Data in brief 29 (2020) 105110

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Antioxidant property and characterization data of 1-o-galloylglycerol synthesized via enzymatic glycerolysis



Siyu Zhang, Casimir C. Akoh*

Department of Food Science & Technology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 November 2019 Received in revised form 17 December 2019 Accepted 2 January 2020 Available online 14 January 2020

Keywords: 1-o-Galloylglycerol Gallic acid derivatives Lipase-catalyzed glycerolysis Spectroscopic Antioxidant activity

ABSTRACT

This article provides comprehensive experimental data characterizing antioxidant activity, as well as chemical and physical properties of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG), synthesized by enzymatic glycerolysis of propyl gallate (PG) using a food-grade lipase (Lipozyme[®] 435) [1]. GG was characterized by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), ¹H, ¹³C, ¹H–⁻¹H gradient correlation spectroscopy (gCOSY), ¹H–¹³C gradient heteronuclear single quantum coherence (gHSQC), ¹H–¹³C gradient heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (gHMQC), and ¹H–¹³C gradient heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (gHMBC) nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopies (NMR), and ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometry (UV–Vis). The antioxidant property of GG, which was evaluated through 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH[•]), 2,2'azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS^{•+}), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) scavenging assays, is also presented.

© 2020 The University of Georgia. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cakoh@uga.edu (C.C. Akoh).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105110

^{2352-3409/© 2020} The University of Georgia. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Subject	Food Science
Specific subject area	Antioxidant
Type of data	Table, Figure, FT-IR spectra, NMR spectra, UV—Vis spectra
How data were acquired	NMR: Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz NMR Spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm hydrogen- carbon-nitrogen room temperature probe. NMR spectra were analyzed with MestreNova NMR software.
	FT-IR: Nicolet Nexus FT-IR 1100 spectrometer equipped with a ZnSe attenuated total reflection attachment. The data were processed using Omnilab software from Omnilab Group and KnowltAll® informatic system from Bio-Rad Laboratories.
Data format	Raw (within the data article as a supplementary file), Analyzed
Parameters for data collection	All data were collected from experiments described in methods section conducted in triplicate.
Description of data collection	All data were collected from experiments described in methods section conducted in triplicate.
Data source location	University of Georgia, Athens, GA, US, 30602
Data accessibility	With the article
Related research article	Zhang, S., & Akoh, C. C. (2020). Enzymatic synthesis of 1-o-galloylglycerol: Characterization and determination of its antioxidant properties. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 305, 125479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125479.

Value of the Data

• The described method is facile and efficient, providing an enzymatic method for obtaining 1-o-galloylglycerol, a watersoluble gallic acid derivative.

• 1-o-Galloylglycerol was characterized by NMR, FT-IR and UV–Vis spectrophotometry. The spectroscopic data information can be manipulated for advanced molecular studies of biological active molecules.

• The antioxidant property of 1-o-galloylglycerol was obtained by various *in vitro* antioxidant assays, demonstrating its potential for use in aqueous-based food, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical products.

• Detailed methods and calculations of four common *in vitro* antioxidant assays are provided in this article. Readers who are interested in using these assays could easily follow the provided instructions.

1. Data description

1-o-Galloylglycerol (GG) was synthesized by the enzymatic glycerolysis of propyl gallate (PG) using a food-grade lipase (Lipozyme[®] 435). This article provides comprehensive experimental data characterizing antioxidant activity, as well as chemical and physical properties of GG, given in Ref. [1]. The reaction parameters, such as reaction time (120 h), reaction temperature (50 °C), substrate ratio (glycerol/PG = 25/1 mol/mol), and enzyme load (23.8% w/w), were chosen based on previous report [2]. After separation and purification from the reaction mixture, GG was characterized by Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) (Fig. 1), ¹H (Fig. 2), ¹H-⁻¹H gradient correlation spectroscopy (gCOSY) (Fig. 3), ¹H-¹³C gradient heteronuclear single quantum coherence (gHSQC) (Fig. 4), ¹H-¹³C gradient heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (gHMQC) (Fig. 5), and ¹H-¹³C gradient heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (gHMBC) (Fig. 6) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and UV-Visible spectrophotometry (Fig. 7). The raw spectral files of FT-IR are presented in supplementary information as spa files. UV-Vis spectra of GG, gallic acid (GA), and PG are also provided as csv files in the supplementary materials. The NMR spectral files built in pdf format are provided in supplementary materials, as well as their acquisition parameters. Functional groups and their interaction of GG, GA, and PG were analyzed and compared using FT-IR spectral data. The interpretations of FT-IR spectra are shown in Fig. 8, Fig. 9, and Fig. 10 using KnowltAll[®] informatic system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The spectrophotometric data of DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+}, FRAP, and H₂O₂ in vitro antioxidant assays are shown in supplementary materials in xlsx format. Details of the standard curves used in these assays are also provided in Table 1. The DPPH[•] scavenging kinetics of GG, GA, and PG at the concentrations of 20, 10, 5, and 2 μ M were tested in methanol and are also presented in supplementary materials.



Fig. 1. FT-IR spectra of GG (a), GA (b), and PG(c). GG, 1-o-galloylglycerol; GA, gallic acid; PG, propyl gallate.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

The enzymatic glycerolysis of PG was performed in a 100-mL double-layer jacketed glass reactor equipped with a circulating water bath, stirred with a PTFE anchor paddle stirring rod, and using glycerol as both the reactant and solvent. The purified GG was structurally characterized by UV–Vis, FT-IR and NMR spectroscopies.







Fig. 3. gCOSY spectrum of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG).



Fig. 4. gHSQC spectrum of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG).

A Nicolet Nexus FT-IR 1100 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Co. Ltd., Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a ZnSe attenuated total reflection attachment was used to collect the FT-IR spectra of samples (vmax was reported in cm^{-1}). Before each experiment, the instrument was purged with nitrogen for at least 10 min. Then, 50 mg of the samples were directly placed onto the ZnSe crystal and pressed using the attached accessory. The spectra were collected from 650 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with a



Fig. 5. gHMQC spectrum of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG).



Fig. 6. gHMBC spectrum of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG).

resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 32 scans. The data were processed using Omnilab software (Omnilab Group, Bremen, Germany) and the KnowltAll[®] informatic system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

NMR spectroscopy analysis was done with 10 mg of the sample dissolved in D₂O with 10 mM acetic-2-¹³C acid sodium salt as the internal standard. ¹H, gCOSY, gHSQC, gHMQC, and gHMBC spectra were recorded at 25 °C using a Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz NMR Spectrometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA,



Fig. 7. UV-Vis spectra of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG), gallic acid (GA), and propyl gallate (PG).

USA) equipped with an 8-mm hydrogen-carbon-nitrogen room temperature probe. The chemical shifts of GG were reported in parts per million (δ /ppm). Acetic-2-¹³C acid sodium salt (δ H/ δ C 1.90/25.63, 164.15 ppm) was used as the internal standard. The chemical shifts were assigned based on the 1D and 2D NMR spectra (Figs. 2–6). In ¹H spectrum (Fig. 2), only peaks of GG are presented, signals from solvent residuals were suppressed using presaturation technique with default parameters of the NMR instrument. No signal suppression was used for gCOSY (Fig. 3), gHSQC (Fig. 4), gHMQC (Fig. 5), and gHMBC (Fig. 6) NMR spectroscopies. Signals from solvent residuals (water and ethanol) and internal standard (acetic-2-¹³C acid sodium salt) are shown in 2D spectra (Figs. 3–6) along with the signals of GG. The acquired raw spectral data were processed with MestreNova NMR software (Santiago de Compostela, Spain). All the acquisition parameters are provided in supplementary information.

The UV–Vis spectra of the samples were measured using a UV-1601 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The samples were dissolved in methanol at a concentration of 50 μ M, then scanned over the range from 190 to 700 nm with a 0.5 nm scan interval and a medium scan speed.

The DPPH[•] scavenging assay was performed according to a previous study [3] with some modifications. Samples of GG, PG, and GA were dissolved in methanol at different concentrations (2, 5, 10, and 20 μ M). DPPH was dissolved in methanol to make a solution at a concentration of 200 μ M. Equal amounts of DPPH[•] and sample solutions were mixed and then monitored spectrophotometrically at 517 nm at a 1 s interval for 30 min using the UV-1601UV–Vis spectrophotometer mentioned previously. Instead of antioxidant solution, ultrapure water was used in the control groups. The results were expressed as the remaining percentage of DPPH[•] after being reduced by the samples. All experiments were performed in triplicate. All the samples and reagents were freshly prepared daily.

An improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay [4] with modifications [5] was used. Briefly, ABTS^{•+} was produced by reacting 7 mM ABTS water solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate in the dark at room temperature for 16 h. The ABTS^{•+} solution was then diluted with ethanol to obtain an absorbance of 0.70 (\pm 0.01) at a wavelength of 734 nm. Samples of GG, PG, and GG were dissolved in ethanol to obtain solutions at concentrations of 10, 20, 40, 50, and 100 µM. TroloxTM was used as the standard for measuring the antioxidant activity of the samples. Ethanol solutions of TroloxTM were prepared at concentrations of 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 µM. Ethanol was used for the control groups. A 100-µL sample was mixed with 900 µL of the ABTS^{•+} solution, then the mixture was incubated in the dark at 30 °C for 6 min. The absorbance of the mixture was measured with the spectrophotometer mentioned previously at 734 nm. The results were expressed as the decrease in absorbance after



Fig. 8. IR spectrum interpretation report of 1-o-galloylglycerol (GG).



Fig. 8. (continued).



Fig. 9. IR spectrum interpretation report of gallic acid (GA).



Fig. 9. (continued).

mixing the samples, compared with the control groups. All experiments were performed in triplicate. All the samples and reagents were freshly prepared daily.

The FRAP assay was conducted in accordance with previous studies [6,7] with modifications. The FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing solutions of 10 mM TPTZ (in 40 mM HCl), 20 mM FeCl₃, and 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6) at a volumetric ratio of 1:1:10. Aqueous solutions of FeSO₄•7H₂O were prepared at concentrations of 100, 200, 500, and 1000 μ M, to be used for calibration. Antioxidant samples of GG, PG, and GA were also dissolved in water at various concentrations (20, 40, 100, 200, and 400 μ M). Water was used as the blank. Freshly prepared FRAP reagent (900 μ L) was mixed with 30 μ L of the sample solution, then with 90 μ L water. Thus, the final dilution of the sample in the reaction mixture was 34 times. The reaction mixture was then incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 4 min. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was monitored at 593 nm. The increase in absorbance was checked with the calibration curve of FeSO₄•7H₂O solution to give the results expressed as Fe²⁺ equivalents.

The hydroxyl radical scavenging ability of the compounds was measured using the H_2O_2 scavenging assay [8,9] with modifications. Solutions of GG, PG, and GA in methanol were prepared at various concentrations (20, 40, 200, and 400 μ M). Equal amounts of the sample solution and 0.002% (w/w) H_2O_2 solution were mixed with 0.8 mL PBS and incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 10 min. One milliliter assay reagent, containing 0.2 mg/mL phenol red and 0.1 mg/mL horseradish peroxidase in PBS, was added and incubated under the same conditions for 15 min. After incubation, 50 μ L of 1 M sodium hydroxide solution were added and the absorbance of the mixture was measured immediately at



Fig. 10. IR spectrum interpretation report of propyl gallate (PG).



Fig. 10. (continued).

Table 1

Calibration curves used in ABTS^{•+}, FRAP, and H₂O₂ assays.

Assay and calibration curve	ABTS ^{●+}	FRAP	H ₂ O ₂	
	Trolox ^a	FeSO ₄ ^b	H ₂ O ₂ ^c	Trolox ^d
Coefficient of determination Tested concentration	0.994 10, 20, 50, 100, 200 μM	0.999 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 μM	0.999 0, 2, 5, 10, 20 ppm	0.996 20, 40, 200, 400 μM

^a Expressed as concentration of Trolox ethanol solution against percentage of scavenged ABTS^{•+}.

^b Expressed as concentration of FeSO₄ aqueous solution against absorbance.

^c Expressed as concentration of H₂O₂ aqueous solution against absorbance.

^d Expressed as concentration of Trolox methanol solution against percentage of scavenged H₂O₂.

610 nm using spectrophotometer. H_2O_2 solutions at different concentrations (0.0002, 0.0005, 0.001, and 0.002%, w/w) were used as the calibration curve. The decrease in absorbance (compared with 0.002% w/w H_2O_2 solution) was expressed against the calibration curve of the H_2O_2 solutions. The results were expressed as the percentages of scavenged H_2O_2 .

The absorbances of GG, GA, and PG of four *in vitro* antioxidant assays are provided in supplementary materials. The results of all four antioxidant assays were calculated using regression analysis and ANOVA by JMP[®] software (version 13.2.0, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and presented as means followed by standard errors.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Food Science Research, University of Georgia, for supporting this work. Special thanks go to our lab technician, Joseph Robert Hyatt, for his technical assistance, and to Dr. John Glushka from the CCRC NMR spectroscopy facility of UGA for assisting with the NMR analysis.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105110.

References

- S. Zhang, C.C. Akoh, Enzymatic synthesis of 1-o-galloylglycerol: characterization and determination of its antioxidant properties, Food Chem. 305 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125479, 125479.
- [2] S. Zhang, C.C. Akoh, Solvent-free enzymatic synthesis of 1-o-galloylglycerol optimized by the taguchi method, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 96 (2019) 877–889, https://doi.org/10.1002/aocs.12229.
- [3] D.L. Compton, J.A. Laszlo, K.O. Evans, Antioxidant properties of feruloyl glycerol derivatives, Ind. Crops Prod. 36 (2012) 217-221, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2011.09.009.
- [4] R. Re, N. Pellegrini, A. Proteggente, A. Pannala, M. Yang, C. Rice-Evans, Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 26 (1999) 1231–1237, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(98) 00315-3.
- [5] N. Phonsatta, P. Deetae, P. Luangpituksa, C. Grajeda-Iglesias, M.C. Figueroa-Espinoza, J. Le Comte, P. Villeneuve, E.A. Decker, W. Visessanguan, A. Panya, Comparison of antioxidant evaluation assays for Investigating antioxidative activity of gallic acid and its alkyl esters in different food matrices, J. Agric. Food Chem. 65 (2017) 7509–7518, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc. 7b02503.
- [6] I.F.F. Benzie, J.J. Strain, The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": the FRAP Assay, Anal. Biochem. 239 (1996) 70–76, https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1996.0292.
- [7] M. Ozgen, R.N. Reese, A.Z. Tulio, J.C. Scheerens, A.R. Miller, Modified 2,2-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) method to measure antioxidant capacity of selected small fruits and comparison to ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) methods, J. Agric. Food Chem. 54 (2006) 1151–1157, https://doi. org/10.1021/jf051960d.
- [8] E. Pick, Y. Keisari, A simple colorimetric method for the measurement of hydrogen peroxide produced by cells in culture, J. Immunol. Methods 38 (1980) 161–170, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(80)90340-3.
- Z. Sroka, W. Cisowski, Hydrogen peroxide scavenging, antioxidant and anti-radical activity of some phenolic acids, Food Chem. Toxicol. 41 (2003) 753–758, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(02)00329-0.