# Clinical significance of cumulative biological effective dose and overall treatment time in the treatment of carcinoma cervix

# Abhijit Mandal, Anupam Kumar Asthana, Lalit Mohon Aggarwal

Department of Radiotherapy and Radiation Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, UP, India

Received on: 18-01-07

Accepted on: 17-05-07

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this retrospective study is to report the radiotherapy treatment response of, and complications in, patients with cervical cancer on the basis of cumulative biologic effective dose (BED) and overall treatment time (OTT).

Sixty-four (stage II - 35/64; stage III - 29/64) patients of cervical cancer were treated with combination of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and low dose rate intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT). The cumulative BED was calculated at Point A  $(BED_{10})$ ; and bladder, rectal reference points  $(BED_{2.5})$  using the linear-quadratic BED equations.

The local control (LC) rate and 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) rate in patients of stage II were comparable for  $BED_{10} < 84.5$ and  $BED_{10} > 84.5$  but were much higher for  $BED_{10} > 84.5$  than  $BED_{10} < 84.5$  (P < 0.01) in stage III patients. In the stage II patients, The LC rate and 5-year DFS rate were comparable for OTT <50 days and for OTT >50 days but were much higher in stage III patients with OTT <50 than OTT >50 days (P < 0.001). It was also observed that patients who received  $BED_{2.5} < 105$  had lesser rectal (P < 0.001) and bladder complications than  $BED_{2.5} > 105$ . Higher rectal complication-free survival ( $CFS_B$ ) rate and all-type late complication-free survival rate were observed in patients who received  $BED_{2.5} < 105$ .

A balanced, optimal and justified radiotherapy treatment schedule to deliver higher  $BED_{10}$  (>84.5) and lower  $BED_{2.5}$  (<105) in lesser OTT (<50 days) is essential in carcinoma cervix to expect a better treatment outcome in all respects.

Key words: Biologic effective dose, carcinoma cervix, radiotherapy

Radiation therapy is the standard treatment of choice in all stages of cancer of the uterine cervix, with the combination of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT).

Radiotherapy is delivered through different types of treatment units with variable physical parameters (e.g., target conformity, type of radiation, dose rate, etc.). Large number of treatment schedules (fractionation scheme, dose of EBRT and ICBT, time gap between EBRT and ICBT, etc.) are available. Sometimes planned treatment may change from original due to unavoidable circumstances. Therefore, these variations during treatment should be unified by radiobiological parameters such as biological effective dose (BED) for late-reacting tissue (BED<sub>25</sub>), for acute-reacting

Address for correspondence:

Dr. A. Mandal,

Department of Radiotherapy and Radiation Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221 005, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: amandal751@yahoo.co.in tissue  $(BED_{10})$  and overall treatment time (OTT). The radiobiological evaluations are helpful to clinicians in evaluating the various treatment schedules quantitatively to predict the treatment outcome.<sup>[1-3]</sup>

The aim of this retrospective study is to report our critical radiobiological assessment of patients with cervical cancer treated in the year 1997. The predictive quality of radiobiological parameters in treatment outcome is also analyzed.

# **Materials and Methods**

This study highlights the radiobiological analysis of 64 patients of cervical cancer treated in one unit of radiotherapy in the year 1997. Following criteria were used to analyze the patients. Previously untreated patients with histopathology of squamous cell carcinoma were only included in this study. All cases were clinically staged as per FIGO classification and were treated by radical radiotherapy, which essentially includes both EBRT and ICBT. ICBT applications were performed in accordance with ICRU-38<sup>[4]</sup> by remote after loading (RAL)

## Treatment plan and schedule

## External beam radiotherapy

Majority of the patients received 4400 cGy (range 4,000-4,500 cGy) in 22 fractions (range 20-22 fractions) with daily fraction of 200 cGy (range 200-204 cGy) in 30 days (range 27-36 days) by external beam radiotherapy (Co-60) to the pelvis prior to the intracavitary brachytherapy insertion. Patients with interportal distance (IPD) <20 cm were treated by two parallel opposed AP/PA portals (89%), and those with IPD  $\geq$ 20 cm were treated by 'four field box' technique (11%) with two AP/PA and two lateral portals.

Intracavitary application: Time gap of 14 days was given between completion of external beam radiotherapy and brachytherapy application. Both RAL Selectron unit and MAL Amersham unit were equally used for the intracavitary brachytherapy applications.

#### Amersham application

Thirty-four patients received ICBT by Caesium-137 MAL Amersham unit; the dose distribution was similar to the Manchester system, and dose rate was 46-47 cGy per hour to point A. Patients received a total brachytherapy dose of 3,200 cGy (range 2,673-3,351 cGy) to point A in 69 h (range 58-71 h). The Amersham system uses permanently loaded flexible-source pencils in combination with standard packs of disposable plastic applicators.

Selectron application: Thirty patients received ICBT by RAL Selectron units. Dose rate was 139.81-145.38 cGy per hour to point A. Because of expected increase in normal tissue complications with increased dose rate, the total brachytherapy dose was reduced by 17.5% as compared to the MAL Amersham dose. Total dose received by point A was 2,640 cGy (range 2,478-2,879 cGy) in 18.88 h (range 17.39-20.29 h). Standard Selectron rigid applicators were used.

#### Dose calculation and treatment planning

Dummy radiographic markers were inserted into intrauterine tandem and ovoids for source localization. Rectal catheter with steel balls having diameter of 2.5 mm were used to locate rectum; and to visualize the bladder, 7 cc of contrast media was put in Foley's catheter. Simulation film of orthogonal film of pelvis with applicators in position was obtained for dosimetric purpose. The rectal and bladder points were taken as specified in the ICRU-38.<sup>[4]</sup> On the anterior view of the radiograph, the bladder point was taken at the center of the balloon. In the lateral view the reference point was chosen on an anterior-posterior (A/P) line drawn through Foley's balloon center at the posterior surface. The rectal reference point was delineated on a lateral radiograph on an A/P line drawn through the middle of the intra-vaginal source and 5 mm behind the posterior vaginal wall. TSG RAD-PLAN treatment planning system was used for external beam radiotherapy and intracavitary treatment planning. Dose to point A, B, rectal and bladder was obtained. *In vivo* rectal dosimetry was also carried out.

## Radiobiological aspects

The BEDs were calculated at point A (BED<sub>10</sub>); and bladder, rectal reference points (BED<sub>2.5</sub>) using the linear-quadratic BED equations.<sup>[3]</sup> The equations of BEDs in fractionated and continuous treatments are as given bellow - [A] and [B]:

$$BED_{fr} = Nd \left[ 1 + \frac{d}{\alpha / \beta} \right]$$
 [A]

N = number of fractions d = dose per fraction (Gy)  $\alpha/\beta$  = tissue-specific parameter (Gy)

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$R = \text{dose rate (Gy/hr)}$$

$$T = \text{application time (hr)}$$

$$\mu = \text{tissue-specific parameter}$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

$$BED_{cont} = RT \left[ 1 + \frac{2R(1-1/\mu T)}{\mu(\alpha/\beta)} \right]$$

 $\alpha/\beta$  values were taken as 2.5 Gy for normal tissue late effects and 10 Gy for tumors.<sup>[3]</sup>  $\mu$  values were taken as 0.46 hr<sup>-1</sup> for normal tissue late effects and 1.40 hr<sup>-1</sup> for tumors.<sup>[3]</sup> The cumulative BED<sub>10</sub> and BED<sub>2.5</sub> were calculated by addition of contribution of external beam radiotherapy and low dose rate brachytherapy. The median of calculated cumulative BED<sub>10</sub>

and BED<sub>2,5</sub> was 84.53 (range 72.67-89.71) and 108.44 (range

#### Follow-up

73.19-126.19) respectively.

After completion of treatment, all the patients were put on routine follow-up. Patients were evaluated for local disease control and any evidence of distant failure. Rectal and bladder complications were also evaluated throughout follow-up period. The late rectal and bladder toxicity were graded according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) criteria. The follow-up ranged between 19 and 90 months, with a median of 37 months for all patients.

Statistics: All data was statistically analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 10.0.

Table 1: Patient demography

Total number of patients	64
Follow-up (median, range)	37 months, 19-90 months
Stagell (No, %)	35 (54.69%)
StageIII (No, %)	29 (45.31%)
Age (median, range)	45.5 years, 27-65 years
Calculated BED <sub>10</sub> (median, range)	84.53, 72.67-89.71
Calculated BED <sub>2.5</sub> (median, range)	108.44, 73.19-126.19

Survival curves were derived using Kaplan-Meier cumulative survival method, and significance of the data was tested using Chi-square test.

## Results

## Local control

Out of 35 patients of carcinoma of cervix stage II, 17 received  $\text{BED}_{10} < 84.5$  and 18 received  $\text{BED}_{10} > 84.5$ . The local control rate was 76.5 and 77.8% for  $\text{BED}_{10} < 84.5$  and  $\text{BED}_{10} > 84.5$  respectively [Table 2]. Sixteen patients received the full course of radiotherapy in <50 days and 19 in >50 days. The local control rate was 75.0 and 79.0% for <50 days and >50 days respectively [Table 3].

Out of 29 patients with carcinoma of cervix stage III, 14 received  $\text{BED}_{10} < 84.5$  and 15 received  $\text{BED}_{10} > 84.5$ . The local control rate was 78.6 and 93.4% for  $\text{BED}_{10} < 84.5$  and  $\text{BED}_{10} > 84.5$  respectively [Table 2]. Twelve patients received the full course of treatment in <50 days and 17 in >50 days. The local control rate was 100 and 76.5% for <50 days and >50 days respectively [Table 3].

## Survival

In stage II patients, the 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) rate was 76.0% for BED<sub>10</sub> <84.5 and 73.9% for BED<sub>10</sub> >84.5 [Figure 1]. The 5-year DFS rate was 73.3% for <50 days and 76.3% for >50 days [Figure 2].

In stage III patients, the 5-year DFS rate was 64.3% for BED<sub>10</sub> <84.5 and 94.0% for BED<sub>10</sub> >84.5 [Figure 3]. The

Table 2:  $\operatorname{BED}_{\operatorname{10}}$  vs. response and disease-free survival rate

Stage	BED <sub>10</sub>	No. of patients	L/C %	Significance
	<84.5	17/35	76.5	Not
	>84.5	18/35	77.8	Significant
	<84.5	14/29	78.6	<i>P</i> <0.01
	>84.5	15/29	93.4	Significant

Table 3: Overall treatment time vs. responseand disease-free survival rate

Stage	Overall treatment time	No. of patients	L/C %	Significance
	<50	16/35	75.0	Not Significant
	>50	19/35	79.0	-
	<50	12/29	100	<i>P</i> <0.001
	>50	17/29	76.5	Significant

5-year DFS rate was 100% for <50 days and 68.6% for >50 days [Figure 4].

## Normal tissue complications

Out of 64 patients, 18 received  $BED_{2.5} < 105$  at rectal and bladder points, and 46 patients received  $BED_{2.5} > 105$ . Of these 18 patients who received  $BED_{2.5} < 105$ , 4 (22.2%) had rectal complications (2 patients Gr-II, 1 patient Gr-III, 1 patient Gr-IV) and 3 (16.7%) had bladder complications (1 patient Gr-II, 2 patients Gr-III) [Table 4]. At 90 months, 77.8, 83.3 and 66.7% were the rectal complication-free survival (CFS<sub>R</sub>) rate [Figure 5], bladder complication-free survival (CFS<sub>B</sub>) rate [Figure 6] and all-type late complication-free survival (CFS) rate [Figure 7] respectively.

Out of 46 patients who received BED<sub>2.5</sub> >105, 24 (52.1%) had rectal complications (7 patients Gr-I, 9 patients Gr-II, 6 patients Gr-III and 2 patients Gr-IV) and 8 (17.4%) had bladder complications (4 patients Gr-II, 2 patients Gr-III and 2 patients Gr-IV) [Table 4]. The CFS<sub>R</sub> rate [Figure 5], CFS<sub>B</sub> rate [Figure 6] and CFS rate [Figure 7] at 90 months were 44.4, 65.3 and 23.9% respectively.







Figure 2: Kaplan-Meyer disease-free survival curve for stage II patients with OTT <50 days and OTT >50 days

Table 4: BED<sub>25</sub> vs. complication and complication-free survival rate

	2.0								
Normal tissue	BED <sub>25</sub>	No. of patients		Complication				No reaction	Significance
	2.0		0	1	11		IV		
Rectum	<105	18/64	14	0	2	1	1	77.8	<i>P</i> <0.001
	>105	46/64	22	7	9	6	2	47.8	Significant
Bladder	<105	18/64	15	0	1	2	0	83.3	Not
	>105	46/64	38	0	4	2	2	82.6	Significant

Journal of Medical Physics, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2007



Figure 3: Kaplan-Meyer disease-free survival curve for stage III patients with BED10 >84.5 and BED10 <84.5



Figure 4: Kaplan-Meyer disease-free survival curve for stage III patients with OTT <50 days and OTT >50 days



Figure 5: Kaplan-Meyer rectal complication-free survival curve for patients with BED2.5 <105 and BED2.5 >105



Figure 6: Kaplan-Meyer bladder complication-free survival curve for patients with BED2.5 <105 and BED2.5 >105



Figure 7: Kaplan-Meyer overall complication-free survival curve for patients with BED2.5 <105 and BED2.5 >105

#### Discussion

External beam radiotherapy and low dose rate intracavitary brachytherapy are widely used for effective management of carcinoma cervix<sup>[5]</sup> in many centers of developing countries. The low dose rate conventional brachytherapy has added advantages in terms of radiobiology and cost-effectiveness. LQ models predict rise in late effects and reduction in local control as the dose rate increases.<sup>[6]</sup> The predictive quality of linear quadratic model in treatment of cervical cancer has been discussed in literatures.<sup>[7-11]</sup> Sood *et al.*<sup>[7]</sup> reported that cumulative BED<sub>10</sub> >89 Gy shows better local control rate, and BED<sub>3</sub> <100 Gy was associated with negligible late toxicity.

Wang et al.[8] made clinical comparison of two 'linearquadratic model'-based isoeffect fractionation schemes of high dose rate intracavitary brachytherapy for cervical cancer and reported that the linear-quadratic model correctly predicted the treatment outcome. Jones et al.<sup>[12]</sup> suggested that the concept of BED, in particular, is useful for quantifying the treatment expectations; however, careful interpretation of results is required before clinical decision. Jones et al.<sup>[13]</sup> evaluated the rate of loss of tumor control with extension of treatment time to assess the relative contributions of radiobiological parameters (radiosensitivity, clonogen doubling time, clonogen numbers and fractionation schedule) to such loss. They predicted rates of loss of tumor control produced by an extension in treatment time as 0.9 and 1.1% per day for a hypothetical randomly selected heterogeneous tumor population. Pitfalls in estimating the influence of overall treatment time on local tumor control have been described by Tucker et al.<sup>[14]</sup>

We observed a comparable local control rate (77.8% vs. 76.5%) and 5-year disease-free survival rate (73.9% vs. 76.0%) in stage II patients who received BED<sub>10</sub> > 84.5 and BED<sub>10</sub> < 84.5. However, a statistically significant (P < 0.01) greater local control rate (93.3% vs. 78.6%) and 5-year disease-free survival rate (93.3% vs. 64.3%) were observed in the stage III patients who received BED<sub>10</sub> > 84.5 than in those who received BED<sub>10</sub> < 84.5. It has been also noticed that the stage II patients showed comparable local control

rate (75.0% vs. 79.0%.) and 5-year disease-free survival rate (73.3% vs. 76.3 %) with OTT <50 days and OTT >50 days respectively, but stage III patients showed a statistically significant (P < 0.001) higher local control rate (100% vs. 76.5%) and 5-year disease-free survival rate (100% vs. 68.6%) with OTT <50 days than with OTT >50 days respectively. Comparatively poor response in stage II patients compared to stage III patients may be because Selectron rigid application in the stage II patients and flexible Amersham applications in stage III patients are preferably used. The Amersham flexible applicators adapt to the natural anatomy of individual patients, resulting in better dose distribution in target volume; whereas Selectron rigid applicators were molded for the anatomical structure around it, and sometimes dose distribution was compromised.<sup>[15]</sup> We observed a greater local control and disease-free survival in stage III patients who received  $BED_{10} > 84.5$  within 50 days as compared to Sood et al.,<sup>[7]</sup> who found that in 49 patients treated with RT alone, the local failure rate was 10% (1 of 10) and 19% (3 of 16) in patients receiving a  $BED_{10} > 89 \text{ Gy}_{10}$  or  $< 89 \text{ Gy}_{10}$  to Point A respectively (P = 0.2). They reported local failure rate was 7.7% (1 of 13) and 23% (3 of 13) in patients with a  $BED_{10ff} > 64 \text{ Gy}_{10} \text{ or } < 64 \text{ Gy}_{10} (P = 0.1)$ . The expected rise in treatment outcome also compared with other studies. Gasinska et al.<sup>[10]</sup> reported that if OTT exceeded 90 days, loss in BED<sub>10</sub> for relatively radiosensitive tumors (alpha = 0.3-0.4/Gy and Tk = 28 days) was equal to 0.37-0.26 Gy/day and for radioresistant tumors (alpha = 0.2/Gy), it was 0.6 Gy/day. For fast proliferating tumors (BrdUrdLI > 8.8%) BED loss was 1.4 Gy/day and for slowly proliferating tumors (BrdUrdLI  $\leq$  8.8%), it was 0.2 Gy/day. Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that  $OTT \leq 60$  days was a significant prognostic factor for overall survival (OS) (P = 0.019), disease-free survival (DFS) (P = 0.0173) and local control (LC) (P = 0.011). BED<sub>10</sub> had significant influence on survival (P = 0.047).

We have observed a statistically significant (P < 0.001)lesser rectal complication rate (22.2% vs. 52.1%), higher 90 months rectal complication-free survival rate (77.8% vs. 44.4%) and less severe complications in patients who received  $BED_{25} < 105$  than in those who received  $BED_{25}$ >105. Lesser bladder complication rate (16.7% vs. 17.4%), higher 90 months bladder complication-free survival rate (83.3% vs. 65.3%) and less severe bladder complication were also observed in patients who received BED<sub>2.5</sub> <105 than in those who received BED<sub>25</sub> >105. Sood *et al.*<sup>[7]</sup> reported negligible late toxicity with BED<sub>3</sub> <100 Gy<sub>3</sub>. Wang et al.<sup>[8]</sup> reported higher actuarial proctitis rate (49.7% vs. 32.7% at 5 years and 50.5% vs. 32.7% at 10 years, P< 0.001) and higher actuarial cystitis rate (14.3% vs. 11.4% at 5 years and 24.1% vs. 15% at 10 years, P = 0.134) in patients with 146.7 Gy<sub>3</sub> than in those with  $134.4 \text{ Gy}_{3}$ .

## (>84.5) show higher local control rate and disease-free survival rate than the patients with lower BED<sub>10</sub> (<84.5) in stage II and stage III. The local control rate and disease-free survival rate are also higher in patients with lower OTT (<50 days) than in patients with higher OTT (>50 days) in stage II and stage III. Patients with lower BED<sub>2.5</sub> (<105) had less rectal, bladder complication rate and higher complicationfree survival rate than patients with higher BED<sub>2.5</sub> (>105). Therefore, it can be concluded that to achieve higher tumor control with less normal-tissue complications, BED<sub>10</sub> should be more than 84.5 and BED<sub>2.5</sub> should be less than 105 delivered in less than 50 days.

## References

- Liversage WE. A general formula for equating protracted and acute regimes of radiation. Br J Radiol 1969;42:432-40.
- Hall EJ. Radiation dose-rate: A factor of importance in radiobiology and radiotherapy. Br J Radiol 1972;45:81-97.
- Dala RG. The application of the linear-quadratic dose-effect equation to fractionated and protracted radiotherapy. Br J Radiol 1985;58:515-28.
- ICRU report no 38: Dose and volume specification for reporting intracavitary therapy in gynaecology. ICRU: Bethesda, MD; 1985.
- Ferrigno R, Campos de Oliveira Faria SL, Weltman E, Salvajoli JV, Segreto RA, Pastore A, *et al.* Radiotherapy alone in the treatment of uterine cervix with telecobalt and low dose-rate brachytherapy: Retrospective analysis of results and variables. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2003;55:695-706.
- Dodds D, Symonds RP, Deehan C, Habeshaw T, Reed NS, Lamont DW. A linear quadratic analysis of gynaecological brachytherapy. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 1996;8:90-6.
- Sood B, Garg M, Avadhani J, Gorla G, Malhotra H, Guha C, et al. Predictive value of linear-quadratic model in the treatment of cervical cancer using high-dose-rate brachytherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;54:1377-87.
- Wang CJ, Huang EY, Sun LM, Chen HC, Fang FM, Hsu HC, et al. Clinical comparison of two linear-quadratic model-based isoeffect fractionation schemes of high-dose-rate intracavitary brachytherapy for cervical cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004;59:179-89.
- Ferrigno R, dos Santos Novaes PE, Pellizzon AC, Maia MA, Fogarolli RC, Gentil AC, et al. High-dose-rate brachytherapy in the treatment of uterine cervix cancer. Analysis of dose effectiveness and late complications. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;50:1123-35.
- Gasinska A, Fowler JF, Lind BK, Urbanski K. Influence of overall treatment time and radiobiological parameters on biologically effective doses in cervical cancer patients treated with radiation therapy alone. Acta Oncol 2004;43:657-66.
- Urbanski K, Gasinska A, Pudelek J, Fowler JF, Lind B, Brahme A. Biologically effective doses in radiotherapy of cervical carcinoma. Neoplasma 2004;51:228-38.
- Jones B, Dale RG, Deehan C, Hopkins KI, Morgan DA. The role of biologically effective dose (BED) in clinical oncology. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2001;13:71-81.
- Jones B, Dale RG. The reduction of tumour control with increasing overall time: Mathematical considerations. Br J Radiol 1996;69:830-8.
- 14. Tucker SL. Pitfalls in estimating the influence of overall treatment time on local tumor control. Acta Oncol 1999;38:171-8.
- Jones DA, Notley HM, Hunter RD. Geometry adopted by Manchester radium applicators and Selectron after loading applicators in intracavitary treatment for carcinoma cervix uteri. Br J Radiol 1987;60:481-5.

# Conclusion

It has been observed that patients with higher BED<sub>10</sub>

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.