1   Novel sensor-integrated proteome on chip (SPOC) platform with thousands of folded proteins on a 1.5

2   sq-cm biosensor chip to enable high-throughput real-time label-free screening for kinetic analysis.

3   **Authors**: Chidozie Victor Agu, Rebecca L Cook, William Martelly, Lydia R Gushgari, Mukilan Mohan,

4   Bharath Takulapalli

5   **Affiliation**: SPOC Proteomics, Inc. 7201 E Henkel Way Suite 285, Scottsdale AZ 85255, United States

6   **Correspondence**: ChidozieA@spoc.bio, BharathT@spoc.bio

7   **Abstract**

8   An automated proteomic platform for producing and screening an array of functional proteins on

9   biosensor surfaces was developed to address the challenges of measuring proteomic interaction kinetics

10   in high throughput (HTP). This technology is termed Sensor-Integrated Proteome On Chip (SPOC®) which

11   involves *in-situ* cell-free protein expression in nano-liter volume wells (nanowells) directly from rapidly

12   customizable arrays of plasmid DNA, facilitating simultaneous capture-purification of up to 2400 unique

13   full-length folded proteins onto a 1.5 sq-cm surface of a single gold biosensor chip. Arrayed SPOC sensors

14   can then be screened by real-time label-free analysis, including surface plasmon resonance (SPR) to

15   generate kinetic affinity, avidity data. Fluorescent and SPR assays were used to demonstrate zero crosstalk

16   between protein spots. The functionality of the SPOC protein array was validated by antibody binding

17   assay, post-translational modification, mutation-mediated differential binding kinetics, and catalytic

18   activity screening on model SPOC protein arrays containing p53, Src, Jun, Fos, HIST1H3A, and SARS-CoV-2

19   receptor binding domain (RBD) protein variants of interest, among others. Monoclonal antibodies were

20   found to selectively bind their target proteins on the SPOC array. A commercial anti-RBD antibody was

21   used to demonstrate discriminatory binding to numerous SARS-CoV-2 RBD variants of concern with

22   comprehensive kinetic information. With advantages of HTP, flexibility, low-cost, quick turnaround time,

23   and real-time kinetic affinity profiling, the SPOC proteomic platform addresses the challenges of

24   interrogating protein interactions at scale and can be deployed in various research and clinical

25   applications.

26   **Introduction**

27   Protein interactions are core to innumerable biological functions that occur within the complex
28   milieu of a cell and determine many core processes such as DNA transcription and translation, metabolic
29   processing, regulation of enzyme turnover, intra- and inter-cellular signal transduction, and motor
30   functions and cytoskeletal rearrangement, among many others. These protein interactions are
31   characterized by unique binding affinities determined by a dynamic balance between association and
32   dissociation rates amongst free and bound complexes. As a result, measuring the kinetic parameters of
33   protein interactions with other proteins, DNA, RNA, metabolites and other biomolecules is critical to the
34   functional characterization of biological interactions, development of more effective drug molecules and
35   vaccines, and the discovery of new biomarkers and phenotypes. Therefore, to comprehensively
36   characterize dysregulated protein interaction networks for disease diagnostics, and to assess the potency
37   and safety of drug candidates during preclinical development, next-generation proteomic tools must be
38   capable of capturing the kinetics of numerous and diverse protein interactions.

39   Functional protein characterization through measurement of interaction kinetics is key in many
40   disease areas, including cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, and autoimmunity. In cancer, driver
41   mutations can have a variety of effects on protein activity commonly leading to gain or loss of function,
42   and acquisition of drug resistance. Across over 33 different cancer types and subtypes, missense
43   mutations have been observed to be disproportionately enriched at protein-protein interfaces, indicating
44   that mutations that disrupt interaction networks between proteins are common and would require kinetic
45   screening to unravel their impacts in detail (Cheng et al. 2021). In this context, high-throughput
46   proteomics technologies with the capability to characterize hundreds of cancer-associated mutations and
47   isoforms at the level of protein function would hasten the mechanistic understanding of the consequences
48   of these mutations, and further inform and improve the accuracy of advanced computational tools for
49   driver mutation identification. In regards to the immune system, binding kinetics play a big role in
50   evaluating important immune parameters such as infectivity of a new viral variant, efficacy of a new
51   drug/neutralizing antibody, and immune parameters related to the development of autoimmunity such
52   as the efficiency of central tolerance, efficiency of T-cell priming, and the efficiency of destroying a target
53   cell expressing an autoantigen (Koehli et al., 2014). Neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's,
54   Parkinson's, and Huntington's, are characterized by the abnormal accumulation and aggregation of
55   specific proteins within the brain, leading to the formation of pathological structures. Understanding the
56   kinetics of protein interactions, including their rates of synthesis, folding, misfolding, aggregation, and
57   degradation, is crucial for unraveling the molecular mechanisms involved in neurodegenerative diseases.

58   Kinetic studies enable researchers to elucidate the temporal aspects of these processes, helping to

59   identify critical stages and potential therapeutic targets. In pharmacology and drug development, the

60   kinetics of protein-drug interactions are crucial for determining the pharmacodynamics of therapeutic

61   compounds. Likewise, in diagnostic applications, kinetic information can reveal additional information

62   that current endpoint protein assays are unable to resolve, such as early detection and disease sub-typing.

63   For example, in autoimmune disease diagnostics, autoantibodies (AAb) which have characteristic binding

64   strength for their target antigens (avidities/affinities) may be predictive of disease pathogenesis and

65   severity. For instance, in systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases the presence of low affinity anti-

66   citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPAs) among patients with rheumatoid arthritis is linked to increased

67   presence of AAb synovial tissue penetration and more severe joint destruction (van der Woude et al.,

68   2010; Suwannalai et al., 2014). This kind of information is unavailable via endpoint protein assays.

69   Drug screening and vaccine development, infectious disease surveillance and diagnostics efforts

70   would benefit greatly from availability of technology platform for large-scale kinetic profiling of protein

71   interactions. Unfortunately, despite the significant progress in proteomics, there remains a notable deficit

72   in tools available for measuring protein binding kinetics in high throughput (HTP). Although fluorescence-

73   based protein microarrays are commonly used for protein research, they are primarily suited for

74   qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis where a relatively simple, low-medium-high scale of protein

75   binding is needed. These do not output quantitative data needed for kinetic analysis. Protein interactions

76   are transient equilibrium reactions and by leveraging label-free real-time biosensing approaches it

77   becomes possible to directly measure kinetic parameter such as rates of association (k_a) and dissociation

78   (k_d), dissociation half-life ($t_{1/2}$), maximal feasible signal generated by the interaction between a

79   ligand/analyte pair (R_{max}), etc. The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) or affinities, avidities can then

80   be computed from these kinetic parameters, which can otherwise only be approximated by fluorescence-

81   based approaches (Nagata et al., 2000). Various approaches exist for measuring protein kinetics, each

82   with distinct advantages and limitations. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) offers detailed

83   thermodynamic information, such as binding constants, enthalpy, and entropy changes, but is low

84   throughput and requires large sample input, making it less suitable for weak or fast-binding systems

85   (Wang et al., 2020). Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) allows for real-time monitoring of

86   conformational changes and interactions, particularly in live cells, but relies on fluorescent labeling, which

87   is prone to photobleaching and background fluorescence (Liao et al., 2020) and is not fully compatible

88   with HTP kinetic screening. Stopped-Flow spectroscopy is adept at studying rapid reactions on a

89   millisecond timescale but is limited to fast processes and may demand a substantial sample size (Zheng

90   et al., 2015). Another method, Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) is a simple and cost-effective

91   technique measuring changes in protein migration through a gel matrix in response to binding to specific

92   ligands like nucleic acids. However, it primarily applies to specific interactions, such as DNA-protein

93   binding, and may not provide kinetic data (Hellman and Fried, 2007). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

94   Spectroscopy detects changes in nuclear magnetic resonance signals during protein-ligand interactions,

95   offering structural, dynamic, and kinetic insights (Maity et al., 2019). However, NMR necessitates stable

96   isotopic labeling, may not suit all systems, and is often constrained to relatively small proteins or

97   complexes and is not an HTP method. Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) is another recent technique that

98   monitors changes in interference patterns due to binding interactions between immobilized ligands and

99   analyte proteins (Shah and Duncan, 2014). BLI is real-time and label-free and attractively available in high-

100   throughput (HTP) instrument formats capable of monitoring interactions from 96- and 384-well plates,

101   however the throughput can be at the cost of sensitivity and reproducibility compared to other, well-

102   established label-free formats (Yang et al. 2016). Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) stands at the forefront

103   of protein kinetics measurement, measuring changes in refractive index as molecules, such as proteins,

104   bind and dissociate from a biomolecule or ligand coated gold biosensor surface. This method offers real-

105   time, label-free, quantitative data, including kinetics and affinity information. However, this technique

106   demands specialized equipment, which can be costly. Current state-of-the-art SPR systems, like GE's

107   Biacore, excel in quantitative protein binding analysis but has limitations in terms of throughput (Maity et

108   al., 2019; Shah and Duncan, 2014).

109   The lack of accessible proteomic tools to produce an array of full-length proteins and measure

110   binding kinetics in HTP hinders the ability to comprehensively study and understand the dynamics of

111   protein interactions in complex biological systems. Importantly, the high cost of producing pure, fully

112   folded and functional protein microarrays remains a challenge. Current methods for producing protein

113   arrays rely on the laborious, time consuming and often cost-prohibitive method of expressing individual

114   recombinant proteins in a cell-based or cell-free system, followed by purification and manual or robotic

115   spotting of the affinity-purified recombinant proteins. Protein purification is time-consuming and

116   expensive, and with purified commercial proteins costing upwards of $250 per protein, many labs lacking

117   the proper infrastructure or expertise are locked out of functional proteomic studies. In terms of stability,

118   traditional methods require low-temperature storage conditions to maintain the structural integrity and

119   activity of recombinant proteins throughout the entire process, increasing costs and often leading to loss

120   of materials and sample integrity. Consequently, cost can often add up significantly when scaled to

121   screening thousands of proteins. Therefore, traditional methods of expression and robotic immobilization

122   of individual proteins, either purified or from lysate, to produce functional protein arrays lack scalability,

123   flexibility, versatility and customizability and cannot feasibly address challenges of measuring proteomic

124   interaction kinetics in HTP. Promisingly, cell-free expression such as nucleic acid programmable protein

125   array technology (NAPPA; Takulapalli et al., 2012; Ramachandran et al., 2004; Link and LaBaer et al.,

126   2008a; Link and LaBaer et al., 2008b; Yu et al., 2017) and Isolated Protein Capture (IPC; Karthikeyan et al.,

127   2016) represent significant milestones in protein microarray production methods that have considerably

128   reduced the cost of protein microarray production while enabling facile array customization. However,

129   measuring kinetic analysis of thousands of protein interactions simultaneously remains a challenge as

130   most cell-free protein microarray platforms, including traditional NAPPA technology, are designed for

131   label-based end-point detection methods such as fluorescence or luminescence. The reliance on these

132   label-based end-point readout of analyte binding to arrayed proteins implies only a semi-quantitative and

133   indirect measure of any given interaction.

134   Given the relevance of kinetics in proteomics it has become increasingly important to develop

135   flexible, scalable proteomic tools with multiplex and HTP capabilities that combine scaled production of

136   proteins, real-time label-free biosensing and kinetic analysis. One way to achieve this important capability

137   is by integrating recent advances in SPR biosensing with *in situ* cell-free expression and IPC approaches

138   for making and screening functional protein arrays. Recently, researchers combined cell-free protein

139   expression and SPR to study protein interactions using mutants of p53 and oncoprotein MDM2 as a model

140   system (Fuentes et al., 2021). Their method circumvents IPC by directly printing plasmid DNAs for

141   expressing various p53 mutants on a gold SPR sensor as in traditional NAPPA on glass. Another SPR

142   method involves transcription and translation of RNA from surface-bound double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)

143   templates for the on-chip multiplexed biosynthesis of aptamer and protein microarrays in a microfluidic

144   format (Fasoli and Corn, 2015). However, the notable caveat with these methods is that the presence of

145   impurities (components of the print mix and DNA) can impair downstream kinetic analysis by SPR due to

146   introduction of components that crowd the evanescent field wave which can reduce sensitivity, block

147   access to the ligand by incoming analytes and lead to mass-transport effects, or introduce non-specific

148   binding in certain applications. The HuProt Human Proteome Microarray is a multiplexed platform that

149   requires robotic printing of all 21,000 proteins on its database from a pre-purified recombinant protein

150   stock; however, expressing and purifying thousands of protein stocks, followed by printing individual

151 21,000 proteins on an array is not only cumbersome and time-consuming but causes loss in functionality

152 in addition to being expensive and non-customizable. Importantly, HuProt arrays use end-point

153 fluorescence detection and hence are incompatible with kinetic analysis.

154 To address the challenges of making functional protein microarrays in HTP with low-cost, quick

155 turnaround, and which are readily customizable and allow for real-time, large-scale measurement of

156 interaction kinetics, SPOC Proteomics, Inc. has developed a novel Sensor-Integrated Proteome On Chip

157 technology (SPOC®) which automates the production and capture purification of *in situ* cell-free expressed

158 functional protein arrays for quantitative kinetic analysis. To the best of our knowledge, SPOC is the only

159 large-scale proteome array platform available today for large-scale study that overcomes the traditional

160 challenges of protein microarray technologies highlighted here.

161 **Results and Discussion**

162 **1. SPOC as a novel platform for high throughput production of proteins on biosensor chips**

163 SPOC is a first-of-its-kind proteomic biosensor system capable of delivering comprehensive

164 quantitative, qualitative, and kinetic data within a single large-scale assay. We have successfully

165 demonstrated *in situ* expression and simultaneous capture of proteins from immobilized plasmid DNAs

166 directly onto a functionalized biosensor chip yielding a functional protein array (or SPOC array) for label-

167 free analysis by SPR (**Fig. 1a**). The SPOC platform is scalable, versatile, and highly customizable. First, a

168 customized plasmid DNA array is created by printing the plasmids onto a 25 x 75 mm silicon nanowell

169 slide containing thousands of 2.0 nL volume nanowells, with center-to-center spacing of 225 to 375 μm

170 for 30,000 wells/slide (30k) and 10,000 wells/slide (10k), respectively (**Fig. 1b**). The assay is currently

171 configured such that proteins of interest are expressed from the printed plasmids as HaloTag fusion

172 proteins, i.e. proteins fused with Halo protein as a common tag, for later covalent capture on the array.

173 Next, the DNA-printed silicon nanowell slide is held in proximity to the surface of the biosensor capture

174 slide whose surface has been pre-functionalized with HaloTag chloro-alkane linker to covalently capture

175 the HaloTag protein, on the expressed fusion protein. A human HeLa-cell based, *in vitro* transcription and

176 translation (IVTT) lysate mix is then injected between the nanowell slide and biosensor slides which are

177 subsequently press-sealed against each other effectively isolating the nanowells to create nanoliter-

178 volume reaction chambers for isolated protein expression (IPC). The sandwich is then incubated at 30°C

179 for 2-4 hours during which the IVTT lysate expresses the protein encoded in the printed plasmid DNA

180   contained in each nanowell, which are simultaneously capture-purified onto the biosensor capture slide

181   forming the SPOC protein arrays. The entire process is facilitated by a custom AutoCap instrument

182   developed and manufactured in house. This instrument contains four independent expression channels

183   that enable four 25 mm x 75 mm nanowell slides to be expressed simultaneously, in a semi-automated

184   fashion. From each nanowell slide, 4 SPR biosensors containing SPOC arrays can be produced, yielding 16

185   SPOC chips from four channels within a single expression run. Importantly, the number of proteins that

186   can be arrayed on any capture slide is dependent on the size of the capture slide and density of the DNA-

187   printed wells in the silicon nanowell slides. For example, our 10k silicon nanowell slide design expresses

188   proteins in staggered pattern at a density of 716 protein spots/sq-cm which is equivalent to ~1000 spots

189   on the analysis area of the Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR instrument. However, our 30k nanowell slide design

190   produces up to 1,975 spots/sq-cm, or 2400 protein spots on the Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR analysis area. **Figure**

191   **1c** shows an actual protein array on a gold biosensor produced by SPOC, highlighting distinct spots where

192   individual proteins were immobilized. This innovative AutoCap instrument effectively reduces the cost by

193   an astounding 10-100 times compared to traditional workflows that involve recombinant protein

194   production and purification, making HTP proteomic screening more accessible.

195   **2. Functional validation of high-density SPOC array using Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR instrument**

196   SPOC technology is adaptable to any SPR instrument; however, for this publication, the Carterra

197   LSA^{XT} SPR and Horiba Scientific OpenPleX SPR imaging (SPRi) instruments were used for validation because

198   both instruments are amenable to HTP screening and require minimal effort to integrate with our SPOC

199   protein array platform. Proteins captured on SPR biosensor chips for kinetic assay are henceforth referred

200   to as protein-ligands. The functionality of the SPOC protein array is interrogated in this study using various

201   screens including: detection of IVTT expressed proteins at discrete spots using protein-specific antibodies,

202   differential binding kinetics of antibodies against variants of the same protein, demonstration of post

203   translational modification (PTM) capabilities of arrayed proteins, autophosphorylation activity of arrayed

204   proteins, and longitudinal stability of immobilized IVTT proteins after 10 days of slide storage.

205   We have demonstrated that SPOC arrays can be regenerated during SPR experiments, enabling

206   multiple sample injections and kinetic screening from a single SPOC protein biosensor chip (**Supplemental**

207   **Fig. S1**). The HaloTag-fusion proteins are covalently bound to the HaloTag chloro-alkane linker coated on

208   the SPR biosensor chips, and we have found that harsh, 10 mM Glycine-HCl (pH 2.4) regeneration buffer

209   conditions can be used for certain interactions without significant loss of biosensor integrity or interaction

210   detection, while a 2 M NaCl buffer formulation is preferable and as effective for more sensitive binding

211   interactions. SPOC is compatible with either condition depending on the analyte, and effectively strips

212   non-covalently bound analytes from the covalently bound HaloTag-fusion proteins that constitute the

213   SPOC array, enabling repeat sample injections for multiplexed applications on a single SPOC chip.

214   *2.1 Antibody Reactivity and HTP-Deep Kinetic Analysis of SPOC Array:* Protein-specific antibodies were

215   demonstrated to bind to their respective proteins on the SPOC array with high specificity. **Figure 2** shows

216   the result of antibody assays performed on SPOC protein chips screened via the Carterra LSA^{XT}, validating

217   the ability to detect multiple proteins on the SPOC array in HTP while providing primary kinetic

218   information. Locations of protein array spots on SPR biosensor chip are defined by region of interest (ROI)

219   selection, when viewing the optical image of the SPOC protein chip docked on the SPR instrument. To

220   enable mapping of protein array spots during ROI selection, a physical hockey-stick-shaped orientation

221   marker was created on the nanowell slide that is imprinted on the SPR slide during expression/capture of

222   the SPOC array and which is visually apparent on the SPR flowcell optical image (**Fig. 2a**). This is important

223   because the nanowell pattern/spots on the sensor slide can be mapped in reference to this marker to

224   identify the location of each protein on the SPOC array. The chip is probed with anti-HaloTag antibody

225   that binds to Halo protein at all expression spots, to ensure successful immobilization and capture of the

226   expressed HaloTag fused protein array. Due to software limitations, only 384 spots were initially selected

227   and analyzed at one time. **Figure 2b** shows representative sensorgrams from 384 ROI/spots on the SPOC

228   array, revealing successful capture of the HaloTag proteins expressed from the plasmids in the nanowell

229   slide. Varying signal intensity (R_{max}) between ligand containing spots reflects differential expression and

230   capture levels between the SPOC array proteins. This is not unexpected as the parent plasmid DNA array

231   encodes genes of proteins with different sizes and characteristics, and therefore, varied levels of

232   expression. Different strategies are currently being explored to improve and normalize the protein

233   expression and capture levels, including (1) printing higher concentration of DNA or using linearized

234   plasmid DNA to increase expression levels and achieve self-normalization via capture surface saturation,

235   (2) maximizing the capture efficiency of the biosensor chip by optimizing self-assembled monolayer and

236   hydrogel surface conditions, and (3) encoding low-expressing genes on plasmids with high expression

237   promoters optimized for IVTT expression. Data normalization techniques are also being explored whereby

238   kinetic data obtained from reactive ligands are normalized to that of the ligand's respective HaloTag R_{max}

239   signal. Low protein capture levels can limit sensitivity and analyte detection. However, protein capture

240   density is preferable in many cases to reduce mass transport and avidity effects. Overall, similar kinetic

8

241   rates and affinities can be estimated based on surfaces with varying ligand densities (Kamat and Rafique,

242   2017). Furthermore, within the current iteration of the SPOC array, bivalent analytes which can interact

243   with two protein-ligands simultaneously (such as the antibodies utilized in this study) can produce avidity

244   affects, when utilized as analytes in SPR assays which adds complexity to the measured kinetic data. It is

245   acknowledged that the kinetics of the observed antibody-analyte interactions reported are confounded

246   by the bivalency of the antibody analytes, and that the 1:1 binding modeling of the double-referenced

247   kinetic traces provide an imprecise estimate of the interaction kinetics. While the kinetics reported here

248   cannot be isolated from the analyte avidity, the kinetic dissociation constants are described as "affinity"

249   for simplicity. Methods to eliminate avidity effects by reducing and controlling the density of the captured

250   protein-ligands on the SPOC array such that only one of the two antibody paratopes can associate with a

251   single protein-ligand, favoring a 1:1 interaction, form part of future SPOC technology development

252   roadmap. This will be achieved by engineering and controlling the density of HaloTag chloro-alkane linker

253   coated on SPR biosensor chips, thereby controlling the proteins on biosensor surface to avoid avidity

254   effects.

255   **Figure 2c** emphasizes the highly specific detection of SPOC arrayed p53, Jun and Src when probed

256   with their respective protein-specific antibodies. For example, when the sensor was probed with anti-p53

257   (first column), only the p53-HaloTag protein spot was detected. There was no response from spots where

258   Jun and Src or other proteins were expressed and captured. Injection of anti-Jun (second column) showed

259   response for the location of the sensor where Jun was captured, but not p53 and Src. Similarly, anti-Src

260   could only detect Src proteins, highlighting the highly specific nature of SPOC assay. All spots showed

261   response with anti-HaloTag. The surface of the sensor was regenerated with 10 mM Glycine-HCl (pH 2.4)

262   between injections. Iso-affinity plot of the on- and off-rate measures estimated for the three commercial

263   antibodies against their respective protein targets demonstrate the kinetic rates measured across

264   multiple SPOC arrays are consistent (**Fig. 2d**). The iso-affinity plot clearly shows that the mouse anti-p53

265   antibody has a high affinity (avidity) with a K_D = ~100 pM while the mouse anti-Src spot replicates cluster

266   around a K_D = ~1.0 nM. Compared to the anti-p53 antibody, the iso-affinity plot reveals that this lower

267   affinity is driven by a slower on-rate, while the overall dissociation rate between the anti-p53 and anti-Src

268   antibodies is comparable. The mouse anti-Jun antibody had the lowest measured affinity clustering

269   around a K_D just under 10 nM with a similar on-rate to that of the anti-Src antibody, but a comparably

270   faster off-rate driving the lower affinity for the anti-Jun antibody. These results highlight the potential

271   utility of the SPOC platform in antibody drug development leveraged for biological target validation (both

9

272   on- and off-targets), and to screen artificial intelligence (AI) designed antibody clonal libraries to identify

273   those with desired specificity and affinity.

274   *2.2 Ultra-High-Density SPOC arrays exhibit zero cross reactivity between adjacent protein spots*: **Figure 3a**

275   shows a SPOC protein chip image produced from our ultra-HTP 30k array which can yield up to 2400

276   protein spots on the Carterra LSA^{XT} analysis area with zero crosstalk between neighbor spots. The sole

277   limitation of ultra-HTP SPOC analysis using the Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR instrument is the unavailability of

278   software to display and analyze kinetic information from 2400 proteins at once. The current Carterra LSA^{XT}

279   control and analysis software is capable of interrogating up to 384 spots, although a 1000 spot analysis

280   software is in development and is expected to be commercially available in near future.

281   Zero cross reactivity (CR) between ultra-HTP 2400 protein spots was demonstrated by probing the

282   30k SPOC chip containing multiple p53 (24 spots) and Src (12 spots) protein spots with mouse anti-p53

283   and anti-Src antibodies (**Fig. 3b**). A larger version of the 384 ROI sensorgrams from the 30k array after

284   anti-p53 and anti-Src antibody injection is reported in **Supplemental Figure S2** and **Supplemental Figure**

285   **S3**, respectively. Reproducibility was ensured by injecting a serial titration of each detection antibody (four

286   total injections each) followed by sensor regeneration with 10mM Glycine-HCl (pH = 2.37) between each

287   antibody injection (**Fig. 3c & d**). **Figure 3b** shows highly specific detections of p53 and Src protein spots,

288   indicated by an increase in their sensorgram response curves. Conversely, control spots around p53 and

289   Src showed no response, indicating that protein expressed in sealed nanowells does not diffuse and

290   capture at neighboring spots during the expression and capture step. This demonstrates close to zero

291   crosstalk between spots. The iso-affinity plot of anti-p53 and anti-Src antibodies shows clustering of

292   kinetic parameters from replicate spots, indicating minimal variations in on-rate estimates while the off-

293   rate estimates were more variable (**Fig. 3e**). The variability in the off-rate estimates appear specific to the

294   30k array as compared to the kinetics measured on the 10k array (**Fig. 2**) and may be due to the challenges

295   such small spots mount to precise ROI placement and could be improved with better reference ROI

296   selection and placement during sensor installation.

297   *2.3 Analysis of SPOC Arrays using Orthogonal OpenPleX SPRi Instrument*: **Figure 4** shows the result of SPRi

298   analysis using data obtained from a SPOC array on the lower throughput OpenPleX SPRi instrument

299   (Horiba Scientific). The OpenPleX analysis area is approximately 1.0 sq-cm and therefore using our 10k

300   silicon nanowell slide design we are able to interrogate up to 500 SPOC proteins (**Fig. 4a**). As expected,

301   the orientation marker was visible on the flowcell image (**Fig. 4a**). In 'SPR imaging' based configurations

10

302 like the OpenPleX instrument, the CCD camera which monitors the amount of reflected light from the

303 sensor is fixed at an angle close to the SPR reflectance dip or minimum (the point at which most of the

304 light is no longer reflected due to the surface-plasmon effect). Analyte binding to ligands on the sensor

305 surface leads to a refractive index change that shifts the minimum and thus the camera records a

306 concomitant increase in reflected light at the area of the sensor where binding is occurring, which is

307 expressed as precent reflectivity in the sensorgrams. Due to this unique SPRi configuration, a difference

308 image can be generated which shows the view from the CCD camera as analytes bind and produce a signal

309 in the form of increased reflected light. At baseline, the difference image is black as it is set near the

310 minimum and records little reflected light. In our case, as analytes bind to the ligand on the SPOC array,

311 the spots themselves become visible in the difference image and interactions at discrete locations of the

312 array can be visualized while the instrument records the magnitude of the percent reflectivity change

313 occurring at each spot on the array. The signal visualized from the difference image can be directly

314 correlated to the kinetic traces plotted in the sensorgrams generated from the OpenPleX. The SPOC array

315 becomes plainly visible in the OpenPleX difference image after injection of 133 nM anti-HaloTag antibody

316 which binds the HaloTag fusion proteins immobilized on the chip (**Fig. 4b**). The SPRi difference image

317 showed positive detection of many HaloTagged proteins on the array. The varying intensity signals is

318 consistent with our observation in **Fig. 2b**, highlighting differential expression and capture levels between

319 SPOC proteins at expected locations based on the printed DNA (array map for the OpenPleX sensor is

320 shown in **Supplemental Figure S4**). The OpenPleX SPRi instrument was also used to detect protein-specific

321 antibody interaction on the SPOC array. **Figures 4c** and **4d** shows the difference image and sensorgrams,

322 respectively, of two Jun spots on the SPOC array following interrogation with three concentrations of anti-

323 Jun antibody (50, 100, and 200 nM). **Figures 4e** and **4f** depict similar information for four SPOC p53 protein

324 spots after interrogation with 1.78 and 17.8 nM injections of anti-p53 antibody. These results show highly

325 specific detection of SPOC proteins, with zero cross reactivity. The OpenPleX iso-affinity plot reveals

326 minimal variation in computed kinetic parameters for the anti-Jun antibody, with higher variation for the

327 anti-p53 kinetic measures between replicates (**Table 3**). Comparison of the K_D values obtained from the

328 manual injection-based OpenPleX instrument versus the highly automated and modern Carterra LSA^{XT}

329 showed differences in measured kinetic parameters for the interactions and may be due to instrument

330 features. The control/analysis software on our OpenPleX was dated and imaging-based SPR is generally

331 less sensitive with a limit of detection ~5-10 pg/mm^2 compared to other configurations like angular-based

332 instruments such as the Carterra LSA^{XT} which can be as low as 0.1 pg/mm^2 (Homola et al., 1999; Wang et

333 al., 2019). Discrepancies between the kinetic rates may also be due to the fact that the OpenPleX data

11

334   were collected from bare gold sensors derivatized with Thiol-PEG-NHS self-assembled monolayers,

335   whereas the SPOC arrays analyzed on the Carterra LSA^{XT} were performed on hydrogel HC30M slides

336   (Xantec).

337   Detection of post-translational citrullination of four HIST1H3A protein spots on the SPOC array

338   was demonstrated with OpenPleX instrument. Prior to on-slide citrullination, lack of citrulline group on

339   the HIST1H3A protein spots was confirmed by probing a freshly prepared SPOC array with anti-cit-

340   HIST1H3A, which also contained few protein spots not known to be citrullinated. As expected, after the

341   citrullination reaction and injection of anti-cit-HIST1H3A and secondary antibody, the resulting SPRi

342   difference image and graph of reflectivity change showed marked increase in HIST1H3A spots contrast

343   and reflectivity signals (**Supplemental Fig. S5**; green boxes). Conversely, the control protein spots showed

344   no signals, underscoring the potential of the SPOC platform for use in studying certain PTMs. This assay is

345   currently being optimized to output primary kinetic information.

346   **3. Orthogonal immunofluorescence validation of SPOC protein arrays captured on glass slides**

347   The production of SPOC arrays is not limited to biosensor chips alone, but also possible on a

348   standard 25 x 75 mm microscope glass slide to yield high-density protein arrays of up to 30,000 proteins

349   for semi-quantitative analysis by immunofluorescence (**Fig. 5a-c**). The functionality of the SPOC protein

350   array on glass was validated via series of experiments using an array containing replicates spots of

351   HaloTagged p53, Fos, Jun, FGA, HIST1H3A, among many others. Protein expression/capture were

352   confirmed by HaloTag antibody immunofluorescence assay (**Fig. 6a&b**). The SPOC array exhibited minimal

353   cross reactivity (between adjacent protein spots (**Fig. 6c**). Cross reactivity (CR) was determined by dividing

354   the average anti-p53 antibody signal in wells surrounding each of the 184 total p53 spots with the average

355   anti-p53 signal in p53 spots. The variation (CV) was also measured by dividing the standard deviation of

356   the fluorescent intensity of all p53 spots by the average fluorescent intensity of the same spots and

357   multiplying by 100 and was calculated to be 31% for the anti-Halo-probed slide shown in **Fig. 6c**, and 28%

358   for all four dense areas shown enlarged on **Fig. 6d**, yet, spots are still very clearly observable via antibody

359   stain.

360   In terms of functionality, the SPOC protein array on glass was shown to bind protein specific

361   antibodies after probing with anti-p53, anti-Fos, anti-cit-FGA, and anti-cit-HIST1H3A antibodies. These

362   antibodies specifically bound to only their protein targets on the SPOC array and were detected with a

12

363   secondary fluorescently-tagged antibody. **Fig. 6c** shows a representative image of p53 fluorescence signals

364   after probing with anti-p53, emphasizing nearly zero background CR. Further, the catalytic activity

365   function of enzymes displayed on SPOC array was demonstrated using Src kinase as a model. This protein

366   is capable of self (auto) phosphorylation on several of its tyrosine residues. To show activity, the SPOC

367   array was first dephosphorylated and probed with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (**Fig. 6e**, Slide 1). No

368   signal was observed, indicating all phosphate groups were removed. On a matching slide, the SPOC array

369   was dephosphorylated and then incubated in kinase buffer containing ATP. Phosphorylation of Src protein

370   on this SPOC glass slide (Slide 2) was confirmed after staining with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody, as

371   expected (**Fig. 6.**). By contrast, if the SPOC glass slide was treated once more with dephosphorylation

372   buffer after kinase buffer incubation, no signal was observed from the anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (**Fig.**

373   **6e**, Slide 3). Further, post-translational modification of SPOC proteins was demonstrated by *in vitro*

374   citrullination of HIST1H3A and FGA proteins on a SPOC glass slide array using PAD2 enzyme. The results

375   show distinct anti-cit-FGA and anti-cit-HIST1H3A in test slides which were absent in the control slides

376   incubated in the same conditions minus PAD2 (**Fig. 6f**). Finally, protein-protein interaction functionality of

377   SPOC proteins was demonstrated using Jun proteins arrayed on a SPOC glass slide array (**Fig. 6g**). The slide

378   was probed with or without a recombinant Fos protein in solution and then detected with anti-Fos

379   antibody. The result revealed highly specific Fos signals on the two Jun protein spots (**Fig. 6g** left panel) as

380   well as Fos protein spots (positive control), but no Fos signal was detected on the Jun protein spots in the

381   control slide that was not probed with recombinant Fos (**Fig. 6g** right panel). Furthermore, the above Fos-

382   Jun interactions, capacity to introduce citrulline PTM to HIST1H3A, in addition to monitoring of antibody-

383   antigen interactions were observed to be stable and readily performed successfully after the SPOC arrays

384   had been stored for 10 days under optimized conditions (**Supplemental Figure S6**). These results

385   underscore the SPOC platform as a potential tool to study protein interactions, PTM, and protein activity

386   assays in HTP.

387   **5. SPOC platform for drug screening: Kinetic profiling of differential antibody response to SARS-CoV-2**

388   **Spike RBD variants:**

389   Considering the rapidly mutating SARS-CoV-2 virus responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic and

390   the need to develop platform technologies for assessing the potency of neutralizing antibody drugs for

391   variants of concern (VoC), we sought to validate if SPOC can resolve differential kinetics of antibody drug

392   binding to variants of SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) using the Carterra LSA^{XT} for SPR analysis.

393   In addition to the wildtype Wuhan RBD, nine variants - Alpha, Delta, and Omicron variants and subvariants

13

394   BA.1, BA.5, BA.2.75, BQ.1, XBB.1.16, XBB.1.5 and BQ.1.1 were arrayed on a SPOC chip and then screened

395   for strength of binding to a commercial anti-RBD antibody (Proteintech Group, cat#: 67758-1) from two

396   different manufacturer lots. Results of orthogonal qualitative anti-HaloTag fluorescence manual spotting

397   assay revealed comparable protein expression levels profiles among the RBD variants as well as qualitative

398   differential binding of the anti-RBD antibody. This antibody bound robustly to Wuhan, Alpha, and Delta

399   RBD variants, but exhibited significantly lower binding affinity to Omicron BA1 (**Fig. 7a**) and binding was

400   undetectable with other variants (data not shown).

401   As expected, label-free screening by SPOC SPR provided additional information that was lacking

402   in the end-point fluorescence assay, with real-time SPR capable of detecting binding of the anti-RBD

403   antibody to all the RBD variants revealing differential kinetics, including variants that were undetected

404   using fluorescence assay (e.g. BA1). In the first SPOC SPR assay, a SPOC chip containing five RBD variants

405   and wildtype Wuhan was probed with Proteintech anti-RBD from manufacturer Lot 1. Evaluation of K_D

406   values revealed that Wuhan, Delta, and Alpha RBDs exhibited the strongest affinities to this antibody (K_D

407   = 70, 74, and 80 nM, respectively). These affinities were ~2-fold higher than K_D of Omicron variants BA.1

408   (127 nM), BA.5 (137 nM) and BA.2.75 (105 nM) and characterized by faster off-rates (**Supplemental Fig.**

409   **S7** and **Fig. 7c**). The primary anti-RBD was highly specific, and did not bind to hundreds of other Halo-

410   fusion proteins captured on the SPOC array (not shown).

411   In another set of experiments, a SPOC array of all nine SARS-CoV-2 RBD variants and Wuhan

412   wildtype was probed with the Proteintech anti-RBD from manufacturer Lot 2. K_D values of the Wuhan,

413   Alpha and Delta RBD variants were K_D of 15, 14, and 22 nM, respectively. The Omicron variants BA.1, BA.5,

414   and BA.2.75 clustered together with values of 60, 66, and 75 nM, respectively. The K_D values of other

415   variants - BQ.1, XBB.1.16, XBB.1.5 were calculated as 71, 54, and 85 nM, respectively. The response curve

416   of anti-RBD to BQ.1.1 variant was too low in this experiment and not computed by the analysis software.

417   A repeat experiment was performed with the Lot 2 anti-RBD antibody using a replicate SPOC chip

418   produced and screened one week apart. The result remained consistent, with the antibody exhibiting the

419   highest affinity to Wuhan, Alpha and Delta RBD (mean K_D ± standard deviation from both experiment with

420   Lot 2; 14.5 ± 0.7, 15 ± 1.4, and 26 ± 5.7 nM, respectively). The mean K_D values for BA.1, BA.5, BA.2.75,

421   BQ.1, and XBB.1.16 variants, were found to be 81.5 ± 30.4, 75 ± 12.7, 64.5 ± 14.8, 61 ± 14.1, and 54.5 ±

422   0.7, respectively (**Fig. 7b**). In this repeat experiment, binding of the antibody against the XBB.1.5 variant

423   was too low to extract kinetic information. The lowest anti-RBD binding affinity was obtained against the

424   BQ.1.1 variant (K_D = 120 nM). The minimal SD observed in these replicate SPOC screening of the Lot 2 anti-

14

425 RBD validates the reproducibility of the SPOC assay. An iso-affinity plot of the estimated anti-RBD kinetics

426 against the RBD VoC from the two antibody lots is depicted in **Fig. 7c**. The result shows the trend of

427 differential binding kinetics similar in both lots, albeit with variations in K_D values (**Fig. 7c**). In both

428 screening assays, Wuhan, Alpha, and Delta RBD, which had the highest affinities, clustered together, while

429 the other variants induced lower affinity binding (**Table 4**).

430 Overall, the Proteintech anti-RBD antibody from Lot 2 demonstrated notably greater affinity

431 compared to Lot 1, underscoring the SPOC platform's potential for evaluating batch-to-batch variation in

432 GMP-manufactured antibody products. Although the exact epitope of the Proteintech anti-RBD used in

433 this experiment is unknown, the fact that the mutations present in the Omicron variant RBD significantly

434 reduces antibody recognition may suggest that the epitope is closer to the N-terminus of the domain

435 where the mutations on Omicron least overlap with those present in the other variants. Therefore, these

436 findings also highlight the potential value of the SPOC platform in pandemic response situations,

437 particularly when there is a need to promptly monitor and characterize the impact of mutations at

438 immunogenic viral epitopes, or evaluate the potential risk of immune escape or diminished vaccine

439 efficacy associated with any emerging novel variant.

440 **Conclusion**

441 SPOC is a first-of-kind platform for high throughput proteomic kinetic profiling on biosensors,

442 providing real-time label-free quantitative, qualitative, and kinetic affinity data. SPOC protein chips are

443 rapidly customizable, contain folded full-length proteins, and enables 10-100 times reduced cost of assay

444 due to the lack of requirement for laborious and expensive individual protein expression, pre-purification,

445 and printing. The democratization of kinetic profiling will be facilitated through the provision of SPOC

446 protein chips to both research and industry users as catalog array and off-the-shelf protein chips,

447 expediting their proteomics kinetics research workflow. Further, we have expanded our capacity for

448 flexible sensor development by creating and applying self-assembled monolayers on bare gold slides

449 which allows us to produce gold sensor chips with custom surface chemistries and to tailor SPOC

450 technology according to user needs and applications. We have developed processes to fabricate gold SPRi

451 slides from scratch to help reduce the overall cost of technology development and the SPR chips offered

452 to customers in future. The SPOC platform will enable researchers to customize protein panels for HTP

453 applications such as monoclonal antibody characterization, AI-designed drug validation, and preclinical

454 drug development to screen libraries of drug candidates against thousands of on- and off-protein targets.

455   Other potential application areas include determination of basic protein-protein interaction kinetics,

456   vaccine efficacy monitoring, serum profiling, biomarker discovery, and diagnostics.

457   **Methods**

458   **1. DNA preparation, SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutants, Recombinant plasmids construction:** Genes of interest

459   were cloned into *in vitro* transcription and translation-compatible expression vectors, including

460   pJFT7_nHALO (or cHALO) from DNASU plasmid repository, Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University

461   and custom designed pT7CFE1_nHalo (or cHalo) vectors. These expression vectors ensure *in vitro*

462   expression of proteins of interest with an N- or C-terminal HaloTag for immobilization on HaloTag chloro-

463   alkane linker functionalized surfaces. Most of the recombinant plasmids expressing the genes of interest

464   were either procured from DNASU plasmid repository or outsourced to our commercial vendors –

465   Genscript and Twist Biosciences for custom syntheses. Dispense plates for DNA printing were prepared

466   with recombinant plasmids at a concentration of 100 ng/μL.

467   **2. Preparation of silicon nanowell substrate for DNA printing:** Silicon slides consisting of thousands of

468   nanowells were fabricated at a semiconductor foundry and prepared for DNA printing by vapor-phase

469   deposition of (3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane (APTES) on the surface using an internally optimized

470   protocol. To clean the silicon nanowell slides prior to printing, slides were placed in 50 mL conical tubes

471   containing distilled water and then centrifuged at 1,400 *x g* for 2 minutes, inverted and repeated

472   (Premiere, Model XC-2450 Series Centrifuge). Afterwards, slides were dried with a nitrogen gun and then

473   subjected to oxygen plasma treatment at 50W for 2 mins using a Plasma Etch instrument (Plasma Etch

474   Inc., Model PE-100HF). The nanowell substrates were immediately placed in a vacuum desiccator

475   containing 2 mL APTES in a vial, subjected to vacuum/filling for a total of 15 min using low pressure

476   nitrogen, and eventually incubated for 1.5 h under vacuum. Afterwards, APTES-modified nanowell

477   substrates were removed, cured at 100°C for 1 h, and stored in a Bel-Art autodesiccator (catalog number

478   420740117).

479   **3. Immobilization of unique expression plasmids in nanowells:** DNA printing was outsourced to

480   Engineering Arts, LLC and performed on the RM3 system. The technique employs high-speed piezo

481   printing with an au302 piezo dispense system, featuring an integrated alignment system designed for

482   microwell, micrometer angular alignment fixture, look down camera, transfer arm, and a vacuum tray

483   (Karthikeyan et al., 2016). First, silicon nanowell slides were placed and aligned on the vacuum tray using

16

484 the robotic transfer arm, micrometer angular alignment fixture, and look down camera. Print mix was

485 then printed in picoliter quantities "on-the-fly" using a multi-dispense head into each nanowell, followed

486 immediately by printing of picoliter quantities of expression plasmid DNAs from the dispense plates. The

487 print mix contained HaloTag chloro-alkane linker (O4) amine, which was varied from 0 to 1 mM (Promega,

488 P6741), 1.46 mg/ml BS3 in DMSO, and 7.4 mg/mL BSA, in nuclease-free water. The addition of HaloTag

489 chloro-alkane linker into the print mix was used for limited capture of expressed HaloTagged proteins at

490 the bottom of nanowells for expression validation using anti-HaloTag antibody via immunofluorescence.

491 After the piezo printing process, the nanowell slides were stored in an autodesiccator until they were

492 ready for use.

493 **4. Bio-functionalization of SPOC slides with HaloTag chloro-alkane linker:** Bare gold-coated slides

494 compatible with SPRi biosensing were purchased commercially, in addition to hydrogel coated slides

495 purchased from Xantec (HC30M). Bare gold slides used in OpenPleX SPOC array analysis were derivatized

496 with a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) using a 2 kDa Thiol-PEG-NHS reagent (BioPharma PEG; HE003023-

497 2K) towards HaloTag chloro-alkane linker modification and Halo-protein capture. For SAM assembly, 20

498 μl of 200 μM Thiol-PEG-NHS solution in DMSO was pipetted onto a clean microscope slide and the bare

499 gold SPR slide was placed gold-side down onto the solution. After 2 h incubation at room-temperature,

500 the slide was rinsed briefly in 200 Proof Ethanol and distilled water and dried with compressed air. For

501 preparation of HC30M slides for Carterra LSA^{XT} SPOC array analysis, sensors were activated with a 1:1:1

502 mixture of 0.4M N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC), 0.1 M N-hydroxysuccinimide

503 (NHS), and 0.1M 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid, pH = 5.5 (MES) for 10 minutes at room-

504 temperature. For either Thiol-PEG-NHS derivatized sensors or HC30M hydrogel slides, after rinsing with

505 distilled water and drying, the slides were incubated for either 1 hour or overnight with 1 mg/mL of

506 HaloTag chloro-alkane linker (Iris Biotech; RL-3680). The sensors were then incubated for 30 min 0.5 M

507 Ethanolamine (pH 8.5) to quench any remaining free-NHS esters.

508 **5. Automated *in-situ* production of SPOC array:** SPOC arrays were produced via automated IPC using a

509 custom AutoCap instrument designed and built in-house. Nanowells printed with DNA plasmids of interest

510 were filled via centrifugation in 50 mL conical tubes containing water for 4 min on each end at 1,400 x *g*,

511 followed by incubation in Superblock (Thermofisher Scientific) for 30 min with gentle rocking. SPOC arrays

512 were blocked for 30 min in 0.5 M ethanolamine, pH 8.5 to quench any free ester groups. After blocking,

513 both the nanowell and SPOC slides were rinsed at least 3 times with water and dried gently with

514 compressed air. 1-Step Human Coupled IVT mix was prepared and centrifuged for 20 min at 19,000 RCF

17

515   at 4˚C to remove particulates. The supernatant was gently removed to a fresh tube and stored at 4˚C until

516   injection into the instrument. The automated IPC instrument contains four stations, each one capable of

517   holding one 25 mm x 75 mm nanowell slide. Each station contains a hydraulic actuator-driven base that

518   holds a nanowell slide surrounded by a silicone gasket. A hinged lid designed to fit the SPOC arrays in a

519   precise location above the nanowell slide is fitted onto the base of each station. Automated software was

520   designed in-house using LabView to control all mechanical parts of the instrument. For protein expression

521   and capture, the nanowell slide was placed on the base and the SPOC slide was mounted to the lid. The

522   lid was then affixed firmly to the base using wingnuts and, using the automated software, low pressure

523   (20 psi) was applied via the actuator, creating an airtight chamber containing the two slides with the SPOC

524   array positioned immediately above the nanowell slide with a small gap in between. Following application

525   of low pressure, the chamber and fluidic lines were vacuumed for a total of 12 min, with the goal of

526   evacuating air from the nanowells and chamber. Using a primed 500 µL Hamilton syringe, the lysate was

527   aspirated into the chamber via vacuum following automated valve switching from vacuum line to the

528   injection line. This process results in the nanowells and the small gap between the slides being

529   immediately filled with the lysate mixture. Once the slide space is filled (less than 10 sec), high pressure

530   (220 psi) is applied via the actuator to tightly press-seal the two slides together. The instrument space was

531   closed and brought to 30˚C for 2-4 h for *in vitro* translation and expression (IVTT), with the nanowells

532   serving as nanoreactors for IVTT and the resulting HaloTagged protein being concurrently captured onto

533   the SPOC array. Following IVTT, the pressure is removed, and the slides are immediately washed in cold

534   1X PBST 3 times prior to assay to remove any unbound IVTT components and incompletely expressed

535   protein.

536   **6. Protein analysis on surface plasmon resonance instruments:** SPR experiments were performed on

537   Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR and Horiba Scientific OpenPleX SPRi instruments. SPOC protein array chips from the

538   expression/capture process were washed with 1X PBST and deionized water and dried with compressed

539   air prior to prism mounting and instrument installation.

540   *6.1 Sensor response assay protocol using Carterra LSA^{XT}*: The sensor for SPOC Carterra LSA^{XT} analysis was

541   prepared by mounting the SPOC chip onto a custom research-use-only plain-prism Carterra LSA^{XT} cartridge

542   using 15.0 µL of a refractive index matching oil (Cargille. Cat# 19586) and then inserted into the

543   instrument. Once installed, the single flow cell was docked onto the sensor and the sensor was primed

544   with running buffer (1X PBS pH 7.3, 0.2% BSA, 0.05% Tween-20; filtered and degassed). Sensor

545   temperature was maintained at 15°C for the duration of the experiment. Regions of interest (ROI) were

18

546   manually assigned over spots of interest in reference to the spot array orientation mark (hockey stick
547   mark). Antibody titrations were performed by diluting antibodies into running buffer followed by
548   performing 3, 3-fold serial dilutions resulting in a total of 4 serially diluted analyte samples with 300 µL
549   volumes each. Association and dissociation rates varied from 4 to 30 min and 8 to 45 min, respectively
550   and all screens were performed using the QuickStart experiment menu of the Carterra LSA^{XT} control
551   software.

552   *6.2 Sensor response assay protocol using the OpenPleX SPRi*: The SPOC chip was mounted onto a Horiba
553   glass prism using 0.5 µL of high index oil (Serie B, Part# 1300007816, Horiba Scientific). The sensor-coupled
554   prism was installed into the instrument by manually pressing onto a gasketed flowcell. The SPR Running
555   Buffer for OpenPleX consisted of freshly prepared 1X PBS added with 0.2% BSA, 0.05% Tween-20, filtered
556   using a 0.22 µm bottletop filter (Corning; CLS430626) and de-gassed for 20 min. SPR Running Buffer was
557   initially flushed over the SPOC protein chip at a high flow-rate of up to 2,000 µL/min to wet the sensor
558   surface and remove bubbles. Afterwards, the buffer flow rate was reduced to 50 µL/min and the flowcell
559   temperature was set at 25°C for the remainder of the experiment. After ROI selection and instrument
560   calibration with 1% glycerol in the running buffer, 200 µL analyte in running buffer was flowed over the
561   sensor surface via a sample injection loop with the association phase performed for 3.5 min followed by
562   5 min dissociation phase for all blank and analyte injections. Protein expression/capture on the SPOC chip
563   was confirmed by flowing 200 µL of mouse anti-HaloTag (133 nM) in running buffer. Binding to protein-
564   specific antibodies and cross reactivity was validated by injecting mouse anti-Jun (200 nM) and mouse
565   anti-p53 (17.8 nM). *On-slide post translational citrullination* of four spots of unmodified Halo-HIST1H3A
566   proteins on SPOC array was performed on the OpenPleX instrument. Prior to this reaction, the chip which
567   also contained two protein spots each of Halo-RBD BA.1 and Halo-CT45A3 as controls was probed with
568   anti-Halo and 200 µL of 1:50 rabbit anti-citrullinated HIST1H3A in running buffer to confirm protein
569   expression and the absence of citrulline group on the native HIST1H3A proteins. The citrullination reaction
570   was performed on the chip while mounted on OpenPleX instrument by re-circulating for 2 h at RT a 1 mL
571   citrullination reaction mixture containing 0.3 U/mL Peptidyl Arginine Deiminase (Sigma P1584-25UN), 10
572   mM CaCl$_2$, 100 mM Tris pH 7.6, and 5 mM DTT. After buffer wash, the anti-cit-HIST1H3A was injected,
573   followed by secondary anti-rabbit antibody injection to detect citrullinated HIST1H3A. The difference
574   image was captured and graph of reflectivity change for the HIST1H3A, BA.1 RBD and CT45A3 spots was
575   plotted.

576   *6.3 Extraction of HTP Kinetic Information*: Raw data from the Carterra LSA^{XT} were analyzed in Kinetics

19

577   analysis software (Carterra, v1.9.0.4167), while data from the OpenPleX were processed using

578   ScrubberGen2 (BioLogic Software, v2.0g). In all cases, the data were y-aligned and double-referenced via

579   blank, running buffer alone injection subtraction and referencing of the data against control spots of the

580   array where no binding was expected and observed. Once pre-processed, all data were globally fit in the

581   software using a 1:1 Langmuir binding model to obtain kinetic parameters and equilibrium dissociation

582   constants. Since the analytes used in the assay are bivalent, the data is complicated by avidity effects and

583   therefore the 1:1 binding model is acknowledged to yield only an approximation of the affinity for each

584   antibody-antigen interaction interrogated.

585   *6.4 Regeneration of SPOC Chips*: Different sensor regeneration conditions and buffers were tested to

586   validate functionality of SPOC proteins, including 0.5-2 M NaCl in 5 mM EDTA, 10-100 mM HCl, and 10

587   mM glycine-HCl, pH 2.4. In this experiment, SPOC array of 132 HaloTagged protein spots was mounted on

588   Carterra LSA^{XT}, followed by injection of anti-HaloTag antibody. The sensor surface was regenerated using

589   the different buffers and then probed again with anti-HaloTag. The process was repeated three times. In

590   each case, average response units ± standard deviation from all 132 Halo-protein spots on the array was

591   calculated. A bar graph of the average response units for the initial anti-Halo injection and all three

592   regenerations was plotted (**Supplemental Figure S1**).

593   **7. Determination of differential binding kinetics of a commercial anti-SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding**

594   **Domain (RBD) antibody against SARS-CoV-2 RBD using SPOC:** Recombinant plasmid DNAs encoding

595   SARS-CoV-2 RBD domain variants Alpha, Delta, Omicron variants and subvariants BA.1, BA.5, BA.2.75,

596   BQ.1, XBB.1.16, XBB.1.5 and BQ.1.1, and the wildtype Wuhan RBD as HaloTag genes were printed in silicon

597   nanowell slides. Using the SPOC protein array production platform, RBD variants were expressed *in situ*

598   and capture-purified onto replicate SPOC chips as Halo-fusion protein arrays. The SPOC chip was screened

599   on the Carterra LSA^{XT} SPR instrument. Protein expression/capture was validated by injecting 133 nM of

600   anti-HaloTag antibody, followed by surface regeneration using 2 M NaCl and buffer wash prior to probing

601   with anti-RBD antibody. SPOC RBD chips were screened with two different manufacturer lots of a

602   commercial mouse anti-RBD from Proteintech Group (catalog number: 67758-1) to determine the

603   differential binding kinetics to the RBD variants. To ensure reproducibility, assays were performed

604   multiple times using SPOC chips produced at separate protein expression/capture runs and screened

605   weeks apart. Also, serial concentrations 19.75, 59.26, 177.78, and 533.33 nM of each anti-RBD Lot was

606   injected in succession to confirm sensor response curves. In the first replicate SPOC assay, SPOC chip

607   containing the Wuhan wildtype RBD and five RBD variants – Alpha, Delta, Omicron BA.1, BA.5, BA2.75 was

20

608 probed with the mouse anti-RBD from manufacturer Lot 1. The second and third assays were similar and

609 performed with SPOC chips containing all nine variants and the Wuhan wildtype and probed with the

610 mouse anti-RBD from Lot 2. The association/on- rate (10 min) and dissociation/off- rates (15 min) kinetics

611 were monitored during injections, and sensor surface was regenerated using 2 M NaCl between injections.

612 The differential binding was validated orthogonally by immunofluorescence assay using the mouse anti-

613 RBD and secondary goat anti-mouse Cy3.

614 **8. Antibodies used for label-free and fluorescent SPOC assays:** Mouse anti-HaloTag antibody utilized for

615 confirming expression of IVTT expressed HaloTag-fusion proteins by SPR was purchased in glycerol-free

616 format from Chromotek (28a8), while a rabbit anti-HaloTag from Promega was used for fluorescent-based

617 expression validation (G9281). Protein specific antibodies used in this study include mouse anti-Jun

618 (ThermoFisher, 39-7500), mouse anti-p53 (Sigma-Aldrich, P6874), mouse anti-Src (ThermoFisher,

619 AHO1152), mouse anti-RBD (Proteintech, 67758-1), rabbit anti-Fos (14C10) rabbit anti-citHIST1H3A

620 (Abcam, ab5103), rabbit anti-citFGA (ImmunoPrecise, MQ13.102), and anti-phosphoTyrosine

621 (ThermoFisher, 03-7700). For fluorescent assays, secondary antibodies used include goat anti-Rabbit-Cy3

622 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 111-165-003) and goat anti-Mouse-Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 115-165-

623 062).

624 **9. Fluorescence assays to validate functionality of SPOC proteins captured on regular 25 mm x 75 mm**

625 **glass slide:** *Validation of Halo-protein capture and binding to protein-specific antibodies* on SPOC glass

626 slide was confirmed via immunofluorescence by incubating the whole slide in 5% milk in 1X PBST

627 containing 1:750 rabbit anti-HaloTag or protein-specific antibodies (anti-p53, Jun, or Fos). After 30 min –

628 1 h of incubation at RT and washing with PBST, the slide was again incubated for 30 min with 1:500 dilution

629 of the appropriate secondary antibody fluor-conjugate in 5% milk-PBST. After the secondary incubation,

630 the slide was washed with PBST, rinsed with water, dried with compressed air, and scanned using an

631 InnoScan 910 AL Microarray Scanner (Innopsys, Carbonne, France). *In vitro citrullination of SPOC proteins*

632 was performed by incubating SPOC glass slides containing Halo-FGA and Halo-HIST1H3A with the

633 citrullination reaction mixture containing 0.3 U/ml Peptidyl Arginine Deiminase (Sigma P1584-25UN), 10

634 mM $CaCl_2$, 100 mM Tris pH 7.6, and 5 mM DTT. After incubation for 2 h and buffer wash, citrullinated FGA

635 and HIST1H3A on the SPOC glass slide were probed by incubating for 1 h with 1:500 rabbit anti-

636 citrullinated HIST1H3A or FGA and detected with goat anti-rabbit Cy3 using the InnoScan 910 AL

637 Microarray Scanner. PAD2 was omitted from control slides. *Protein-Protein Interaction assay on glass*

638 *slides* were performed by probing a whole SPOC glass slide containing two Halo-Jun and six Halo-Fos

21

639   protein spots with buffer solution containing 10 ug/mL commercial recombinant Fos protein in 1% milk-

640   PBST for 1 h at RT. Binding of the recombinant Fos protein to the SPOC Jun was detected by incubating

641   the SPOC glass slide with 1:500 rabbit anti-Fos antibody in 5% milk PBST and then goat anti rabbit Cy3,

642   followed by fluorescence scanning with the InnoScan 910 AL. _Enzymatic activity assays on SPOC glass_

643   _slides_ were performed using dephosphorylation and autophosphorylation of Src kinase on SPOC array. To

644   ensure that endogenous phosphorylation of Src from IVTT expression is not being detected, the slide was

645   first dephosphorylated by incubating for 30 min at 37°C with assay mixture containing 0.5 units of calf

646   intestinal alkaline phosphatase in 1X dephosphorylation buffer (Thermo Scientific, 18009019) and 1 mM

647   $MgCl_2$, followed by 3 washes with Tris-buffered saline. The removal of phospho groups from immobilized

648   Src kinase was confirmed by staining with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody. Next, auto-phosphorylation of

649   the Src was performed by incubating the SPOC glass slide for 45 min at RT in phosphorylation buffer

650   containing 4 mM ATP, 50 mM Tris pH 7.6, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, and 0.5 mM DTT. The phosphorylated Src was

651   then detected via anti-phosphotyrosine antibody.

652   **References**

653   Cheng F, Zhao J, Wang Y, et al. Comprehensive characterization of protein-protein interactions perturbed
654   by disease mutations. Nat Genet. 2021 Mar;53(3):342-353. doi: 10.1038/s41588-020-00774-y.

655   Drake, A. W., Myszka, D. G. & Klakamp, S. L. Characterizing high-affinity antigen/antibody complexes by
656   kinetic- and equilibrium-based methods. Anal Biochem 328, 35–43 (2004).

657   Fuentes M, Srivastava S, Gronenborn AM, LaBaer J. A Quantitative Systems Approach to Define Novel
658   Effects of Tumour p53 Mutations on Binding Oncoprotein MDM2. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Dec 21;23(1):53. doi:
659   10.3390/ijms23010053. PMID: 35008477; PMCID: PMC8744954.

660   Hellman LM, Fried MG. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) for detecting protein-nucleic acid
661   interactions. Nat Protoc. 2007;2(8):1849-61. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.249. PMID: 17703195; PMCID:
662   PMC2757439.

663   Homola J, Yee SS, Gauglitz G. Surface plasmon resonance sensors: review. Sensors and Actuators B:
664   Chemical, 54(1–2): 3-15 (1999)

665   Karthikeyan K, Barker K, Tang Y, et al. A contra capture protein array platform for studying post-
666   translationally modified (PTM) auto-antigenomes. Molecular and Cellular Proteomics. 2016;15:2324–
667   2337.

668   Kamat V and Rafique A. Exploring sensitivity & throughput of a parallel flow SPRi biosensor for
669   characterization of antibody-antigen interaction. Analytical Biochemistry, 525: 8-22 (2017)

670   Katsamba, P. S. et al. Kinetic analysis of a high-affinity antibody/antigen interaction performed by multiple
671   Biacore users. Anal Biochem 352, 208–221 (2006).

672   Koehli, S., Naeher, D., Galati-Fournier, V., Zehn, D. & Palmer, E. Optimal T-cell receptor affinity for inducing
673   autoimmunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 17248–17253 (2014).

674   Liao J, Madahar V, Dang R, Jiang L. Quantitative FRET (qFRET) Technology for the Determination of Protein-
675   Protein   Interaction   Affinity   in   Solution.   Molecules.   2021   Oct   20;26(21):6339.   doi:
676   10.3390/molecules26216339. PMID: 34770748; PMCID: PMC8588070.

677   Link AJ, Labaer J. Construction of Nucleic Acid Programmable Protein Arrays (NAPPA) 1: Coating Glass
678   Slides with Amino Silane. CSH Protoc. 2008a Nov 1;2008:pdb.prot5056. doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot5056. PMID:
679   21356711.

680   Link AJ, Labaer J. Using the Nucleic Acid Programmable Protein Array (NAPPA) for Identifying Protein-
681   Protein Interactions: General Guidelines. CSH Protoc. 2008b Dec 1;2008:pdb.ip62. doi: 10.1101/pdb.ip62.
682   PMID: 21356738.

683   Maity S, Gundampati RK, Suresh Kumar TK. NMR Methods to Characterize Protein-Ligand Interactions.
684   Natural Product Communications. 2019;14(5). doi:10.1177/1934578X19849296

685   Nagata K, Hiroshi H. Real-Time Analysis of Biomolecular Interactions. Nagata K, Handa H, editors. Tokyo:
686   Springer Japan; 2000.

687   Ramachandran N, Hainsworth E, Bhullar B, Eisenstein S, Rosen B, Lau AY, Walter JC, LaBaer J. Self-
688   assembling protein microarrays. Science. 2004 Jul 2;305(5680):86-90. doi: 10.1126/science.1097639.
689   PMID: 15232106.

690   Shah NB, Duncan TM. Bio-layer interferometry for measuring kinetics of protein-protein interactions and
691   allosteric ligand effects. J Vis Exp. 2014 Feb 18;(84):e51383. doi: 10.3791/51383. PMID: 24638157; PMCID:
692   PMC4089413.

693   Song L, Wiktor P, Qiu J, LaBaer J. Identification of Antibody Biomarker Using High-Density Nucleic Acid
694   Programmable Protein Array. Methods Mol Biol. 2021; 2344:47-64. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-1562-1_4.
695   PMID: 34115351.

696   Suwannalai, P. et al. Low-avidity anticitrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) are associated with a higher
697   rate of joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 73, 270–276 (2014).

698   Takulapalli BR, Qiu J, Magee DM, Kahn P, et al. High-Density Diffusion-Free Nanowell Arrays. Journal of
699   Proteome Research 2012 11 (8), 4382-4391. DOI: 10.1021/pr300467q

700   van der Woude D, Rantapää-Dahlqvist S, Ioan-Facsinay A, Onnekink C, Schwarte CM, Verpoort KN,
701   Drijfhout JW, Huizinga TW, Toes RE, Pruijn GJ. Epitope spreading of the anti-citrullinated protein antibody
702   response occurs before disease onset and is associated with the disease course of early arthritis. Ann
703   Rheum Dis. 2010 Aug;69(8):1554-61.

704   Wang Y, Wang G, Moitessier N, Mittermaier AK. Enzyme Kinetics by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry:
705   Allostery,   Inhibition,   and   Dynamics.   Front   Mol   Biosci.   2020   Oct   19;7:583826.   doi:
706   10.3389/fmolb.2020.583826. PMID: 33195429; PMCID: PMC7604385.

707 Wang D, Loo JFC, Chen J, Yam Y, Chen SC, He H, Kong SK, Ho HP. Recent Advances in Surface Plasmon
708 Resonance Imaging Sensors. Sensors 2019, 19, 1266. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19061266

709 Yang D, Singh A, Wu H, Kroe-Barrett R. (2016) Comparison of biosensor platforms in the evaluation of high
710 affinity antibody-antigen binding kinetics. Analytical Biochemistry, 508: 78-96.
711 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2016.06.024

712 Yu X, Schneiderhan-Marra N, Joos TO. Protein Microarrays for Personalized Medicine. Clin Chem. 2010;
713 56:376–387.

714 Yu X, Song L, Petritis B, Bian X, Wang H, Viloria J, Park J, Bui H, Li H, Wang J, Liu L, Yang L, Duan H, McMurray
715 DN, Achkar JM, Magee M, Qiu J, LaBaer J. Multiplexed Nucleic Acid Programmable Protein Arrays.
716 Theranostics. 2017 Sep 20;7(16):4057-4070. doi: 10.7150/thno.20151. PMID: 29109798; PMCID:
717 PMC5667425.

718 Zheng X, Bi C, Li Z, Podariu M, Hage DS. Analytical methods for kinetic studies of biological interactions: A
719 review. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2015 Sep 10; 113:163-80. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2015.01.042. Epub 2015 Jan
720 27. PMID: 25700721; PMCID: PMC4516701.

721 **Acknowledgement**

727 **Author Contributions**

728 B.T conceived the experiments. W.M, C.V.A, and R.C performed the experiments, analyzed the data and

729 prepared the figures. M.M, L.G helped with project planning and joined the discussions. C.V.A drafted the

730 manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

731 **Data availability statement**

732 The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and

733 its supplementary materials.

734 **Figure legends**

735 **Figure 1 | Schematic of *in situ* protein production in nanowell slide and capture on SPOC biosensor chip**.
736 (**a**) By leveraging human cell-free *in vitro* transcription and translation (IVTT) lysate, SPOC arrays are

24

737 produced via *in situ* expression of full-length folded proteins, which are encoded by recombinant plasmid
738 DNAs printed in nanowell slides. Expressed proteins are simultaneously capture-purified as a monolayer
739 of arrayed spots onto biosensor chips for label-free analysis by SPR. The entire process from protein array
740 production on biosensor chip to label-free kinetic analysis is called SPOC and is facilitated by our custom
741 SPOC protein array production instrument. This instrument can produce 4 SPOC biosensors from a single
742 nanowell slide. The instrument has four channels in each of which can produce a nanowell slide in a semi-
743 automated fashion; ultimately yielding up to sixteen SPOC chips in a single run. (**b**) Image of a 10k nanowell
744 slide into which expression plasmid DNAs are printed. Protein arrays are expressed from the nanowell
745 slide are captured on a variety of substrates, including glass microscope slides for standard fluorescent
746 screens, or gold-coated SPOC biosensors for label-free kinetic screening via SPR. A scanning electron
747 microscope (SEM) image of a nanowell slide cross-section is shown below the full top-down image of the
748 nanowell slide (25 mm x 75 mm). (**c**) Image of a SPOC biosensor and the protein microarray pattern formed
749 on the surface of the gold-coated biosensor slide after the protein expression/capture process outlined in
750 **a**.

751

752 **Figure 2 | Carterra LSA^{XT} data of antibody interactions on SPOC chip.** (**a**) Image of the SPOC sensor surface
753 (10k array) as viewed from the flowcell of the LSA^{XT}. The hockey-stick shaped orientation mark which aids
754 in orienting and mapping the array is clearly visible in the center of the array. (**b**) Sensorgrams reporting
755 the mouse anti-HaloTag antibody binding responses from across 384 spots simultaneously on the SPOC
756 slide are shown and validate capture of IVTT expressed Halo fusion proteins. (**c**) Sensorgrams
757 corresponding to each of three spots on the SPOC array containing p53, Jun, and Src HaloTag fusion
758 proteins are shown demonstrating the binding responses observed after injection of mouse anti-p53 (16
759 nM), anti-Jun (67 nM), anti-Src (67 nM), and anti-HaloTag (133 nM) antibodies. The 1:1 interaction model
760 is overlayed on the double-referenced data (red line). (**d**) Iso-affinity plot of the kinetics measured for the
761 mouse anti-p53, anti-Jun, and anti-Src antibody injections (p53 = 20 spots across 3 sensors; Jun = 3 spots
762 across 2 sensors; Src = 9 spots across 4 sensors).

763

764 **Figure 3 | Carterra LSA^{XT} antibody kinetic data obtained from a SPOC chip produced from the high-**
765 **density 30k nanowell slide.** (**a**) Image of the SPOC sensor surface (30k array) as viewed from the flowcell
766 of the LSA^{XT}. (**b**) Sensorgrams from the Mouse anti-p53 and anti-Src antibody injections across 384 spots
767 of NHv4 30k array are shown with positive binding responses unshaded, and areas with no binding
768 responses shaded grey. Representative sensorgrams of the protein-specific antibodies binding to their
769 specific protein targets (p53 or Src) are shown enlarged in **c** and **d**. (**c**) Six representative sensorgrams
770 from a total of twenty-four p53-HaloTag spots bound by the p53 antibody from **b** are shown and the
771 binding responses after the mouse anti-p53 antibody injections are displayed, the 1:1 interaction model
772 (red lines) is overlayed on the double-referenced data. Concentrations of antibody titration are shown in
773 the key above the sensorgrams alongside a sensorgram showing binding responses observed on a
774 representative control spot of the array. (**d**) Six representative sensorgrams from a total of twelve Src-
775 HaloTag spots are shown and the binding responses after the mouse anti-Src antibody injections are
776 displayed, the 1:1 interaction model (red lines) is overlayed on the double-referenced data. (**e**) Iso-affinity
777 plot of the kinetics measured for the mouse anti-p53 and anti-Src antibody injections (p53 = 26 spots
778 across 2 sensors; Src = 19 spots across 2 sensors).

779

780 **Figure 4 | OpenPleX data of antibody interactions on SPOC chip.** (**a**) Image of the SPOC sensor surface

25

781 (10k pattern) as viewed from the flowcell of the OpenPleX. The hockey-stick shaped orientation mark is
782 visible in the center of the array. (**b**) Difference image showing the pattern of binding observed on the
783 SPOC biosensor surface after injection of mouse anti-HaloTag antibody (133 nM). (**c**) Difference image
784 showing the pattern of binding observed after the Mouse anti-Jun antibody injection (200 nM) which
785 specifically detects two spots (yellow marks) where Jun-HaloTag was capture-purified as expected. (**d**) 1:1
786 fit (orange line) OpenPleX data of the anti-Jun antibody binding to Jun Spots #1 and #2 from **c** show similar
787 binding profiles and equilibrium disociation constants. (**e**) Difference image shows the pattern of binding
788 observed after the mouse anti-p53 antibody injection (17.8 nM) which specifically detects four spots
789 (yellow marks) where p53-HaloTag was capture-purified as expected. (**f**) 1:1 fit (orange lines) OpenPleX
790 data of the anti-p53 antibody binding to the four p53 spots detected in **e** show similar binding profiles and
791 equilibrium dissociation constants. (**g**) Iso-affinity plot of the kinetics measured for the Mouse anti-p53
792 and anti-Jun injections (p53 = 10 spots across 3 sensors; Jun = 4 spots across 2 sensors).

793

794 **Figure 5 | Nanowell slide design facilitates high-density SPOC arrays capture-purification.** (**a**) Mouse
795 anti-HaloTag fluorescence staining pattern observed on a high-density 30k nanowell slide design
796 demonstrates expression of HaloTag fusion proteins in the nanowells of two subarrays containing various
797 expression plasmids of interest. (**b**) Mouse anti-HaloTag fluorescence staining pattern observed on a glass
798 capture slide functionalized with HaloTag chloro-alkane linker reveals diffusion-free capture of the high-
799 density protein array generated from the 30k nanowell slide after capture-purification on the SPOC
800 instrument (**c**) A comparison of the spot patterning achieved on the 10k versus the 30k nanowell slides,
801 and the respective spot densities achieved is shown. The 30k design generates a >2-fold denser array
802 than the 10k.

803

804 **Figure 6 | Automated production of pure in-situ protein arrays capture-purified on glass.** (**a**) Validation
805 data showing fluorescence images of Halo-tagged proteins expressed in silicon nanowell, probed with
806 anti-Halo, (**b**) captured onto HaloTag chloro-alkane linker-modified capture glass slides which were
807 probed with anti-Halo, and (**c**) the same slide layout as shown in (**b**), but probed with anti-p53 antibody
808 to detect p53 protein spots. These images show strong HaloTag and p53 signals on capture-purified
809 protein arrays on capture glass slide, with <2% crosstalk between proteins in neighboring spots where
810 protein was otherwise detected in (**b**). (**d**) Shows statistical analysis of cross reactivity (CR) and variation
811 (CV) of p53 spot signals calculated from each block as a whole (sparse and dense areas). The enlarged
812 images show the dense area only of each block to demonstrate lack of signal between spots and in
813 neighboring non-p53 spots. Functional validation testing of protein arrays captured on glass slides. (**e**)
814 demonstrates the enzymatic capability of bound Src kinase proteins via on-slide phosphorylation and
815 dephosphorylation. Slide 1-3 were stained with anti-phosphotyrosine (n = 12 Src spots). Slide 1 consisting
816 freshly expressed and captured Halo-SRC kinase protein spots was dephosphorylated by incubating with
817 alkaline phosphatase and then stained. Slide 2 - Src spots were phosphorylated by incubating with kinase
818 buffer to allow Src to autophosphorylate, followed by anti-phosphotyrosine staining. Slide 3 – Src was
819 dephosphorylated again followed by staining. (**f**) Proteins can be altered with known PTMs associated
820 with autoimmunity, such as citrullination. Here, Halo-fibrinogen (FGA) and Halo-Hist1H3A spots were
821 treated with or without PAD2 enzyme to catalyze citrullination and then probed with citrullination-specific
822 anti-cit-FGA and anti-cit-HIST1H3A. (**g**) Capture protein can bind to known protein interaction partners,
823 such as Fos and Jun. Halo-Fos and Halo-Jun spots were incubated with (**g**) or without (**h**) recombinant Fos
824 in solution. Slides were then probed with anti-Fos to determine if Fos bound to Halo-Jun. Fos was detected
825 on Halo-Jun spots only if first incubated with rFos, and was detected at all spots known to contain Halo-

826   Fos as a positive control – demonstration functionality of produced capture-purified protein arrays.

827

828   **Figure 7 | Carterra LSA^{XT} screening of mouse anti-SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) against**
829   **RBD variants arrayed on SPOC chip.** (**a**) Orthogonal fluorescent glass-slide assay shows the staining
830   pattern observed after capturing via manually spotting the indicated IVTT expressed SARS-CoV-2 RBD-
831   HaloTag proteins and probing with mouse anti-RBD and HaloTag antibodies. (**b**) Sensorgrams reporting
832   the binding responses observed after a serial titration of mouse anti-RBD antibody (Lot 2) was injected
833   over a SPOC array containing 10 RBD VoC via the Carterra LSA^{XT}. 1:1 fits are overlayed on the data (red
834   curves) and the equilibrium dissociation constants were determined from duplicate screens from Lot 2 of
835   the antibody. (**c**) Iso-affinity plot of the various kinetics measured for the mouse anti-RBD antibody from
836   two different lots against the RBD VoC are reported. The antibody from Lot 1 was only screened on a
837   sensor with 6 of the RBD VoC while Lot 2 was screened across 2 sensors containing all 10 CoV-2 RBDs of
838   interest.

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

27

Table 1 | 10k SPOC array kinetic parameters derived from three protein specific antibody analytes binding to their respective target ligands as measured on the Carterra LSA^{XT} instrument. Reported values were utilized to generate the iso-affinity plot in **Fig. 2d**.

Analyte	SPOC Ligand	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	k_d (s^{-1})	K_D (M)
Mouse anti-p53	p53 - Spot 1	1.8E+06	2.1E-04	1.17E-10
	p53 - Spot 2	1.7E+06	1.7E-04	1.00E-10
	p53 - Spot 3	1.6E+06	2.8E-04	1.75E-10
	p53 - Spot 4	1.8E+06	1.8E-04	1.00E-10
	p53 - Spot 5	1.8E+06	1.6E-04	8.89E-11
	p53 - Spot 6	1.9E+06	1.6E-04	8.42E-11
	p53 - Spot 7	1.6E+06	1.3E-04	8.13E-11
	p53 - Spot 8	1.5E+06	2.1E-04	1.40E-10
	p53 - Spot 9	1.7E+06	1.6E-04	9.41E-11
	p53 - Spot 10	1.8E+06	1.7E-04	9.44E-11
	p53 - Spot 11	1.8E+06	2.2E-04	1.22E-10
	p53 - Spot 12	1.9E+06	2.3E-04	1.21E-10
	p53 - Spot 13	2.4E+06	3.1E-04	1.29E-10
	p53 - Spot 14	2.2E+06	1.7E-04	7.73E-11
	p53 - Spot 15	2.1E+06	5.2E-05	2.48E-11
	p53 - Spot 16	2.3E+06	2.3E-04	1.00E-10
	p53 - Spot 17	2.3E+06	1.9E-04	8.26E-11
	p53 - Spot 18	2.5E+06	1.8E-04	7.20E-11
	p53 - Spot 19	2.2E+06	1.7E-04	7.73E-11
	p53 - Spot 20	2.3E+06	1.6E-04	6.96E-11
Mouse anti-Jun	Jun - Spot 1	3.6E+05	1.4E-03	3.89E-09
	Jun - Spot 2	1.4E+05	1.2E-03	8.57E-09
	Jun - Spot 3	1.9E+05	9.4E-04	4.95E-09
Mouse anti-Src	Src - Spot 1	1.7E+05	2.7E-04	1.59E-09
	Src - Spot 2	2.2E+05	2.8E-04	1.27E-09
	Src - Spot 3	1.2E+05	2.1E-04	1.75E-09
	Src - Spot 4	1.4E+05	1.5E-04	1.07E-09
	Src - Spot 5	1.3E+05	2.2E-04	1.69E-09
	Src - Spot 6	1.3E+05	1.9E-04	1.46E-09
	Src - Spot 7	1.5E+05	1.5E-04	1.00E-09
	Src - Spot 8	1.5E+05	2.2E-04	1.47E-09
	Src - Spot 9	1.5E+05	1.2E-04	8.00E-10

867 **Table 2 | 30k SPOC array kinetic parameters derived from two protein specific antibody analytes binding**
868 **to their respective target ligands as measured on the Carterra LSA^{XT} instrument**. Reported values were
869 utilized to generate the iso-affinity plot in **Fig. 3e**.

870

Analyte	SPOC Ligand	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	k_d (s^{-1})	K_D (M)
Mouse anti-p53	p53 - Spot 1	8.4E+05	6.6E-04	7.86E-10
	p53 - Spot 2	1.0E+06	2.5E-05	2.50E-11
	p53 - Spot 3	7.7E+05	3.0E-05	3.90E-11
	p53 - Spot 4	8.7E+05	5.8E-05	6.67E-11
	p53 - Spot 5	5.5E+05	4.3E-05	7.82E-11
	p53 - Spot 6	8.5E+05	6.3E-05	7.41E-11
	p53 - Spot 7	8.5E+05	1.1E-04	1.29E-10
	p53 - Spot 8	8.3E+05	1.1E-05	1.33E-11
	p53 - Spot 9	8.0E+05	4.8E-05	6.00E-11
	p53 - Spot 10	9.3E+05	6.9E-05	7.42E-11
	p53 - Spot 11	8.8E+05	9.2E-05	1.05E-10
	p53 - Spot 12	1.1E+06	2.1E-05	1.91E-11
	p53 - Spot 13	9.6E+05	2.3E-05	2.40E-11
	p53 - Spot 14	7.9E+05	4.0E-05	5.06E-11
	p53 - Spot 15	8.3E+05	8.1E-05	9.76E-11
	p53 - Spot 16	8.5E+05	1.1E-04	1.29E-10
	p53 - Spot 17	8.7E+05	2.9E-05	3.33E-11
	p53 - Spot 18	8.1E+05	6.6E-05	8.15E-11
	p53 - Spot 19	1.0E+06	1.4E-04	1.40E-10
	p53 - Spot 20	8.8E+05	7.5E-05	8.52E-11
	p53 - Spot 21	8.9E+05	8.5E-05	9.55E-11
	p53 - Spot 22	9.1E+05	1.4E-05	1.54E-11
	p53 - Spot 23	9.0E+05	2.1E-04	2.33E-10
	p53 - Spot 24	8.4E+05	5.3E-05	6.31E-11
	p53 - Spot 25	8.1E+05	2.9E-05	3.58E-11
	p53 - Spot 26	8.5E+05	3.6E-05	4.24E-11
Mouse anti-Src	Srs - Spot 1	1.4E+05	1.8E-04	1.29E-09
	Srs - Spot 2	1.4E+05	1.3E-04	9.29E-10
	Srs - Spot 3	1.6E+05	8.9E-05	5.56E-10
	Srs - Spot 4	1.7E+05	1.4E-05	8.24E-11
	Srs - Spot 5	1.4E+05	8.3E-05	5.93E-10
	Srs - Spot 6	1.7E+05	2.9E-05	1.71E-10
	Srs - Spot 7	1.4E+05	4.6E-05	3.29E-10
	Srs - Spot 8	1.9E+05	2.1E-05	1.11E-10
	Srs - Spot 9	1.5E+05	1.3E-04	8.67E-10
	Srs - Spot 10	1.4E+05	3.7E-05	2.64E-10
	Srs - Spot 11	1.7E+05	5.0E-05	2.94E-10
	Srs - Spot 12	9.1E+04	7.5E-05	8.24E-10
	Srs - Spot 13	1.5E+05	2.4E-04	1.60E-09
	Srs - Spot 14	1.2E+05	2.3E-04	1.92E-09
	Srs - Spot 15	2.0E+05	1.2E-04	6.00E-10
	Srs - Spot 16	1.1E+05	1.4E-04	1.27E-09
	Srs - Spot 17	1.2E+05	1.6E-04	1.33E-09
	Srs - Spot 18	1.8E+05	5.9E-05	3.28E-10
	Srs - Spot 19	1.2E+05	9.4E-05	7.83E-10

871

872

873

874 **Table 3 | 10k SPOC array kinetic parameters derived from two protein specific antibody analytes binding**
875 **to their respective target ligands as measured on the OpenPleX instrument**. Reported values were
876 utilized to generate the iso-affinity plot in **Fig. 4g**.

877

Analyte	SPOC Ligand	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	k_d (s^{-1})	K_D (M)
Mouse anti-p53	p53 - Spot 1	1.8E+06	5.3E-05	2.91E-11
	p53 - Spot 2	1.5E+06	5.0E-05	3.38E-11
	p53 - Spot 3	1.7E+06	5.0E-05	2.87E-11
	p53 - Spot 4	2.1E+06	5.6E-05	2.73E-11
	p53 - Spot 5	1.1E+06	2.1E-04	1.91E-10
	p53 - Spot 6	4.9E+05	1.8E-04	3.67E-10
	p53 - Spot 7	8.8E+05	2.7E-04	3.07E-10
	p53 - Spot 8	6.7E+05	1.2E-05	1.79E-11
	p53 - Spot 9	1.4E+06	1.5E-04	1.04E-10
	p53 - Spot 10	1.5E+06	1.5E-04	9.61E-11
Mouse anti-Jun	Jun - Spot 1	4.59E+04	8.30E-04	1.81E-08
	Jun - Spot 2	3.64E+04	8.10E-04	2.23E-08
	Jun - Spot 3	2.30E+04	9.50E-04	4.13E-08
	Jun - Spot 4	2.27E+04	8.40E-04	3.70E-08

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

Table 4 | Kinetic parameters derived from the Proteintech mouse anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD antibody analyte binding to Wuhan RBD and variants. Reported values were utilized to generate the iso-affinity plot in **Fig. 7c**. Cells with dashes indicate interactions which were not measured, while cells with N/A indicate interactions where no kinetic parameters could be estimated due to low binding signal. The assay was performed on Carterra LSA^{XT}.

anti-RBD Ab Lot	Replicate	Kinetic Measure	Wuhan	Alpha	Delta	BA1	BA5	BA2.75	BQ.1	BQ.1.1	XBB.1.5	XBB.1.16
Lot 1	#1	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	2.7E+03	2.60E+03	3.20E+03	2.90E+03	2.60E+03	2.30E+03	---	---	---	---
		k_d (s^{-1})	1.9E-04	2.10E-04	2.40E-04	3.70E-04	3.60E-04	2.40E-04	---	---	---	---
		K_D (M)	7.0E-08	8.1E-08	7.5E-08	1.3E-07	1.4E-07	1.0E-07	---	---	---	---
Lot 2	#1	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	1.3E+04	1.20E+04	1.20E+04	8.00E+03	9.20E+03	7.00E+03	9.80E+03	N/A	1.20E+04	8.70E+03
		k_d (s^{-1})	2.0E-04	1.70E-04	2.70E-04	4.80E-04	6.10E-04	5.20E-04	7.00E-04	N/A	9.80E-04	4.70E-04
		K_D (M)	1.5E-08	1.4E-08	2.3E-08	6.0E-08	6.6E-08	7.4E-08	7.1E-08	N/A	8.2E-08	5.4E-08
	#2	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	8.4E+03	7.70E+03	8.90E+03	7.10E+03	8.50E+03	7.20E+03	8.20E+03	7.80E+03	N/A	7.60E+03
		k_d (s^{-1})	1.1E-04	1.20E-04	2.70E-04	7.30E-04	7.20E-04	3.90E-04	4.20E-04	9.30E-04	N/A	4.20E-04
		K_D (M)	1.3E-08	1.6E-08	3.0E-08	1.0E-07	8.5E-08	5.4E-08	5.1E-08	1.2E-07	N/A	5.5E-08

911

912

913   **Figure 1**

914

915

916

917

918

a 10k sensor image from LSA^XT

b Mouse anti-HaloTag signal from 384 spots across SPOC array

c

d LSA^XT Replicate Iso-affinity Plot

919

920

921   **Figure 2**

922

923

924

925

926

927   **Figure 3**

928

929

930

931

932

933   **Figure 4**

934

935

936

937

938

939

35

a

30k Nanowell Slide (anti-HaloTag)

b

Glass Capture Slide (anti-HaloTag)

c

NH-v2 Pattern

Density

1000 spots/cm^2

LSA^{XT} Analysis Area

1000 spots

NH-v4 Pattern

Density

1,975 spots/cm^2

LSA^{XT} Analysis Area

2400 spots

940

941

942 **Figure 5**

943

Figure 6

Figure 7