod-1* alleles were used as a negative control. Membrane proteins were extracted using buffer A [50 mm Tris-HCI, pH 7.5, 150 mm NaCl, 2% IGEPAL-CA-630 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and $1 \times$ protease inhibitor mix (Roche, Basel, Basel-Stadt, Switzerland)]. Protein complex was purified using Dynabeads Epoxy M270 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Anti NOD antibody covalently coupled. After four washes with $1 \times PBS + T$, bound target proteins were eluted with a soft elution buffer [50 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.2% SDS, 0.1% Tween 20]. The complex was precipitated with acetone and then separated by SDS-PAGE. Western blot with specific antibodies confirmed the presence of the bait protein. Protein complex was in-gel trypsin digested and analyzed by LC-MS/MS, using a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For protein identification, Uniprot Zea mays database in the Mascot package was used. Results were exported into Scaffold v4.4.6 (Proteome Software, Portland, OR, USA).

Y2H screen

The ULTImate Y2H screen was carried out by HYBRIGENICS SER-VICES (Paris, France) using a *Z. mays* vegetative SAM, ear/tassel inflorescence cDNA library. The NOD full-length cDNA was used as bait and N-terminally fused to the DNA-binding domain in the pB66 vector.

BiFC complementation

Full coding sequences of *nod*, *Lgn* and *nod-2* were amplified using specific primers, cloned into the pENTR D vector (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), and transferred to BiFC vectors pB7WGYN2 or pB7WGYC2 by LR recombination. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 was transformed with BiFC constructs. Agroinfiltration into *N. benthamiana* leaves was performed as described previously (Bolduc & Hake, 2009). Twenty-four hours after agroinfiltration, leaves were observed under LSM710 confocal microscopy (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Baden-Württemberg, Germany) with 470-nm excitation and 535-nm emission filters.

In vitro kinase experiments

To produce recombinant NOD, LGN and Lgn-R protein, cDNAs corresponding to the full-length with no transmembrane domain were amplified and cloned into pDEST15 (Invitrogen). Escherichia coli BL21 was transformed with constructs, and GSTtagged proteins were induced in 500 mL of media for 3 h with 1 mm isopropylthio-B-galactoside (IPTG) when OD₆₀₀ was 0.5. Bacterial pellet was collected by centrifugation and lysed using B-PER[™] Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Soluble protein was purified with 200 µL of glutathione agarose beads slurry (Sigma), gently shaking for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were washed five times with $1 \times PBS + Tween 20$. Purified proteins were eluted with 10 mm reduced glutathione buffer. The identity of each band (labeled in Figure 2a) was confirmed by Western blot. For kinase assays, 10 µg of protein in 10 µl was mixed with 2 μ l of 10 \times kinase buffer (125 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 25 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA), 1 μ I [γ -P] ATP (3000 Ci/mM) and H₂O, and incubated for 30 min at 25°C. SDS-PAGE loading buffer (250 mм Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 30% glycerol, 0.1 м DTT, 0.02% Bromophenol Blue) was added to each sample to stop the reaction. Samples were boiled and separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels. Autoradiography was carried out for detection. For fluorescent detection, cold ATP was used in the kinase assays at 200 µm and detection was using ProQ diamond stain (Invitrogen).

Protein mass spectrometry

The mass spectrometry instrument used to analyze the samples was a Xevo G2 QTof coupled to a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Samples were loaded onto a C18 Waters Trizaic nanotile of 85 um \times 100 mm; 1.7 μ m (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). RAW files were processed using Protein Lynx Global Server (PLGS) version 2.5.3 (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). For viewing, PLGS search results were exported into Scaffold v4.4.6 (Proteome Software, Portland, OR, USA).

RNAseq analysis

RNAseq was performed as previously described (Busche et al., 2021; Scarpin et al., 2020). Briefly, sequenced reads were aligned to the maize genome (B73 Refgen V3) with HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015) and counted with HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). Differential

transcript abundance was determined with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). Functional analysis of DEGs was performed with MapMan gene annotation (Lohse et al., 2014; Thimm et al., 2004; Usadel et al., 2009).

Untargeted metabolomics

Ground and frozen tissue (50 mg) in 1.5-ml fast prep tubes was carefully thawed to 4°C then transferred to ice. An internal standard mix of 12 µl containing caffeine, D6-abscisic acid, D5iasmonic acid, D5-cinnamic acid, D5-indole-3-acetic acid, 13Calpha linolenic acid and nicotine at 8.33 μ g mL⁻¹ was added and plant metabolite extractions were performed as described (Chris-Ultra-high-performance tensen et al... 2021). liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRMS) was carried out on both a Q-Exactive and Fusion mass spectrometer coupled to a Vanguish LC System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) by reverse phase gradient elution using an ACE Excel 2 C18-PFP column (2.1 µm, 100 mm) in full scan, ddMS2 and ddMSn in positive (injection volume 2 μ L) and negative (injection volume 4 µl) ion modes, and the data were acquired, processed, normalized, filtered and metabolites identified using MZmine 2 (Pluskal et al., 2010) and Metaboanalyst 4.0 (Chong et al., 2018) software as described (Christensen et al., 2021).

The benzoxazinoids MBOA (NIST 2000; Sigma aldrich 2015), HDMBOA (Glauser et al., 2011; Tsugawa et al., 2019) and HDMBOA-Glu (Glauser et al., 2011; Marti et al., 2013) were detected in positive mode at eV 20, 35, 50, 100 (MBOA), eV 20, 35, 50 (HDMBOA), and eV 20, 50, 100 (HDMBOA-Glu). Comparative spectral referencing for these compounds was performed using MS2 diagnostic fragmentation peaks (Glauser et al., 2011; Marti et al., 2013; Tsugawa et al., 2019), RT and accurate mass data available on the MassBank, NIST, mzCloud and GNPS databases. Mass Frontier 8.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to accurately annotate and assign fragmentation structures of the MSn spectral data, and the Mass Frontier fragmentation library and Fragment Ion Search function (FISh) was utilized to estimate and assign metabolite secondary fragmentation structures. The mzLogic function was also used to further confirm fragment annotation though matching individual MSn substituent groups to existing substituent fragmentation spectra via the mzCloud database. Documentation on MSn fragmentation annotation can be found in supplementary tables (Supplementary Dataset S2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Samuel Leiboff and Alyssa A. Anderson for assistance with experiments and analyses. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health OD DP5-023072 to J.O.B., the National Science Foundation ECA-PGR 1733606 to S.H., the UC-Mexus CONACYT collaborative grant CN-19-149 to M.J.A.-J. and S.H., and a UC-Mexus CONACYT postdoctoral fellowship FE-14-32 to M.J.A.-J.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MJA-J, MB, CL, SH and JOB designed the research; MJA-J, MB, CL, JW, SAC, CTH, SH and JOB performed the research; JW, SAC and CTH contributed analytic tools for quantifying metabolite levels; MJA-J, MB, CL, JW, SAC, CTH, SH and JOB analyzed data; and MJA-J, MB, CL, SH and JOB wrote the manuscript.

894 María Jazmín Abraham-Juárez et al.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Sequencing data have been deposited at NCBI SRA, SUB12029433. Additionally, all data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Supplementary Dataset S1. Transcriptomes and metabolomes of single and double mutants in B73 and A619 backgrounds.

Supplementary Dataset S2. MSn fragmentation annotation.

REFERENCES

- Ahuja, I., Kissen, R. & Bones, A.M. (2012) Phytoalexins in defense against pathogens. Trends in Plant Science, 17, 73–90. https://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S1360138511002457
- Albert, I., Hua, C., Nürnberger, T., Pruitt, R.N. & Zhang, L. (2020) Surface sensor Systems in Plant Immunity. *Plant Physiology*, **182**, 1582–1596. https://academic.oup.com/plphys/article/182/4/1582-1596/6116281
- Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., Huber, W., S, A., PT, P. & W, H. (2015) HTSeq-A python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 31, 166–169.
- Anderson, A., Aubin, B.S., Abraham-Juárez, M.J., Leiboff, S., Shen, Z., Briggs, S. et al. (2019) The second site modifier, sympathy for the ligule, encodes a homolog of arabidopsis ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE4 and rescues the liguleless narrow maize mutant. *Plant Cell*, **31**, 1829– 1844.
- Bailey-Serres, J., Parker, J.E., Ainsworth, E.A., Oldroyd, G.E.D. & Schroeder, J.I. (2019) Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature*, 575, 109– 118. http://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-019-1679-0
- Beauchet, A., Gévaudant, F., Gonzalez, N. & Chevalier, C. (2021) In search of the still unknown function of FW2.2/CELL NUMBER REGULATOR, a major regulator of fruit size in tomato J. Murray, ed. Journal of Experimental Botany, 72, 5300–5311. https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article/72/ 15/5300/6273779
- Bi, G., Su, M., Li, N., Liang, Y., Dang, S., Xu, J. et al. (2021) The ZAR1 resistosome is a calcium-permeable channel triggering plant immune signaling. *Cell*, 184, 3528–3541.e12. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0092867421006000
- Bolduc, N. & Hake, S. (2009) The maize transcription Factor KNOTTED1 directly regulates the gibberellin catabolism gene ga2ox1. *Plant Cell*, 21, 1647–1658. https://academic.oup.com/plcell/article/21/6/1647/6095408
- Bomblies, K. & Weigel, D. (2007) Hybrid necrosis: autoimmunity as a potential gene-flow barrier in plant species. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 8, 382–393. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=17404584
- Brunkard, J.O. (2020) Exaptive evolution of target of rapamycin signaling in multicellular eukaryotes. *Developmental Cell*, 54, 142–155. https:// linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1534580720305013
- Buescher, E.M., Moon, J., Runkel, A., Hake, S. & Dilkes, B.P. (2014) Natural variation at sympathy for the ligule controls penetrance of the Semidominant Liguleless narrow-R mutation in Zea mays. G3 Genes Genomes Genetics, 4, 2297–2306. https://academic.oup.com/ g3journal/article/4/12/2297/6025848
- Busche, M., Scarpin, M.R., Hnasko, R. & Brunkard, J.O. (2021) TOR coordinates nucleotide availability with ribosome biogenesis in plants. *Plant Cell*, 33, 1615–1632. http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/pdf/production in progress.pdf
- Chong, J., Soufan, O., Li, C., Caraus, I., Li, S., Bourque, G. et al. (2018) MetaboAnalyst 4.0: towards more transparent and integrative metabolomics analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46, W486–W494. https://academic. oup.com/nar/article/46/W1/W486/4995686

- Christensen, S.A., Santana, E.A., Alborn, H.T., Block, A.K. & Chamberlain, C.A. (2021) Metabolomics by UHPLC-HRMS reveals the impact of heat stress on pathogen-elicited immunity in maize. *Metabolomics*, **17**, 6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33400019
- DeFalco, T.A. & Zipfel, C. (2021) Molecular mechanisms of early plant pattern-triggered immune signaling. *Molecular Cell*, 81, 3449–3467. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1097276521005980
- Dievart, A., Gottin, C., Périn, C., Ranwez, V. & Chantret, N. (2020) Origin and diversity of plant receptor-like kinases. *Annual Review of Plant Biol*ogy, 71, 131–156. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-073019-025927
- Fernie, A.R. & Stitt, M. (2012) On the discordance of metabolomics with proteomics and transcriptomics: coping with increasing complexity in logic, chemistry, and network interactions scientific correspondence. *Plant Physiology*, **158**, 1139–1145. https://academic.oup.com/plphys/ article/158/3/1139-1145/6109138
- Flint-Garcia, S.A., Thuillet, A.-C., Yu, J., Pressoir, G., Romero, S.M., Mitchell, S.E. et al. (2005) Maize association population: a high-resolution platform for quantitative trait locus dissection. *The Plant Journal*, 44, 1054– 1064. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02591.x
- Frary, A., Nesbitt, T.C., Frary, A., Grandillo, S., Knaap, E.v.d., Cong, B. et al. (2000) fw2.2: a quantitative trait locus key to the evolution of tomato fruit size. *Science (80-.)*, 289, 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5476. 85%5Cnhttp://www.sciencemag.org/content/289/5476/85.short
- Fu, Z.O. & Dong, X. (2013) Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 64, 839–863. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23373699
- Furuichi, T., Iida, H., Sokabe, M. & Tatsumi, H. (2012) Expression of arabidopsis MCA1 enhanced mechanosensitive channel activity in the Xenopus laevis oocyte plasma membrane. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 7, 1022–1026. Available at:. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.20783 Accessed October 15, 2015.
- Glauser, G., Marti, G., Villard, N., Doyen, G.A., Wolfender, J.-L., Turlings, T.C.J. et al. (2011) Induction and detoxification of maize 1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones by insect herbivores. *The Plant Journal*, 68, 901–911. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04740.x
- Gou, X. & Li, J. (2020) Paired receptor and coreceptor kinases perceive extracellular signals to control plant development. *Plant Physiology*, **182**, 1667– 1681. https://academic.oup.com/plphys/article/182/4/1667-1681/6116360
- Guo, M., Rupe, M.A., Dieter, J.A., Zou, J., Spielbauer, D., Duncan, K.E. et al. (2010) Cell number regulator1 affects plant and organ size in maize: implications for crop yield enhancement and heterosis. *Plant Cell*, 22, 1057–1073. Available at:. http://www.plantcell.org/content/22/4/1057.short Accessed October 15, 2015.
- Guo, Q., Major, I.T. & Howe, G.A. (2018) Resolution of growth-defense conflict: mechanistic insights from jasmonate signaling. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 44, 72–81. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S1369526617301978
- Huot, B., Yao, J., Montgomery, B.L. & He, S.Y. (2014) Growth-defense tradeoffs in plants: a balancing act to optimize fitness. *Molecular Plant*, 7, 1267–1287.
- Jacob, P., Kim, N.H., Wu, F., el-Kasmi, F., Chi, Y., Walton, W.G. et al. (2021) Plant "helper" immune receptors are ca 2+ –permeable nonselective cation channels. *Science (80-.)*, 373, 420–425. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abg7917
- Jiao, Y., Zhao, H., Ren, L., Song, W., Zeng, B., Guo, J. et al. (2012) Genomewide genetic changes during modern breeding of maize. *Nature Genetics*, 44, 812–815. http://www.nature.com/articles/ng.2312
- Karasov, T.L., Chae, E., Herman, J.J. & Bergelson, J. (2017) Mechanisms to mitigate the trade-off between growth and defense. *Plant Cell*, 29, 666– 680. https://academic.oup.com/plcell/article/29/4/666-680/6100945
- Karavolias, N.G., Horner, W., Abugu, M.N. & Evanega, S.N. (2021) Application of gene editing for climate change in agriculture. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.685801
- Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L. (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*, **12**, 357–360.
- Lacchini, E. & Goossens, A. (2020) Combinatorial control of plant specialized metabolism: mechanisms, functions, and consequences. *Annual Review* of Cell and Developmental Biology, 36, 291–313. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-cellbio-011620-031429
- Li, F., Pignatta, D., Bendix, C., Brunkard, J.O., Cohn, M.M., Tung, J. et al. (2012) MicroRNA regulation of plant innate immune receptors.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **109**, 1790–1795.

- Liu, K., Goodman, M., Muse, S., Smith, J.S., Buckler, E. & Doebley, J. (2003) Genetic structure and diversity among maize inbred lines as inferred from DNA microsatellites. *Genetics*, 165, 2117–2128. https://academic. oup.com/genetics/article/165/4/2117/6050529
- Liu, K., Niu, Y., Konishi, M., Wu, Y., du, H., Sun Chung, H. et al. (2017) Discovery of nitrate–CPK–NLP signalling in central nutrient–growth networks. *Nature*, 545, 311–316. http://www.nature.com/articles/nature22077
- Lohse, M., Nagel, A., Herter, T. et al. (2014) Mercator: a fast and simple web server for genome scale functional annotation of plant sequence data. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **37**, 1250–1258.
- Lorenz, A. & Hoegemeyer, T. (2013) The phylogenetic relationships of US maize germplasm. *Nature Genetics*, 45, 844–845. http://www.nature.com/ articles/ng.2697
- Love, M.I., Anders, S. and Huber, W. (2014) Differential analysis of count data - the DESeq2 package, Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/002832% 5Cnhttps://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Luan, S. & Wang, C. (2021) Calcium signaling mechanisms across kingdoms. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 37, 311–340. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-120219-035210
- Lutt, N. & Brunkard, J.O. (2022) Amino acid signaling for TOR in eukaryotes: sensors, transducers, and a sustainable agricultural fuTORe. *Biomolecules*, 12, 387. https://www.mdpi.com/2218-273X/12/3/387
- Margalha, L., Confraria, A. & Baena-González, E. (2019) SnRK1 and TOR: modulating growth-defense trade-offs in plant stress responses. *Journal* of Experimental Botany, 70, 2261–2274. https://academic.oup.com/jxb/ article/70/8/2261/5355779
- Marti, G., Erb, M., Boccard, J. et al. (2013) Metabolomics reveals herbivoreinduced metabolites of resistance and susceptibility in maize leaves and roots. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 36, 621–639. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce. 12002
- Melandri, G., Monteverde, E., Riewe, D., AbdElgawad, H., McCouch, S.R. & Bouwmeester, H. (2022) Can biochemical traits bridge the gap between genomics and plant performance? A study in rice under drought. *Plant Physiol*, **189**, 1139–1152. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac053/6528862
- Monson, R.K., Trowbridge, A.M., Lindroth, R.L. & Lerdau, M.T. (2022) Coordinated resource allocation to plant growth-defense tradeoffs. *The New Phytologist*, 233, 1051–1066. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17773
- Moon, J., Candela, H. & Hake, S. (2013) The Liguleless narrow mutation affects proximal-distal signaling and leaf growth. *Development*, 140, 405–412. https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article/140/2/405/76674/The-Liguleless-narrow-mutation-affects-proximal
- Mori, K., Renhu, N., Naito, M., Nakamura, A., Shiba, H., Yamamoto, T. et al. (2018) Ca2+-permeable mechanosensitive channels MCA1 and MCA2 mediate cold-induced cytosolic Ca2+ increase and cold tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 550. http://www.nature.com/articles/ s41598-017-17483-y
- Mousavi, S.A.R., Dubin, A.E., Zeng, W.-Z., Coombs, A.M., do, K., Ghadiri, D.A. et al. (2021) PIEZO ion channel is required for root mechanotransduction in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **118**, e2102188118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102188118
- Nakagawa, Y., Katagiri, T., Shinozaki, K., Qi, Z., Tatsumi, H., Furuichi, T. et al. (2007) Arabidopsis plasma membrane protein crucial for Ca2+ influx and touch sensing in roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 3639–3644. Available at:. http://www.pnas.org/content/104/9/3639.short Accessed October 15, 2015.
- Nuruzzaman, M., Sharoni, A.M. & Kikuchi, S. (2013) Roles of NAC transcription factors in the regulation of biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013. 00248
- Perraki, A., DeFalco, T.A., Derbyshire, P., Avila, J., Séré, D., Sklenar, J. et al. (2018) Phosphocode-dependent functional dichotomy of a common coreceptor in plant signalling. *Nature*, 561, 248–252. http://www.nature. com/articles/s41586-018-0471-x
- Phukan, U.J., Jeena, G.S. & Shukla, R.K. (2016) WRKY transcription factors: molecular regulation and stress responses in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00760
- Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A. & Orešič, M. (2010) MZmine 2: modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass

spectrometry-based molecular profile data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**, 395. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395

- Puranik, S., Sahu, P.P., Srivastava, P.S. & Prasad, M. (2012) NAC proteins: regulation and role in stress tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science*, **17**, 369– 381. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1360138512000428
- Radin, I., Richardson, R.A., Coomey, J.H., Weiner, E.R., Bascom, C.S., Li, T. et al. (2021) Plant PIEZO homologs modulate vacuole morphology during tip growth. *Science (80-.)*, 373, 586–590. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abe6310
- Richardson, A.E., Cheng, J., Johnston, R., Kennaway, R., Conlon, B.R., Rebocho, A.B. et al. (2021) Evolution of the grass leaf by primordium extension and petiole-lamina remodeling. *Science (80-.)*, **374**, 1377–1381. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf9407
- Rosa, M., Abraham-Juárez, M.J., Lewis, M.W., Fonseca, J.P., Tian, W., Ramirez, V. et al. (2017) The maize MID-COMPLEMENTING ACTIVITY homolog CELL NUMBER REGULATOR13/NARROW ODD DWARF coordinates organ growth and tissue patterning. *Plant Cell*, 29, 474–490. https:// academic.oup.com/plcell/article/29/3/474-490/6099006
- Rushton, P.J., Somssich, I.E., Ringler, P. & Shen, Q.J. (2010) WRKY transcription factors. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15, 247–258. https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1360138510000324
- Scarpin, M.R., Leiboff, S. & Brunkard, J.O. (2020) Parallel global profiling of plant tor dynamics reveals a conserved role for larp1 in translation. *eLife*, 9, 1–34. https://elifesciences.org/articles/58795
- Shao, H., Wang, H. & Tang, X. (2015) NAC transcription factors in plant multiple abiotic stress responses: progress and prospects. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00902
- Sluis, A. & Hake, S. (2015) Organogenesis in plants: initiation and elaboration of leaves. *Trends in Genetics*, 31, 300–306. https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168952515000797
- Szczesny, R., Büttner, D., Escolar, L., Schulze, S., Seiferth, A. & Bonas, U. (2010) Suppression of the AvrBs1-specific hypersensitive response by the YopJ effector homolog AvrBsT from Xanthomonas depends on a SNF1-related kinase. *The New Phytologist*, **187**, 1058–1074. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03346.x
- Thimm, O., Bläsing, O., Gibon, Y., Nagel, A., Meyer, S., Krüger, P. et al. (2004) MAPMAN: a user-driven tool to display genomics data sets onto diagrams of metabolic pathways and other biological processes. *The Plant Journal*, **37**, 914–939.
- Tsugawa, H., Nakabayashi, R., Mori, T., Yamada, Y., Takahashi, M., Rai, A. et al. (2019) A cheminformatics approach to characterize metabolomes in stable-isotope-labeled organisms. *Nature Methods*, 16, 295–298. http:// www.nature.com/articles/s41592-019-0358-2
- Usadel, B., Poree, F., Nagel, A., Lohse, M., Czedik-Eysenberg, A. & Stitt, M. (2009) A guide to using MapMan to visualize and compare omics data in plants: a case study in the crop species, Maize. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 32, 1211–1229. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01978.x
- Viana, V.E., Busanello, C., da Maia, L.C., Pegoraro, C. & Costa de Oliveira, A. (2018) Activation of rice WRKY transcription factors: an army of stress fighting soldiers? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **45**, 268–275. https:// linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1369526617302352
- Walley, J.W., Sartor, R.C., Shen, Z. et al. (2016) Integration of omic networks in a developmental atlas of maize. *Science (80-.)*, 353, 814–818. Available at:. http://science.sciencemag.org/ Accessed February 14, 2021.
- Wu, G., Liu, S., Zhao, Y., Wang, W., Kong, Z. & Tang, D. (2015) ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE4 associates with CLATHRIN HEAVY CHAIN2 and modulates plant immunity by regulating relocation of EDR1 in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 27, 857–873. https://academic.oup.com/plcell/article/27/3/ 857/6118152
- Wu, Q., Xu, F., Liu, L., Char, S.N., Ding, Y., Je, B.I. et al. (2020) The maize heterotrimeric G protein β subunit controls shoot meristem development and immune responses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 1799–1805. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917577116
- Xu, G., Moeder, W., Yoshioka, K. & Shan, L. (2022) A tale of many families: calcium channels in plant immunity. *Plant Cell*, **34**, 1551–1567. https:// doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koac033
- Yamanaka, T., Nakagawa, Y., Mori, K., Nakano, M., Imamura, T., Kataoka, H. et al. (2010) MCA1 and MCA2 that mediate Ca2+ uptake have distinct and overlapping roles in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, **152**, 1284–1296. Available at:. http://www.plantphysiol.org/content/152/3/1284.short Accessed October 15, 2015.

896 María Jazmín Abraham-Juárez et al.

- Yoshimura, K., Iida, K. & Iida, H. (2021) MCAs in Arabidopsis are Ca2+-permeable mechanosensitive channels inherently sensitive to membrane tension. *Nature Communications*, **12**, 6074. https://www.nature.com/ articles/s41467-021-26363-z
- Yu, D., Chen, C. & Chen, Z. (2001) Evidence for an important role of WRKY DNA binding proteins in the regulation of NPR1 gene expression. *Plant Cell*, 13, 1527–1540. https://academic.oup.com/plcell/article/13/7/1527-1540/6009555
- Zhang, H., Zhao, Y. & Zhu, J.-K. (2020) Thriving under stress: how plants balance growth and the stress response. *Developmental Cell*, 55, 529– 543. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1534580720308327
- Zuo, Z., Lu, Y., Zhu, M., Chen, R., Zhang, E., Hao, D. et al. (2021) Nucleotide diversity of the maize ZmCNR13 gene and Association with ear traits. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **12**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021. 773597