

Research article

Open Access

TGFB1 and TGFBR1 polymorphisms and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study

David G Cox*^{†1,2}, Kathryn Penney^{†1}, Qun Guo², Susan E Hankinson² and David J Hunter^{1,2}

Address: ¹Program in Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Epidemiology Department, Harvard School of Public Health and ²Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School

Email: David G Cox* - dcox@hsph.harvard.edu; Kathryn Penney - kpenney@hsph.harvard.edu; Qun Guo - nhguo@channing.harvard.edu; Susan E Hankinson - nhseh@channing.harvard.edu; David J Hunter - david.hunter@channing.harvard.edu

* Corresponding author †Equal contributors

Published: 11 September 2007

Received: 17 April 2007

BMC Cancer 2007, 7:175 doi:10.1186/1471-2407-7-175

Accepted: 11 September 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/7/175>

© 2007 Cox et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFB1) forms a signaling complex with transforming growth factor beta receptors 1 and 2 and has been described as both a tumor suppressor and tumor promoter. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in *TGFB1* and a microsatellite in *TGFBR1* have been investigated for association with risk of breast cancer, with conflicting results.

Methods: We examined polymorphisms in the promoter region of the *TGFB1* gene as well as the *TGFBR1**6A microsatellite in the Nurses' Health Study cohort.

Results: No overall associations between the L10P polymorphism of *TGFB1* or the *TGFBR1* microsatellite were detected. However, we observed an inverse association between the -509 C/T polymorphism of *TGFB1* (p -trend = 0.04), which was stronger and more significant among women with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer.

Conclusion: Polymorphisms in the promoter region of *TGFB1* are not likely to be associated with large increases in breast cancer risk overall among Caucasian women.

Background

Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFB1) can act as a tumor suppressor by mediating growth arrest via the CDK inhibitors p15^{INK4B} [1] and/or p21^{CIP1} [2,3] and by inhibiting the expression of c-Myc [4], CDK4 [5,6], and CDC25A [7,8]. Paradoxically, tumor cells have been shown to overexpress TGFB1 [9,10]. This overexpression is thought to induce angiogenesis [11-15] as well as expression of endothelial growth factor, leading to cell proliferation and migration [16,17] and allowing tumor cells to escape from the immune system [18-20].

Polymorphisms (-509 and L10P) in the *TGFB1* gene have been associated with increased levels of TGFB1 in the serum [21]. The L10P polymorphism has been shown to increase the secretion of TGFB1 *in vitro* [22]. However, studies examining the association between TGFB1 polymorphisms and breast cancer risk have failed to yield a clear picture.

In a prospective cohort of older women, Ziv et al. [23] found a lower risk of breast cancer associated with the C/C genotype as compared to the T/T genotype at the T29C (L10P) SNP (hazard ratio 0.42, 95% CI 0.22 – 0.79). In a

population-based case-control study, Dunning et al. [22] found an increase in risk of invasive breast cancer associated with this SNP (Pro/Pro genotype compared to Leu carrier; OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.05 – 1.37). Two other studies found no association between this SNP and breast cancer risk [24,25]. A recent pooled analysis of case-control studies found a moderate increase in breast cancer risk associated with this polymorphism (per variant allele OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.02 – 1.14) [26].

Transforming growth factor beta receptor type I (TGFB1) is a serine-threonine protein kinase. Though it cannot directly bind TGFB1, it is recruited into a heteromeric complex with the TGFB type II receptor that is able to bind TGFB1 [27]. The signaling complex that results has the two TGFB1 molecules necessary for the antimutagenic effects of TGFB1 [28]. One common polymorphism in TGFB1 is a microsatellite in the coding sequence of the gene, corresponding to a variable stretch of alanine residue. *In vitro* studies have shown that TGFB1*6A (the allele of this microsatellite corresponding to 6 alanine residues) does not respond as well as the normal 9-alanine TGFB1 to the growth inhibitory signals of TGFB1 [29,30].

The findings of several studies examining the relationship between TGFB1*6A and cancer have been inconsistent. A meta-analysis of 12 of these studies showed that carrying at least one copy of the 6A allele increased the risk of cancer overall (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.10 – 1.40) and of breast cancer specifically (1,420 cases and 3,451 controls, OR 1.38, 95% CI 1.14 – 1.67) [31]. We genotyped this microsatellite in the Nurses' Health Study breast cancer nested case-control samples, the largest single study (1,196 cases and 1,677 controls genotyped successfully) analyzed to date, in order to better understand its relationship to breast cancer.

Kakamani et al. hypothesized that different combinations of the TGFB1 L10P polymorphism and the TGFB1 microsatellite would produce varying levels of TGFB1 signaling [32]. The authors observed that altered TGFB1 signaling levels altered breast cancer risk (intermediate vs. high signalers OR 1.27, 95% CI 0.93 – 1.74; low vs. high signalers OR 1.69, 95% CI 1.08 – 2.66). We therefore examined this interaction in our study as well.

Methods

The Nurses' Health Study was established in 1976, when 121,700 female registered nurses between the ages of 30 and 55 completed a self-administered questionnaire on their medical histories and baseline health related exposures. Updated information has been obtained by questionnaires every 2 years. Incident breast cancers were identified by self-report and confirmed by medical record

review. Between 1989 and 1990, blood samples were collected from 32,826 of the cohort members. Subsequent follow-up has been greater than 98% for this subcohort. Eligible cases in this study consisted of women with pathologically confirmed incident breast cancer from the subcohort who gave a blood specimen. Cases with a diagnosis anytime after blood collection up to 1 June 2000 with no previously diagnosed cancer except for nonmelanoma skin cancer were included. One or two controls were randomly selected among women who gave a blood sample and were free of diagnosed cancer (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) up to and including the questionnaire cycle in which the case was diagnosed. Controls were matched to cases on year of birth, menopausal status, postmenopausal hormone use at blood collection, month of blood return, time of day of blood collection, and fasting status at blood draw. The nested case-control study consists of 1,311 incident breast cancer cases and 1,760 matched controls.

The -509 (rs1800469) and L10P (rs1982073) single nucleotide polymorphisms were genotyped using custom-designed 5' endonuclease assays (Taqman, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA; primer and probe sequences available upon request). The TGFB1*6A microsatellite was genotyped using gel electrophoresis of PCR products. Quality control (QC) replicate samples were included on study plates, with laboratory personnel blinded to both QC and case or control status of the samples. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS V9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), with the exception of polytomous regressions [33] and meta-analyses (rmeta package in R). The TGFB1*6A meta analyses were carried out using prior reports of genotyping results of this polymorphism in breast cancer cases and controls. Power calculations were carried out using Quanto [34]. This study was approved by the IRB of the Brigham and Women's Hospital.

Results

We did not detect any deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at either SNP ($p = 0.59$ for -509 and 0.49 for L10P in controls). Risk assessments using conditional logistic regression models were similar to unconditional analyses, therefore we will report only the unconditional analyses to increase power. Though no overall association was found between L10P and breast cancer risk, a marginally significant an inverse association between the -509 SNP and breast cancer risk was detected (Table 1). This association was limited to women diagnosed with estrogen receptor (ER) positive tumors (p -heterogeneity in risk between ER+ and ER- breast cancer = 0.002) (Table 2). Compared to controls and using the C/C genotype as a reference, women heterozygous at -509 had an 18% decrease in risk of ER+ breast cancer (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.67 – 1.00), women homozygous for the T allele had a

Table 1: Association between TGFBI SNPs and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study

Genotype	Cases (%)	Controls (%)	OR (95% CI)*
L10L (T/T)	469 (39.6)	613 (37.1)	1.00 (Ref.)
L10P (T/C)	548 (46.2)	797 (48.3)	0.87 (0.74 – 1.04)
P10P (C/C)	168 (14.2)	241 (14.6)	0.92 (0.72 – 1.17)
			p-trend = 0.27
-509 C/C	600 (50.2)	786 (47.3)	1.00 (Ref.)
-509 C/T	506 (42.3)	723 (43.5)	0.89 (0.76 – 1.05)
-509 T/T	89 (7.4)	154 (9.3)	0.76 (0.56 – 1.02)
			p-trend = 0.04

*Unconditional logistic regression controlled for matching factors, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, BMI at age 18, weight gain since age 18, age at first birth/parity, and personal history of benign breast disease

38% decrease in risk (OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.42 – 0.90), and there was a highly significant trend in decreased risk across these two genotypes (p = 0.04 for L10P and p = 0.005 for -509). The association was similar among progesterone receptor (PR) positive tumors. No difference in risk was observed upon stratification by menopausal status at diagnosis, body mass index (BMI <30/30+), or post-menopausal hormone (PMH) use (ever/never) for either SNP.

The genotype frequencies of the TGFBR1 alanine microsatellite (TGFBR1*6A) were similar in cases and controls (Table 3); since there were so few rare variants (<1%), these were removed from the analyses. Again, no deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was detected among controls (p = 0.65). Following the classification of high, intermediate, and low signalers proposed by Kaklamani et al., the L10P polymorphism in TGFBI and alleles of the TGFBR1*6A microsatellite were combined. No difference in risk was observed among the high, intermediate, and low signaling types (Table 4), and no difference in risk was observed upon stratifying the cases by estrogen

Table 3: Association between the TGFBR1 alanine microsatellite and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study

Genotype	Cases (%)	Controls (%)	OR* (95% CI)
9A/9A	968 (80.9)	1352 (80.6)	1.00 (Ref.)
6A/9A	207 (17.3)	302 (18.0)	0.95 (0.76 – 1.17)
6A/6A	12 (1.0)	19 (1.1)	0.80 (0.37 – 1.73)
Other	9 (0.8)	4 (0.2)	---

*Unconditional logistic regression controlled for matching factors, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, BMI at age 18, weight gain since age 18, age at first birth/parity, and personal history of benign breast disease

receptor status of their tumors (data not shown). In order to clarify previous reports of association between this polymorphism and breast cancer risk, we have also performed a meta-analysis including our results with previously reported genotyping results of this polymorphism in breast cancer cases and controls. We have separated out all the participating populations (i.e. the two populations represented in Jin et al. [35], genotypes attributed to Reiss in [31], in addition the genotypes attributed to Offitt in [31] were removed, as they were included by Kaklamani et al. [32]) in order to more clearly evaluate and display the data. The summary odds ratio was 1.10 (95% CI 0.89 – 1.38) from the random effects model (Fig. 1), however, there was evidence of significant heterogeneity in risk estimates (p-heterogeneity < 0.01).

Discussion

Although the TGFBR1*6A polymorphism has been associated with breast cancer risk in a meta-analysis (with a total of 1,420 cases and 3,451 controls), we did not see any evidence of a relationship between this microsatellite and breast cancer in our large nested case-control study. Our study has 80% power to detect a log-additive per allele odds ratio of 1.27 at the alpha = 0.05 level. We have added our results, and to prior genotyping reports [31,32,35-39]. This new meta-analysis consists of 3,459

Table 2: Association between TGFBI SNPs and breast cancer risk in ER+ breast cancer analyses in the Nurses' Health Study

Genotype	Cases (%)	Controls (%)	OR (95% CI)*
L10L (T/T)	277 (41.3)	613 (37.1)	1.00 (Ref.)
L10P (T/C)	305 (45.5)	797 (48.3)	0.81 (0.66 – 0.99)
P10P (C/C)	89 (13.3)	241 (14.6)	0.79 (0.59 – 1.06)
			p-trend = 0.04
-509 C/C	354 (52.4)	786 (47.3)	1.00 (Ref.)
-509 C/T	276 (40.8)	723 (43.5)	0.82 (0.67 – 1.00)
-509 T/T	46 (6.8)	154 (9.3)	0.63 (0.43 – 0.92)
			p-trend = 0.005

*Unconditional logistic regression controlled for matching factors, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, BMI at age 18, weight gain since age 18, age at first birth/parity, and personal history of benign breast disease




Figure 1
Random-effects meta-analysis of TGFBR1*6A under a dominant model.

breast cancer cases and 4,557 controls. While the summary odds ratio does not show a statistically significant change in risk associated with this polymorphism, there is statistically significant heterogeneity in the risk estimates. One possible explanation of this heterogeneity is variation in the specificity of genotyping methods used. However, the most likely explanation for this heterogeneity is random sampling variation, despite the fact that the studies presented are all composed of mostly Caucasian populations.

Prior studies have shown increases, decreases, or no change in breast cancer risk associated with the L10P polymorphism of *TGFBR1*. Recently, the Breast Cancer Associ-

ation Consortium pooled data from case-control studies examining this polymorphism, including 5,587 breast cancer cases and 6,863 controls, and found a very moderate per-allele increase in breast cancer risk associated with this polymorphism (OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.02 – 1.14) [40]. Our study is underpowered to detect such an association, although the association we observed between this SNP and breast cancer risk was in the opposite direction. If we combine our risk estimate for the L10P polymorphism with those of the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC), significant heterogeneity in risk estimates (p-heterogeneity = 0.03, random effects model) is observed, and the summary odds ratio would be 1.02, 95% CI 0.88 – 1.17). It is unlikely that population differences would explain the heterogeneity between our results and the BCAC, as in a recent genome wide association scan performed on a subset of the NHS breast cancer cases and controls >99% of the subjects did not have genetic contributions from populations other than Caucasian [41]. One possible explanation for this heterogeneity is that the BCAC is largely composed of prevalent cases, as compared to only incident cases in the NHS, and therefore case-specific variables which may effect the association between this polymorphism and breast cancer risk overall could have different distributions in the BCAC as compared to the NHS. More than likely however, this heterogeneity is due to sampling variation.

Table 4: Association between TGFBR1 L10P/TGFBR1*6A hypothesized signaling levels and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study

Signaling level	Cases (%)	Controls (%)	OR* (95% CI)
High	129 (11.7)	185 (11.8)	1.00 (Ref.)
Intermediate	797 (72.1)	1113 (71.3)	0.97 (0.75 – 1.25)
Low	179 (16.2)	264 (16.9)	0.92 (0.68 – 1.26)

*Unconditional logistic regression controlled for matching factors, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, BMI at age 18, weight gain since age 18, age at first birth/parity, and personal history of benign breast disease

More interesting is our observation that TGFB1 polymorphisms are inversely associated with ER+ breast cancers. TGFB1 colocalizes with ER α in mouse mammary epithelial cells, and there is a higher proportion of ER α -positive proliferating mammary epithelial cells in mice with only one copy of the *tgfb* gene, which therefore have significantly lower TGFB1 protein levels [42,43]. This is evidence that TGFB1 may prevent proliferation in ER-positive breast epithelial cells. The T allele of the -509 SNP in TGFB1 has been associated with higher levels of secreted TGFB1 [21]. Our association between this allele and decreased risk of estrogen receptor positive tumors is compatible with the hypothesis that increased TGFB1 levels decrease the potential for proliferation in ER+ breast cells. As these results are not our original hypotheses, our observation that TGFB1 polymorphisms are inversely associated with ER+ breast cancers should be considered hypothesis generating, and needs further replication.

Conclusion

In conclusion, polymorphisms in the promoter region of *TGFB1* are not likely to be associated with large increases in breast cancer risk overall among Caucasian women. However, alleles in this region associated with increased TGFB1 levels may reduce the risk of estrogen receptor-positive breast tumors.

List of Abbreviations

TGFB1, Transforming Growth Factor Beta type 1; TGFB1R1, Transforming Growth Factor Beta type 1 receptor; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; QC, Quality Control; SNP, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism; ER, Estrogen Receptor; BMI, Body Mass Index; PMH, Postmenopausal Hormone

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

DGC and KP performed analyses and prepared the manuscript. QG performed statistical analyses and programming. SEH and DJH were responsible for data collection as well as manuscript editing. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the participants in the Nurses' Health Study for their continuing dedication and commitment. The authors would like to thank the laboratory of Patrice Soule for DNA extraction and plating, and Hardeep Ranu and Craig Labadie for TaqMan genotyping. Supported by National Institutes of Health research grants CA87969, CA49449 and CA65725. K.P. is supported by training grant CA 09001-27 from the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Hannon GJ, Beach D: **p15INK4B is a potential effector of TGF-beta-induced cell cycle arrest.** *Nature* 1994, **371**:257-261.
- Reynisdottir I, Polyak K, Iavarone A, Massague J: **Kip/Cip and Ink4 Cdk inhibitors cooperate to induce cell cycle arrest in response to TGF-beta.** *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:1831-1845.
- Datto MB, Li Y, Panus JF, Howe DJ, Xiong Y, Wang XF: **Transforming growth factor beta induces the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 through a p53-independent mechanism.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1995, **92**:5545-5549.
- Coffey RJ Jr., Bascom CC, Sipes NJ, Graves-Deal R, Weissman BE, Moses HL: **Selective inhibition of growth-related gene expression in murine keratinocytes by transforming growth factor beta.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1988, **8**:3088-3093.
- Ewen ME, Oliver CJ, Sluss HK, Miller SJ, Peeper DS: **p53-dependent repression of CDK4 translation in TGF-beta-induced G1 cell-cycle arrest.** *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:204-217.
- Ewen ME, Sluss HK, Whitehouse LL, Livingston DM: **TGF beta inhibition of Cdk4 synthesis is linked to cell cycle arrest.** *Cell* 1993, **74**:1009-1020.
- Iavarone A, Massague J: **Repression of the CDK activator Cdc25A and cell-cycle arrest by cytokine TGF-beta in cells lacking the CDK inhibitor p15.** *Nature* 1997, **387**:417-422.
- Iavarone A, Massague J: **E2F and histone deacetylase mediate transforming growth factor beta repression of cdc25A during keratinocyte cell cycle arrest.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1999, **19**:916-922.
- Dickson RB, Kasid A, Huff KK, Bates SE, Knabbe C, Bronzert D, Gelmann EP, Lippman ME: **Activation of growth factor secretion in tumorigenic states of breast cancer induced by 17 beta-estradiol or v-Ha-ras oncogene.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1987, **84**:837-841.
- Derynck R, Goeddel DV, Ullrich A, Gutterman JU, Williams RD, Bringman TS, Berger WH: **Synthesis of messenger RNAs for transforming growth factors alpha and beta and the epidermal growth factor receptor by human tumors.** *Cancer Res* 1987, **47**:707-712.
- Roberts AB, Sporn MB, Assoian RK, Smith JM, Roche NS, Wakefield LM, Heine UI, Liotta LA, Falanga V, Kehrl JH, et al.: **Transforming growth factor type beta: rapid induction of fibrosis and angiogenesis in vivo and stimulation of collagen formation in vitro.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1986, **83**:4167-4171.
- Madri JA, Pratt BM, Tucker AM: **Phenotypic modulation of endothelial cells by transforming growth factor-beta depends upon the composition and organization of the extracellular matrix.** *J Cell Biol* 1988, **106**:1375-1384.
- Yang EY, Moses HL: **Transforming growth factor beta I-induced changes in cell migration, proliferation, and angiogenesis in the chicken chorioallantoic membrane.** *J Cell Biol* 1990, **111**:731-741.
- Gajdusek CM, Luo Z, Mayberg MR: **Basic fibroblast growth factor and transforming growth factor beta-1: synergistic mediators of angiogenesis in vitro.** *J Cell Physiol* 1993, **157**:133-144.
- Choi ME, Ballermann BJ: **Inhibition of capillary morphogenesis and associated apoptosis by dominant negative mutant transforming growth factor-beta receptors.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**:21144-21150.
- Pertovaara L, Kaipainen A, Mustonen T, Orpana A, Ferrara N, Saksela O, Alitalo K: **Vascular endothelial growth factor is induced in response to transforming growth factor-beta in fibroblastic and epithelial cells.** *J Biol Chem* 1994, **269**:6271-6274.
- Saito H, Tsujitani S, Oka S, Kondo A, Ikeguchi M, Maeta M, Kaibara N: **The expression of transforming growth factor-beta1 is significantly correlated with the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and poor prognosis of patients with advanced gastric carcinoma.** *Cancer* 1999, **86**:1455-1462.
- Fortunel NO, Hatzfeld A, Hatzfeld JA: **Transforming growth factor-beta: pleiotropic role in the regulation of hematopoiesis.** *Blood* 2000, **96**:2022-2036.
- Ashcroft GS: **Bidirectional regulation of macrophage function by TGF-beta.** *Microbes Infect* 1999, **1**:1275-1282.
- Letterio JJ, Roberts AB: **Regulation of immune responses by TGF-beta.** *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998, **16**:137-161.
- Grainger DJ, Heathcote K, Chiano M, Snieder H, Kemp PR, Metcalfe JC, Carter ND, Spector TD: **Genetic control of the circulating concentration of transforming growth factor type beta1.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1999, **8**:93-97.

22. Dunning AM, Ellis PD, McBride S, Kirschenlohr HL, Healey CS, Kemp PR, Luben RN, Chang-Claude J, Mannermaa A, Kataja V, Pharoah PD, Easton DF, Ponder BA, Metcalfe JC: **A transforming growth factorbeta1 signal peptide variant increases secretion in vitro and is associated with increased incidence of invasive breast cancer.** *Cancer Res* 2003, **63**:2610-2615.
23. Ziv E, Cauley J, Morin PA, Saiz R, Browner WS: **Association between the T29-->C polymorphism in the transforming growth factor beta1 gene and breast cancer among elderly white women: The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures.** *Jama* 2001, **285**:2859-2863.
24. Le Marchand L, Haiman CA, van den Berg D, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE: **T29C polymorphism in the transforming growth factor beta1 gene and postmenopausal breast cancer risk: the Multiethnic Cohort Study.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004, **13**:412-415.
25. Krippel P, Langsenlehner U, Renner W, Yazdani-Biuki B, Wolf G, Wascher TC, Paulweber B, Bahadori B, Samonigg H: **The L10P polymorphism of the transforming growth factor-beta 1 gene is not associated with breast cancer risk.** *Cancer Lett* 2003, **201**:181-184.
26. Breast Cancer Association C: **Commonly studied single-nucleotide polymorphisms and breast cancer: results from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006, **98**:1382-1396.
27. Walker RA: **Transforming growth factor beta and its receptors: their role in breast cancer.** *Histopathology* 2000, **36**:178-180.
28. Luo K, Lodish HF: **Signaling by chimeric erythropoietin-TGF-beta receptors: homodimerization of the cytoplasmic domain of the type I TGF-beta receptor and heterodimerization with the type II receptor are both required for intracellular signal transduction.** *Embo J* 1996, **15**:4485-4496.
29. Pasche B, Kolachana P, Nafa K, Satagopan J, Chen YG, Lo RS, Brenner D, Yang D, Kirstein L, Oddoux C, Ostrer H, Vineis P, Varesco L, Jhanwar S, Luzzatto L, Massague J, Offit K: **TbetaR-I(6A) is a candidate tumor susceptibility allele.** *Cancer Res* 1999, **59**:5678-5682.
30. Chen T, de Vries EG, Hollema H, Yegen HA, Vellucci VF, Strickler HD, Hildesheim A, Reiss M: **Structural alterations of transforming growth factor-beta receptor genes in human cervical carcinoma.** *Int J Cancer* 1999, **82**:43-51.
31. Pasche B, Kaklamani V, Hou N, Young T, Rademaker A, Peterlongo P, Ellis N, Offit K, Caldes T, Reiss M, Zheng T: **TGFBR1*6A and cancer: a meta-analysis of 12 case-control studies.** *J Clin Oncol* 2004, **22**:756-758.
32. Kaklamani VG, Baddi L, Liu J, Rosman D, Phukan S, Bradley C, Hegarty C, McDaniel B, Rademaker A, Oddoux C, Ostrer H, Michel LS, Huang H, Chen Y, Ahsan H, Offit K, Pasche B: **Combined genetic assessment of transforming growth factor-beta signaling pathway variants may predict breast cancer risk.** *Cancer Res* 2005, **65**:3454-3461.
33. Glynn RJ, Rosner B: **Methods to evaluate risks for composite end points and their individual components.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004, **57**:113-122.
34. Gauderman WJ: **Sample size requirements for matched case-control studies of gene-environment interaction.** *Stat Med* 2002, **21**:35-50.
35. Jin Q, Hemminki K, Grzybowska E, Klaes R, Soderberg M, Zientek H, Rogozinska-Szczepka J, Utracka-Hutka B, Pamula J, Pekala W, Forsti A: **Polymorphisms and haplotype structures in genes for transforming growth factor beta1 and its receptors in familial and unselected breast cancers.** *Int J Cancer* 2004, **112**:94-99.
36. Feigelson HS, Patel AV, Diver WR, Stevens VL, Thun MJ, Calle EE: **Transforming growth factor beta receptor type I and transforming growth factor beta1 polymorphisms are not associated with postmenopausal breast cancer.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006, **15**:1236-1237.
37. Kaklamani VG, Hou N, Bian Y, Reich J, Offit K, Michel LS, Rubinstein WS, Rademaker A, Pasche B: **TGFBR1*6A and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of seven case-control studies.** *J Clin Oncol* 2003, **21**:3236-3243.
38. Baxter SW, Choong DY, Eccles DM, Campbell IG: **Transforming growth factor beta receptor 1 polyalanine polymorphism and exon 5 mutation analysis in breast and ovarian cancer.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002, **11**:211-214.
39. Chen T, Jackson CR, Link A, Markey MP, Colligan BM, Douglass LE, Pemberton JO, Deddens JA, Graff JR, Carter JH: **Int7G24A variant of transforming growth factor-beta receptor type I is associated with invasive breast cancer.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2006, **12**:392-397.
40. Cox A, Dunning AM, Garcia-Closas M, Balasubramanian S, Reed MW, Pooley KA, Scollen S, Baynes C, Ponder BA, Chanock S, Lissowska J, Brinton L, Peplonska B, Southey MC, Hopper JL, McCredie MR, Giles GG, Fletcher O, Johnson N, Dos Santos Silva I, Gibson L, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG, Axelsson CK, Torres D, Hamann U, Justenhoven C, Brauch H, Chang-Claude J, Kropp S, Risch A, Wang-Gohrke S, Schurmann P, Bogdanova N, Dork T, Fagerholm R, Aaltonen K, Blomqvist C, Nevanlinna H, Seal S, Renwick A, Stratton MR, Rahman N, Sangrajrang S, Hughes D, Odefrey F, Brennan P, Spurdle AB, Chenevix-Trench G, Beesley J, Mannermaa A, Hartikainen J, Kataja V, Kosma VM, Couch FJ, Olson JE, Goode EL, Broeks A, Schmidt MK, Hogervorst FB, Veer LJ, Kang D, Yoo KY, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Wedren S, Hall P, Low YL, Liu J, Milne RL, Ribas G, Gonzalez-Neira A, Benitez J, Sigurdson AJ, Stredrick DL, Alexander BH, Struwing JP, Pharoah PD, Easton DF: **A common coding variant in CASP8 is associated with breast cancer risk.** *Nat Genet* 2007, **39**:352-358.
41. Hunter DJ, Kraft P, Jacobs KB, Cox DG, Yeager M, Hankinson SE, Wacholder S, Wang Z, Welch R, Hutchinson A, Wang J, Yu K, Chatterjee N, Orr N, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Ziegler RG, Berg CD, Buys SS, McCarty CA, Feigelson HS, Calle EE, Thun MJ, Hayes RB, Tucker M, Gerhard DS, Fraumeni JF Jr., Hoover RN, Thomas G, Chanock SJ: **A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer.** *Nat Genet* 2007.
42. Barcellos-Hoff MH: **Transforming growth factor beta's role in mammary gland development and carcinogenesis.** *Breast Cancer Res* 2003, **5**:33.
43. Anderson E, Clarke RB: **Steroid receptors and cell cycle in normal mammary epithelium.** *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2004, **9**:3-13.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/7/175/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

