RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

) Taylor & Francis

🌜 EMi 😔

Serodiagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of New-World tegumentary leishmaniasis using synthetic type-2 glycoinositolphospholipid-based neoglycoproteins

Sayonara M. Viana ^a, Alba L. Montoya ^b, Augusto M. Carvalho^a, Brunele S. de Mendonça^a, Susana Portillo ^c, Janet J. Olivas^c, Nasim H. Karimi^c, Igor L. Estevao ^c, Uriel Ortega-Rodriguez ^c, Edgar M. Carvalho^{a,d}, Walderez O. Dutra ^{d,e}, Rosa A. Maldonaldo^c, Katja Michael ^b, Camila I. de Oliveira ^{a,d}* and Igor C. Almeida ^c*

^aInstituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Salvador, BA, Brazil.; ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Border Biomedical Research Center, The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas, U.S.A.; ^cDepartment of Biological Sciences, Border Biomedical Research Center, The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas, U.S.A.; ^dInstituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Doenças Tropicais, Salvador, BA, Brazil.; ^eDepartamento de Morfologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

ABSTRACT

American tegumentary leishmaniasis (TL) caused by Leishmania braziliensis is characterized by a spectrum of clinical presentations, ranging from localized cutaneous ulcers (CL), mucosal (ML), or disseminated (DL) disease, to a subclinical (SC) asymptomatic form. Current diagnosis based on parasite culture and/or microscopy lacks sensitivity and specificity. Previous studies showed that patients with CL and ML have very high levels of Leishmania-specific anti- α -Gal antibodies. However, the native parasite α -Gal glycotope(s) is(are) still elusive, thus they have not yet been explored for a more accurate TL diagnosis. Using a chemiluminescent immunoassay, we evaluated the seroreactivity of TL patients across its clinical spectrum, and of endemic (EC) and nonendemic healthy controls (NEC) against three synthetic neoglycoproteins (NGP29b, NGP30b, and NGP28b), respectively comprising the L. major-derived type-2 glycoinositolphospholipid (GIPL)-1 (Gal β 1,3Man α), GIPL-2 (Gal α 1,3Gal β 1,3Man α), and GIPL-3 (Gal α 1,6Gal α 1,3Gal β) glycotopes. Contrary to NGP29b and NGP30b, NGP28b exhibited high sensitivity and specificity to a CL serum pool. More importantly, NGP28b reacted strongly and specifically with individual sera from distinct clinical forms of TL, especially with SC sera, with 94% sensitivity and 97% specificity, by post-two-graph receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis. Contrary to NGP29b, NGP28b showed low cross-reactivity with Chagas disease and control (NEC/EC) sera. Additionally, seroreactivity of CL patients against NGP28b was significantly decreased after successful chemotherapy, indicating that L. braziliensis-specific anti-a-Gal antibodies may serve as an early biomarker of cure in CL. Our data also points towards the applicability of L. major type-2 GIPL-3-derived Gala1,6Gala1,3Gal β glycotope for the serological diagnosis of American TL, particularly of the subclinical form.

KEYWORDS Leishmania braziliensis; tegumentary leishmaniasis; diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers; α-Gal neoglycoproteins; anti-α-Gal antibodies

Introduction

Leishmania braziliensis is the leading etiologic agent of tegumentary leishmaniasis (TL) in Brazil [1]. Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is the most prevalent clinical manifestation of TL, with a single or few localized lesions on the skin, from where parasites can metastasize to mucosal sites [2]. Both mucosal (ML) and disseminated leishmaniasis (DL) are metastatic forms also mainly caused by *L. braziliensis* and characterized by high inflammation [3,4]. While ML comprises aggressive lesions with tissue damage, mostly in the oral mucosa, DL is characterized by an elevated number of lesions (>100) ranging from acneiform to ulcerated [5,6]. Moreover, up to 25% of DL patients have ML [7] and DL is associated with a high rate of chemotherapy failure [8].

Pentavalent antimonials are still the first-line drugs against all forms of leishmaniasis but antimonialbased chemotherapy presents high toxicity and adverse events, and in some cases, repeated cycles of treatment are required [9,10]. Therefore, an early and effective diagnosis is essential to ensure a successful response to treatment while avoiding unnecessary drug exposure. The current diagnosis of TL involves clinical and epidemiological data as well as laboratory techniques for the direct or indirect demonstration of the parasite. Parasitological diagnosis through the cultivation of biopsy material or PCR amplification of parasite DNA is highly specific, but sensitivity depends on the non-homogeneous tissue distribution of parasites [11,12]. Among indirect tests based on the

CONTACT Camila I. de Oliveira camila.indiani@fiocruz.br instituto Gonçalo Moniz, FIOCRUZ, Rua Waldemar Falcão, 121, Bairro Candeal, Salvador, BA 40296-710, Brazil; Igor C. Almeida cicalmeida@utep.edu in Dept. of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University Ave., Bioscience Research Building, El Paso, TX 79968, U.S.A *Equal contribution

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2022.2114852.

^{© 2022} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

host immune response, the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the most commonly used for the serological diagnosis of leishmaniasis. The ELISA sensitivity can vary according to the technique employed and the target antigen(s), and several immunodominant antigens have been identified [1,13–15].

The cell surface of *Leishmania* spp. is abundantly covered with various glycoconjugates such as lipophosphoglycans (LPGs), proteophosphoglycans (PPGs), and glycoinositolphospholipids (GIPLs) [16-18]. In L. major, type-2 GIPL-1, -2, and -3 are capped with a terminal nonreducing β -galactofuranosyl (β -Galf) (GIPL-1) or a-galactopyranosyl (a-Galp) (GIPL-2 and -3) residue that is conserved throughout the parasite's life cycle. Accordingly, anti-a-Gal antibodies have been vastly reported in people infected with kinetoplastids such as certain Leishmania spp. and T. cruzi [19–29]. These anti- α -Gal antibodies are elicited against parasite-specific molecules and, therefore, have much higher sensitivity and specificity against the pathogen (certain *Leishmania* spp. or *T. cruzi*) than those of healthy subjects anti-a-Gal antibodies directed against enterobacteria [23,25,26,28,30,31].

Using a highly sensitive chemiluminescent ELISA (cELISA), a recent study demonstrated that *L. major*-infected CL patients exhibit high titers of anti- α -Gal antibodies to a commercially available α -Gal-containing neoglycoprotein (NGP, Gala1,3-Gal β 1,4GlcNAc β -bovine serum albumin (BSA)) [22]. More recently, using the exact native primary α -Gal glycotope linked to the remaining one or two sugar residues of *L. major* type-2 GIPL-2, Montoya *et al.* [24] showed that NGP27b (Gal α 1,3Gal $f\beta$) and NGP30b (Gal α 1,3Gal $f\beta$ 1,3Man α), employed in tandem, distinguished with 100% specificity *L. major*-caused CL infection from non-CL heterologous diseases and *L. tropica*-caused CL.

In the present work, we expand these findings to similarly produced synthetic L. major type-2 GIPLbased NGPs applied to the serological diagnosis of patients across the TL spectrum caused by L. braziliensis. We show that sera from TL patients in the active phase of the disease strongly react with Galf\u00c31,3Man\u00e3-BSA (NGP29b) [32], Galp\u00e1,3Galf\u00e31,3-Mana-BSA (NGP30b), and Galp1,6Galpa1,3Galfβ-BSA (NGP28b) [24], respectively comprising the native L. major type-2 GIPL-1, -2, and -3 glycotopes. However, NGP28b exhibits higher sensitivity and specificity than do NGP29b and NGP30b. Furthermore, NGP28b shows significant discriminatory potential for monitoring cured CL from active disease cases, as well as asymptomatic or subclinical (SC) L. braziliensisinfected patients. This study furthers our knowledge on the applicability of *Leishmania*-specific anti-α-Gal antibodies as diagnostic tools and potential biomarkers (BMKs) of chemotherapeutic outcomes in cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by L. braziliensis.

Material and methods

Ethics Statement

This research was conducted with the approval of the Ethical Committee of the Hospital Prof. Edgard Santos (Salvador, Bahia, Brazil; approval number 240/2009), and Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa (Brazilian National Ethics Committee, Brazil). Informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Serum and plasma samples

Sera were randomly selected from a bank of serum samples from clinically and laboratory-confirmed cases of TL identified at the Health Post of Corte de Pedra, Bahia, Brazil, a reference center for diagnosis and treatment of leishmaniasis. Plasma samples of SC patients were collected from 2011 to 2015, whereas sera of CL and ML, and plasma from DL patients were collected from 2015 to 2019. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics for patients with CL, ML, DL, or SC forms of TL are described in Table 1. CL and ML active disease were characterized by the presence of one or more ulcerative lesion(s) on the skin site (s), or in the nasal mucosa, respectively, according to diagnosis guidelines [33]. Patients with DL exhibited ten or more acneiform, papular, and ulcerated lesions in at least two different parts of the body [7,34]. Laboratory confirmation of the diagnosis was based on the detection of L. braziliensis DNA using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [35], or by histopathology showing amastigote forms in the tissues collected from lesions [33,34]. Individuals with SC infection were defined as household contacts from CL patients with a positive leishmanin (Montenegro) skin test (LST) without clinical manifestations of CL. Endemic controls (EC) (n = 15) consisted of household contacts of CL patients without clinical manifestations of CL, a negative LST and no production of interferon-y in vitro [36]. These EC individuals were not screened for any other endemic infection(s) in the region at the time of sample collection. The LST was performed with soluble leishmanial antigen, as previously described [37]. Briefly, twenty-five micrograms of soluble Leishmania antigen (SLA) was injected intradermally on the ventral face of the forearm. The test was considered positive when the induration was $\geq 5 \text{ mm}$ after 48 h. The Brazilian Ministry of Health recommends that patients living in an endemic area for L. braziliensis get systemic treatment, considering that they could develop more severe forms of TL [33,38]. Patients with active disease were treated daily with the standard meglumine antimoniate - Sb^v (Glucantime, Sanofi-Aventis) therapy (20 mg Sb^v/kg/ day for 20 consecutive days) for CL and ML, and a regimen of 20 mg Sb^v/kg/day for 30 consecutive days

 Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of tegumentary leishmaniasis patients.

	TL form ^a				
Variable	CL (n = 17)	ML (n = 16)	DL (n = 16)	SC (n = 31)	<i>р</i> ^ь
Age, years ^c	32 (12.4)	45 (18)	39 (15) ^e	26 (13.9)	0.02 (CL vs. ML); 0.0002 (ML vs. SC); 0.006 (DL vs. SC)
Number of males (%)	12 (70.6)	7 (43.8)	15 (93.8)	16 (51.6)	-
Number of lesions d	1 (1)	-	20 (13-35) ^e	-	-
LST area (mm ²) ^{a,d}	204 (170.3–261.4)	266 (147.5–319) ^f	113 (4.8–175.8)	104 (44.0–240.2)	<0.0001 (CL vs. DL); 0.0067 (ML vs.DL); 0.0380
Healing time (days) ^c	45.1 (18.6)	59.6 (17.7)	137.8 (61.9) ^e	-	(CL vs. SC); 0.0299 (ML vs. SC) 0.0294 (CL vs. ML); 0.0001 (CL vs. DL); 0.0001 (ML vs. DL)

^aAbbreviations: LST, leishmanin (Montenegro) skin test; CL, cutaneous leishmaniasis; ML, mucocutaneous leishmaniasis; DL, disseminated leishmaniasis; SC, subclinical leishmaniasis.

^bThe Student's *t*-test or Mann Whitney test were used to compare continuous variables and the Fisher's exact test to compare proportions. ^cMean (SD)

^dMedian (interquartile range, IQR)

^eData missing from one subject.

Data missing from three subjects.

for DL [38]. The percentage of patients cured at 90 days post-treatment is considered the primary outcome for evaluation of intervention efficacy in clinical trials for American CL and ML [39]. For CL and ML patients, sera were obtained both at the time of diagnosis (day 0, active CL/ML) and following clinical confirmation of cure (day 90, cured CL/ML). For patients with other clinical forms of TL (DL and SC), sera were obtained at the time of diagnosis only. Additional sera were obtained from chronic Chagas disease (CD, n = 16) patients, or from healthy nonendemic controls (NECs, n = 18) residents of Salvador, BA, Brazil. NEC individuals showed negative responses to both anti-Leishmania serology and LST. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Medical School, Federal University of Bahia.

Neoglycoproteins (NGPs)

The mercaptopropyl glycoside derivative G29_{SH} (Gal*f*β1,3Manα-3-mercaptopropyl) structure was based on the L. major type-2 GIPL-1 [16,40] (Figure 1A), and it was synthesized as recently described [32]. The purity of the disulfide forms of $G29_{SH}$ ([G29_S]₂) was ~95%, as assessed by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy NMR spectroscopy (¹H-NMR [400 MHz, D₂O, 300 K] and ¹³C-NMR [100 MHz, D_2O , 300 K]) of the disulfide form of $G29_{SH}$ $([G29_{SH}]_2)$ [32]. Upon reduction of $(G29_{SH})_2$ with tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP-HCl), the ensuing G29_{SH} was conjugated to commercial maleimide-derivatized BSA (ImjectTM Maleimide-Activated BSA, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to give rise to NGP29b (Galfβ1,3Manα-BSA), whose purity (~99%) was evaluated by MALDI-TOF-MS (Figure 1B-D) [32]. The mercaptopropyl glycoside derivatives (Gal*p*α1,3Gal*f*β1,3Manα-3-mercaptopropyl) G30_{SH} and G28_{SH} (Galp1,6Galpa1,3GalfBa-3-mercaptopropyl) structures were based on L. major type-2 GIPL-2

and -3, respectively [16,40] (Figure 1A), and were synthesized as previously described [24]. The purity (~95%) of the disulfide forms of $G30_{SH}$ ([$G30_S$]₂) and $G28_{SH}$ ([$G28_S$]₂) was also estimated by NMR (¹H-NMR [600 MHz, D₂O, 300 K] and ¹³C-NMR [150 MHz, D₂O, 300 K]) [24]. Upon reduction of the mercaptopropyl glycoside derivative disulfide forms ([$G30_S$]₂ and [$G28_S$]₂) with TCEP-HCl, resulting $G30_{SH}$ and $G28_{SH}$ were conjugated to maleimide-derivatized BSA to give rise to NGP30b ($Galp\alpha 1,3Galf\beta 1,3$ -Manα-BSA) and NGP28b ($Gal\alpha p1,6Galp\alpha 1,3Galf\beta -$ BSA), respectively, as described [24] (Figure 1D). The purity of both NGPs (~99%) was assessed by MALDI-TOF-MS [24] (Figure 1C).

Chemiluminescent enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA)

To determine the levels of human IgG antibodies to NGP29b, NGP30b, and NGP28b, these synthetic antigens were cross-titrated at concentrations ranging from 3.13 to 50 ng/well, using pools of 15 sera from each NEC (n = 18), CL (n = 17), or CD (n = 16)serum panel, at 1:400 or 1:800 dilution. White opaque 96-well MaxiSorp Immune Plates (catalog number 436110, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with NGPs overnight (O/N) at 4°C in 100 mM carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6 (CBB). Wells were blocked with 200 µL PBS-1% BSA (PBS-B) for 1 h, at 37°C. Human serum samples, diluted in PBS-B plus 0.05%Tween 20 (PBS-TB) were then added and incubated for 1 h, at 37°C. After washing, plates were sequentially incubated with 50 μ L biotinylated goat antihuman IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (1:5,000 dilution in PBS-TB; catalog number 31030, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 50 µL Pierce High Sensitivity NeutrAvidin-horseradish peroxidase (1:5,000 dilution in PBS-TB; catalog number 31030, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Incubation steps were performed for 30 min at 37°C. Between incubation steps, plates



Figure 1. Synthetic neoglycoproteins used in this study. (**A**) Schematic representation of type-2 GIPLs 1-3 of *L. major*. The terminal glycan moiety (G29, G30, or G28) targeted for chemical synthesis in each GIPL is indicated. Gal*p*, galactopyranose; Gal*f*, galactofuranose; Man, mannopyranose; GlcN, glucosamine; *myo*-lns, *myo*-inositol; P, phosphate; PI, phosphatidylinositol. (**B**) Schematic representation of the synthesis of NGP29b containing the type-2 GIPL-1 terminal, nonreducing glycotope Gal*f* β 1,3Mana. TCEP-HCI, Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride; linker, 4-(succinimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxy group. The same conjugation was used for the synthesis of NGP30b and NGP28b [24]. (**C**) Representative MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of NGP29b to confirm the covalent conjugation of the glycan units to the carrier protein, as recently described [32]. The same quality-control procedure was used for NGP30b and NGP28b, as previously described [24]. Doubly charged ([BSA+2H]⁺² and [NGP29b+2H]⁺²) and singly charged ([BSA + H]⁺, [NGP29b + H]⁺, and [BSA₂ + H]⁺) ions of BSA and NGP29b are indicated. The number of glycan units (n = 30) covalently attached to the BSA moiety is indicated. *m/z*, mass to charge ratio. (**D**) Composition of the synthetic NGP29b, NGP30b, and NGP28b. For simplicity, the glycan thiopropyl group (at the reducing end), the linker covalently attached to the lysine residue, and the number of glycan units shown in **B**, are not indicated.

were washed 3x with 250 μ L PBS-T. The reaction was developed with SuperSignal ELISA Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (catalog number 37069, Thermo Fisher Scientific) by diluting the Luminol/Enhancer Solution and Stable Peroxide Solution in CBB, at a 1/1/8 ratio (v/v/v). Luminescence was read in a Filter-Max F3 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices) and values were expressed as relative luminescence units (RLUs). Pools of sera from 15 active CL (aCL), cured CL (cCL) individuals, and 15 NECs were also used as positive (aCL) and negative (cCL and NEC) controls.

Next, we examined the levels of IgG antibodies to NGP29b and NGP28b in individual sera of CL (n = 17), ML (n = 16), and CD (n = 16) patients, plasma of DL (n = 16) and SC (n = 31) patients, and sera of EC (n = 15) and NEC (n = 18) individuals. Each NGP was used at 5 ng/well and each serum (at 1:800

dilution) or plasma (at 1:400 dilution, considering a 1:2 dilution of plasma) sample was tested in technical triplicate. The cELISA protocol was performed exactly as described above. The mean (\overline{x}) RLU value was normalized (as cELISA titer) by dividing it by the cutoff value, calculated as follows: cutoff = \overline{x} + SDf, where \overline{x} is the mean value of six technical replicates of a pool of sera from NECs in each microplate; SDf is the standard deviation (SD) multiplier, calculated based on the number of negative control replicates in each microplate (confidence level $[1 - \alpha]$ of 95% using 6 controls = 2.177), as described [41]. The titer of each cELISA was defined as the ratio of the experimental sample's average RLU value to the cutoff value. A serum sample was considered positive when its cELISA titer was equal to or higher than 1.000, and negative when the titer was lower than 1.000, as previously described [24].

Statistical Analysis

The variables in this study were evaluated regarding their distribution with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and skewness and kurtosis values obtained using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software. Once data showed a nonparametric distribution, analyses were performed using Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's post-test. Cross-titration curves were compared using two-way Anova with main effects only and Dunnett's multiple comparison test (with individual variances computed for each comparison). Paired comparisons (pre- and posttreatment) were performed using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test. Statistical significance was set at the conventional 5% level ($p \le 0.05$) and all non-parametric analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Finally, multiple logistic regression models followed by receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed on normalized (cELISA titer) values to establish sensitivity, specificity, and other performance parameters obtained from ROC/AUC, twograph ROC (TG-ROC), p values, and likelihood ratio, using GraphPad Prism v. 9.0.

Results

This cross-sectional, retrospective study evaluated a cohort of patients with distinct clinical forms of TL caused by *L. braziliensis* and individuals with the asymptomatic subclinical (SC) form of the infection. These patients are from the region of Corte de Pedra, Bahia, Brazil, which is a well-studied endemic area for TL [42]. Table 1 shows the demographics and clinical characteristics of the 80 individuals tested across the TL spectrum: the mean age ranged from 26 to 45 years (33.8 ± 16.2), with a predominance of males (62.5%). SC individuals were significantly younger (26 ± 13.9 years old) than ML (45 ± 18)

and DL (39 ± 15) patients (p = 0.0002, ML vs SC; p = 0.006, DL vs SC). Most CL patients (82.4%) exhibited a single lesion, whereas DL patients exhibited a median of 20 ulcers (Table 1). For CL and ML patients, clinical cure was defined by complete healing of the ulcers with reepithelialization without raised borders on day 180 after initiation of treatment [33].

For the evaluation of the presence of L. braziliensisspecific anti- α -Gal and anti- β -Galf antibodies in the sera of CL, ML, DL, and SC patients, we first employed three synthetic NGPs containing terminal glycotopes found on L. major type-2 GIPL-1, -2, and -3, which have previously shown to be highly reactive to sera from American TL caused by L. braziliensis [19]. In Figure 1, we show the schematic representations of L. major type-2 GIPL-1, -2, and -3, an scheme of the chemical synthesis and a representative quality control (by MALDI-TOF-MS) of NGP28b, and the basic composition of NGP29b, NGP30b, and NGP28b. The inclusion of the terminal, nonreducing β -Galf-bearing NGP29b in this study was based on the premise that β -Galf is a sugar entirely absent in all mammals, thus extremely immunogenic, immunomodulatory, and antigenic to mice and/or humans, hence a potential BMK for American TL [32,43–47].

First, we tested the reactivity of sera of CL and CD patients, and NEC individuals to these three synthetic NGPs. Pooled sera (n = 15) from each patient/control panel were tested at 1:400 or 1:800 dilution against a concentration range (50 - 3.1 ng/well) of the three NGPs. The serum pool from CL patients exhibited discrete reactivity to NGP29b (GIPL-1-based), whereas a serum pool from CD patients showed strong reactivity to this NGP, in a dose-dependent manner, at 1:400 and 1:800 dilutions (Figure 2, left panels). NGP30b (GIPL-2-based) was recognized with low RLU values by serum pools from CD patients and NEC individuals, with a significant increase in recognition by the serum pool of CL patients at 1:400 dilution (Figure 2, central panels). Conversely, the serum pool of CL patients exhibited a much stronger reactivity to NGP28b (GIPL-3-based), at both dilutions tested, in a doseresponse manner, whereas serum pools of CD patients and NEC individuals exhibited little or no reactivity at concentrations <25 ng/well. Serum pool of NEC individuals showed a very weak or no reactivity to NGP28b at all concentrations tested (Figure 2, right, bottom panel). Taken together, these results indicate that the GIPL-3-based NGP28b is strongly recognized by anti-a-Gal antibodies present in the serum pool from L. braziliensis-caused CL, and to a much lesser extent by CD serum pool. By contrast, GIPL-1-based NGP29b is more strongly reactive to anti- β -Galf antibodies present in the serum pool of CD patients than those in CL serum pool.

Next, we evaluated individual sera (at 1:800 dilution) of patients representing the full TL clinical spectrum,



Figure 2. Cross-titration of NGPs with serum pools from CL, Chagas disease, and nonendemic controls. cELISA tests were performed with NGP29b, NGP28b, or NGP30b at concentrations ranging from 50 to 3.13 ng/well, using a pool of sera (n = 15) from patients with active CL, caused by *L. braziliensis*. Pools of sera obtained from patients with chronic Chagas disease (CD) (n = 15), and from nonendemic healthy controls (NEC) (n = 15) were also evaluated. Each point represents the mean of duplicate values of the relative luminescence units (RLU) obtained for each sample and bars indicate SD. Statistical analysis: two-way Anova with main effects only and Dunnett's multiple comparison test (with individual variances computed for each comparison). The CL and CD curve were compared with the NEC curve, at 1:400 and 1:800 serum pool dilution. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01,****p < 0.0001; statistically non-significant differences are not shown.

CD patients, and endemic (non-TL) and nonendemic healthy controls. Based on previous results (Figure 2), we selected NGP29b and NGP28b as antigens for these assays. Due to the low reactivity of CL or CD serum pools to NGP30b, this antigen was not further pursued. Despite the diversity in clinical presentations, sera from patients across all clinical forms of TL reacted strongly to NGP29b and NGP28b (Figure 3). We established an initial cELISA titer cutoff (C_i) of 1.000, determined in each microplate assay by using a pool of seemingly healthy nonendemic control sera (NEC, n = 15) in sextuplicate, as described in Material and Methods. NGP29b diagnosed 76/80 (sensitivity = 95.0%) of all TL patients as positive, being 14/17 (sensitivity = 82.4%) of CL, 15/16 (sensitivity = 93.8%) of ML, and 16/16 (sensitivity = 100%) of DL patients. (Figure 3, left panel; Table 2 and Table S1). On the other hand, NGP28b diagnosed as positive 74/80 (sensitivity = 92.5%) of total TL patients, being 15/17 (sensitivity = 88.2%) of CL patients, and 14/16 (sensitivity = 87.5%) of both ML and DL patients. For CD patients, NGP29b exhibited a 93.8% sensitivity (15/16), whereas NGP28b showed a 37.5% sensitivity value (6/16 individuals). In fact, CD patients and total controls (C = EC + NEC) exhibited a nonsignificant difference in

the titers of anti-NGP28b antibodies (Figure 3, right panel; Table 3 and Table S1). Both antigens, however, detected as positive 100% of SC individuals, who do not present active ulcers but exhibit a positive LST response, an indicator of exposure to *Leishmania* spp. [48].

We have also evaluated both NGPs for specificity by comparing sera from the TL forms caused by *L. braziliensis* with control (EC and NEC) sera. NGP29b exhibited a low specificity of 48.5% when we evaluated sera from all TL clinical forms studied and CD (Table 2). This result was due to 100% of EC sera (n = 15) being diagnosed as false-positive by NGP29b (Figure 3, left panel). Conversely, NGP28b exhibited 84.9% specificity comparing sera from total TL forms or individual CL, ML, DL, or SC form vs. EC and NEC controls (Table 3). NGP28b also successfully discriminated patients of all TL clinical forms from CD patients, with 92.5% sensitivity, 62.5% specificity, and AUC = 0.8684, indicating a strong discriminatory power (Table S2 and Figure S1).

To further compare the capacity of NGP29b and NGP28b to discriminate sera from TL patients and SC individuals from EC and NEC sera, we performed ROC analysis using cELISA titers normalized to NEC



Figure 3. Normalized IgG response of sera from patients with tegumentary leishmaniasis (TL) to *L. major* type-2 GIPL-1-derived NGP29b and GIPL-3-derived NGP28b. cELISA immunoassays were performed using NGPs at 5 ng/well and serum samples (1:800 dilution) from all TL samples (n = 80), with different clinical forms (CL; n = 17; ML n = 16; DL, n = 16; and SC, n = 31) plotted separately; Chagas disease (CD, n = 16); and all non-TL, seemingly healthy controls (NEC + EC; n = 33), also plotted separately (EC, n = 15; and NEC, n = 18). Each point represents the mean of triplicate relative luminescence units (RLU) values normalized to NEC serum pools. The cutoff value (cELISA titer = 1.000), calculated as described in Materials and Methods, is indicated by the continuous green line. Data are represented as violin plots (truncated) of individual points, with median (thick black line) and interquartile range (dotted black lines) values indicated. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, Kruskal Wallis followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests. Statistically non-significant differences between serum groups are not shown.

serum pools. The AUC values of the ROC curves for the reactivity of NGP29b and NGP28b, respectively, with sera from total TL (0.7803 and 0.9498), CL (0.6417 and 0.9073), ML (0.6553 and 0.9148), DL (0.8693 and 0.9555), SC (0.8749 and 0.9883), and CD (0.8314 and 0.6563) patients confirmed the higher sensitivity and specificity of NGP28b compared to NGP29b across the different TL forms studied (Figure 4).

There is an urgent need for new serological diagnostic BMKs that could detect the broad spectrum of

Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, and other diagnostic parameters of type-2 GIPL-1-based NGP29b, in the comparison of different TL clinical forms vs. endemic and nonendemic controls.

	TL Clinical Forms vs. Endemic and Nonendemic Controls ^a						
						CD	
	TL	CL	ML	DL	SC (n	(n =	
Parameter	(n = 80)	(n = 17)	(n = 16)	(n = 16)	= 31)	16)	
Original Val	ues (%) ^b						
Sensitivity	95.0	82.4	93.8	100.0	100.0	93.8	
Specificity	48.5	48.5	48.5	48.5	48.5	48.5	
FPR	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	
PPV	80.0	45.2	46.9	48.5	64.6	46.9	
NPV	81.4	84.2	94.1	100.0	100.0	94.1	

^aControls: endemic (EC) (n = 15) plus healthy nonendemic controls (NEC) (n = 18) individuals.

^bValues calculated based on the initial cutoff value (C*i*; titer = 1.000) (Figure 3A), as described in Material and Methods. Sensitivity = true positive (TP)/TP + false negative (FN). Specificity = true negative (TN)/ TN + false positive (FP). False-positive rate (FPR) 100 – specificity. Positive predictive value (PPV) TP/TP + FP. Negative predictive value (NPV) TN/TN + FN. clinical presentations in TL, especially for surveillance during the pre-clinical phase or reactivation of disease. In this context, high sensitivity is preferred over high specificity for a new potential biomarker for TL. To that end, we then performed a two-graph ROC (TG-ROC) analysis of NGP28b by plotting the ROC data for sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) as a function of the cELISA titer that defines the original cutoff

Table 3. Sensitivity, specificity, and other diagnostic parameters of type-2 GIPL-3-based NGP28b, in the comparison of different TL clinical forms vs. endemic and nonendemic controls.

	TL Clinical Forms vs. Endemic and Nonendemic Controls ^a						
	TL	CL	ML	DL	SC	CD	
Parameter	(n = 80)	(n = 17)	(n = 16)	(n = 16)	(n = 31)	(n = 16)	
Original va	lues (%) ^b						
Sensitivity	92.5	88.2	87.5	87.5	100.0	37.5	
Specificity	84.9	84.9	84.9	84.9	84.9	84.9	
FPR	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2	
PPV	93.7	75.0	73.7	73.7	86.1	54.6	
NPV	82.4	93.3	93.3	93.3	100.0	73.7	
Post-TG-RC	OC Analysis	s (%) ^c					
Sensitivity	92.5	88.2	87.5	93.8	93.6	37.5	
Specificity	84.9	84.9	84.9	84.9	97.0	84.9	
FPR	15.2	15.2	15.2	15.2	3.0	15.2	
PPV	93.7	75.0	73.7	75.0	85.3	54.6	
NPV	82.4	93.3	93.3	96.6	93.3	73.7	
3							

^aControls: endemic (EC) (n = 15) plus healthy nonendemic controls (NEC) (n = 18) individuals.

^bValues calculated based on the initial cutoff value (Ci; titer = 1.000) (Figure 3B), as described in Material and Methods.

^cValues calculated based on the TG-ROC analysis (See Supplementary Figure 2).



Figure 4. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves for NGP29b and NGP28b comparing the reactivity of sera from total TL patients or CL, ML, DL, SC, or CD patients versus control sera from endemic (EC) and nonendemic (NEC) individuals, using cELISA titers normalized to NECs. The area under the curve (AUC) is indicated in the gray area, and 95% confidence interval (CI) values are indicated in parentheses.

(*Ci*) value of 1.000, to fine-tune the analysis through cutoff adjustment [49]. For DL, the adjustment of the initial titer cutoff value (C_i) of 1.000 to 0.9735 gave a higher sensitivity (93.8% from 87.5%), while maintaining the same specificity of 84.9%. For SC, although the adjustment from 1.000 to 1.454 of the titer cutoff value resulted in a lower sensitivity (93.6% from 100%), it significantly increased the specificity from 84.9% to 97%. The balance of cutoff values of sensitivity and specificity for total TL, CL, and ML diagnosis could not be significantly improved; therefore, we maintained the original C_i of 1.000 (Table 3 and Figure S2).

Titers of anti-Leishmania IgG antibodies are known to decrease after successful chemotherapy of CL and ML [50,51]. Possible explanations are related to decreased circulating antigen and/or modulation of the immune response following parasite elimination [52]. Therefore, we also evaluated whether NGP28bbased cELISA could be used for monitoring the cure of CL and ML patients, with matched samples of patients before treatment (active disease) and 90 days after the onset of treatment (cured). Sera from cured CL patients exhibited significantly lower titers of anti-NGP28b (p = 0.003, Wilcoxon matched-pairs test) compared to serum samples from the same individuals with active disease. By contrast, overall, ML patients exhibited non-significant differences in the reactivity to NGP28b pre- and posttreatment (Figure 5). Of note, CL patients reached clinical cure significantly faster $(45.1 \pm 18.6 \text{ days})$ compared to ML patients (59.6 \pm 17.7 days; p = 0.0294, CL vs. ML) (Table 1), which probably contributed to the lower titers of anti-NGP28b antibodies in the serum of cured CL patients (Figure 5). Collectively, our results showed that serology to NGP28b, an L. major type-2 GIPL-3-based NGP is applicable for the serodiagnosis of different clinical forms of TL caused by

L. braziliensis, especially of asymptomatic SC forms. Moreover, NGP28b-based cELISA has the potential to be used as a BMK to monitor clinical cure following chemotherapy in CL patients.

Discussion

The cell surface of all Leishmania species thus far studied is covered by a dense coat of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored glycoconjugates, containing or not a polypeptide chain. Among those that lack protein, GIPLs and LPG are the most abundant and studied GPI-anchored glycoconjugates, particularly those from Old-World Leishmania species (e.g., L. major, L. donovani, L. tropica, L. aethiopica). We have recently shown that synthetic NGPs containing two similar a-Gal glycotopes, Gala1,3GalfB-BSA Gala1,3GalfB1,3Mana-BSA (NGP27b) and (NGP30b), based on L. major type-2 GIPL-3, were highly antigenic and able to discriminate Old-World CL caused by L. major from that caused by L. tropica [24]. A previous study by Avila et al. has demonstrated that a-Gal-containing glycolipids purified from L. braziliensis promastigotes and comigrating with L. major type-2 GIPL-2 and GIPL-3 were highly antigenic for sera from patients with New-World or American TL caused by L. braziliensis [53]. Thus far, the detailed structure of the L. braziliensis GIPLs remain elusive. However, a preliminary structural analysis by Assis et al. indicates that L. braziliensis GIPLs are rich in galactose residues and could be similar to type-2 GIPLs of L. major [54], as previously proposed by Avila and colleagues [53]. These studies made us to hypothesize that L. major type-2 GIPLs could be useful as diagnostic BMKs for different clinical forms of American TL.

As proof of concept, here we employed the reversed immunoglycomics approach [24], a bottom-up



Figure 5. Seroreactivity to NGP28b of TL patients, before and after treatment. Sera from CL (n = 17) or ML (n = 16) patients, obtained before and 90 days after standard Sb^v treatment, were probed by cELISA with NGP28b (5 ng/well). Each point represents the mean of triplicate RLU values normalized to a pool of sera from seemingly healthy NEC individuals. *p* Values were calculated using Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. Significance level: p < 0.05.

strategy that combines the chemical synthesis of potential glycotopes and conjugation to a carrier protein to generate NGPs, and probe them for antigenicity in serological immunoassays with patients' sera. To this end, using sera from patients with different clinical forms of TL caused by L. braziliensis, we evaluated one terminal- β -Galf-bearing NGP (NGP29b, Galf^β1,3Mana-BSA) and two terminal-α-Gal-bearing NGPs (NGP30b, Gala1,3Galf\beta1,3Mana-BSA; and NGP28b, Gala1,6Gala1,3GalfB-BSA), based on L. major type-2 GIPL-1, -2, and -3, respectively. Glycotopes containing α -Gal*p* and/or β -Gal*f* are abundant among trypanosomatids such as T. cruzi and some species of Leishmania, and are highly immunogenic to humans [30,43,44,55], making them potentially suitable for the purpose of specific and differential serodiagnosis of these diseases. Previous studies using New-World Leishmania species showed the potential of anti-a-Gal serological diagnosis in CL, ML, and diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL) caused by L. mexicana [19], and in CL caused by L. braziliensis [19,21]. Here, we showed by cELISA that type-2 GIPL-3-based NGP28b (Gala1,6Gala1,3Galfβ-BSA) was the most reactive NGP to CL sera, in a dosedependent and specific manner, as well as with TL sera from patients with other clinical forms. Moreover, NGP28b exhibited low cross-reactivity to CD and EC sera, indicating a strong discriminatory power. On the other hand, although highly reactive to sera from the

same TL cohort, NGP29b (Galf
ß1,3Mana-BSA) was also highly cross-reactive to sera from CD patients and EC individuals, but not with sera from NEC individuals. This result entirely agrees with a recent study showing that CD patients have very high levels of anti- β -Galf IgG antibodies against Galf β 1,3Man α -BSA and Galf\\$1,3Man\alpha1,2[Galf\\$1,3]Man\alpha-(NGP29b) BSA (NGP32b) [32]. We cannot exclude the possibility of the EC individuals being infected by other infectious agents (e.g., fungi, bacteria, and/or parasites) that could elicit anti- β -Galf IgG antibodies that could strongly recognize NGP29b. The high immunoreactivity of NGP29b to CD and its lack of specificity for TL vs. EC represents an issue for the use of this NGP as a diagnostic tool in rural areas of Bahia, where T. cruzi vectors still occur in domestic and peridomestic environments [56,57]. Thus, in areas where mixed CD and TL infections might occur, cross-reactivity to NGP29b could result in false-positive outcomes. Conversely, we showed that seroreactivity against NGP28b successfully discriminated TL from CD sera, with 92.5% sensitivity and 62.5% specificity (AUC = 0.8684) (Table S2 and Fig. S1), indicating a strong discriminatory power of this antigen. Obviously, a higher specificity would be desirable; thus, further improvements in that regard will be the focus of our future studies.

Several antigens have been proposed for a potential use in the serodiagnosis of leishmaniasis, replacing crude Leishmania spp. antigens [13-15]. Here we observed that serologic reactivity to NGP28b was higher in TL patients with either clinical disease or subclinical form. Subclinical L. braziliensis infection is characterized by the presence of a positive LST result in otherwise healthy subjects [48]. The LST is a measure of the cellular immune response that is determined after intradermal injection of leishmanial antigens. The ensuing delayed-type hypersensitivity response is evaluated 48 hours later. A serologybased assay, such as cELISA using synthetic NGP28b, would overcome this hurdle, accelerating the diagnosis of subclinical L. braziliensis infection. A positive seroreactivity to NGP28b among subclinical individuals suggests the possibility of identifying infected (asymptomatic) individuals before the development of disease, that is, allowing for diagnosis prior to the appearance of clinical manifestations. In visceral leishmaniasis caused by L. donovani, anti-rK39 immunoassays (ELISA and dipstick tests) were used to predict disease development in contacts of VL patients [58]. By means of a prospective study, authors reported a 44% predictive value for disease development in the three months following seroevaluation, and 57% probability in six months thereafter. Given the occurrence of post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) in L. donovani infection and the probable reservoir role of asymptomatic individuals, identification of asymptomatic carriers represents an important advance in disease control. Similar advantages are expected in the case of TL caused by L. braziliensis, especially given the possibility of occurrence of severe ML or DL.

The level of IgM anti- α -Gal antibodies in CL, caused by L. mexicana or L. braziliensis, specific to the Gala1,3Man glycotope expressed on these parasite phospholipids increases with the progression of disease [53]. Their levels are expected to be higher during active disease and decrease considerably after the decrease of the oligosaccharide stimulus provided by the parasite, suggesting that antibodies against α -Gal glycotopes could be useful for the early assessment of chemotherapeutic interventions in CL. In CL, sera obtained from individuals with active infection and post-cure recognize various a-Gal glycotopes, with different connectivity and secondary and tertiary epitopes linked to hydrophobic (lipid or protein) scaffold, on purified or synthetic molecules, indicating that numerous distinct pools of anti-a-Gal antibodies with different specificities and cross-reactivities might exist in these patients [19,21-23]. Earlier, comparisons of IgG levels to NGPs did not change drastically preand posttreatment in patients with CL caused by L. major [31]. Authors proposed that this was related to an accelerated recovery (lesion epithelization) time-frame (<1 month). Additionally, anti- α -Gal IgG remained high up to two years following initial

detection, again in L. major-infected and cured individuals, suggesting longevity of anti-α-Gal B-cell clones specific to L. major [22]. In our setting, cured CL and ML patients still showed high IgG response to the Gala1,6Gala1,3Galfß glycotope on NGP28b 90 days posttreatment with Sb^v. However, a significant decrease in anti-a-Gal levels was observed for most cured CL patients, indicating that anti-NGP28b response could be a potential BMK for the presence of active CL. In our cohort, the time-to-heal period of ML patients was significantly longer compared to CL, suggesting that circulating/residual antigens might sustain the humoral response elevated, despite reepithelialization. As observed in treated adult CD patients [59,60], however, a much longer treatment follow-up period would be necessary to confirm whether or not a decreasing anti- α -Gal antibody trend in CL and ML patients might correlate with the current cure criterion (reepithelialization) [33].

Our results show that a cELISA with an NGP based on a type-2 *L. major* GIPL-3 containing terminal Gala1,6Gala1,3Galf β glycan is applicable for the serodiagnosis of TL caused by *L. braziliensis*, ranging from subclinical (SC) infection to severe disseminated (DL) disease, with high sensitivity and specificity. Moreover, in CL, the humoral immune response to NGP28b decreases with clinical cure indicating that this serology-based immunoassay could be potentially useful for monitoring response to chemotherapy. It will be interesting to determine, in the future, whether such *Leishmania*-specific anti- α -Gal antibodies persist in CL patients and, if so, whether this persistence, prospectively, may be a biomarker for the development of mucosal disease.

In summary, our results indicate that NGP28b, containing the Gala1,6Gala1,3Galfß glycotope found on type-2 L. major GIPL-3, could be employed for the: (1) differential diagnosis of American tegumentary leishmaniasis from Chagas disease, which remains a major confounding factor in Brazil and in many other endemic areas of Latin America, where an epidemiologic overlap between the two infections exists; (2) diagnosis and epidemiological surveys of the subclinical form of TL, since no other biomarker has thus far been described and the nonspecific LST is the only laboratory tool available; (3) transmission surveillance of TL in endemic and nonendemic areas; and (4) followup of treated CL patients, after performing a prospective, well-controlled, and long-term clinical trial with a large cohort that guarantees enough statistical power for a robust statistical analysis. This study is, above all, the proof of concept that reversed immunoglycomics using synthetic Leishmania-based glycans coupled to a carrier protein could be a viable approach for the development of molecular tools for a more accurate diagnosis and chemotherapy follow-up of distinct clinical forms of TL.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the UTEP/BBRC Biomolecule Analysis and Omics Unity (BAOU) core facility for access to the MALDI-TOF-MS and several other instruments used in this study. We thank Cameron C. Ellis for the MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of NGPs.

Declaration of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Instituto Gonçalo Muniz (IGM)-FIOCRUZ-Bahia and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB). This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant numbers R01AI136032 (Tropical Medicine Research Center, to EMC) and R21AI137890 (to KM). ICA and RAM were partially funded by grant number U54MD007592 (to Robert A. Kirken) from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD), a component of the NIH. The BAOU core facility was supported by a NIMHD grant number U54MD007592. SMV was financed by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Brazil - Finance Code 001. CIO, WOD, and ECM and are senior investigators of the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento (CNPq), Brazil. ALM and SP were recipients of the Dr. Keelung Hong Graduate Research Fellowship, and SP also received a UTEP Dodson Research Grant. JJO was a fellow of the NIH BUILDing Scholars Program at UTEP (grant numbers RL5GM118969, TL4GM118971, and UL1GM118970). NKH was a recipient of Frank B. Cotton Trust Scholarship, UTEP Graduate School and The Allien and Paul C. Davidson Scholarship, UTEP Graduate School from the Office of Scholarships, UTEP Graduate School. UOR was a fellow of the NIH Research Training Initiative for Student Enhancement (RISE) Program (R25GM069621 to Renato J. Aguilera). ILE was a recipient of the Doctoral Excellence Fellowship from the Graduate School, UTEP.

ORCID

Sayonara M. Viana http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1473-1299

Alba L. Montoya D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1803-1564 Susana Portillo D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5210-0797 Igor L. Estevao D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3946-8375 Uriel Ortega-Rodriguez D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1700-357X

Walderez O. Dutra bhttp://orcid.org/0000-0002-7586-9996

Katja Michael ⁽¹⁾ http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6754-3702 Camila I. de Oliveira ⁽¹⁾ http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7868-5164

Igor C. Almeida D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2443-8213

References

[1] Goto H, Lindoso JA. Current diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2010;8(4):419–433.

- [2] Ramírez JL, Guevara P. Persistent infections by Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 1997;92(3):333–338.
- [3] Amato VS, Tuon FF, Bacha HA, et al. Mucosal leishmaniasis. Current scenario and prospects for treatment. Acta Trop. 2008;105:1–9.
- [4] Gaze ST, Dutra WO, Lessa M, et al. Mucosal leishmaniasis patients display an activated inflammatory Tcell phenotype associated with a nonbalanced monocyte population. Scand J Immunol. 2006;63(1):70–78.
- [5] Scorza B, Carvalho E, Wilson M. Cutaneous manifestations of human and murine leishmaniasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(6):1296–1296.
- [6] Hashiguchi Y, Gomez EL, Kato H, et al. Diffuse and disseminated cutaneous leishmaniasis: clinical cases experienced in Ecuador and a brief review. Trop Med Health. 2016 Mar 14;44:2. doi:10.1186/s41182-016-0002-0. PMID: 27398061; PMCID: PMC4934146.
- [7] Turetz ML, Machado PR, Ko AI, et al. Disseminated leishmaniasis: a new and emerging form of leishmaniasis observed in northeastern Brazil. J Infect Dis. 2002;186(12):1829–1834.
- [8] Machado PRL, Rosa MEA, Guimarães LH, et al. Treatment of disseminated leishmaniasis with liposomal amphotericin B. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(6):945– 949.
- [9] Neves LO, Talhari AC, Gadelha EPN, et al. Estudo clínico randomizado comparando antimoniato de meglumina, pentamidina e anfotericina B para o tratamento da leishmaniose cutânea ocasionada por *Leishmania guyanensis*. An Bras Dermatol. 2011;86 (6):1092–1101.
- [10] Zulfiqar B, Shelper TB, Avery VM. Leishmaniasis drug discovery: recent progress and challenges in assay development. Drug Discov Today. 2017;22:1516– 1531.
- [11] Gomes CM, de Morais OO, Roselino AM, et al. Complementary exams in the diagnosis of American tegumentary leishmaniasis. An Bras Dermatol. 2014;89(5):701–709.
- [12] Pessoa-e-Silva R, Trajano-Silva LAM, Souza MA, et al. Epidemiological, clinical and laboratorial diagnosis of trypanosomiasis. In: Cavalcanti MP, Pereira VRA, Dessein AJJ, editors. Tropical Diseases: An Overview of Major Diseases Occurring in the Americas: Bentham Science Publishers; 2017. p. 1-50.
- [13] Maia Z, Lírio M, Mistro S, et al. Comparative study of rK39 Leishmania antigen for serodiagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis: systematic review with meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012 Jan;6(1):e1484. doi:10. 1371/journal.pntd.0001484. Epub 2012 Jan 31. PMID: 22303488; PMCID: PMC3269412.
- [14] Sánchez-Ovejero C, Benito-Lopez F, Díez P, et al. Sensing parasites: Proteomic and advanced bio-detection alternatives. J Proteomics. 2016;136:145–156.
- [15] Zanetti ADS, Sato CM, Longhi FG, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays to detect anti-Leishmania antibodies in patients with American Tegumentary Leishmaniasis: a systematic review. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 2019 Aug 19;61:e42. doi:10.1590/S1678-9946201961042. PMID: 31432991; PMCID: PMC6710007.
- [16] McConville MJ, Homans SW, Thomas-Oates JE, et al. Structures of the glycoinositolphospholipids from *Leishmania major*. A family of novel galactofuranose-containing glycolipids. J Biol Chem. 1990;265 (13):7385–7394.

- [17] Naderer T, Vince JE, McConville MJ. Surface determinants of *Leishmania* parasites and their role in infectivity in the mammalian host. Curr Mol Med. 2004 Sep;4(6):649–665.
- [18] de Assis RR, Ibraim IC, Nogueira PM, et al. Glycoconjugates in New World species of *Leishmania*: polymorphisms in lipophosphoglycan and glycoinositolphospholipids and interaction with hosts. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012 Sep;1820 (9):1354–1365.
- [19] Avila JL, Rojas M. A galactosyl(alpha 1-3)mannose epitope on phospholipids of *Leishmania mexicana* and *L. braziliensis* is recognized by trypanosomatidinfected human sera. J Clin Microbiol. 1990 Jul;28 (7):1530–1537.
- [20] Avila JL, Rojas M, Garcia L. Persistence of elevated levels of galactosyl-alpha(1-3)galactose antibodies in sera from patients cured of visceral leishmaniasis. J Clin Microbiol. 1988 Sep;26(9):1842–1847.
- [21] de Souza LMB, Thomaz Soccol V, Petterle RR, et al. Analysis of *Leishmania* mimetic neoglycoproteins for the cutaneous leishmaniasis diagnosis. Parasitology. 2018;145(14):1938–1948.
- [22] Al-Salem WS, Ferreira DM, Dyer NA, et al. Detection of high levels of anti-α-galactosyl antibodies in sera of patients with Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis: A possible tool for diagnosis and biomarker for cure in an elimination setting. Parasitology. 2014;141 (14):1898–1903.
- [23] Avila JL, Rojas M, Galili U. Immunogenic Gal alpha 1----3Gal carbohydrate epitopes are present on pathogenic American *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania*. J Immunol. 1989 Apr 15;142(8):2828–2834.
- [24] Montoya AL, Austin VM, Portillo S, et al. Reversed Immunoglycomics Identifies alpha-Galactosyl-Bearing Glycotopes Specific for *Leishmania major* Infection. JACS Au. 2021 Aug;1(8):1275–1287.
- [25] Almeida IC, Covas DT, Soussumi LM, et al. A highly sensitive and specific chemiluminescent enzymelinked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of active *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. Transfusion. 1997 Aug;37(8):850–857.
- [26] Almeida IC, Ferguson MA, Schenkman S, et al. Lytic anti-alpha-galactosyl antibodies from patients with chronic Chagas' disease recognize novel O-linked oligosaccharides on mucin-like glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol-anchored glycoproteins of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Biochem J. 1994 Dec 15;304(Pt 3):793–802.
- [27] Almeida IC, Krautz GM, Krettli AU, et al. Glycoconjugates of *Trypanosoma cruzi*: A 74 kD antigen of trypomastigotes specifically reacts with lytic anti-α-galactosyl antibodies from patients with chronic Chagas disease. J Clin Lab Anal. 1993;7 (6):307–316.
- [28] Almeida IC, Milani SR, Gorin PA, et al. Complementmediated lysis of *Trypanosoma cruzi* trypomastigotes by human anti-alpha-galactosyl antibodies. J Immunol. 1991;146(7):2394–2400.
- [29] Milani SR, Travassos LR. Anti-alpha-galactosyl antibodies in chagasic patients. Possible biological significance. Braz J Med Biol Res. 1988;21(6):1275–1286.
- [30] Galili U. Anti-Gal Interaction with Trypanosoma, Leishmania, and Plasmodium Parasites. In: Galili U, editor. The Natural Anti-Gal Antibody as Foe Turned Friend in Medicine. London, San Diego, Cambridge, Oxford: Academic Press, Elsevier; 2018. p. 57–71.

- [31] Subramaniam, KS., Austin V, Schocker NS, et al. Antiα-Gal antibodies detected by novel neoglycoproteins as a diagnostic tool for Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania major. Parasitology. 2018;145(13):1758–1764. doi:10.1017/ S0031182018000860. PMID: 29898796.
- [32] Montoya AL, Gil ER, Heydemann EL, et al. Specific Recognition of β-Galactofuranose-Containing Glycans of Synthetic Neoglycoproteins by Sera of Chronic Chagas Disease Patients. Molecules. 2022 Jan 9;27(2):411.
- [33] Aronson N, Herwaldt BL, Libman M, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Leishmaniasis: Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene (ASTMH). Clin Infect Dis. 2016 Dec 15;63(12):e202-e264.
- [34] Machado GU, Prates FV, Machado PRL. Disseminated leishmaniasis: clinical, pathogenic, and therapeutic aspects. An Bras Dermatol. 2019;94(1):9–16.
- [35] Weirather JL, Jeronimo SM, Gautam S, et al. Serial quantitative PCR assay for detection, species discrimination, and quantification of *Leishmania* spp. in human samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2011 Nov;49 (11):3892–3904.
- [36] Muniz AC, Bacellar O, Lago EL, et al. Immunologic Markers of Protection in *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* Infection: A 5-Year Cohort Study. J Infect Dis. 2016 Aug 15;214(4):570–576.
- [37] Reed SG, Badaro R, Masur H, et al. Selection of a skin test antigen for American visceral leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1986 Jan;35(1):79–85.
- [38] Ministério da Saúde [Brazilian Ministry of Health]. Manual de Vigilância da Leishmaniose Tegumentar Americana [Manual for Surveillance of cutaneous leishmaniasis]. Brasilia, Brazil: Ministério da Saúde, Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Vigilância das Doenças Transmissíveis; 2017. Available from: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/man ual_vigilancia_leishmaniose_tegumentar.pdf.
- [39] Pinart M, Rueda JR, Romero GA, et al. Interventions for American cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020 Aug 27;8(8): CD004834. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004834.pub3. PMID: 32853410; PMCID: PMC8094931.
- [40] McConville MJ, Ferguson MA. The structure, biosynthesis and function of glycosylated phosphatidylinositols in the parasitic protozoa and higher eukaryotes. Biochem J. 1993 Sep 1;294(Pt 2):305–324.
- [41] Frey A, Di Canzio J, Zurakowski D. A statistically defined endpoint titer determination method for immunoassays. J Immunol Methods. 1998 Dec 1;221 (1-2):35-41.
- [42] Jirmanus L, Glesby MJ, Guimaraes LH, et al. Epidemiological and clinical changes in American tegumentary leishmaniasis in an area of *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) braziliensis transmission over a 20-year period. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012 Mar;86(3):426–433.
- [43] de Lederkremer RM, Colli W. Galactofuranose-containing glycoconjugates in trypanosomatids. Glycobiology. 1995 Sep;5(6):547–552.
- [44] Travassos LR, Almeida IC. Carbohydrate immunity in American trypanosomiasis. Springer Semin Immunopathol. 1993;15(2-3):183–204.
- [45] Golgher DB, Colli W, Souto-Padron T, et al. Galactofuranose-containing glycoconjugates of epimastigote and trypomastigote forms of Trypanosoma

cruzi. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1993 Aug;60 (2):249–264.

- [46] Suzuki E, Tanaka AK, Toledo MS, et al. Role of beta-D-galactofuranose in *Leishmania major* macrophage invasion. Infect Immun. 2002 Dec;70(12):6592– 6596.
- [47] Schnaidman BB, Yoshida N, Gorin PA, et al. Crossreactive polysaccharides from Trypanosoma cruzi and fungi (especially Dactylium dendroides). J Protozool. 1986 May;33(2):186–191.
- [48] Follador I, Araujo C, Bacellar O, et al. Epidemiologic and immunologic findings for the subclinical form of *Leishmania braziliensis* infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2002 Jun 1;34(11):E54–E58.
- [49] Greiner M, Pfeiffer D, Smith RD. Principles and practical application of the receiver-operating characteristic analysis for diagnostic tests. Prev Vet Med. 2000 May 30;45(1-2):23-41.
- [50] Fagundes-Silva GA, Vieira-Goncalves R, Nepomuceno MP, et al. Decrease in anti-Leishmania IgG3 and IgG1 after cutaneous leishmaniasis lesion healing is correlated with the time of clinical cure. Parasite Immunol. 2012 Oct;34(10):486–491.
- [51] de Lima CMF, Magalhães AS, Costa R, et al. High antileishmania IgG antibody levels are associated with severity of mucosal leishmaniasis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021 Apr 9;11:652956. doi:10.3389/fcimb. 2021.652956. PMID: 33898330; PMCID: PMC8063102.
- [52] Ribeiro-de-Jesus A, Almeida RP, Lessa H, et al. Cytokine profile and pathology in human leishmaniasis. Braz J Med Biol Res. 1998 Jan;31(1):143–148.
- [53] Avila JL, Rojas M, Acosta A. Glycoinositol phospholipids from American *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* spp: partial characterization of the glycan cores and the human humoral immune response to them. J Clin Microbiol. 1991 Oct;29(10):2305–2312.

- [54] Assis RR, Ibraim IC, Noronha FS, et al. Glycoinositolphospholipids from *Leishmania braziliensis* and *L. infantum*: modulation of innate immune system and variations in carbohydrate structure. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(2):e1543. doi:10.1371/journal. pntd.0001543. Epub 2012 Feb 28. PMID: 22389743; PMCID: PMC3289616.
- [55] Cabezas Y, Legentil L, Robert-Gangneux F, et al. *Leishmania* cell wall as a potent target for antiparasitic drugs. A focus on the glycoconjugates. Org Biomol Chem. 2015 Aug;13(31):8393–8404.
- [56] Mendonca VJ, de Oliveira J, Rimoldi A, et al. Triatominae survey (Hemiptera: Reduviidae: Triatominae) in the south-central region of the state of Bahia, Brazil between 2008 and 2013. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015 May;92(5):1076–1080.
- [57] Ribeiro G, Jr., Dos Santos CGS, Lanza F, et al. Wide distribution of *Trypanosoma cruzi*-infected triatomines in the State of Bahia, Brazil. Parasit Vectors. 2019 Dec 26;12(1):604. doi:10.1186/s13071-019-3849-1. PMID: 31878960; PMCID: PMC6933904.
- [58] Singh S, Kumari V, Singh N. Predicting kala-azar disease manifestations in asymptomatic patients with latent *Leishmania donovani* infection by detection of antibody against recombinant K39 antigen. Clin Diag Lab Immunol. 2002 May;9(3):568–572.
- [59] Pinazo MJ, Posada Ede J, Izquierdo L, et al. Altered hypercoagulability factors in patients with chronic Chagas disease: potential biomarkers of therapeutic response. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016 Jan 4;10(1): e0004269. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004269. PMID: 26727000; PMCID: PMC4700971.
- [60] Torrico F, Gascon J, Ortiz L, et al. Treatment of adult chronic indeterminate Chagas disease with benznidazole and three E1224 dosing regimens: a proof-of-concept, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 Apr;18(4):419–430.