

The efficacy of dexketoprofen for migraine attack A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies

Baohua Yang, MD, Zhili Xu, MD, Linglong Chen, MD, Xinguo Chen, MD, Yuequn Xie, MD*

Abstract

Background: The efficacy of dexketoprofen for migraine attack remains controversial. We conduct a systematic review and metaanalysis to explore the influence of dexketoprofen supplementation versus placebo on pain control in migraine attack patients.

Methods: We search PubMed, EMbase, Web of science, EBSCO, and Cochrane library databases through March 2019 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the effect of dexketoprofen supplementation versus placebo on pain control for migraine attack patients. This meta-analysis is performed using the random-effect model.

Results: Five RCTs involving 794 patients are included in the meta-analysis. Overall, compared with control group for migraine attack, dexketoprofen supplementation is associated with substantially increased pain free at 2 hours (RR=1.90; 95% CI=1.43-2.53; P<.0001), pain free at 48 hours (RR=1.63; 95% CI=1.07-2.49; P=.02), good or excellent treatment (RR=1.48; 95% CI= 1.24–1.78; P<.0001) and pain relief at 2 hours (RR=1.80; 95% CI=1.17–2.77; P=.007), as well as reduced need for rescue drug (RR=0.64; 95% CI=0.43-0.94; P=.02), with no significant increase in adverse events (RR=1.51; 95% CI=0.87-2.62; P=.14).

Conclusion: Dexketoprofen supplementation benefits to improve pain control at 48 hours and reduce the need for rescue drug in migraine attack patients.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, SMD = standard mean difference.

Keywords: dexketoprofen, migraine attack, pain control, randomized controlled trials

1. Introduction

Migraine is a leading headache etiology in the emergency department (ED) and is regarded as one of their three chief reasons for the ED visit.^[1-3] Approximately 5.2 million patients have been reported to encounter headache or migraine headache.^[4] These patients frequently suffer from the headache pattern similar to former migraine attacks, and they generally require no diagnostic testing in the ED, but require rapid and effective management of their headache.[5-7]

Sumatriptan, dopamine antagonists, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and opioids are widely used for mitigating migraine headaches via diverse routes in the ED, and show the effectiveness in decreasing migraine pain. However,

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Emergency, Wenzhou People's Hospital, The Wenzhou Third Clinical Institute Affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325000, P.R. China.

Correspondence: Yuegun Xie, Department of Emergency, Wenzhou People's Hospital, The Wenzhou Third Clinical Institute Affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou Maternal and Child Health Care Hospital, No. 57, Canghou Street, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325000, P.R. China (e-mail: qunqun20180402@163.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Yang B, Xu Z, Chen L, Chen X, Xie Y. The efficacy of dexketoprofen for migraine attack. Medicine 2019;98:46(e17734).

Received: 9 May 2019 / Received in final form: 2 September 2019 / Accepted: 1 October 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000017734

these drugs always lead to various side effects.^[8-11] For instance, narcotic analgesics result in common side effects, such as nausea, vomiting, hypotension, and drowsiness. One kind of NSAIDs, dexketoprofen is proved to be effective and very fast in reducing pain intensity of migraine attacks in 42 women, and shows little adverse events.^[12]

Dexketoprofen enables to alleviate inflammation and pain by blocking the action of cyclooxygenase and subsequently reducing the production of prostaglandins.^[13] Dexketoprofen maximum plasma concentrations can be obtained around 30 minutes after an oral dose. Its elimination half-life is quite short.^[14] Recently, several studies have investigated the efficacy of dexketoprofen for migraine attack patients, but the results are conflicting.^[14-17] This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) aims to assess the impact of dexketoprofen supplementation on pain control in patients with migraine attack.

2. Materials and methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis are performed based on the guidance of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis statement and Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.^[18,19] No ethical approval and patient consent are required because all analyses are based on previous published studies.

2.1. Literature search and selection criteria

We systematically search several databases including PubMed, EMbase, Web of science, EBSCO, and the Cochrane library from inception to March 2019 with the following keywords: dexketoprofen and migraine. The reference lists of retrieved studies and relevant reviews are also hand-searched and the

Editor: Leonardo Roever

process above is performed repeatedly in order to include additional eligible studies.

The inclusion criteria are presented as follows:

- (1) study design is RCT,
- (2) patients are diagnosed with migraine attack, and
- (3) intervention treatments are dexketoprofen (or dexketoprofen supplementation) versus placebo.

2.2. Data extraction and outcome measures

Some baseline information is extracted from the original studies, and they include first author, number of patients, age, weight, migraine disability assessment score, and detail methods in 2 groups. Data are extracted independently by 2 investigators, and discrepancies are resolved by consensus. We have contacted the corresponding author to obtain the data when necessary.

The primary outcome is pain free at 2 hours. Secondary outcomes include pain free at 48 hours, good or excellent treatment, pain relief at 2 hours, the need for rescue drug and adverse events.

2.3. Quality assessment in individual studies

The methodological quality of each RCT is assessed by the Jadad Scale, which consists of 3 evaluation elements: randomization (0–2 points), blinding (0–2 points), and dropouts and withdrawals (0–1 points).^[20] One point would be allocated to each element, if they have been conducted and mentioned appropriately in the original article. The score of Jadad Scale varies from 0 to 5 points. An article with Jadad score ≤ 2 is considered to be of low quality. The study is thought to be of high quality if Jadad score ≥ 3 .^[21]

2.4. Statistical analysis

We assess the risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dichotomous outcomes (pain free at 2 hours and 48 hours, good or excellent treatment, pain relief at 2 hours, the need for rescue drug and adverse events). Heterogeneity is evaluated using the I^2 statistic, and $I^2 > 50\%$ indicates significant heterogeneity.^[22] The random-effects model is used for all meta-analysis. We search for potential sources of heterogeneity for significant heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis is performed to detect the influence of a single study on the overall estimate by omitting 1 study in turn or performing the subgroup analysis. Publication bias is assessed by Begg's test and Egger's regression test. Results are considered as statistically significant for P < .05. All statistical analyses are performed using Review Manager Version 5.3 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Software Update, Oxford, UK).

3. Results

3.1. Literature search, study characteristics, and quality assessment

Figure 1 shows the detailed flowchart of the search and selection results. Three hundred eighty five potentially relevant articles are identified initially. Finally, 5 RCTs are included in the meta-analysis.^[14–17,23]

The baseline characteristics of 5 included RCTs are shown in Table 1. These studies are published between 2014 and 2016, and

the total sample size is 794. Allais 2014 (1) reports the early use (\leq 30 min) of frovatriptan combined with dexketoprofen, while Allais 2014 (1) reports the late use (>30 min) of frovatriptan combined with dexketoprofen.^[23] Three studies involve the combination of frovatriptan with dexketoprofen versus frovatriptan plus placebo,^[14,16,23] and 2 studies involve the only dexketoprofen versus placebo.^[15,17] The doses of dexketoprofen are 50 mg or 37.5 mg. Jadad scores of the 5 included studies vary from 3 to 5, and all 5 studies have high quality based on the quality assessment.

3.2. Primary outcomes: pain free at 2hours

The random-effect model is used for the analysis of primary outcomes. The results find that compared to control group for migraine attack patients, dexketoprofen supplementation can significantly increase the number of pain free at 2 hours (RR = 1.90; 95% CI=1.43–2.53; P < .0001) with no heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, heterogeneity P = .74, Fig. 2). The funnel plot is relatively symmetrical, and all studies fall within the 95% CI axis for a given standard error. There is little evidence of publication bias (Fig. 3).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis

There is no heterogeneity for the primary outcomes, and thus we do not perform sensitivity analysis by omitting one study in turn to detect the heterogeneity.

3.4. Secondary outcomes

In comparison with control intervention for migraine attack patients, dexketoprofen supplementation is associated with significantly increased pain free at 48 hours (RR=1.63; 95% CI=1.07–2.49; P=.02; Fig. 4), good or excellent treatment (RR=1.48; 95% CI=1.24–1.78; P<.0001; Fig. 5) and pain relief at 2 hours (RR=1.80; 95% CI=1.17–2.77; P=.007; Fig. 6), as well as reduced need for rescue drug (RR=0.64; 95% CI=0.43–0.94; P=.02; Fig. 7), but has no remarkable impact on adverse events (RR=1.51; 95% CI=0.87–2.62; P=.14; Fig. 8).

3.5. Publication bias

No significant publication bias is observed (P = .412) based on Begg's test and Egger's regression test.

4. Discussion

There are many pharmacologic classes of first-line drugs for the treatment of migraine attacks.^[24–26] The guidelines published by the European Federation of Neurological Societies state that triptans, acetylsalicylic acid, naproxen, ibuprofen, diclofenac, and paracetamol are regarded as the first-line recommendation.^[27] In a randomized, double-blind, crossover, placebo-controlled, dose-optimization phase II study, 50 mg dexketoprofen trometamol is reported to significantly improve headache relief and the absence of functional disability for acute migraine treatment.^[17] In addition, another RCT also confirms the efficacy of 50 mg dexketoprofen for migraine attack, and results in the reduced rescue medication requirement compared to placebo (22.3% vs 55.4%).^[15]



Table 1			
Characteri	stics of	included	studies.

				De	xketoprofen	group					Control gr	oup		
No.	Author	Number	Age (years)	Female (n)	Weight (kg)	MIDAS score	Methods	Number	Age (years)	Female (n)	Weight (kg)	MIDAS score	Methods	Jadad scores
1	Gungor 2016	112	37 ± 11	_	_	_	50 mg dexketoprofen	112	37 ± 11	_	_	_	Placebo	4
2	Allais 2015	25	37.8±6.9	_	58.0±7.9	25.4±16.9	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus dexketoprofen 37.5 mg	28	37.8±7.3	_	57.1±5.7	24.0±16.3	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus placebo	4
3	Tullo 2014	91	40±10	75	63.5±12.1	23.1±16.6	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus dexketoprofen 37.5 mg	93	38.3±9	89	61.1±8.7	23±16.9	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus placebo	5
4	Mainardi 2014	74	40.5 ± 11.0	45	67.8 ± 13.6	-	Dexketoprofen 50 mg	75	40.5 ± 10.9	46	70.4 ± 13.7	_	Placebo	4
5	Allais 2014 (1)	53	40.0±9.	44	63.0±11.2	26.3±10.7	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus dexketoprofen 37.5 mg	61	38.5 ± 9.4	58	61.3±8.3	25.6±18.0	Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus placebo	3
	Allais 2014 (2)	38	41.6 ± 10.9	30	64.2 ± 13.6	21.3 ± 12.5	-	32	39.6 ± 8.0	30	60.6 ± 9.6	18.2 ± 14.0		

MIDAS = migraine disability assessment.







	Dexketoprofen	group	Control g	group		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Rand	dom, 95% Cl		
Allais 2015	11	25	5	28	21.6%	2.46 [0.99, 6.12]			-	-	
Tullo 2014	30	91	21	93	78.4%	1.46 [0.91, 2.35]		8	+		
Total (95% CI)		116		121	100.0%	1.63 [1.07, 2.49]			•		
Total events	41		26						1.5		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 1.00	df = 1 (P	= 0.32); l ²	= 0%			+	1			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.28 (P = 0.0)	2)					Favo	ours [experimental]	Favours [cont	rol]	20



	Dexketoprofen	group	Control	group		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Allais 2014 (1)	31	53	29	61	27.0%	1.23 [0.87, 1.74]	
Allais 2014 (2)	27	37	12	32	13.7%	1.95 [1.19, 3.17]	
Allais 2015	20	25	13	28	16.6%	1.72 [1.11, 2.68]	
Tullo 2014	58	91	41	93	42.7%	1.45 [1.10, 1.91]	
Total (95% CI)		206		214	100.0%	1.48 [1.24, 1.78]	•
Total events	136		95			2 //// Failes (11/467)	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 2.77	, df = 3 (P	= 0.43); l ²	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.28 (P < 0.0	001)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of good or excellent treatment.

	Dexketoproten	group	Control g	group		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Allais 2015	22	25	13	28	30.4%	1.90 [1.24, 2.89]	
Mainardi 2014	48	74	19	75	30.4%	2.56 [1.68, 3.91]	
Tullo 2014	70	91	54	93	39.2%	1.32 [1.08, 1.63]	-
Total (95% CI)		190		196	100.0%	1.80 [1.17, 2.77]	•
Total events	140		86				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.11: Chi ² = 9.26.	df = 2 (P)	= 0.010); [$ ^2 = 78\%$			t. t. t. t. t.
est for overall effect:	Z = 2.69 (P = 0.00))7)					0.05 0.2 1 5 20
	Dexketoprofen	group	Control	group		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Dexketoprofen Events	group Total	Control g	group Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H. Random. 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H. Random. 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup	Dexketoprofen Events 15	group Total 53	Control g Events 25	group Total 61	Weight 18.3%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Vlais 2014 (1) Vlais 2014 (2)	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17	group Total 53 37	Control g Events 25 11	group Total 61 38	Weight 18.3% 16.4%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random, 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Vilais 2014 (1) Vilais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25	group Total 53 37 112	Control g Events 25 11 62	7000 Total 61 38 112	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% Cl</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Vilais 2014 (1) Vilais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Aainardi 2014	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24	group Total 53 37 112 74	Control g Events 25 11 62 51	75 group Total 61 38 112 75	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% Cl</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
itudy or Subgroup Ilais 2014 (1) Ilais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Iainardi 2014 ullo 2014	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24 26	group Total 53 37 112 74 91	Control 9 Events 25 11 62 51 42	Total 61 38 112 75 93	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1% 21.4%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random, 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69] 0.63 [0.43, 0.94]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random. 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Vlais 2014 (1) Vlais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Aainardi 2014 Tullo 2014 Total (95% CI)	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24 26	group Total 53 37 112 74 91 367	Control 9 Events 25 11 62 51 42	roup Total 61 38 112 75 93 379	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1% 21.4% 100.0%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69] 0.63 [0.43, 0.94] 0.64 [0.43, 0.94]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% Cl
tudy or Subgroup Vlais 2014 (1) Vlais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Aainardi 2014 Vullo 2014 Vullo 2014 Votal (95% CI) Votal events	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24 26 107	group Total 53 37 112 74 91 367	Control g Events 25 11 62 51 42 191	roup Total 61 38 112 75 93 379	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1% 21.4% 100.0%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69] 0.63 [0.43, 0.94] 0.64 [0.43, 0.94]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Niais 2014 (1) Niais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Aainardi 2014 Fotal (95% CI) Total events Total events Total events Total events	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24 26 107 0.14; Chi ² = 15.66	group Total 53 37 112 74 91 367 9, df = 4 (f	Control (Events 25 11 62 51 42 191 P = 0.003);	Total 61 38 112 75 93 379 ; l ² = 759	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1% 21.4% 100.0%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random. 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69] 0.63 [0.43, 0.94] 0.64 [0.43, 0.94]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Allais 2014 (1) Allais 2014 (2) Sungor 2016 Mainardi 2014 Fullo 2014 Fotal (95% CI) Fotal (95% CI) Fotal events feterogeneity: Tau ² = Fest for overall effect:	Dexketoprofen Events 15 17 25 24 26 107 0.14; Chi ² = 15.66 Z = 2.26 (P = 0.02	group Total 53 37 112 74 91 367 9, df = 4 (f 2)	Control (Events 25 11 62 51 42 191 P = 0.003);	Total 61 38 112 75 93 379 ; 1 ² = 759	Weight 18.3% 16.4% 21.7% 22.1% 21.4% 100.0%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H. Random, 95% CI</u> 0.69 [0.41, 1.17] 1.59 [0.86, 2.92] 0.40 [0.27, 0.59] 0.48 [0.33, 0.69] 0.63 [0.43, 0.94] 0.64 [0.43, 0.94]	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% CI

Figure 7. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of the need for rescue drug.

Frovatriptan serves as one of the newest triptans and has a long duration of action, a low likelihood of side effects and drug interactions because of the distinct pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics profile.^[28] The elimination half-life of frovatriptan is 5 times than that of other triptans, but the time to maximum concentration is similar to other triptans.^[29–31] Frovatriptan is often combined with dexketoprofen to treat migraine attack. For instance, frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus dexketoprofen 37.5 mg are used for migraine attack patients, and the results reveal the increase in pain free at 2 hours and 24 hours, with similar occurrence of total and drug-related adverse events compared to frovatriptan 2.5 mg.^[14]

Our meta-analysis includes 5 RCTs involving 794 patients, and the results find that dexketoprofen supplementation shows favorably positive influence on pain free at 2 hours and 48 hours, good or excellent treatment, pain relief at 2 hours, and the need for rescue drug for migraine attack patients. No heterogeneity and publication bias is observed in this meta-analysis. Nausea and vomiting are known as the common side effects of NSAIDs. Dexketoprofen and NSAIDs are relatively safe drugs for migraine attacks. The incidence of adverse events is found to have no statistical difference between dexketoprofen supplementation and placebo. Dexketoprofen is reported to shorten the length of ED stays in migraine patients by approximately 30 minutes compared to placebo.^[15]

4.1. Limitations

Several limitations exist in this meta-analysis. Firstly, our analysis is based on only 5 RCTs, and more RCTs with large sample size should be conducted to explore this issue. Next, although there is no obvious heterogeneity, only dexketoprofen or its combination with frovatriptan may have some impact on the pooling results. Finally, the ideal dose and combination methods of dexketoprofen remain unclear.

4.2. Future directions

More RCTs with large sample size should be performed to investigate the efficacy of dexketoprofen for migraine attack, especially focusing on the ideal dose and combination methods of dexketoprofen.



Figure 8. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of adverse events.

5. Conclusion

Dexketoprofen supplementation can enhance pain control at 48 hours and reduce the need for rescue drug in patients with migraine attack.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Yuequn Xie. Methodology: Yuequn Xie. Visualization: Yuequn Xie. Writing – original draft: Yuequn Xie. Writing – review & editing: Yuequn Xie.

References

- [1] MacGregor EA. Migraine. Ann Int Med 2017;166:ITC49-64.
- [2] Tepper SJ, Diener HC, Ashina M, et al. Erenumab in chronic migraine with medication overuse: subgroup analysis of a randomized trial. Neurology 2019;92:e2309–20.
- [3] Golikhatir I, Cheraghmakani H, Bozorgi F, et al. The efficacy and safety of prochlorperazine in patients with acute migraine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Headache 2019;59:682–700.
- [4] Vinson DR. Treatment patterns of isolated benign headache in US emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med 2002;39:215–22.
- [5] Maasumi K, Tepper SJ, Kriegler JS. Menstrual migraine and treatment options: review. Headache 2017;57:194–208.
- [6] Hindiyeh NA, Kellerman DJ, Schmidt PC. Review of acute treatment of migraine trial results with the new FDA endpoints: design implications for future trials. Headache 2019;59:819–24.
- [7] Lipton RB, Munjal S, Brand-Schieber E, et al. DFN-02, sumatriptan 10 mg nasal spray with permeation enhancer, for the acute treatment of migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study assessing functional disability and subject satisfaction with treatment. CNS Drugs 2019;33:375–82.
- [8] Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (all routes of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults – overview of Cochrane reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;CD009108.
- [9] Derry S, Rabbie R, Moore RA. Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;CD008783.
- [10] Vollesen ALH, Ashina M. PACAP38: emerging drug target in migraine and cluster headache. Headache 2017;57(Suppl 2):56-63.
- [11] Etchison AR, Bos L, Ray M, et al. Low-dose ketamine does not improve migraine in the emergency department: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. West J Emerg Med 2018;19:952–60.
- [12] Allais G, De Lorenzo C, Airola G, et al. Dexketoprofen trometamol in the treatment of acute migraine attack. Minerva Med 2000;91:153–9.
- [13] Caldwell J, Hutt AJ, Fournel-Gigleux S. The metabolic chiral inversion and dispositional enantioselectivity of the 2-arylpropionic acids and their biological consequences. Biochem Pharmacol 1988;37:105–14.
- [14] Tullo V, Valguarnera F, Barbanti P, et al. Comparison of frovatriptan plus dexketoprofen (25 mg or 37.5 mg) with frovatriptan alone in the

treatment of migraine attacks with or without aura: a randomized study. Cephalalgia 2014;34:434–45.

- [15] Gungor F, Akyol KC, Kesapli M, et al. Intravenous dexketoprofen vs placebo for migraine attack in the emergency department: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Cephalalgia 2016;36:179–84.
- [16] Allais G, Bussone G, Tullo V, et al. Frovatriptan 2.5 mg plus dexketoprofen (25 mg or 37.5 mg) in menstrually related migraine. Subanalysis from a double-blind, randomized trial. Cephalalgia 2015;35:45–50.
- [17] Mainardi F, Maggioni F, Pezzola D, et al. Dexketoprofen trometamol in the acute treatment of migraine attack: a phase II, randomized, doubleblind, crossover, placebo-controlled, dose optimization study. J Pain 2014;15:388–94.
- [18] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;6:b2535.
- [19] Higgins J, Thomas J. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. 2011;The Cochrane Collaboration,
- [20] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996;17:1–2.
- [21] Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, Gluud C. Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in metaanalyses. Ann Intern Med 2001;135:982–9.
- [22] Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med 2002;21:1539–58.
- [23] Allais G, Tullo V, Cortelli P, et al. Efficacy of early vs. late use of frovatriptan combined with dexketoprofen vs. frovatriptan alone in the acute treatment of migraine attacks with or without aura. Neurol Sci 2014;35(Suppl 1):107–13.
- [24] Kacperski J, Hershey AD. Newly approved agents for the treatment and prevention of pediatric migraine. CNS Drugs 2016;30:837–44.
- [25] Pringsheim T, Davenport W, Mackie G, et al. Canadian Headache Society guideline for migraine prophylaxis. Can J Neurol Sci 2012;39: S1–59.
- [26] Tfelt-Hansen P, Pascual J, Ramadan N, et al. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: third edition. A guide for investigators. Cephalalgia 2012;32:6–38.
- [27] Evers S, Afra J, Frese A, et al. EFNS guideline on the drug treatment of migraine – revised report of an EFNS task force. Eur J Neurol 2009;16:968–81.
- [28] Balbisi EA. Frovatriptan succinate, a 5-HT1B/1D receptor agonist for migraine. Int J Clin Pract 2004;58:695–705.
- [29] Savi L, Omboni S, Lisotto C, et al. A double-blind, randomized, multicenter, Italian study of frovatriptan versus rizatriptan for the acute treatment of migraine. J Headache Pain 2011;12:219–26.
- [30] Bartolini M, Giamberardino MA, Lisotto C, et al. A double-blind, randomized, multicenter, Italian study of frovatriptan versus almotriptan for the acute treatment of migraine. J Headache Pain 2011;12:361–8.
- [31] Cortelli P, Allais G, Tullo V, et al. Frovatriptan versus other triptans in the acute treatment of migraine: pooled analysis of three double-blind, randomized, cross-over, multicenter, Italian studies. Neurol Sci 2011;32 (Suppl 1):S95–8.